THE COMBINATION OF BODY MASS INDEX AND AGE AS A NEW INDEX FOR IDENTIFYING OSTEOPOROSIS IN THAI POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN

Mr. Chamnan Tanprasertkul

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Health Development

Faculty of Medicine

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2009

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

การใช้ดัชนี่มวลกายร่วมกับอายุเป็นดัชนี่ใหม่ในการตรวจคัดกรองภาวะกระดูกพรุน ในสตรีไทยวัยหมดระดู

้น<mark>า</mark>ยชำนาญ แท่นประเสริฐกุล

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาการพัฒนาสุขภาพ คณะแพทยศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2552 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Thesis Title	THE COMBINATION OF BODY MASS INDEX AND AGE AS A
	NEW INDEX FOR IDENTIFYING OSTEOPOROSIS IN THAI
	POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN
Ву	Mr. Chamnan Tanprasertkul
Field of Study	Health Development
Thesis Advisor	Associate Professor Krasean Panyakhamlerd, M.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of medicine , Chulalongkorn University in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

.... Dean of the Faculty of Medicine

(Professer Adisorn Patradul, M.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

Chairman

(Professor Sompop Limpongsanurak, M.D.)

(Associate Professor Krasean Panyakhamlerd, M.D.)

Sonrat Letmahit Examiner

(Associate Professor Somrat Lertmaharit)

Month J. M. C.M. My M. External Examiner

(Assistant Professor Suwanit Therasakvichya, M.D.)

ชำนาญ แท่นประเสริฐกุล : การใช้ดัชนีมวลกายร่วมกับอายุเป็นดัชนีใหม่ในการตรวจ คัดกรองภาวะกระดูกพรุนในสตรีไทยวัยหมดระดู (THE COMBINATION OF BODY MASS INDEX AND AGE AS A NEW INDEX FOR IDENTIFYING OSTEOPOROSIS IN THAI POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN) อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก : รศ.นพ.กระเษียร ปัญญาคำเลิศ, 41 หน้า

วัตถุประสงค์ : เพื่อประเมินคุณค่าของการใช้ดัชนีมวลกายร่วมกับอายุเป็นดัชนี ใหม่ในการตรวจคัดกรองภาวะกระดูกพรุนในลตรีไทยวัยหมดระดู

รูปแบบการวิจัย : การวิจัยแบบ diagnostic study

วัสดุและวิธีการ : ได้รวบรวมข้อมูลการวัดค่ามวลกระดูกของสตรีไทยวัยหมดระดู อายุ 40- 80 ปี ซึ่งไม่มีโรคทางเมตาโบลิคของกระดูก ไม่พบโรคหรือมีการแพร่ลามของมะเร็งที่ กระดูก และไม่ได้ใช้ยาที่มีผลต่อแคลเซียม หรือมวลกระดูก โดยมีค่าผลการตรวจมวลกระดูก ในช่วง 1 มกราคม 2547 ถึง 31 ธันวาคม 2551 และมีข้อมูลของค่ามวลกระดูกครบที่ 3 ตำแหน่งได้แก่ lumbar spines , femoral neck และ intertrochanter ได้ข้อมูลครบถ้วน ทั้งหมด 372 ราย การวินิจฉัยว่าเกิดภาวะกระดูกพรุนใช้ตามคำจำกัดความขององค์การ อนามัยโลก นำข้อมูลที่ได้มาวิเคราะห์ผลทางสถิติ

ผลการศึกษา : พบความชุกของภาวะกระดูกพรุน ที่ตำแหน่ง lumbar spines , femoral neck และ intertrochanter ร้อยละ 8.1 , 20.2 และ 15.3 ตามลำดับ หากใช้ดัชนี ใหม่ที่เกิดจากดัชนีมวลกายและอายุรวมกัน จะมีความไว (sensitivity) ร้อยละ 76.67 , 76 และ 77.19 ตามลำดับ เมื่อใช้ OSTA index โดยใช้จุดตัดที่ -1 จะมีความไว ร้อยละ 80 , 70.67 และ 70.17 ตามลำดับ แต่หากเปลี่ยนค่าจุดตัดเป็น 0 จะมีความไว ร้อยละ 90 , 85.33 และ 78.95 ตามลำดับ

สรุป : การใช้ดัชนีใหม่ที่เกิดจากดัชนีมวลกายและอายุ อาจเป็นทางเลือกหนึ่งในการ ตรวจคัดกรองหาภาวะกระดูกพรุนในสตรีไทยวัยหมดระดู และการเปลี่ยนค่าจุดตัดของ OSTA เป็น 0 จะช่วยเพิ่มความไวของการตรวจคัดกรองได้สูงขึ้นอย่างชัดเจน

ลุฬาลงกรณมหารีทยาลัย สาขาวิชา.... การพัฒนาสุขภาพ.....ลายมือชื่อ......

ปีการศึกษา.......2552.....ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก..../ว......

5175054830 : MAJOR HEALTH DEVELOPMENT KEYWORDS : OSTEOPOROSIS / BMI / AGE / THAI

CHAMNAN TANPRASERTKUL : THE COMBINATION OF BODY MASS INDEX AND AGE AS A NEW INDEX FOR IDENTIFYING OSTEOPOROSIS IN THAI POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN

THESIS ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF. KRASEAN PANYAKHAMLERD, M.D. 41 pp.

Objective: To evaluate the application of the combination of body mass index (BMI) and age as a new screening tool to identify osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women

Design: Diagnostic descriptive study

Material and Method : Bone mineral density (BMD) data of Thai postmenopausal women, age 40- 80 years old who attended the outpatient clinic. Thammasat university Hospital, Thailand, between January 2004 and December 2008 were enrolled. The participants with history of metabolic bone disease or use of drugs associated with secondary osteoporosis and/or history of treatment for osteoporosis were excluded. Each had BMD records of lumbar spines. femoral neck and intertrochanter. The data were completely collected in all 372 women. A diagnosis of osteoporosis made according to WHO criteria.

Results: The prevalence of osteoporosis at lumbar spines, femoral neck and intertrochanter were 8.1 %, 20.2 % and 15.3 % respectively. The combination of BMI and age as the index to detect osteoporosis had a sensitivity at 76.67%, 76% and 77.19%, respectively. The OSTA index at the standard cut-off point of -1 had sensitivity at 80%, 70.67% and 70.17%, respectively. Raising the cut-off point to ≤ 0 would had a sensitivity at 90%, 85.33% and 78.95%. respectively.

Conclusion: The application of the combination of BMI and age as a screening tool is another option to identify osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women. Change the cut-off point of Source of the sensitivity.

 Field of Study :
 Health Development
 Student's Signature

 Academic Year :
 2009
 Advisor's Signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my thesis advisor, Assoc. Prof. Krasean Panyakhamlerd for his invaluable help and constant encouragement throughout the course of this research. He also gave a good advice and had been a guidance of this thesis since the research had been started until completely finished.

I am grateful for all of staff at Thai CERTS consortium for their helpful in providing facilities and support for my thesis. In addition, I would like to special thank for Assoc. Prof. Paskorn Sritipsukko for his kindly suggestion and Assist. Prof. Junya Pattara-Archachai for her help in statistical analysis.

Finally, I most gratefully acknowledge my family and my colleges for all their support throughout the period of this research.

