

## CHAPTER II

## BANACH SPACE OVER THE QUATERNIONS

- <u>Definition 2.1</u> Let V be a left vectorspace over H. A map  $\|\cdot\|: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be left norm on V if and only if
  - (i)  $\|\mathbf{v}\| \ge 0$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ .
  - (ii)  $\|\alpha \mathbf{v}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{v}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ .
  - (iii)  $\|\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w}\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| + \|\mathbf{w}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$ .
- If  $\|$  is a left norm on V then the pair  $(V,\|\ \|)$  is called a left normed linear space. We shall abbreviate it by LNLS.
- Definition 2.2 Let V be a right vector space over H. A map  $\| \cdot \| : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be right norm on V if and only if
  - (i)  $\|\mathbf{v}\| \geqslant 0$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ .
  - (ii) ||vx|| = ||v|| | x | for all v ∈ V and for all α∈ H.
  - (iii)  $\|v+w\| \le \|v\| + \|w\|$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .
- If  $\| \|$  is a right norm then the pair  $(V,\| \|)$  is called a right normed linear space. We shall abbreviate it by RNLS.
- Definition 2.3 Let V be a vector space over H. Then (V, || ||) is called a normed linear space if || || is both a left normed and right norm. We shall abbreviate it by NLS.

Given a LNLS(RNLS) V, define d(v,w) = ||v-w|| then d is a metric on V hence V is a topological space.

Definition 2.4 If a LNLS(RNLS) is complete with respect to the metric d then we shall call V a Banach space.

Example 2.5 (i)  $(\mathbb{H}^n, \| \|_p)$  where  $\| \mathbf{x} \|_p = (\sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}|^p)^{1/p}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Proof: Let  $(z_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a cauchy sequence in H. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $\|z_m - z_k\|_p < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, k > N_{\varepsilon}$ . Hence for each  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$   $(z_m^{(\alpha)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a acauchy sequence in H. Since H is complete, there exists an  $z_0^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0^{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $z_0 = (z_0^{(1)}, z_0^{(2)}, \dots, z_0^{(n)})$ . Claim that  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m^{(\alpha)} = z_0^{(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , there exists a  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_0^{(\alpha)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{1/p}$  for all  $m > N_{\varepsilon}$  and for all  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so  $\sum_{m \to \infty} |z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_0^{(\alpha)}|^p < \varepsilon^p$ , for all  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so  $\sum_{m \to \infty} |z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_0^{(\alpha)}|^p < \varepsilon^p$ . Hence  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Thus  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Thus  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Space.

(ii) In  $\int_{\mathbb{H}}^{p} = \left\{ (\mathbf{z}_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \middle| \mathbf{z}_{n} \in \mathbb{H} \middle| \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \middle| \mathbf{z}_{n} \middle|^{p} < \infty \right\}$  and  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \middle| \mathbf{z}_{n} \middle|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$  where  $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ .

Let  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^p$ . Given  $\xi > 0$ . There exists an  $N_{\xi} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\mathbf{z}_{n} - \mathbf{z}_{m}\|_{p} < \frac{\xi}{2}$  for all m,  $n > N_{\epsilon}$ . Therefore for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\left|z_{n}^{(\alpha)} - z_{m}^{(\alpha)}\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all m,  $n > N_{\xi}$  . Hence for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} (z_n^{(\alpha)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a cauchy sequence in Since  $\mathbb H$  is complete, there exists an  $\mathbf z_0^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbb H$  such that  $\lim \mathbf z_n^{(\alpha)}$  $= z_0^{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $z_0 = (z_0^{(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Claim that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = z_0$ . Since  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{0}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} < (\epsilon/2)^{p} \quad \text{for all m, n > N}_{\epsilon}, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{n}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} < (\epsilon/2)^{p}$  $(\ell_2)^p$  for all M  $\in$  N and for all m, n > N. Fix M, m and let n  $\to \infty$ in  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{n}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} < (\epsilon/2)^{p}$  we get that  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{0}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} < (\epsilon/2)^{p}$ . Since M is arbitrary,  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{0}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} \leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^{p}$ . Hence  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_{m} = z_{0}$ . Since  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |z_{m}^{(\alpha)} - z_{0}^{(\alpha)}|^{p} \leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^{p}$  for all  $m > N_{\varepsilon}$ , for each  $m > N_{\varepsilon}$  $\mathbf{z}_{m}$ -  $\mathbf{z}_{0} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathbf{p}}$  which is a vector space over  $\mathbb{H}$  so  $\mathbf{z}_{0} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathbf{p}}$  .  $\times$ (iii) In  $l_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty} = \{(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / z_n \in \mathbb{H} \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{|z_n|\} < \infty\}$ and  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ |\mathbf{z}_n| \}$ . 003761

Proof: Let  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a cauchy sequence in  $\ell_H$ . Given  $\xi > 0$  there exists a  $\mathbb{N}_{\xi}$  such that  $\|z_m - z_n\|_{\infty} < \frac{\xi}{2}$  for all m,  $n > \mathbb{N}_{\xi}$ . Hence for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$   $|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_n^{(\alpha)}| < \frac{\xi}{2}$  for all m,  $n > \mathbb{N}_{\xi}$ . Therefore for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$   $(z_n^{(\alpha)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Since H is complete, there exists  $z_0^{(\alpha)} \in H$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n^{(\alpha)} = z_0^{(\alpha)}$ . Let  $z_0 = (z_0^{(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Claim that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = z_0 \in I_H$ . To prove this, note that  $\left|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_n^{(\alpha)}\right| < \frac{\ell}{2}$  for all m, n > N<sub>\ell</sub> and for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now fix  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  and m > N<sub>\ell</sub> then let  $n \to \infty$  in  $\left|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_n^{(\alpha)}\right| < \frac{\ell}{2}$  we get that  $\left|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_0^{(\alpha)}\right| \le \frac{\ell}{2}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is arbitrary,  $\left|z_m^{(\alpha)} - z_0^{(\alpha)}\right| \le \frac{\ell}{2}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all m > N<sub>\ell</sub>, hence  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = z_0$ . Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} z_0 = \lim_{m \to \infty} z_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} z_0 = \lim_{m \to \infty} z_$ 

iv) In  $C_{\mathbb{H}}[a,b] = \{f:[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$  and  $\|f\| = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [a,b]} \{|f(\mathbf{x})|\}$ . The proof that  $C_{\mathbb{H}}[a,b]$  is complete is similar to that  $\int_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$  is complete.

## Example of LNLS(RNLS) which is not complete

In  $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^1, \| \|_{\infty})$  where  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\| \}$ 

Proof: Note that  $l_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  is a linear subspace of  $l_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$ . Let  $l_1 = (1,0,0,\ldots), l_2 = (1,1/2,0,0,\ldots), l_3 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,0,\ldots), l_4 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,0,\ldots), l_5 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,0,\ldots), l_6 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,0,\ldots), l_7 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,0,\ldots), l_8 = (1,1/2,1/3,0,\ldots), l_8 = (1,1/2,1/3,1/3,0,\ldots), l_8$ 

Definition 2.6 Let V be a left (right) vector space over H and  $\|\cdot\|_1$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_1$  are left (right) norms on V. Then these left (right) norm are said to be equivalent if and only if there exist  $m_1$ ,  $m_2 > 0$  such that  $m_1 \| \mathbf{x} \| \le \|\hat{\mathbf{x}} \|' \le m_2 \| \mathbf{x} \|$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ . Clearly equeivalent norms gives the same topology on V.

Remark: Since  $\mathbb{H}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , all left (right) norms on a finite dimensional left (right) vector space over  $\mathbb{H}$  are equivalent see [5].

Remark: All subsequent the theorem for LNLS's true for RNLS's and the proof is same. So we shall only prove theorems for LNLS case.

Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's). Then the left (right) vector space V X W over H is a LNLS(RNLS) by defining

$$\|(v,w)\| = (\|v\|^p + \|w\|^p)^{1/p}$$
  $1 \le p < \infty$ . In fact  $\|(v,w)\| = \max\{\|v\|,\|w\|\}$ .

Since  $V \times W$  is a LNLS(RNLS),  $V \times W$  is a topological space. Also as a topological space,  $V \times W$  has the product topology.

Proposition 2.7 These two topologies are equivalent.

Proof: Standard.

Remark: If V, W are NLS's then the vector space V M W over H is a NLS defined as above.

Proposition 2.8 Let V be LNLS (RNLS). Then the map  $(x,y)\mapsto x+y$  and  $(\alpha,x)\mapsto \alpha x(x\alpha)$  are continuous with respect to the product topology. Also, the map  $x\mapsto ||x||$  is continuous. In fact the map  $(x,y)\mapsto x+y$  and  $x\mapsto ||x||$  are uniformly continuous with respect to the norm topology.

Proof: Standard.



Remark: Since the map  $(x,y) \longmapsto x+y$  and  $(\alpha,x) \mapsto \alpha x$   $(x\alpha)$  are continuous in both variable they are continuous in each variable separately. Therefore if  $x_0 \in V$  and  $\alpha \in H$  are fixed then the map  $(x,x_0) \longmapsto x+x_0$ ,  $(\alpha_0,x) \longmapsto \alpha_0 x(x\alpha_0)$  and  $(\alpha,x_0) \longmapsto \alpha x_0(x\alpha_0)$  are all continuous.

Corollary 2.9 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) and W  $\subseteq$  V a left (right) linear subspace. Then  $\overline{W}$  is a left (right) linear subspace.

Proof: Let  $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{W}}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$ . Want to show that  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \overline{\mathbb{W}}$ . Then  $\exists$  sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{W}$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y$ . Since  $\mathbb{W}$  is a left linear subspace,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x_n + \beta y_n \in \mathbb{W}$  by the above proposition,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha x + \beta y$ . Hence  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \overline{\mathbb{W}}$ .

Theorem 2.10 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) and let W be a closed subspace of V. Then a left (right) linear subspace generated by W and a finite number of elements for V is closed in V.

Use induction on the number of generators of W. If the number of generator is 0 then the theorem is true. By induction, suppose the theorem is true for n-1 i.e. every left linear subspace of V generated by W and n-1 vector is closed. must prove the theorem for n. Let U be left linear subspace generated by W and w1, w2, ..., Wn. Must show that U is closed. U' be the left linear subspace generated by W and  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$ . Then U' is closed by induction. If  $w_n \in U'$ , then U = U' therefore U is closed so done. Hence we may assume that  $w_n \notin U'$ . Therefore U is the left linear subspace by  $w_n$  and U . So every vector in W can be written uniquely in the form  $\lambda w_n + w'$  where  $w' \in U'$  and  $\lambda \in H$ . Let  $z \in \overline{U}$ . Must show that  $z \in \overline{U}$ . Since  $z \in \overline{U}$ ,  $z = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} x_{\alpha}$  for some sequence  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$  in U. Since  $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{U}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} + w_{\alpha}$ for some  $\lambda_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $w_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{U}$ . Since  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent,  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence i.e. there exists a  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\|\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}\| < \eta$ for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\| \lambda w_n + w_\alpha' \| < \eta$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Claim that  $(\lambda)$  is bounded in H. To prove this, suppose not therefore there exists a subsequence  $(\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}})_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}} \neq 0$ for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $|\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}}| \to \infty$  as  $\beta \to \infty$ . Now  $\|1/\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}} \cdot x_{\alpha_{\beta}}\| < \gamma_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  therefore  $\|\mathbf{w}_n + 1/2 \cdot \mathbf{w}_{\alpha_{\beta}} \| < \frac{\eta}{\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}}} > 0$ . So  $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} 1/\lambda \cdot w'_{\alpha\beta} = -w_n. \text{ Since } 1/\lambda \cdot w'_{\alpha\beta} \in U' \text{ which is closed and}$  $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} 1/\lambda \cdot w'_{\alpha_{\beta}} = -w_n$ ,  $-w_n \in \overline{U}' = U'$ , hence  $w_n \in U'$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $(\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in H. So we have the claim. By the Bolzano-weiertrass theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence  $(\lambda_{\alpha})_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(\lambda_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $\lambda = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \lambda_{\alpha}$ . Hence  $\lambda_{w_n} = (\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \lambda_{\alpha})_{w_n}$ . Also,  $\lambda_{\alpha_{\beta}} w_n + w_{\alpha_{\beta}}'$  converges as  $\beta \to \infty$  [Since it is a subsequence of the convergent sequence  $(\lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}')_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}}$ ]. Since  $w_{\alpha}' = (\lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}')$ .  $-(\lambda_{\alpha} w_n)$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(w_{\alpha}')_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent. Let  $w' = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} w_{\alpha}'$ . Then  $w' \in U' = U'$ . Since  $z = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} x_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} (\lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}') = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} (\lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}') = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} (\lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}') = \lambda_{\alpha} w_n + w_{\alpha}'$ .  $+ w' \in \mathbb{N}$  the left linear subspace generated by  $w_n$  and w' which is w',  $w' \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Theorem 2.11 Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's) and F is a left (right) linear map. If F is continuous at one point, then F is continuous everywhere.

Proof: Let  $x_0 \in V$  be the point where F is continuous. Let  $x \in V$  and let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ . To show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) = F(x)$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n - x + x_0) = x_0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n - x + x_0) = F(x_0)$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n - x + x_0) + F(x - x_0) = F(x_0) + F(x) - F(x_0) = F(x_0)$ .

Corollary 2.12 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS). If F is a left (right) conjugate map and F is continuous at one point, then F is continuous everywhere.

Definition .13 Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's) and F: V  $\rightarrow$  W a left (right) linear map. Then F is said to be bounded if and only if  $\sup_{x\neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\|F(x)\|}{\|x\|} \right\} < \infty .$ 

Definition .14 Let V be LNLS (RNLS) and F: V  $\rightarrow$  H a left (right) conjugate map. Then F is said to be bounded if and only if  $\sup_{x\neq 0} \left\{ \frac{|F(x)|}{\|x\|} \right\} < \infty.$ 

Theorem 2.15 Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's) and F: V W a left (right) linear map. Then F is continuous if and only if F is bounded.

Proof: Let  $M = \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\|F(x)\|}{\|x\|} \right\} < \infty$ . Hence  $\|F(x)\| \leqslant M\|x\|$  for all  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . To prove F is continuous. We shall show that F is continuous at 0. Let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$  must show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) = F(0) = 0$ . Since  $0 \leqslant \|F(x_n)\| \leqslant M\|x_n\|$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F(x_n)\| = 0$ , hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) = 0$ . So done.

Conversely, suppose not, so for each m∈N there exists an  $x_m \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\frac{\|F(x_m)\|}{\|x_m\|} > m$ . Let  $y_m = \frac{x_m}{\|x_m\|}$  therefore  $\|y_m\| = 1/_m$  for all m∈N and  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \|y_m\| = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{m \to \infty} y_m = 0$ . Since F is continuous,  $\lim_{m \to \infty} F(y_m) = F(0) = 0$ . Hence  $\|F(y_m)\| = \frac{\|F(x_m)\|}{\|x_m\|} > 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \|F(y_m)\| = 0$ , a contradiction.

Corollary .16 Let V be LNLS(RNLS) and F: V H a left (right) conjugate map. Then F is continuous if and only if F is bounded.

Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) and W a NLS. The set of all continuous left (right) linear operator which map V into W, is denoted by C(V,W). We also denote V = C(V,H). The set of all continuous left (right) conjugate operators which map V in to H, is denoted by  $\overline{V}$ . Then C(V,W) and  $\overline{V}$  can be made into a RNLS(LNLS) and LNLS(RNLS) respectively as follows: Given F,  $G \in C(V,W) \cup \overline{V}$  and  $\alpha \in H$  define  $(F\alpha)(x) = (F(x))\alpha$ ,  $(\alpha F)(x) = \alpha (F(x)), (F+G)(x) = F(x)+G(x)$  and  $\|F\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|F(x)\|}{\|x\|} \right\}$ .

Remarks: (i)  $\|F(x)\| \le \|F\| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in V$ .

(ii) If V, W are LNLS's (RNLS's) then C(V,W) is left (right) vector space over R = Cent (H) and are also left (right) norm linear space over R using the above norm i.e.  $||F|| = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \left\{ \frac{||F(\mathbf{x})||}{||\mathbf{x}||} \right\}$ .

Theorem 2.17 Let V be a LNLS and W a NLS which is also a Banach space. Then C(V,W) is complete.

Proof: Let  $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a cauchy sequence in C(V,W). Hence given E>0 there exists a  $N_E$  such that  $\|F_m-F_n\|< E/2$  whenever m,  $n>N_E$ . Hence for each  $x\in V$ .

 $0\leqslant \|F_m(x)-F_n(x)\|\leqslant \|F_m-F_n\|\|x\|. \text{ Since } (F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ is cauchy }$  in C(V,W),  $(F_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is cauchy in W. Since W is complete, there exists a  $v_x\in W$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}F_n(x)=v_x.$  Define  $F\colon V\to W$  by  $F(x)=v_x.$  Claim that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}F_n=F\in C(V,W).$  Clearly F is left linear. f

To show that F is continuous. Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|F_N - F_{N+p}\| < 1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\|F_{N+p}\| < \|F_N\| + 1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\|F_{N+p}(x)\| \leq \|F_{N+p}\| \|x\| \leq (\|F_N\| + 1)\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{V}$  and for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, taking the limit as  $p \to \infty$  we get that  $\lim_{p \to \infty} \|F_{N+p}(x)\| = \|F(x)\|$ . Therefore  $\|F(x)\| < (\|F_N\| + 1)\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ . Hence  $\|F\| \leq \|F_N\| + 1 < \infty$ . Therefore F is bounded, so F is continuous. To show that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|F_n\| = F$ , note that  $\|F_n - F\| = F$ . Hence  $\|F\| \leq \|F\| = F$ . Therefore F is bounded, so F is continuous.

$$\|F_{n}-F\| \leq \frac{\|(F_{n}-F)(x_{\xi})\|}{\|x_{\xi}\|} + \mathcal{E}_{2} = \frac{\|F_{n}(x_{\xi})-F(x_{\xi})\|}{\|x_{\xi}\|} + \mathcal{E}_{2}$$

$$= \|F_{n}(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|} \cdot x_{\xi}) - F(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|} \cdot x_{\xi})\| + \mathcal{E}_{2}.$$

Since  $F(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|}, x_{\xi}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} F_m(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|}, x_{\xi})$ , there exists a  $N_{\xi}$  such that

$$\left\| F_n(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|} \cdot x_{\xi}) - F(\frac{1}{\|x_{\xi}\|} \cdot x_{\xi}) \right\| < \varepsilon_2 \quad \text{for all } n > N_{\xi}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n = F$ . Therefore C(V,W) is complete.

Remark: The same proof that if V, W are LNLS and W is complete, then then C(V,W) is complete.

Corollary 2.18 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS). Then V is complete.

Proposition 2.19 Let 
$$F \in C(V, W)$$
. Then  $||F|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{||F(x)||}{||x||} \right\} = \sup_{\||x|| \leq 1} \left\{ ||F(x)|| \right\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\||x|| = r} \left\{ ||F(x)|| \right\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\||x|| \leq r} \left\{ ||F(x)|| \right\}.$ 

Proof: Let  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  then  $x = \|x\| (\frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot x)$ . Let  $U = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot x$ .

Then  $\|U\| = 1$  therefore  $\frac{\|F(x)\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{F(\|x\|U)}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|x\|\|F(U)\|}{\|x\|} = \|F(U)\|$ .

Hence  $\|F\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \{\|F(x)\|\}$  so obvious  $\|F\| = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \{\|F(x)\|\}$ . Also suppose that  $\|x\| = r > 0$  therefore  $\|\frac{1}{r} \cdot x\| = 1$ . In addition, if  $\|y\| = 1$ , then  $y = \frac{1}{r} \cdot (ry)$  and  $\|ry\| = r\|y\| = r$ . Hence we see that  $\|F\| = \sup_{\|x\|=r} \{\|F(\frac{1}{r} \cdot x)\|\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\|x\|=r} \{\|F(x)\|\}$ . Also, it is clear that  $\|F\| = \sup_{\|x\|=r} \{\|F(x)\|\}$ .

Theorem 2.20 (Hahn-Banach) Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) and W is a left (right) linear subspace of V. Let  $f\colon W \to H$  be a continuous left (right) linear function. Then there exists a continuous left (right) linear function  $F\colon V \to H$  such that  $F/_W = f$  and  $\|f\| = \|F\|$ .

Proof: Since V is a LNLS over H, V is a left norm linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $\mathbb{C} \cong \{a+bi/a,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$ , hence W is a left norm linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For each  $x\in W$  let  $f(x)=a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k$  for some  $a_a\in\mathbb{R}$  where  $\alpha=0,1,2,3$ . Then define  $U(x)=a_0+a_1i$  therefore  $\mathbb{U}\colon \mathbb{W}\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Claim that  $\mathbb{U}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$  -linear map. Let  $x,y\in \mathbb{W}$  and  $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$  therefore  $f(\alpha x)=\alpha f(x)$ . Let  $f(x)=a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k$  and  $f(y)=b_0+b_1i+b_2j+b_3k$ . Then  $U(x+y)=a_0+b_0+(a_1+b_1)i=a_0+a_1i+b_0+b_1i=U(x)+U(y)$  and  $U(\alpha x)=\alpha a_0+\alpha a_1i=\alpha(a_0+a_1i)=\alpha U(x)$ . Hence we have the claim. Claim that  $\mathbb{U}$  is continuous. Let

 $x \in W \setminus \{0\}$  therefore  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$  for some  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\alpha \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ , so  $\frac{|U(x)|}{||x||} = \frac{|a_0 + a_1 i|}{||x||} < \frac{|f(x)|}{||x||} < ||f|| < \infty$ .

Hence U is continuous and in fact,  $\|U\| \leqslant \|f\|$ . Claim that f(x) = U(x) - kU(kx) for all  $x \in W \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in W \setminus \{0\}$  therefore  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$  for some  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\alpha \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ . i.e.  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 i + k(a_3 + a_2 i) \text{ and } f(kx) = kf(x) = a_0 k + a_1 j - a_2 i - a_3,$  so  $U(kx) = -a_3 - a_2 i$ . i.e.  $-U(kx) = a_3 + a_2 i$ . Hence f(x) = U(x) - kU(kx). Thus we have the claim. Since U is continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map, By the Hahn-Banach theorem for complex norm linear space, there exists a continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $U': V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that U/W = U and  $\|U\| = \|U\|$ . Define  $F: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$  by F(x) = U'(x) - kU'(kx). Claim that F is left linear. Let  $x, y \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  therefore  $\alpha = a + bi$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

F(x+y) = U'(x+y)-kU'(k(x+y)) = U'(x)+U'(y)-kU'(kx)-kU'(ky)

= U'(x)-kU'(kx)+U'(y)-kU'(ky) = F(x)+F(y),

 $F(\alpha x) = U'(\alpha x) - kU'(k(\alpha x)) = \alpha U'(x) - kU'(k(a+bi)x)$ 

 $= \alpha U'(x) - kU'(akx) - kU'(bkix) = \alpha U'(x) - akU'(kx) - bkU'(-ikx)$ 

 $= \alpha U'(x) - akU'(kx) + b(ki)U'(kx) = \alpha U'(x) - akU'(kx) - bikU'(kx)$ 

 $= \alpha U'(x) - (a+bi)kU'(kx) = \alpha U'(x) - \alpha kU'(kx)$ 

 $= \alpha(U'(x)-kU'(kx)) = \alpha F(x),$ 

F(kx) = U(kx)-kU(k(kx)) = U(kx)-kU(-x)

