กลุ่มประชากรแอมโมเนียออกซิไดซ์ซิ่งแบคทีเรียและอาเคียในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียในประเทศไทย

นางสาวพันธุ์ทิพา สนธิพันธ์

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาการจัดการสิ่งแวดล้อม (สหสาขาวิชา) บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2551 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## COMMUNNITIES OF AMMONIA-OXIDIZING BACTERIA AND ARCHAEA IN FULL-SCALE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS IN THAILAND

Miss Puntipar Sonthiphand

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Environmental Management (Interdisciplinary Program) Graduate School Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2008 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	COMMUNITIES OF AMMONIA-OXIDIZING BACTERIA
	AND ARCHAEA IN FULL-SCALE WASTEWATER
	TREATMENT PLANTS IN THAILAND
Ву	Miss Puntipar Sonthiphand
Field of Study	Environmental Management
Advisor	Tawan Limpiyakorn, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

(Associate Professor Pornpote Piumsomboon, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE Chairman

(Assistant Professor Manaskorn Rachakornkij, Ph.D.)

Advisor

(Tawan Limpiyakorn, Ph.D.)

**Examiner** Examiner

(Assistant Professor Ekawan Luepromchai, Ph.D.)

(Associate Professor Alissara Reungsang, Ph.D.)

.....External Examiner

(Sorawit Powtongsook, Ph.D.)

พันธุ์ทิพา สนธิพันธ์: กลุ่มประชากรแอมโมเนียออกซิไดซ์ซิ่งแบคทีเรียและอาเคียในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสีย ในประเทศไทย. (COMMUNITIES OF AMMONIA-OXIDIZING BACTERIA AND ARCHAEA IN FULL-SCALE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS IN THAILAND) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ หลัก : อ. ดร.ตะวัน ลิมปิยากร, 105 หน้า.

จากความเชื่อในอดีตที่ว่าแอมโมเนียออกซิไดซ์ซิ่งแบคทีเรีย (AOB) เป็นจุลซีพที่มีบทบาทหลักในการออกซิไดซ์แอมโมเนียไปเป็นไนไตรท์ ใน ปัจจุบันมีการค้นพบว่านอกจากแบคทีเรียแล้วจุลซีพกลุ่มอาเคีย (Archaea) ก็สามารถออกซิไดซ์แอมโมเนียไปเป็นไนไตรท์ได้ จากหลักฐาน การมีอยู่ของยืน amoA ของแอมโมเนียออกซิไดซ์ซิ่งอาเคีย (AOA) ในทะเลและดิน นอกจากนี้ยังมีการแยกอาเคียจากทะเลได้เป็นครั้งแรกชื่อ Nitrosopumilus maritimus และจากเทคนิค real-time PCR พบว่า มีปริมาณยืน amoA ของอาเคียมากกว่าแบคทีเรีย จึงเกิดคำถามว่า AOA เป็นจุลขีพหลักในการออกซิไดซ์แอมโมเนียไปเป็นไนไตรท์หรือไม่ งานวิจัยนี้มุ่งศึกษากลุ่มประชากร AOB และ AOA ในระบบบำบัดน้ำ เสีย 10 แห่ง (โรงบำบัดน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรม 4 แห่ง และโรงบำบัดน้ำเสียขุมขน 6 แห่ง) ที่มีลักษณะน้ำเสีย, องค์ประกอบของระบบ, และการ ควบคุมระบบที่แตกต่างกัน นอกจากนี้ยังศึกษาผลกระทบของปริมาณแอมโมเนียต่อกลุ่มประชากร AOB และ AOA ในไนตรีไฟอิงแอกทิเวเต็ด สลัดจ์ (NAS) โดยน้ำเชื้อจากระบบบ้ำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชนมาเลี้ยงในถังปฏิกรณ์ 3 ถัง โดยอาหารอนินทรีย์ที่มีปริมาณแอมโมเนียต่างๆกัน 2mM. 10mM, และ 30 mM NH ้₄-N (NAS2, NAS10, และ NAS30 ตามลำดับ) ทำการศึกษากลุ่มประชากร AOB โดยใช้เทคนิค specific PCR amplification ตามด้วย DGGE และอ่านลำดับรหัสพันธุกรรมของ AOB 16S rRNA ยีน ส่วนกลุ่มประชากร AOA ทำการศึกษาโดยใช้เทคนิค specific PCR amplification ตามด้วย clone libraries และอ่านลำดับรหัสพันธุกรรมของ AOA amoA ยืน จากผลการทดลองพบว่ากลุ่ม ประชากร AOB ในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรมมีความหลากหลายมากกว่าในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชน AOB ที่พบในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสีย อุตสาหกรรมตกอยู่ใน 4 คลัสเตอร์ ดังนี้ unknown Nitrosomonas cluster, N. europaea-Nc. mobilis cluster, N. communis cluster, และ N. oligotropha cluster ส่วน AOB ที่พบในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชนตกอยู่ใน N. communis cluster และ N. oligotropha cluster จะเห็น ้ว่าความแตกต่างของลักษณะน้ำเสีย (ความเข้มข้นของแอมโมเนีย) อาจเป็นปัจจัยหลักที่ทำให้เกิดรูปแบบการกระจายของกลุ่มประชากร AOB ในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียทั้ง 2 แบบ ระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชนเกือบทั้งหมดเป็นแอคติเวเต็ดสลัดจ์ (activated sludge process) ยกเว้นเพียงหนึ่ง โรงบำบัดเป็นบ่อเติมอากาศ (aerated lagoon system) พบว่ากลุ่มประชากร AOB จากระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชมชนทกแห่งเหมือนกัน 🔍 จะเห็นว่า องค์ประกอบและการควบคุมระบบมีความสำคัญน้อยกว่าลักษณะน้ำเสีย ในส่วนของ AOA สามารถแยกได้ 72 โคลน จากแปดในสิบระบบ บำบัดน้ำเสีย และสามารถแบ่งได้เป็น 38 OTUs กระจายใน 12 คลัสเตอร์ สิ่งที่ต่างจาก AOB คือ กลุ่มประชากร AOA ในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสีย อุตสาหกรรมมีความหลากหลายน้อยกว่าในระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชน จากระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรมพบ AOA จาก 2 แห่ง เท่านั้น คือ จากระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรมที่รับภาระแอมโมเนียปานกลาง (40 -70 mg-N/I) พบ AOA อยู่ใน คลัสเตอร์ G และ K แต่ไม่พบ AOA ใน ระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรมที่รับภาระแอมโมเนียต่ำ (13 mg-N/l) และสูง (422 mg-N/l) ในทางตรงกันข้ามพบ AOA จากระบบบำบัดน้ำ เสียชุมชนทั้งหกแห่งซึ่งรับการะแอมโมเนียต่ำ (5 – 13 mg-N/I) พบ AOA อยู่ใน คลัสเตอร์ A, B, C, E, F, G, I, J, K, L, M, และ N นอกจากนี้ ยังพบว่าจากตัวอย่างที่มาจากระบบบำบัดแบบแอคติเวเต็ดสลัดจ์ AOA จะกระจายอยู่ใน 1 – 3 คลัสเตอร์ แต่ตัวอย่างที่มาจากบ่อเติมอากาศ พบว่า AOA จะกระจายอยู่ใน 6 คลัสเตอร์ ดังนั้นในกรณีของ AOA องค์ประกอบและการควบคุมระบบมีผลอย่างมีนัยสำคัญต่อการกระจาย ของกลุ่มประชากร AOA จากการนำสลัดจ์จากระบบบำบัดน้ำเสียชุมชนมาเพาะเลี้ยงใน NAS พบว่า กลุ่มประชากร AOB มีการเปลี่ยนแปลง อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ กลุ่มประชากร AOB จากสลัดจ์ตกอยู่ในกลุ่ม N. communis cluster และ N. oligotropha cluster หลังจากเลี้ยงในหลาย ความเข้มข้นแอมโมเนีย N. communis cluster หายไปจากทุก NAS พบ AOB ที่มี affinity ต่อแอมโมเนียสงใน NAS 2 (N. oligotropha cluster), พบ AOB ที่มี affinity ต่อแอมโมเนียด่ำใน NAS 30 (*N. europaea* cluster), และพบ AOB ทั้งสองชนิดใน NAS 10 (unknown Nitrosomonas cluster and N. europaea cluster) ตรงข้ามกับ AOB เกือบทุกโคลนของ AOA จาก NAS 2 (19 จาก 21), NAS10 (23 จาก 26), และ NAS 30 (30 จาก 30) ตกในคลัสเตอร์ K. อีกทั้งกลุ่มประชากร AOA ใน NAS 2 และ NAS10 มีความหลากหลายมากกว่าใน NAS 30 ชี้ให้เห็นว่าปริมาณแอมโมเนียมีผลอย่างมีนัยสำคัญต่อกลุ่มประชากร AOB แต่ไม่มีผลอย่างมีนัยสำคัญต่อกลุ่มประชากร AOA ในทุก NAS

สาขาวิขาการจัดการสิ่งแวดล้อม	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต พื้นอุ้ทิพา	สนธิพันธ์	
ปีการศึกษา <u>2551</u>	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก	7:02 -	

#### # #508 75295 20: MAJOR ENRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT KEYWORDS : AMMONIA-OXIDIZING BACTERIA/ AMMONIA-OXIDIZING

## ARCHAEA/ amoA GENE/ 16S rRNA GENE/ MICROBIAL COMMUNITY/ WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

## PUNTIPAR SONTHIPHAND: COMMUNITIES OF AMONIA-OXIDIZING BACTERIA AND ARCHAEA IN FULL-SCALE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS IN THAILAND. ADVISOR: TAWAN LIMPIYAKORN, PH.D, 105 PP.

For several decades, it had been believed that ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) is the only microorganisms that are responsible for the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite in the global nitrogen cycle. Recently, a few evidences have shown that autotrophic ammonia oxidation is not only restricted to the domain Bacteria, but also the domain Archaea. The presence of an ammonia monooxygenase (amoA) genes of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) has been revealed in a few marine and soil environments; in addition, the first AOA, Nitrosopumilus maritimus, has been isolated from sea water. Abundances of amoA genes of AOA over those of AOB have been found in few studies using quantitative real-time PCR technique. As results, AOA is questionable of the major environmental microorganisms that oxidize ammonia to nitrite. In this study, communities of AOB and AOA in ten full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (four industrial WWTPs and six municipal WWTPs) that are different in influent characteristics, system configuration and system operation were observed. In addition, effect of ammonium loads on AOB and AOA communities was investigated by enriching sludge taken from a municipal WWTP with inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations of 2, 10, and 30 mM NH+4-N (NAS2, NAS10, and NAS30, respectively). The communities of AOB and AOA were analyzed using specific PCR amplification followed by DGGE and sequencing of AOB 16S rRNA genes and specific PCR simplification followed by clone libraries and sequencing of AOA amoA genes, respectively. Results from full-scale WWTPs showed that AOB communities in four industrial WWTPs were more diverse than those in the six municipal WWTPs. AOB found in industrial WWTPs fell into 4 clusters that are unknown Nitrosomonas cluster, N. europaea-Nc. mobilis cluster, N. communis cluster, and N. oligotropha cluster, while AOB found in municipal WWTPs were restricted to only N. communis cluster and N. oligotropha cluster. The difference of influent wastewater characteristic (ammonium concentration) might be the key factor causing distinct distribution patterns of AOB communities in both types of WWTPs. Almost all municipal WWTPs in this study were activated sludge processes with exception for one plant that was aerated lagoon system. The communities of AOB in all municipal WWTPs were similar. As results, system configuration and system operation were less significant than influent wastewater characteristics. A total 72 AOA amoA sequences, recovered from eight out of ten WWTPs, could be categorized into 38 OTUs, and distributed in 12 clusters. Unlike AOB, communities of AOA in the industrial WWTPs were less diverse than those in the municipal WWTPs. Only 2 industrial WWTPs with moderate ammonium loads (40 -70 mg-N/l), contained AOA (clusters G and K). Negative PCR amplification occurred with another two industrial WWTPs with low (13 mg-N/l) and high (422 mg-N/l) ammonium loads. In contrast, all six municipal WWTPs, that received low ammonium loads of 5-13 mg-N/l, possessed AOA (cluster A, B, C, E, F, G, I, J, K, L, M, and N). Five out of the six municipal WWTPs were activated sludge processes, whereas another was an aerated lagoon system. For each sample of activated sludge processes, only 1 - 3 clusters of AOA were observed, while in the sample from an aerated lagoon system much more AOA clusters of 6 were found. Therefore, system configuration and system operation might be significant for the distribution of AOA in WWTPs. Results from enriched NASs suggested that AOB communities obviously shifted from seed sludge to enriched NASs and in each enriched NAS, communities of AOB varied particularly. Sludge taken from a municipal WWTP used as a seed for all reactors contained members of N. communis cluster and N. oligotropha cluster. After it was enriched under various ammonium loads, members of N. communis cluster disappeared from all enriched NASs. AOB with high affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 2 (N. oligotropha cluster), AOB with low affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 30 (N. europaea cluster), and both types of AOB survived in NAS 10 (unknown Nitrosomonas cluster and N. europaea cluster). In contrast to AOB, almost all AOA clones from NAS2 (19 out of 21), NAS10 (23 out of 26), and NAS30 (30 out of 30) fell in the same cluster (cluster K). AOA communities in NAS2 and NAS10 were more diverse than those of NAS30. These demonstrated that ammonium load significantly influenced AOB communities, but not AOA communities in enriched NASs. , 1

Field of Study : Environmental Management	Student's Signature : พันธุ์ทิฬา วันธิพันธ์
Academic Year : 2008	Advisor's Signature : Mr or -

## ACKNOWLDGEMENTS

I do wish to express my graceful appreciation to Dr. Tawan Limpiyakorn who is my thesis advisor for his guidance and support during the entire of my study and for his time, patience and suggestions and comments, I am deeply indebted.

Moreover, I would like to express my appreciation and sincere gratitude to Dr. Manaskorn Rachakornkij who is a chairman of my thesis committee, Assistant Professor Ekawan Luepromchai, Associate Professor Alissara Reungsang, and Dr. Sorawit Powtongsook who are committee members for their suggestions and recommendations throughout my research work.

In addition, I would like to thank the National Center of Excellence for Environmental and Hazardous Waste Management (NCE-EHWM) for the full scholarship, funding and supporting facilities for my study.

I also would like to thank the Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA) for providing municipal wastewater samples and their information for this study.

Special thanks to Miss Chantana Intim who is a Laboratory supervisor and all staffs at NCE-EHWM program for their helps especially in the analytical works.

I thank to my fellow all students at NCE-EHWM for making the time enjoyable in the lab.

Last but definite not least, I would like to express my deep appreciation to my family. They always support and encourage in any time.

## CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (THAI)	iv
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and motivation	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Hypothesis	5
1.4 Scope of the study	5
CHAPTER II : LITERATURE REVIEWS	6
2.1 Microbial nitrification	6
2.2 Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB)	7
2.2.1 Phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria	7
2.2.2 Factors influencing communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria	13
2.2.3 Dominant populations of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in wastewater treatment plant	15
2.2.4 Co-metabolism of organic compounds by ammonia- oxidizing bacteria	15
2.3 Ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA)	17
2.3.1 Phylogeny of AOA	19
2.3.2 Factors influencing communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea	20

	Page
2.4 Molecular technique	23
CHAPTER III : METHODOLOGY	25
3.1 Experimental framework	25
3.2 Measurement ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate	26
3.2.1 Measurement of ammonium	26
3.2.2 Measurement of nitrite	26
3.2.3 Measurement of nitrate	27
3.3 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea	27
3.3.1 Sample preparation and DNA extraction	27
3.3.2 Analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria communities	28
3.3.3 Analysis of ammonia-oxidizing archaea communities	30
3.4 Experiment Part I and II : Analysis of communities of ammonia- oxidizing bacteria and archaea in full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)	32
3.4.1 Sample collection and description	32
3.4.2 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in full-scale WWTPs	32
3.4.3 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in full-scale WWTPs	32
3.5 Experiment Part III : Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in enriched nitrifying activated sludge (NAS)	34
3.5.1 Seed sludge	35
3.5.2 Medium for enriching nitrifying activated sludge	35
3.5.3 Enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations (2, 10, and 30 mM)	35
3.5.4 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in seed sludge and enriched NAS	36

## Page

3.5.5 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea seed sludge and enriched NAS	in 
CHAPTER IV : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in ful scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)	11- 
4.1.1 Description of full-scale WWTPs	
4.1.2 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in full-sca wastewater treatment plants	ıle
4.1.3 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in full-sca wastewater treatment plants	
4.2 Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of ammoni oxidizing bacteria and archaea in enriched nitrifying activate sludge	a- ed
4.2.1 Enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations of 2, 10, and 30 mM $NH_4^+$ -N (NAS 2, NAS 10, and NAS 30)	, 
4.2.2 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in seed sludg and enriched NAS	ge 
4.2.3 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in seed sludg and enriched NAS	ge 
CHAPTER V : CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	
5.1 Conclusions	•••
5.1.1 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea full-scale wastewater treatment plants	in 
5.1.2 Effect of ammonia concentrations on communities ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and arhaea in seed sludge an enriched NAS	of nd
5.2 Suggestions for future works	••••

## Page

REFERENCES	71
APPENDICES	83
APPENDIX A	84
APPENDIX B	87
APPENDIX C	95
APPENDIX D	98
VITAE	105

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Characteristics and preferred habitats of described species of the ammonia-oxidizing bacteria	14
2.2	Specific primer targeting 16S rRNA and <i>amoA</i> gene of AOB and AOA	24
3.1	Specific primers for AOB used in this study	29
3.2	Specific primers of AOA used in this study	31
3.3	Influent and effluent characteristics, system configuration, and system	
	operation of WWTPs	33
4.1	Description of wastewater treatment plants	38
4.2	Closely related sequences of AOB 16S rRNA gene fragments	39
4.3	Summary of AOB found in full-scale WWTPs	40
4.4	Closely related sequences of AOA amoA gene fragments	45
4.5	Summary of AOA communities in full-scale WWTPs	47
4.6	Closely related sequences of AOB 16S rRNA gene fragments	56
4.7	Summary of AOB found in seed sludge and enriched NAS	56
4.8	Closely related sequences of AOA amoA gene fragments	60
4.9	Summary of AOA communities in seed sludge and enriched NAS	61

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Autotrophic ammonia-oxidation during nitrification	6
2.2	16S rRNA based phylogenetic tree of the nitrosomonads	10
2.3	16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of the highly related genera <i>Nitrosospira</i> , <i>Nitrosolobus</i> , and <i>Nitrosovibrio</i>	11
2.4	AmoA-based phylogenetic tree of the betaproteobacterial AOB	12
2.5	Co-metabolism of ethylene by AOB	16
2.6	Phylogenetic tree of AOA	20
3.1	Experimental framework	25
3.2	Experimental framework for analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria communities	28
3.3	Experimental framework for analysis of ammonia oxidizing archaea communities	30
3.4	Experimental framework for analyzing the effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA in enriched NAS	34
4.1	Phylogenetic tree showing 16S rRNA genes of AOB belonging to Betaproteobacterial with addition of 400-bp sequences from full-scale WWTPs into the distance tree that was previously based on comparison of 1000-bp sequences of described AOB	42
4.2	Neighbor joining tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from full-scale WWTPs	48
4.3	Distance matrix tree of AOA amoA sequences from full-scale WWTPs	49
4.4	Maximum parsimony tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from full-scale WWTPs	50
4.5	Maximum likelihood tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from full-scale WWTPs	51
4.6	Concentrations of ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate during enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations	54

## Figure

4.7	Phylogenetic tree showing 16S rRNA genes of AOB belonging to Betaproteobacterial with addition of 400-bp sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS into the distance tree that was previously based on comparison of 1000-bp sequences of described AOB	57
4.8	Neighbor joining tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS	62
4.9	Distance matrix tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS	63
4.10	Maximum parsimony tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS	64
4.11	Maximum likelihood tree of AOA <i>amoA</i> sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS	65

Page

## **CHAPTER I**

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background and motivation**

Discharge of improper treated wastewater into natural receiving waters can cause negative impacts on humans, aquatic lives or even natural microorganisms. Nitrogens at sufficiently high levels in water can be toxic to fish and other aquatic life, as well as contribute to eutrophication, creating large amounts of oxygen demand depleting oxygen level in natural receiving waters (Hall, 1986; Painter, 1986). Other than the common pollutants of concerns (organic, nitrogen, and phosphorous), discharging micropollutants from industrial and municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) into the water bodies is responsible for severe direct adverse effects such as genetic and developmental abnormalities in humans, aquatic life, and natural microorganisms (Taewoo Yi and Willie F., 2007). Micropollutants are the chemical or biochemical pollutants which are present in very small amounts in water but likely enough to impact human, aquatic life, and natural microorganisms. Examples of micropollutants are chlorinated organic compounds (tricholoethylene (TCE), polychlorobiphenyls (PCB)), and endocrine disrupting compounds (estrone (E1), 17βestradiol (E2), estriol (E3), 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2)). A multitude of substances have been shown to endocrine disrupt disturbing the hormonal systems of human and aquatic organisms. Among these, natural estrogens, i.e., E1, E2, and E3 as well as synthetic estrogens, i.e., EE2 are effective at nanograms levels (Routledge et al., 1998 and Purdom et al., 1994). A laboratory study on the endocrine disrupting potency of EE2 demonstrated that EE2 at low concentrations of 1–10 ngL<sup>-1</sup> caused estrogenic response in caged fish (Purdom et al., 1994). Although the amounts of estrogens detected in treated wastewater is at nanogram levels, studies revealed that the presence of estrogens in treated wastewater is responsible for the feminization of male fish and sexual disruption in many aquatic wildlife (IUPAC, 2003).

Nitrification is a key process in the removal of nitrogens from WWTPs. It is the microbiological activity by which ammonia  $(NH_3)$  is oxidized to nitrite  $(NO_2^-)$  by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and nitrite is subsequently oxidized to nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  by nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB).

Beside ammonia, AOB probably play roles in removing a few persistant micropollutants in WWTPs. AOB is capable of degrading organic pollutants via cometabolism during ammonia oxidation. There are some evidences indicating that efficiencies of estrogen removal related to operational parameter of WWTPs (Carballa et al., 2004; Clara et al., 2005). The positive influence of long sludge retention times (SRTs) on nitrification in activated sludge systems has been also associated with increasing in the estrogen removals. Kreuzinger et al. (2004) have observed that with the increase in SRT, the biodegradation of estrogens increased. Clara et al. (2005) showed that WWTPs with nitrogen removal also effectively removed micropollutants (including estrogens). Shi et al. (2004) has showed that Nitrosomonas europaea is capable of oxidizing E1, E2, E3 and EE2 at 200 mg/L of estrogens added in the presence of ammonia. On the other hand, it has been discovered that chemicals present in low concentrations (lower than mg/L range) may show quite different biodegradation behavior than they typically do at high concentrations (mg/L range). Moreover, it appears that biodegradation often is favored at low concentrations, and in such cases, co-metabolic degradation mechanisms may dominate (Alexander, 1985). In fact, clear evidence of co-metabolism is still needed to come up with the conclusion.

Activated sludge is the most common process in full-scale WWTPs in Thailand due to its low operational cost with high performance. However, nitrification failure in activated sludge occurs so frequently. This is because AOB are very sensitive to environment factors; for instance, inappropriate HRT or SRT results in washing out of nitrifying bacteria from WWTPs. When this circumstance occurs, It takes very long period to recovery them in the system owing to their slow growth rates, their inability to compete heterotrophs. Nevertheless, almost all of the troubles in function or performance are related to the changes of microbial community structures in WWTPs (Liu Xin-chun *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, a thorough knowledge of the ecology and microbiology communities of AOB is required to link between system configuration, system operation and stability of nitrification process in system performance.

The ecology and microbiology of AOB have been suggested to differ among distinct species. The distribution patterns of distinct AOB in the environments reflect the physiological properties of AOB isolates observed in the laboratory (Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001). Among several factors, ammonia, the essential energy source, is the most important factor affecting the AOB communities in the environments. AOB have been reported on their presence in several environments such as freshwater, salt lake, acidic soil, and wastewater treatment plant, etc. The capability in adapting themselves to different ammonia concentrations in the diverse environments, results from the difference in affinity constants for ammonia in distinct AOB species (Suwa et al., 1994, 1997; Stehr et al., 1995a; Koops and Pommerening-Röser, 2001). Members of the Nitrosomonas oligotropha cluster, Nitrosomonas communis cluster, and the Nitrosospira cluster are the most common AOB found in the systems with low ammonium loads (Schramm et al., 1998; Dionisi et al., 2002; Harms et al., 2003; Limpiyakorn et al., 2005, 2006b), whereas members of the Nitrosomonas europaea-Nitrosococcus mobilis cluster are found in the systems with high ammonium loads (Juretschko et al., 1998; Wagner et al., 1998).

In the year 2004, it was first time revealed that autotrophic ammonia oxidation is not only restricted to the domain *Bacteria*, but also the domain *Archaea*. Venter *et al.* (2004) found the presence of an ammonia monooxygenase gene (*amoA*)–like gene on an archaeal-associated scaffold and indicated the potential role of archaea in nitrification process in the ocean. Then Treusch *et al.* (2005) discovered gene that potentially encode ammonia monooxygenase (AMO), a key enzyme in ammonia oxidation. The ultimate confirmation of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) activity was achieved by cultivation of a mesophilic crenarchaeote (Konneke *et al.*, 2005). Like AOB, AOA grows chemolithoautotrophically by oxidizing ammonia to nitrite under mesophilic conditions. In addition, it contains putative genes for all three subunits (*amoA*, *amoB*, and *amoC*) of AMO (Konneke *et al.*, 2005). In 2006, Park *et al.* clearly demonstrated the presence of molecular markers of AOA, suggesting an archaeal *amoA* cluster D that might be widespread in activated sludge bioreactors. However, this study was not designed to rigorously identify factors controlling AOA diversity and community composition. So far, physiological properties information on AOA is not yet discovered. Only rough information could be obtained from few molecular evidences from natural environments. It has been reported that bioavailability of nitrogen and carbon, oxygen, salinity, pH, and especially ammonia concentration affect distribution pattern and communities of AOA in the environments (Konneke *et al.*, 2005; Francis *et al.*, 2005; Leininger *et al.*, 2006; Coolen *et al.*, 2007). The maximum growth rate of Crenarchaeota in culture, containing 500  $\mu$ M ammonium, was 0.78 d<sup>-1</sup>. While ammonium typically reaches concentrations of < 0.03–1 $\mu$ M in the open ocean and < 0.03–100  $\mu$ M in coastal waters. The maximum growth rate of Crenarchaeota in nature was vary between 0.05 and 0.3 d<sup>-1</sup>(Konneke *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, the archaeal amoA libraries from the site, which is highly enriched in ammonium ([NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>] >150  $\mu$ M), showed the most diverse strain of AOA (Francis *et al.*, 2005).

To enhance the efficiency and stability in removing ammonia and recalcitant micropollutants in WWTPs, study on the ecology and microbiology of AOB and AOA in WWTPs is required. There is no information of AOB and AOA communities in full-scale WWTPs in Thailand, including their ability in degrading recalcitant micropollutants for now. To link between their communities and their ability in degrading persistant micropollutants in full-scale WWTPs, This work focuses on studying communities of AOB and AOA in full-scale WWTPs and another work done by Napasawan Khongkham on the topic of effects of AOB communities in nitrifying activated sludge on degradation  $17\alpha$ - ethynylestradiol via co-metabolism focuses on the ability of AOB in full-scale WWTPs in degrading recalcitant micropollutants. The main goal of this part is to analyze effects of influent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation on their communities.

## 1.2 Objectives

- 1. To study communities of AOB in full-scale wastewater treatment plants
- 2. To analyze effects of influent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation on communities of AOB in full-scale wastewater treatment plants

- 3. To study communities of AOA in full-scale wastewater treatment plants
- 4. To analyze effects of influent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation on communities of AOA in full-scale wastewater treatment plants
- To investigate effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA in enriched nitrifying activated sludge

## 1.3 Hypotheses

- 1. Wastewater treatment plants harbor different AOB communities.
- 2. Communities of AOB in wastewater treatment plants affect ammonia removal efficiency and stability of nitrification process in each plant.
- 3. Wastewater treatment plants harbor different AOA communities.
- 4. Communities of AOA in wastewater treatment plants affect ammonia removal efficiency and stability of nitrification process in each plant.
- 5. Ammonium concentrations affect significantly communities of AOB and AOA

## **1.4** Scope of the study

- 1. Communities of AOB and AOA in species level were analyzed using molecular tools.
- 2. Primers specific to groups of AOB and AOA were use for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of 16S rRNA gene and *amoA* gene respectively.
- Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA were studied in enriched nitrifying activated sludge receiving inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations.

## **CHAPTER II**

## LITERATURE REVIEW

## 2.1 Microbial nitrification

Nitrification is central to the global nitrogen cycle and involves the oxidation of ammonia to nitrate (through nitrite) by two physiologically distinct groups of organisms: autotrophic ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizers. Ammonia-oxidizing organisms convert ammonia to nitrite through hydroxylamine using ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) and hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO). Autotrophic nitrite oxidizers subsequently use the enzyme nitrite oxidoreductase (NOR) to convert nitrite to nitrate, which can be assimilated or subjected to denitrification processes. In anaerobic environments, ammonia can be converted to molecular nitrogen by the anammox process by several enzymatic steps (represented by dashed arrows).



Figure 2.1 Autotrophic ammonia oxidation during nitrification (Nicol et al., 2006).

The ammonia oxidation is the rate-limiting step of nitrification. In the soil environment, it can lead to substantial amounts of net nitrogen loss through subsequent denitrification or leaching of nitrate. In the marine environment, ammonia oxidation is an important component of nitrogen mineralization from organic sources and the removal of anthropogenic nitrogen inputs in coastal waters. Ammonia oxidation is also a key step in the removal of nitrogen during wastewater treatment (Prosser *et al.*, 2002 and Kowalchuk *et al.*, 2001).

Ammonia oxidation is considered to be carried out largely by autotrophic ammonia-oxidising bacteria (AOB) that form two distinct monophyletic groups within the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ - proteobacteria. To date, most cultured strains belong to the  $\beta$ subgroup (Kowalchuk *et al.*, 2001) and  $\beta$ -proteobacterial AOB are believed to be the dominant ammonia oxidizers in most environments. The same as AOB, Cultivationindependent molecular methods show that members of the kingdom Crenarchaeota within the domain Archaea represent a substantial component of microbial communities in aquatic and terrestrial environments. In 2004, metagenomic studies have revealed that such Crenarchaeota contain ammonia monooxygenases genes which related to those of bacterial. Furthermore, the first marine chemolithoautotrophic strain was isolated that uses ammonia as a sole energy source (Konneke *et al.*, 2005).

It is questionable from these recent discoveries that who is the important organisms playing the key role in nitrification process. In most environments, autotrophic AOB are considered to be the most important organisms that responsible for ammonia oxidation. However, in most mesophilic environments, Crenarchaeota are more abundant than AOB populations. Wuchter *et al.* (2006) and Leininger *et al.* (2006) have found that most mesophilic Crenarchaeota are AOA, and that these organisms are the numerically dominant ammonia oxidizers in the ocean and in soils respectively.

## 2.2 Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB)

The lithoautotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) are well defined by their fundamental metabolism. Ammonia serves as the sole energy source and carbon dioxide is used as the carbon need. Together with the lithotrophic nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB), the AOB catalyze the nitrification process ( $NH_3 \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3$ ), which has a key step in natural nitrogen cycling.

## 2.2.1 Phylogeny of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria

## 2.2.1.1 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree

The first phylogenetic analyses of AOB were carried out by Woese and co-workers in the 1980s (Woese *et al.*, 1984; Woese *et al.*, 1985) and demonstrated

that two phylogenetically distinct groups of AOB exist. The major group, containing the genera *Nitrosomonas*, *Nitrosospira*, *Nitrosovibrio* and *Nitrosolobus*, belongs to the class Betaproteobacteria, while the second group of AOB, represented by two species of the genus *Nitrosococcus*, is affiliated with the class Gammaproteobacteria.

The genera *Nitrosospira*, *Nitrosolobus* and *Nitrosovibrio* are closely related, and 16S rRNA phylogeny provides no convincing support for subdivision of this lineage into three genera, although morphological and ecophysiological differences exist between the different genera. It has therefore been suggested these genera be lumped into the single genus *Nitrosospira* (Head *et al.*, 1993). In contrast, the cultured nitrosomonads can be subdivided into six lineages which are consistently retrieved using different treeing methods and which have parsimony bootstrap support of above 90%. This phylogenetic substructure is also retrieved if all betaproteobacterial AOB isolates (56 nitrosomonads and 48 nitrosospiras), for which a 16S rRNA sequence longer than 1000 bases has been determined, are included in the treeing analysis (Figure 2.2 and 2.3).

## 2.2.1.2 AmoA-based phylogenetic tree

Recently, the *amoA* gene coding for the active site polypeptide of the ammonia monooxygenase has been used as an additional phylogenetic marker molecule for AOB (McTavish et al., 1993; Klotz and Norton, 1995; Rotthauwe et al., 1995; Suwa et al., 1997; Hommes et al., 1998; Alzerreca et al., 1999; Yamagata et al., 1999; Horz et al., 2000; Purkhold et al., 2000; Aakra et al., 2001a; Casciotti and Ward, 2001; Purkhold et al., 2003). PCR primers that allow amplification of a 453bp fragment of this gene are generally used in these studies (Rotthauwe et al., 1997; modified by Stephen et al., 1999). Phylogeny inference based on the deduced amino acid sequence of the amoA gene fragment is overall consistent with the 16S rRNA Members of the genera Nitrosospira, Nitrosolobus and phylogeny of AOB. Nitrosovibrio form a tight monophyletic grouping with no obvious substructure. Within the nitrosomonads the N. europaea/Nc. mobilis lineage and the N. marina lineage are also found with all treeing methods, while the N. communis and the N. oligotropha lineage are not always monophyletic (Figure 2.4). If amoA nucleic acid sequences are used for phylogenetic analysis, basically the same picture emerges with the exception that the *N. europaea/eutropha* lineage is no longer monophyletic.

If compared to the 16S rRNA-based phylogeny of AOB, AmoA analysis does provide less resolution, reflecting that a relatively short (151 positions) and highly conserved (93 positions have an identical amino acid in at least 98% of the betaproteobacterial AOB) amino acid sequence stretch is used as marker. The information content of AmoA sequences could be significantly extended in future studies by the development of primers that allow the amplification of more complete *amoA* gene fragments. First attempts in this direction were recently published by Norton *et al.*, 2002.



Figure 2.2 16S rRNA based phylogenetic tree of the nitrosomonads. (Koops *et al.*, 2003)



Figure 2.3 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of the highly related genera *Nitrosospira*, *Nitrosolobus*, and *Nitrosovibrio*. (Koops *et al.*, 2003)



Figure 2.4 AmoA-based phylogenetic tree of the betaproteobacterial AOB. (Koops *et al.*, 2003)

# 2.2.2 Factors influencing communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria 2.2.2.1 Ammonia concentration

The distribution pattern of AOB in nature is coupled to geological, biological and anthropogenic sources of reduced nitrogen because ammonia is the essential energy source for these organisms. Consequently, they have adapted to a broad range of different ammonia concentrations in the diverse environments, reflected by different affinity constants for ammonia. This is one of the most important factors influencing the distribution patterns of AOB in nature (Suwa *et al.*, 1994; Suwa *et al.*, 1997; Stehr *et al.*, 1995a; Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001).

## 2.2.2.2 The tolerance of increasing of ammonia concentrations

Due to ammonia is a toxic compound. The tolerance of increasing ammonia concentrations (Table 2.1) is another aspect affecting the distribution patterns of AOB (Bollmann and Laanbroek, 2001).

#### 2.2.2.3 Urease activity

The presence or absence of urease activity was observed to be of ecophysiological relevance for AOB. This property is of special importance in acidic environments, where free ammonia is missing as substrate because it is nearly quantitatively ionized to ammonium. Under such conditions, only those AOB species that can use urea as an alternative ammonia source can survive (De Boer and Laanbroek, 1989; De Boer *et al.*, 1991; Jiang and Bakken, 1999; Burton and Prosser, 2001). Possession of urease seems also to be an essential property for AOB that successfully colonize oligotrophic soils or aquatic environments.

# 2.2.2.4 Different salt requirements, salt tolerances and salt sensitivities

Distribution of AOB in nature also is affected by different salt requirements, salt tolerances and salt sensitivities of the distinct species (Table 2.1). This is of special importance for their distribution patterns in aquatic systems (such as rivers, lakes, estuaries, marine environments and salt lakes) that significantly differ in salinity (Koops *et al.*, 1990; Koops *et al.*, 1991).

Table 2.1 Characteristics and preferred habitats of described species of the ammoniaoxidizing bacteria (Koops *et al.*, 2003).

Species	G+C (mol%)	Carboxy- somes	Urease activity	Substrate (NH3) affinity (Ks in µM)	Maximum ammonia tolerance NH <sub>4</sub> Cl (in mM; pH 8.0)	Salt requirement	Maximum salt tolerance (in mM)	Preferred habitats
Nitrosomonas europaea	50.6-51.4	-	-		400	-	400	
Nitrosomonas eutropha	47.9-48.5	+	-	20.61	600	-	400	Sewage disposal plants,
Nitrosomonas halophila	53.8	+	-	30-61	400	+	900	eutrophic freshwater
Nitrosococcus mobilis	49.3	-	-		250	+	500	and brackish water
Nitrosomonas communis	45.6-46.0	-	-	14-43	250	-	250	Soils (not acid) and
Nitrosomonas nitrosa	47.9	+	+	19-46	100	-	300	eutrophic freshwater
Nitrosomonas ureae	45.6-46.0	-	+	10.12	200	-	200	Oligotrophic freshwater
Nitrosomonas oligotropha	49.4-50.0	-	+	1.9-4.2	50	-	150	and natural soils
Nitrosomonas marina	47.4-48.0	-	+	50-52	200	+	800	Marian
Nitrosomonas aestuarii	45.7-46.3	-	+		400	+	600	Marine environments
Nitrosomonas cryotolerans	45.5-46.1	-	+	42-59	400	+	550	Marine environments
Nitrosolobus multiformis	53.5	ND	+/-	ND	50	-	200	Soils (not acid)
Nitrosovibrio tenuis	53.9	ND	+/-	ND	100	-	100	Soils, rocks and freshwater
Nitrosospira briensis	54	ND	+/-	ND	200	-	250	Soils, rocks and freshwater
Nitrosococcus oceani	50-51	ND	+	ND	1000	+	1100	Marine environments
Nitrosococcus halophilus	50-51	ND	-	ND	500	+	1800	Marine environments and salt lakes

Symbols and Abbreviations: +, present; -, not present; +/-, present in some strains; and ND, no data.

### 2.2.2.5 Different oxygen concentration

The AOB are aerobes. Although their oxygen affinity constants are relatively high (Painter, 1986), AOB can also survive at extremely low oxygen concentrations (Goreau *et al.*, 1980). Even ammonia oxidation under anaerobic conditions is being discussed (Schmidt and Bock, 1997; Schmidt and Bock, 1998; Zart *et al.*, 2000). However, specific selection of distinct AOB species by different oxygen concentrations has not yet been reported in the literature.

### 2.2.2.6 Temperature

Temperature also may be of importance for distribution patterns of AOB in nature. This has been revealed in some publications (Golovacheva *et al.*, 1976; Jones *et al.*, 1988; Jiang and Bakken, 1999). Beside environments characterized by constant high or low temperatures, such as hot springs or permafrost soils, environments showing pronounced temperature changes, such as rock surfaces, might harbor interesting AOB. However, it is not enough information for now to allow general conclusions on their AOB diversity.

# 2.2.3 Dominant populations of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in wastewater treatment plant

Urea and ammonia are the most frequently found nitrogen compounds in sewage. In wastewater treatment plants, AOB oxidize ammonia to nitrite, which is subsequently converted to nitrate by the NOB. Nitrate is then removed from the sewage by denitrifying bacteria via anaerobic respiration. The slow growth rate of AOB and their susceptibility to pH and temperature swings as well as to several sewage compounds is responsible for frequent failure of the nitrification in municipal and industrial WWTPs.

### 2.2.3.1 Municipal wastewater treatment plant

Isolation techniques indicate that in standard municipal WWTPs, *N. eutropha* seems to be the dominant representative (Watson and Mandel, 1971b; Koops and Harms, 1985), but *N. europaea* and *Nc. mobilis* have also been repeatedly cultivated (Juretschko *et al.*, 1998; Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001). All these species belong to the same *Nitrosomonas* lineage (Figure 2.2)

## 2.2.3.2 Industrial wastewater treatment plant

In industrial WWTPs, the cultivation of representatives of members of the *N. oligotropha* lineage as well as *N. nitrosa* has been regularly reported (Koops and Harms, 1985; Suwa *et al.*, 1997). In laboratory experiments, a remarkable high tolerance of members of the *N. oligotropha* lineage to heavy metals was observed, and the production of significant amounts of exopolymeric materials by these species was suggested to be the major reason for this tolerance (Stehr *et al.*, 1995b). This resistance to heavy metals may be responsible for the presence of members of this lineage in special WWTPs.

# 2.2.4 Co-metabolism of organic compounds by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria

AOB, which is obligate chemolithotrophic aerobe using ammonia as a sole energy source, is widely for the oxidation of hydrocarbon substrates through the action of ammonia monooxgenase (AMO) (Arciero *et* al., 1989). During oxidation of ammonia to nitrite, AMO catalyzes the oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine. Subsequently, hydroxylamine is oxidized to nitrite by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO). During the last process four electrons are released. Two of four electrons transfer to AMO in order to activate oxygen and maintain steady-state rate of ammonia oxidation. The rest two electrons are used in another oxidation reaction which is called co-metabolism (Arciero *et al.*, 1989; William and Daniel, 1993). Currently, many hydrocarbons and halogenated hydrocarbons which are able to be degraded by co-metabolism of AOB such as in Figure 2.5 show ethylene is degraded by co-metabolism of AOB.



Figure 2.5 Co-metabolism of ethylene by AOB (William and Daniel, 1993)

In mixed culture, in batch experiments with nitrifying activated sludge (NAS), 0.050 mg/l of EE2 was degraded completely within 6 days by oxidizing ammonium at rate of 50 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+/</sup>gDW/ hr and degrading EE2 at maximum rate of 1  $\mu$ g/gDW/hr (Vader *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, in initial concentration of 1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> of estrogen were degraded with NAS by the degradation rate of 0.056 hr<sup>-1</sup> for E1, 1.3 hr<sup>-1</sup> for E2, 0.030 hr<sup>-1</sup> for E3, and 0.035 hr<sup>-1</sup> for EE2. By using inhibitor for ammonia monooxygenase, the key enzyme for ammonia oxidation by AOB confirmed that NAS significantly degrade E1, E2, E3 and EE2. In NAS, E1, E2 and E3 were degraded by heterotrophic bacteria whereas EE2 was degraded by AOB (Shi *et al.*, 2004). As for pure culture, ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), *Nitrosomonas europaea*, degraded 0.4 mg/l estrogens with constant biodegradation rates of 0.0022 mg/l/hr for E1, 0.0020 mg/l/hr for E2, 0.0016 mg/l/hr for E3 and 0.0019 mg/l/hr for EE2. Corresponding ammonia

consumption rates were 1.5 mgNH4<sup>+</sup>-N/l/hr for E1, 1.45 mgNH4<sup>+</sup>-N/l/hr for E2, 1.35 mgNH4<sup>+</sup>-N/l/hr for E3 and 1.55 mgNH4<sup>+</sup>-N/l/hr for EE2 (Shi *et al.*, 2004).

A variety of biological treatment technologies for the destruction of chlorinated hydrocarbons, such as TCE, are now under development. Microorganisms that can grow on TCE as a sole carbon or energy source have not yet been isolated. However, several physiologically diverse types of bacteria can cometabolically dechlorinate and then partially or fully degrade TCE. For instance, Vogel and McCarty (1985) found that TCE could be cometabolically transformed when used as a nongrowth-supporting electron acceptor by methanogens under anaerobic conditions, while Wilson and Wilson (1985) found that methanotrophic bacteria could cometabolize TCE aerobically by means of the enzyme methane monooxygenase (MMO) when methane was supplied as a primary substrate. Recently, several studies have indicated that chlorinated aliphatic compounds, including TCE, can be cometabolized by nitrifying bacteria (Nitrosomonas europaea) ( Ely et al., 1995; Arciero et al., 1989; Hyman et al., 1995). It was found that the enzyme ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) wasinvolved in these reactions. Usually, aerobic cometabolic processes are preferred overanaerobic ones because TCE can be mineralized to CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and Cl<sup>-</sup> without the accumulation of stable and carcinogenic intermediates such as vinyl chloride (Vogel et al., 1985). However, due to the low solubility of methane gas, it is difficult to handle this primary substrate for methanotrophs in cometabolism of TCE. Thus, using the more soluble of ammoniarammonium instead of methane as a primary substrate for nitrifying bacteria may increase the operation efficiencies in in situ bioremediation of TCE.

## 2.3 Ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA)

Cultivation-independent molecular surveys show that members of the kingdom Crenarchaeota within the domain Archaea represent a substantial component of microbial communities in aquatic and terrestrial environments. In 2004, metagenomic study of the Sargasso Sea by shotgun DNA sequencing, Venter *et al.* found the presence of an ammonia monooxygenase gene (*amo*A–like gene) on an archaeal-associated scaffold and indicated the potential role of archaea in nitrification processes of the ocean. After that a search for homologues of the *amoA* and *amo*B-

like genes of the soil clone revealed several highly similar genes in the Sargasso Sea dataset, which enabled these marine genes to be assigned also to Crenarchaeota. This is the first link between amo-like genes and mesophilic Crenarchaeota. This evidence also led to the hypothesis that non-thermophilic Crenarchaeota of soil could be ammonia oxidizers (Schleper et al., 2005). Furthermore, Treusch et al. (2005) demonstrated that incubation of soil in the presence of ammonia resulted in a significant increase of crenarchaeal amoA expression compared with controls incubated without ammonia. These experiments suggested that the identified genes encoded an ammonia monooxygenase. The ultimate confirmation of AOA activity was achieved by cultivation of a mesophilic crenarchaeote from a marine aquarium in Seattle, USA (Konneke et al., 2005). Nitrosopumilus maritimus is phylogenetically placed within the 'marine' group 1.1a lineage (Figure 2.6). It grows chemolithoautotrophically, using ammonia as a sole energy source, and seems to grow at similar rates and densities as cultured AOB with near-stoichiometric conversion of ammonia to nitrite (Konneke et al., 2005). With primers designed from the *amo* gene sequences obtained in the metagenomic studies of Treusch et al. (2005) and Venter et al. (2004), the authors amplified highly similar amoABC-like genes from N. maritimus, which again suggested that these genes encode the key metabolic enzyme of AOA. The first molecular evidence demonstrate the archaeal amoA gene to be pervasive in areas of the ocean that are critical for the global nitrogen cycle – including the base of the euphotic zone, suboxic water columns and coastal/estuarine sediments (Francis et al., 2005). They use specific PCR primers specifically targeting the archael amoA to investigate the distribution and diversity of AOA in water columns and sediments of the ocean. For the first time, these data indicated that many marine Crenarchaeota might be capable of ammonia oxidation. The archaeal amoA sequences revealed diverse and distinct AOA communities associated with different habitats and sampling sites, with little overlap between water columns and sediments. There are two evidences, showing that AOA are more abundant than AOB in marine and soil environments. In the North Sea, the archaeal amoA abundance was 1-2orders of magnitude higher than those of bacterial nitrifiers; and , in the North Atlantic, crenarchaeotal amoA copy numbers are also 1–3 orders of magnitude higher than those of bacterial amoA (Wuchter et al., 2006). Leininger et al. (2006) demonstrated that *amo*A gene copies of Crenarchaeota were up to 3,000-fold more abundant than bacterial *amo*A genes. High amounts of crenarchaeota-specific lipids, including crenarchaeol, correlated with the abundance of archaeal *amo*A gene copies. Reverse transcription quantitative PCR studies and complementary DNA analysis using novel cloning-independent pyrosequencing technology demonstrated the activity of the archaea in situ and supported the numerical dominance of archaeal over bacterial ammonia oxidizers. They assume that Crenarchaeota may be the most abundant ammonia-oxidizing organisms in soil ecosystems on earth. Moreover, AOA have also recently been detected in nitrifying wastewater treatment bioreactors used to remove ammonia from wastewater by using PCR primers targeting archaeal *amo*A gene (Park *et al.*, 2006). All of these sequences showed similarity to sequences previously found in soil and sediments. AOA were distributed primarily in four major phylogenetic clusters (A, B, C, and D) This study clearly demonstrates the presence of molecular markers for AOA, including an archaeal amoA cluster (cluster D) that may be widespread in activated sludge bioreactors.

#### 2.3.1 Phylogeny of AOA

Phylogenetic tree showed major non-thermophilic and cultivated (hyper)thermophilic lineages within the kingdom Crenarchaeota. Lineage descriptions follow those of Schleper et al. (Schleper et al., 2005). Two lineages with representatives that have crenarchaeal AMO genes are highlighted from marine and soil environments. Pairwise distances (with LogDet-Paralinear correction) of unambiguously aligned positions were calculated using variable sites only (estimated from a maximum-likelihood model). Bootstrap support was calculated using maximum likelihood, distance and parsimony methods (100, 1000 and 1000 replicates, respectively) with values at major nodes representing the most conservative value from all three methods (expressed as a percentage). Multifurcation indicates where the relative branching order of major lineages could not be determined in the majority of bootstrap replicates with all methods. The scale bar represents an estimated 0.05 changes per nucleotide position (Nicol et al., 2006).



Figure 2.6 Phylogenetic tree of AOA (Nicol et al., 2006)

# 2.3.2 Factors influencing communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea 2.3.2.1 Ammonium concentration

A pure culture of Crenarchaeota grew to a maximal density of  $1.4 \times 10^7$  cells/ml at 28 °C in defined medium containing 500 µM ammonium, with a minimum generation time of 21 h. This cell density is approximately three orders of magnitude greater than that observed for marine Crenarchaeota in natural bacterioplankton samples. Ammonium typically reaches concentrations of  $< 0.03-1\mu$ M in the open ocean and  $< 0.03-100 \mu$ M in coastal waters (Konneke *et al.*, 2005). The maximum growth rate of Crenarchaeota in culture (0.78 d<sup>-1</sup>) was higher than the range of rates estimated for natural bacterioplankton communities, which vary between 0.05 and 0.3 d<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, Francis *et al.* found that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> might also be expected to influence AOA community structure. Coastal permeable sediments from Huntington Beach are characterized by consistently elevated NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentrations caused by hydrologic

connection with groundwater, which is highly enriched in  $NH_4^+$  (groundwater  $[NH_4^+] > 150 \mu$ M). The archaeal *amoA* library from this site was the most diverse in his study.

### 2.3.2.2 Organic material

The addition of organic compounds, even in very low concentrations, appeared to inhibit the growth of Crenarchaeota in culture (Konneke *et al.*, 2005). Thus, organic material excreted by other organisms (for example, phototrophic primary producers) and a low concentration of ammonium may limit the abundance of marine Crenarchaeota in the environment.

#### 2.3.2.3 Habitat/geographic location

Using PCR primers designed to specifically target archaeal *amoA*, Francis *et al.* find AOA to be pervasive in areas of the ocean that are critical for the global nitrogen cycle, including the base of the euphotic zone, suboxic water columns, and estuarine and coastal sediments. Diverse and distinct AOA communities are associated with each of these habitats, with little overlap between water columns and sediments. Within marine sediments, most AOA sequences are unique to individual sampling locations, whereas a small number of sequences are evidently cosmopolitan in distribution (Francis *et al.*, 2005).

#### 2.3.2.4 Salinity

Differences in AOA community composition in San Francisco Bay, the largest estuary on the west coast of the United States, are likely associated with salinity (Francis *et al.*, 2005). Sequences from the 30.5-practical salinity units (psu) Central San Francisco Bay site were distributed throughout a number of different regions of the tree. While all of the low-salinity (0.5 psu) North San Francisco Bay sequences fell exclusively into one distinct phylogenetic cluster. From these result, they assumed that more salinity were responsible for more diversity of AOA.

## 2.3.2.5 Season

PCR amplification of archaeal 16S rRNA gene by using a general archaeal primer followed by phylogenetic analysis of sequenced denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) fragments revealed that Crenarchaeota dominated the archaeal community from late fall to early spring (Wuchter *et al.*, 2006). In December, abundances of Crenarchaeota decreased considerably, for reasons presently unclear, whereas ammonium levels remained relatively constant at ~9 $\mu$ M.

While in early January, crenarchaeotal abundance again increased substantially by 1 order of magnitude, coinciding with a decrease in ammonia levels from 10 to 3  $\mu$ M.

### 2.3.2.6 Depth of soil

To analyse the distribution of AOA and AOB at different depths, Leininger *et al.* investigated an agricultural soil, which has been treated with different amounts and qualities of fertilizers for more than 100 years, and a natural, pristine calcareous grassland soil site. Bacterial amoA genes in the former declined significantly with depth in the unfertilized and inorganically fertilized sites while archaeal amoA genes stayed high, resulting in a maximal AOA to AOB ratio of 3,000 (Leininger *et al.*, 2006).

### 2.3.2.7 Bioavailability of nitrogen and carbon

Both archaeal and bacterial amoA copy numbers varied little with depth at the site treated additionally with manure and these higher levels of archaeal and bacterial amoA were associated with the highest bioavailability of nitrogen and carbon (Leininger *et al.*, 2006).

# 2.3.2.8 Operational system and retention time of wastewater treatment system

All of the PCR-positive samples were collected from WWTPs operating with aerated-anoxic processes (i.e., the Orbal and VLRprocesses ), in which extremely low DO concentrations are maintained, enabling simultaneous nitrification and denitrification. Additionally, AOA-positive samples were collected from WWTPs operating with long retention times (>15 days of solids retention time, >24 h of hydraulic retention time). Thus, it is possible that either or both of these features (low DO levels and long retention times) facilitate the growth of AOA (Park *et al.*, 2006)

## 2.3.2.9 Oxygen level

Most of the marine Crenarchaeota located within the suboxic layer are putative nitrifiers and live at oxygen levels  $\leq 1 \text{ mM}$  (Coolen *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, the presence of crenarchaeol in the oxygen minimum zone of the Arabian Sea, where oxygen levels were less than 5  $\mu$ M, provided indirect evidence that marine Crenarchaeota are capable of thriving at low oxygen levels (Sinninghe Damsté *et al.*, 2002b).

### 2.3.2.10 Sulfide

Different phylotypes of marine Crenarchaeota (both 16S rDNA and *amoA*) were found in the top of the sulfidic zone, and those phylotypes were not detected in the suboxic zone. Living marine Crenarchaeota were present even in the sulfidic waters with up to a few tens of mM sulfide (Coolen *et al.*, 2007).

### 2.4 Molecular technique

Developed molecular tools, incorporating sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA and amoA genes, allow investigating ammonia oxidizer in the environments without concerning to culture-dependent techniques. In combination with clone libraries or denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), the application of specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification (Kowalchuk et al., 1997; Rotthauwe et al., 1997; Nicolaisen and Ramsing, 2002) provides clarification of the ammonia oxidizer community at the species level. The implementation of fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Wagner et al., 1995; Mobarry et al., 1996; Juretschko et al., 1998; Wagner et al., 1998; Okabe et al., 1999; Gieseke et al., 2001) makes it possible to analyze in situ complex community structure of AOB and estimate their numbers. The use of PCR-based quantification techniques, such as competitive PCR and realtime PCR, supports enumeration of AOB populations in the environments (Hermansson and Lindren, 2000; Dionisi et al., 2002; Harms et al., 2003). Recently, the gene encoding for the active site of ammonia monooxygenase (amoA) has been established as molecular marker for AOB diversity research in natural ecosystems and in engineered systems (Gieseke et al., 2001; Horz et al., 2000; Rotthauwe et al., 1997). In addition, a putative *amoA* gene has been detected in an autotrophic marine ammonia-oxidizing archaea (Konneke et al., 2005), but the amino acid sequence are of low similarity to bacterial AMO-encoding genes. Consequently, we can use specific primer (Table 2.2) targeting both 16S rRNA and amoA gene of AOB and AOA
	Targeting	Primer	Nucleotide sequence $(5^{\prime}-3^{\prime})$	Reference
	gene			
AOB	16S rRNA	CTO189A/Bf-	CGCCCGCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGG	Kowalchuck
		GC	CGGGGGCACGGGGGGGAGRAAAG	<i>et al.</i> , 1997)
			CAGGGGATCG	
		CTO 189Cf-	CGCCCGCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGG	Kowalchuck
		GC	CGGGGGCACGGGGGGGGGAGGAAAG	<i>et al.</i> , 1997)
			TAGGGGATCG	
		CTO 654r	CTAGCYTTGTAGTTTCAAACGC	Kowalchuck
				et al., 1997)
	amoA gene	amoA 1F	GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT	Rotthauwe et
				al., 1997
		amoA 1F-GC	CGCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	Rotthauwe et
			GGGCGGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT	al., 1997
		amoA 2R	CCCCTCTGCAAAGCCTTCTTC	Rotthauwe et
				al., 1997
AOA	16S rRNA	A21F	TTCCGGTTGATCC[CT]GCCGGA	Delong, 1992
		A958R	[C/T]CCGGCGTTGA[A/C]TCCAATT	Delong, 1992
	amoA gene	Arch-amoAF	STAATGGTCTGGCTTAGACG	Francis <i>et al.</i> ,
				2005
		Arch-amoAR	GCGGCCATCCATCTGTATGT	Francis <i>et al</i> .,
				2005

Table 2.2 Specific primer targeting 16S rRNA and *amoA* gene of AOB and AOA

## **CHAPTER III**

## METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS

## 3.1 Experimental framework



Figure 3.1 Experimental framework

This work was divided into three parts. The first part of this study focused on the study of communities of AOB in species level by using molecular technique (PCR-DGGE-sequencing) and analysis of influent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation on communities of AOB. The second part focused on the study of communities of AOA in species level by using molecular technique (PCR-cloning-sequencing) and analysis of influent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation on communities of AOA. The last part of this work was to investigate the effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA in enriched nitrifying activated sludge (NAS) receiving inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations.

## **3.2** Measurement ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate

## 3.2.1 Measurement of ammonium

Sample was diluted with deionized water. 5 ml of dilution sample and 0.2 mL of phenol solution (Mix 11.1 mL liquefied phenol ( $\geq$ 89%) with 95% v/v ethyl alcohol to a final volume of 100 mL) were added and then mixed. 0.2 mL of sodium nitroprusside solution (0.5% w/v: dissolve 0.5 g of sodium nitropusside in 100 mL of deionized water), and 0.5 mL of oxidizing solution (Mix 100 mL alkaline citrate solution: dissolve 200 g of trisodium citrate and 10 g of sodium hydroxide in 1000 mL of deionized water with 25 mL of sodium hypochloride) were added into the tube. Sample was covered with plastic wrap or paraffin wrapper film and kept at room temperature in subdued light for at least 1 hr to develop color. Sample was measured for absorbance at 640 nm with UV visible spectrophotometers (Thermo Electron Corporation, Hexious  $\alpha$ , Cambridge, UK) (Phenate method, Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 20<sup>th</sup> edition).

### **3.2.2** Measurement of nitrite

Sample was diluted with deionized water. 5ml of diluted sample and 0.1mL of Sulphanilamide solution (dissolve 5 g of Sulphanilamide and 50 mL of hydrochloric in 500 mL) was added, and allowed to react 5 min, then 0.1 mL of NNED solution (dissolve 1 g of (N-(1-Naphthyl)-Ethylenediamine Dihydrochloride in

1000mL of de-ionized water) was added and allowed at room temperature in subdued light for at least 1 hr to develop color. Sample was measured for absorbance at 543 nm with UV visible spectrophotometers (Thermo Electron Corporation, Hexious  $\alpha$ , Cambridge, UK) (Colorimetric method, Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 20<sup>th</sup> edition).

#### 3.2.3 Measurement of nitrate

Sample was diluted with deionized water. 5 mL of diluted sample was filtered and measured for absorbance at 220 nm to obtain NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reading and absorbance at 275 nm to determine interference due to dissolved organic matter with UV visible spectrophotometers (Thermo Electron Corporation, Hexious  $\alpha$ , Cambridge, UK) (Ultraviolet Spectrophotometric Screening Method, Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 20<sup>th</sup> edition).

## 3.3 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea

## **3.3.1** Sample preparation and DNA extraction

Sludge of approximately 2 mg of MLSS was transferred into a 1.7 ml eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was kept at -20 <sup>o</sup>C until analysis. DNA was extracted from samples using Fast-DNA SPIN kits for soil (QBiogene, Solon, Ohio, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The product from DNA extraction was verified by electrophoresis in 2% agarose (Bio-Rad, Spain).



## 3.3.2 Analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria communities

Figure 3.2 Experimental framework for analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria communities

## 3.3.2.1 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Primers CTO189f and CTO654r (Kowalchuck *et al.*, 1997) were used to amplify 465-bp of 16S rRNA gene fragment of *Betaproteobacteria*. The oligonucleotide sequences of the primers are shown in Table 3.1. Extracted DNA was PCR-amplified using the primer sets (the forward primer had a GC clamp) for 35 cycles in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction volume. DNA eluted from bands excised from DGGE gels were amplified for 20–25 cycles using the primer set lacking the GC clamp in a 25  $\mu$ l reaction volume. The PCR mixture was prepared using Takara DNA polymerase (TAKARA Inc, Tokyo, Japan) following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification was performed in an Authorized thermal cycler (Biorad, USA) under the conditions of 3 min at 94  $^{0}$ C followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94  $^{0}$ C, 1 min at 57  $^{0}$ C, and 1 min at 72  $^{0}$ C, followed by 10 mins final extension at 72  $^{0}$ C.

Primer	Nucleotide sequence (5'–3')
CTO189A/Bf	GGAGRAAAGCAGGGGATCG
CTO 189Cf	GGAGGAAAGTAGGGGATCG
CTO189A/Bf-GC	CGCCCGCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG
	GCAGGGGATCG
CTO 189Cf-GC	CGCCCGCCGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGAGGA
	GTAGGGGATCG
CTO 654r	CTAGCYTTGTAGTTTCAAACGC

Table 3.1 Specific primers for AOB used in this study

### **3.3.2.2** Denaturing gradient gel electrophoreses (DGGE)

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoreses was performed according to the modification of a described method (Kurisu *et al.*, 2002). We use 8% polyacrylamide gels, and the urea–formamide denaturant gradient was 30–70%. Gels were run on the D Code system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) for 16 h at 60  $^{0}$ C and 75 V. After electrophoresis, the gels are stained with Ethidium bromide (Amersham Biosciences, Munich, Germany) and analyzed by gel documentation (Dolphin-DOC Plus, NV, USA). Prominent bands were excised and dissolved in 30µl sterilized water. DNA was recovered from the gel by freeze–thawing three times.

## 3.3.2.3 Phylogenetic analysis

The completed 400-bp from 465-bp analyzed sequences were aligned with sequences from the SSU rRNA database (Antwerm, Belgium) using the ARB program package (Department of Microbiology, Technische Universitat Munchen, Munich, Germany; [http://www.arb-home.de]). Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the ARB program package. We added our 400-bp sequences into the distance tree, which was previously constructed based on comparison of 1000-bp sequences of all AOB, which are available in the SSU rRNA database, and some related non-AOB, which were used as outgroup sequences. Additionally, our 400-bp sequences and 400bp sequences of described AOB species (Koops *et al.*, 2003) and some related non-AOB were calculated based on maximum parsimony, maximum likelihood, and distance analyses using the external software provided in the ARB program package (Phylip DNAPARS, AxML, and Phylip Distance Method, respectively).



3.3.3 Analysis of ammonia-oxidizing archaea communities

Figure 3.3 Experimental framework for analysis of ammonia oxidizing archaea communities

## **3.3.3.1** Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Primers Arch-amoAF and Arch-amoAR (Francis *et al.*, 2005) were used to amplify 635-bp of Archaeal *amoA* gene fragments. The PCR mixture was prepared using Takara DNA polymerase (TAKARA Inc, Tokyo, Japan) following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification was performed in an Authorized thermal cycler (Biorad, USA) under the conditions of 3 min at 94 <sup>o</sup>C followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94  $^{0}$ C, 1 min at 57  $^{0}$ C, and 1 min at 72  $^{0}$ C, followed by 10 mins final extension at 72  $^{0}$ C.

Primer	Nucleotide sequence $(5^{'}-3^{'})$
Arch-amoAF Arch-amoAR	STAATGGTCTGGCTTAGACG GCGGCCATCCATCTGTATGT

Table 3.2 Specific primers of AOA used in this study

### 3.3.3.2 Cloning

Duplicate PCR products were pooled and purified by gel

electrophoresis using a QIAEXII gel extraction kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA). The purified PCR products were cloned using the pGEM-T Easy vector system (Promega, Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's instructions. For each sample, 10-20 clones were randomly selected for sequencing.

## 3.3.3.3 Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic trees for AOA was constructed by tree different methods comprising of distance matrix, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood to confirm the grouping of AOA analyzed in this study and all major AOA reported in previous studies so far. Cluster was defined based on operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the DOTUR program (Scholoss *et al.*, 2005). AOA clusters were defined based on OTUs using the DOTUR program. Any AOA sequences, showing >86% identity, were identified as the same AOA cluster.

# **3.4** Experiment Part I and II: Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)

## 3.4.1 Sample collection and description

Sludge sample were taken from 10 full-scale WWTPs, including 4 industrial WWTPs (I1-I4), 4 large municipal WWTPs (LM1-LM4), and 2 small municipal WWTPs (SM1 and SM4). All municipal WWTPs belong to Bangkok metropolitan administration (BMA). The WWTPs were selected basing on the difference in influent wastewater characteristics, system configuration, and system operation. The details were shown in Table 3.3.

## 3.4.2 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in fullscale WWTPs

See section 3.3.2

# **3.4.3** Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in full-scale WWTPs

See section 3.3.3

WWTP	Treatment Process	Design Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /d)	Actual flow (m <sup>3</sup> /d)	BOD in influent (mg/l)	BOD in effluent (mg/l)	NH <sub>3</sub> in influent (mg/l)	NH <sub>3</sub> in effluent (mg/l)	% NH <sub>3</sub> removal	DO conc. in effluent (mg/l)
I1 <sup>a</sup>	AS	6,000	4,500	400	7	NA	NA	NA	NA
I2 <sup>a</sup>	AS	6,000	5,000	3,150	11	443	14	96.84	3
I3 <sup>a</sup>	AS	4,000	1,300	NA	NA	30	4	86.67	2
I4 <sup>a</sup>	AS	8,000	6,500	299	4	199	15	92.46	4.44
LM1 <sup>b</sup>	AS	200,000	124,282	35.20	6.6	8.75	1.41	83.89	6.33
LM2 <sup>b</sup>	AS	40,000	28,107	57.99	13.76	6.53	2.90	55.59	5.94
LM3 <sup>b</sup>	AS	157,000	124,423	32.43	3.84	7.08	0.43	93.93	6.24
LM4 <sup>b</sup>	AS	30,000	20,961	54.22	5.35	NA	NA	NA	3.27
SM1 <sup>b</sup>	AS	800	600	116.82	5.50	NA	NA	NA	3.50
SM4 <sup>b</sup>	Aerated lagoon	1,500	1,188	69.82	10.64	NA	NA	NA	1.35

Table 3.3 Influent and effluent characteristics, system configuration, and system operation of full-scale WWTPs

AS, activated sludge process; NA, data not available <sup>a</sup> The values were the averages of the three months in before the sludge was collected. <sup>b</sup> The values were the averages of the year 2007, providing on the website (http://dds.bma.go.th)

3.5 Experiment Part III: Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in enriched nitrifying activated sludge (NAS)



Figure 3.4 Experimental framework for analyzing the effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA in enriched NAS

### 3.5.1 Seed sludge

Seed sludge was taken from a sludge buffer tank of a Chong Nonsi municipal wastewater treatment plant. This system is Cyclic Activated Sludge System (CASS) which is modified from Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) and can receive up to 200,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day. On the day of sampling, biological oxygen demand (BOD) in the influent was 40 mg/ l, whereas ammonium concentration was 13 mg N/l. BOD and ammonium removal efficiencies of this system were 92.5 % and 84.6 %, respectively. Nitrite concentration in the aeration tank was 0.01 mg N/l, and pH was controlled around 6-7. Mixed-liquor suspended solids (MLSS) concentration on the day of sampling was 9385 mg/l.

### 3.5.2 Medium for enriching nitrifying activated sludge

The inorganic medium for enriching NAS contained  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ , 40 mg of MgSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 40 mg of CaCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 200 mg of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mg of FeSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1 mg of Na<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 mg of MnCl<sub>2</sub>•4H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.02 mg of CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1 mg of ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.002 mg of CoCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O per liter (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2007). NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was added to achieve 2 mg bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) per 1 mg of ammonium added. pH was adjusted to around 7.5 - 8.0 using 40 g/l NaHCO<sub>3</sub>.

# 3.5.3 Enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations (2, 10, and 30 mM)

Sludge taken from the municipal wastewater treatment system was enriched in three laboratory-scale continuous flow reactors without sludge recycling introduced with inorganic medium containing three different ammonium concentrations: 2, 10 and 30 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (28, 140, and 420 mg N/l, respectively). Total volume of each reactor was 4 l, with an effective volume of 2 l. To obtain the optimum condition for AOB growth, temperature was kept at 30  $^{\circ}$ C, DO concentration was controlled at around 2 mg/l, pH was maintained in a range of 7.5 - 8.0 using 1 N HCl and 1 N NaOH, and mixing was provided at rotating speed of 300 rpm. Inorganic medium was introduced into all reactors at a fixed dilution rate of 0.01 hr<sup>-1</sup> (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2007).

# 3.5.4 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in seed sludge and enriched NAS

See section 3.3.2

# 3.5.5 Analysis of communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in seed sludge and enriched NAS

See section 3.3.3

## **CHAPTER IV**

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 4.1 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in full-scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs)

#### 4.1.1 Description of full-scale WWTPs

Sludge sample were taken from 10 full-scale WWTPs, including 4 industrial WWTPs (I1-I4), 4 large municipal WTTPs (LM1-LM4), and 2 small municipal WWTPs (SM1 and SM4). The WTTPs were selected based on the difference in influent wastewater characteristics, system configuration, and system operation (Table 4.1). Four industrial WWTPs observed in this work were categorized into 3 types, depending on ammonia concentrations in influent wastewaters. Plant I2 represented the industrial WWTP with high ammonium concentration, Plants I3 and I4 represent the industrial WWTPs with moderate ammonium concentrations, and Plant I1 represented the industrial WWTP with low ammonium concentration. All industrial WWTPs were operated with activated sludge processes. All municipal WWTPs belong to Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA). All of them were not different in influent characteristics. All municipal WWTPs received low ammonium concentration (5-13 mg-N/l), but different in system configuration and operation. All large and one small municipal WWTPs were operated with activated sludge processes, another small WWTPs was aerated lagoon system. The detail of each plants were shown in Table 4.1

Treatment	Flow	ammonia (mg-N/l)		nitrite (mg-N/l)		nit (mg	nitrate (mg-N/l)		BOD (mg/l)		COD (mg/l)		MLSS	HRT	SRT	
ww1P	process	(m <sup>3</sup> /d)	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Inf.	Eff.	Eff.	(mg/l)	(hr)	(day)
I1	AS	4,500	12.90	3.27	0.03	ND	22.56	35.24	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1.20	NA
I2	AS	5,000	422.26	29.19	0.04	0.21	3.24	31.05	1,400	9	NA	NA	NA	4,000	24	14
I3	AS	1,300	72.50	3.32	0.04	0.02	3.76	46.87	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4,000	117.6	10
I4	AS	6,740	36.05	13.25	ND	0.15	1.43	0.96	192	3	505	26	3.68	5,530	118.5	10
LM1	AS	102,132.61	8.12	4.22	0.02	0.33	0.81	3.95	27.31	7.04	63.1	21.68	6.5	12,067	4	10
LM2	AS	27,634	5.54	5.05	0.03	0.18	0.79	1.80	49.16	12.47	85.63	45.38	5.74	NA	2.17	22
LM3	AS	133,986	5.74	7.30x10 <sup>-3</sup>	ND	0.03	0.78	7.23	27.07	3.21	NA	NA	6.12	3,933	4.27	11.04
LM4	AS	16,596	0.03	0.06	0.08	ND	3.56	12.32	57.42	5.45	106.85	19.42	NA	5,006	63.60	30
SM1	AS	600	13.87	2.35x10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.01	0.02	2.27	18.15	102	2	433	20	NA	6,790	43.20	21
SM4	Aerated lagoon	1,442	5.68	4.53	ND	0.23	0.94	1.33	75	5	185	15	1.58	105	67.24	> 1year

Table 4.1 Description of wastewater treatment plants

ND, not detected; NA, data not available

AS, activated sludge process; HRT, hydraulic retention time; SRT, solid retention time; MLSS, mixed liquor suspended solid; <sup>a</sup> The values were the averages of the month in which sludge was collected. <sup>b</sup> The values were analyzed from one-day grab samples collected on the day close to the day of sludge collection.;

## 4.1.2 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in full-scale wastewater treatment plants

Communities of AOB in samples from full-scale WWTPs were investigated using specific PCR amplification, followed by DGGE, and sequencing of 16S rRNA gene of AOB belonging to beteproteobacteria. All bands recovered from DGGE were cut, reamplified, and run on new gels until they were purified before selecting for sequencing. In total, 14 bands of AOB 16S rRNA gene sequences were tested for sequence similarity using blast program (Table 4.2). All of the analyzed sequences showed 95 -100% identity at nucleotide level to the previous reported sequences in the database.

Sample	Band	Score	Gap	Percent Identity	Accession No. of closely related sequence	closely related sequence
I1	AOB-I1-1	619	2/383 (0%)	367/383 (95%)	AY123811	Nitrosomonas sp. Nm59
I2	AOB-I2-1	667	0/364 (0%)	363/364 (99%)	AL954747	Nitrosomonas europaea ATCC 19718
12	AOB-I3-1	662	0/364 (0%)	362/364 (99%)	AB176858	DGGE A-W-3
15	AOB-I3-2	699	0/378 (0%)	378/378 (100%)	FM997803	Clone LEQUIA_R0CTO43
I4	AOB-I4-1	397	2/215 (0%)	212/215 (98%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
	AOB-LM1-1	787	1/443 (0%)	436/443 (98%)	AB222811	DGGE 0NO2c-3
LM1	AOB-LM1-2	806	0/444 (0%)	441/444 (99%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
	AOB-LM1-3	811	0/442 (0%)	441/442 (99%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
LM2	AOB-LM2-1	623	3/357 (0%)	351/357 (98%)	EU224365	Clone 9R-27
LM2	AOB-LM3-1	577	2/349 (0%)	337/349 (96%)	EF016119	Nitrosomonas oligotropha
LMIS	AOB-LM3-2	401	2/227 (0%)	224/227 (98%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
LM4	AOB-LM4-1	577	2/349 (0%)	337/349 (96%),	AB176858	DGGE A-W-3
SM1	AOB-SM1-1	630	0/347 (0%)	345/347 (99%)	AB176858	DGGE A-W-3
SM4	AOB-SM2-1	462	1/263 (0%)	259/263 (98%)	FM997808	Clone LEQUIA_R0CTO49

Table 4.2 Closely related sequences of AOB 16S rRNA gene fragments

Phylogenetic trees were constructed by using three different methods comprising of distance matrix, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood. All methods exhibited the same grouping of AOB sequences in the tree (data not shown). For phylogenetic presented in Figure 4.1, we add our partial 400-bp AOB 16S rRNA sequences using parsimony method into the phylogenetic tree prior constructed by neighbor joining (distance matrix) methods using 1000-bp sequences of all reference AOB species to avoid changing in the tree topology when shorter sequences than 1000-bp were used to constructed the tree. AOB found in each sample were summarized in Table 4.3.

AOB Cluster	I1	I2	I3	I4	LM1	LM2	LM3	LM4	SM1	SM4
Nitrosospira cluster										
unknown			✓							
Nitrosomonas										
cluster										
Nitrosomonas										
cryototerans cluster										
Nitrosomonas		✓								
europaea-										
Nitrosococcus										
mobilis cluster										
Nitrosomonas			✓	✓	$\checkmark\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	
communis cluster										
Nitrosomonas										
marina cluster										
Nitrosomonas	✓				✓		✓			✓
oligotropha cluster										

Table 4.3 Summary of AOB found in full-scale WWTPs

 $\checkmark$ , present (amount of symbols represents numbers of band found)

AOB communities in industrial WWTPs (I1-I4) were more diverse than those in the municipal WWTPs (LM1-LM4 and SM1, SM4). AOB found in industrial WWTPs fell in 4 clusters that are unknown *Nitrosomonas* cluster, *N. europaea-Nc. mobilis* cluster, *N. communis* cluster, and *N. oligotropha* cluster. While AOB found in municipal WWTPs were restricted to only *N. communis* cluster and *N. oligotropha* cluster. The difference of wastewater characteristic might be the key factor causing distinct distribution patterns of AOB communities in both types of WWTPs. In the case of industrial WWTPs, characteristics of wastewater were varied considerably (Table 4.1). BOD and ammonia concentrations were in a range of 192 – 1,400 mg/l and 13 – 420 mg/l respectively. In contrast, influent wastewater of municipal WWTPs were similar in their characteristics. BOD and ammonia concentration were in a narrow ranges between 27 -102 mg/l and 5 – 14 mg/l respectively. It has been reported that ammonia concentrations is the important factor influencing the presence of AOB in different environments (Suwa *et al.*, 1994; Stehr *et al.*, 1995a; Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001. Study on physiological properties of isolated AOB cultures suggested the difference in affinity constant for ammonia among the distinct AOB species. It is believed that this reflects the preference of AOB existing in the habitats (Koops *et al.*, 2003).



Figure 4.1 Phylogenetic tree showing 16S rRNA genes of AOB belonging to Betaproteobacterial with addition of 400-bp sequences from full-scale WWTPs into the distance tree that was previously constructed based on comparison of 1000-bp sequences of described AOB (Koops *et al.*, 2003)

Ammonium concentration in all 4 industrial WWTPs were varied significantly Plant I2 represented a WWTP receiving high ammonium concentration (400 mg-N/l). Phylogenetic analysis suggested that sequences recovered from plant I2, related closely to AOB in N. europaea-Nc. mobilis cluster. All members of N. europaea-Nc. mobilis cluster have relatively high affinity constants for ammonia (50 -100 µM). This make AOB in this cluster prefer eutrophic environments such as fertilized soil (Koops et al., 2003). In addition N. europaea are common AOB found in WWTPs receiving high ammonium loads (Limpiyakorn et al., 2007). Plants I3 and I4 represented WWTPs receiving mid-low ammonium concentrations (30 – 70 mg-N/l). Bands retrieved from these two plants fell in unknown Nitrosomonas cluster and N. communis cluster. So far, no isolate culture has been obtained for the unknown *Nitrosomonas* cluster. Therefore the physiological properties of AOB in this cluster have not been revealed. The only one way to make a discussion on the AOB cluster is using information obtained by direct molecular study. Previously, clone A2 CTO1 and clone 3 which are members of this cluster were recovered from activated sludge and aquarium biofilter which are mid-low ammonia concentrations. This implied that this AOB clusters are a group that have moderate affinity to ammonia. N. communis cluster have been classified as AOB with moderate affinity constants for ammonia (14 - 43 µM). From this information, they should restrict to moderate eutrophic habitats; however, this group of AOB is occasionally observed in freshwater which is one of oligotrophic environments (Koops et al., 2003). In addition, they have been detected in soil, activated sludge, and biofilm system (Purkhold et al., 2000; Gieseke et al., 2001). Plant I1 represented a WWTP with low ammonium loads (10 mg-N/l), AOB found in this plant was the member of N. oligotropha cluster. N. oligotropha cluster are low in affinity constants for ammonia  $(1.9 - 4.2 \mu M)$ . They frequently found in oligotrophic environments such as freshwater, unfertilized soil, WWTPs with relatively low ammonium loads (Koops and Pommerening-Roser 2001; Limpiyakorn et al., 2005). Only members of N. communis cluster and N.oligotropha cluster were found in all 7 minicipal WWTPs. All municipal WWTPs received low ammonium strength wastewater (5 - 13 mg-N/l). If ammonium is the major factor, it is not surprising to find only 2 restrict AOB groups in all WWTPs. It has been reported extensively that N. communis cluster and N.oligotropha cluster were common AOB in

municipal WWTPs (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2007; Siripong and Rittmann 2007). Five out of the six plants contained AOB belonging to *N. communis* cluster. SM4 harbored only AOB of *N.oligotropha* cluster. It seemed that *N. communis* cluster might be more important than *N.oligotropha* cluster. From the study of Limpiyakorn 2007 by using real-time PCR to quantify each specific group of AOB in municipal WWTPs in Tokyo, *N.oligotropha* cluster were more abundant than *N. communis* cluster in all plants studied.

Other than ammonium loads or ammonium concentrations in the influent wastewater, system configuration and operation can be the other factors, influencing AOB communities in WWTPs. In this case only municipal WWTPs will be considered as it is possible to avoid the effect of influent characteristics. Almost all municipal WWTPs in this study were activated sludge process with the only exception for plant SM4 that was aerated lagoon system. In general, the SRT of aerated lagoon are relatively longer than the activated sludge process. SRT was firstly considerable as one of a major factor for system configuration and operation. However the results suggested that the AOB communities in all municipal WWTPs are similar. Therefore, system configuration and operation did not influence in this case.

## 4.1.3 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in full-scale wastewater treatment plants

Communities of AOA in samples from full-scale WWTPs were analyzed using specific PCR amplification, followed by clone libraries, and sequencing of AOA *amo*A gene fragments. For each library, 10 clones were randomly selected for sequencing. In total 72 clones, analyzed for sequencing, were tested for sequence similarity using blast program (Table 4.4). Results suggested that all analyzed showed 93 – 99% identity at nucleotide level to previously reported AOA *amo*A gene sequences. All analyzed sequences were calculated by DOTUR program to arrange for operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (Scholoss *et al.*, 2005). Any sequences from the same library that showed 100% identity OTUs were assembled as one OTU. All *72* AOA *amo*A sequences were categorized into 38 OTUs. The best quality AOA *amo*A sequence of each OTU was selected to be the representative one. The amounts

of the sequences of each OTU were displayed by the number in parentheses of Table 4.4.

Sample	Clone	Score	Percent Identity	Gap	Accession No. of closely related sequence	closely related sequence	Source
	AOA-I3-1 (6)	1070	585/588 (99%)	0/588 (0%)	EU590198	Clone BGA-661	Soil
	AOA-I3-2 (1)	1050	579/584 (99%)	1/584 (0%)	EU590198	Clone BGA-661	Soil
13	AOA-I3-3 (1)	1046	579/585 (98%)	2/585 (0%)	EU590198	Clone BGA-661	Soil
	AOA-I3-4 (1)	1048	580/586 (98%)	1/586 (0%)	EU590198	Clone BGA-661	Soil
	AOA-I3-5 (1)	1053	579/583 (99%)	1/583 (0%)	EU590198	Clone BGA-661	Soil
I4	AOA-I4-1 (1)	979	553/564 (98%)	2/564 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM1-1 (2)	1029	566/570 (99%)	1/570 (0%)	EU651295	Clone SF05-BG30-E01	Estuary sediments
	AOA-LM1-2 (3)	924	543/564 (96%)	1/564 (0%)	DQ278527	Clone DI-20	WWTP operated with low dissolved oxygen levels and long retention times
LM1	AOA-LM1-3 (1)	985	558/570 (97%)	1/570 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM1-4 (2)	996	560/570 (98%)	1/570 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM1-5 (1)	1003	560/568 (98%)	1/568 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM1-6 (1)	981	540/544 (99%)	1/544 (0%)	EU651295	Clone SF05-BG30-E01	Estuary sediments
	AOA-LM2-1 (7)	1064	587/592 (99%)	1/592 (0%)	DQ304863	Clone 2	Trrestrial archaea
LM2	AOA-LM2-2 (1)	1046	581/588 (98%)	2/588 (0%)	DQ304863	Clone 2	Terrestrial archaea
	AOA-LM2-3 (1)	1035	578/586 (98%)	3/586 (0%)	DQ304863	Clone 2	Terrestrial archaea
	AOA-LM2-4 (1)	1042	581/589 (98%)	3/589 (0%)	DQ304863	Clone 2	Terrestrial archaea
	AOA-LM3-1 (2)	963	546/558 (97%)	2/558 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM3-2 (1)	977	553/564 (98%)	3/564 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
IM2	AOA-LM3-3 (1)	826	526/565 (93%)	2/565 (0%)	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1	Sediment
LIVIS	AOA-LM3-4 (2)	841	530/567 (93%)	1/567 (0%)	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1	Sediment
	AOA-LM3-5 (2)	854	535/571 (93%)	1/571 (0%)	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1	Sediment
	AOA-LM3-6 (1)	990	557/567 (98%)	1/567 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-LM3-7 (1)	965	567/589 (96%)	1/589 (0%)	EU852665	Clone PLANTC AR RSF-I OTU1	Water sampled

Table 4.4 Closely related sequences of AOA amoA gene fragments

Sample	Clone	Score	Percent Identity	Gap	Accession No. of closely related sequence	closely related sequence	Source
LM3	AOA-LM3-8 (1)	979	555/567 (97%)	1/567 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
LM4	AOA-LM4-1 (9)	1013	573/585 (97%)	1/585 (0%)	EU885673	Clone 3063-A-04	Deep-sea sediments
	AOA-LM4-2 (1)	1009	568/579 (98%)	0/579 (0%)	EU885673	Clone 3063-A-04	Deep-sea sediments
SM1	AOA-SM1-1 (9)	981	554/565 (98%)	3/565 (0%)	FJ755701	Clone AOA-10	Sediment
	AOA-SM1-2 (1)	904	546/571 (95%)	15/571 (2%)	FJ755701	Clone AOA-10	Sediment
	AOA-SM4-1 (1)	1057	586/592 (98%)	4/592 (0%)	EU885647	Clone 3057-A-16	Deep-sea sediments
	AOA-SM4-2 (1)	994	557/566 (98%)	1/566 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08	Mammoth Hot Spring sediment
	AOA-SM4-3 (1)	1018	576/588 (97%)	2/588 (0%)	EU885647	Clone 3057-A-16	Deep-sea sediments
	AOA-SM4-4 (1)	771	502/544 (92%)	2/544 (0%)	EU022958	Clone HB_C_0604C02	Coastal sediments
SM4	AOA-SM4-5 (1)	828	527/566 (93%)	1/566 (0%)	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1	Sediment
	AOA-SM4-6(1)	924	553/579 (95%)	2/579 (0%)	FJ601561	Clone MS_26B4	Tropical marine estuary sediment
	AOA-SM-7 (1)	948	550/567 (97%)	5/567 (0%)	EF382617	Clone PA6-23	Coral colony
	AOA-SM4-8 (1)	1044	576/581 (99%)	1/581 (0%)	EU590435	Clone SGX-123	Soil
	AOA-SM4-9 (1)	1018	563/569 (98%)	0/569 (0%)	DQ501096	Clone MX_5_JAN_6	Estuarine sediments
	AOA-SM4-10(1)	922	542/562 (96%)	5/562 (0%)	EF382617	Clone PA6-23	Coral colony

Number in parenthesis indicated amounts of AOA amoA clones showing 100% identity

Due to the limited information on AOA phylogenetic taxonomy, phylogenetic trees for AOA was constructed by tree different methods comprising of distance matrix, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood to confirm the grouping of AOA analyzed in this study and all major AOA reported in previous studies so far (Figure 4.3, 4.4, 4.5). It must be noted that Figure 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 have been used to confirm AOA clusters only. No information of species was provided in these three trees. Figure 4.2 showed phylogenetic tree constructed based on distance matrix (neighbor joining) with the complete sequence detail that will be used for further discussion. AOA clusters were defined based on OTUs using the DOTUR program (Scholoss *et al.*, 2005). Any AOA sequences, showing >86% identity, were

identified as the same AOA cluster. AOA communities in seed sludge, and enriched NAS were summarized in Table 4.5.

Cluster	I1	I2	I3	I4	LM1	LM2	LM3	LM4	SM1	SM4
В					✓					
Е										~
А					<b>√</b> √					
F										~
С								$\checkmark\checkmark$		$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$
G			$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$							
N										✓
D										
Ι							$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$		$\checkmark\checkmark$	~
J						$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$				
K				✓	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$		$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$			~
L							~			
М										<b>√ √</b>

Table 4.5 Summary of AOA communities in full-scale WWTPs

✓, present (amount of symbols represents numbers of OTUs)



Figure 4.2 Neighbor joining tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from full-scale WWTPs (Details information is provided in this tree and this tree will be used for discussion)



Figure 4.3 Distance matrix tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from full-scale WWTPs. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree); Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.



Figure 4.4 Maximum parsimony tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from full-scale WWTPs. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree) Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.

50



Figure 4.5 Maximum likelihood tree of AOA *amo*A sequences from full-scale WWTPs. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree); Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.

So far, the only one study on AOA communities in WWTPs has been done by Park et al., 2006. Results demonstrated that AOA communities in all of activated sludge of WWTPs were observed using the same technique used in this study. AOA amoA sequences, retrieved from activated sludge, fell in 4 clusters (A, B, C, and D) only. Cluster D contained the largest AOA amoA sequences, so this cluster was proposed to be the dominant cluster of AOA found in activated sludge. All AOA amoA sequences retrieved from full-scale WWTPs examined in this study distributed in 12 clusters (Table 4.5). However, in this study more AOA clusters have been observed. In addition, AOA sequences found were not restricted to the cluster D as well as other clusters indicated by Park et al., 2006. These suggested that it was found more AOA diversity in our WWTP samples. Most clones obtained from this study fell in cluster K. AOA amoA clones from WWTPs in this study closely related to those recovered from various habitats including soil, sediment, estuary sediment, marine sediment, deep sea sediment, hot spring sediment, and subsurface thermal spring. Interestingly, the environmental conditions in those sources were far different from those in our WWTPs (such as salinity, temperature, and ammonia concentration). These results suggested that those AOA clusters are not restricted to certain environmental factors. They are more flexible to adapt themselves to survive in more variety of environments.

Communities of AOA in the industrial WWTPs were less diverse than those in the municipal WWTPs. Not all samples of industrial WWTPs showed positive PCR amplification of AOA *amo*A fragments. Only 2 industrial WWTPs for plants I3 and I4, which represented the industrial WWTPs with moderate ammonium loads (40 -70 mg-N/l), contained AOA *amo*A gene fragments. Furthermore, only one AOA cluster was found in each sample (cluster G in plant I3 and cluster K in plant I4). Negative PCR amplification occurred with samples I1 and I2 that represented the industrial WWTPs with low (13 mg-N/l) and high (422 mg-N/l) ammonium loads respectively. The reason that AOA were absent from samples I2 might be because of high ammonium loads for this plant. However, the reason for the case of plant I1 was unclear. In the case of the municipal WWTPs, the influent ammonium concentration comes were in a range of 5 - 13 mg-N/l. AOA *amo*A gene fragments were detected in all samples and they distributed in various clusters (Table 4.5). And in most

samples, more than one AOA clusters was found suggesting more variety of AOA clusters in the municipal WWTPs than in the industrial WWTPs.

Other than influent characteristics, system configuration and operation might be considered as the factors that affected AOA communities. All industrial WWTPs (I3 and I4) and 5 out of 6 municipal WWTPs (LM1, LM2, LM3, LM4, and SM1) were activated sludge processes, whereas another one (SM4) was aerated lagoon system (Table 4.1). For each sample of activated sludge processes, only 1 - 3 clusters were observed, while in the sample of aerated lagoon much more AOA clusters of 6 were found. It was implied that the longer SRT might be a result of more AOA diversity in the WWTPs. It has been reported that 5 out of 9 WWTPs operating with long retention times (>15 days of SRT, >24 h of HRT) contained AOA communities in their system, whereas 4 out of 9 operating with shorter retention times, no AOA was observed (Park *et al.*, 2006). System configuration and operation can be important factors, influencing AOA communities in WWTPs. 4.2 Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria and archaea in enriched nitrifying activated sludge

4.2.1 Enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations of 2, 10, and 30 mM  $NH_4^+$ -N (NAS 2, NAS 10, and NAS 30)



Figure 4.6 Concentrations of ammonium ( $\bullet$ ), nitrite ( $\Box$ ), and nitrate ( $\blacktriangle$ ) during enrichment of nitrifying activated sludge by inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations (a) NAS 2, (b) NAS 10, and (c) NAS 30

This experiment was conducted to observe effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of AOB and AOA. Three enriched NAS feeding with inorganic medium containing different ammonium concentrations (2, 10, and 30 mM NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) were operated for 80 days. During operation, ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate concentrations were monitored (Figure 4.6). Ammonium concentrations in all three reactors reached the steady-state conditions after certain periods of operation (NAS 2 after 22 days, NAS 10 after 37, and NAS 30 after 15 days of operation). In all cases, ammonium was completely oxidized. Nitrite was detected shortly after starting the operation of all reactors (NAS 2 after 13 days, NAS 10 after 10 days, and NAS 30 after 10 days of operation). Then nitrite gradually decreased nearly zero (NAS 2 after 18 days, NAS 10 after 15 days, and NAS 30 after 18 days of operation). Nitrate was detected in all reactors and temporarily increased until reaching the steady-state condition (NAS 2 after 22 days, NAS 10 after 14 days, and NAS 30 after 18 days of operation). Total nitrogen concentrations in all reactors were slightly lost. It was probably due to denitrification reducing nitrate to nitrogen gas. DO concentration in each reactor was controlled to be above 2 mg/l to ensure absolute aerobic conditions. After 60 days of operation, sludge samples were collected to analyze for communities of AOB and AOA.

## 4.2.2 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in seed sludge and enriched NAS

Communities of AOB in samples (seed sludge, NAS 2, NAS 10, and NAS 30) were investigated by using specific PCR amplification, followed by DGGE, and sequencing of 16S rRNA gene of AOB belonging to beteproteobacteria . All bands recovered from DGGE were cut, reamplified, and run on new gels until they were purified before selecting for sequencing. In total 8 bands, analyzed for sequencing, were tested for sequence similarity using blast program (Table 4.6). Results suggested that all analyzed sequences showed 96 -99% identity at nucleotide level to the previous reported sequences in the database.

Sample	Band	Score	Percent Identity	Gap	Accession No. of closely related sequence	closely related sequence
	AOB-S-1	787	436/443 (98%)	1/443 (0%)	AB222811	DGGE 0NO2c-3
Seed sludge	AOB-S-2	806	441/444 (99%)	0/444 (0%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
	AOB-S-3	811	441/442 (99%)	0/442 (0%)	AJ297415	Clone GaN50304
NAS 2	AOB - NAS2-1	712	403/411 (98%)	3/411 (0%)	AM295532	Clone Nm 271104_1
	AOB – NAS10-1	813	452/457 (98%)	3/457 (0%)	AY123795	Nitrosomonas eutropha
NAS 10	AOB - NAS10-2	739	431/446 (96%)	6/446 (1%)	AM295532	Clone Nm 271104_1
	AOB - NAS10-3	728	405/410 (98%)	1/410 (0%)	AM295532	Clone Nm 271104_1
NAS 30	AOB – NAS30-1	830	455/458 (99%)	1/458 (0%)	AL954747	Nitrosomonas europaea ATCC 19718

Table 4.6 Closely related sequences of AOB 16S rRNA gene fragments

Phylogenetic trees were constructed by using three different methods comprising of distance matrix, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood. All methods exhibited the same grouping of AOB sequences in the tree (data not shown). For phylogenetic presented in Figure 4.7, we add our partial 400-bp AOB 16S rRNA sequences using parsimony method into the phylogenetic tree prior constructed by neighbor joining (distance matrix) methods using 1000-bp sequences of all reference AOB species to avoid changing in the tree topology when shorter sequences than 1000-bp were used to constructed the tree. AOB found in each sample were summarized in Table 4.7.

AOB Cluster	Seed sludge	NAS 2	NAS 10	NAS 30
Nitrosospira cluster				
unknown <i>Nitrosomonas</i> cluster			~~	
Nitrosomonas cryototerans cluster				
Nitrosomonas europaea- Nitrosococcus mobilis cluster			~	~
Nitrosomonas communis cluster	$\checkmark\checkmark$			
Nitrosomonas marina cluster				
<i>Nitrosomonas</i> <i>oligotropha</i> cluster	$\checkmark$	~		

Table 4.7 Summary of AOB found in seed sludge and enriched NAS

 $\checkmark$ , present (amount of symbols represents numbers of band found)



0.10

Figure 4.7 Phylogenetic tree showing 16S rRNA genes of AOB belonging to Betaproteobacterial with addition of 400-bp sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS into the distance tree that was previously constructed based on comparison of 1000-bp sequences of described AOB (Koops *et al.*, 2003)

Sludge taken from a municipal WWTP used as a seed for all reactors contained members of *N. communis* cluster and *N. oligotropha* cluster. After it was enriched under various ammonia concentrations, members of *N. communis* cluster disappeared from all enriched NASs. In NAS 2, only AOB closely related to *N. oligotropha* cluster were found. Sequence types of unknown *Nitrosomonas* cluster and *N. europaea* cluster were recovered from NAS 10. Only AOB related to *N. europaea* cluster were observed in NAS 30.

Results revealed the shift in AOB communities from a seed sludge to enriched NAS, and the communities of AOB in each NAS varied significantly. AOB in a seed sludge related closely to DGGE 0NO2c-3 and clone GaN50304 which were recovered from a sequencing batch biofilm reactor (Gieseke *et al.*, 2001) and laboratory-scale continuous-flow reactor (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2006). And fell in the clusters *N. communis* and *N. oligotropha* cluster respectively. Although members of *N. communis* cluster were reported for their moderate affinity to free ammonia ( $K_s = 14$  to 43 µM; Koops *et al.*, 2003), they were often recovered from wastewater treatment systems receiving low ammonium loads (Gieseke *et al.*, 2001, Koops *et al.*, 2003, Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2005). *N. oligotropha* cluster are well known to be common AOB in municipal WWTPs (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2003), meaning that they prefer low ammonia habitats. It is not surprised to find these two AOB cluster in the seed sludge, as the seed sludge was taken from a municipal WWTP receiving low ammonium load.

Band analyzed for NAS 2 closely related to the clone Nm 271104\_1 that was recovered from marine aquaculture (Foesel *et al.*, 2007), and fell in *N. oligotropha* cluster. This cluster was AOB with high affinity to ammonia, being the range of a few  $\mu$ M. Member of *N. oligotropha* cluster are absolute majority strains originating from oligotropic freshwaters and generally the dominant AOB representatives in natural freshwater environments. Moreover, *N.oligotropha* are the most common AOB found in WWTPs with low ammonium loads (Limpiyakorn *et al.*, 2005, 2006b).

Bands analyzed from NAS 10 were found to be related to Clone Nm 271104\_1, that was obtained from marine aquaculture (Foesel *et al.*, 2007), and fell in unknown *Nitrosomonas* cluster. While, another band of this sample was closely

related to *N. eutropha* C91 (Purkhold *et al.*, 2003), and fell in *N. europaea* cluster. Surprisingly, groups of AOB exhibiting low and high affinity to ammonia was found in this NAS that received the moderate ammonium load.

Band from NAS 30 was affiliated to *N. europaea* ATCC19718 that fell in *N. europaea* cluster. These AOB are low in affinity to ammonia ( $K_s > 30 \mu$ M; Koops *et al.*, 2003). They are often found in wastewater with high ammonium loads, eutrophic freshwaters, or fertilized soil (Koops *et al.*, 2003).

AOB can be divided into two groups which are AOB with high and low affinity to free ammonia. AOB with high affinity to ammonia could be retrieved from NAS 2 and NAS 10. Whereas AOB with low affinity to ammonia could be recovered from NAS 10 and NAS 30. AOB communities in NAS 10 were the mixture of AOB of high and low affinity to ammonia. This may be because of the moderate ammonium load supplied to the NAS 10. This demonstrated that ammonium load is the important factor, selecting the communities of AOB in the enriched NAS and the selection is based on the physiological properties (ammonia affinity) reported for the isolated AOB cultures in the previous studies.

# 4.2.3 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in seed sludge and enriched NAS

Communities of AOA in samples (seed sludge, NAS 2, NAS 10, and NAS 30) were analyzed using specific PCR amplification, followed by clone libraries, and sequencing of *amo*A gene of AOA. For each library, 10-30 clones were randomly selected for sequencing. In total 88 clones, analyzed for sequencing, were tested for sequence similarity using blast program (Table 4.8). Results suggested that all analyzed showed 88 – 98% identity at nucleotide level to previously reported AOA *amo*A gene sequences. All analyzed sequences were calculated by DOTUR program to arrange for operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (Scholoss *et al.*, 2005). Any sequences from the same library that showed 100% identity OTUs were assembled as one OTU. All 88 AOA *amo*A sequences were categorized into 30 OTUs. The amounts of the sequences of each OTU were displayed by the number in parentheses of Table 4.8.
					Accession No.	
Sample	Clone	Score	Percent Identity	Gap	of closely	closely related sequence
					related	
		0.55	541/552	2/552	Sequence	
	AOA-S-1 (5)	957	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
	AOA-S-2 (1)	946	539/552	2/552	EU239976	Clone MamSp H08
		2.0	(97%)	(0%)	10200010	
Seed Sludge	AOA-S-3 (1)	953	548/563	4/563	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			(97%) 527/564	3/564		
	AOA-S-4 (1)	833	(93%)	(0%)	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1
	AOA-S-5 (1)	693	473/537	2/537	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1
			(88%)	(0%)		
	AOA-S-6(1)	819	518/555	1/555	FJ227760	Clone WBM050405_45P2A1
			(93%)	(0%)		
	AOA-NAS2-1 (5) AOA-NAS2-2 (9)	976	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			553/563	3/563	EU220076	
		981	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
	AOA-NAS2-3 (2)	977	553/564	3/564	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08 Clone MamSp.H08
			(98%)	(0%)		
	AOA-NAS2-4 (1)	955	549/564 (97%)	4/564	EU239976	
NAS 2	AOA-NAS2-5 (1)		546/563	5/563		Clone MamSp.H08
		941	(96%)	(0%)	EU239976	
	AOA-NAS2-6(1)	815	537/583	7/583	FJ227153 EU022958	Clone 3_15 Clone HB_C_0604C02
	A0A-11A52-0(1)	815	(92%)	(1%)		
	AOA-NAS2-7 (1)	992	557/566	3/566		
	AOA-NAS2-8 (1)	673	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			(88%)	(1%)		
NAS 10	AOA-NAS10-1 (14) AOA-NAS10-2 (6)	979 983	553/564	2/564	EU239976 EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08 Clone MamSp.H08
			(98%)	(0%)		
			554/564	3/564		
	AOA-NAS10-3 (1)	955	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			(97%)	(0%)		
	AOA-NAS10-4 (1) AOA-NAS10-5 (1) AOA-NAS10-6 (1)	966 966 963	551/564	3/564	EU239976 EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08 Clone MamSp.H08
			(97%)	(0%)		
			557/573	3/573		
			(9/%)	(0%)		1
			(97%)	(0%)	EU590230	Clone BGA-781
	AOA-NAS10-7 (1)	896	539/564	7/564	DQ501174	Clone MX_2_OCT_29
			(95%)	(1%)		
	AOA-NAS10-8(1)	965	566/587	4/587	EU025177	Clone S18-A-16
			(96%)	(0%)		
NAS 30	AOA-NAS30-1 (19)	990	559/570 (98%)	1/5/0	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			558/569	1/569		
	AOA-NAS30-2 (5)	992	(98%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
	AOA-NAS30-3 (1) AOA-NAS30-4 (1)	970 972	552/565	2/565	FU239976	Clone MamSp H08
			(97%)	(0%)	10237710	
			553/565 (07%)	5/565	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
	AOA-NAS30-5 (1)	963	551/565	3/565		
			(97%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
	AOA-NAS30-6 (1)	970	551/563	3/563	EU239976	Clone MamSp H08
		270	(97%)	(0%)	20237710	
	AOA-NAS30-7 (1)	987	560/572 (97%)	5/5/2 (0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08
			551/566	2/566		-
	AOA-NAS30-8 (1)	961	(97%)	(0%)	EU239976	Clone MamSp.H08

Table 4.8 Closely related sequences of AOA amoA gene fragments

Number in parenthesis indicated amounts of AOA amoA sequences showing 100% identity

Due to the limited information on AOA phylogenetic taxonomy, phylogenetic trees for AOA was constructed by tree different methods comprising of distance matrix, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood to confirm the grouping of AOA analyzed in this study and all major AOA reported in previous studies so far (Figure 4.9, 4.10, 4.11). It must be noted that Figure 4.9, 4.10, 4.11 have been used to confirm AOA clusters only. No information of species was provided in these three trees. Figure 4.8 showed phylogenetic tree constructed based on distance matrix (neighbor joining) with the complete sequence detail that will be used for further discussion. AOA clusters were defined based on OTUs using the DOTUR program (Scholoss *et al.*, 2005). Any AOA sequences, showing >86% identity, were identified as the same AOA cluster. AOA communities in seed sludge, and enriched NAS were summarized in Table 4.9.

Cluster	Seed sludge	NAS 2	NAS 10	NAS 30
В			$\checkmark$	
E		$\checkmark$	~	
F		~		
G			~	
Ι	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$			
К	<i>~~~~~</i>	√√√√√√√√√ √√√√√√√√ √√√		$\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark $

Table 4.9 Summary of AOA communities in seed sludge and enriched NAS

 $\checkmark$ , present (amount of symbols represents numbers of clones)



Figure 4.8 Neighbor joining tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS (Details information is provided in this tree and this tree will be used for discussion)



Figure 4.9 Distance matrix tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree); Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.



Figure 4.10 Maximum parsimony tree of AOA *amoA* sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree); Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.



Figure 4.11 Maximum likelihood tree of AOA *amo*A sequences from seed sludge and enriched NAS. (It must be noted that details information of species is not provided in this tree); Clusters A, B, C, and D were indicated by Park *et al.*, 2006, while other than those were found in this study.

For seed sludge sample, ten clones randomly selected fell in 2 clusters: 7 clones in cluster K and 3 clones in cluster I. For NAS 2, twenty-one clones were randomly selected for sequencing. All 21 cloned analyzed could be divided into 3 AOA clusters: 19 clones in cluster K, 1 clone in cluster E, and 1 clone in cluster F. In the case of NAS 10, twenty-six randomly selected clones were divided into 4 AOA clusters which were 23 clones for cluster K, 1 clone for cluster B, 1 clone for cluster E, and 1 clone for cluster G. All randomly selected clones of NAS 30 were members of cluster K only.

It seemed that AOA communities in NAS 2 and NAS 10 were more diverse than NAS 30. Unlike AOB, AOA communities seemed to be more stable by being less influence by ammonium loads. Most of the clones from seed sludge (7 out of 10), NAS 2 (19 out of 21), NAS 10 (23 out of 26), and NAS 30 (30 out of 30) fell only in the same AOA cluster K. In the case of seed sludge as mentioned above, seven out of ten clones related closely to those obtained from Mammoth hot spring sediment (cluster K) (Torre et al., 2008) while another 3 clones related to AOA found in sediment (cluster I) (unpublished). Interestingly, no clone from the seed sludge related closely to those in the only one study of AOA in WWTPs (Park et al., 2006) in spite the seed sludge in our study was taken from a municipal WWTPs (activated sludge). In the study of Park, AOA amoA sequences of the cluster D (Figure 4.8) were the common AOA being widespread in 5 activated sludge bioreactors. Surprisingly, the major clones in our seed sludge showed similarity to those recovered from Mammoth hot spring sediment which is in moderately thermal (42 -  $50^{\circ}$ C) environment (Torre et al., 2008). The temperature in the hot spring is elevated than in a municipal wastewater treatment plant in tropical (25 -  $30^{\circ}$ C) region where our seed sludge was taken from. Moreover, this group of AOA (cluster K) could survive and probably dominate in all ammonium load conditions (NAS 2, NAS 10, and NAS 30) in this study. These results suggested that they were able to adapt themselves to survive in a broad range of ammonium concentrations.

Other that cluster K, clones from NAS 2 related to the clones retrieved from coastal marine sediment (cluster E) (unpublished) and coastal sediment (cluster F) (Santoro *et al.*, 2008). In addition, three clones from NAS 10 were closely related to those obtained from estuarine sediment (cluster E) (Beman *et al.*, 2006), sediment

(cluster B) (Dang *et al.*, 2008), and soil (cluster G) (unpublished). Those closely related to the clones from NAS 2 and NAS 10 were from saline environments, while all enriched NASs in our study were operated without salt. These results implied that some AOA clusters were flexible to exist in both salt and non salt environments. Unlike AOA, distinct AOB species are very restrict to salt tolerance. In non salt environment *N.europaea*, *N. nitrosa*, and *N. ureae* are found, while in the salt environments *N. marina*, *N. aestuarii*, and *Nc. oceani* are found. It was questionable that whether salt is the factor influencing communities of AOA.

This experiment revealed that AOB communities obviously shifted from seed sludge to each enriched NAS, communities of AOB in each enriched NAS varied Ammonium load was confirmed to be the major factor selecting particularly. communities of AOB. AOB with high affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 2, AOB with low affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 30, and both strains can survive in NAS 10. These results corresponded to physiological properties reported in previous study on isolated AOB cultures. In the case of AOA, only isolated AOA culture (N. maritimus) has been obtained. Therefore, information on physiological properties of AOA is very limited. Thus this is the first study in the world that indirectly study physiological properties of AOA by using molecular tools. In contrast to AOB, AOA communities were more stable under ammonium load variation. Almost all AOA amoA sequences from all enriched NASs fell in the same cluster (cluster K). It was emerged the question that ammonia is the sole energy source for AOA whether it is important enough to affect their communities. However, it must be noted that the enriched NAS in this study is an ordinary reactors aimed to enriched mainly AOB. The inorganic medium used designed for AOB; consequently, no additional vitamin and trace elements being essential for AOA was supplied (Konneke et al., 2005). Therefore AOA found in this study might be the common one survived under these conditions only.

# **CHAPTER V**

# **CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS**

# 5.1 Conclusions

# 5.1.1 Communities of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in fullscale wastewater treatment plants

AOB communities in four industrial wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (I1-I4) were more diverse than those in six municipal WWTPs (LM1-LM4 and SM1, SM4). AOB found in industrial WWTPs fell into 4 clusters that are unknown Nitrosomonas cluster, N. europaea-Nc. mobilis cluster, N. communis cluster, and N. oligotropha cluster. While AOB found in municipal WWTPs were restricted to only N. communis cluster and N. oligotropha cluster. The difference of influent wastewater characteristics (ammonia concentration) might be the key factor causing distinct distribution patterns of AOB communities in both types of WWTPs. Other than wastewater characteristic, system configuration and operation can be the other factors, influencing the AOB communities in WWTPs. In this case only municipal WWTPs were considered as it is possible to avoid the effect of influent characteristics. Almost all municipal WWTPs in this study were activated sludge processes with the only exception for the plant SM4 that was aerated lagoon system. In general, the SRT of aerated lagoon system are relatively longer than the activated sludge process. SRT was firstly considered as one of a major factor for system configuration and operation. However the results suggested that the AOB communities in all municipal WWTPs were similar (comprising of only N. communis cluster and N. oligotropha cluster). Therefore, system configuration and operation were less significant than influent wastewater characteristics.

Unlike AOB, communities of AOA in the industrial WWTPs were less diverse than those in the municipal WWTPs. Only 2 industrial WWTPs (plants I3 and I4), which represented industrial WWTPs with moderate ammonium loads (40 -70 mg-N/l), contained AOA *amo*A gene fragments. Negative PCR amplification occurred with samples I1 and I2 that represented industrial WWTPs with low (13 mg-N/l) and high (422 mg-N/l) ammonium loads, respectively. The reason that AOA were absent from samples I2 might be because of high ammonium loads for this plant. However, the reason for the case of plant I1 was unclear. In the case of the municipal WWTPs, the influent ammonium concentrations were in a narrow range of 5 - 13 mg-N/l. AOA *amo*A gene fragments were detected in all samples and they distributed in various clusters (cluster A, B, C, E, F, G, I, J, K, L, M, and N). Other than influent characteristics, system configuration and operation might be considered as the factors affecting AOA communities. Five out of six municipal WWTPs (LM1, LM2, LM3, LM4, and SM1) were activated sludge processes, whereas another (SM4) was an aerated lagoon system. For each sample from activated sludge plants, only 1 - 3clusters of AOA were observed, while in the sample from an aerated lagoon system much more AOA clusters of 6 were found. It was implied that longer SRT might be a result of more AOA diversity in this plant. Therefore, system configuration and operation can also be important factors, influencing AOA communities in WWTPs.

# 5.1.2 Effect of ammonium concentrations on communities of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria and archaea in enriched nitrifying activated sludge

AOB communities obviously shifted from seed sludge to enriched NASs and in each enriched NAS, communities of AOB varied particularly. Sludge taken from a municipal WWTP used as a seed for all reactors contained members of *N. communis* cluster and *N. oligotropha* cluster. After it was enriched under various ammonium loads, members of *N. communis* cluster disappeared from all enriched NASs. In NAS 2, only AOB closely related to *N. oligotropha* cluster were found. Sequence types of unknown *Nitrosomonas* cluster and *N. europaea* cluster were recovered from NAS 10. Only AOB related to *N. europaea* cluster were observed in NAS 30. Ammonium load was confirmed to be the major factor selecting AOB communities. AOB with high affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 2, AOB with low affinity to ammonia presented in NAS 30, and both types of AOB could survive in NAS 10. These results corresponded to the physiological properties reported in the previous studies on the isolated AOB cultures. In the case of AOA, only isolated AOA culture (*Nitrosopumilus maritimus*) has been obtained so far. Therefore, the information on physiological properties of AOA is very limited. Thus, this is the first study in the world indirectly studying the physiological properties of AOA by using molecular tools. In contrast to AOB, AOA communities were more stable under ammonium load variation. Almost all AOA *amo*A sequences from all enriched NASs fell in the same cluster (cluster K). It was emerged the question that whether ammonium, as the sole energy source for AOA, is important enough to affect the AOA communities. However, it must be noted that the enriched NASs in this study was done in an ordinary reactors that aimed to enrich mainly AOB. The inorganic medium used designed for AOB enrichment mainly; consequently, no additional vitamins and trace elements being essential for AOA was supplied. Therefore, AOA found in the enriched NASs might be the common ones survived under this limiting condition only.

# 5.2 Suggestions for future works

Quantitative and biochemical studies were required to reveal the role of AOA in ammonia oxidation in full-scale WWTPs. The abundance of AOA comparatively to AOB in full-scale WWTPs must be carried out first by using real-time PCR technique. Later, *in situ* activity of AOA as well as AOB can be investigated using microautoradiography combined with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (MAR-FISH). Further clarification of environmental factors affecting AOA communities also needed to provide stability of AOA activities in the WWTPs.

# REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association. <u>Standard methods for the examination of water</u> <u>and wastewater</u>, 20<sup>th</sup> edition, Washington DC, USA, 1998.
- Aakra, A., Utaaker, J.B., and Nes, I.F. Comparative phylogeny of the ammonia monooxygenase subunit A and 16S rRNA genes of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria <u>FEMS Microbiology Letters</u> 205 (2001a): 237-242.
- Alexander, M. Biodegradation of organic chemicals. <u>Environmental Science and</u> <u>Technology</u>. 18, 2 (1985): 106–111.
- Alzerreca, J. J., Norton, J. M., and Klotz, M. G. The amo operon in marine, ammonia-oxidizing gamma-proteobacteria. <u>FEMS Microbiology Letters</u> 180 (1999): 21-29.
- Arciero, D.T., Vannelli, M.L., and Hooper, A.B. Degradation of trichloroethylene by the ammonia-oxidizing bacterium *Nitrosomonas europaea*. <u>Archives of</u> <u>Biochemistry and Biophysics</u> 159 (1989): 640-643.
- Ballinger, S.J., Head, I.M., Curtis, T.P. and Godley, A.R. Molecular microbial ecology of nitrification in an activated sludge process treating refinery wastewater. <u>Water Science and Technology</u> 37 (1998): 105–108.
- Beman, J.M., and Francis, C.A. Diversity of ammoniaoxidizing archaea and bacteria in the sediments of a hypernutrified subtropical estuary: Bahía del Tóbari, Mexico. <u>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</u> 72 (2006): 7767–7777.
- Bollmann, A., and Laanbroek H.J. Continuous culture enrichments of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria at low ammonium concentrations. <u>FEMS Microbiology</u> <u>Ecology</u> 37 (2001): 211-221.
- Bollmann, A. and Laanbroek, H.J. Influence of oxygen partial pressure and salinity on the community composition of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in the schelde estuary. <u>Aquatic Microbial Ecology</u> 28 (2002): 239–247.
- Burton, S.A., and Prosser J.I. Autotrophic ammonia oxidation at low pH through urea hydrolysis. <u>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</u> 67 (2001): 2952-2957.

- Carballa, M., Omil, F., Lema, J.M., Llompart, M., Garcı'a-Jares, C., Rodrı'guez, I., Go'mez, M., Ternes, T. Behavior of pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and hormones in a sewage treatment plant. <u>Water Research</u> 38, 12 (2004): 2918– 2926.
- Casciotti, K.L., and Ward B.B. Dissimilatory nitrite reductase genes from autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. <u>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</u> 67 (2001): 2213-2221.
- Ceccherini, M.T., Castialdini, M., Piovanelli, C., Hastings, R.C., McCarthy, A.J., Bazzicalupoet, M., and Miclaus, N. Effects of swine manure on autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in soil microcosms. <u>Applied Soil Ecology</u> 7 (1998): 149-157.
- Coolen, M.J.L., Abbas, B., Van Bleijswijk, J., Hopmans, E.C., Kuypers, M.M.M.,
  Wakeham, S.G. Putative ammonia-oxidizing Crenarchaeota in suboxic waters of the Black Sea: a basin-wide ecological study using 16S ribosomal and functional genes and membrane lipids. <u>Environmental Microbiology</u> 9 (2007): 1001–1016.
- Clara, M., Kreuzingera, N., Strenna, B., Gansb, O., Kroiss, H. The solids retention time a suitable design parameter to evaluate the capacity of wastewater treatment plants to remove micropollutants. <u>Water Research</u> 39, 1 (2005): 97– 106.
- Damsté, J.S., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hopmans, E.C., Prahl, F.G., Wakeham, S.G., and Schouten, S. Distribution of membrane lipids of planktonic Crenarchaeota in the Arabian sea. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 68 (2002b): 2997– 3002.
- Dang, H., Zhang, X., Sun, J., Li, T., Zhang, Z., and Yang, G. Diversity and spatial distribution of sediment ammonia-oxidizing crenarchaeota in response to estuarine and environmental gradient in the Changjiang Estuary and East China Sea. <u>Microbiology</u> 154 (2008): 2084-2095.
- De Boer, W., and Laanbroek, H.J. Ureolytic nitrification at low pH by Nitrosospira species. <u>Archives of Microbiology</u> 152 (1989): 178-181.

- De Boer, W., Klein-Gunnewiek, P.J.A., Veenhuis, M., Bock, E., and Laanbroek, H.J. Nitrification at low pH by aggregated autotrophic bacteria. <u>Applied and</u> Environmental Microbiology 57 (1991): 3600-3604.
- DeLong, E.F. Archaea in coastal marine environments. <u>Proceeding of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences USA</u> 89 (1992): 5685–5689.
- Dionisi, H.M., Layton, A.C., Harms, G., Gregory, IR., Robinson, KG., and Sayler,
  GS. Quantification of *Nitrosomonas oligotropha* like ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and *Nitrospira* spp. from full-scale wastewater treatment plants by competitive PCR. <u>Applied and Environmental Microbiology</u> 68 (2002): 245–253.
- Ely, R.L., Hyman, M.R., Arp, D.J., Guenther, R.B., Williamson, K.J. A cometabolic kinetics model incorporation enzyme inhibition, inactivation, and recovery: II. Trichloroethylene degradation experiments. <u>Biotechnology and</u> <u>Bioengineering</u> 46 (1995): 232-245.
- Foesel, B.U., Gieseke, A., Schwermer, C., Stief, P., Koch, L., Cytryn, E., de la Torre, J.T., van Rijn, J., Minz, D., Drake,H.L. and Schramm, A. *Nitrosomonas* Nm143-like ammonia oxidizers and *Nitrospira* marina-like nitrite oxidizers dominate the nitrifier community in a marine aquaculture biofilm. <u>FEMS</u> <u>Microbiology Ecology</u> 63, 2 (2008): 192-204.
- Francis, C.A., Roberts, K.J., Beman, J.M., Santoro, A.E., Oakley, B.B. Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. <u>Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences USA</u> 102 (2005): 14683–14688.
- Gieseke, A. Community structure and activity dynamics of nitrifying bacteria in a phosphate-removing biofilm. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 67 (2001): 1351–1362.
- Golovacheva, R.S. Thermophilic nitrifying bacteria from hot springs. Journal of <u>Microbiology</u> 45 (1976): 329-331.
- Goreau, T.W., Kaplan, W.A., Wofsy, S.C., McElroy, M.B., Valois, F.W., and Watson, S.W. Production of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>O by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 40 (1980.): 526-532.

- Hall, G.H. Nitrification in lakes. <u>Prosser, J.I. (Ed.)</u>, <u>Nitrification</u>, 127–156. Oxford UK: IRL Press, 1986.
- Harms, G., Layton, A.C., Dionisi, H.M., Gregory, I.R., Garrett, V. M., Hawkins, S.
  A., Robinson, K.G., and Sayler, G.S. Real-time PCR quantification of nitrifying bacteria in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u> 37 (2003): 343-351.
- Head, I.M., Hiorns, W.D., Embley, T.M., McCarthy, A.J., and Saunders, J.R. The phylogeny of autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria as determined by analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. <u>Journal of General</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 139 (1993): 1147-1153.
- Hermansson, A., and Lindgren, P. E. Quantification of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in Arable soil by real-time PCR. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 67, 2 (2001): 972 -976.
- Hommes, N.G., Sayavedra-Soto, L.A., and Arp, D.J. Mutagenesis and expression of amo, which codes for ammoniamonooxygenase in Nitrosomonas europaea. Journal of Bacteriology 180 (1998): 3353-3359.
- Horz, H.P., Rotthauwe, J.H., Lukow, T., and Liesack, W. Identification of major subgroups of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in environmental samples by T-RFLP of *amo*A PCR products. <u>Journal of Microbiological Methods</u> 39 (2000): 197-204.
- Hyman, M.R., Russell, S.A., Ely, R.L., Willamson, K.J., Arp, D.J. Inhibition, inactivation, and recovery of ammonia-oxidizing activity in cometabolism of trichloroethylene by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. <u>Applied and Environment</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 61 (1995) 1480-1487.
- International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). Endocrine disruptors in the environment (IUPAC Technical Report). <u>Pure and Applied Chemistry</u> 75, 5 (2003): 631-381.
- Jiang, Q.Q., and Bakken, L.R. Comparison of *Nitrosospira* strains isolated from terrestrial environments. <u>FEMS Microbioloy Ecology</u> 30 (1999): 171-186.
- Jones, R.D., Morita, R.Y., Koops, H.P., and Watson, S.W. A new marine ammonium-oxidizing bacterium, *Nitrosomonas cryotolerans* sp. nov. Can. Journal of Microbiology 34 (1988): 1122-1128.

- Juretschko, S., Timmermann, G., Schmid, M., Schleifer, K.H., Pommerening-Roser, A., Koops, H.P., and Wagne, M. Combined molecular and conventional analyses of nitrifying bacterium diversity in activated sludge: *Nitrosococcus mobilis* and *Nitrospira*-like bacteria as dominant populations. <u>Applied and</u> Environment Microbiology 64 (1998): 3042–3051.
- Klotz, M.G., and Norton, J.M. Sequence of an ammonia monooxygenase subunit Aencoding gene from *Nitrosospira* sp. NpAV. <u>Gene</u> 163 (1995): 159-160.
- Konneke, M., Bernhard, A.E., de la Torre, J.R., Walker, C.B., Waterbury, J.B., Stahl
  D.A. Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. <u>Nature</u> 437 (2005): 543–546.
- Koops, H. P., and Harms, H. Deoxyribonucleic acid homologies among 96
   strains of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. <u>Archives of Microbiology</u> 141 (1985): 214-218.
- Koops, H.P., Böttcher, B., Möller, U.C., Pommerening-Röser, A., and Stehr, G. Description of a new species of *Nitrosococcus*. <u>Archives of Microbiology</u> 154 (1990): 244-248.
- Koops, H.P., Böttcher, B., Möller, U. C., Pommerening-Röser, A., and Stehr, G.
  Classification of eight new species of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: *Nitrosomonas communis* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas ureae* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas aestuarii* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas marina* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas nitrosa* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas eutropha* sp. nov., *Nitrosomonas oligotropha* sp. nov., and *Nitrosomonas halophila* sp. nov. <u>Journal of General Microbiology</u> 137 (1991): 1689-1699.
- Koops, H.P., and Pommerening-Roser A. Distribution and ecophysiology of the nitrifying bacteria emphasizing cultured species. <u>FEMS Microbiology</u> <u>Ecology</u> 37 (2001): 1–9.
- Koops, H. P., Purkhold, U., Pommeren, R. A., Timmermann, G., and Wagner, M. The Lithoautotrophic Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria. <u>M. Dworkin et al</u> (eds.), The Prokaryotes: An Evolving Electronic Resource For the <u>Microbiological Community</u>, 1-22. New York, 2003.

