

## CHAPTER III



### CHARACTERIZATION OF THE ARC

A topological space is called an arc if it is homeomorphic to the unit interval subspace,  $[0, 1]$ , of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**3.1 Theorem.** If  $A$  is an arc, then  $A$  is a compact, connected, metrizable space with exactly two non-cut points.

Proof. By theorem 2.25,  $[0, 1]$  is a connected subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; by theorem 2.12,  $[0, 1]$  is a metrizable space, and by theorem 2.42,  $[0, 1]$  is a compact space. Thus, since connectedness, compactness and metrizability are topological properties, any arc is connected, compact and metrizable. By theorem 2.26, the open interval  $\{x \mid 0 < x < 1\}$  is connected. By theorem 2.20, any set between a connected set and its closure is connected. Hence,  $\{x \mid 0 \leq x < 1\}$  and  $\{x \mid 0 < x \leq 1\}$  are connected. This means that 0 and 1 are non-cut points of  $[0, 1]$ . Now, let  $0 < p < 1$ . If  $M = \{x \mid 0 \leq x < p\}$  and  $N = \{x \mid p < x \leq 1\}$ , then  $[0, 1] - \{p\} = N \cup M$  separation and  $p$  is a cut point of  $[0, 1]$ . Since the property of being either cut point or non-cut point is a topological property, any arc has exactly two non-cut points. #

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be points of a connected space  $S$ . A point  $x$  in  $S$  is said to separate  $p$  and  $q$  in  $S$  if there exists a separation  $P_x \cup Q_x$  of  $S - \{x\}$  such that  $p \in P_x$  and  $q \in Q_x$ . Thus, if  $x$  separates

$p$  and  $q$  in  $S$ ,  $x$  must be a cut point of  $S$ . Define  $E(p, q)$  to be  $\{x \mid x = p, \text{ or } x = q, \text{ or } x \text{ separates } p \text{ and } q \text{ in } S\}$ .

3.2 Lemma. Let  $S$  be a connected space; let  $p$  and  $q$  be two distinct points of  $S$ ; let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct points of  $E(p, q) - \{p, q\}$ . Let  $P_a \cup Q_a$  be any separation of  $S - \{a\}$  such that  $p \in P_a$  and  $q \in Q_a$ , let  $P_b \cup Q_b$  be any separation of  $S - \{b\}$  such that  $p \in P_b$  and  $q \in Q_b$ . Then  $P_a \subset P_b$  or  $P_b \subset P_a$ , where  $\subset$  denotes the proper subset relation.

Proof. Since  $S - \{a\} = P_a \cup Q_a$  and  $P_a \cap Q_a = \phi$  and  $b \neq a$ ,  $b \in P_a$  or  $b \in Q_a$ , but not both. (a) Let  $b \in P_a$ . By corollary 2.32,  $Q_a \cup \{a\}$  is a connected subset of  $S$ . Furthermore, since  $b \neq a$  and  $b \in P_a$ ,  $b \notin Q_a \cup \{a\}$ . Hence  $Q_a \cup \{a\} \subseteq P_b \cup Q_b$ . Since  $q \in Q_a \cup \{a\}$  and  $q \in Q_b$ , the connected set  $Q_a \cup \{a\} \subseteq Q_b$ , by theorem 2.18.  $\parallel$  Hence  $(Q_a \cup \{a\}) \cap (P_b \cup \{b\}) = \phi$ . Since  $Q_a \cup \{a\} \cup P_a = S$ ,  $P_b \cup \{b\} \subseteq P_a$ . This means, since  $b \notin P_b$ , that  $P_b \subset P_a$ . (b) Let  $b \in Q_a$ . Since  $Q_a \cap P_a = \phi$  and  $b \neq a$ ,  $b \notin P_a \cup \{a\}$ . Hence, since  $S - \{b\} = P_b \cup Q_b$ ,  $P_a \cup \{a\} \subseteq P_b \cup Q_b$ . As above, since  $P_a \cup \{a\}$  is connected and  $p \in P_b \cap (P_a \cup \{a\})$ ,  $P_a \cup \{a\} \subseteq P_b$ . Since  $a \notin P_a$ ,  $P_a \subset P_b$ . #

3.3 Corollary. If  $a$  and  $b$  are any two distinct points of  $E(p, q) - \{p, q\}$ , then  $P_a \subset P_b$  is equivalent to  $a \in P_b$ , where  $P_a$  and  $P_b$  are as defined in the lemma 3.2.

