

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION



The Algebra of Quaternions

Let $1, i, j$ and k denote the elements of the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^4 . The quaternion product on \mathbb{R}^4 is then the \mathbb{R} -bilinear product with 1 as its multiplicative identity by the formulae $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = k = -ji$, $jk = i = -kj$ and $ki = j = -ik$. In this thesis we shall denote the \mathbb{R} -algebra of all quaternions by " \mathbb{H} ". See [3].

Each quaternion $q = a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 \cdot i + a_2 \cdot j + a_3 \cdot k$ ($a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all n) is uniquely expressible in the form $\text{Re}q + \text{Pu}q$, where $\text{Re}q = a_0 \cdot 1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Pu}q = a_1 \cdot i + a_2 \cdot j + a_3 \cdot k \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{Re}q$ being call the real quaternion part of q and $\text{Pu}q$ the pure quaternion of q .

The conjugate \bar{q} of a quaternion q is defined to be the quaternion $\text{Re}q - \text{Pu}q$. Hence $\overline{a+b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}$, $\overline{\lambda a} = \lambda \bar{a}$, $\bar{\bar{a}} = a$ and $\overline{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\bar{a} = a$, while $\text{Re}a = \frac{1}{2}(a + \bar{a})$ and $\text{Pu}a = \frac{1}{2}(a - \bar{a})$. See [3].

Definition 1.1 Let $C(\mathbb{H}) = \{a \in \mathbb{H} / ax = xa \ \forall x \in \mathbb{H}\}$.

Then $C(\mathbb{H})$ is said to be the center of \mathbb{H} . The center of \mathbb{H} is \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 1.2 Let $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \mathbb{H}$. Suppose that $ix - \bar{x}i = jx - \bar{x}j = kx - \bar{x}k = 0$. Then $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$.

Proof: Obvious. \times

Proposition 1.3 Let $x = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$, $y = y_0 + y_1i + y_2j + y_3k \in \mathbb{H}$. Suppose $x + \bar{x} - (y + \bar{y}) = 0$. Then $x_0 = y_0$

Proof: Obvious. $\#$

Let $a = a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k$ the non-negative number

$|a| = (a\bar{a})^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^3 a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$ is call the absolute value of the quaternion

a. If $a \neq 0$, then $|a| \neq 0$ and $\frac{a\bar{a}}{|a|^2} = \frac{\bar{a}a}{|a|^2} = 1$. So we have:

Proposition 1.4 \mathbb{H} is a division ring

Proof. See [3].

Proposition 1.5 $|a \cdot b| = |a| |b|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. See [3].

Proposition 1.6 \mathbb{H} is complete with respect this absolute value.

Proof. Standard. $\#$

Proposition 1.7 Let $\mathbb{H}^n = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) / x_\alpha \in \mathbb{H} \forall \alpha \leq n \right\}$.

Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_\beta + y_\beta|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_\beta|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_\beta|^p \right)^{1/p} \text{ where}$$

$1 \leq p < \infty$. (Minkowski's Inequality) and

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_\beta| |y_\beta| \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_\beta|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_\beta|^q \right)^{1/q} \text{ where}$$

$1 < p < \infty$. and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (Holder's Inequality).

Proof: If $p = 1$ then Minkowski's Inequality is trivial.

Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^p = \sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^{p-1} |x_{\beta}| + \sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^{p-1} |y_{\beta}|.$$

Since

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n |a_{\beta} b_{\beta}| \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |a_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_{\beta}|^q \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{for all}$$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n [4],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^p &\leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^{q(p-1)} \right)^{1/q} + \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^{q(p-1)} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $pq - q = p$: we get that

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^p \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^p \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Divide both sides by $\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n (|x_{\beta}| + |y_{\beta}|)^p \right)^{1/q}$ we get that

$$\left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta} + y_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad \text{Clearly}$$

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta}| |y_{\beta}| \leq \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |x_{\beta}|^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^n |y_{\beta}|^q \right)^{1/q}. \quad \times$$

Proposition 1.8. $\mathbb{Q}^4 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) / x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q} \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is dense in \mathbb{H} .

Proof: Standard.



Linear Algebra over \mathbb{H}

Definition 1.9 A left vector space V over \mathbb{H} is a set of elements which the operation of addition and scalar multiplication on the left defined. If x and y are in V and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$. Then

1. V is an abelian group under addition
2. $\alpha(x+y) = \alpha x + \alpha y$
3. $\alpha(\beta x) = (\alpha\beta)x$
4. $1 \cdot x = x$
5. $(\alpha+\beta)x = \alpha x + \beta x$.

A right vector space V over \mathbb{H} is defined dually. A vector space V over \mathbb{H} is a left vector and right vector space over \mathbb{H} such that $\alpha(x\beta) = (\alpha x)\beta$ for all $x \in V$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 1.10 Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{H} . Then $\alpha x = x\alpha$ for all $x \in V$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore if V has a topology such that both scalar multiples are continuous then $\alpha x = x\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Standard.