- Kowalchuk, G.A. Analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria of the βsubdivision of the class Proteobacteria in costal sand dunes by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and sequencing of PCR-amplified 16S ribosomal DNA fragments. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 63, 4 (1997): 1489–1497.
- Kowalchuk, G.A., Naoumenko, Z.S., Derikx, P.J.L., Felske, A., Stephen, J.R., and Laanbroek, H.J. Community analysis of ammonia-oxidising bacteria, in relation to oxygen availability in soils and root-oxygenated sediments, using PCR, DGGE and oligonucleotide probe hybridization. <u>FEMS Microbiology</u> <u>Ecology</u> 27 (1998): 339-350.
- Kowalchuk, G.A., and Stephen, J.R. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria: a model for molecular microbial ecology. <u>Annual Review of Microbiology</u> 55 (2001): 485-529.
- Kreuzinger, N., Clara, M., Strenn, B., Kroiss, B. Relevance of the sludge retention time (SRT) as design criteria for wastewater treatment plants for the removal of endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals from wastewater. <u>Water Science</u> <u>and Technology</u> 50, 5 (2004): 149–156.
- Kurisu, F., Satoh, H., Mino, T., and Matsuo, T. Microbial community analysis of thermophilic contact oxidation process by using ribosomal RNA approaches and the quinone profile method. <u>Water Research</u> 36 (2002): 429–438.
- Leininger, S., Urich, T., Schloter, M., Schwark, L., Qi, J., Nicol, G.W. Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. <u>Nature</u> 442 (2006): 806-809.
- Limpiyakorn T., Shinihara, Y., Kurisu, F., and Yagi, O. Communities of ammonia oxidizing bacteria in activated sludge of various sewage treatment plants in Tokyo. <u>FEMS Microbiology Ecology</u> 54 (2005): 205–117.
- Limpiyakorn, T., Kurisu, F., and Yagi, O. Development and application of real-time PCR quantification for particular ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in full-scale sewage activated sludge systems and continuous enrichment cultures. <u>Applied</u> <u>and Environmental Microbiology</u> 72 (2006a): 1004-1013.

- Limpiyakorn, T., Kurisu, F., and Yagi, O. Quantification of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria populations in full-scale sewage activated sludge systems and assessment of system variables affecting their performance. <u>Water Science</u> and Technology 54 (2006b): 91–99.
- Limpiyakorn, T., Kurisu, F., Sakamoto, Y., and Yagi, O. Effects of ammonium and nitrite on communities and populations of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in laboratory-scale continuous-flow reactors. <u>FEMS Microbiology Ecology</u> 60 (2007): 501-512.
- Liu Xin-chun, Zhang Yu, Yang Min, Wang Zhen-yu, Lv Wen-zhou. Analysis of bacterial community structures in two sewage treatment plants with different sludge properties and treatment performance by nested PCR-DGGE method. Journal of Environmental Sciences 19 (2007): 60-66.
- MacDonald, R. M. Nitrification in soil: a introductory history <u>J.I. Prosser (Eds.)</u>, <u>Nitrification</u>, 1-16. Oxford UK: IRL Press, 1986
- McTavish, H., Fuchs, J.A., and Hooper, A.B. Sequence of the gene coding for ammonia monooxygenase in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Journal of Bacteriology 175 (1993): 2436-2444.
- Mobarry, B.K., Wagner, M., Urbain, V., Rittmann, B.E., and Stahl, D.A.
- Phylogenetic probes for analyzing abundance and spatial organization of nitrifying bacteria. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 62, 6 (1996): 2156–2162.
- Nicol, G.W., and Schleper, C. Ammonia-oxidising Crenarchaeota: important players in the nitrogen cycle? <u>Trends in Microbiology</u> 14, 5 (2006): 207-212.
- Nicolaisen, M.H. and Ramsing, N.B. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) approaches to study the diversity of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Journal of Microbiologigal Methods 50 (2002): 189–203.
- Nold, S.C., Zhou, J., Devol, A.H., and Tiedje, J.M. Pacific Northwest marine sediments contain ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in the beta subdivision of the Proteobacteria. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 66 (2000): 4532-4535.

- Norton, J.M., Alzerreca, J.J., Suwa, Y., and Klotz, M.G. Diversity of ammonia monooxygenase operon in autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Archieves of Microbiology 177 (2002): 139-149.
- Okabe, S., Satoh, H., and Watanabe, Y. In situ analysis of nitrifying biofilms as determined by in situ hybridization and the use of microelectrodes. <u>Applied</u> <u>and Environment Microbiology</u> 65 (1999): 3182-3191.
- Painter, H.A. Nitrification in the Treatment of Sewage and Waste-waters. <u>Prosser</u>, <u>J.I. (Ed.), Nitrification</u>, 185–211. Oxford UK: IRL Press, 1986.
- Park, H.D, Wells, G.F., Bae, H., Criddle, C.S., Francis, C.A. Occurrence of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in wastewater treatment plant bioreactors. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 72 (2006): 5643–5647.
- Prosser, J.I., and Embley, T.M. Cultivation-based and molecular approaches to characterisation of terrestrial and aquatic nitrifiers. <u>Antonie Van</u> <u>Leeuwenhoek</u> 81 (2002): 165–179.
- Purdom, C.E., Hardiman, P.A., Bye, V.J., Eno, N.C., Tyler C.R., Sumpter, J.P. Estrogenic effects of effluents from sewage treatment works. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Chemical Ecology</u> 8 (1994): 275–285.
- Purkhold, U., Pommering-Röser, A., Juretschko, S, Schmid, M. C., Koops, H.P., and Wagner, M. Phylogeny of all recognized species of ammonia oxidizers based on comparative 16S rRNA and amoA sequence analysis: implications for molecular diversity surveys. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 66 (2000): 5368-5382.
- Purkhold, U., Wagner, M., Timmermann, G., Pommerening-Röser, A., and Koops,
  H.P. 16S rRNA and amoA-based Phylogeny of 12 Novel Betaproteobacterial
  Ammonia Oxidizing Isolates: Extension of the Data Set and Proposal of a
  New Lineage within the *Nitrosomonads*. International Journal of Systematic
  and Evolutionary Microbiology [online] (2003) Available from:
  <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02638-0">http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02638-0</a> [10/5/2007]
- Regan, J.M., Harrington, G.W., and Noguera, D.R. Ammonia and nitrite-oxidizing bacterial communities in a pilot-scale chloraminated drinking water distribution system. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 68 (2002): 73-81.

Regan, J.M., Harrington, G.W., Baribeau, H., De Leon, R., and Noguera, D.R.

- Diversity of nitrifying bacteria in full-scale chloraminated distribution systems. <u>Water Research</u> 37 (2003): 197–205.
- Rotthauwe, J.H., de Boer, W., and Liesack, W. Comparative analysis of gene sequences encoding ammonia monooxygenase of *Nitrosospira* sp. AHB1 and *Nitrosolobus multiformis* C-71. <u>FEMS Microbiology Letters</u> 133 (1995): 131-135.
- Rotthauwe, J.H., Witzel, K.P., and Liesack, W. The ammonia monooxygenase structural gene amoA as a functional marker: molecular fine-scale analysis of natural ammonia-oxidizing populations. <u>Applied and Environment</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 63 (1997): 4704-4712.
- Routledge, EJ. Identification of estrogenic chemicals in STW effluent-II. In vivo responses in trout and roach. <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u> 32 (1998): 1559-1565.
- Santoro, A.E., Francis, C.A., de Sieyes, N.R., and Boehm, A.B. Shifts in the relative abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea across physicochemical gradients in a subterranean estuary. <u>Environmental Microbioogy</u> 10 (2008): 1068-1079.
- Schleper, C. Genomic studies of uncultivated archaea. <u>Nature Reviews Microbiology</u> 3 (2005): 479–488.
- Schloss, P.D., and Handelsman, J. Introducing DOTUR, a computerprogram for defining operational taxonomic units and estimating speciesrichness. <u>Applied</u> <u>and Environment Microbiology</u> 71 (2005): 1501-1506.
- Schmidt, I., and Bock, E. Anaerobic ammonia oxidation with nitrogen dioxide by *Nitrosomonas eutropha*. <u>Archives of Microbiology</u> 167 (1997): 106-111.
- Schmidt, I., and Bock, E. Anaerobic ammonia oxidation with nitrogen dioxide by cell-free extracts of *Nitrosomonas eutropha*. <u>Antonie van Leeuwenhoek</u> 73 (1998): 271-278.
- Schramm, A., De Beer, D., Wagner, M., and Amann, R. Identification and activities in situ of *Nitrosospira* and *Nitrospira* spp. as dominant population in nitrifying fluidized bed reactor. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 64 (1998): 3480–3485.

- Shi, J., Fujisawa, S., Nakai, S., Hosomi, M. Biodegradation of natural and synthetic estrogen by nitrifying activated sludge and ammonia-oxidizing bacterium *Nitromonas europaea*. Water Research 38,9 (2004): 2323-2330.
- Siripong, S., and Rittmannb, B.E. Diversity study of nitrifying bacteria in full-scale municipal wastewater treatment plants. <u>Water Research</u> 41 (2007): 1110 – 1120.
- Stehr, G., Böttcher, B., Dittberner, P., Rath, G., and Koops, H.P. The ammonia oxidizing nitrifying population of the River Elbe estuary. <u>FEMS</u> <u>Microbiology Ecology</u> 17 (1995a): 177-186.
- Stehr, G., Zörner, S., Böttcher, B., and Koops, H.P. Exopolymers: A ecological Characteristic of a Floc-Attached, Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacterium. <u>Microbial</u> <u>Ecology</u> 30 (1995b): 115-126.
- Stephen, J.R., Chang, Y.J., Macnaughton, S.J., Kowalchuk, G.A., Leung, K.T., Flemming, C.A., and White, D.C. Effect of toxic metals on indigenous soil beta-subgroup proteobacterium ammonia oxidizer community structure and protection against toxicity by inoculated metal-resistant bacteria. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 65 (1999): 95-101.
- Strickland, J.D., and Parson, T.R. Fishery research board of Canada. <u>A practical</u> <u>handbook of seawater analysis</u>, 310-315. Otawa, 1972.
- Suwa, Y., Imamura, Y., Suzuki, T., Tashiro, T., and Urushigawa, Y. Ammoniaoxidizing bacteria with different sensitivities to (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in activated sludge <u>Water Research</u> 28 (1994): 1523-1532.
- Suwa, Y., Sumino, T., and Noto, K. Phylogenetic relationships of activated sludge isolates of ammonia oxidizers with different sensitivities to ammonium sulfate. <u>Applied Microbiology</u> 43 (1997): 373-379.
- Taewoo Ti and Willief. Harper, JR. The Link between Nitrification and Biotransformation of 17α-Ethinylestradiol. <u>Environmental Science and</u> <u>Technology</u> 41 (2007): 4311-4316.
- Torre, José R., Christopher B. Walker, Anitra E. Ingalls, Martin Könneke, and David A. Stahl. Cultivation of a thermophilic ammonia oxidizingarchaeon synthesizing crenarchaeol. <u>Environmental Microbiology</u> 10,1 (2008): 810– 818.

- Treusch, A.H., Leininger, S., Kletzin, A., Schuster, S.C., Klenk, H.P., Schleper, C. Novel genes or nitrite reductase and Amo-related proteins indicate a role of uncultivated mesophilic crenarchaeota in nitrogen cycling. <u>Environmental</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 7 (2005): 1985–1995.
- Vader, J.S. Degradation of ethinyl estradiol by nitrifying activated sludge. <u>Chemosphere</u> 41 (2000): 1239-1243.
- Venter, J.C., Remington, K., Heidelberg, J.F., Halper, A.L., Rusc, D., Eisen, J.A. Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. <u>Science</u> 304 (2004): 66–74.
- Vogel, T.M., and McCarty, P.L. Biotransformation of tetrachloroethylene to trichloroethylene, dichloroethylene, vinyl chloride, and carbon dioxide under methanogenic conditions, <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 49 (1985): 1080-1083.
- Wagner, M., Rath, G., Amann, R., Koops, H.P., and Schleifer, K.H. In situ identification of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. <u>Systematic and Applied</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 18 (1995): 251–264.
- Wagner, M., Noguera, D.R., Juretschko, S., Rath, G, Koops, H.P., and Schleifer,
  H.K.H. Combining fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) with cultivation and mathematical modeling to study population structure and function of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in activated sludge. <u>Water Science and Technology</u> 37 (1998): 441–449.
- Wagner, M., Loy, A. Bacterial community composition and function in sewage treatment systems. <u>Current Opinion Biotechnology</u> 13 (2002): 218-227.
- Ward, B.B., Martino, D.P., Diaz, M.C., and Joye, S.B. Analysis of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria from hypersaline Mono Lake, California, on the basis of 16S rRNA sequences. <u>Applied and Environment Microbiology</u> 66 (2000): 2873-2881.
- Watson, S.W., and Mandel, M. Comparison of the morphology and deoxyribonucleid acid composition of 27 strains of nitrifying bacteria <u>Journal of Bacteriology</u> 107 (1971): 563-569.

- William, K.K., and Daniel, J.A. Kinetic studies of ammonia monooxygenase inhibition in *Nitrosomonas europaea* by hydrocarbons and halogenated hydrocarbons in an optimized whole-cell assay. <u>Applied and Environment</u> <u>Microbiology</u> 59 (1993): 501-510.
- Wilson, J.T., and Wilson, B.H. Biodegradation of trichloroethylene in soil. <u>Applied</u> <u>and Environment Microbiology</u> 49 (1985). 242-243.
- Woese, C.R., Weisburg, W.G., Paster, B.J., Hahn, C.M., Tanner, R.S., Krieg, N.R., Koops, H.P., Harms, H., and Stackebrandt, E. The phylogeny of the purple bacteria: the beta subdivision system. <u>Applied Microbiology</u> 5 (1984): 327-336.
- Woese, C.R., Weisburg, W.G., Hahn, C.M., Paster, B.J., Zablen, L.B., Lewis, B.J.,
  Macke, T.J., Ludwig, W., and Stackebrandt, E. The phylogeny of the purple bacteria: the gamma subdivision system. <u>Applied Microbiology</u> 6 (1985): 25-33.
- Wuchter, C., Abbas, B., Coolen, M.J.L., Herfort, L., van Bleijswijk, J., Timmers, P. Archaeal nitrification in the ocean. <u>Proceeding of the National Academy of</u> <u>Sciences USA</u> 103 (2006): 12317–12322.
- You, S.J., Hsu, C.L., Chuang, S.H. and Ouyang, C.F. Nitrification efficiency and nitrifying bacteria abundance in combined AS-RBC and A<sub>2</sub>O systems. <u>Water</u> <u>Research</u> 37 (2003): 2281–2290.
- Yamagata, A., Kato, J., Hirota, R., Kuroda, A., Ikeda, T., Takiguchi, N., and Ohtake,
  H. Isolation and characterization of two cryptic plasmids in the ammoniaoxidizing bacterium *Nitrosomonas* sp. Strain ENI-11. Journal of Bacteriology 181 (1999): 3375-3381.
- Zart, D., Schmidt, I., and Bock, E. Significance of gaseous NO for ammonia oxidation by *Nitrosomonas eutropha*. <u>Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek</u> 77 (2000): 49-55.

APPENDICES

**APPENDIX A** 

## Sequences of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria found in full-scale WWTPs

#### >AOB-I1-1

NGNNNATCCCTCAACTCAANGGGCNACGGATACAGTAGTTGGTCTGAGAGGACGACCAGCCACACTGGG ACTGAGACACGGCCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGA TCCAGCAATGCCGCGTGAGTGAAGAAGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCTCTTTTAGTTGAAAAGAAAAAACA GCGATTAATAATCGCTGTTATGACGGTATCAACAGAAAAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGC GGTAATACGTAGGGTGCGAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTTTTGTAAG TCAGATGTGAAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAATTGCGTTTGAAACTACAAGGCTAGACCGGT >AOB-I2-1

NNNNNCNNTAACCAANGGCGACGACCACTAGCTGGTCTGAGAGGACGATCAGCCACACTGGGACTGAGA CACGGCCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGGCGGAAGCCTGATCCAGCA ATGCCGCGTGAGTGAAGAAGGACCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCTCTTTCAGTCGAGAAGAAAAGGCTATGCCGA ATAAGTATAGTTAATGACGGTATCGAAAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGGTAAT ACGTAGGGTGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTACTGTAAGTCAGAT GTGAAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAATTGCGTTTGAAACTCNNAGCTAGACCGTTTGANGCTTGAAAG TTCGAGGTTGGAACTTCGGAGCTGATATTTGAAATTTCGGTGTTTTGCTTGTGACGGG >AOB-I3-2

TATNNGGGCCCTAACCAAGGCGACGATCAGTAGTTGGTCTGAGAGGACGACCAGCCACACTGGGACTGA GACACGGCCCAGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTAGTGGGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATCCAG CAATGCCGCGTGAGTGAAGAAGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCTCTTTCAGTCGAGAAGAAAAGGCTGCAGT GAATAACTGTAGTTTATGACGGTATCGACAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTA ATACGTAGGGTGCGAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTTTTGTAAGTCAG ATGTGAAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAATTGCGTTTGAAACTACAAGGCTAGACCGTTGGCCTTTGAA ACTACCAAGGTAGGAGGGTCGAAATTGAAACCTCCCACTTTTAGAGCTCTCGCAAAATTTGNN >AOB-I4-1

>AOB-LM1-2

#### >AOB-LM1-1

#### > AOB-LM2-1

#### > AOB-LM3-1

 $\label{eq:statchart} NCNAAANGGNNACGATCAGTATTTNGGNCTGAGAGGGGATGGCCAACCACCACGGGACTGAGACACGGCCC\\ AGACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGGGGAAACCCTGATCCAGCAATGCCGCG\\ TGAGTGAAGAAGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCTCTTTCAGTTGAGAAGAAAGGTTGTGACTAATAATCAT\\ AATTTATGACGGTATCAACAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATACGTAGGG\\ TGCAAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTTATGTAAGTCAGATGTGAAATC\\ CCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAATGGCGTTTGAAATCNAAAGGCTAGAAGTN\\ \end{tabular}$ 

#### > AOB-LM3-2

#### > AOB-LM4-1

#### > AOB-SM1-1

#### > AOB-SM4-1

NNNNGACCGCCTAGAGTTTACCANGGCGACAATGTGGGTGGCTGGGATAGGACCACCCGCCGGCTGGGA CTGATACACGGCCCGACGCGGACGGGAGGGGATTATGGGGAATTTTGTACAATGGTGGCAAGCCTGATC TGGCTTGCCGCGTGAGTGAAGAAGACCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCTCTTTCAGTTGAGAAGAAAAGATTGTG ACTAATAATTGCAATTCATGACGGTATCAACAGAAGAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG TAATACGTAGGGTGCGAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTTCTGTAAGTC AGATGTGAAATCCCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAACTGCGTTTGAAATNNTAAGCTAGACATTGAAACTACCA AGGTAGGCCTTTGGAACCTACAAGGTTAGACGTTTGAAATTACAGGGCTAGACGTTAGAAT

**APPENDIX B** 

## Sequences of ammonia-oxidizing archaea found in full-scale WWTPs

#### >AOA-I3-1

#### >AOA-I3-2

#### >AOA-I3-3

#### >AOA-I3-4

#### >AOA-I3-5

#### >AOA-I4-1

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCC AGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCA TGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM1-1

TATACTGACTGGGCTTGGACTTCGTTCACGGTATTTTCAATATCGCAAACGTTGATGCTTGCAGTAGGT GCAACATATTACCTGACATTCGTTCAGGTGTTCCAGGAACAGCAACGTACTACGGCCTAATTATGACAGTA TACACATGGGTAGCAAAAGGAGCATGGTTTGCACTAGGTTATCCATATGACTTCATTGTAACACCAATT TGGTTACCATCAGCAATGTTGCTTGATTTAGCCTATTGGGCAACAAGGAGGAACAAGCACTCCTTGATA CTGTTTGGCGGAGGTACTAGTAGGAATGTCTTTACCATTATTCAACAGGGAACAAGCACGTAACAGTAGCA GACCCACTAGAAACGGCATTCAAATACCCAAGACCAACATTGCCACCATACATGACACCAATAGAACCT CAAGTAGGTAAATCCTATAACAGTCCAGTAGCACTGGGTGCAGGTGCGGGTGCAGTTTTGTCGGTTACA TTTACAGCCTTAGGTTGAAACTAAACACTAGA