Proof. In the proof of lemma 3.2, it was established that

$b \in P_a$  implied that  $a \in Q_b$ . It follows from this then that if  $a \notin Q_b$ , then  $b \notin P_a$ . Hence, if  $a \notin Q_b$ ,  $b \in Q_a$ . It was also shown that  $b \in Q_a$  implied that  $P_a \subset P_b$ . Thus it follows that if  $a \in P_b$ , then  $a \in Q_b$ , and so  $P_a \subset P_b$ . Conversely, let  $P_a \subset P_b$ . Then  $P_b \not\subset P_a$ . From the proof lemma 3.2, this means that  $b \notin P_a$ . Thus,  $b \in Q_a$ . This was proved to imply that  $a \in P_b$ . #

By a linearly ordered set we mean a pair  $(L, <^*)$ , where  $L$  is a set, and  $<^*$  is an order relation on  $L$  which is (1)  $x <^* x$  for no  $x$  in  $L$ , (2)  $x <^* y$  implies  $y \not<^* x$ , (3)  $x <^* y$  and  $y <^* z$  implies  $x <^* z$ , and (4)  $x <^* y$  or  $y <^* x$  for any two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $L$ . We write  $x \leq^* y$  if  $x = y$  or  $x <^* y$ . Let  $S$  be a connected space. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be distinct points of  $S$ . A relation  $<^*$  on  $E(p, q)$  is defined as follows.  $<^* = \{(x, y) \mid (x = p \text{ and } y \neq p) \text{ or } (y = q \text{ and } x \neq q) \text{ or } (P_x \subset P_y, \text{ for } p \neq x \neq q \text{ and } p \neq y \neq q)\}$  where of course  $P_x \cup Q_x$  is any separation of  $S - \{x\}$  such that  $p \in P_x$  and  $q \in Q_x$  and  $P_y \cup Q_y$  is any separation of  $S - \{y\}$  such that  $p \in P_y$  and  $q \in Q_y$ .

The following lemma follows from lemma 3.2 and the definition of  $<^*$  on  $E(p, q)$ .

3.4 Lemma.  $<^*$  is a linear order relation on  $E(p, q)$  in the connected space  $S$ . #

Thus, in any connected space  $S$ , each two-point set  $\{p, q\}$  determines a linearly ordered subset  $(E(p, q), <^*)$ .

3.5 Lemma. Let  $S$  be a compact, connected  $T_1$ -space with exactly two non-cut points,  $p$  and  $q$ . Then,  $S = E(p, q)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in S$  and  $x \notin E(p, q)$ . Then  $p \neq x \neq q$ . Hence  $x$  is a cut point of  $S$ . Since  $x$  does not separate  $p$  and  $q$  in  $S$ , there exists a separation  $A \cup B$  of  $S - x$  such that  $p$  and  $q$  are both in  $A$ . Since  $S$  has no non-cut points but  $p$  and  $q$ , it is a contradiction by corollary 2.41. Thus, every point of  $S - \{p, q\}$  separates  $p$  and  $q$  in  $S$ , and so  $S = E(p, q)$ . #

The lemma 3.5 established then that any compact, connected  $T_1$ -space with exactly two non-cut points is linearly ordered by  $<^*$ .

Let  $S$  be a set and  $<$  any linear order relation on  $S$ . Let the topology on  $S$  be the topology having as a subbase  $\{A \mid (A \subseteq S) \text{ and } (A = \{x \mid x < b\} \text{ or } A = \{x \mid a < x\} \text{ for } a \text{ and } b \text{ in } S)\}$ . This topology is called the order topology for  $S$  determined by  $<$ . The following lemma is clear.

3.6 Lemma. Let  $S$  be any non-empty set and  $<$  any linear order relation on  $S$ . The order topology determined by  $<$  is Hausdorff. #

3.7 Theorem. Let  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  be a compact, connected, Hausdorff space with exactly two non-cut points. Then the original topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $S$  is an order topology for  $S$ .

Proof. By lemma 3.4 and 3.5,  $S$  can be linearly ordered by the relation  $<^*$ .  $S = E(p, q)$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are the two non-cut



points of  $S$ . Let  $0 - \mathcal{T}$  denote the order topology for  $E(p, q)$  determined by  $<*$ . Let  $B$  be a base element determined by the defining subbase for the order topology.  $B \subseteq S = E(p, q)$ ,  $B = \{x \mid x <^* b$  for  $b \in S\}$ ,  $B = \{x \mid a <^* x \text{ for } a \in S\}$  or  $B = \{x \mid a <^* x <^* b \text{ for } a, b \in S\}$ . Now  $S \in \mathcal{T}$ . If  $p \neq a \neq q$  and  $p \neq b \neq q$ , then by the definition of  $<*$  and by corollary 3.3,  $\{x \mid x <^* b\} = P_b$ ,  $\{x \mid a <^* x <^* b\} = P_b \cap Q_a$  and  $\{x \mid a <^* x\} = Q_a$ . If  $s \in S$  such that  $p \neq s \neq q$ ,  $S - \{s\} = P_s \cup Q_s$  separation. Since  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is a  $T_1$ -space,  $P_s$  and  $Q_s$  are open in  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ . It follows now that if  $a = p$  or  $a = q$  or  $b = p$  or  $b = q$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{T}$ . Thus, each  $B$  in the base for the order topology, determined by the defining subbase, is open in  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ . Thus  $0 - \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ . Since  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is a compact, Hausdorff space, by hypothesis, and since, by lemma 3.6,  $0 - \mathcal{T}$  is Hausdorff,  $0 - \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}$  by theorem 2.47. #

**3.8 Theorem.** If  $(D, <*)$  is a countable, linearly ordered set and if  $<*$  has the following two properties : (1)  $<*$  determines no first and no last element in  $D$ , and (2) for any two distinct elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $D$  such that  $a <^* b$ , there is at least one other element  $c$  such that  $a <^* c <^* b$ ; then there exists a one-one order-preserving function from  $D$  onto the rational numbers in the open interval  $(0, 1)$ .

Proof. Since both  $D$  and the set  $Q$  of rational in  $(0, 1)$  are countable,  $D$  can be represented as  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$ , where  $d_i \neq d_j$

for  $i \neq j$ , and  $Q$  can be represented as  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$ , where  $r_i \neq r_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . The given linear ordering on  $D$  will be denoted, as in the hypothesis, by  $<^*$ . The natural ordering on the set of all natural numbers and on the set  $Q$  of rational numbers in  $(0, 1)$  will both be denoted by  $<$ .

A. A one-one function  $f$  from  $D$  into  $Q$  is defined. Let  $f(d_1) = r_1$ . Let  $f(d_2) = r_{i_2}$ , where  $i_2$  is the smallest natural number in the set  $\{n \mid r_n < r_1, \text{ if } d_2 <^* d_1; \text{ and } r_1 < r_n \text{ if } d_1 <^* d_2\}$ . This set is not empty since the natural ordering on  $Q$  does not determine a first or a last element. Thus, if  $d_1 <^* d_2$ ,  $f(d_1) < f(d_2)$ ; and if  $d_2 <^* d_1$ ,  $f(d_2) < f(d_1)$ . Assume, now, that  $f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_{k-1})$ , where  $k \geq 3$ , have all been defined in  $Q$  so that  $f(d_i) < f(d_j)$ , if  $d_i <^* d_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k-1$ . Consider  $d_k$ . Since  $D$  is linearly ordered by  $<^*$ , the subset  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1}\}$  is also linearly ordered by  $<^*$ . Let  $d_{j_1} <^* d_{j_2} <^* \dots <^* d_{j_{k-1}}$  be the linear ordering on  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{k-1}\}$  induced by  $<^*$  on  $D$ . If  $d_k <^* d_{j_i}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ; then, since  $Q$  has no first element, there is a rational number  $r$  in  $(0, 1)$  such that  $r < f(d_{j_1}) < f(d_{j_2}) < \dots < f(d_{j_k})$ . Hence, if  $A = \{t \mid r_t < f(d_{j_1})\}$ , then  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $q$  be the smallest natural number in  $A$ . Define  $f(d_k) = r_q$ . Similarly, if  $d_{j_{k-1}} <^* d_k$ , then  $d_{j_i} <^* d_k$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ . Since  $Q$  has no last number,  $\{s \mid f(d_{j_{k-1}}) < r_s \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let  $m$  be the smallest natural number in this set. Define  $f(d_k) = r_m$ . Then  $f(d_{j_1}) < \dots < f(d_k)$ . Lastly, for some one

$i = 1, 2, \dots, k-2$ ; let  $d_{j_i} <^* d_k <^* d_{j_{i+1}}$ . Since between any two rationals lies a third rational,  $\{n \mid f(d_j) < r_n < f(d_{j_{i+1}})\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest natural number in this set. Define  $f(d_k) = r_p$ . Thus  $f$  has been defined by induction on all of  $D$  in such way that (1) if  $d_s <^* d_t$ , then  $f(d_s) < f(d_t)$ ; and (2) for every natural number  $s$ ,  $i_s$  (where  $f(d_{i_s}) = r_{i_s}$ ) is the smallest subscript in the given listing  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$ , such that  $f$  preserves order.

B. Next it is shown that  $f$  is onto.  $r_1$  is in the range of  $f$  since  $f(d_1) = r_1$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}$  be in the range of  $f$  for some natural number  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $\{m \mid \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subseteq \{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_m)\}\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $q$  be the smallest natural number in this set.  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subseteq \{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ . If  $r_k \in \{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$  then, of course,  $r_k$  is in the range of  $f$ . Assume, then, that  $r_k \notin \{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ . There are three cases to consider: (1)  $r_k < f(d_j)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ; (2)  $r_k > f(d_j)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ; and (3)  $f(d_i) < r_k < f(d_j)$  for some  $i, j$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ .

Case (1): Let  $r_k < f(d_j)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Since  $D$  has no first element,  $\{m \mid d_{q+m} <^* d_j \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, q\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $m^*$  be the smallest natural number in this set. Then  $d_{q+m^*} <^* d_j$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . If  $m^* = 1$ , then it is clear that  $f(d_{q+m^*}) = r_k$  and  $r_k$  is in the range of  $f$ . Assume  $m^* > 1$ . Then for each natural number  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t < m^*$ , there is at

least one natural number  $j$  such that  $d_j <^* d_{q+t}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq q$ .  
 By assumption,  $f(d_j) \neq r_k$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ . Furthermore,  
 $f(d_{q+t}) \neq r_k$  for  $1 \leq t < m^*$  since  $f$  preserves order. Now, the  
 domain of  $f$  is  $D$ ; hence,  $f(d_{q+m^*})$  is defined as a rational number.  
 Let  $f(d_{q+m^*}) = r_p$ . Since  $f$  is order-preserving,  $f$  is a one-one  
 function. Furthermore, by the definition of  $q$ ,  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\}$   
 $\subseteq \{f(d_1), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ . Hence,  $k-1 < p$ . If  $k < p$ , then the defi-  
 nition of  $f$  is contradicted. Hence,  $f(d_{q+m^*}) = r_k$  and so  $r_k$  is in  
 the range of  $f$ .

The proof of case (2) is exactly analogous but depends on  
 the fact that  $D$  has no last element instead of the fact that  $D$  has  
 no first element.

Case (3) : Let  $\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q\}$  and  $k$  be as defined in  
 the proof of case (1). Let  $f(d_i) < r_k < f(d_j)$  for some natural  
 numbers  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ . Let  $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_q\}$   
 denote the set  $\{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ , where  $\rho_1 < \rho_2 < \dots < \rho_q$ .  
 There is then a smallest natural number  $t^* \neq 1$  such that  $r_k < \rho_{t^*}$ .  
 Hence  $\rho_{t^*-1} < r_k < \rho_{t^*}$ . By hypothesis,  $\{n \mid f^{-1}(\rho_{t^*-1}) <^* d_n <^*$   
 $f^{-1}(\rho_{t^*})\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $n^*$  be the smallest natural number in this set.  
 Since  $r_k \notin \{f(d_1), f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ , if  $j \leq q$ , then  $f(d_j) \neq r_k$ .  
 Furthermore, by the definition of  $n^*$ , if  $q < j < n^*$ ,  $f(d_j) \neq r_k$   
 since  $f$  preserves order. Now  $f(d_{n^*})$  is defined since the domain  
 of  $f$  is  $D$ . Let  $f(d_{n^*}) = r_p$ . Since  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\} \subseteq \{f(d_1),$   
 $f(d_2), \dots, f(d_q)\}$ ,  $p \geq k$ . If  $p > k$ , then the definition of  $f$  is



again contradicted as it was in the proof of case (1). Thus, if  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}$  are in the range of  $f$ ,  $r_k$  is also. Hence the range of  $f$  is  $Q$ . Thus a one-one order preserving function from  $D$  onto  $Q$  has been established. #

In any linearly ordered set  $(L, <)$ , cuts are defined: A cut is an order pair  $(A, B)$  of subsets of  $L$  such that (1)  $L = A \cup B$ , (2)  $A \neq \emptyset \neq B$ , and (3) for any  $x$  in  $A$  and any  $y$  in  $B$ ,  $x < y$ . There are three types of cuts. A cut  $(A, B)$  is jump if  $A$  has a last element and  $B$  has a first element. A cut is called a gap if  $A$  has no last element and  $B$  has no first element, A cut is called a filled cut if  $A$  has a last element and  $B$  has no first element, or  $A$  has no last element and  $B$  has a first element. A linearly ordered set  $(L, <)$  with no jumps is called order-dense in itself, and a linearly ordered set with neither jumps nor gaps is called order-connected. The following lemma follows immediately from the definition.

3.9 Lemma. A linearly ordered set  $(L, <)$  is order-dense in itself if and only if for each two elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $L$ , there exists at least one element  $\gamma$  in  $L$  such that  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ . #

Let  $(L, <)$  be a linearly ordered set and let  $D$  be a subset of  $L$ .  $D$  is called order-dense in  $(L, <)$  if for each two elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $L$ , there exists at least one element  $\delta$  in  $D$  such that  $\alpha < \delta < \beta$ .

3.10 Theorem. Let  $(L, <)$  be a linearly ordered set and let  $0 - \mathcal{T}$  be the order topology for  $L$ . If  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$  is a connected space, then  $(L, <)$  is order-connected.

Proof. Let  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$  be connected and let  $(A, B)$  be a cut in  $(L, <)$ . Assume first  $(A, B)$  is a gap. By the definition of the order topology,  $\{x \mid x < z \text{ for } z \text{ in } L\}$  is open in  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$ . Let  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $A$  has no last element, then there exists a  $z$  in  $A$  such that  $\alpha < z$ . Hence, every point of  $A$  is an interior point of  $A$  and  $A$  is open. A similar procedure establishes that  $B$  is open. Hence  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$  is not connected. This is a contradiction, so  $L$  has no gap. Assume next that  $(A, B)$  is a jump. Let  $\gamma$  be the last point in  $A$  and  $\beta$  be the first point in  $B$ . By the definition of the order topology,  $\{x \mid x < \beta\}$  and  $\{x \mid \alpha < x\}$  are both open in  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$ . Since  $A = \{x \mid x < \beta\}$  and  $B = \{x \mid \alpha < x\}$ ,  $(L, 0 - \mathcal{T})$  is not connected. This is a contradiction; hence,  $(L, <)$  has no jumps. #

3.11 Theorem. Any compact, connected, metrizable space  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  with exactly two non-cut points is an order-connected set relative to the ordering which determines the topology. Furthermore, there exists a denumerable subset  $D$  which is order-dense in  $S$ .

Proof. By lemma 3.5,  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is an  $E(p, q)$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are the two non-cut points. Hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is the order topology defined from the linear order relation  $<^*$ . By lemma 3.10,  $(S, <^*)$  is

order-connected. Furthermore, by theorem 2.48 and 2.49,  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is separable. Hence,  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  has a countable subset  $D$  which is dense in the space  $(S, \mathcal{T})$ . Since  $(S, <^*)$  is order-connected, there are no jumps. Hence, by the definition of the order topology, between any two distinct points of  $S$  lies a point of  $D$ .  $D$  is then order-dense in  $(S, <^*)$ . #

3.12 Theorem. If  $(L, <^*)$  is a non-empty linearly ordered set with the following properties : (1)  $<^*$  determines no first and no last element on  $L$ , (2)  $(L, <^*)$  is order-connected, and (3) there exists a countable subset  $D$  which is order-dense in  $(L, <^*)$ ; then  $(L, <^*)$  is order-isomorphic to  $\{x \mid x \text{ is a real and } 0 < x < 1\}$ , i.e., to  $(0, 1)$ , with the usual ordering.

Proof. A. First a function  $f^*$  from  $(L, <^*)$  into  $(0, 1)$  is defined. By lemma 3.8, there is a one-one, order-preserving function  $f$  from the order-dense subset  $(D, <^*)$  of  $(L, <^*)$  onto the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers in  $(0, 1)$ , with the usual ordering. (Note:  $<^*$  will be used ambiguously to denote the given order relation on  $L$  and also its restriction to  $D$ .) Thus, for every element  $d$  in  $D$ ,  $f(d)$  is a unique rational number in  $(0, 1)$ . Now, let  $\alpha \in L - D$ , let  $B'_\alpha = \{y \text{ in } L \mid \alpha \leq^* y\}$ . Let  $H^\alpha = \{t \text{ in } (0, 1) \mid r \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D) \text{ implies } t < r\}$  and let  $M^\alpha = (0, 1) - H^\alpha$ . Since  $f$  is order-preserving and  $D$  is order-dense,  $H^\alpha \neq \emptyset \neq M^\alpha$ . Now, let  $t_1 \in H^\alpha$  and  $t_2 \in M^\alpha$ . Since  $t_2 \notin H^\alpha$ , there exists  $r^*$  such that  $r^* \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$  and  $r^* \leq t_2$ . Since  $t_1 \in H^\alpha$ ,  $t_1 < r^*$  and hence  $t_1 < t_2$ . Also for any  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$ ,

$t \in H^\alpha$  or  $t \in M^\alpha$  and so  $(H^\alpha, M^\alpha)$  is a cut in  $(0, 1)$ . Since  $(0, 1)$  is order-connected,  $H^\alpha$  has a last element or  $M^\alpha$  has a first element. Now, let  $m$  be any element in  $M^\alpha$ . By the definition of  $M^\alpha$ , there exists an  $r$  such that  $r \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$  and  $r \leq m$ . Consider  $f^{-1}(r)$  in  $B'_\alpha \cap D$ . By the definition of  $B'_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha <^* f^{-1}(r)$ . Since  $D$  is order-dense in  $(L, <^*)$ , there exists a  $d$  in  $D$  such that  $\alpha <^* d <^* f^{-1}(r)$ . By the definition of  $B'_\alpha$ ,  $d \in B'_\alpha \cap D$ . Hence  $f(d) \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$  and  $f(d) < r$ , by the definition of  $f$ . This means that  $f(d) < m$ . Since  $f(d) \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$ ,  $f(d) \notin H^\alpha$ . Hence,  $f(d) \in M^\alpha$  and  $m$  is not the first element of  $M^\alpha$ . Thus  $H^\alpha$  has a last element  $\beta$  and  $H^\alpha = \{t \text{ in } (0, 1) \mid t \leq \beta\}$ . Define  $f^*(\alpha) = \beta$  and for  $d$  in  $D$  let  $f^*(d) = f(d)$ .

B. Secondly, it is shown that  $f^*$  is order-preserving, and hence it is one-one. First, let  $d \in D$  and let  $\alpha \in L - D$  be such that  $\alpha <^* d$ .  $d \in B'_\alpha \cap D$  by the above definition of  $B'_\alpha$ . Hence  $f(d) \in f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$ , and so  $f(d) \in M^\alpha$  which was defined above in part A. Now by definition of  $f^*$ ,  $f^*(\alpha)$  is the last element of  $H^\alpha$ . Hence,  $f^*(\alpha) < f(d) = f^*(d)$ . Similarly, if  $d \in D$ ,  $\alpha \in L - D$  and  $d <^* \alpha$ , then  $f(d) < r$  for all  $r$  in  $f(B'_\alpha \cap D)$  by definition of  $B'_\alpha$  and  $f$ . Hence,  $f(d) \in H^\alpha$ , by definition of  $H^\alpha$ . Since  $f^*(\alpha)$  is the last element in  $H^\alpha$ ,  $f^*(d) = f(d) < f^*(\alpha)$ . Lastly, let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be both in  $L - D$  and let  $\alpha_1 <^* \alpha_2$ . Since  $D$  is order-dense in  $(L, <^*)$ , there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $\alpha_1 <^* d <^* \alpha_2$ . Thus, by what was just proved above,  $f^*(\alpha_1) < f^*(d) < f^*(\alpha_2)$ . Therefore,



$f^*(\alpha_1) < f^*(\alpha_2)$  and  $f^*$  is order-preserving and hence it is one-one.

C. Lastly, it is shown that  $f^*$  is onto. Let  $t^*$  be any real number in  $(0, 1)$ . If  $t^*$  is rational, then  $t^*$  is in the range of  $f$  and hence in the range of  $f^*$ . If  $t^*$  is irrational, let  $A_{t^*} = \{x \text{ in } (0, 1) \mid x \leq t^*\}$  and let  $B_{t^*} = \{x \text{ in } (0, 1) \mid t^* < x\}$ . Then  $Q = (A_{t^*} \cap Q) \cup (B_{t^*} \cap Q)$ , where  $Q$  is the set of rationals in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence  $D = f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q) \cup f^{-1}(B_{t^*} \cap Q)$  in  $L$ . Let  $G_Y = \{y \text{ in } L \mid d \in f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q) \text{ implies } d <^* y\}$  and let  $F_Y = L - G_Y$ . Since  $D$  is order-dense and  $f$  is order-preserving,  $F_Y \neq \emptyset \neq G_Y$ . If  $y_1 \in F_Y$ , then  $y_1 \notin G_Y$ . Hence, there exists a  $d$  in  $D$  such that  $d \in f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q)$  and  $y_1 \leq^* d$ . Now, let  $y_2 \in G_Y$ . By the definition of  $G_Y$ ,  $d <^* y_2$ . Hence  $y_1 <^* y_2$ , and  $(F_Y, G_Y)$  is a cut in  $(L, <^*)$ . Since  $(L, <^*)$  is order-connected,  $F_Y$  has a last element, or  $G_Y$  has a first element but not both. Denote this element by  $\gamma$ . Assume first that  $f^*(\gamma) < t^*$ . Since  $Q$  is order-dense in  $(0, 1)$ , there exists an  $r$  in  $Q$  such that  $f^*(\gamma) < r < t^*$ . Hence  $r \in A_{t^*} \cap Q$  and  $f^{-1}(r) \in f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q)$  and  $\gamma <^* f^{-1}(r)$ . Therefore,  $\gamma \notin G_Y$ , and so  $\gamma \in F_Y$ . Furthermore, since  $f^{-1}(r) \in f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q)$ ,  $f^{-1}(r) \notin G_Y$  and so  $f^{-1}(r) \in F_Y$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  is not the last element of  $F_Y$ . This contradicts the definition of  $\gamma$ . Hence,  $t^* \leq f^*(\gamma)$ . Assume, next, that  $t^* < f^*(\gamma)$ . Again, since  $Q$  is order-dense in  $(0, 1)$ , there exists an  $r$  in  $Q$  such that  $t^* < r < f^*(\gamma)$ . This means that if  $d \in f^{-1}(A_{t^*} \cap Q)$ ,  $f(d) \in A_{t^*}$ , and hence  $f(d) \leq t^*$ . Thus,  $f(d) < r < f^*(\gamma)$ , and so  $d <^* f^{-1}(r) <^* \gamma$ . This means that  $f^{-1}(r)$  and  $\gamma$  are