Example of vector space over \mathbb{H}

- (i) $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$. (ii) $S = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } \mathbb{H} \right\}$.
- (iii) $C = \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} / x_n \in \mathbb{H} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ converges} \right\}$

Definition 1.11 Let V be a left vector space over \mathbb{H} and $A \subseteq V$. Then A is said to be left linear subspace of V if and only if $\alpha x + \beta y \in A$ for all $x, y \in V$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$.

Right linear subspace are defined dually. If V is vector space over \mathbb{H} . Then A is said to be linear subspace of V if and only if A is a left and right linear subspace.

Definition 1.12 Let V be a left vector space over \mathbb{H} and $A \subseteq V$. Then A is said to be left convex if and only if $\alpha x + \beta y \in A$ for all $x, y \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Right convex is defined dually. Hence every left (right) linear subspace is left (right) convex. If V is a vector space over \mathbb{H} . Then a subspace V is convex if and only if V is both left and right convex.

Definition 1.13 A subset $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of a left vector space V is said to be left linearly independent if and only if for any finite

$$v_{\alpha_1}, v_{\alpha_2}, \dots, v_{\alpha_n} \quad \sum_{m=1}^n \beta_m v_{\alpha_m} = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \beta_m = 0 \quad \text{for all } m.$$

$(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is left linearly dependent if and only if it is not linear independent.

Right linearly independent and right linearly dependent are defined dually.

Definition 1.14 A left (right) linear independent set spanning a left (right) vector space V is called a left (right) basis or

left (right) base of V .

Then every left (right) nonzero vector space has a basis

Definition 1.15. Let V, W be left vector space over \mathbb{H} and $f: V \rightarrow W$ a map. Then f is said to be a left linear map if and only if $f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$.

If V, W are right vector space over \mathbb{H} then right linear is defined dually.

If V, W are vector space over \mathbb{H} $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a map then f is said to be a linear map if and only if f is both left and right linear.

Definition 1.16 Let V be a left vector space over \mathbb{H} and $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a map. Then f is said to be left-conjugate if and only if $f(\alpha x + \beta y) = f(x)\bar{\alpha} + f(y)\bar{\beta}$ for all $x, y \in V$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$.

If V is a right vector space over \mathbb{H} . Then f is said to be right-conjugate if and only if $f(x\alpha + y\beta) = \bar{\alpha}f(x) + \bar{\beta}f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$.

Topological Prerequisites

Theorem 1.17 (Baire's theorem) Let X be a complete metric space, and assume that X is the union of closed subset of S_n $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then some S_n contain a non-empty open ball

Proof: See [4].

Corollary 1.18 Let X be a complete metric space and $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of open dense sets. Then the intersection $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ is not empty.

Proof: See [4].

Corollary 1.19 Let X be a metric space and $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of subset of V such that $V = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$. Then there exists a closed ball B in V and there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B \cap M_{n_0}$ is dense in B .

Proof: See [4].

Definition 1.20 Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $A = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\}$ is said to be equicontinuous if and only if given $\xi > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \xi$ for all $f \in A$.

Definition 1.21 A metric space X is said to be totally bounded if for any $\xi > 0$, there is a finite covering of X by sets of diameter less than ξ .

Definition 1.22 Let (X, d) is said to have the BW property if and only if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence which converges to a point of X .

Theorem 1.23 Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (X, d) has the BW property
- (ii) (X, d) is complete and totally bounded
- (iii) (X, d) is compact

Proof: See [4].

Theorem 1.24 Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Let $C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / f \text{ is continuous}\}$. The function d^* defined on $C(X) \times C(X)$ by

$$d^*(f, g) = \sup \{ |f(x) - g(x)| / x \in X \}$$
 is a metric on $C(X)$ and $(C(X), d^*)$ is complete.

Proof: Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a cauchy sequence in $C(X)$ i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a N_ε such that $d^*(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $m, n > N_\varepsilon$. Hence for each $x \in X$, $(f_n(x))$ is a cauchy sequence of \mathbb{H} . Since \mathbb{H} is complete, there exists an $y_x \in \mathbb{H}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = y_x$$
. Define $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by $f(x) = y_x$ for all $x \in X$.

To show 1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ with respect to d^* .

2) $f \in C(X)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a N_0 such that $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $m, n > N_0$ and for all $x \in X$. Fix $n > N_0$ and let $m \rightarrow \infty$ in $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)|$ we get that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $n > N_0$ and for all $x \in X$. Hence $\sup \{ |f_n(x) - f(x)| / x \in X \} < \varepsilon$ for all $n > N_0$. This prove 1). To prove 2) Let $x \in X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By 1)

there exists an $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d^*(f_n - f) < \varepsilon/3$ for all $n \geq n_1$

therefore $|f_{n_1}(t) - f(t)| < \varepsilon/3$ for all $t \in X$. But $f_{n_1} \in C(X)$, hence

it is continuous at x therefore there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for

all $y \in X$ $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies $|f_{n_1}(x) - f_{n_1}(y)| < \varepsilon/3$. If $d(x, y) < \delta$

then $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f_{n_1}(x)| + |f_{n_1}(x) - f_{n_1}(y)| + |f_{n_1}(y) - f(y)|$

$$< \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon. \quad \times$$

Definition 1.25 A subset A of a metric space is said to be bounded if diameter A is finite.