#### >AOA-LM1-2

#### >AOA-LM1-3

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACAGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGCTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTTTTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGTAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM1-4

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACCTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM1-5

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACAGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM1-6

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACATCTTTCGTGGTATTCTCGGTCTCGCAGTCGACTATGCTCGCTGTGGGT GCGGTATACTACATGTTGTTCACAGGCGTTCCAGGGACCGCAACATACTATGCAACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTTGCAAAGGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTTGGATACCCGTATGACTTCGTCGTGGTGCCAGTG TGGATCCCGTCGGCAATGCTTCTAGACCTCACGTACTGGGCGACAAGACGCAACAAGCACGCTGCCAGTC ATCATTGGCGGAACGCTGGTTGGCCTCTCATTCCCGCTGTTCAACATGGTCAACCTGTTGCTCGTCAGA GACCCACTCGAGGTGCCCTTCAAGTATCCAAGACCGACGCTGCCAGCGTACATGACGCCTATAGAGCCT CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACTCGCCTGTCGCCCTGGGTTCAGGTGCAGTGCCAGTGCCACC ATTACTGCGTTGGGTGCGAAACTTAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM2-2

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACATCTTTCGTGGTATTCTCGGTCTCGCAGTCGTCTATGCTCGCTGTGGGT GCGGTATACTACATGTTGTTCACAGGCGTTCCAGGGACCGCAACATACTATGCAACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTTGCAAAGGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTTGGATACCCGTATGACTTCGTCGTGGTGCCAGTG TGGATCCCGTCGGCAATGCTTCTAGACCTCACGTACTGGGCGACAAGACGCAACAAGCACGCTGCCATC ATCATTGGCGGAACGCTGGTTGGCCTCTCATTCCCGCTGTTCAACATGGTCAACCTGTTGCTCGTCAGA GACCCACTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCAAGACCGACGCTGCCAGCGTACATGACGCCTATAGAGCCT CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCCACAACTCGCCTGTCGCCCTGGGTTCAGGTGCAGTGCCAGTGCCAGCG ATTACTGCGTTGGGTGCGAAACTTAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM2-3

 $\label{eq:tau} TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACATCTTTCGTGGTGTGTGTCTCGCAGTCGACTATGCTCGCTGTGGGT\\ GCGGTATACTACATGTTGTTCACAGGCGTTCCAGGGACCGCAACATACTATGCAACAATCATGGCCATC\\ TACACATGGGTTGCAAAGGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTTGGATACCCGTATGACTTCGTCGTGGTGCCAGTG\\ TGGATCCCGTCGGCAATGCTTCTAGACCTCACGTACTGGGCGGCAAGACGCAACAAGCACGCTGCCATC\\ ATCATTGGCGGAACGCTGGTTGGCCTCTCATTCCCGCTGTTCAACATGGTCAACCTGTTGCTCGTCAGA\\ GACCCACTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCAAGACCGACGCTGCCAGCGTACATGACGCCTATAGAGCCT\\ CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACTCGCCTGTCGCCCTGGGGTTCAGGTGCAGTGCTAACGGTGCCC\\ ATTACTGCGTTGGGTGCGAAACTTAACACGTGG\\ \\$ 

#### >AOA-LM2-4

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACATCTTTCGTGGTATTCTCGGTCTCGCAGTCGACTATGCTCGCTGTGGGT GCGGTATACTACATGTTGTTCACAGGCGTTCCAGGGACCGCAACATACTATGCAACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTTGCAAAGGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTTGGATACCCGTATGACTTCGTCGTGGTGCCAGTG TGGATCCCGTCGGCAATGCTTCTAGACCTCACGTACTGGGCGACAAGACGCAACAAGCACGCTGCCAGTC ATCATTGGCGGAACGCTGGTTGGCCTCTCATTCCCGCTGTTCAACATGGTCAACCTGTGTGCTCGTCAGA GACCCACTCGAGGTGGCCTTCCAAGTATCCAAGACCGACGCTGCCAGCGTACATGACGCCTATAGAGCCT CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACTCGCCTGTCGCCCTGGGTCCAGGTGCAGTGCCAGTGCCAGTGCCA ATTACTGCGTTGGGTGCGAAACTTAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM3-1

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACGTCGTGGTGGTGGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGGGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM3-2

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACAGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTGGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACCTTCCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACAATGCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM3-3

 $\label{eq:taggettgggcttggacttcatttgtagtattttcaatttcaattctacaatgcttgtagttggtgcaatggtagtagtagtagtaccaggaccacattattatgcaacaattatgacaata tacacatgggttgcgaaaggcgcatggtttgcacttggttatccgtatgacttcattgtaacgccagtttgtagttgtgtagtaccaggaccaggaccagaagaacaagcacggcaattgttgtagttgtgtaggactttacatactgggcgaccagaagaaacaagcacggcgaattattgtaagggcgaattatcatttgtagtttcaattttcaatatgataaacctctttgttgtaaggggaattgttgtaggattatccaagaccgacgttaccgccattgttgtaggacttgtaaggggaacggtacctttgtgtaggattgtcaattgcaatgccattgttgtaggacgaccagaagaaagcaccagtaggaccattgttgtaggaccgacggtaggaaagttctacaacagtcccgttgcgttagggcgaccaggagcagtattaagtgtaccc attgcaggagcagtattaagtgtaccc attgcaggagcagtattaagtgtaccc attgcaggagcagtattaagtgtaccc attgcaggagcagtattaagtgtaccc attgcagcactaggtgctaggtaggaattaagtgtaagttaaatacctgg$ 

#### >AOA-LM3-4

TACACAGACTGGGCTTGGACTTCATTTGTAGTATTTTCAATTTCACAATCTACAATGCTTGTAGTTGGT GCAATATACTACATGTTATTTACAGGAGTACCAGGAACGGCTACATATTATGCAACAATTATGACAATA TACACATGGGTTGCGAAAGGCGCATGGTTTGCACTTGGTTATCCGTATGACTTCATTGTAACGCCAGTT TGGATACCTTCGGCCATGCTATTGGATCTTACATACTGGGCGACCAGAAGAAACAAGCACGCGGCAATT ATCATTGGAGGCACTTTGGTAGGATTATCATTACCAATTTTCAATATGATAAACCTCTTGGTAAGG GATCCTTTAGAAATGGCATTCAAATATCCAAGACCGACGTTACCGCCATATATGACGCCCAATTGAACCT CAGGTAGGAAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCCGTTGCGTTAGGCTCTGGTGCAGGAGCAGTATTAAGTGTACCC ATTGCAGCACTAGGTGCTAAGTTAAATACCTGG

#### >AOA-LM3-5

#### >AOA-LM3-6

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACCTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCGCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM3-7

#### >AOA-LM3-8

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCC TAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAACACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-LM4-1

#### >AOA-LM4-2

#### >AOA-SM1-1

#### >AOA-SM1-2

#### >AOA-SM4-1

#### >AOA-SM4-2

TACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTCTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGC GCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACAGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCTACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATC TACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTATCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTG TGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCTACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATA CTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTCAACATGGTCAACATGGTCGAGCCGCCATA GACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTGCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCC CAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCAGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCC ATGGCAGCGCTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGG

#### >AOA-SM4-3

#### >AOA-SM4-4

#### >AOA-SM4-5

#### >AOA-SM4-6

#### >AOA-SM4-7

#### >AOA-SM4-8

### >AOA-SM4-9

TATACAGATTGGGCATGGACATCTTTTGTTGTATTCTCAGTATCCCAGTCAACAATGCTTGTAGTTGGA GCAATCTATTACATGCTATTCACCGGTGTACCAGGGACTGCAACATATTATGCAACAATCATGACTATC TATACATGGGTAGCCAAAGGAGCTTGGTTTGCACTAGGATATCCATATGACTTCATAGTAACTCCAGTT TGGATACCTTCAGCAATGCTGCTAGATTTGACATATTGGGCAACAAGAAGGAACAAGCATGCTGCCAGT ATAATTGGCGGAACATTGGTAGGACTTTCATTGCCCAATATTCAACATGATAAATCTACTGCTGGTCAGA GATCCTCTTGAGATGGCGTTCAAGTATCCTCGTCCAACATTGCCTCCATACATGACACCAATTGAACCT CAGGTCGGTAAGTTCTACAATAGTCCCGTGGCGCTAGGATCCGGGGCAGGAGCTGTACTGAGCGTTCCA ATCGCTGCATTGGGCGCGCGAAGCTAAATACTTGG

#### >AOA-SM4-10

**APPENDIX C**
## Sequences of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria found in seed sludge and enriched NAS

## >AOB-S-1

## >AOB-S-2

## >AOB-S-3

## >AOB-NAS2-1

## >AOB-NAS10-1

#### >AOB-NAS10-2

GGGATCGNAAGACCTTGCGTTTTTGGGAGCGGGCCGATGTCTGATTAGCTAGTTGGTGGGGTAAGGGCC TACCAAGGNCgACGATCAGTAGTTGGTCTGAGAGGACGACCAgCCACACTGGGACTGAGACACGGCCCA GACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGGCGAAAGCCTGATCCAGCaATGCCGCGT GAGtGAAGAAGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGCCTCTTTCACTCGAgAAGAAAAGGTGCAgTGAATAACTGTA gTTTATGACGGTATCGACAGAANAAGCACCGGCTAACTACGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATACGTAGGGT GCGAGCGTTAATCGGAATTACTGGGCGTAAAGGGTGCGCAGGCGGTTTTGTAAGTCAGATGTGAAATCC CCCGGGCTTAACCTGGGAATTGCGTTTGAAA

## >AOB-NAS10-3

>AOB-NAS30-1

APPENDIX D

Sequences of ammonia-oxidizing archaea found in seed sludge and enriched NAS

## >AOA-S-1

TAACATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTC TCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCT ACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTAT CCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCCGTACTGGGCT ACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATACTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTC AACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTG CCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCA GGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAGA TG

>AOA-S-2

TACCATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTC TCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCT ACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCGTCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTAT CCGTACGACTTCGTGGACGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCCGTACTGGGCT ACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATACTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTC AACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTG CCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCA GGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAGA TG

>AOA-S-3

TAACCATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGT CTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGC TACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTA TCCGAACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGC TACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCTGCCATACTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTT CAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATT GCCCGCATACATGACACCACATAGAGCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTC AGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAG AT

>AOA-S-4

TTACTATTAACGCAGGAGACTACATTTTCTACACAGACTGGGCTTGGACTTCATTTGTAGTATTTTCAA TTTCACAATCTACAATGCTTGTAGTTGGTGCCAATATACTACATGTTATTTACAGGAGTACCAGGAACGG CTACATATTATGCAACAATTATGACAATATACACATGGGTTGCGAAAGGCGCATGGTTTGCACTTGGTT ATCCGTATGACTTCATTGTAACGCCAGTTTGGATACCTTCGGCAATGCTATTGGATCTTACATACTGGG CGACCAGAAGAAACAAGCACGCGGCAATTATCATTGGAGGCACTTTGGTAGGATTATCATTACCAATT TCAATATGATAAACCTCTTGCTTGTAAGGGATCCTTTAGAAATGGCATTCAATATCCAAGACCGACGT TACCGCCATATATGACGCCCAGTTGGAACCTCAGGTAGGAAAGTCCTACAAGACCGACGT CTGGTGCAGGAGCAGTATTAAGTGTACCCCATTGCAGCACTAGGAGCTAAGTTAAAATACATGGACATACA GA

## >AOA-S-5

TACCATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTC TCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCT ACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGCGCATGGTTTGCACTTGGTTAT CCGTATGACTTCATTGTAACGCCAGTTTGGATACCTTCGGCAATGCTATTGGATCTTACATACTGGGCG ACCAGAAGAAACAAGCACGCGGCAATTATCATTGGAGGCACTTTGGTAGGATTATCATTACCAATTTTC AATATGATAAACCTCTTGCTTGTAAGGGATCCTTTAGAAATGGCATTCAAAATATCCAAGACCGACGTTA CCGCCATATATGACGCCCAATTGAACCTCAGGTAGGAAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCCGTTGGCTTA GCGCCATATATGACGCCCAATTGAACCTCAGGTAGGAAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCCGTTGGCTTAGGCTCT GGTGCAGGAGCAGTATTAAGTGTACCCATTGCAGCACTAGGAGCTAAGTTAAAATACATGGACATACAGA TG

## >AOA-S-6

TAACTATTAACGCAGGAGACTACATTTTCTACACAGACTGGGCTTGGACTTCATTTGTAGTATTTTCAA TTTCACAATCTACAATGCTTGTAGTCGGTGCAATATACTACATGTTATTTACAGGAGTACCGGGAACGG CTACATATTATGCAACAATTATGACAATATACACATGGGTTGCAAAAGGCGCATGGTTTGCACTTGGTT ATCCGTATGACTTCATTGTAACGCCAGTTTGGATACCTTCGGCAATGCTATTGGATCTTACATACTGGG CGACCAGAAGAAACAAGCACGCGGCAATTATCATTGGAGGCACTTTGGTAGGATTATCATTACCAATTT TCAATATGATAAACCTCTTGCTTGTAAGGGATCCTTTAGAAATGGCATTCAATATCCAAGACCGACGT TACCGCCATATATGACGCCAATTGAACCTCCAGGTAGGAAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCCGTTGCGTTAGGCT CTGGTGCAGGAGCAGTATTAAGTGTACCCATTGCAGCACTAGGAGCTAAGTTAAAATACATGGACATACA GA

#### >AOA-NAS2-1

## >AOA-NAS2-2

#### >AOA-NAS2-3

## >AOA-NAS2-4

TACCATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTC TCGCAATCCACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCT ACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTAT CCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCT ACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATACTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTC AACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTCCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATTG CCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTAGACCAACATTG CCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTCA GGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAAA TG >AOA-NAS2-5

TACCATCACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGTC TCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGCT ACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTAT CCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGCT ACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCATACTGATTGGTGGAACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTTC AACATGTTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATG CCCGCATACATGACACCCAATAGAGCCCCTGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGGACATTGGTTCG GGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAGA TG

## >AOA-NAS2-6

TCACATTAACGCAGGTGACTATATCTTCTACACAGATTGGGCTTGGACATCCTTTGTAGTATACTCCAT CTCACAAACTTTGATGTTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGATGTTACTATCTAACATTTACAGGAGTTCCAGGCACTGC AACGTATTACGCGTTGATCATGACGGTGTATACATGGGTAGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTTGCACTAGGATA TCCATATGACTTTATTGTTACACCAGTATGGATTCCATCAGCAATGCTGTTGGACTTGGCGTATTGGGC AACAAAGAAAAATAAACGCTCACTGATCCTGTTTGGCGGAGTACTGTGTGGAATGTCATTGCCATTATT CAACATGGTAAACCTGATCACGGTAGCGGATCCATTGGAGACTGCATTCCAAGTATCCAAGACCTACGTT GCCACCATACATGACACCGGATAGCAGCGCAGGTAGGCAAGTTCTATAACAGCCCAGTCGCTCTTGGCGC AGGTGCTGGTGCAGTTTTGTCGGTTACGTTTGCCACGTTAGGATGTAAACTGACTACGTGGACATACAA TG

#### >AOA-NAS2-7

TTACAATTAACGCAGGAGATTATATCTTCTATACTGATTGGGCCTGGACATCATTTACGGTATTCTCAA TATCGCAAACTTTGATGCTTGTTGTAGGTGCAACATATTACCTTGGACATCATTTACAGGCGTTCCAGGAACAG CAACGTATTACGCGTTGATTATGACGGTGTATACCTGGGTAGCAAAAGGCGCATGGTTCGCATTAGGTT ATCCATATGACTTCATTGTAACACCAGTATGGTTGCCATCAGCGATGCTGTTGGACTTGGCGTATTGGG CTACAAAGAGAAACAAGCACTCGCTGATACTGTTTGGCGGTGTGTTAGTTGGAATGTCATTGCCACTGT TTAACATGGTCAACTTGATCACGGTCGCCAGAACCCACTAGAAACGGCATTCAAATATCCAAGACCAACAT TGCCACCTTACATGACTCCGATAGAACCGCAAGTCGGTAAATTCTATAACAGCCCGGTAGCGCTGGGG CTGGAGCAGGTGCAGTATTGTCAGTCACTATGGCTGCGTTGGGTGTTAAACTCAATACTTGGACATACA AT

## >AOA-NAS2-8

## >AOA-NAS10-1

### >AOA-NAS10-2

## >AOA-NAS10-3

TACCATCAACGCAGGAGATTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGT CTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATACTACATGCTCTTCACGGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGC TACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAGGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTA TCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGC TACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCGTCGAGTTGGTGGAACTATGGTTAGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTT CAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCGGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATT GCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTACAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTC AGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACGA TG

## >AOA-NAS10-4

#### >AOA-NAS10-5

## >AOA-NAS10-6

TTACATCAACGCAGGAGATTATATCTTCTATACTGACTGGGCATGGACATCATTCGTCGTATTCTCGAT CTCACAATCCACAATGCTTGTGGTAGGAGCTATATACTATATGTTGTTTACAGGTGTCCCTGGCACGGC TACGTATTATGCAACTATTATGACGATTTATACATGGGTTGCCAAAGGAGCATGGTTCGCATTGGGATA CCCGTACGATTTTGTCGTGGTTCCTGTTTGGATACCGTCTGCTATGTTATTGGACTTGGCGTACTGGGC AACAAGAAGAAACAAACACGCGGGCAATATTAATCGGTGGTGTATTGGTAGGAATGTCACTCCCACTGTT TAATATGATCAACTTATTGCTGGTTGCTGACCCATTAGAAATGGCATTCAAATATCCTAGACCCACATT ACCACCATACATGACTCCAATTGAGCCACAGGTAGGAAAGTTCTATAACAGTCCTGTTGCGTTAGGAGC AGGTGCTGGAGCGGTGCTTTGTGTACCTATAGCAGCCTTGGGTGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAG AT

### >AOA-NAS10-7

## >AOA-NAS10-8

## >AOA-NAS30-1

#### >AOA-NAS30-2

## >AOA-NAS30-3

## >AOA-NAS30-4

## >AOA-NAS30-5

## >AOA-NAS30-6

TAACATCAACGCAGGAGACTACATCTTCTACACAGACTGGATGTGGACCTCTTTTGTGGTATTCTCCGT CTCGCAATCTACGATGCTTGCGGTCGGCGCGCGATATATTACATGCTCTTCACAGGGGGTTCCAGGTACAGC TACATACTACGCCACAATCATGACCATCTACACATGGGTCGCAAAAGGTGCATGGTTCGCACTAGGCTA TCCGTACGACTTCGTGGTCGTGCCGGTGTGGATTCCATCAGCAATGTTGCTAGACCTCTCGTACTGGGC TACAAGACGTAACAAGCACGCCGCCGTCGAGTGGGATCCATCAGCACTATGGTTGGACTTTCACTTCCGTTGTT CAACATGGTCAACTTGTTGCTTGTCAGAGACCCGCTCGAGGTGGCCTTCAAGTATCCTAGACCAACATT GCCCGCATACATGACACCAATAGAGCCCCCAGGTAGGTAAGTTCTGCAACAGTCCTGTAGCACTTGGTTC AGGCGCAGGAGCAGTACTGACAGTCCCCATGGCAGCGTTGGGAGCAAAACTCAACACGTGGACATACAG AT

#### >AOA-NAS30-7

## >AOA-NAS30-8

# VITAE

Name Miss Puntipar Sonthiphand

## Education

- 2007 2009 M.Sc. in International Postgraduate Programs in Environmental Management, Chulalongkorn Universiy, Thailand
- 2001 2005 B.Sc. in Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn Univesity, Thailand

## Work experience and Position

2005 – 2006 Assistant researcher, Shrimp Molecular Biology and Genomic Research Laboratory, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn Univesity, Thailand

## **International presentations**

- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Evidence of Ammonia-oxidizing Archaea in Municipal Full-scale Wastewater Treatment Plants in Bangkok. The 6th International Symposium on Southeast Asian Water Environment, Bandung, Indonesia, October 29-31, 2008.
- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Effect of Ammonium Loads on Communities of Ammonia-oxidizing Bacteria and Archaea in Laboratory-scale Continuous Flow Reactors. The 6th International Symposium on Southeast Asian Water Environment, Bandung, Indonesia, October 29-31, 2008.
- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Distribution of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria and archaea in full-scale wastewater treatment plants in Thailand. 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology, September 3-5, 2009

## **Journal publications**

- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Effect of Ammonium Loads on Communities of Ammonia-oxidizing Bacteria and Archaea in enriched nitrifying activated sludge. (in preparation 60% completed submitted to Applied and environmental microbiology in June 2009)
- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Evidence of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in full – scale municipal wastewater treatment plants in Bangkok. (in preparation submitted to FEMS Microbiology Ecology in September 2009)
- Sonthiphand P. and Limpiyakorn T. Communities of ammoniaoxidizing bacteria and archaea in full – scale industrial wastewater treatment plants. (in preparation submitted to Applied and environmental microbiology in November 2009)