

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARIES

In this thesis, we assume a basic knowledge of topological vector space. However, this chapter will give some definitions and theorems which will be a basic tool for our investigation.

The conjugate space

2.1 Definition. Let T be a topological space, in particular a metric space. Then by a real function on T we mean a mapping of T into the space \mathbb{R}^1 (the real line). Suppose T is a function space, i.e., a space whose elements are functions. Then a real function on T is called a functional.

2.2 Definition. Let f and g be two functionals defined on a topological vector space E , and let α be any number. Then by the sum of f and g denoted by $(f+g)$, is meant the functional whose value at every point $x \in E$ is the sum of the values of f and g at x , while by the product of α and f , denoted by (αf) , is meant the functional whose value at every point $x \in E$ is the product of α and the value of f at x . More concisely,

$$\begin{aligned}(f+g)(x) &= f(x) + g(x) , \\ (\alpha f)(x) &= \alpha(f(x)) \quad , \quad (x \in E)\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if f and g are linear functionals, then so are $(f+g)$ and (αf) , since

$$\begin{aligned}
(f+g)(ax+by) &= f(ax+by) + g(ax+by) \\
&= a(f(x)) + b(f(y)) + a(g(x)) + b(g(y)) \\
&= a(f(x) + g(x)) + b(f(y) + g(y)) \\
&= a((f+g)(x)) + b((f+g)(y)) ,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha f)(ax+by) &= \alpha(af(x) + bf(y)) \\
&= a(\alpha f(x)) + b(\alpha f(y)) \\
&= a(\alpha f)(x) + b(\alpha f)(y)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and arbitrary numbers a, b . Moreover, if f and g are bounded (and hence continuous), so are $(f+g)$ and (αf) .

2.3 Definition. Let E be a topological vector space. The space E^* , called the conjugate space of E , is the set of all continuous linear functionals on E .

It is clear that the space E^* is itself a vector space, when equipped with the operations of addition of functionals and multiplication of functionals by numbers.

Next, we shall introduce a topology in E^* . We first consider the particularly simple case where the original space E is a normed vector space.

Let f be a continuous linear functional on a normed vector space E . The norm of f equal to

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|}$$

or equivalently

$$\|f\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |f(x)|.$$

Hence the space E^* conjugate to E can be made into a normed vector space by simply equipping each functional $f \in E^*$ with its norm $\|f\|$. The corresponding topology in E^* is called the strong topology in E^* .

2.4 Theorem. If E is a normed vector space, then the conjugate space E^* is complete.

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}$ be any Cauchy sequence of functionals in E^* . Then for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there is an integer N such that for all $n, n' > N$ implies

$$\|f_n - f_{n'}\| < \epsilon.$$

$$\text{Since } \|f_n - f_{n'}\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|f_n(x) - f_{n'}(x)|}{\|x\|},$$

$$|f_n(x) - f_{n'}(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_{n'}\| \cdot \|x\| < \epsilon \|x\|$$

for every $x \in E$. Therefore the sequence $\{f_n(x)\}$ is Cauchy and hence convergent for every $x \in E$. Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x).$$

Then f is linear, since

$$\begin{aligned} f(ax+by) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(ax+by) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (af_n(x) + bf_n(y)) \\ &= af(x) + bf(y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, choosing n so large that $\|f_n - f_{n+p}\| < 1$ for all $p \geq 0$, we have

$\|f_{n+p}\| < \|f_n\| + 1$ for all $p \geq 0$, and hence

$$|f_{n+p}(x)| \leq (\|f_n\| + 1)\|x\|.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n+p}(x)| = |f(x)| \leq (\|f_n\| + 1)\|x\|,$$

so that f is bounded and hence continuous.

