## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS

1. Preparation of crude extracts of medicinal plants

Five extracts for each medicinal plant of G. pentaphylla, I. maxima, and W. edulis were performed according to the figure 4. The percent yield of the most active extracts were 2.38, 4.04 and 3.24 for F4/ G. pentaphylla, F3/ I. maxima, and F4/ W. edulis , respectively, as indicated in table 4.
2. Cytotoxicity test

The cytotoxicity of the extracts were determined using uninfected Vero cells to obtain the $50 \%$ cytotoxic concentration $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right)$. The cells were treated with various concentrations of the extracts for 72 h . Then the cells were fixed and stained with methylene blue and the OD at 550 was measured. All the $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ were determined by as described in appendix. The dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used to solubilize the extracts. The maximal concentration of DMSO that did not affect the cytotoxicity to cell culture was $2 \%$. Therefore, in this study, the final concentration of DMSO in each plant extract solution tested was hot more than $2 \%$.

Five medicinal plant extracts from each of 3 plants: $\bar{G}$. pentaphylla, I. maxima and $W$. edulis were used. All extracts were tested for cytotoxicity assay in Vero cell, the results were indicatec in table 5. The selective index determined by the ratio of $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ were demonstrated in table 6-8. The selective index of 80.93 (95.17), 15.46 (13.97) and $71.36(56.91 /$ weregoserved for the Imost active fraction of each medicinal plant , F4 /G. pentaphylla , F3 / I. maxima and F4 / W. edulis, respectively against HSV-1 (HSV2). The $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ of ACV in Vero cells was also determined and the value was $2750 \pm 250$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$.
3. Antiviral activity of medicinal plant extracts against HSV-1 and HSV-2

Five extracts from Glycosmis pentaphylla, Ipomoea maxima, and Willughbeia edulis prepared and tested for antiviral activity. Antiherpes simplex virus activity of the extract was performed in Vero cells infected with HSV-1 and HSV-2 to elucidate the mode of action of the inhibition of virus replication, the effects of the extracts were studied in inactivation, prophylactic activity and plaque reduction or post-treatment assay

As indicated in Table 6-8, it was revealed that the extracts from ethanol fraction (F1), chloroform fraction (F2), methanol frabtion (F3), hexane fraction (F4) and aqueous fraction (F5) elicited different extent of antiviat activities at various concentrations.

The possibility that the extracts directly interfered with virus infectivity, thus preventing adsorption of virus particles todtost cells, was iinvestigated. In inactivation assay, HSV was pretreated with variousiconcentrations of the extracts for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding to the host cells and the vrius was detrermined by plaque assay. Figure 5-7 showed that G. pentaphylla (F4)-, f maxima (F3) and W edulis (F4) decreased, in concentration-dependent 5manner( $\mathrm{r}=0.71-0.97$ ), their infectivities for Vero cells. The concentrations of extracts of $G$. pentaphylla, I maxima and W. edulis which inhibit $50 \%$ $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of the infectivity of $\mathrm{HSV}_{-1} 1$ (and HSV-2) were, $11.36 \pm 0.51$ ( $9.66 \pm 0.49$ ), $9.14 \pm 0.49$ (10.11 $\pm 0.21$ ) and $15.0590 .46 .(18.8790 .86$ ) $\mu 9 / \mathrm{m}$,respectively $\} \tau$

In Proptyactichactivity ascsay:|verp9gells weren pietreated with various concentrations of the extracts for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before cell infection. The virus was determined by plaque assay after the incubation for 48 h . As indicated in figure 5-7, it was showed that G. pentaphylla (F4) , I maxima (F3) and W. edulis (F4) for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before HSV-1 and HSV-2 infection, decreased, in concentration-dependent manner ( $r=0.73-0.99$ ), their infectivities for Vero cells. The concentrations of extract of $G$. pentaphylla, I maxima and W. edulis which inhibit $50 \%\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of the infectivity of HSV-1
(HSV-2) were $258.44 \pm 2.88$ ( $313.67 \pm 6.93$ ), $37.06 \pm 0.76$ ( $48.92 \pm 0.38$ ) and $263.48 \pm 2.83$ ( $328.56 \pm 0.99) ~ \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively.

