

## CHAPTER III

### BANACH'S CONTRACTION THEOREM

The purpose of this chapter is to prove Banach's contraction theorem.

The materials of this chapter are drawn from reference [2].

**3.1.1 Definition.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a generalized semi-metric space and  $q \in [0, 1)$ . A map

$$T : E \longrightarrow E$$

is said to be q-contraction if

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq q d(x, y)$$

for any  $x, y \in E$ .

A map

$$T : E \longrightarrow E$$

is said to be a contraction if there exists  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that  $T$  is  $q$ -contraction.

**3.1.2 Lemma.** Let  $(E, d)$  be a generalized semi-metric space.

Any contraction map  $T$  is continuous.

Proof. Assume  $\{x_n\}$   $d$ -converges to  $x \in E$ . Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Since  $T$  is contraction, there exists  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T(x_n), T(x)) \leq q d(x_n, x).$$

Therefore  $d(T(x_n), T(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ , i.e.  $\{T(x_n)\}$   $d$ -converges to  $T(x)$ . By proposition 2.1.9,  $T$  is continuous. The proof is complete.

**3.1.3 Definition.** Let  $T$  be a map of a set  $E$  into itself, then a point  $x \in E$  is called a fixed point of  $T$  if

$$T(x) = x$$

**3.1.4 Definition.** Let  $T$  be a map of a set  $E$  into itself. For each non-negative integer  $p$  we define a map  $T^p$  by

$$T^0(x) = x \quad \text{for all } x \in E$$

and  $T^{p+1}(x) = T^p(T(x))$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**3.1.5 Theorem.** (Banach's contraction theorem)

(1) Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete semi-metric space. If  $T : E \longrightarrow E$  is a continuous map such that  $T^p$  is a contraction map for some positive integer  $p$ . Then there exists at least one point  $x \in E$  satisfying

$$d(T(x), x) = 0.$$

Moreover, if  $y$  is any point in  $E$  satisfying

$$d(T(y), y) = 0$$

then  $d(x, y) = 0$ .

(2) If  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric space and  $T$  is as in (1) then  $T$  has exactly one fixed point.

Proof. Since in a metric space, if  $d(x, y) = 0$  then  $x = y$ .

Therefore (2) follows immediately from (1), we only need to prove (1).



By the assumption  $T^p$  is a contraction for some positive integer  $p$ . There exists  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T^p(x), T^p(y)) \leq q d(x, y)$$

for any  $x, y \in E$ .

First we consider the case  $p = 1$ . In this case  $T$  is a contraction. Let  $x_0$  be any point in  $E$  and define recursively

$$x_n = T(x_{n-1}), \text{ then } x_n = T^n(x_0) \text{ for all positive integers } n.$$

If  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{m+n}, x_n) &= d(T^{m+n}(x_0), T^n(x_0)) \\ &\leq q^n d(T^m(x_0), x_0) \\ &= q^n d(x_m, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_0) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) + \dots + d(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq q^{m-1} d(x_1, x_0) + q^{m-2} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots + d(x_1, x_0) \\ &= (q^{m-1} + q^{m-2} + \dots + 1) d(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq (1 + q + \dots + q^{m-1} + q^m + \dots) d(x_1, x_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} d(x_1, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$d(x_{m+n}, x_n) \leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} d(x_1, x_0).$$

But the quantity on the right hand side is 0 when  $q = 0$  and  $d$ -converges to 0 when  $0 < q < 1$ .

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is  $d$ -Cauchy in  $E$ . Since  $E$  is complete,  $\{x_n\}$   $d$ -converges to a point  $x \in E$ . Since the sequence  $\{T(x_n)\} = \{x_{n+1}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$ , hence it is  $d$ -convergent to  $x$ . By the continuity of  $T$ , the sequence  $\{T(x_n)\}$   $d$ -converges to  $T(x)$ .

Since

$$d(T(x), x) \leq d(T(x), T(x_n)) + d(T(x_n), x)$$

and the quantities on the right converge to 0. Therefore

$$d(T(x), x) = 0.$$

Now we consider the general case. Let  $S = T^p$ . Then, by the case  $p = 1$ , we have for any  $x_0 \in E$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\} = \{S^n(x_0)\}$   $d$ -converges to a point  $x \in E$  and  $d(S(x), x) = 0$ .

By continuity of  $T$ , the sequence  $\{T(x_n)\}$   $d$ -converges to  $T(x)$  so that the subsequence  $\{T(x_{n+1})\}$  of  $\{T(x_n)\}$   $d$ -converges to  $T(x)$ . Since  $S$  is a contraction map, hence  $S$  is continuous, i.e.  $\{S(T(x_n))\}$   $d$ -converges to  $S(T(x))$ . Since

$$d(T(x), S(T(x))) \leq d(T(x), T(x_{n+1})) + d(T(x_{n+1}), S(T(x))).$$

We have

$$T(x_{n+1}) = T(S(x_n)) = T(T^p(x_n)) = S(T(x_n)).$$

It follows that

$$d(T(x), S(T(x))) \leq d(T(x), T(x_{n+1})) + d(S(T(x_n)), S(T(x))),$$

since quantities on the right  $d$ -converge to 0, hence

$$d(T(x), S(T(x))) = 0. \text{ But}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(x), x) &\leq d(T(x), S(T(x))) + d(S(T(x)), S(x)) + d(S(x), x) \\ &= d(S(T(x)), S(x)) \\ &\leq q d(T(x), x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d(T(x), x) = 0$ , since  $q \in [0, 1)$ .

For the final state of (1), suppose that there are  $x, y \in E$  such that

$$d(T(x), x) = 0 \text{ and } d(T(y), y) = 0.$$

By proposition 2.1.10,  $T(x) \in \overline{\{x\}}$ . Since  $T$  is continuous

$$T^2(x) = T(T(x)) \in T\{\overline{\{x\}}\} \subset \overline{\{T(x)\}} \subset \overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{x\}}.$$

By repetition  $T^p(x) \in \overline{\{x\}}$ . Similarly  $T^p(y) \in \overline{\{y\}}$ .

By proposition 2.1.10,  $d(T^p(x), x) = 0$  and  $d(T^p(y), y) = 0$ ,

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq d(T^p(x), x) + d(T^p(x), T^p(y)) + d(T^p(y), y) \\ &= d(T^p(x), T^p(y)) \\ &\leq q d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d(x, y) = 0$ , since  $q \in [0, 1)$ . The proof is complete.

3.1.6 Corollary. Let  $(E, d)$  be a complete semi-metric space.

For any  $x_0 \in E$ , let

$$x_n = T(x_{n-1}) \text{ then } x_n = T^n(x_0) \text{ for all positive integers } n.$$

Then  $\{x_n\}$   $d$ -converges to a point  $x \in E$  and

$$d(T(x), x) = 0.$$

In this case if  $(E, d)$  is a complete metric space, then  $x$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .