

## CHAPTER V

### FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR LOCALLY CONTRACTIVE MAPS

The materials of this chapter are drawn from reference [1].

We have seen in Banach's contraction theorem that if  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric space and  $T : E \longrightarrow E$  is a contraction map, then  $T$  has the unique fixed point.

It is natural to ask whether this theorem could be modified so as to be valid when contraction map  $T$  is assumed to hold for sufficiently closed point only. To be more specific we introduce the following definitions.

**5.1.1. Definition.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a generalized semi-metric space. Let  $T : E \longrightarrow E$  be a map.

$T$  is called locally contractive map if for each  $x \in E$ , there exist real numbers  $\xi_x, q_x$  with  $\xi_x > 0$  and  $q_x \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$y, z \in S(x, \xi_x) \text{ implies } d(T(y), T(z)) \leq q_x d(y, z)$$

$T$  is called an  $(\xi, q)$  - uniformly locally contractive map if it is locally contractive and both  $\xi_x$  and  $q_x$  do not depend on  $x$ .

**5.1.2 Remark.** (a) If  $T$  is  $q$ -contraction for some  $q \in [0, 1)$ , then  $T$  is  $(\xi, q)$  - uniformly locally contractive for every  $\xi > 0$ .

(b) There are examples of uniformly locally contractive map that are not contraction.

(c) Any locally contraction map  $T$  is continuous.

First, we prove (a). Let  $T$  be a  $q$ -contractive map for some  $q \in [0, 1)$ , then for any  $x, y \in E$

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq q d(x, y).$$

Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , for each  $x \in E$  and  $y, z \in S(x, \epsilon)$

$$d(T(y), T(z)) \leq q d(y, z)$$

and since  $\epsilon$  and  $q$  do not depend on  $x$ . Therefore  $T$  is  $(\epsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive.

To prove (b), we need to construct a counter example.

Consider metric  $(X, d)$ , where  $X = \{0, 1\}$  and

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , defined by  $T(0) = 1$  and  $T(1) = 0$ . Then  $T$  is  $(\epsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive, but  $T$  is not  $q$ -contraction.

Proof. Given  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ , there are only  $0 \in S(0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $1 \in S(1, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$d(T(0), T(0)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(0, 0) \text{ and } d(T(1), T(1)) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(1, 1)$$

and since  $\epsilon$  and  $q$  do not depend on any element of  $X$ . Therefore  $T$  is  $(\epsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive. But  $T$  is not  $q$ -contraction. For  $0, 1 \in X$ , we have

$$d(T(0), T(1)) = 1 > q = q d(0, 1)$$

for all  $q \in [0, 1)$ .

We prove (c). Let  $x$  be any point in  $E$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary.

Since  $T$  is a locally contractive map, there exist  $\epsilon_x > 0$  and

$q_x \in [0, 1)$  such that  $y, z \in S(x, \varepsilon_x)$  implies

$$d(T(y), T(z)) \leq q_x d(y, z). \text{ Choose } \delta_x = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_x, \frac{\varepsilon}{2q_x + 1} \right\}.$$

Observe that for any  $y \in E$  if  $d(x, y) < \delta_x$  then  $x, y \in S(x, \varepsilon_x)$ .

It follows that  $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq q_x d(x, y) \leq q_x \frac{\varepsilon}{(2q_x + 1)} < \varepsilon$ .

Therefore  $T$  is a continuous map.

**5.1.3 Definition.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a semi-metric space and  $\varepsilon > 0$  a real number. If, for any  $x, y \in E$  there exists a finite set of point  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $x = x_0, y = x_n$  and  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .  $(E, d)$  is called a semi-metric  $\varepsilon$ -chainable space and the set  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  will be called an  $\varepsilon$ -chain.

**5.1.4 Theorem.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a semi-metric  $\varepsilon$ -chainable space such that for any  $x, y \in E$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$ . If  $T : E \longrightarrow E$  is an  $(\varepsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive map, then  $T$  is  $q$ -contraction.

Proof. Let  $y, z$  be points in  $E$ . Since  $T$  is  $(\varepsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive, we have

$$d(T(x_i), T(x_{i+1})) \leq q d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(y), T(z)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(T(x_i), T(x_{i+1})) \\ &\leq q \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &= q d(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T$  is  $q$ -contraction. Our proof is complete.

**5.1.5 Theorem.** (Edelstien) Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete semi-metric  $\varepsilon$ -chainable space.  $T : E \longrightarrow E$  is a map which is  $(\varepsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive. For any  $x \in E$ ,  $\{T^n(x)\}$   $d$ -converges to a point  $x^* \in E$  and  $d(T(x^*), x^*) = 0$ .

Moreover, if  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric  $\varepsilon$ -chainable space, then  $x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

Proof. Let  $x$  be any point in  $E$ . Let  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -chain such that  $x = x_0, T(x) = x_n$ . Since

$$d(x, T(x)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

and  $T$  is a  $(\varepsilon, q)$ -uniformly locally contractive

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x_i), T(x_{i+1})) &\leq q d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &< q \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

By induction

$$d(T^m(x_i), T^m(x_{i+1})) < q^m \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^m(x), T^{m+1}(x)) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(T^m(x_i), T^m(x_{i+1})) \\ &< n q^m \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

so that for any positive integer  $\ell, m$  and  $\ell \geq m$

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^m(x), T^\ell(x)) &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{\ell-1} d(T^i(x), T^{i+1}(x)) \\ &< \sum_{i=m}^{\ell-1} n q^i \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n \varepsilon (q^m + q^{m+1} + \dots + q^{l-1}) \\
&< n \varepsilon q^m (1 + q + \dots + q^{l-(1+m)}) + q^{l-m} + \dots \\
&= n \varepsilon \frac{q^m}{1-q}
\end{aligned}$$

The quantity on the right is equal to 0 when  $q = 0$  and  $d$ -converges to 0 when  $0 < q < 1$ , hence the sequence  $\{T^m(x)\}$  is  $d$ -Cauchy. Since  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric space, hence  $\{T^m(x)\}$   $d$ -converges to a point  $x^* \in E$ . Since  $T$  is continuous, the sequence  $\{T^{m+1}(x)\}$   $d$ -converges to  $T(x^*)$ . But  $\{T^{m+1}(x)\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{T^m(x)\}$  and hence also  $d$ -converges to  $x^*$ . Since

$$0 \leq d(T(x^*), x^*) \leq d(T(x^*), T^{m+1}(x)) + d(T^{m+1}(x), x^*)$$

and the quantities on the right converges to 0, so that we have

$$d(T(x^*), x^*) = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $d$  is a metric, it follows that  $x^* = T(x^*)$  and if there exists  $y^* \in E$  such that  $y^* = T(y^*)$ . Then there exists an

$\varepsilon$ -chain  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n'}\}$  such that  $x^* = x_0$ ,  $y^* = x_{n'}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x^*, y^*) &= d(T(x^*), T(y^*)) \\
&= d(T^m(x^*), T^m(y^*)) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} d(T^m(x_i), T^m(x_{i+1})) \\
&= n' q^m \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $q \in [0, 1)$ , the quantity on the right converges to 0, hence  $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$ . Therefore  $x^* = y^*$ . The proof is complete.