= U'(kx) + kU'(x) = kU'(x) + U'(kx) = k(U'(x) - kU'(kx)) = kF(x)

and

F(jx) = U'(jx)-kU'(k(jx)) = U'(jx)+kU'(ix) = U'(jx)+kiU'(x)= U'(jx)+jU'(x) = jU'(x)+U'(jx) = jU(x)-iU'(ijx)

left linear over H. Claim that F is continuous and extends f. Since U is continuous and the map  $x_{\vdash \rightarrow} kx$  is continuous, F is concontinuous, F is concontinuous, F is continuous. Let  $x \in W$ . Then F(x) = U'(x)-kU'(kx)=U(x)-kU(x)-kU(x)-kU(x)=U(x)-kU(x)-kU(x)-kU(x)=U(x)-kU(

= jU'(x)-jkU'(kx) = j(U'(x)-kU'(kx)) = jF(x). Hence F is

 $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|} \text{ therefore } |\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}| = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})| \text{ therefore if }$   $\mathbf{x} \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \text{ then } \frac{|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{x}||} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}||} = \frac{\mathbf{f}(\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})}{|\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}||} = \frac{\mathbf{U}(\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})}{|\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}||} \leq$ 

 $\|\mathbf{U}\|$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , then  $\frac{|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = 0 \leqslant \|\mathbf{U}\|$ . Hence  $\|\mathbf{f}\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{U}\|$ . Thus  $\|\mathbf{f}\| = \|\mathbf{U}\|$ . Similarly  $\|\mathbf{U}'\| = \|\mathbf{F}\|$ . Hence  $\|\mathbf{f}\| = \|\mathbf{U}\| = \|\mathbf{U}'\| = \|\mathbf{F}\|$ . Hence we have the theorem.

Corollary 2.21 If f: W—>IH is a left((right) conjugate function then there exists a continuous left (right) conjugate.function F: V—>H such that  $F/_{W} = f$  and ||F|| = ||f||

Theorem .22 Let V be a LNLS (RNLS) which is also a Banach space and  $A \in C(V,V)$  such that  $\|A\| < 1$ . Then  $(I-A)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$ .

Proof: First we must show that  $(\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k)$  converges. Note that  $\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} \|A^k\| \leqslant \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} \|A\|^k$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  therefore  $\stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} \|A^k\| \leqslant \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} \|A\|^k < \infty$ . Let m, n then  $\|\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k - \stackrel{m}{\Sigma} A^k\| = \|\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k\| \leqslant \stackrel{n}{\Sigma} \|A^k\| < \stackrel{n}{\Sigma} \|A\|^k \to 0$  as m,  $n \to \infty$  therefore  $(\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is cauchy sequence in C(V,V), hence  $(\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges.  $(I-A)(\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k) = I-A^{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore k=0 lim  $(I-A)(\stackrel{n}{\Sigma} A^k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I-A^{n+1} = I$ . Hence  $(I-A)^{-1} = \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} A^n$ . n=0

Definition 2.23 Let (V, || ||), (V, || ||) be LNLS's (RNLS's) then we say that (V, || ||) is left (right) isomorphic to (V, || ||) if and only if there exists a 1-1 onto left linear map F such that ||F(V)|| = ||V|| for all  $V \in V$ .

Example 2.24 (i)  $(l_H^p, || ||)^*$  is right (left) isomorphic to  $(l_H^q, || ||_q)$  where 1/p + 1/q = 1 and 1 .

Proof: Let  $y = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^q$ . Define  $F_y : \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^p \to \mathbb{H}$  by  $F_y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \beta_n \quad \text{where } x = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^p. \quad \text{Since } (\sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \beta_n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is is cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{H}, \ (\sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \beta_n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{converges, so } F_y \text{ is well-defined.} \quad \text{Clearly } F_y \text{ is left linear.} \quad \text{Claim that } F_y \text{ is continuous.}$  Let  $x = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^p$ . Then

$$|F_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})| = |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}| \leq (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n}|^{p})^{1/p} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n}|^{q})^{1/q}$$

 $=\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}\|\mathbf{y}\|_{q}. \quad \text{Therefore} \|\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}\| < \|\mathbf{y}\|_{q}. \quad \text{Hence } \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \text{ is continuous.}$  In fact  $\|\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}\| < \|\mathbf{y}\|_{q}. \quad \text{Thus } \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{L}_{H}^{p^{*}}. \quad \text{Define a map } \mathbf{F} \colon \mathcal{L}_{H}^{q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{H}^{p^{*}} \text{ by}$   $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}_{H}^{q}. \quad \text{Claim that } \mathbf{F} \text{ is right linear.} \quad \text{Let } \alpha,$   $\mathbf{\beta} \in \mathbb{H} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}_{1} = (\alpha_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}, \ \mathbf{y}_{2} = (\beta_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}. \quad \text{Then}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} (\alpha_{n} \alpha + \beta_{n} \beta) = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \alpha_{n} \mathbf{y} + (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \beta_{n}) \beta \\ & = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}_{1}} (\mathbf{x}) \alpha + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}_{2}} (\mathbf{x}) \beta = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}_{1}} \alpha (\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}_{2}} \beta (\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{H}}^{p}. \end{split}$$

Hence  $F(y_1\alpha+y_2\beta) = F_{y_1\alpha+y_2\beta} = F_{y_1}\alpha + F_{y_2}\beta = F(y_1)\alpha + F(y_2)\beta$ .

Therefore F is right linear. Claim that  $\|y\|_q = \|F(y)\| = \|F_y\|$  for all  $y \in I_H^q$ . If y = 0, then  $\|y\|_q = \|0\|_q = \|F(0)\| = \|F_y\| = \|F_0\|$  so done. Assume that  $0 \neq y = (\beta_n)_{n \in [N]} \in I_H^q$ . Define  $x_N \in I_H^p$  by  $x_N = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n e_n \quad \text{where } e_n = (0,0,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots) \quad \text{and for } n = 1,2,\ldots,N$ 

$$\alpha_{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta_{n} = 0 \\ |\beta_{n}|^{q-1} \cdot \frac{\overline{\beta}_{n}}{|\beta_{n}|} & \text{if } \beta_{n} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|\mathbf{x}_{N}\|_{p} = (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\alpha_{n}|^{p})^{1/p} = (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_{n}|^{q})^{1/q}$ . Therefore  $\|\mathbf{F}_{y}(\mathbf{x}_{N})\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_{n}|^{q} = (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_{n}|^{q})^{1/q} (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_{n}|^{q})^{1/p}$   $= (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_{n}|^{q})^{1/q} \|\mathbf{x}_{N}\|_{p}.$ 

Thus for N sufficiently large to ensure that  $\|\mathbf{x}_N\|_p \neq 0$  we have that  $\|\mathbf{F}_y(\frac{\mathbf{x}_N}{\|\mathbf{x}_N\|_p})\| = (\sum\limits_{n=1}^N |\beta_n|^q)^{1/q}$ . Hence  $\|\mathbf{F}_y\| \geqslant (\sum\limits_{n=1}^N |\beta_n|^q)^{1/q}$ . Therefore  $\|\mathbf{F}_y\| \geqslant (\sum\limits_{n=1}^N |\beta_n|^q)^{1/q} = \|\mathbf{y}\|_q$ . Claim that F is onto. Let  $\sum\limits_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n} = (0,0,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots)$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}\in\mathbb{L}_H^p$  we denote  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{e}_n) = \beta_n$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . We must show that  $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{L}_H^q$ . If  $\beta_n = 0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , then  $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{L}_H^q$ . So assume  $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \neq 0$ . Define  $\mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{L}_H^p$  as follows:  $\mathbf{x}_N = \sum\limits_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \mathbf{e}_n$  for  $n=1,2,\ldots,N$ 

$$\alpha_{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if if } \beta_{n} = 0 \\ \left|\beta_{n}\right|^{q-1} \cdot \frac{\overline{\beta}_{n}}{\left|\beta_{n}\right|} & \text{if } \beta_{n} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $|F'(x_N)| = |F'(\sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n)| = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_n|^q$  and  $|x_N||_p = (\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_n|^q)^{1/p}$ 

for N sufficiently large we have that

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\left| \stackrel{c}{F}(x_N) \right|}{\left\| x_N \right\|_p} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \beta_n \right|^q}{\left\| x_N \right\|_p} = \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \beta_n \right|^q}{n=1} \right)^{1-1/p}, \text{ so } \left\| \stackrel{c}{F} \right\| \geqslant \left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| \beta_n \right|^q}{n=1} \right)^{1/q}. \end{split}$$
 Since N is arbitrary we get that  $\left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_n \right|^q}{n=1} \right)^{1/q} \leqslant \left\| \stackrel{c}{F} \right\|. \text{ Therefore } \\ & y = \left( \beta_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^q. \text{ Claim } \stackrel{c}{F}' = F_y. \text{ Let } \left( x_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = x \in \mathbb{N}^q. \text{ Then } \\ & \stackrel{c}{F}(x) = \stackrel{c}{F}(\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n e_n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \stackrel{c}{F}(e_n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \beta_n \end{split}$ 

 $=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}x_n\beta_n=F_y(x). \text{ Hence } F=F_y. \text{ Therefore } F \text{ is onto. Claim } F \text{ is 1-1. Let } y=(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathbb{N}^q \text{ such that } F(y)=0=F_y \text{ therefore } F_y(x)=0 \text{ for all } x\in\mathbb{N}^p, \text{ hence } F_y(e_n)=\alpha_n=0 \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N}, \text{ so } y=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_ne_n=0. \text{ Hence } F \text{ is 1-1. Thus } (\mathbb{N}^p,\|\cdot\|_p)^{\times} \text{ is right isomorphic to } (\mathbb{N}^q,\|\cdot\|_q).$ 

(ii)  $(l_{\rm H}^{1}, \parallel \parallel)^{\times}$  is a right (left) isomorphic to  $(l_{\rm H}^{\infty}, \parallel \parallel)$ 

Proof: Let  $y = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$ . Define  $F_y : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{1} \to \mathbb{H}$  by

 $F_y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \beta_n \quad \text{where} \quad x = (\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{Claim that } F_y \text{ is well}$  defined i.e. we must to show  $(\sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n \beta_n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{converges.} \quad \text{Fix N } \in \mathbb{N}$  therefore

 $\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \right| &= \left| \sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathbf{n}} \beta_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha_{\mathbf{n}} \beta_{\mathbf{n}} \right| = \sum_{\mathbf{n}=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \left| \beta_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \leqslant \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} < \infty. \quad \text{Hence} \\ \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \text{ is continuous.} \quad \text{In fact } \|\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}}\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}. \quad \text{Hence } \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{1}. \quad \text{Define a} \\ \text{map } \mathbf{F}: \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{1} \quad \text{by } \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{y}} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}. \quad \text{The same proof as in} \end{aligned}$ 

(i) show that F is right linear. Claim that  $\|y\|_{\infty} = \|F(y)\| = \|F_y\|$  for all  $y \in \frac{\infty}{\mathbb{H}}$ . If y = 0, then  $\|y\|_{\infty} = \|0\|_{\infty} = \|F(0)\| = \|F_0\|$ . So done. Assume that  $0 \neq y = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}$ . Given E > 0 there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\beta_{n_c} > \|y\|_{\infty} = \mathbb{N}$ . Now let

$$\mathbf{x}_{m} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n_{\xi} \\ \frac{\overline{\beta}_{n_{\xi}}}{\left|\beta_{n_{\xi}}\right|} & \text{if } m = n_{\xi} \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $\frac{\left|\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \left|\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right| = \left|\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_{m} \beta_{m}\right| = \left|\beta_{n_{\xi}}\right| > \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} - \epsilon$ .

Hence given & O there exists an  $x_0 \in \frac{1}{|H|} \{0\}$  such that  $\frac{\left|F_y(x_0)\right|}{\|x_0\|} > \|y\|_{\infty} - \&$ . Hence  $\|F_y\| > \|y\|_{\infty}$ . Thus  $\|F_y\| = \|y\|$ . Claim that F is onto. Let  $e_n = (0,0,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $F \in \mathbb{A}_H^1 \text{ we denote } F(e_n) = \beta_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ If } \beta_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ then } (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{A}_H^\infty.$  So assume that  $y = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ |\beta_n| \right\} = \infty$ . Given M > O there exists an  $\mathbb{N}_M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\beta_{\mathbb{N}_M}| > \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let

$$d_{n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq N_{M} \\ \frac{\overline{\beta}_{N_{M}}}{\left|\beta_{N_{M}}\right|} & \text{if } n = N_{M}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $d = (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\circ}$ . Also, ||d|| = 1. Since

 $\left| \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{d}) \right| = \left| \mathbf{F}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}_n \mathbf{e}_n) \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N_M} \mathbf{d}_n \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{e}_n) \right| = \left| \beta_{N_M} \right| > M, \frac{\left| \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{d}) \right|}{\left| \left| \mathbf{d} \right| \right|} > M$ 

a contradiction since  $F \in \mathbb{A}_H^*$ . Hence  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left| \beta_n \right| \right\} < \infty$  i.e.

 $y = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$ . The same proof (i) show that  $F' = F_y$  and F is 1-1 i.e. F is onto and 1-1. Hence  $(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^1, \| \ \|)$  is right isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}, \| \ \|_{\infty}$ ).

(iii) Let  $C_0 = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / x_n \in \mathbb{H} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0\}$  and  $\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{|x_n|\}$  where  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0$ . Then  $(C_0, \|\cdot\|)$  is right (left) isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ .

Let  $d = (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  then  $d \in C_0$  also, ||d|| = 1. Then

 $|\varphi(a)| = |\varphi(\sum_{n=1}^{N_M} d_n e_n)| = \sum_{n=1}^{N_M} |a_n| > M. ||a||. \text{ Therefore } \frac{|\varphi(a)|}{||a||} > M.$ 

Hence we see that give M > O there exists an d  $\in$  C such that

 $\frac{|\varphi(\mathbf{d})|}{||\mathbf{d}||} \geq \mathbf{M}, \text{ a contradiction since } \varphi \in C_0^*. \text{ So } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_n| < \infty \text{ therefore } \mathbf{a} \in L_{\mathbb{H}^*}^1. \text{ Next we must show that } \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{a}_n. \text{ Let } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0^*.$ 

Give  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m x_n e_n$ . Then  $\varphi(x_m) = \sum_{n=1}^m x_n a_n$ . Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} x_m = x$ 

and  $\varphi \in C_0^*$ ,  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \varphi(x_m) = \varphi(x)$ . Thus  $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n a_n$ . Define  $F: C_0^* \downarrow_{|H}^1$  by  $F(\varphi) = (a_n)_{n \in |N|}$  where  $a_n = \varphi(e_n)$  for all  $n \in N$ . Clearly F is right linear. To show that F is 1-1. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^*$  be such that  $F(\varphi) = 0$  therefore  $\varphi(e_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in N$ . Hence  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n e_n$ 

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \varphi(e_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \varphi \equiv 0. \quad \text{Hence F}$  is 1-1. To show F is onto. Given  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \frac{1}{\mathbb{H}} \quad \text{define} (\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n a_n)$  for all  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0$ . Then  $\varphi$  is well-defined, left linear and continuous since