To complete this proof, we now show that the functional f is the limit of the sequence $\{f_n\}$, i.e., that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = 0. \quad \dots\dots (1)$$

Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, let n be so large such that

$$\|f_n - f_{n+p}\| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \dots\dots (2)$$

for all $p \geq 0$. By the definition of norm in E^* , there is a nonzero element

$x_{n,\varepsilon} \in E$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f\| &\leq \frac{|f_n(x_{n,\varepsilon}) - f(x_{n,\varepsilon})|}{\|x_{n,\varepsilon}\|} + \varepsilon/3 \\ &= |f_n(u) - f(u)| + \varepsilon/3 \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \frac{x_{n,\varepsilon}}{\|x_{n,\varepsilon}\|}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore } \|f_n - f\| &\leq |f_n(u) - f_{n+p}(u)| + |f_{n+p}(u) - f(u)| + \varepsilon/3 \\ &\leq \|f_n - f_{n+p}\| \|u\| + |f_{n+p}(u) - f(u)| + \varepsilon/3 \\ &= \|f_n - f_{n+p}\| + |f_{n+p}(u) - f(u)| + \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } \|f_n - f\| \leq |f_{n+p}(u) - f(u)| + 2\varepsilon/3 \quad \dots(3)$$

after using (2) and the fact that $\|u\| = 1$. But

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f_{n+p}(u) = f(u).$$

Hence, by taking the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (3), we get

$$\|f_n - f\| < \varepsilon$$

which implies (1), since ε is arbitrary. Thus the theorem is proved.

The strong topology in the conjugate space

Let E be a normed vector space. Then we have seen that, the conjugate space E^* is itself a normed vector space, and a neighborhood of zero in E^* means the set of all continuous linear functional on E satisfying the condition $\|f\| < \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In other words, for a neighborhood base at zero in E^* we can take the set of all functionals in E^* such that $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$ when x ranges over the closed unit sphere $\|x\| \leq 1$ in the space E .

Suppose E is a topological vector space, but not a normed vector space. Then in defining the topology in E^* it seem natural to start from an arbitrary bounded set $A \subset E$, since there is no longer a "unit sphere."

2.5 Definition. Let E be a topological vector space, with conjugate space E^* . Then by the strong topology in E^* is meant the topology generated by the neighborhood base at zero consisting of all sets of the form

$$U_{A,\varepsilon} = \{ f : |f(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in A \}$$

for some number $\varepsilon > 0$ and bounded set $A \subset E$.

The second conjugate space

Let E be a topological vector space, and E^* be the set of all continuous linear functionals on E . Since E^* itself a topological vector space, we can also talk about the "second conjugate space" $E^{**} = (E^*)^*$, i.e., set of all continuous linear functionals on E^* .

2.6 Theorem. Given a topological vector space E with conjugate space E^* , let x be any fixed element of E . Then

$$F_x(f) = f(x)$$

for $f \in E^*$, is continuous linear functional on E^* .

Before the proof of this theorem, we need the following definition and lemma :

Let E and F be normed vector spaces, and T a map from E to F , then the norm of T is defined by

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|T(x)\|}{\|x\|} .$$

2.7 Definition. The mapping T is said to be bounded if there exists a number $B > 0$ such that $\|T(x)\| \leq B\|x\|$ for all $x \in E$.

2.8 Lemma. Let E and F be normed vector spaces, and if T is a linear map from E to F . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is continuous at some point $x \in E$
- (ii) T is continuous through out E
- (iii) T is bounded on E .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) Suppose that T is continuous at $x_0 \in E$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|T(x_0) - T(y)\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $\|x_0 - y\| < \delta$. Let x be any point in E . Then for all $y \in E$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$, we have $\|x_0 - (y - x + x_0)\| = \|x - y\| < \delta$. Then

$$\|T(x_0) - T(y - x + x_0)\| < \varepsilon, \text{ and so } \|T(x) - T(y)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, T is continuous at x , and then on E , since x is arbitrary.

(ii) \implies (iii) Suppose by contrary that T is not bounded on E . Then for any $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, there exists x_n such that

$$\|T(x_n)\| > n \|x_n\|.$$

Set $y_n = (1/n) \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. Then $\|y_n\| = 1/n$ and $\{y_n\}$ converges to 0, while

$$\|T(y_n)\| = \frac{\|T(x_n)\|}{n \|x_n\|} > \frac{n \|x_n\|}{n \|x_n\|} = 1.$$

Then $\{T(y_n)\}$ does not converge to 0. Consequently, T is not continuous at 0, a contradiction.

(iii) \implies (i) Suppose T is bounded on E . Then there exists a number $B > 0$ such that

$$\|T(x)\| \leq B \|x\|.$$

Then T is continuous at 0. In fact, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $\delta = \varepsilon/B$.

Then whenever $\|x\| < \delta$, we have $\|T(x)\| < \varepsilon$.

This proves the lemma.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.6) The linearity is obvious, since

$$\begin{aligned} F_x(af + bg) &= (af + bg)(x) \\ &= af(x) + bg(x) \\ &= aF_x(f) + bF_x(g) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in E^*$ and arbitrary numbers a, b .

Next, to show the continuity, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let A be a bounded subset of E containing x , and let $U_{A, \varepsilon}$ be the neighborhood defined as definition 2.5 .

Then

$$|F_x(f)| = |f(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if } f \in U_{A, \varepsilon} .$$

Then the functional F_x is continuous at 0, and hence by Lemma 2.8 , F_x is continuous on E . Thus the theorem is proved.

From the above theorem, we have the mapping

$$\pi(x) = F_x(f) = f(x) ,$$

called the natural mapping of E into E^{**} , is the mapping of the whole space E onto some subset $\pi(E)$ of the second conjugate space E^{**} .

Clearly π is linear, in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(ax + by) &= F_{ax+by}(f) \\ &= f(ax + by) \\ &= af(x) + bf(y) \\ &= aF_x(f) + bF_y(f) \\ &= a\pi(x) + b\pi(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in E$ and arbitrary numbers a, b .

2.9 Definition. If $\mathcal{N}(E) = E^{**}$, the space E is said to be semireflexive. If E is semireflexive and if \mathcal{N} is continuous, the space E is said to be reflexive and \mathcal{N} then establishes a homeomorphism between the space E and E^{**} .

In the case of reflexive, each element $x \in E$ can be identified with the corresponding element $\mathcal{N}(x) \in E^{**}$, and hence it is convenient to denote the value of a functional $f \in E^*$ at the point $x \in E$ by the more symmetric notation

$$f(x) = (f, x)$$

Thus (f, x) can be regarded as a functional on E for each fixed $f \in E^*$, and as a functional on E^* for each fixed $x \in E$ (in the latter case, x also acts like an element of E^{**}).

2.10 Theorem. If E is a normed vector space (so that in particular E^* and E^{**} are also normed vector spaces), then the natural mapping of E into E^{**} is an isometry.

Proof. Given an element $x \in E$. Let $\|x\|$ denote the norm of x in E , and $\|x\|^{**}$ denote the norm of its image in E^{**} . We want to show that

$$\|x\| = \|x\|^{**} .$$

Let f be any element of E^* . Since

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} ,$$

$$|f(x)| = |(f, x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\| ,$$

i.e.,

$$\|x\| \geq \frac{|(f, x)|}{\|f\|} \quad (f \neq 0),$$

and since the left-hand side is independent of f ,

$$\|x\| \geq \sup_{f \in E^*} \frac{|(f,x)|}{\|f\|} = \|x\|^{**} \quad \dots (1)$$

On the other hand, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem, for every $x_0 \in E$ there is a linear functional f_0 such that

$$|(f_0, x_0)| = \|f_0\| \cdot \|x_0\|. \quad \dots (2)$$

In fact, to construct such a functional, we need only set $f_0(x) = \lambda$ for all $x \in E_0$ where

$$E_0 = \{x : x = \lambda x_0\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_0\|_{E_0} &= \sup_{\lambda} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{\lambda} \frac{|\lambda|}{\|\lambda x_0\|} \\ &= \sup_{\lambda} \frac{1}{\|x_0\|} = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|}, \end{aligned}$$

and then extend f_0 to a functional on the whole space E (without changing its norm), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_0\| &= \frac{1}{\|x_0\|}, \\ \|f_0\| \|x_0\| &= 1 = |f_0(x_0)| = |(f_0, x_0)|, \text{ implies (2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2) that

$$\|x\|^{**} = \sup_{f \in E^*} \frac{|(f,x)|}{\|f\|} \geq \|x\|. \quad \dots (3)$$

Comparing (1) and (3), we get

$$\|x\| = \|x\|^{**},$$

and proves the theorem.

2.11 Theorem. Every reflexive normed vector space is complete.

Proof. If E is reflexive normed vector space, then $E = E^{**}$.

But $E^{**} = (E^*)^*$ is complete, by Theorem 2.4. This proves the theorem.

The weak topology

Let E be a topological vector space, with conjugate space E^* . Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any finite set of continuous linear functionals f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in E^* , the set

$$\begin{aligned} U = \bigcup_{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n; \varepsilon} &= \{x : |f_1(x)| < \varepsilon, \dots, |f_n(x)| < \varepsilon\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{x : |f_i(x)| < \varepsilon\} \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

is open in E and contains the point zero, i.e., U is a neighborhood of zero.

Let $\mathcal{L}_0 = \{U_\alpha\}$, be the system of all sets of the form (1).

Then \mathcal{L}_0 is a neighborhood base at zero, generating a topology in E which is again the topology of a topological vector space. This topology is called the weak topology in E .

We note that every subset of E which is open in the weak topology is also open in the original topology of E . (In fact, if O is any open set in the weak topology, then O can be represented as a union of sets in \mathcal{L}_0 which is open in the original topology.) But the converse may not be true, i.e., \mathcal{L}_0 may not be neighborhood base at zero for the original topology in E . In other words, the weak topology is weaker than the original topology, and the weak topology in E is the weakest topology τ with the property that every linear functional continuous with respect to the original

topology is also continuous with respect to τ



Weak convergence and weak compactness

2.12 Definition. Let E be a topological vector space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in E is said to be weakly convergent if there is an x in E with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$ for every $f \in E^*$. The point x is called a weak limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to converge weakly to x , denoted by $x_n \rightharpoonup x$.

Clearly, convergence implies weak convergence, since if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence which converges to x , i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Then for every $f \in E^*$ we have $f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$, and hence $x_n \rightharpoonup x$. But the converse may not be true.

2.13 Example. Consider the Hilbert space l_2 of square, summable sequences. The sequence $\{x^1, x^2, \dots\} = \{(1, 0, 0, \dots), (0, 1, 0, \dots), \dots\}$ converges weakly to 0 because if we identify functionals f in l_2^* with points in l_2 of the form (f_1, f_2, \dots) , we must have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$. (Otherwise $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i^2$ would diverge.)

Thus for each $f \in l_2^*$, $f(x^n) = [f, x^n]$, where $[f, x^n]$ is the inner product of f and x^n . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0 = f(0)$$

for all $f \in l_2^*$, and consequently $x_n \rightharpoonup 0$.

However, we have $\|x^n\| = 1$ for all n . This shows that the weak convergence does not imply convergence.

2.14 Definition. A set $A \subset E$ is said to be weakly sequentially compact if every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A contains a subsequence which converges weakly to a point in E .

2.15 Remark. The Eberlein-Smulian Theorem in [5] on page 430 has shown that, A is a weakly sequentially compact if and only if \bar{A} , the closure of A is weakly compact.

2.16 Theorem. Bounded subsets of a reflexive Banach space E are weakly compact.

To prove this theorem we need the following lemmas:

2.17 Lemma. Let M be a subspace of the normed linear space E , and x_0 a point of E not in the closure of M . Then there exists a point $f \in E^*$ such that $f(x_0) = 1$ and $f = 0$ on M .

Proof. Let M_1 denote the linear hull of $M \cup \{x_0\}$. An arbitrary element z is uniquely represented as $y + tx_0$, where $y \in M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Set $f'(z) = t$, clearly, f' is linear on M_1 . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(az_1 + bz_2) &= f'[a(y_1 + t_1x_0) + b(y_2 + t_2x_0)] \\ &= f'[(ay_1 + by_2) + (at_1 + bt_2)x_0] \\ &= at_1 + bt_2 \\ &= af'(z_1) + bf'(z_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in M_1$ with $z_1 = y_1 + t_1x_0$, $z_2 = y_2 + t_2x_0$, and arbitrary numbers a, b .

It is also bounded, for if $t \neq 0$,

$$\|z\| = \|y + tx_0\| = |t| \left\| \frac{y}{t} + x_0 \right\| \geq |t| \cdot d.$$

(If $-(\frac{y}{t}) = y' \in M$, then $\|y' - x_0\| = \left\| -\frac{y}{t} - x_0 \right\| = \left\| \frac{y}{t} + x_0 \right\|$, and hence $\left\| \frac{y}{t} + x_0 \right\| \geq \|y'\| - \|x_0\| = d$.)

Thus $|f'(z)| = |t| \leq \frac{\|z\|}{d}$. Therefore f' is bounded and hence continuous. Hence $f' \in M_1^*$.

That is there exists $f' \in M_1^*$ such that $f'(x_0) = 1$ and $f' = 0$ on M . To complete the proof, we extend f' to f on E^* , by using Hahn-Banach Theorem.

2.18 Lemma. If the conjugate E^* of a normed linear space E is separable, so is E .

2.19 Definition. A subset S of a metric space M is said to be dense or dense in M if the closure of S (written \overline{S}) is M . A metric space is separable if it contains a countable dense subset.

Proof. (of Lemma 2.18)

Let $\{f_n\}$ be dense subset of E^* .

Choose $x_n \in E$ so that $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ and $f_n(x_n) \geq \|f_n\|/2$.

Let M be the set of all finite linear combination of elements out of $\{x_n\}$ with rational coefficients. Then M is countable, and the closure \overline{M} is a subspace.

Suppose that \overline{M} is not dense in E , there exists $x_0 \in E$ such that

$$\inf \{ \|x_0 - x\| : x \in \overline{M} \} > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.17, there exists $f \in E^*$ such that

$$f(x_0) = 1 \text{ and } f(M) = 0.$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ is dense in E^* , take a sequence $\{f_{n_i}\}$ converging to f .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{n_i} - f\| &= \sup_{\|x_{n_i}\|=1} |(f_{n_i} - f)(x_{n_i})| \\ &\geq |(f_{n_i} - f)(x_{n_i})| \\ &= |f_{n_i}(x_{n_i})| \\ &\geq \frac{\|f_{n_i}\|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{f_{n_i}\} \rightarrow f$, $\|f_{n_i} - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\|f_{n_i}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $f = 0$, a contradiction.

2.20 Lemma. (Banach - Steinhaus)

Let E be a normed linear space and F a Banach space.

Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence in $B(E, F)$, the set of bounded linear mappings from E to F . Assume that for all n , $\|A_n\| \leq M$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n x\}$ exists for all x in any set that is dense in E . Then there exists $A \in B(E, F)$ such that $\{A_n x\} \rightarrow Ax$ for all $x \in E$.

Proof. Suppose D is dense in E . Given an arbitrary $x \in E$, choose $x' \in D$ such that $\|x - x'\| < \varepsilon$.