In plaque reduction or post-treatment assay, Vero cells were infected with HSV for 1 h and the cells were treated with various concentrations of the extracts for 1 h . Then the number of virus was determined by plaque assay after the incubation for 48 h . The result was demonstrated in figure 5-7. It revealed that the extracts of G. pentaphylla (F4) , I maxima (F3) and W. edulis (F4) , dedreased, in concentration-dependent manner( $r=0.95-0.99$ ), their infectivities for Vero cells. The concentrations of extracts of G. pentaphylla, I maxima and W. edulis whichlinhibited $50 \%\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of the infectivities of HSV-1 (and HSV-2) were $154.66 \pm 2.00(159.09 \pm 8.59), 44.34 \pm 0.50(49.14 \pm 1.42)$ and $226.45 \pm 0.38(236.24 \pm 1.64) \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mil}$, respectively.

Effective concentration for $50 \%$ yirus growth inhibition $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ of acyclovir, as a control against HSV-1 (HSV-2) in inacivation (orophylactic activity and plaque reduction assay as indicated in table 9 were $0.082 \pm 0.002(0.45 \pm 0.002), 0.103 \pm 0.004(0.58 \pm 0.005)$, and $0.16 \pm 0.002(0.69 \pm 0.01) \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$, respectively
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Table 4 Percent Yield of crude extracts

| No. | Plants [Thai name] | Fresh plant weight ( g ) | Fraction | Extract weight (g) | Yield <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Glycosmis pentaphylla (Retz).DC [เขยตาย] | 859.00 | F1 | 94.50 | 11.00 |
|  |  |  | F2 | 40.98 | 4.77 |
|  |  |  | F3 | 21.70 | 2.52 |
|  |  |  | F4 | 20.44 | 2.38 |
|  |  |  | F5 | 10.95 | 1.27 |
| 2 | /pomoea maxima (linn.f.).Don [สะอึก] | 556.80 | F1 | 60.94 | 10.94 |
|  |  |  | F2 | 31.42 | 5.64 |
|  |  |  | F3 | 22.50 | 4.04 |
|  |  |  | F4 | 23.21 | 4.17 |
|  |  |  | F5 | 15.70 | 2.81 |
| 3 | Willughbeia edulis roxb [คุย] | $780.50$ | F1 | 78.92 | 10.11 |
|  |  |  | F2 | 35.73 | 4.58 |
|  |  |  | F3 | 21.49 | 2.75 |
|  |  |  |  | 25.28 | 3.24 |
|  |  |  | F5 | 11.59 | 1.48 |

F1: Ethanol extract; F2: Chloroform extract; F3: Methanol extract; F4: Hexane extract; F5: Aqueous extract

Table 5 In vitro cytotoxic concentration $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{50}\right)$ of medicinal plant extracts.


F1: Ethanol extract; F2: Chloroform extract; F3: Methanol extract; F4: Hexane extract; F5: Aqueous extract

Table 6 Tests for antiviral activities of Glycosmis pentaphylla against HSV-1 and HSV-2 using inactivation assay, prophylactic activity assay and plaque reduction assay.


Table 7 Antiviral activities of Ipomoea maxima against HSV-1 and HSV-2 using inactivation assay, prophylactic activity assay and plaque reduction assay.