 $\left| \left| \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \Sigma \\ \mathbf{n} = 1 \end{array} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \left| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} \right| \leqslant \|\mathbf{a}\|_{1} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}. \quad \text{In fact, } \|\phi\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{a}\|_{1}.$ 

Since  $\varphi(e_n) = a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F(\varphi) = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Lastly we must show that F preserves norm i.e.  $\|\varphi\| = \|F(\varphi)\|$  for all  $\varphi \in C_0$ . If

 $\varphi = 0$  then  $F(\varphi) = 0$  therefore  $\|\varphi\| = \|F(\varphi)\|$  so done. Hence assume that  $\varphi \neq 0$ . We have already show that  $\|\varphi\| \leqslant \|a\|_1$ . Give  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\mathbb{N}_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| > \|a\|_1 - \epsilon$ . Now let

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{n} > \mathbf{N}_{\xi} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\xi} \text{ and } \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}}{|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}}|} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{n} \leqslant \mathbf{N}_{\xi} \quad \text{and } \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} \neq 0$$

Let  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $x \in C_0$  also, ||x|| = 1. Now,

$$\frac{|\varphi(\mathbf{x})|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = |\varphi(\mathbf{x})| = |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{a}_n| = |\sum_{n=1}^{N_{\xi}} |\mathbf{a}_n|| = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\xi}} |\mathbf{a}_n| > \|\mathbf{a}\|_{1} - \xi.$$

Hence given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $x \in C_0 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\frac{|\psi(x)|}{||x||} > ||a||_1 - \varepsilon$  therefore  $||\psi|| \ge ||a||_1$ . Hence  $||\psi|| = ||a||_1 = ||F(\psi)||$ . Thus  $(C_0, || ||)$  is right isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_H^1$ .

Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) and W  $\subseteq$  V a closed left(right) linear subspace. Then  $V/_W$  is a left (right) vector space over H.  $V/_W$  has a left (right) norm define as follows: let  $\alpha \in V/_W$  define  $\|\alpha\| = \inf_{x \in \alpha} \|x\|$ . Let  $P:V \longrightarrow V/_W$  be the natural projection i.e. if  $x \in V$  define P(x) = x+W = [x]. Then P is left (right) linear.

Let  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\frac{\|P(x)\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|[x]\|}{\|x\|} \leqslant \frac{\|x\|}{\|x\|} = 1$  therefore P is continuous.

Remark: If V is a NLS and W  $\subseteq$  V a closed linear subspace. Then  $V/_W$  is a vector space over H.  $V/_W$  has a norm define as above.

Theorem 2.25 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS) which is also a Banach space. Then  $V/_W$  is a Banach space where W is closed left (right) linear subspace of V.

Proof: Let  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a cauchy sequence in  $V/_W$  there exists a  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|y_{n_1} - y_n\| < 1/_2$  for all  $n \ge n_1$ . Choose  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $\|y_{n_2} - y_n\| < 1/_2^2$  for all  $n \ge n_2$ . By induction we can find  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$  such that  $\|y_{n_k} - y_n\| < 1/_2^k$  for all  $n \ge n_k$ . In particular,  $\|y_{n_k} - y_{n_{k+1}}\| < 1/_2^k$ . Let  $x_1$  be an element in V such that  $P(x_1) = y_{n_1}$ . Since P is onto, there exists a  $x \in V$  such that  $P(x) = y_{n_2}$ . Since  $\|y_{n_1} - y_{n_2}\| = \|P(x_1) - P(x)\| = \|P(x_1 - x)\| =$  inf  $\{\|x_1 - x + z\| / z \in W\} < 1/_2$ , there exists a  $z_0 \in W$  such that  $\|x_1 - x + z_0\| < 1/_2$ . Let  $x_2 = x - z_0$  then  $P(x_2) = P(x - z_0) = P(x) - P(z_0) =$   $P(x) = y_{n_2}$  and  $\|x_1 - x_2\| < 1/_2$ . Suppose there exists a  $x_k \in V$  such that  $P(x_k) = y_{n_k}$  then there exists an  $x_{k+1} \in V$  such that  $\|x_k - x_{k+1}\| \le 1/_2^k$  by the same proof as above. For any positive integer 1 we get that

 $\|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{x}_{k} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\| + \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k+2}\| + \dots + \|\mathbf{x}_{k+1-1} - \mathbf{x}_{k+1}\|$ 

 $<1/_2k+1/_2k+1+...+1/_2k+1-1<1/_2k$   $(1+1/_2+...)=1/_2k-1$  therefore

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\| = 0$ , so  $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a cauchy sequence in V.

Since V is complete, there exists an  $x \in V$  such that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = x$ .

By the continuity of P we have that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} P(x_k) = P(x)$  i.e.

 $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_n = P(x) \quad \text{therefore (y_n)} \quad \text{is a convergent subsequence} \quad k\to\infty$ 

of  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  hence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  itself must be convergent.

Theorem 2.26 Let V,W be a LNLS(RNIS) which are also Banach space.

Then VXW is a Banach space

Proof: Same proof as in  $(H^n, || ||_2)$ .

Theorem 2.27 Let V be a LNLS(RNLS). Then V is left (right) isomorphic to a subspace of V

Proof: For each  $x \in V$  define  $\psi_x \colon V \to H$  by  $\psi_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$  for all  $\varphi \in V$ . Then  $\psi_x$  is right linear. Claim that  $\psi_x$  is continuous. To prove this let  $\varphi \in V \setminus \{0\}$  therefore

$$\frac{|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\phi)|}{||\phi||} = \frac{|\phi(\mathbf{x})|}{||\phi||} \leqslant \frac{|\phi(\mathbf{x})|}{||\phi||} = ||\mathbf{x}||, \text{ so } ||\psi_{\mathbf{x}}|| \leqslant ||\mathbf{x}|| < \infty.$$

6

If x = 0, then  $\psi_0 = 0$  which is continuous. In fact  $\|\psi_0\| = \|0\| = 0$  therefore  $\|\psi_x\| \leqslant \|x\|$  for all  $x \in V$ . Define a map  $F: V \longrightarrow V^*$  by

 $F(x) = \psi_{x}$ . Then F is left linear. Claim that F is 1-1 and preserves norms. To show that F is 1-1, suppose that F is not 1-1. exists an  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that F(x) = 0, so  $\psi_x = 0$  i.e.  $\psi_x(\phi) = 0$ for all  $\varphi \in V$ , hence  $\psi(x) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in V$ . Let W be the left linear subspace of V generated by x i.e.  $W = \{ \alpha x / \alpha \in H \}$ . Define  $\eta: W \longrightarrow H$  by  $\eta(\alpha x) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in H$ . Then  $\eta$  is left linear.  $\eta$  is continuous since dim W is 1. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem we can extend  $\eta$  to a continuous left linear map  $\emptyset$ : V $\longrightarrow$ H such that  $\|\emptyset\| = \|\eta\|$  therefore  $\emptyset \in V^*$  and  $\psi_x(\emptyset) = 0 = \emptyset(x) = \eta(x) = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence F is 1-1. We must show that  $\| \psi_{\mathbf{x}} \| \geqslant \| \mathbf{x} \|$  for all  $x \in V$ . To prove this, we shall first show that given  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and M > 0 then there exists an  $(\varphi \in V)$  such that  $||\varphi|| = M$  and  $|\varphi(x)| = ||\varphi|| ||x||$ . To prove this, let U be the left linear subspace of V generated by x. Define W: U  $\rightarrow$  H by W(x) =  $\alpha$ M|x|. Then W is left linear and continuous. By the Hahn Banach Theorem, there exists a continuous left  $\|\Gamma\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ . Hence for each  $\mathbf{x} \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and M > 0 there exists a  $\psi \in V$ such that  $\| \varphi \| = M$  and  $| \varphi (x) = \| \varphi \| \| x \|$ . Given  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  there exists an  $\varphi \in V^*$  such that  $\|\varphi\| = 1$  and  $\varphi(x) = \|x\|$ . Hence  $\frac{|\psi_x(\varphi)|}{\|f_0\|} = 1$  $|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(\varphi)| = |\varphi(\mathbf{x})| = \|\mathbf{x}\|, \quad \text{so} \|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\| \ge \|\mathbf{x}\|. \quad \text{Hence } \|\psi_{\mathbf{x}}\| = \|\mathbf{x}\|.$ 

Remarks: (i) Since F is norm preserving F is an isometry, hence F is a homeomorphic onto its image

<sup>(</sup>ii) In the finite dimensional case V is left (right) isomorphic to  $\textbf{V}^{\bigstar}$ 

(iii) In the  $\infty$ -dimensional case V may not to left (right) isomorphic to  $V^*$ .