CONTENTS

PAGE

ABSTRACT (ГНАІ)	IV
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	V
ACKNOWLED	DGEMENT <mark>S</mark>	VI
CONTENTS		VII
LIST OF TAB	LES	IX
LIST OF FIGL	JRES	Х
CHAPTER I:		1
	1.1 Background and Rationale	1
	1.2 Research question	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
CHAPTER II:	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Clinical features	4
	2.2 Bone mineral density definition	4
	2.3 Method of BMD measurement	5
	2.4 Review of related literatures	5
CHAPTER III:	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	8
	3.1 Research hypotheses	8
	3.2 Conceptual framework	8
	3.3. Assumption	9
	3.4 Key words	9
	3.5 Operational definition	9
	3.6 Research design	10
	3.7 Sample size calculation	10
	3.8 Target and sample population	11
	3.9 Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria	11
	3.10 Data collection	11

PAGE

3.11 Data and statistic analysis	12
3.12 Ethical consideration	13
3.13 Limitation	14
CHAPTER IV: RESULTS	15
4.1 Part 1: Characteristics of the subjects	15
4.2 Part 2: The prevalence and characteristics of women who had	
osteoporosis	16
4.3 Part 3: The correlation of the BMD , T –score and other	
variables	19
4.4 Part 4: The statistically analysis model for the diagnostic	
performance of the new index and the OSTA index	23
CHAPTER V: SUMMARY, DISCUSSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	30
5.1 Summary of the study	30
5.2 Discussion	31
5.3 Conclusions	32
5.4 Imp <mark>lications</mark>	33
5.5 Suggestions for further studies	33
REFERENCES	35
APPENDICES	38
Appendix A Case Record Form	39
Appendix B Ethic Board Review	40
VITAE	41

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
Table 1	Characteristics of the studied women	15
Table 2	Comparison of characteristics between non-osteoporotic women	
	and those women who having at least one of osteoporotic	
	sites	16
Table 3	Characteristics of women who are having osteoporosis	18
Table 4	The prevalence of osteoporosis at each measurement sites in OSTA	
	risk categories	21
Table 5	Diagnostic performances of OSTA index and the new index for	
	identifying osteoporosis in Thai menopausal women	26

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES		PAGE
Figure 1	The comparison between the prevalence of women who had normal	
	bone mass , osteopenia and osteoporosis at lumbar spines when	
	using Thai BMD reference	17
Figure 2	The comparison between the prevalence of women who had normal	
	bone mass, osteopenia and osteoporosis at femoral neck when	
	using Thai BMD reference	17
Figure 3	The comparison between the prevalence of women who had normal	
	bone mass , osteopenia and osteoporosis at intertrochanter when	
	using Thai BMD reference	17
Figure 4	The scatter plots showed correlation between BMD of three measured	
	sites and age	19
Figure 5	The co <mark>rrelation between mean T score with 95%</mark> Cl of three measured	
	sites and age group	20
Figure 6	The correlation between BMD of three measured sites and age	
	with BMI	21
Figure 7	Logistic regression model for new index and the selected cut- off point	
	for optimal diagnostic performances of the new index at lumbar	
	spines	23
Figure 8	The results of coordinates of the ROC Curves and the selected cut-	
	off point for optimal diagnostic performances of the new index at	
	femoral neck, intertrochanter and one of three sites	25
Figure 9	Comparison of ROC curves between the OSTA index and the new	
	index for identifying women who having osteoporosis	27

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale

Osteoporosis is a common disease that is characterized by low bone mass with microarchitectural disruption and skeletal fragility, resulting in an increased risk of fracture, particularly at the spine, hip, wrist, humerus, and pelvis [1]. The number of osteoporotic fractures is certain to increase in both men and women as a result of the aging population. The age- incidence increases exponentially with age, this burden occurs in many region of the world, including Asia resulting the greatest morbidity and mortality. Disabilities from osteoporotic fractures give rise to the highest direct costs for health services [2].

According to the report of a WHO Study Group meeting on Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis (2004), osteoporosis has been recognized as an established and well-defined disease that affects more than 75 million people in the United States, Europe and Japan[3,4]. Osteoporosis causes more than 8.9 million fractures annually worldwide, of which more than 4.5 million occur in the Americas and Europe. The lifetime risk for a wrist, hip or vertebral fracture has been estimated to be in the order of 30% to 40% in developed countries – in other words, very close to that for coronary heart disease. Osteoporosis is not only a major cause of fractures, it also ranks high among diseases that cause people to become bedridden with serious complications. These complications may be life threatening in elderly people. Osteoporotic fractures account for 2.8 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) annually in the United States and Europe, somewhat more than account for approximately 1% of the DALYs attributable to non-communicable diseases [3].

In Thailand situation, Limpaphayom K, et al reported the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis that the age-specific prevalence of osteoporosis among Thai women rose progressively with increasing age to more than 50% after the age of 70. The age-adjusted prevalence of osteoporosis also rose progressively. It was 19.8%, 13.6%, and 10% for lumbar spines, femoral neck, and intertrochanter respectively [5].

World Health Organization (WHO) established a classification of bone mineral density (BMD) according the standard deviation difference between a patient's BMD and that of a young-adult reference population. This value is now commonly expressed as a "T-score." A T-score that is equal to or less than -2.5 is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis; a T-score between -1.0 and -2.5 is classified as low bone mass (osteopenia); and a T-score of -1.0 or higher is normal. The gold standard for measuring bone mineral density (BMD) is dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) [1, 6, 7]. The major disadvantages of DXA are that the machine is large, not portable and more expensive than most peripheral technologies. In clinical practice in Thailand, DXA is the technology used for diagnostic classification, however the normogram of BMD has not been standardized and applied to general practice. Moreover, there were estimated only 50 DXA machines all over the country. Most of them locate only in hospitals in Bangkok and large cities such as university hospitals.

Since the mid 1990s, a number of Clinical risk indexes (CRIs) are designed to assist clinicians in identifying women with low bone mass . Osteoporosis Selfassessment Tool for Asians (OSTA), one of CRIs which is wildly accepted index using chart or formula to predict low BMD simply on the basis of age and weight. It was firstly proposed by Koh LK, which had a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 45% in identifying women of high risk when compared with final results of femoral neck BMD measurement in Asia women [8]. However, the performance of this index in Thai postmenopausal women had lower sensitivity than original report, especially in prediction of lumbar spine osteoporosis [9-11].

Low body mass index (BMI) is a well-documented risk factor for future fracture, largely independent of age and sex [12-16]. Meta -analysis study of 14 prospective

population-based cohorts demonstrated that the age-adjusted risk for any type of fracture increased significantly with lower BMI. The authors strongly recommended the use of this risk factor in case-finding strategies. Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the application of the combination of BMI and age as the new index to identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women.

1.2 Research question

Could the combination of BMI and age as a new index be the new screening tool for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women, with better diagnostic performances than the OSTA index?

1.3 Research objectives

- To develop a new index, the combination of BMI and age, as the screening tool for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women.
- To compare the diagnostic performances of a new index, the combination of BMI and age, with the OSTA index.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

Osteoporosis has been defined as "a loss of bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of the skeletal ton leading to increased risk of fracture" [17]. The majority of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis have bone loss related to estrogen deficiency and theirs advanced age. The initial evaluation includes a history to assess for clinical risk factors for fracture and to evaluate for other conditions that contribute to bone loss, a physical examination, and basic laboratory tests. There are many coexisting medical conditions that contribute to bone loss. Evaluation for alternative causes of bone loss to detect potentially reversible causes should be considered in those with abnormal initial findings. Early diagnosis and quantification of bone loss and fracture risk have become more important because of the availability of therapies that can slow or even reverse the progression of osteoporosis.

2.1 Clinical features

Osteoporosis has no clinical manifestations until there is a fracture. This illness is called the "silent disease" because it can appear without warning signs or symptoms. Many patients with bone pain or achy hips are unlikely to cause from bone loss until the fracture occurs.

Decreased bone strength is related to many factors other than bone mineral density, including rates of bone formation and resorption (turnover), bone geometry (size and shape of bone), and microarchitecture . Assessment of microarchitecture requires bone biopsy, which is not routinely used in clinical practice. Therefore, bone mineral density (BMD) assessment is the gold standard to diagnose osteoporosis.

2.2 Bone mineral density definition

The World Health Organization (WHO) established a classification of BMD according the standard deviation (SD) difference between a patient's BMD and that of a young-adult reference population (T-score) [6, 7]. A BMD T-score that is 2.5 standard deviations (SD) or more below the young-adult mean BMD is defined as osteoporosis, provided that other causes of low BMD have been ruled out (such as osteomalacia). A T-score that is 1 to 2.5 SD below the young-adult mean is termed osteopenia or low

bone mass. Normal bone density is defined as a value within one standard deviation of the mean value in the young adult reference population

2.3 Method of BMD measurement — Several different methods are available to measure bone density. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) gives an accurate and precise estimate of bone mineral density (BMD). Thus, in clinical practice DXA is the technology used for diagnostic classification.

Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the most widely used method for measuring BMD because it gives very precise measurements at clinically relevant skeletal sites. The major disadvantages of DXA are that the machine is large (not portable) and expensive, and that it uses ionizing radiation. Although overall fracture risk can be predicted by measurement or estimation of BMD at many skeletal sites [18], the risk for fracture at a particular skeletal site is best estimated by measuring BMD at that skeletal site [19-20]. Since hip fracture is often associated with significant morbidity and mortality compared with other fractures, DXA of the hip is generally regarded as the best site for diagnosis of osteoporosis. In contrast, the lumbar spine is often considered the best skeletal site to monitor because it shows less variability and can detect responses to therapy earlier than hip BMD.

2.4 Review of related literatures

In 2001 Limpaphayom K, et al [5] reported the descriptive study of 1,935 Thai women by using the Thai BMD reference. The age-specific prevalence of osteoporosis among Thai women rose progressively with increasing age to more than 50% after the age of 70. The age-adjusted prevalence of osteoporosis also rose progressively. It was 19.8%, 13.6%, and 10% for lumbar spines, femoral neck, and intertrochanter. The authors suggested that using a Western BMD reference resulted in a misleadingly high prevalence of osteoporosis in the population of Asian countries.

In 2001 Koh LK, et al [8] purposed the OSTA index. Using data from 860 postmenopausal Asian women in 8 countries and evaluated the ability of these risk factors to identify women with osteoporosis as defined by femoral neck BMD. A final tool based on only age and body weight. This risk index had a sensitivity of 91% and specificity of 45%, with an area under the curve of 0.79. The authors validated the index in Japanese women. With cut-off of -1, the sensitivity was 98% and specificity was

29%. In the low-risk group whom represented 25% of all women, BMD is probably unnecessary. Using the OSTA index as the risk categories for Asian women . The cutoff of more than –1 was considered as the low risk, cut-off of –4 to –1 was considered as the intermediate risk and cut-off of less than –4 was considered as the high risk. BMD measurement should be avoided in the low risk group , however in the high risk group , diagnostic BMD measurement is strongly recommended . For the intermediate risk group , the other clinical risk factors should be considered and the availability of equipment , the cost for investigation must also be weighted and analyzed.

In 2004 Pongchaiyakul C, et al [21] reported the modified OSTA index in Thai menopausal women, by adjusting for age and bodyweight. This score had higher of sensitivity and specificity than OSTA. The authors renamed this index as Khon Kaen Osteoporosis Study Scores (KKOS Score).

In 2004 Geater S, et al [9] validated the properties of OSTA index as a screening tool among 380 postmenopausal women in southern Thailand. 31% of the women were detected as having osteoporosis, comprising neck of the femur (12 %) and lumbar spines (31 %). OSTA index at the standard cut-off point of < -1 had a sensitivity and specificity of 0.93 (95% CI:0.82 - 0.99) and 0.61 (95% CI: 0.56-0.66) respectively for neck of the femur but only 0.80 (95% CI: 0.72-0.87) and 0.70 (95% CI: 0.64-0.75) respectively for lumbar spines. Raising the cut-off point to < 0 could reduced the high false negative rate in prediction of lumbar spine osteoporosis, the authors suggested the cut-off point of < 0 for the lumbar spines may be more appropriate.

In 2005 De Laet C, et al [12] reported the meta-analysis study from 14 prospective population-based cohorts . The age-adjusted risk for any type of fracture increased significantly with lower BMI. Overall, the risk ratio (RR) per unit higher BMI was 0.98 (95% CI, 0.97–0.99) for any fracture, 0.97 (95% CI, 0.96–0.98) for osteoporotic fracture and 0.93 (95% CI, 0.91–0.94) for hip fracture (all p <0.001). The gradient of fracture risk without adjustment for BMD was not linearly distributed across values for BMI. Instead, the contribution to fracture risk was much more marked at low values of BMI than at values above the median. This nonlinear relation of risk with BMI was most evident for hip fracture risk. When compared with a BMI of 25 kg/m², a BMI of 20 kg/m² was associated with a nearly twofold increase in risk ratio (RR=1.95; 95% CI, 1.71–2.22)

for hip fracture. In contrast, a BMI of 30 kg/m², when compared with a BMI of 25 kg/m², was associated with only a 17% reduction in hip fracture risk (RR=0.83; 95% CI, 0.69– 0.99). The authors concluded that low BMI confers a risk of substantial importance for all fractures that is largely independent of age and sex and stated the significance of BMI as a risk factor in case-finding strategies.

In 2007 Jarupanich T [22] reported the descriptive study for the prevalence of osteoporosis of lumbar spines and femoral neck was significantly higher in the late group of menopause (> 5 years since menopause) than in the early group of menopause . Osteoporosis at the lumbar spine was present in 1% of pre-menopause, 5.7% in the early group of menopause, and 10% in the late group of menopause. While osteoporosis at the femoral neck was present in 0.1% of pre-menopause, 0% in the early group of menopause, and 0.6% in the late group of menopause. Low body mass index, non-hormone intake, early and late group of menopause were highly significant correlated with osteoporosis.

In 2007 Pongchaiyakul C, et al [23] reported the diagnostic performance of clinical risk indices combined with quantitative ultra-sound calcaneus measurement (QUS) for identifying osteoporosis in 300 Thai postmenopausal women. Using DXA as the gold standard, the sensitivity of QUS to identify osteoporosis was lower than the sensitivity of OSTA/KKOS (60 vs. 71/74%) but the specificity and PPV of QUS were higher than OSTA/KKOS. The sensitivity increased when using OSTA/KKOS combined with QUS to identify osteoporosis (87-89%) while the specificity, PPV and NPV were comparable with using clinical risk indices alone. The authors concluded that using the clinical risk indices combined with QUS could improve the accuracy of screening for osteoporosis.

7

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research hypotheses

The combination of BMI and age as a new index could be the new screening tool for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women, with better diagnostic performances than the OSTA index.

Statistical Hypothesis

H₀:	ROC AUC of new index	=	ROC AUC of	OSTA index
H,:	ROC AUC of new index	≠	ROC AUC of	OSTA index

3.2 Conceptual framework



3.3. Assumption

- Use OSTA index, base on data from different country, to evaluate risk of osteoporosis in Thai menopausal women might not had high sensitivity as the original study.
- BMI is one of the major factor affect risk of osteoporosis, using BMI in combination with age as the new index could increase sensitivity and accuracy of screening for osteoporosis in post menopausal women.