| Treatments | Extracts | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{a} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \\ & (\text { mean } \pm \mathrm{SD}) \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{a} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ <br> (mean $\pm$ SD) | Selective Index$\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HSV-1 | HS |  | HSV-1 | HSV-2 |
| Inactivation assay | F1 | $10.13 \pm 0.25$ |  | $99.49 \pm 0.85$ | 9.82 | 9.67 |
|  | F2 | $10.38 \pm 1.47$ |  | $103.00 \pm 0.91$ | 9.92 | 9.09 |
|  |  | 4, 70 |  | $141.27 \pm 0.76$ | 15.46 | 13.97 |
|  |  | $3.02 \pm 0.36$ |  | $90.49 \pm 0.56$ | 6.95 | 6.70 |
|  | 5 | $\pm$ | 59 | $154.05 \pm 0.24$ | 2.78 | 2.57 |
| Prophylactic activity |  | 3.15 $\pm 0.5$ | 49. | $99.49 \pm 0.85$ | 2.30 | 2.02 |
|  |  | $65.10 \pm 0.68$ | 66 | $103.00 \pm 0.91$ | 1.58 | 1.55 |
|  | F3 | $3706+0,76$ | $48,92$ | $141.27 \pm 0.76$ | 3.81 | 2.89 |
|  |  | 74.93 50.46 | \% 88.77 | $90.49 \pm 0.56$ | 1.21 | 1.09 |
|  |  | $403.99 \pm 2.43$ | 106.38 | $154.05 \pm 0.24$ | 1.48 | 1.45 |
| Plaque reduction assay |  | $48.45 \pm 0.14$ | 54.00 | $99.49 \pm 0.85$ | 2.05 | 1.84 |
|  | F2 | $52.48 \pm 2.35$ | 060.69 | $103.00 \pm 0.91$ | 1.96 | 1.70 |
|  |  | $44.34+0.60$ | $\partial_{49}$ | $141.28 \pm 0.76$ | 3.19 | 2.87 |
|  | F | $63.44 \mathbb{E}_{1.34}$ | 85.99 | 0 | 1.43 | 1.05 |
| $N$ | F5 | $\mathrm{d}_{127.24 \pm 1.87}$ | $129.7$ |  | 1.21 | 1.19 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ was deterined by three independent experiments.

Table 8 Antiviral activities of Willughbeia edulis against HSV-1 and HSV-2 using inactivation assay, prophylactic activity assay and plaque reduction assay.

| Treatments | Extracts | ${ }^{a} \mathrm{EC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ | Sel In <br> (CC | tive <br> x <br> $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HSV-1 | HSV-2 |  | HSV-1 | HSV-2 |
| Inactivation assay |  | 36.85 | $37.41 \pm 0.96$ | $845.95 \pm 1.54$ | 22.96 | 22.61 |
|  |  | 3 | . 59 | $823.81 \pm 1.72$ | 25.92 | 23.03 |
|  |  | 0.78 | 26.8 | $944.24 \pm 1.51$ | 47.66 | 35.13 |
|  |  | $15.05+0$ |  | $1073.90 \pm 0.97$ | 71.36 | 56.91 |
|  |  | 1154 | $318.89 \pm 4.2$ | $1487.75 \pm 0.29$ | 6.44 | 4.67 |
| Prophylactic activityassay | F1 | $429.49 \pm 1.60$ | $480.42 \pm 1.59$ | $845.95 \pm 1.54$ | 1.97 | 1.76 |
|  | F2 |  | $=479.95 \pm 0.88$ | $823.81 \pm 1.72$ | 1.92 | 1.72 |
|  | F3 | $356.90 \pm 2.11$ | $430.81 \pm 1.83$ | $944.24 \pm 1.51$ | 2.65 | 2.19 |
|  |  | $263.48 \pm 2.83$ | $328.56 \pm 0.99$ | $1073.90 \pm 0.97$ | 4.08 | 3.26 |
|  | F5 | $478.59 \pm 2.36$ | $686.38 \pm 1.85$ | $1487.75 \pm 0.29$ | 3.11 | 2.17 |
| Plaque reductionassa |  | $254.06+1.15$ | $263.85 \pm 0.79$ | $845.95+1.54$ | 3.33 | 3.21 |
|  |  | $251.77 \mathbb{4} 0.80$ | $257.63 \pm 2.29$ |  | 3.27 | 3.20 |
|  | $F 3$ | 2 $24863 \pm \pm 0.31$ | $249.91 \pm 0.87$ |  |  | 3.78 |
|  | F4 | $226.45 \pm 0.38$ | $235.24 \pm 1.64$ | $1073.90 \pm 0.97$ | 4.74 | 4.57 |
|  | F5 | $575.33 \pm 1.74$ | $608.44 \pm 2.81$ | $1487.75 \pm 0.29$ | 2.56 | 2.45 |
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Figure 5 Antiviral acitvity of hexane extract from Glycosmis pentaphylla against herpes simplex virus. A. Inactivation assay, B. Prophylactic activity assay, C. Plaque reduction assay. bar represented SD from three independent experiments.


