



CHAPTER IV

SKEW RINGS OF RIGHT [LEFT] DIFFERENCES OF SEMIRINGS

In [4], some theorems for skew rings of right [left] differences of semirings were proven. In this chapter, we shall prove additional theorems for skew rings of right [left] differences of semirings.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a semiring and $(a,b) \in S \times S$. Then (a,b) is called a unitive pair if for all $x,y \in S$ there exist $u,v,u',v' \in S$ such that

$$ax+by+u = x+v,$$

$$ay+bx+u = y+v,$$

$$xa+yb+u' = x+v'$$

and

$$xb+ya+u' = y+v'.$$

If a semiring S contains a unitive pair, then S is called a unitive semiring.

Example 4.2. Let S be a nonempty set. Define $+$ and \cdot on S by $x+y = y = x \cdot y$ for all $x,y \in S$. Then $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a semiring and for any $(a,b) \in S \times S$, (a,b) is a unitive pair. Hence S is a unitive semiring.

Theorem 4.3. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. Then $D(S)$ has a multiplicative identity 1 if and only if S is a unitive semiring. Furthermore, for all $(a,b) \in S \times S$, $[(a,b)] = 1$ if and only if (a,b) is a unitive pair.



CHAPTER IV

SKEW RINGS OF RIGHT [LEFT] DIFFERENCES OF SEMIRINGS

In [4], some theorems for skew rings of right [left] differences of semirings were proven. In this chapter, we shall prove additional theorems for skew rings of right [left] differences of semirings.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a semiring and $(a,b) \in S \times S$. Then (a,b) is called a unitive pair if for all $x,y \in S$ there exist $u,v,u',v' \in S$ such that

$$ax+by+u = x+v,$$

$$ay+bx+u = y+v,$$

$$xa+yb+u' = x+v'$$

and

$$xb+ya+u' = y+v'.$$

If a semiring S contains a unitive pair, then S is called a unitive semiring.

Example 4.2. Let S be a nonempty set. Define $+$ and \cdot on S by $x+y = y = x \cdot y$ for all $x,y \in S$. Then $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a semiring and for any $(a,b) \in S \times S$, (a,b) is a unitive pair. Hence S is a unitive semiring.

Theorem 4.3. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. Then $D(S)$ has a multiplicative identity 1 if and only if S is a unitive semiring. Furthermore, for all $(a,b) \in S \times S$, $[(a,b)] = 1$ if and only if (a,b) is a unitive pair.

Proof. Assume that $D(S)$ has a multiplicative identity, say $[(a,b)]$. Let $x,y \in S$. Then $[(x,y)] \in D(S)$ and $[(a,b)][(x,y)] = [(x,y)] = [(x,y)][(a,b)]$. Therefore $[(ax+by, ay+bx)] = [(x,y)] = [(xa+yb, xb+ya)]$. There exist $u,v,u',v' \in S$ such that $ax+by+u = x+v$, $ay+bx+u = y+v$, $xa+yb+u' = x+v'$ and $xb+ya+u' = y+v'$. Hence S is unitive.

Conversely, assume that S is a unitive semiring. Then there exists an $(a,b) \in S \times S$ such that (a,b) is a unitive pair. Let $[(x,y)] \in D(S)$. Then $x,y \in S$ and there exist $u,v,u',v' \in S$ such that $ax+by+u = x+v$, $ay+bx+u = y+v$, $xa+yb+u' = x+v'$ and $xb+ya+u' = y+v'$. Thus $(ax+by, ay+bx) \sim (x,y) \sim (xa+yb, xb+ya)$. Therefore $[(a,b)][(x,y)] = [(x,y)] = [(x,y)][(a,b)]$, so $[(a,b)]$ is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$.

Clearly, $[(a,b)] = 1$ if and only if (a,b) is a unitive pair. #

Corollary 4.4. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right or left differences. Then S is additively commutative.

Definition 4.5. A skew ring $(S, +, \cdot)$ is called a skew field if $(S \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is a group.

Remark. If $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a skew field, then $(S, +)$ is an abelian group.

Definition 4.6. A skew ring R is called a simple skew ring if for any ideal J of R , $J = \{0\}$ or $J = R$.

Remark. Every skew field is a simple skew ring.

Definition 4.7. Let S be a unitive semiring. Then S is called exact if for any unitive pair $(a,b) \in S \times S$ and for all distinct $x,y \in S$ there exist $u,v,z,w,z',w' \in S$ such that

$$xu+yv+z = a+w,$$

$$xv+yu+z = b+w,$$

$$ux+vy+z' = a+w'$$

and

$$uy+vx+z' = b+w'.$$

Theorem 4.8. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. Then $D(S)$ is a skew field if and only if S is exact.

Proof. Assume that $D(S)$ is a skew field. Then $D(S)$ has a multiplicative identity 1 and hence S is a unitive semiring. Let $(a,b) \in S \times S$ be a unitive pair. By Theorem 4.3, $[(a,b)] = 1$. Let $x,y \in S$ be distinct. Then $[(x,y)] \neq 0$. There exist $u,v \in S$ such that $[(x,y)][(u,v)] = [(a,b)] = [(u,v)][(x,y)]$. Therefore $(xu+yv, xv+yu) \sim (a,b) \sim (ux+vy, uy+vx)$. There exist $z,w,z',w' \in S$ such that $xu+yv+z = a+w$, $xv+yu+z = b+w$, $ux+vy+z' = a+w'$ and $uy+vx+z' = b+w'$. Hence S is exact.

Conversely, assume that S is exact. Then S is a unitive semiring. Let $(a,b) \in S \times S$ be a unitive pair. Then $[(a,b)]$ is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$. Let $\alpha \in D(S) \setminus \{0\}$. Choose $(x,y) \in \alpha$, so $x \neq y$. Since S is exact, there exist $u,v,z,w,z',w' \in S$ such that $xu+yv+z = a+w$, $xv+yu+z = b+w$, $ux+vy+z' = a+w'$ and $uy+vx+z' = b+w'$. Therefore $(xu+yv, xv+yu) \sim (a,b) \sim (ux+vy, uy+vx)$. Thus $[(x,y)][(u,v)] = [(a,b)] = [(u,v)][(x,y)]$ and hence $D(S)$ is a skew field.

#

Corollary 4.9. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. If S is exact, then $D(S)$ is a simple skew ring.

Definition 4.10. A semiring S is said to be strongly multiplicatively cancellative (S.M.C.) if for all $x, y, z, w \in S$

$$xz+yw = xw+yz \text{ implies that } x = y \text{ or } z = w$$

and

$$xz+yw = yz+xw \text{ implies that } x = y \text{ or } z = w.$$

Example 4.11. \mathbb{Z}^+ with the usual addition and multiplication is S.M.C..

In [7] the definition of a prime ring was given. We shall generalize this definition to skew rings.

Definition 4.12. A skew ring R is called a prime skew ring if for any ideals I, J in R , $IJ = \{0\}$ implies that $I = \{0\}$ or $J = \{0\}$.

Example 4.13. \mathbb{Z} with the usual addition and multiplication is a prime skew ring.

Definition 4.14. A skew ring R is called a strongly prime skew ring if for any weak ideals I, J in R , $IJ = \{0\}$ implies that $I = \{0\}$ or $J = \{0\}$.

Remark. Every strongly prime skew ring is a prime skew ring.

Theorem 4.15. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. If S is S.M.C., then $D(S)$ is a strongly prime skew ring.

Proof. Assume that S is S.M.C.. Let I and J be weak ideals of $D(S)$ such that $IJ = \{0\}$. Assume that $I \neq \{0\}$. We must show that $J = \{0\}$. Let $\alpha \in I$ be such that $\alpha \neq 0$ and let $\beta \in J$. Choose $(x, y) \in \alpha$ and $(z, w) \in \beta$. Then $[(x, y)][(z, w)] = \alpha\beta \in IJ = \{0\}$. Therefore $[(xz+yw, xw+yz)] = 0$. Hence $xz+yw = xw+yz$. Since S is S.M.C., $x = y$ or $z = w$. If $x = y$, then $\alpha = 0$. This is a contradiction, so $z = w$.

Therefore $\beta = 0$ and hence $J = \{0\}$.

#

Corollary 4.16. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. If S is S.M.C., then $D(S)$ is a prime skew ring.

Theorem 4.17. Let S be a multiplicatively commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. If $D(S)$ is a prime skew ring, then S is S.M.C..

Proof. Assume that $D(S)$ is a prime skew ring. Let $\alpha \in D(S)$ and $\langle \alpha \rangle$ denote the ideal generated by α . Let

$$I_\alpha = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i) / n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, g_i \in D(S) \text{ and } r_i \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all} \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Claim that $I_\alpha = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Clearly, $\alpha \in I_\alpha$.

$$\text{Let } \beta, \beta' \in I_\alpha. \text{ Then } \beta = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i) \text{ and } \beta' = \sum_{i=1}^n (g'_i + r'_i \alpha - g'_i)$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $g_i, g'_i \in D(S)$ and $r_i, r'_i \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \beta - \beta' &= (g_1 + r_1 \alpha - g_1) + \dots + (g_n + r_n \alpha - g_n) - (g'_1 + r'_1 \alpha - g'_1) - \dots - (g'_n + r'_n \alpha - g'_n) \\ &= (g_1 + r_1 \alpha - g_1) + \dots + (g_n + r_n \alpha - g_n) + (g'_1 + (-r'_1) \alpha - g'_1) + \dots + (g'_n + (-r'_n) \alpha - g'_n) \in I_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta \in D(S)$. To show that $\beta + I_\alpha - \beta \subseteq I_\alpha$, let $\beta' \in \beta + I_\alpha - \beta$.

$$\text{Then } \beta' = \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i) - \beta \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, g_i \in D(S) \text{ and}$$

$$r_i \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \text{ Hence } \beta' = \beta + (g_1 + r_1 \alpha - g_1) - \beta + \beta +$$

$$(g_2 + r_2 \alpha - g_2) - \beta + \beta + \dots - \beta + \beta + (g_n - r_n \alpha - g_n) - \beta = (\beta + g_1 + r_1 \alpha - g_1 - \beta) + (\beta + g_2 + r_2 \alpha - g_2 - \beta) +$$

$$\dots + (\beta + r_n \alpha - g_n - \beta) \in I_\alpha.$$

Let $\beta \in D(S)$ and $\beta' \in I_\alpha$. Then $\beta' = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i)$ for some

$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $g_i \in D(S)$ and $r_i \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$\beta\beta' = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta(g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta g_i + (\beta r_i) \alpha - \beta g_i) \in I_\alpha.$$

Let J be an ideal of $D(S)$ such that $\alpha \in J$. We must show that

$I_\alpha \subseteq J$. Let $\beta \in I_\alpha$. Then $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $g_i \in D(S)$

and $r_i \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $\alpha \in J$, $r_i \alpha \in J$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since J is an ideal of $D(S)$, $g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i \in J$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since J is closed under addition, $\beta \in J$.

Hence $I_\alpha \subseteq J$.

So we have the claim.

Next, claim that $\langle \alpha \rangle \langle \beta \rangle \subseteq \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in D(S)$. Let

$\alpha, \beta \in D(S)$. Then $\langle \alpha \rangle \langle \beta \rangle = \{uv \mid u \in \langle \alpha \rangle \text{ and } v \in \langle \beta \rangle\} =$

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^l (g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (g'_j + r'_j \beta - g'_j) \right) \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, g_i, g'_j \in D(S) \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. r_i, r'_j \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, l \text{ and all } j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} =$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^m ((g_i + r_i \alpha - g_i)(g'_j + r'_j \beta - g'_j)) \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, g_i, g'_j \in D(S) \text{ and} \right.$$

$$\left. r_i, r'_j \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, l \text{ and all } j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} =$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^m (g_i g'_j + g_i r'_j \beta - g_i g'_j + r_i \alpha g'_j + r_i \alpha r'_j \beta - r_i \alpha g'_j - g_i g'_j - g_i r'_j \beta + g_i g'_j) \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \right.$$

$$\left. g_i, g'_j \in D(S) \text{ and } r_i, r'_j \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, l \text{ and all} \right.$$

$$\left. j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^m r_i r'_j \alpha \beta \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } r_i, r'_j \in D(S) \cup \mathbb{Z} \right.$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and all $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ $\subseteq \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$, so we have the claim.

Now, let $x, y, z, w \in S$ be such that $xz + yw = xw + yz$. Then $[(xz + yw, xw + yz)] = 0$. Therefore $\langle [(x, y)][(z, w)] \rangle = \{0\}$. Hence $\langle [(x, y)] \rangle \langle [(z, w)] \rangle \subseteq \langle [(x, y)][(z, w)] \rangle = \{0\}$ which implies that $\langle [(x, y)] \rangle \langle [(z, w)] \rangle = \{0\}$. Since $D(S)$ is a prime skew ring, $\langle [(x, y)] \rangle = \{0\}$ or $\langle [(z, w)] \rangle = \{0\}$. Thus $x = y$ or $z = w$. Similarly, we can show that if $xz + yw = yz + xw$, then $x = y$ or $z = w$. Hence S is S.M.C.. #

Corollary 4.18. Let S be a multiplicatively commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. If $D(S)$ is a strongly prime skew ring, then S is S.M.C..

Proposition 4.19. Let S be a unitive semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences and $(a, b), (c, d) \in S \times S$. If (a, b) and (c, d) are unitive pairs, then the following statements hold:

- (1) There exist $z, w \in S$ such that $a + z = c + w$ and $b + z = d + w$.
- (2) $(ac + bd, ad + bc)$ is a unitive pair.

Proof. (1) By Theorem 4.3, $[(a, b)] = 1 = [(c, d)]$ where 1 is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$. Therefore there exist $z, w \in S$ such that $a + z = c + w$ and $b + z = d + w$, so done.

(2) Since $[(a, b)] = 1 = [(c, d)]$, $[(a, b)][(c, d)] = 1$. Therefore $[(ac + bd, ad + bc)] = 1$ and hence $(ac + bd, ad + bc)$ is a unitive pair. #

Proposition 4.20. Let S be a semiring with a multiplicative identity 1 having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences. Then $[(1+1, 1)]$ is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$ if and only if S is additively commutative.

Proof. Assume that $[(1+1,1)]$ is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$. Let $x,y \in S$. Then $[(x,y)][(1+1,1)] = [(x,y)]$. Therefore $(x+x+y, x+y+y) \sim (x,y)$. There exist $u,v \in S$ such that $x+x+y+u = x+v$ and $x+y+y+u = y+v$. Since S is A.C., $x+y = y+x$.

Conversely, assume that S is additively commutative. Let $[(x,y)] \in D(S)$. Then $[(x,y)][(1+1,1)] = [(x+x+y, x+y+y)] = [(x,y)] = [(1+1,1)][(x,y)]$. Hence $[(1+1,1)]$ is a multiplicative identity of $D(S)$. #

Proposition 4.21. Let S be a semiring having $D(S)$ as its skew ring of right [left] differences, $i : S \rightarrow D(S)$ the right [left] difference embedding and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then $i(K)-i(K) = \{[(x,y)]/x,y \in K\}$.

Proof. Let $x,y \in K$. Claim that $[(x+x,x)]+[(y,y+y)] = [(x,y)]$. There exist $u,v \in S$ such that $x+u = y+v$. Thus $[(x+x,x)]+[(y,y+y)] = [(x+x+u, y+y+v)]$. Since $x+u = y+v, y+x+u+v = y+y+v+v$. Therefore $(x+x+u, y+y+v) \sim (x,y)$, so we have the claim.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } i(K)-i(K) &= \{a-b/a, b \in i(K)\} \\ &= \{i(x)-i(y)/x, y \in K\} \\ &= \{[(x+x,x)]-[(y+y,y)]/x, y \in K\} \\ &= \{[(x+x,x)]+[(y,y+y)]/x, y \in K\} \\ &= \{[(x,y)]/x, y \in K\}. \end{aligned}$$

#

Definition 4.22. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty.

Then K is called a quasi-ideal in S if

(1) for all $x,y,z,w \in K$ there exist $u,v \in K$ such that $x+w+v = y+z+u$

and (2) for all $x,y \in K$ and all $z,w \in S$ there exist $u,v \in K$ such that $xz+yw+v = xw+yz+u$.

Example 4.23. Let S be a commutative semiring and $x \in S$. Then S and $\{x\}$ are quasi-ideals in S .

Proposition 4.24. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K_1, K_2 \subseteq S$ nonempty. If K_1 and K_2 are quasi-ideals in S , then $K_1 + K_2$ is a quasi-ideal in S .

Proof. Let $x, y, z, w \in K_1 + K_2$. Then $x = x_1 + x_2$, $y = y_1 + y_2$, $z = z_1 + z_2$ and $w = w_1 + w_2$ for some $x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 \in K_1$ and some $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2 \in K_2$. There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ such that $x_1 + w_1 + v_1 = y_1 + z_1 + u_1$. Also, there exist $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that $x_2 + w_2 + v_2 = y_2 + z_2 + u_2$. Let $u = u_1 + u_2$ and $v = v_1 + v_2$. Then $u, v \in K_1 + K_2$ and $x + w + v = (x_1 + x_2) + (w_1 + w_2) + (v_1 + v_2) = (x_1 + w_1 + v_1) + (x_2 + w_2 + v_2) = (y_1 + z_1 + u_1) + (y_2 + z_2 + u_2) = (y_1 + y_2) + (z_1 + z_2) + (u_1 + u_2) = y + z + u$.

Let $x, y \in K_1 + K_2$ and $z, w \in S$. Then $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $y = y_1 + y_2$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in K_1$ and some $x_2, y_2 \in K_2$. There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ such that $x_1 z + y_1 w + v_1 = x_1 w + y_1 z + u_1$. Also, there exist $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that $x_2 z + y_2 w + v_2 = x_2 w + y_2 z + u_2$. Let $u = u_1 + u_2$ and $v = v_1 + v_2$. Then $u, v \in K_1 + K_2$ and $xz + yw + v = (x_1 + x_2)z + (y_1 + y_2)w + (v_1 + v_2) = x_1 z + x_2 z + y_1 w + y_2 w + v_1 + v_2 = (x_1 z + y_1 w + v_1) + (x_2 z + y_2 w + v_2) = (x_1 w + y_1 z + u_1) + (x_2 w + y_2 z + u_2) = (x_1 + x_2)w + (y_1 + y_2)z + (u_1 + u_2) = xw + yz + u$. #

Corollary 4.25. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. If K is a quasi-ideal in S , then $K + K$ is a quasi-ideal in S .

Proposition 4.26. Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative semirings and $K_1 \subseteq S_1$, $K_2 \subseteq S_2$ nonempty. If K_1 and K_2 are quasi-ideals in S_1 and S_2 , respectively, then $K_1 \times K_2$ is a quasi-ideal in $S_1 \times S_2$.

Proof. Clearly, $S_1 \times S_2$ is a commutative semiring.

Let $x, y, z, w \in K_1 \times K_2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$, $z = (z_1, z_2)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2)$ for some $x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 \in K_1$ and some $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2 \in K_2$.