3.4 Key words

Osteoporosis, BMI, Age, Thai

3.5 Operational definition

Osteoporosis :	Bone mineral density (BMD) by dual energy X-ray			
	absorptiometry (DXA) that are equal to or less than 0.682,			
	0.569 and 0.769 g/cm ² at lumbar spines , femoral neck and			
	intertrochanter, respectively [5].			
Osteopenia :	Bone mineral density (BMD) by dual energy X-ray			
	absorptiometry (DXA) that are between 0.682-0.847, 0.569 -			
	0.716 and 0.769 - 0.940 g/cm ² at lumbar spines , femoral			
	neck and intertrochanter, respectively [5].			
OSTA index :	OSTA score = 0.2 [Body Weight (kg) – Age (years)]			
BMI :	Body mass index calculated as bodyweight (kg) / Height			
	(meter) ²			
Menopause :	The time when there has been no menstrual periods for 12			
	consecutive months and no other biological or physiological			
	cause can be identified			
Age (years) :	Patient's age at last birthday			

3.6 Research design

A diagnostic descriptive study with retrospective data collection

3.7 Sample size calculation

Since the research objective includes determination of sensitivity of new index , the sample size is calculated based on confidence interval approach.

The sensitivity of the model from previous studies is used for sample size calculation. From the study of Koh LK, et al [8] the sensitivity of OSTA index was 91%. By using these values, the sample size is calculated.

= Estimated sensitivity of the model
= Margin of error in estimating p = .08
= probability of type 1 error = 0.05 (two-tailed)
= 1.96

For the sensitivity of the new index

n =
$$(1.96)^2 (0.91) (0.09)$$

(0.08)²
= 49.16

Therefore, the number of women who have osteoporosis is at least 49.16. The estimation of total number of women is based on prevalence. Since the prevalence of osteoporosis at femoral neck in Thailand is 13.6% [5], total number of patients should be:



Therefore, the minimum number of patients in the study is 362

3.8 Target population

Postmenopausal women, age 40-80 years old.

Sample population

Postmenopausal women , age 40- 80 years old who attended department of Obstetrics - Gynecology and department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine , Thammasat University during 10 January 2004 - 31 December 2008 and meet the following eligible criteria .

3.9 Inclusion criteria

- must be naturally postmenopausal at least 1 year since last menstruation , between the age 40 -80 years
- have the records of bone DEXA scan reported as T-score at lumbar spines , femoral neck and intertrochanter

Exclusion criteria

- a history or evidence of metabolic bone disorders (other than postmenopausal bone loss)
- presence of cancer(s) with known metastasis to bone
- menopause before the age of 40 years
- have at least one ovary removed
- a history of taking medications affecting calcium and bone metabolism, such as steroids, thyroid hormone, bisphosphonates, fluoride or calcitonin at least 6 months before measurement of BMD.

3.10 Data collection

The Constructed case record form was generated for each patient to keep the clinical data, with these following data.

1. Demographic data : age, height ,weight

2. Bone mineral density (g/cm^2) at lumbar spines , femoral neck and intertrochanter measured by DXA using a Hologic discovery (Hologic , MA, USA) densitometer.

DXA measures bone mineral content (BMC, in grams) and bone area (BA, in square centimeters), then calculates "areal" BMD in g/cm² by dividing BMC by BA. T-score, the value used for diagnosis of osteoporosis, is calculated by subtracting the mean BMD of a young-adult reference population from the patient's BMD and dividing by the standard deviation (SD) of young-adult population. Z-score, used to compare the patient's BMD to a population of peers, is calculated by subtracting the mean BMD of an age, ethnicity, and sex-matched reference population from the patient's BMD and dividing by the SD of the reference population.

Height and weight were measured on the same day of bone mineral density measurement, and recorded as centimeters and kilograms respectively.

3.11 Data and statistic analysis

Descriptive statistics was used to describe study subjects' characteristics. The analysis was performed to identify the relationship of characteristics; BMI, age of women with osteoporosis, defined by BMD reference values for lumbar spines , femoral neck and intertrochanter were equal to or less than 0.682, 0.569 and 0.769 g/cm², respectively[5]. Multivariate logistic regression model was constructed as the osteoporotic case was a dependent variable, then the regression coefficients for each variable was calculated and converted to the simplified formula as the new index.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated, and the area under the curve (AUC) was also inspected to evaluate the most optimal cut- off value. The optimal cut-off point was selected base on ROC curve analysis. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were calculated on the 2 x 2 table of the collected data. The concordance between the new index and the actual BMD-based classification (by DXA) were summarized by a 2x2 table, to calculate the concordance, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) . Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of osteoporotic individuals who are identified as "high risk" by the new index and BMD or T-score < -2.5 SD. Specificity was the proportion of non-osteoporosis individuals who were identified by the new index as "low risk" and BMD or T-score > -2.5 SD. PPV was the probability that an individual with a "high risk" by new index and BMD or T-score < -2.5 SD indeed had osteoporosis. NPV was the probability that an individual with a "high risk" by new index and BMD or T-score < -2.5 SD indeed had osteoporosis. NPV was the probability that an individual with a "low risk" by new index and BMD or T-score > -2.5 SD indeed had non-osteoporosis. The association between osteoporosis defined by DXA (outcome) and (predictor) was assessed, in which the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) was presented. In addition, areas under the ROC curve (AUC) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was calculated for OSTA index, to compare with the new index. The statistical significance of differences between each AUC was also determined. P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

3.12 Ethical consideration

The study was conducted in gynecologic clinic and radiologic clinic, Thammasat University Hospital . The protocol was reviewed by the ethics committee of Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University and Thammasat University Hospital.

The investigator was kept the confidentiality on the subject's information. The data was analyzed and reported in general. For the purpose of confidentiality, research information was accessible only to the authorized persons who involved in this study. The subjects information was recorded as necessary and enough for the result analysis of this study. There was no records of the subjects' names or other identifying information (such as patient number or hospital number) in the content case record form. However, for the purpose of maintaining a link between the data and the subjects' identities throughout study, the investigators coded data with a unique study code and kept the master list in a secured location separate from the data. The investigators destroyed identifiers or master list as soon as the data had been final analyzed.

13

3.13 Limitation

This study had some limitations to the generalizability and quality of data. The studied population had baseline demographic characteristics different from the others . General application of the results had been restricted.



CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

4.1 PART 1 ; Patient Characteristics

Data were obtained for 372 women as shown in table 1 . Mean age was 59.99 years (SD 9.41, range 40 to 80 years), average bodyweight was 58.57 kg (SD 9.93, range 31 to 98 kg), mean height 153.02 cm (SD 5.93, range 135 to 182 cm). In table 2, compared with the non-osteoporosis group, women with at least one of three osteoporotic sites had significantly higher mean age, lower mean bodyweight and lower mean height (p value for all comparisons < 0.001). They also had a significantly lower body mass index (p = 0.003).

	n = :	372	Range
(TREASED OF	(mear	n <u>+</u> SD)	(min – max)
Age (years)	59.99 <u>+</u>	9.41	40-80
Bodyweight (kg)	58.51 <u>+</u>	9.93	31-98
Height (cm)	153.02 <u>+</u>	5.93	135-182
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.98 <u>+</u>	3.97	14.47- 40.97
Age of menopause(years)	47.53 <u>+</u>	5.90	41-62
BMD of lumbar spines (g/cm ²)	0.88 <u>+</u>	0.15	0.34-1.41
BMD of femoral neck (g/cm ²)	0.65 <u>+</u>	0.12	0.14-1.06
BMD of intertrochanter(g/cm ²)	0.91 <u>+</u>	0.16	0.80-1.32