Figure 6 Antiviral acitvity of hexane extract from /pomoea maxima against herpes simplex virus. A. Inactivation assay, B. Prophylactic activity assay, C. Plaque reduction assay. bar represented SD from three independent experiments


Figure 7 Antiviral acitvity of hexane extract from Willughbeia edulis against herpes simplex virus. A. Inactivation assay, B. Prophylactic activity assay, C. Plaque eduction assay. bar represented SD from three independent experiments

Table 9. Antiviral activity of acyclovir against herpes simplex virus

4. Preliminary test for anti-HSV-1 and 2 activities of plant extracts

The most active fraction of each plant, F4 /G. pentaphylla, F3/ I. maxima and F4 / W. edulis were selected for the further experiments for preliminary mechanism of antiviral activity in post binding assay and penetration inhibition assay and virus yield inhibition assay.

The effect of these plant extracts on) adsorption were determined by post binding assay. The virus was adscrbed to vero cells for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unbound virus was removed and washed with various concentrations of extracts using PBS as negative control and citrate buffer as positive conirol. The number of viruses that bound to cells was assayed by plaque assay and the /jhhibition of HSV binding to host cells of the extracts was determined compared to PBS control. . Figure 8-10 indicated the effect of these three plant extracts on post binding assay The increase in concentrationdependent manner $(r=0.95-0.99)$ in percentinnibition of plaque forming against both HSV-1 and HSV-2 were observed. It was showed that the extract of G. pentaphylla, added to cell cultures after the initial viratbinding period at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was able to inhibit the infectivity of HSV-1 and HSV-2 stably attached to Vero cells. The maximum of inhibition in plaque forming were observed at $55 \%$ for F4 of G. pentaphylla, $70 \%$ for F3 of $I$. maxima, and $55 \%$ for F 4 of W. edulis. The effects of extracts in post binding assay in HSV-1 and HSV-2 exhibited at the same extent. Treatment of HSV-1 and HSV-2 stably attached to cells with a low pH Gltrate buffer (positive control) for 1 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reduced to $100 \pm 0.004 \%$ of control values the ambunt of eviruswhich had penetrated into cells after the shift to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## จุห่าลาลกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย <br> Virus penetration into cells is also one of the indicator of antiviral targets. Thus, in

 order to investigate the effects of the extracts on penetration of HSV, a penetration inhibition assay was performed. As described in the part of method, the virus was adsorbed for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to Vero cell and the unbound virus was removed. The penetration of virus was allowed by the shift of infected cells to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at various times of incubation of $0,15,30$, and 60 min . After the specified incubation, cells were treatedwith various concentrations of extract and the cells were incubated further for 48 h . The arnount of virus which had penetrate into cells were evaluated as the number of plaque forming unit (PFU) and the inhibition of penetration was demonstrated compared to PBS controi. The results were demonstrated in figure 11-13 The inhibitory effect was more pronounced when the 1 -min treatment period with extract was made 15,30 min and 60 min after the temperature shift at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The effect of these plant extracts also was concentration dependent $(r=0.88-0.97)$ and time dependent $(r=0.92-0.99)$ as indicated by higher irihibition in plaque forming of virus dompared to the lower concentration. The maximum inhibition in plaque forming were exhibited at o min of penetration of HSV-1 and HSV-2 into the cells were $60 \%$ for al! three plant extracts F4 /G. pentaphylla, F3 / I. maxima, and F4 /W. edulis.

Effects on virus-induced cytopathic effect were performed by adding the extracts to Vero cells infected with HSV and ineubated in the various concentration of the extracts and the virus was tirrated by plaque assay at the incubation time at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1,8,24,48$, and 72 h . The result of yirus yield inhibition assay was showed in figure 1416. It was found that the percent inhibition of plaque forming was maximum at 72 h incubation and was concentration-dependent( $r=0.81-0.97$ ) and time-dependent $(r=0.87-$ 0.99) for all 3 plant extracts. There were ino differences in the effect in virus yield inhibition assay of plant extracts against HSV-1 and HSV-2 The $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ in virus inhibition yield assay were showed in Table 9. The $I \mathrm{I}_{50}$ against HSV-1(HSV-2) were $275.79 \pm 9.15$ ( $296.67 \pm 8.16$ ), $126.75 \pm 3.32(116.00 \pm 33.27)$ and $296.74 \pm 5.46(269.51 \pm 625)$ fig $54 / G_{2}$ peptaphylll $F 3 / /$. maximazand $F 4 / W$. edulis at 72 h irsubation. The effect of ACV in post Binding assay, penetration inhibition assay and virus yield inhibition assay were demonstrated in figure 17 and dable 11-12. Acyclovir could inhibit the binding ofvimis to cells and inhibied virus penetration into the cells. Virus yield inhibition assay indicated the replication of virus in the cells was inhibited.

Post-binding assay / G. pentaphyla


Figure 8 Post binding assay of hexane extract from Glycosmis pentaphylla against herpes simplex virus


Figure 9 Post binding assay of aqueous extract from /pomoea maxima against herpes simplex virus


Figure 10 Post binding assay of hexane extract from Willughbeia edulis against herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2.

## A.Penetration assay against Herpes simplex virus type 1

of hexane extract from G. pentaphylla



Figure 11 Penetration assay against herpes simplex virus type 1 (A) and type 2 (B) of hexane extract from Glycosmis pentaphylla, bar represented SD from three independent experiments.
A.Penetration assay against Herpes simplex virus type 1 of
methanol extract from I. maxima


Figure 12 Penetration assay against Herpes simplex virus type $1(A)$ and type 2 (B) of methanol extract from /pomoea maxima, bar represented SD from three independent experiments.
A.Penetration assay against Herpes simplex virus type 1 of hexane extract from W.edulis



Figure 13 Penetration assay against Herpes simplex virus type 1 (A) and type 2 (B) of hexane extract from Willughbeia .edulis, bar represented SD from three independent experiments.


Figure 14 Virus yield inhibition assay against HSV-1(A) and HSV-2(B) of hexane extract from Glycosmis pentaphylla., bar represented SD from three independent experiments


Figure 15 Virus yield inhibition assay against HSV-1(A) and HSV-2(B) of methanol
extract from /pomoea maxima, bar represented SD from three independent experiments.


Figure 16 Virus yield inhibition assay against HSV-1 and HSV-2 of hexane extract from
Willughbeia edulis, bar represented SD from three independent experiments.

Table 10. Inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ of medicinal plants in virus yield inhibition assay.

| Extracts | ${ }^{a}$ Times(h) | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 1 \mathrm{C}_{50}$ (mean $\pm$ SD $) \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HSV-1 | HSV-2 |
| F4/ G.pentaphylla | 1 | $741.54 \pm 12.29$ | $601.62 \pm 8.67$ |
|  | 8 | $597.1 \pm 10.32$ | $560.28 \pm 8.64$ |
|  | 24 | $436.53 \pm 7.69$ | $520.85 \pm 9.80$ |
|  | 48 | $372.48 \pm 7.74$ | $466.54 \pm 9.63$ |
|  | 72 | $27579 \pm 9.15$ | $296.67 \pm 8.16$ |
| F3/ I.maxima |  | 2.09 +3.77 | $241.04 \pm 3.60$ |
|  |  |  | $231.07 \pm 3.57$ |
|  |  | $191.45 \pm 3.38$ | $210.86 \pm 3.76$ |
|  |  | 633+3.38 | $142.92 \pm 3.14$ |
|  | 72 | $126.75 \pm 3.32$ | $116.00 \pm 3.27$ |
| F4/ W.edulis |  | $558.95 \pm 7.2$ | $728.27 \pm 8.85$ |
|  |  | $557.58 \pm 7.00$ | $691.74 \pm 8.67$ |
|  | $24$ | $7448.10 \pm 6.32$ | $591.42 \pm 8.13$ |
|  | 48 | $329.96 \pm 5.31$ | $400.39 \pm 6.38$ |
|  | 72 | $296.74 \pm 5.45$ | $269.51 \pm 6.25$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$. Incubation time of the eytracts in infected Vero cell.
"
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Figure17. Post-binding assay of acyclovir against herpes simplex virus, bar represented SD from three independen (experiment.

Table 11. Penetration assay of acyclovir against herpes simplex virus

${ }^{a}$ the time of viral penetration performed by shifting the cell to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ was deterined by three independent experiments.

Table 12. Virus yield inhibition assay of acyclovir against herpes simplex virus



[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ was deterined by three independent experiments