Theorem 1.26 (Alzela'-Asoli) A closed subspace of $C(X)$ is compact if and only if it is bounded and equicontinuous.

Proof: Let $F \subseteq C(X)$ be compact therefore F is totally bounded, so F is bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ therefore there exist F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n such that $\bigcup_{m=1}^n F_m = F$ and $\text{diam}(F_m) < \varepsilon/3$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Assume $F_m \neq \emptyset$ for all $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For each $m \leq n$ choose an element $f_m \in F_m$. Therefore for any $f \in F$, $f \in F_m$ for some m , hence $d^*(f, f_m) < \varepsilon/3$. Since f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n belong to $F \subseteq C(X)$ they are uniformly continuous on X . For $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ there exists a $\delta_m > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ $d(x, y) < \delta_m$ implies $|f_m(x) - f_m(y)| < \varepsilon/3$. Choose $\delta = \min \{ \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \}$ therefore $\delta > 0$. Let $f \in F$ and let $x, y \in X$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$. Since $f \in F$, there exists an $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $d^*(f, f_k) < \varepsilon/3$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)-f(y)| &\leq |f(x)-f_k(x)| + |f_k(x)-f_k(y)| + |f_k(y)-f(y)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon \quad \text{so } F \text{ is equicontinuous.} \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose F is bounded and equicontinuous. Must show that F is compact. Since F is closed in a complete metric space, hence F is complete. To show F is totally bounded. F is totally bounded if and only if every sequence in F contains a Cauchy sequence [4]. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in F . Claim that there exists a $K > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq K$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $f \in F$. Since F is bounded there exists a $K_1 > 0$ such that $d^*(f, g) \leq K_1$ for all $f, g \in F$ therefore $|f(x)-g(x)| \leq K_1$ for all $x \in X$ and for all $f, g \in F$. Let $g_0 \in F$ there exists a $K_2 > 0$ such that $|g_0(x)| \leq K_2$ for all $x \in X$. Choose $K = K_1 + K_2$. Let $f \in F$, so $|f(x)-g_0(x)| \leq K_1$ for all $x \in X$ therefore $|f(x)| \leq |f(x)-g_0(x)| + |g_0(x)| \leq K_1 + K_2 = K$. So we have the claim. Since X is compact, X is totally bounded, hence X separable [4], so there exists a $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ such that $\bar{D} = X$. Since $(f_n(x_1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of \mathbb{H} which has the BW property it contains a convergent subsequence, call it $(f_{1n}(x_1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ therefore $(f_{1n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since $(f_{1n}(x_2))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence of \mathbb{H} , it contains a convergent subsequence, call it $(f_{2n}(x_2))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ therefore $(f_{2n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $(f_{1n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By induction, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get a

sequence $(f_{kn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is a subsequence of $(f_{k-1 n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (and hence is a subsequence of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) such that $(f_{kn}(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $P_k \in \mathbb{H}$. Consider $(f_{nn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is a subsequence of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For each $x_k \in D$, $(f_{nn}(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $(f_{kn}(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ therefore $(f_{nn}(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. Hence $(f_{nn}(x_k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Claim that $(f_{nn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a M_k such that $|f_{nn}(x_k) - f_{mm}(x_k)| < \varepsilon/6$ for all $m, n > M_k$. Since F is equicontinuous, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ and for all $f \in F$ $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/6$. Claim that $\{B_d(x_m, \delta) / x_m \in D\}$ is an open cover X . Let $x \in X$ therefore $B_d(x; \delta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$, so there exists an $x_m \in D$ such that $x_m \in B_d(x; \delta)$ hence $x \in B_d(x_m; \delta)$, so $x \in \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_d(x_m, \delta)$ therefore $X \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} B_d(x_m; \delta)$ and thus we have the claim. Since X is compact, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{B_d(x_m; \delta) / m = 1, 2, \dots, n_0\}$ cover X . Choose $N = \max\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{n_0}\}$. Let $m, n > N$ and let $x \in X$ then $x \in B_d(x_\alpha, \delta)$ for some $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_0\}$.

$$|f_{mm}(x) - f_{nn}(x)| \leq |f_{mm}(x) - f_{mm}(x_\alpha)| + |f_{mm}(x_\alpha) - f_{nn}(x_\alpha)| + |f_{nn}(x_\alpha) - f_{nn}(x)|$$

$$< \varepsilon/6 + \varepsilon/6 + \varepsilon/6 = \varepsilon/2. \text{ So } d^*(f_{mm}, f_{nn}) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains a Cauchy sequence $(f_{nn})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Thus F is totally bounded, hence F is compact.

Definition 1.26 A subset M of a topological space X is said to be relatively compact in X if and only if \bar{M} is compact.

Definition 1.27 Let f be a real (or extended-real) function on a topological space. If $\{x/f(x) > \alpha\}$ is open for every real α , f is said to be lower semicontinuous. If $\{x/f(x) < \alpha\}$ is open for every real α , f is said to be upper semicontinuous.