both in  $G_\gamma$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is in  $G_\gamma$  but is not the first element of  $G_\gamma$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $f^*(\gamma) = t^*$  and  $f^*$  is onto. #

**3.13 Theorem.** Any compact, connected metrizable space  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  with exactly two non-cut points is an arc.

Proof. By lemma 3.4 and 3.5,  $S$  is  $E(p, q)$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are the two non-cut points. The natural linear ordering  $<^*$  on  $E(p, q)$  determines the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $S$  by theorem 3.7, and determines  $p$  as the first element and  $q$  as the last element. Furthermore, by theorem 3.11,  $(S, <^*)$  is order-connected and there exists a countable order-dense subset  $D$  in  $(S, <^*)$ . Now, let  $x \in S$  and  $x \neq p$ . Since  $D$  is order-dense  $(S, <^*)$ , there exists  $d \in D$  such that  $p <^* d <^* x$ . Hence  $S - \{p\} = E(p, q) - \{p\}$  has no first element. Similarly  $S - \{q\} = E(p, q) - \{q\}$  has no last element. Hence,  $S - \{p, q\}$  is linearly ordered by the restriction  $<^*$  to  $S - \{p, q\}$  and  $<^*$  determines no first element and no last element on  $S - \{p, q\}$ . Let  $L = S - \{p, q\}$ . Then  $(L, <^*)$  is a linearly ordered set with no first and no last element. Furthermore,  $D \cap L$  is denumerable and order-dense in  $(L, <^*)$  since  $D$  is order-dense in  $(S, <^*)$ . Also,  $(L, <^*)$  is order-connected because any jump or gap in  $(L, <^*)$  would yield a jump or gap in  $(S, <^*)$  which is impossible. Thus,  $(L, <^*)$  is a linearly ordered, order-connected set with no first element, no last element and with a denumerable order-dense subset. Hence, by theorem 3.12,  $(L, <^*)$  is order-isomorphic to the open interval  $(0, 1)$  with the usual ordering. Let  $h$  be a

one-one function from  $(L, <^*)$  onto  $(0, 1)$  which preserves order.

Define  $h^* : (S, <^*) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} [0, 1]$  as follows :

$h^*(p) = 0$ ,  $h^*(q) = 1$  and  $h^*(x) = h(x)$  for  $p \neq x \neq q$ . By definition of the ordering on  $(S, <^*)$  and  $[0, 1]$ ,  $h^*$  is an order-isomorphism from  $(S, <^*)$  onto  $[0, 1]$ . By definition of the order topology,  $h^*$  is a homeomorphism from  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  onto  $[0, 1]$ , and  $(S, \mathcal{T})$  is, then, an arc. #