For sufficiently large m and n , we have

$\|A_m x' - A_n x'\| \leq \|A_m x' - X\| + \|X - A_n x'\|$, when X is the limit of $\{A_n x\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_m x - A_n x\| &\leq \|A_m x' - A_n x'\| + \|A_m x - A_m x'\| + \|A_n x - A_n x'\| \\
&< \varepsilon + (\|A_m\| + \|A_n\|) \|x - x'\| \\
&< \varepsilon + 2M\varepsilon = (2M + 1)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{A_n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in F .

Since F is complete, there exists $Ax \in F$ such that $\{A_n x\} \longrightarrow Ax$.

To prove that $A \in B(E, F)$.

The linearity is obvious, since

$$\begin{aligned}
A(ax + by) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(ax + by) \\
&= a \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n x + b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n y \\
&= a(Ax) + b(Ay).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|Ax\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n x\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n\| \|x\| \leq M \|x\|,$$

i.e., A is bounded. Then the lemma is proved.

2.21 Lemma. A closed linear subspace in a reflexive Banach space is a reflexive Banach space.

Proof. Let M denote the subspace, let M^* and M^{**} be the conjugate of M and M^* , respectively. We take the following for typical elements in the various space we shall discuss: $x \in E$, $f \in E^*$, $g \in E^{**}$, $x' \in M$, $f' \in M^*$, and $g' \in M^{**}$. We write $f(x) = (f, x)$, $g(f) = (g, f)$, etc.

Let ξ be the map that sends an element f in E^* to its restriction f' in M^* . Specifically, take $\xi(f)$ so that $(\xi(f), x') = (f, x')$ for all $x' \in M$. Since $\|\xi(f)\| \leq \|f\|$, $\xi(f)$ belongs to M^* . Moreover $\xi(\cdot)$ is linear on E^* .

Since ξ is linear on E^* and g' is linear on M^* , the composition $g'\xi$ defined by $(g', \xi(f)) = g'\xi(f)$ for all $f \in E^*$ is linear on E^* . Moreover, $\|g'\xi\| \leq \|g'\|$ so that $g'\xi \in E^{**}$.

Set $\eta(g') = g'\xi$. Thus, if $f \in E^*$,

$$(g', \xi(f)) = g'\xi(f) = (\eta(g'), f).$$

Clearly, $\eta: M^{**} \rightarrow E^{**}$.

Now take g'_0 arbitrary in M^{**} and set $g_0 = \eta(g'_0)$. Given $f' \in M^*$, let f be any extension of f' to E^* , so that $f' = \xi(f)$.

For some $x_0 \in E$ and all $f' \in M^*$, we have

$$(g'_0, f') = (g'_0, \xi(f)) = (\eta(g'_0), f) = (C(x_0), f) = (f, x_0) \dots (*)$$

where C is the natural mapping.

If $x_0 \in M$, then $(f, x_0) = (f', x_0)$, and thus

$$(g'_0, f') = (f', x_0),$$

and we are done, since g'_0 was arbitrary on M^{**} .

Suppose, then, that $x_0 \notin M$. By Lemma 2.17, there exists $f \in E^*$ such that $(f, x_0) \neq 0$ and $(f, x') = 0$ for all $x' \in M$. Since

$$(\xi(f), x') = (f, x') = 0,$$

$\xi(f) = 0$. Then

$$0 = (g'_0, \xi(f)) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (f, x_0), \text{ as above.}$$

This contradiction establishes that $x_0 \in M$.

Hence the lemma is proved.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.16)

Let S be a bounded subset of E , and let $\{x(j)\}$ be a sequence in S . Let M denote the linear hull of $\{x(j)\}$, namely, the set of all possible finite linear combinations of $\{x(j)\}$. Then \bar{M} , the closure of M , is closed subspace of E .

Let M_0 denote the set of all finite linear combinations of $\{x(j)\}$ with rational coefficients. Then M_0 is a countable dense subset of \bar{M} . Hence \bar{M} is separable.

Since \bar{M} is closed subspace of E and by Lemma 2.21, \bar{M} is also reflexive Banach space.

Since \bar{M} is separable and reflexive, \bar{M}^{**} , the second conjugate space of \bar{M} , is also separable.

Then by Lemma 2.18, \bar{M}^* is separable.

Let $\{f'_1, f'_2, \dots\}$ be a dense subset of \bar{M}^* . Choose a subsequence $\{x(j(i,1))\}$ such that $\{(f'_1, x(j(i,1)))\}$ converges.

Let $\{x(j(i,2))\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x(j(i,1))\}$ such that $\{(f'_2, x(j(i,2)))\}$ converges; and let $\{x(j(i,n))\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x(j(i,n-1))\}$ such that $\{(f'_n, x(j(i,n)))\}$ converges.

The sequence $\{x_i\} = \{x(j(i,i))\}$ has a property that $\{(f'_n, x_i)\}$ converges for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, because for $i \geq n$, we have $\{x(j(i,i))\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x(j(i,n))\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f'_n, x_i) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f'_n, x(j(i,n))) \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (g'_i, f'_n) \end{aligned}$$

exists for each n , where $g'_i \in M^{**}$ for all i .

Since $\{f'_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is dense in $\overline{M^*}$ and because g'_i is uniformly bounded, by Lemma 2.20, there exists $g \in \overline{M^{**}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (g'_i, f') &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f', x_i) \\ &= (g, f') \end{aligned}$$

for all f' in $\overline{M^*}$.

Then the limit of (f', x_i) exists for all f' in $\overline{M^*}$, and hence a Cauchy sequence. Since \overline{M} is reflexive, by Theorem 1.11, \overline{M} is complete. Then there is a point $x' \in M$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f', x_i) = (f', x')$$

for all f' in $\overline{M^*}$.

Finally, because $x_i \in M$, then for every $f \in E^*$ there corresponds an $f' \in \overline{M^*}$ such that $(f, x_i) = (f', x_i)$ and $(f, x') = (f', x')$.

Then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f, x_i) = (f, x')$$

for all $f \in E^*$. Hence by definition of weakly convergence, $\{x_i\} \rightarrow x'$, which show that S is weakly sequentially compact, and then S is weakly compact. This proves the theorem.

The nested spheres theorem

A sequence of closed spheres

$$S[x_1, r_1], S[x_2, r_2], \dots, S[x_n, r_n], \dots$$

in a metric space R is said to be nested (or decreasing) if,

$$S[x_1, r_1] \supset S[x_2, r_2] \supset \dots \supset S[x_n, r_n] \supset \dots$$

2.22 Theorem. A metric space R is complete if and only if every nested sequence $\{S_n\} = \{S[x_n, r_n]\}$ of closed spheres in R such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ has a nonempty intersection

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n .$$



Proof. Assume that R is complete.

Let $\{S_n\}$ be a sequence of nested closed spheres in R such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \neq \emptyset$.

Consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of centers of the spheres S_n . For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that

$$2 \cdot r_N < \epsilon .$$

Since $S[x_N, r_N]$ contains all centers x_i for all $i \geq N$, for all $n, n' > N$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n'}) < 2 \cdot r_N < \epsilon .$$

Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in R . Since R is complete, there exists $x \in R$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x .$$

Thus $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$. In fact, S_n contains every point of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ except possibly the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} . Hence x is a limit point of every sphere S_n . But S_n is closed, and hence $x \in S_n$ for all n .

Conversely, suppose every nested sequence of closed spheres in R with radii converging to zero has a nonempty intersection, and let $\{x_n\}$ be any Cauchy sequence in R .

We choose a term x_{n_1} of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n_1}) < 1/2$$

for all $n \geq n_1$.

Let $S_1 = S[x_{n_1}, 1]$. Next, we choose a term x_{n_2} of $\{x_n\}$ such that $n_2 > n_1$ and that

$$d(x_n, x_{n_2}) < 1/2^2$$

for all $n \geq n_2$.

Let $S_2 = S[x_{n_2}, 1/2]$.