Table 1 . Characteristics of the studied women

BMI, body mass index; BMD , bone mineral density

	Non-	Osteoporosis *	P value	Mean	95% CI
	osteoporosis			difference	
	(n = 271)	(n = 101)			
Age (years)	58.10 <u>+</u> 8.75	65.11 <u>+</u> 9.24	< 0.001	-7.01	(-9.05,-4.87)
Weight (kg)	60.13 <u>+</u> 9.92	54.13 <u>+</u> 8.60	< 0.001	6.00	(3.81 ,8.20)
Height (cm)	154.03 <u>+</u> 5.74	150.28 <u>+</u> 5.59	< 0.001	3.75	(2.44,5.06)
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.36 <u>+</u> 4.06	23.96 <u>+</u> 3.55	0.003	1.39	(1.04,1.83)
Age of	<mark>47.24<u>+</u>6.26</mark>	48.26 <u>+</u> 4.82	0.151	-1.03	(-2.43,0.38)
menopause					
(years)					

Table 2. Comparison of characteristics between non- osteoporotic women and those women who having at least one of osteoporotic sites

Data are mean <u>+</u> SD

*based on at least one of osteoporotic sites

4.2 PART 2: The prevalence and characteristics of women who had osteoporosis

The figure 1-3 demonstrated the prevalence of women who had normal bone mass, osteopenia and osteoporosis at each site of bone measurement. When using the Thai BMD reference values , the prevalence of osteoporosis at lumbar spines, femoral neck and intertrochanter were 8.1 %, 20.2 % and 15.3 %, respectively. The prevalence of osteopenia at lumbar spines, femoral neck and intertrochanter were 32.3 %, 51.3 % and 43.5 %, respectively.



Figure 1. The comparison between the prevalence of women who had normal bone mass , osteopenia and osteoporosis at lumbar spines when using Thai BMD reference





Figure 3. The comparison between the prevalence of women who had normal bone mass , osteopenia and osteoporosis at intertrochanter when using Thai BMD reference



	Lumbar spines	Femoral neck	Intertrochanter
Prevalence of osteoporosis	30 (8.1%)	75 (20.2%)	57 (15.3 %)
Age (years)	67.50 <u>+</u> 8.36	65.61 <u>+</u> 8.79	66.24 <u>+</u> 9.23
Bodyweight(kg)	52.33 <u>+</u> 9.46	52.99 <u>+</u> 8.07	53.47 <u>+</u> 8.77
Height (cm)	148.97 <u>+</u> 5.18	149.78 <u>+</u> 5.26	149.87 <u>+</u> 5.94
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.57 <u>+</u> 4.02	23.62 <u>+</u> 3.38	23.79 <u>+</u> 3.55
Bone mass density (g/cm ²)	0.59 <u>+</u> .082	0.74 <u>+</u> 0.13	0.74 <u>+</u> .14
Age of menopause (years)	47.86 <u>+</u> 3.64	48.93 <u>+</u> 4.34	48.62 <u>+</u> 4.62

Table 3 . Characteristics of women who are having osteoporosis

Data are mean<u>+</u> SD or n (%)

The prevalence of osteoporosis at lumbar spines, femoral neck and of femur were 8.1 %, 20.2 % and 15.3 % respectively. The baseline characteristics; mean age ,bodyweight height and body mass index, of postmenopausal women who having osteoporosis at each site (lumbar spines, femoral neck, intertrochanter) were similar as demonstrated in table 3.



4.3 PART 3 : The correlation of the BMD , T -score and other variables

Figure 4. The scatter plots showed correlation between BMD of three measured sites and age .



Figure 5. The correlation between mean T score with 95%Cl of three measured sites and age group

Figure 4, 5 showed that BMD of all 3 sites were decreasing with advanced age of menopause. Mean T score with 95%CI were also decline as the more advanced age groups.

When OSTA index was calculated and categorized as the previous recommendation. The prevalence of osteoporosis at each measurement sites in OSTA risk categories showed in table 4. In the low risk group, the prevalence were 2.6%, 9.5%, 7.3% and 14.7% at lumbar spines, femoral neck, intertrochanter and one of three sites, respectively. The prevalence in high risk group were much ore higher than the others; 25.7%, 54.3%, 48.6% and 68.6% at lumbar spines, femoral neck, intertrochanter and one of three sites, respectively.

	Low risk	Intermediate risk	High risk
Lumbar spines	6	15	9
	2.6%	14%	25.7%
Femoral neck	22	34	19
	9.5%	31.8%	54.3%
Intertrochanter	17	23	17
	7.3%	21.5%	48.6%
One of 3 sites	34	43	24
	14.7%	40.2%	68.6%

Table 4 . The prevalence of osteoporosis at each measurement sites in OSTA risk categories

Data were expressed as number and percentage in each column

OSTA index >-1 is classified as having low risk of osteoporosis, -1 to -4 as intermediate risk and, <-4 as high risk.









4.4 PART 4 : The statistically analysis model for the diagnostic performance of the new index and the OSTA index

Figure 7. Logistic regression model for new index and the selected cut- off point for optimal diagnostic performances of the new index at lumbar spines

Variab	les in the Equation	on					
		B S	S.E.	p-value	Exp(B)	95.0% C.	l.for EXP
					• • •	Lower	Upper
Step 1(a)	age	0.0996	0.0222	0.0000	1.1047	1.0578	1.1537
	BMI	-0.1459	0.0586	0.0128	0.8642	0.7704	0.9694
	Constant	-5.2084	1.8799	0.0056	0.0055		
Simplify a	and convert coe	fficients to the	New inde	ex formula	= 0.15* B	MI – 0.1 A	GE
AUC result		Std.					
Variable(s)	Area	Error(a)	p-value	Asymptot Lower Bo	ic 95% Co und	nfidence Ir Upper Bo	nterval und
predict lumba	0.775	0.040	0.000		0.696	0.855	
correct osta	0.796	0.039	0.000		0.719	0.872	
	Sensitive 2 - 0.0						
	0.0 0.	1 - Specificity	Y 1.0				
	Diag	onal segments are	produced by	ties.			
Test Result Var	riable(s) at lumb	oar spines			_		
	predict_lumba		2.67	cor	rect_osta		
Positive if Great	er		Positive	if			
Than or Equal	Constitute	on o olfination	Greater	I han	on oldi ultu	- an c sifi -	
10(a)	Sensitivity	specification	or Equal	10(a) S		specifica	
1.		0.26		-1.81	0.97		0.21
	04 0.93	0.32	00	-0.28	0.90		$\cap 11$
				11 1 1	11.077		0.44
2	86 0.90	0.40		0.12	0.87		0.44
2.	86 0.90 38 0.80 45 0.77	0.40		0.12 1.01 1.15	0.87		0.44 0.53 <mark>0.66</mark>

		pre	dict_lumba	1019/14		correct_osta	
	Positive if Grea	ater			Positive if		
	Than or Equal				Greater Than		
	To(a)		Sensitivity	specification	or Equal To(a)	Sensitivity	specification
	1	1.51	0.97	0.26	-1.81	0.97	0.21
0		1.64	0.93	0.32	-0.28	0.90	0.44
Y		1.86	0.90	0.40	0.12	0.87	0.53
	2	2.38	0.80	0.61	1.01	0.80	0.66
	2	2.45	0.77	0.63	1.15	0.77	0.66
	2	2.59	0.77	0.67	1.47	0.70	0.71
		2.66	0.73	0.71	2.28	0.67	0.80
		2.70	0.70	0.73	2.57	0.60	0.82

The flow for searching the sensitivity and specificity of the new index was demonstrated in figure 7. First, the logistic regression model was constructed as the osteoporotic case was a dependent variable, then the regression coefficients for BMI and age variables were converted to the formula as the new index. Then the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated, and the area under the curve (AUC) was inspected to evaluate the most optimal cut-off value. At lumbar spines, the cut-off point at 2.59 was selected with the sensitivity, specificity were 77% and 67% repectively , Moreover , The ROC curve was calculated for OSTA index, to compare with the new index. The recommended cut-off point at -1 was selected to get the sensitivity, specificity .

The results of coordinates of the ROC Curves and the cut- off point at 1.3, 2.2 and 2.1 were selected for optimal diagnostic performances of the new index at femoral neck, intertrochanter and one of three sites respectively (Figure 8).

Figure 8. The results of coordinates of the ROC Curves and the selected cut- off point for optimal diagnostic performances of the new index at femoral neck , intertrochanter and one of three sites

Coordinates of the ROC at femoral neck

Test Re	esult Variable(s)					
	predict_FN			correc	t_osta	
Positive if Greater						
Than or Equal			Positive if Grea	ater Than or		
To(a)	Sensitivity	specification	Equal To(a)		Sensitivity	specification
0.739	0.920	0.351		-0.01	0.85	0.57
0.817	0.907	0.398		0.44	0.80	0.64
1.100	0.853	0.512		0.77	0.72	0.67
1.333	0.767	0.612		0.93	0.71	0.69
1.398	0.707	0.635		1.02	0.70	0.70
1.607	0.640	0.729		1.28	0.65	0.73
1.693	0.613	0.766		1.59	0.61	0.77

Coordinates of the ROC at intertrochanter

Test Result Variat	Die(S)				
	predict_inter	Straille	cc	orrect_osta	
Positive if		(146 (-)122) A	Positive if Greater		
Greater Than or			Than or Equal		
Equal To(a)	Sensit <mark>iv</mark> ity	specification	To(a)	Sensitivity	specification
1.665	0.912	0.388	-0.730	0.912	0.401
1.999	0.860	0.549	-0.280	0.860	0.467
2.073	0.807	0.574	-0.090	0.807	0.524
2.210	0.772	0.615	0.150	0.754	0.555
2.305	0.667	0.653	1.030	0.702	0.678
2.428	0.649	0.697	1.330	0.667	0.719
2.473	0.614	0.732	1.620	0.614	0.757

Coordinates of the ROC of one of three sites

Test Result Variable(s)

0.010	predict_osc		CC	orrect_osta	
Positive if Greater Than or		EIVI3	Positive if Greater Than or Equal		
Equal To(a)	Sensitivity	specification	To(a)	Sensitivity	specification
1.916	0.822	0.560	-0.030	0.802	0.586
2.081	0.752	0.634	0.440	0.752	0.663
2.187	0.703	0.674	0.730	0.703	0.700
2.303	0.653	0.707	1.190	0.663	0.720
2.437	0.604	0.766	1.390	0.604	0.777

	Lumbar spine	Femoral neck	Intertrochanter	At least one of
				osteoporotic sites
-OSTA at -1				
Sensitivity	80.00	70.67	70.17	66.33
Specificity	65.70	70.24	67.83	72.53
PPV	16.90	37.72	28.16	47.18
NPV	97.41	90.51	92.67	85.34
-OSTA at 0				
Sensitivity	90.00	85.33	78.95	79.20
Specificity	5 <mark>2.6</mark> 2	57.86	54.26	59.71
PPV	14.21	33.68	23.68	42.10
NPV	98 <mark>.3</mark> 6	94.02	93.47	88.58
AUC	0.79(<mark>0.7</mark> 2-0.87)*	0.78(0.73-0.84)*	0.76(0.68-0.83)*	0.77(0.72-0.82)*
-New index				
Sensitivity	7 <mark>6.</mark> 67	76.00	77.19	75.25
Specificity	66.57	61.20	61.52	63.37
PPV	16.67	32.94	26.50	43.18
NPV	97.03	91.04	93.75	87.37
AUC	0.78(0.69-0.85)*	0.76 (0.69-0.81)*	0.76(0.69-0.83)*	0.75(0.70-0.81)*

Table 5. Diagnostic performances of OSTA index and the new index for identifying osteoporosis in Thai menopausal women

PPV; Positive predictive value , NPV ; Negative predictive value, AUC ; Area under curve *(95% confidence interval)

Figure 9 . Comparison of ROC curves between the OSTA index and the new index for identifying women who having osteoporosis







Comparison of ROC curves between the OSTA index and the new index for identifying women who having osteoporosis was demonstrated in Figure 1. AUC with 95% of confidence interval of all three osteoporotic sites, including osteoporosis at least one site were not different. In table 4, the diagnostic performances of OSTA index and the new index for identifying osteoporosis in Thai menopausal women were compared. The OSTA index , cut-off point at -1 , had a higher sensitivity (80 % vs. 76.67%) and specificity (65.70% vs. 66.57%) with AUC of 0.79 when compared with new index in detecting women with osteoporosis at lumbar spines . However, it had a lower sensitivity (70.67 % vs. 76% ,70.17% vs. 77.19%) in detecting women with osteoporosis at femoral neck and intertrochanter, respectively . With raising the cut-off point at 0, the OSTA index had a higher sensitivity at (90% vs. 76.67% , 85.33% vs. 76% and 78.95% vs. 77.19% at lumbar spines, femoral neck and intertrochanter, respectively compared with the new index .

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, DISCUSSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of the study

The purpose of this study was to compare the combination of BMI and age as a new index with the OSTA index for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women. The diagnostic performances (e.g. sensitivity and specificity) and ROC with area under the curve of both index were determined .

Three hundred and seventy two Thai postmenopausal women with mean age was 59.99 years who had BMD records at outpatient clinic were recruited . Three skeletal sites ; lumbar spines , femoral neck and intertrochanter were measured .

Compared with the non-osteoporosis group, women with at least one of three osteoporotic sites had significantly higher mean age, lower mean bodyweight and lower mean height .They also had a significantly lower body mass index .

The prevalence of osteoporosis at lumbar spines, femoral neck and of femur were 8.1 %, 20.2 % and 15.3 %, respectively when using the Thai BMD reference values. However, when using T-score as the reference points, the prevalence of women who had osteoporosis were different ; 12.9%, 12.6%, 4.6 % at, respectively. The pattern of these discrepancies were also the same for the prevalence of osteopenia or normal bone mass.

The new index ; combination of BMI and age was calculated by coefficient of logistic regression model . For each measured site , ROC curve was created and the cut-off point was selected base on the most optimal diagnostic performances ,sensitivity and specificity . Also, ROC curves of OSTA index for each measured site were created and compared . Finally , AUC with 95% of confidence interval of all three osteoporotic sites , including osteoporosis at least one site were compared and the results were demonstrated.

5.2 Discussion

Although the treatment of osteoporosis is effective, screening for osteoporosis is essential because this silent disease is common and associated with high morbidity and mortality. Also, the healthcare cost of these burdens is great amount. Bone mineral density (BMD) measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the most widely recognized as the standard predictor for fracture occurrence [1]. however examination DXA for in all postmenopausal women is not cost-effective. Because this instrument is not widely available in most developing countries including Thailand . Moreover, it was estimated that there have been only 50 DXA absorptiometer machines all over Thailand and most of them are limited to university or tertiary level hospitals [24].

It is very reasonable to use the clinical risk indices for identifying women with low BMD or high risk fracture individuals before sending them to test their BMD. OSTA index was formulated to predict BMD by using only age and bodyweight. Indeed, the two factors collectively account for 40 to 60 percent variance of BMD in the population [25-In the present study, an another important clinical risk, BMI, was added into 27]. better diagnostic performances as the new index. Our OSTA index to have the findings revealed that using of the combination of age and BMI as the index for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women had high diagnostic performances as well as OSTA index . The original OSTA index , cut-off point at -1 , had a sensitivity of 91 % and specificity of 45% with AUC of 0.79 in detecting women with osteoporosis at femoral neck . The new index, compared to OSTA index , had higher sensitivity at femoral neck (76% vs. 70.67 %), intertrochanter (77.19% vs. 70.17%) but had lower sensitivity for lumbar spines (76.67 vs. 80%). Based on at least one of three osteoporotic sites, the new index has also higher sensitivity (75.25 vs. 66.33%). Therefore, this new index could be have a role in early detection and prevention of hip fracture more than vertebral fractures. Also, the new index could be useful to screen osteoporosis when there are suspected of any osteoporotic sites.

Despite the sensitivity of the new index is the most diagnostic performance that we have concerned, the positive predictive value (PPV) is another important indicator of this new index. PPV would be much higher, particularly in the advanced age group such as 60- 80 years because of the high prevalence of osteoporosis of this age group. This is needed to explore and analyze in more details.

Raising the cut-off point to \leq 0, OSTA index had a very high sensitivity and much more better diagnostic performances in all three osteoporotic sites ; 90%, 85.33% and 78.95% at lumbar spines , femoral neck and intertrochanter , respectively . These could increase negative predictive value and also reduce the high false negative rate in prediction of osteoporosis. Similar to Geater et al study [4] that the authors suggested the cut-off point of \leq 0 for the lumbar spines may be more appropriate . It could be explained that the baseline characteristic of population and the prevalence of disease were different. Most of participants in the OSTA original report were Chinese whose baseline BMD were lower than our sample Thai population . The present study use Thai BMD reference values from Limpaphayom et al study [13] to diagnose osteoporosis, not T- score as the other studies because the most reference values in available DXA machines are Japanese or United states based data. Currently, the normogram of BMD has not been standardized and applied to general practice.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the other clinical risks such as previous fragility fracture, current smoking, alcoholism, history of recurrent falls should also included and analyzed. These should have more accuracy to represent the BMD. Secondly, the precision and reliability of height measurement are the issues to concern, particularly in older postmenopausal women. Because the loss of height is well-known consequence of aging and development of spinal osteoporosis. Thirdly, the accuracy error of DXA in BMD measurement have ranged from 2-4 %. The precision depends on both machine, patient and operator –dependent factors. However, for well maintenance system, the machine error is small and long term precision is typical less than 1%. Lastly, this study is retrospective data collection, participants' data might be incomplete and have the information bias.

5.3 Conclusions

In conclusion this new index, compared to the OSTA at recommended cut-off point -1, is superior in ability of predictive osteoporotic sites at femoral neck and

intertrochanter but it is inferior at lumbar spines. Therefore, the application of the combination of BMI and age as a screening tool might be an another option to early detection and prevention of hip fracture in Thai postmenopausal women. Also, our data analysis strongly supported that changing the cut-off point of ≤ 0 , OSTA index could improve the detection of osteoporosis at very high level of the sensitivity.

5.4 Implications

Base on previous studies, BMI was demonstrated that it is the one of most important clinical risk factors that contribute to BMD. However, our analytic diagnostic model demonstrated that BMI combination with age was not superior to OSTA index for screening osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women particularly at lumbar spines. At hip; femoral neck and intertrochanter, the new combination index was higher sensitivity. This new index might be the screening tool for these skeletal areas but there is a necessary to simplify the formula for easiness of implication. In our study, OSTA index has high diagnostic performance but the cut –off point should be adjusted and tailored to each measured sites.

5.5 Suggestions for further studies

• Since there are no standardized normograms of the BMD for the Thai population, normograms is currently used as provided by the manufacturer of the DEXA scanner. Therefore, development of normograms of Thai BMD is urgent and it is needed to be established. This could be done as multicenter study to represent Thai baseline as much as possible.

• Bone mineral density (BMD) measurement by dual energy X-rays absorptiometry (DXA) is accepted as the gold standard predictor of osteoporosis fractures, however it is only a surrogate measurement of osteoporosis. The investigation for the most accuracy of diagnostic test is warranted.

• OSTA index is needed to validated to Thai population and the most optimal cutoff point of OSTA index for each skeletal site should be carefully determined. • The other clinical risk factors such as previous fragility fracture, current smoking , alcoholism , risk of falls should be included and analyzed in the predictive model of osteoporosis. This could be a highly satisfactory screening index and represent more accuracy of BMD .

• The number and proportion of women with osteopenia are contribute at least one -third of all postmenopausal women . This group of patients, the precursor to osteoporosis should be more investigated and explored to have an appropriate diagnostic strategy.

• The study of cost – benefit analysis for this strategy is needed to be investigated . The final outcome or clinical endpoint, if possible should be osteoporotic fracture.

REFERENCES

- Kanis JA. Diagnosis of osteoporosis and assessment of fracture risk. <u>Lancet</u> 2002;359:1929-36.
- [2] Johnson NK, Clifford T, Smith KM. Understanding risk factors, screening, and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. <u>Orthopedics</u> 2008;31:676-80.
- [3] WHO Scientific group on the assessment of osteoporosis at primary health care level. <u>Summary Meeting Report Brussels, Belgium</u>, 5-7 May 2004.
- [4] Kanis JA, Borgstrom F, De Laet C, Johansson H, Johnell O, Jonsson B, et al. Assessment of fracture risk. <u>Osteoporos Int</u> 2005;16:581-9.
- [5] Limpaphayom KK, Taechakraichana N, Jaisamrarn U, Bunyavejchevin S, Chaikittisilpa S, Poshyachinda M, et al. Prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in Thai women. <u>Menopause</u> 2001;8:65-9.
- [6] Watts NB, Lewiecki EM, Miller PD, Baim S. National Osteoporosis Foundation 2008 Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis and the World Health Organization Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX): What They Mean to the Bone Densitometrist and Bone Technologist. J Clin Densitom 2008 ;11:473-7.
- [7] Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy: <u>NIH Consensus Statement</u> 2000; 17: 1-45.
- [8] Koh LK, Sedrine WB, Torralba TP, Kung A, Fujiwara S, Chan SP, et al. A simple tool to identify asian women at increased risk of osteoporosis. <u>Osteoporos Int</u> 2001;12:699-705.
- [9] Geater S, Leelawattana R, Geater A. Validation of the OSTA index for discriminating between high and low probability of femoral neck and lumbar spine osteoporosis among Thai postmenopausal women. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2004;87:1286-92.
- [10] Lerttrakul S, Soontrapa S. Modified OSTA index for referring women for DEXA measurement. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2005;88 :S80-3.
- [11] Pongchaiyakul C, Wanothayaroj E. Performance of the Khon Kaen Osteoporosis Study (KKOS) score for identifying osteoporosis in men. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2007;90:1518-23.

- [12] De Laet C, Kanis JA, Oden A, Johanson H, Johnell O, Delmas P, et al. Body mass index as a predictor of fracture risk: a meta-analysis. <u>Osteoporos Int</u> 2005;16:1330-38.
- [13] Ensrud KE, Lipschutz RC, Cauley JA, Seeley D, Nevitt MC, Scott J ,et al. Body size and hip fracture risk in older women: a prospective study. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. <u>Am J Med</u> 1997;103:274-80.
- [14] Dargent-Molina P, Douchin MN, Cormier C, Meunier PJ, Breart G. Use of clinical risk factors in elderly women with low bone mineral density to identify women at higher risk of hip fracture: The EPIDOS prospective study. <u>Osteoporos Int</u> 2002;13:593-9.
- [15] Kanis JA, Johnell O, De Laet C, Johansson H, Oden A, Delmas P, et al. A metaanalysis of previous fracture and subsequent fracture risk. <u>Bone 2004</u>;35:375-82.
- [16] Johnell O, Kanis JA, Oden A, Johansson H, De Laet C, Delmas P, et al. Predictive value of BMD for hip and other fractures. <u>J Bone Miner Res</u> 2005;20:1185-94.
- [17] Consensus Development Conference : prophylaxis and treatment of osteoporosis. <u>Am J Med</u> 1991;90:107-10.
- [18] Siris, ES, Miller, PD, Barrett-Connor, E, et al. Identification and fracture outcomes of undiagnosed low bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: results from the National Osteoporosis Risk Assessment. JAMA 2001; 286:2815.
- [19] Melton, LJ III, Atkinson, EJ, O'Fallon, WM, et al. Long-term fracture prediction by bone mineral assessed at different skeletal sites. <u>J Bone Miner Res</u> 1993; 8:1227.
- [20] Blake, GM, Fogelman, I. Peripheral or central densitometry: does it matter which technique we use?. <u>J Clin Densitom</u> 2001; 4:83.
- [21] Pongchaiyakul C, Nguyen ND, Pongchaiyakul C, Nguyen TV. Development and validation of a new clinical risk index for prediction of osteoporosis in Thai women. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2004;87:910-6.
- [22] Jarupanich T. Prevalence and risk factors associated with osteoporosis in women attending menopause clinic at Hat Yai Regional Hospital. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2007;90:865-9.
- [23] Pongchaiyakul C, Panichkul S, Songpatanasilp T. Combined clinical risk indices

with quantitative ultrasound calcaneus measurement for identifying osteoporosis in Thai postmenopausal women. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2007;90:2016-23.

- [24] Pongchaiyakul C, Songpattanasilp T, Taechakraichana N. Burden of osteoporosis in Thailand. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u> 2008;91:261-7.
- [25] Burger H, van Daele PL, Algra D, et al. The association between age and bone mineral density in men and women aged 55 years and over: the Rotterdam Study. <u>Bone Miner</u> 1994; 25: 1-13.
- [26] Edelstein SL, Barett-Connor E. Relation between body size and bone mineral density in elderly men and women. <u>Am J Epidemiol</u> 1993; 138: 160-9.
- [27] Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, Anderson JJ. Effects of weight and body mass index on bone mineral density in men and women: the Framingham study. <u>J Bone Miner Res</u> 1993; 8: 567-73.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

APPENDICES



APPENDIX A

Case record form

				Ca	ase number
Date of measurer	ment /	/ 25		HN.	/
Part 1. Baseline ch	aracteri <mark>stic</mark> informatio	on			
Age	year		Weight		kg
Height	cm.		Parity		
Age of menopause	year				
Part 2 . BMD & T -	score outcomes				
		A sear A			

	AOA	BMD (g/cm ²)	T-score
Lumbar spines	L1		
	L2		
	L3		
A	L4		
	total	R.	
Femoral neck		<u>j</u>	
Trochanteric	<u> </u>		
Intertrochanter	<u> </u>	<u>רוזרשא</u>	
total	6	4	V
Name of Recorder		าาทยา	26
Date of Recording /	/ 25		

APPENDIX B

หนังสือรับรองอนุมัติของคณะอนุกรรมการการวิจัยในคน

0012014	กรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในกน มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมกาสตร์ ชุดที่ 1 กณะมาทายกาสกร์
รษัสโกรงการ	MTU-P-2-56/52
ซื่อโครงการวิจัม	การไข้ดังนี้มวดกายร่วมกับอายุเป็นดังนึงนิดไหน่ในการครวงกัดกรองหาการะกระดูกพรุนในสตรีไทย วัยพมดระดู
ชื่อผู้วิจัยหลัก	ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ นายแพทย์ชำนายู แท่นประเทริฐกูล
ทพ่ วยงา นที่วับศิตชอบ	โครงการจัดทั้งกาควิชาสูติสาสตร์-บริเวชวิทชา กณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์ โทรศัพท์ 02-926-9343 , 089-699-9240
เอกสารที่วับวอง 1. โคระการวิจั	รัชฉบับละวันที่ 31 สิงหาคม 2552
คณะอาเกรรมกา จรีอรรรมการทำวิจ <mark>ัอไนคน</mark>	เรงวิจรรรมการวิจังโนกน มหาวิทยาลังธรรมศาสทร์ ชุกที่ 1 กณะแททยศาสทร์ ได้พิจารณาอนุมัติด้าน เให้คำนั้นการวิจัยข้างกันได้ ตามมติการพิจารณาไทรงการวิจัดแบบ Expedited Review
00\$0	asto 9
(รองศาสตราจารย์ นายแพ	กาย์ จักรจัย จึงถึงหาเมือง (ผู้ช่วยสาสตรวจารย์ นายแททย์ สุขน พรธิสาร) เมอาร
nstainedusa	industrie indust
บระธานอนุกรร อนุมัติ ณ วันที่ 29 ตุลาคม	2552
บระธานอนุกรร อนุมัติ ณ วันที่ 29 ตุลาคม	2352
บระธานอนุกรร	2552
บระรานอนุกรร	2552
มระรานอนุกรร อนุมัคิ ณ วันที่ 29 กุลาคม	2352

VITAE

NAME : CHAMNAN TANPRASERTKUL, MD.

- BIRTHDATE : October 17th, 1971
- POSITION : Assistant Professor

CONTACT : Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Thammasat university, Pathumthanee , Thailand ; Telephone Number: 02-9269999

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT :

- 1994 Doctor of medicine
 2000 Certificate in Ob-Gyn Board
 2002 Certificate in Family Medicine Board
- 2004 Fellowship in Reproductive medicine

Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University Thai Royal College of Family Medicine Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA

RESEARCHES AND ARTICLES:

- 1. Use of Norplant implants in the immediate postpartum period among asymptomatic HIV-1-positive mothers. Taneepanichskul S, Tanprasertkul C. Contraception 2001 ;64:39-41.
- 2. 10-year review of Maternal Mortality in Thammasat University hospital. Tanprasertkul C, Vanichayasetakul P. Thamasat Med Journal 2001;1: 30-42.
- Conservertive management of Severe pre-eclampsia. Kamudhamas A, Somprasit C, Tanprasertkul C. Thamasat Med Journal 2001;1: 39-46.
- 4. Effect of high gestational weight gain on birth weight and cesarean rate in nulliparous mothers with a normal pregravid body mass index. Tanprasertkul C, Somprasit C. J Med Assoc Thai 2004; 87: S24-8.
- Reducing Cesarean Delivery Rate: An Active Management Labor Program in a Setting with Limited Resource. Somprasit C, Tanprasertkul C, Kamudhamas A. J Med Assoc Thai 2005; 88:20-5.
- 6. Oral contraceptive use and the risk of gynecologic cancers. Tanprasertkul C , Suwannarurk K. Thamasat Med Journal 2007; 7: 9-16.
- Efficacy of Etoricoxib for Pain Relief during Endometrial Biopsy; A Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial. Tanprasertkul C, Pongrojpow D. J Med Assoc Thai 2008; 91: 13-8.
- Intrapartum Ultrasonogram for the Determination of Fetal Occiput Position and Risk of Cesarean Section. Sabsamruei P, Tanprasertkul C. J Med Assoc Thai 2010; 93: 149-153.