There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ and $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that $x_1 + w_1 + v_1 = y_1 + z_1 + u_1$ and $x_2 + w_2 + v_2 = y_2 + z_2 + u_2$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$. Then

$$u, v \in K_1 \times K_2 \text{ and } x + w + v = (x_1, x_2) + (w_1, w_2) + (v_1, v_2) = (x_1 + w_1 + v_1, x_2 + w_2 + v_2) = (y_1 + z_1 + u_1, y_2 + z_2 + u_2) = (y_1, y_2) + (z_1, z_2) + (u_1, u_2) = y + z + u.$$

Let $x, y \in K_1 \times K_2$ and $z, w \in S_1 \times S_2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$, $z = (z_1, z_2)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2)$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in K_1$, $x_2, y_2 \in K_2$, $z_1, w_1 \in S_1$ and some $z_2, w_2 \in S_2$. There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ and $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that

$$x_1 z_1 + y_1 w_1 + v_1 = x_1 w_1 + y_1 z_1 + u_1 \text{ and } x_2 z_2 + y_2 w_2 + v_2 = x_2 w_2 + y_2 z_2 + u_2. \text{ Let}$$

$u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$. Then $u, v \in K_1 \times K_2$ and $xz + yw + v =$

$$(x_1, x_2)(z_1, z_2) + (y_1, y_2)(w_1, w_2) + (v_1, v_2) = (x_1 z_1 + y_1 w_1 + v_1, x_2 z_2 + y_2 w_2 + v_2) =$$

$$(x_1 w_1 + y_1 z_1 + u_1, x_2 w_2 + y_2 z_2 + u_2) = (x_1, x_2)(w_1, w_2) + (y_1, y_2)(z_1, z_2) + (u_1, u_2) =$$

$$xw + yz + u.$$

#

Corollary 4.27. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty.

If K is a quasi-ideal in S , then $K \times K$ is a quasi-ideal in $S \times S$.

Proposition 4.28. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty.

If K is a semiring-ideal of S , then K is a quasi-ideal in S .

Proof. Let $x, y, z, w \in K$. Let $u = x+w$ and $v = y+z$.

Then $u, v \in K$ and $x+w+v = x+w+(y+z) = y+z+(x+w) = y+z+u$.

Let $x, y \in K$ and $z, w \in S$. Let $u = xz+yw$ and $v = xw+yz$.

Then $u, v \in K$ and $xz+yw+v = xz+yw+(xw+yz) = xw+yz+(xz+yw) = xw+yz+u$.

Thus K is a quasi-ideal in S .

#

The converse of this proposition is not always true as the next example shows.

Example 4.29. \mathbb{Z}^+ with the usual addition and multiplication is a commutative semiring and $\{1\}$ is a quasi-ideal in \mathbb{Z}^+ . But $1+1 = 2 \notin \{1\}$, so $\{1\}$ is not a semiring-ideal of \mathbb{Z}^+ .

Proposition 4.30. Let S be a commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its ring of differences, $i : S \rightarrow D(S)$ the difference embedding and $J \subseteq D(S)$ nonempty. If J is an ideal of $D(S)$, then $i^{-1}(J)$ is a semiring-ideal of S .

Proof. Let $x, y \in i^{-1}(J)$. Then $i(x), i(y) \in J$. Hence $i(x+y) = i(x)+i(y) \in J$. Thus $x+y \in i^{-1}(J)$.

Let $x \in i^{-1}(J)$ and $s \in S$. Then $i(x) \in J$ and $i(s) \in D(S)$.

Therefore $i(xs) = i(x)i(s) \in J$ and hence $xs \in i^{-1}(J)$.

#

Corollary 4.31. Let S be a commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its ring of differences, $i : S \rightarrow D(S)$ the difference embedding and J an ideal of $D(S)$. Then the following statements hold:

(1) $i^{-1}(J)$ is a quasi-ideal in S .

(2) $i^{-1}(J)+i^{-1}(J)$ is a quasi-ideal in $S+S$.

(3) $i^{-1}(J) \times i^{-1}(J)$ is a quasi-ideal in $S \times S$.

Theorem 4.32. Let S be a commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its ring of differences, $i : S \rightarrow D(S)$ the difference embedding and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then $i(K) - i(K)$ is an ideal of $D(S)$ if and only if K is a quasi-ideal in S .

Proof. Assume that $i(K) - i(K)$ is an ideal of $D(S)$. Let $x, y, z, w \in K$. Then $[(x+w, y+z)] = [(x, y)] + [(w, z)] = [(x, y)] - [(z, w)] \in i(K) - i(K)$. Hence there exist $u, v \in K$ such that $[(x+w, y+z)] = [(u, v)]$ which implies that $x+w+v = y+z+u$. Let $x, y \in K$ and $z, w \in S$. Then $[(x, y)] \in i(K) - i(K)$ and $[(z, w)] \in D(S)$. Thus $[(x, y)][(z, w)] \in i(K) - i(K)$. Therefore $[(xz+yw, xw+yz)] \in i(K) - i(K)$. There exist $u, v \in K$ such that $[(xz+yw, xw+yz)] = [(u, v)]$. Therefore $xz+yw+v = xw+yz+u$. Hence K is a quasi-ideal in S .

Conversely, assume that K is a quasi-ideal in S . Let $x, y \in i(K) - i(K)$. Then $x = [(x_1, x_2)]$ and $y = [(y_1, y_2)]$ where $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in K$. There exist $u, v \in K$ such that $x_1 + y_2 + v = x_2 + y_1 + u$. Thus $x - y = [(x_1 + y_2, x_2 + y_1)] = [(u, v)] \in i(K) - i(K)$. Let $[(x_1, x_2)] \in i(K) - i(K)$ and $[(r_1, r_2)] \in D(S)$. Since $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and $r_1, r_2 \in S$, there exist $u, v \in K$ such that $x_1 r_1 + x_2 r_2 + v = x_1 r_2 + x_2 r_1 + u$. Thus $[(x_1 r_1 + x_2 r_2, x_1 r_2 + x_2 r_1)] = [(u, v)]$, so $[(x_1, x_2)][(r_1, r_2)] \in i(K) - i(K)$. Hence $i(K) - i(K)$ is an ideal of $D(S)$.

#

Corollary 4.33. Let S be a commutative semiring having $D(S)$ as its ring of differences and $i : S \rightarrow D(S)$ the difference embedding. Then:

(1) If J is an ideal of $D(S)$, then $i(i^{-1}(J)) - i(i^{-1}(J))$ is an ideal of $D(S)$.

(2) If K is a quasi-ideal in S , then $i^{-1}(i(K)-i(K))$ is a quasi-ideal in S .

In [6] the concept of a C -set for a distributive ratio seminear-ring was given. For a ratio semiring (which is commutative) this definition is equivalent to the one given below :

Definition 4.34. Let D be a commutative ratio semiring and $C \subseteq D$ nonempty. Then C is called a C -set in D if

(1) for all $x, y \in C$, $xy^{-1} \in C$

and (2) for all $x \in C$ and all $d \in D$, $(x+d)(1+d)^{-1} \in C$.

Example 4.35. Let D be a commutative ratio semiring and 1 the identity of (D, \cdot) . Then D and $\{1\}$ are C -sets in D .

Definition 4.36. Let S be a commutative semiring and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then K is called a quasi- C -set in S if

(1) for all $a, b, c, d \in K$ there exist $e, f \in K$ such that $adf = bce$

and (2) for all $a, b \in K$ and all $c, d \in S$ there exist $e, f \in K$

such that $ad^2f + bcdf = bd^2e + bcde$.

Example 4.37. Let S be a commutative semiring and $x \in S$. Then S and $\{x\}$ are quasi- C -sets in S .

Proposition 4.38. Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative semirings.

Let K_1 and K_2 be quasi- C -sets in S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Then $K_1 \times K_2$ is a quasi- C -set in $S_1 \times S_2$.

Proof. Clearly, $S_1 \times S_2$ is a commutative semiring.

Let $x, y, z, w \in K_1 \times K_2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$, $z = (z_1, z_2)$ and

$w = (w_1, w_2)$ for some $x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 \in K_1$ and some $x_2, y_2, z_2, w_2 \in K_2$.

There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ and $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that $x_1 w_1 v_1 = y_1 z_1 u_1$ and

$x_2 w_2 v_2 = y_2 z_2 u_2$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$. Then $u, v \in K_1 \times K_2$

and $xwv = (x_1, x_2)(w_1, w_2)(v_1, v_2) = (x_1 w_1 v_1, x_2 w_2 v_2) = (y_1 z_1 u_1, y_2 z_2 u_2) =$

$(y_1, y_2)(z_1, z_2)(u_1, u_2) = yzu$.

Let $x, y \in K_1 \times K_2$ and $z, w \in S_1 \times S_2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$,

$z = (z_1, z_2)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2)$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in K_1$, $x_2, y_2 \in K_2$, $z_1, w_1 \in S_1$

and some $z_2, w_2 \in S_2$. There exist $u_1, v_1 \in K_1$ and $u_2, v_2 \in K_2$ such that

$x_1 w_1^2 v_1 + y_1 z_1 w_1 v_1 = y_1 w_1^2 u_1 + y_1 z_1 w_1 u_1$ and $x_2 w_2^2 v_2 + y_2 z_2 w_2 v_2 = y_2 w_2^2 u_2 + y_2 z_2 w_2 u_2$.

Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$. Then $u, v \in K_1 \times K_2$ and $xw^2v + yzwv =$

$(x_1, x_2)(w_1, w_2)(w_1, w_2)(v_1, v_2) + (y_1, y_2)(z_1, z_2)(w_1, w_2)(v_1, v_2) =$

$(x_1 w_1^2 v_1, x_2 w_2^2 v_2) + (y_1 z_1 w_1 v_1, y_2 z_2 w_2 v_2) = (x_1 w_1^2 v_1 + y_1 z_1 w_1 v_1, x_2 w_2^2 v_2 +$

$y_2 z_2 w_2 v_2) = (y_1 w_1^2 u_1 + y_1 z_1 w_1 u_1, y_2 w_2^2 u_2 + y_2 z_2 w_2 u_2) = (y_1 w_1^2 u_1, y_2 w_2^2 u_2) +$

$(y_1 z_1 w_1 u_1, y_2 z_2 w_2 u_2) = (y_1, y_2)(w_1, w_2)(w_1, w_2)(u_1, u_2) +$

$(y_1, y_2)(z_1, z_2)(w_1, w_2)(u_1, u_2) = yw^2u + yzwu.$

#

Corollary 4.39. Let S be a commutative semiring and K_1, K_2

quasi-C-sets in S . Then $K_1 \times K_2$ is a quasi-C-set in $S \times S$.