Example 2.28 Let  $C_0 = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / x_n \in \mathbb{H} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ \text{and } \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 0\}$  and  $\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{|x_n|\}$  where  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_0$ . Claim that  $C_0$  is separable. To prove this, let

 $D = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbf{C}_0 \ / \ \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{Q}^4 \ \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N} \ \text{ and } \ \exists \, \mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N} \ni \mathbf{x}_n = 0 \ \forall \, \mathbf{n} \ > \mathbf{N} \right\}$  therefore D is countable. To show  $\overline{D} = \mathbf{C}_0$ . Let  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbf{C}_0$ . Let  $\mathbf{z} > 0$  be given there exists a  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{z}} > 0$  such that  $|\mathbf{z}_n| < \mathcal{E}_2$  for all  $n > \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{z}}$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{z}}$  there exists an  $\mathbf{q}_n \in \mathbb{Q}^4$  such that  $|\mathbf{q}_n - \mathbf{z}_n| < \mathcal{E}_2$  therefore  $\sup_{1 \le n \le \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{z}}} \{|\mathbf{q}_n - \mathbf{z}_n|\} \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . Take  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{z}} = (\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{z}_n}, 0, 0, \dots)$  therefore  $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathbf{D}$  and  $\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{z}\| \le \mathcal{E}_2 < \mathbf{z}$ . Hence  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{C}_0$  i.e.  $\mathbf{C}_0$  is separable. Next, claim that  $\ell_H^\infty$  is non separable. To prove this, note that the set of sequence  $(\mathbf{z}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathbf{z}_n = 0$  or 1 is uncountable and belong to  $\ell_H^\infty$ . Let  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are distinct sequences such that  $\mathbf{w}_n = 0$  or 1 and  $\mathbf{z}_n = 0$  or 1 for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$d(z,w) = ||z-w|| = \sup_{n \in |N|} \{|z_n - w_n|\} = 1.$$

Claim that  $B(z,1/4) \cap B(w,1/4) = \emptyset$ . Suppose not therefore there exists an  $x \in B(z,1/4) \cap B(w,1/4)$ . So ||x-z|| < 1/4 and ||x-w|| < 1/4.

Therefore

 $1 = \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}\| \leqslant \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}\| \leqslant 1/_2 \text{, a contradiction.}$  Hence if  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z_n})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w_n})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}}$  are distinct sequence sucht that  $\mathbf{w_n} = \mathbf{0}$  or 1 and  $\mathbf{z_n} = \mathbf{0}$  or 1 then  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{z}, 1/_4) \cap \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{w}, 1/_4) = \emptyset$ . If  $\mathbf{l}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$  has a countable dense subset D then  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{z}, 1/_4) \cap \mathbf{D} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z_n})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbf{l}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$  where  $\mathbf{z_n} = \mathbf{0}$  or 1. Hence D is uncountable, a contradiction. Hence we have the claim. Thus  $\mathbf{C_0}$  is not left isomorphic to  $\mathbf{l}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\infty}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{C_0}$  is not left isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C_0}^{\times}$ .

Theorem 2.29 (Open Mapping Theorem) Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's) which are also Banach space and F: V w a continuous left (right) linear map which is onto. Then F is open.

Proof: Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a nonempty open set. Must show that F(U) is open in W. Let  $y \in F(U)$  therefore there exists an  $x \in U$  such that y = F(x). Since U is open in V, there exists an open ball  $B(x;\delta) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $F(B(x;\delta)) \subseteq F(U)$  and  $y \in F(B(x;\delta))$ . If we can show that there exists an open ball  $B(y;\epsilon)$  in W such that  $B(y;\epsilon) \subseteq F(B(x;\delta))$ , then we are done. Claim that if we can show that there exists an open ball  $B(0;r) \subseteq F(B(0;1))$ , then we get that there exists an open ball  $B(y;\epsilon) \subseteq F(B(x;\delta))$ . To prove this claim, Note that if there exists an open ball  $B(0;r) \subseteq F(B(0;1))$  then given r' > 0 therefore F(B(0;r')) = F(r'(B(0;1)) = r'F(B(0;1))  $\supseteq r'B(0;r') = B(0;r'r)$  therefore  $B(0;r'r) \subseteq F(B(0;r'))$  for all r' > 0.

Now,  $B(x;\delta)-x=B(0;\delta)$  therefore  $F(B(x;\delta)-x)=F(B(x;\delta))-F(x)$ .

But  $F(B(x;\delta)-x)=F(B(0;\delta))\supseteq B(0;\delta r)$ . Hence  $F(B(x;\delta))\supseteq B(0;r\delta)$   $+F(x)=B(F(x);r\delta)=B(y;r\delta)$ . Hence to finish the theorem we only to show that there exists an open ball  $B(0;r)\subseteq F(B(0;1))$ . Since  $V=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}B(0;n/2)=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}nB(0;1/2)$  and F is onto,  $W=F(V)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}F(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}nB(0;1/2))=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}nF(B(0;1/2))$ . By corollary 0.19., there  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  exists a closed ball B in W and there exists an  $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is dense in B. Hence  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  contains an open ball in B. Since  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is homeomorphic  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  therefore  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is homeomorphic  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is homeomorphic  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  therefore  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is homeomorphic  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$  is homeomorphic  $B\cap_0F(B(0;1/2))$ .

 $B(a;r) \subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1/2))}$ . Claim that  $B(0;r) \subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1))}$ . To prove this claim, we'll first show that

 $F(B(0;1/_2) \ominus F(B(0;1/_2)) \subseteq 2F(B(0;1/_2)) \text{ where}$   $A \ominus B = \left\{a-b \mid a \in A, b \in B\right\}. \text{ Let } x \in \overline{F(B(0;1/_2))} \ominus \overline{F(B(0;1/_2))}.$   $Then \quad x = y-z \quad \text{where } y, z \in \overline{F(B(0;1/_2))}. \text{ Hence there exist sequences}$   $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } F(B(0;1/_2)) \text{ and } (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ .in } F(B(0;1/_2)) \text{ such that}$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y \quad \text{and } \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = z. \text{ Hence for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there exist } u_n,$   $v_n \in B(0;1/_4) \quad \text{such that } \frac{y_n}{2} = F(u_n) \text{ and } \frac{z_n}{2} = F(v_n). \text{ Since } v_n,$   $u_n \in B(0;1/_4) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, -\frac{y_n}{2}, -\frac{z_n}{2} \in F(B(0;1/_4)) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$   $\text{Let } u = \frac{y}{2} \text{ and } v = \frac{z}{2} \text{ then } u = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y_n}{2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(u_n) \in \overline{F(B(0;1/_4))}.$ 

Similarly,  $v \in \overline{F(B(0;1/_4))}$ . Now  $x = y-z = 2(y/_2 - z/_2) = 2(u-v)$ . Must show that  $u-v \in \overline{F(B(0;1/_2))}$ . Note that  $u_n, v_n \in B(0;1/_4)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  therefore  $u_n - v_n \in B(0;1/_2)$ . So  $F(u_n - v_n) \in F(B(0;1/_2))$ . Now,  $u = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(u_n)$  and  $v = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(v_n)$ . Given E > 0 there exists an  $N_E \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||u-F(u_n)|| < |E/_2|$  for all  $n > N_E$  and there exists an  $N_E \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||v-F(v_n)|| < |E/_2|$  for all  $n > N_E$ . Let  $M = \max\{N_E, N_E'\}$ . Then if n > M we get that

 $||u-v-(F(u_n)-F(v_n))|| \le ||u-F(u_n)|| + ||v-F(v_n)||$   $< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$ 

Therefore  $u-v = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(u_n-v_n)$ . Hence  $B(0;r) = B(a;r)-a \subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1/2))}-a$   $\subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1/2))} \oplus \overline{F(B(0;1/2))}$   $\subseteq 2\overline{F(B(0;1/2))} = \overline{F(B(0;1))}$ . Claim that

B(0;r/4)  $\subseteq$  F(B(0,1)). Let  $y \in$  B(0;r/4) be arbitrary. Then  $y \in \overline{F(B(0;1/4))}$  therefore there exists an  $y_1 \in \overline{F(B(0;1/4))}$  such that  $||y-y_1|| < r/2$  3. Hence  $y-y_1 \in B(0;r/8) \subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1/8))}$  therefore there exists an  $y_2 \in \overline{F(B(0;1/8))}$  such that  $||y-y_1-y_2|| < r/2$  therefore  $y-y_1-y_2 \in B(0;r/8) \subseteq \overline{F(B(0;1/8))}$ . By induction we get that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $y_n \in \overline{F(B(0;1/2^{n+1}))}$  such that

 $\|y-\sum_{\alpha=1}^ny_\alpha\|< r/_2^{n+2} \text{. Since }y_n\in F(B(0;1/_2^{n+1})) \text{ for all }n\in \mathbb{N} \text{ we}$  get that for each  $n\in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $x_n\in B(0;1/_2^{n+1})$  such that  $F(x_n)=y_n\text{. Since }x_n\in B(0;1/_2^{n+1}), \|x_n\|<1/_2^{n+1} \text{ for all }n\in \mathbb{N}.$  Consider the sequence  $(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_\alpha)_{n\in \mathbb{N}}$ . This is a cauchy sequence in V. Since m< n implies.

as m,  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ . Since V is a Banach space, there exists an  $x \in V$ 

such that  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} x_{\alpha}$  . Since

 $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha}\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{\alpha}\| \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}\| \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}}$ 

= 1/2 < 1,  $x \in B(0;1)$ . Then  $F(x) = F(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} x_{\alpha}) = F(\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} x_{\alpha})$ 

 $= \lim_{n \to \infty} F(\sum_{\alpha = 1}^{n} x_{\alpha}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{n} F(x_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha = 1}^{\infty} y_{\alpha} = y. \text{ Hence}$ 

 $y = F(x) \in F(B(0;1))$ . Thus  $B(0;r/4) \subseteq F(B(0;1))$  and so we are done.

Corollary 2.30 Let V, W be LNLS's (RNLS's) which are also Banach space and T:  $V \rightarrow W$  a 1-1, onto, continuous and left linear map. Then  $T^{-1}$  is continuous.

Theorem 2.31 (Closed Graph Theorem). Let V,W be LNLS's (RNLS's) which iare also Banach apace and  $\varphi: V \to W$  a left(right) linear map and assume that  $G = \{(x, \varphi(x))/x \in V\}$  is closed in the product topology. Then  $\varphi$  is continuous.

Proof: Give V × W then  $\|\cdot\|_2$  left norm. Then this norm gives the product topology. Since G is closed in V × W, G is complete. Let F:  $G \longrightarrow V$  be define by  $F(v, \varphi(v)) = v$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then F is 1-1, onto and left linear. Claim that F is continuous. Let  $v \in V$ . Given E > 0. Choose  $\delta = E$  therefore for all  $w \in V$ 

$$\| (v, \varphi(v) - (w, \varphi(w)) \| = \| (v - w, \varphi(v) - \varphi(w)) \|$$

$$= (\|v - w\|^2 + \|\varphi(v) - \varphi(w)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta$$

implies  $\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\| = \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{v}, \varphi(\mathbf{v})) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{w}, \varphi(\mathbf{w}))\| < \delta = \varepsilon$ .

Hence F is continuous. Therefore  $F^{-1}$  is continuous [Corollary 1.27]. Let  $F_1\colon G\longrightarrow W$  be defined by  $F_1(v, \varphi(v))=\varphi(v)$  for all  $v\in V$ . By the same proof as above,  $F_1$  is continuous left linear. Hence the map  $F_1\circ F^{-1}$  is continuous. But  $F_1\circ F^{-1}=\varphi$ . So  $\varphi$  is continuous.

Theorem 2.32 (Banach-Sticnhaus) Let V be a LNLS (RNLS) which is also a Banach space, W a LNLS (RNLS) and  $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  a family of continuous left (right) linear and  $(F_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$  a family of continuous left (right) linear map from V to W. Then either there exists a M > 0 such that  $||F_{\alpha}|| \leq M$  for all  $\alpha \in I$  or  $\sup_{\alpha \in I} \left\{ ||F_{\alpha}(x)|| \right\} = \infty$  for all  $x \in V$  in some dense  $G_{\delta}$  set in V (where  $G_{\delta}$  is a countable intersection of open set).

 $x \mapsto \|F_{\alpha}(x)\|$  is continuous for all  $\alpha \in I$ , the map taking  $x \mapsto \|F_{\alpha}(x)\|$  is lower semicontinuous. Since suppremum of set of lower semicontinuous is lower semicontinuous. By the definition of lower semicontinuous  $V_n$  is open for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

in V. Hence there exists a nonempty open set U in V such that  $U \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists an open ball B in V such that  $U \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists an open ball B in V such that  $U \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists a closed ball  $\overline{B(x_0; r)}$  such that  $\overline{B(x_0; r)} \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Hence if  $||x|| \le r$ , then  $x_0 + x \notin V_{n_0}$ , so  $U \cap V_{n_0} = \emptyset$ . Hence if  $||x|| \le r$ , then  $||x|| \le r$ , then  $||x|| \le r$ , so  $||x|| \le r$ . Since  $||x|| \le r$ , we get that

$$\begin{split} \|F_{\alpha}(x)\| &= \|F_{\alpha}[(x_{0}+x)-x_{0}]\| = \|F_{\alpha}(x_{0}+x)-F_{\alpha}(x_{0})\| \\ &\leqslant \|F_{\alpha}(x_{0}+x)\| + \|F_{\alpha}(x_{0})\| \leqslant 2n_{0} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in I \text{ and for all} \\ \|x\| \leqslant r. \quad \text{Let } M = \frac{2n_{0}}{r} . \quad \text{Then we get that for all } \alpha \in I \\ \|F_{\alpha}\| &= \sup \Big\{ \|F_{\alpha}(x)\| / \|x\| = 1 \Big\} = \frac{1}{r} \sup \Big\{ \|F_{\alpha}(x)\| / \|x\| = r \Big\} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sup \Big\{ \|F_{\alpha}(x)\| / \|x\| \leqslant r \Big\} \leqslant \frac{2n_{0}}{r} = M \quad \text{i.e.} \end{split}$$

for all  $\alpha \in I$   $\|F_{\alpha}\| \leq M$ . So done.

Case 2.  $V_n$  is dense in V for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n \in |\mathbb{N}|} V_n$  is dense in V by Baire's theorem. Let  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in |\mathbb{N}|} V_n$  then  $x \in V_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  therefore  $\psi(x) = \infty$  i.e.  $\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \|F_{\alpha}(x)\| \right\} = \infty$ . So done.