Continue this construction indefinitely, i.e., once having chosen terms $x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_k}$ ($n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots < n_k$), choose a

term $x_{n_{k+1}}$ such that $n_{k+1} > n_k$ and

$$d(x_n, x_{n_{k+1}}) < 1/2^{k+1}$$

for all $n \geq n_{k+1}$, and let $S_{k+1} = S[x_{n_{k+1}}, 1/2^k]$, and so on.

This gives a nested sequence $\{S_n\}$ of closed spheres with radii converging to zero. By hypothesis, these spheres have a nonempty intersection, i.e., there is a point x in all the spheres.

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0.$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, let N be such that

$$1/2^{N-1} < \epsilon/2.$$

Then for all $n > n_N$, we have

$$d(x, x_n) \leq d(x, x_{n_N}) + d(x_{n_N}, x_n) .$$

Since $x \in S_N = S [x_{n_N}, 1/2^{N-1}]$, $d(x, x_{n_N}) < 1/2^{N-1} < \varepsilon/2$.

Since $n > n_N$, $d(x_{n_N}, x_n) < 1/2^N < 1/2^{N-1} < \varepsilon/2$.

Then $d(x, x_n) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$.

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Thus the theorem is proved.

2.23 Theorem. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a weakly convergent sequence of elements in a normed vector space E . Then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, i.e., there is a constant C such that

$$\|x_n\| \leq C \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded. Then $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded on every closed sphere

$$S [f_0, \varepsilon] = \{f: \|f - f_0\| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

in E^* , in the sense that the set of numbers

$$\{(f, x_n) : f \in S [f_0, \varepsilon], n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is unbounded for every $S [f_0, \varepsilon] \subset E^*$. In fact, if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded on $S [f_0, \varepsilon]$, then it is also bounded on the sphere $S [0, \varepsilon] = \{g : \|g\| \leq \varepsilon\}$, since if $g \in S [0, \varepsilon]$, then $\|g\| \leq \varepsilon$, and so

$$\|f_0 + g - f_0\| \leq \varepsilon .$$

Thus $f_0 + g \in S [f_0, \varepsilon]$, and

$$(g, x_n) = (f_0 + g, x_n) - (f_0, x_n)$$

where the number (f_0, x_n) are bounded, by the weak convergence of $\{x_n\}$. Since $f_0 + g \in S [f_0, \varepsilon]$, $(f_0 + g, x_n)$ are bounded, and then (g, x_n) are bounded. But if $|(g, x_n)| \leq C$ for all $g \in S [0, \varepsilon]$, then by the isometry of the natural mapping of E into E^{**} (Theorem 2.10)

$$\|x_n\| = \sup_{\|g\| \leq 1} |(g, x_n)| = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sup_{\|g\| \leq \varepsilon} |(g, x_n)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$

$(n = 1, 2, \dots)$,

Then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, contrary to assumption. It follows that if $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded, then $\{x_n\}$ is unbounded on every closed sphere in E^* .

Next, choosing any closed sphere $S_0 \subset E^*$, we find an integer n_1 and an element $f \in S_0$ such that

$$|(f, x_{n_1})| > 1.$$

Since (f, x) depends continuously on x , there exists $S_1 \subset S_0$ such that

$$|(f, x_{n_1})| > 1$$

for all $f \in S_1$.

By repeating this argument, we find an integer n_2 and the closed sphere $S_2 \subset S_1$ such that

$$|(f, x_{n_2})| > 2$$

for all $f \in S_2$, and so on, where in general there is an integer n_k and

a closed sphere $S_k \subset S_{k-1}$ such that

$$|(f, x_{n_k})| > k$$

for all $f \in S_k$. We can see that the radius of the sphere S_k approaches zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

By theorem 1.4, E^* is complete, it follows from the nested sphere theorem that there is an element $\bar{f} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$. But then

$$|(\bar{f}, x_{n_k})| > k, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

contrary to the assumed weak convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.