Proposition 4.40. Let S be a commutative semiring and K a

semiring-ideal of S . Then K is a quasi-C-set in S .

Proof. Let $a, b, c, d \in K$. Let $f = bc$ and $e = ad$. Then

$f, e \in K$ and $adf = bce$.

Let $a, b \in K$ and $c, d \in S$. Let $e = ad^2 + bcd$ and $f = bd^2 + bcd$.

Then $e, f \in K$ and $ad^2f+bcdf = (ad^2+bcd)f = (ad^2+bcd)(bd^2+bcd) = e(bd^2+bcd) = bd^2e+bcde$.
#

Proposition 4.41. Let S be a commutative semiring having $Q(S)$ as its commutative ratio semiring of quotients, $i : S \rightarrow Q(S)$ its quotient embedding and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1} = \{[(a,b)]/a, b \in K\}$.

Proof. $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1} = \{xy^{-1}/x, y \in i(K)\}$
 $= \{i(a)(i(b))^{-1}/a, b \in K\}$
 $= \{[(a^2, a)][(b^2, b)]^{-1}/a, b \in K\}$
 $= \{[(a^2, a)][(b, b^2)]/a, b \in K\}$
 $= \{[(a^2b, ab^2)]/a, b \in K\}$
 $= \{[(a, b)]/a, b \in K\}$. #

Theorem 4.42. Let S be a commutative semiring having $Q(S)$ as its commutative ratio semiring of quotients, $i : S \rightarrow Q(S)$ the quotient embedding and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ is a C-set in $Q(S)$ if and only if K is a quasi-C-set in S .

Proof. Assume that $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ is a C-set in $Q(S)$.

Let $a, b, c, d \in K$. Then $[(a, b)], [(c, d)] \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$. Therefore

$[(a, b)][(d, c)] \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$. There exist $e, f \in K$ such that $[(a, b)][(d, c)] = [(e, f)]$. Thus $[(ad, bc)] = [(e, f)]$, so $adf = bce$.

Let $a, b \in K$ and $c, d \in S$. Then $[(a, b)] \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ and $[(c, d)] \in Q(S)$.

Thus $[((ad+bc)d, bd(d+c))] = ([[(ad+bc, bd)])([(d+c, d)])^{-1} =$

$([(a,b)]+[(c,d)])([(a,a)]+[(c,d)])^{-1} \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$. There exist $e, f \in K$ such that $[(ad+bc)d, bd(d+c)] = [(e,f)]$. Therefore $ad^2f+bcdf = bd^2e+bcde$ and hence K is a quasi-C-set in S .

Conversely, assume that K is a quasi-C-set in S .

Let $x, y \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$. Then $x = [(a,b)]$ and $y = [(c,d)]$ for some $a, b, c, d \in K$. There exist $e, f \in K$ such that $adf = bce$. Thus $xy^{-1} = [(a,b)][(c,d)]^{-1} = [(a,b)][(d,c)] = [(ad, bc)] = [(e, f)] \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$.

Let $x \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ and $y \in Q(S)$. Then $x = [(a,b)]$ and $y = [(c,d)]$ for some $a, b \in K$ and some $c, d \in S$. There exist $e, f \in K$ such that $ad^2f+bcdf = bd^2e+bcde$. Thus $(ad^2+bcd, bd^2+bcd) \sim (e, f)$. Therefore $(x+y)(1+y)^{-1} = [(ad+bc, bd)][(c,c)]+[(c,d)]^{-1} = [(ad+bc, bd)][(d+c, d)]^{-1} = [(ad+bc, bd)][(d, d+c)] = [(ad^2+bcd, bd^2+bcd)] = [(e, f)] \in i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ and hence $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ is a C-set in $Q(S)$.

#

Corollary 4.43. Let S be a commutative semiring having $Q(S)$ as its commutative ratio semiring of quotients, $i : S \rightarrow Q(S)$ the quotient embedding and $K \subseteq S$ nonempty. If K is a semiring-ideal of S , then $i(K) \cdot i(K)^{-1}$ is a C-set in $Q(S)$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 4.40 and Theorem 4.42.

#

Definition 4.44. Let S be a commutative semiring with a multiplicative identity 1 and $A \subseteq S$ nonempty. Then A is called a c-set in S if

- (1) for all $x, y \in A$, $xy \in A$
 and (2) for all $x \in A$ and all $s \in S$, $x+s \in A(1+s)$.

Remark. Let S be a commutative ratio semiring and $A \subseteq S$ a C -set in S . Then A is a c -set in S .

Example 4.45. \mathbb{R}_0^+ with the usual addition and multiplication is a commutative semiring with a multiplicative identity 1.

Claim that $[1, \infty)$ is a c -set in \mathbb{R}_0^+ , let $x, y \in [1, \infty)$. Then $x \geq 1$ and $y \geq 1$. Therefore $xy \geq 1$, that is, $xy \in [1, \infty)$.

Let $x \in [1, \infty)$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Then $x+s \geq 1+s$, so $\frac{x+s}{1+s} \geq 1$.

Hence $x+s = \frac{x+s}{1+s} \cdot (1+s) \in [1, \infty)(1+s)$, so we have the claim.

Remark. \mathbb{Z}^+ with the usual addition and multiplication is not a c -set in \mathbb{Z}^+ because $3+2 = 5 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+(1+2)$.

Proposition 4.46. Let S be a commutative semiring with a multiplicative identity having $Q(S)$ as its commutative ratio semiring of quotients, $i : S \rightarrow Q(S)$ the quotient embedding and $C \subseteq S$ nonempty. If $i(C)$ is a C -set in $Q(S)$, then C is a c -set in S .

Proof. Let $x, y \in S$. Then $i(x), i(y) \in i(C)$. Therefore $i(xy) = i(x)i(y) \in i(C)$ and hence $xy \in i^{-1}(i(C)) = C$.

Let $x \in C$ and $s \in S$. Then $i(x) \in i(C)$ and $i(s) \in Q(S)$.

Therefore $(i(x)+i(s))(1+i(s))^{-1} \in i(C)$ which implies that

$i(x)+i(s) \in i(C)(1+i(s))$. Thus $i(x+s) \in i(C(1+s))$. Hence

$x+s \in i^{-1}(i(C(1+s))) = C(1+s)$.

#

Proposition 4.47. Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative semirings with a multiplicative identity. Let C_1 and C_2 be c-sets in S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Then $C_1 \times C_2$ is a c-set in $S_1 \times S_2$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in C_1 \times C_2$. Then $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in C_1$ and some $x_2, y_2 \in C_2$. Therefore $x_1 y_1 \in C_1$ and $x_2 y_2 \in C_2$. Thus $xy = (x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2) \in C_1 \times C_2$.

Let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in C_1 \times C_2$ and $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$. Then $x_1 + s_1 \in C_1(1 + s_1)$ and $x_2 + s_2 \in C_2(1 + s_2)$. Therefore $x_1 + s_1 = c_1(1 + s_1)$ and $x_2 + s_2 = c_2(1 + s_2)$ for some $c_1 \in C_1$ and some $c_2 \in C_2$. Thus $(x_1 + s_1, x_2 + s_2) = (c_1(1 + s_1), c_2(1 + s_2))$. Hence $x + s = (x_1, x_2) + (s_1, s_2) = (c_1, c_2)((1, 1) + (s_1, s_2)) \in (C_1 \times C_2)(1 + s)$. #

Corollary 4.48. Let S be a commutative semiring with a multiplicative identity and A a c-set in S . Then $A \times A$ is a c-set in $S \times S$.

Proposition 4.49. Let S be a commutative M.C. semiring with a multiplicative identity and C_1, C_2 c-sets in S such that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then $C_1 \cap C_2$ is a c-set in S .

Proof. Let $x, y \in C_1 \cap C_2$. Since $x, y \in C_1$, $xy \in C_1$. Also, $xy \in C_2$. Thus $xy \in C_1 \cap C_2$.

Let $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$ and $s \in S$. Then $x + s \in C_1(1 + s)$ and $x + s \in C_2(1 + s)$. Therefore $c_1(1 + s) = x + s = c_2(1 + s)$ for some $c_1 \in C_1$ and some $c_2 \in C_2$. Since S is M.C., $c_1 = c_2$. Hence $x + s \in (C_1 \cap C_2)(1 + s)$. #

Proposition 4,50, Let S be a commutative semiring with a multiplicative identity 1 . Then $\{1\}$ is a c -set in S . Furthermore, if S is both A.C. and M.C. and there exists an element $x \in S$ such that $\{x\}$ is a c -set in S , then $x = 1$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in \{1\}$. Then $a = b = 1$. Therefore $ab \in \{1\}$. Let $a \in \{1\}$ and $s \in S$. Then $a = 1$ and $a+s = 1+s = 1(1+s) \in \{1\}(1+s)$. Hence $\{1\}$ is a c -set in S .

Furthermore, assume that S is A.C. and M.C. and there exists an $x \in S$ such that $\{x\}$ is a c -set in S . Then $x+x \in \{x\}(1+x)$. Thus $x+x = x(1+x) = x1+xx$. Since S is A.C., $x = xx$. Since S is M.C., $x = 1$. #

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย