DYNAMIC SIMULATION ON DEPROPANIZER COLUMN USING ADVANCED CONTROL SYSTEM

Tharnuttarapamorn Sirithanonechai

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole
2006

ISBN 974-9937-60-0

Thesis Title:

Dynamic Simulation on Depropanizer Column using

Advanced Control System

By:

Tharnuttarapamorn Sirithanonechai

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond

Prof. Pierre Boucot

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantaya Yanumet College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

Wetipat Siemanond

(Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond)

(Prof. Pierre Boucot)

(Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

Kamoch R

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

ABSTRACT

4771005063: Petrochemical Technology Program

Tharnuttarapamorn Sirithanonechai: Dynamic Simulation on

Depropanizer Column using Advanced Control System.

Thesis Advisors: Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond and Prof. Pierre

Boucot 164 pp. ISBN 974-9937-60-0

Keywords:

Distillation control/ Model predictive control/Dynamic matrix

control

Model predictive control (MPC) is widely used today in industrial plants. Dynamic matrix control (DMC), one kind of MPC, was applied to the dynamic simulation of depropanizer column by using Digital Visual Fortran programming language. The purpose of this research is to develop a dynamic model of a distillation column with advanced control system, like DMC and cascade control. The result of DMC was compared to the cascade control. The results of a two-point cascade control on temperature of depropanizer with disturbance of changes in feed composition, feed flow rate, and steam flow rate between DMC and cascade control were compared by using the integral absolute error (IAE). DMC gives lower IAE. Moreover, response curves of temperature with DMC give low oscillation and reach steady state faster than cascade control.

บทคัดย่อ

ฐาณัฑรภมร สิริธนันต์ชัย: การลอกเลียนแบบจลน์ศาสตร์การควบคุมโดยใช้ระบบ ควบคุมแบบจำลองคาดการณ์ล่วงหน้า (Dynamic Simulation on Depropanizer Column using Advanced Control System) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : ผศ.คร. กิติพัฒน์ สีมานนท์ และ ศ.ปีแอร์ โบ โก้ 164 หน้า ISBN 974-9937-60-0

ระบบควบคุมแบบจำลองคาดการณ์ล่วงหน้า (MPC) มีการใช้อย่างแพร่หลายในปัจจุบัน ในโรงงานอุตสหกรรม ระบบควบคุมแบบไดนามิกส์ เมตริกซ์ (DMC), ระบบควบคุมแบบจำลอง คาดการณ์ล่วงหน้าชนิดหนึ่ง, ถูกใช้ในระบบลอกเลียนแบบจลน์ศาสตร์ของหอกลั่นแยกโพรเพน โดยใช้โปรแกรมคอมพิวเตอร์ภาษา วิชวล ฟอร์แทรน วัตถุประสงค์ของการวิจัยนี้เพื่อพัฒนา แบบจำลองทางจลน์ศาสตร์ของระบบควบคุมหอกลั่นแยก, เช่น ระบบควบคุมแบบไดนามิกส์ เมตริกซ์ถูก เปรียบเทียบกับระบบควบคุมแบบขั้นน้ำตก ผลลัพธ์ของระบบควบคุมแบบไดนามิกส์ เมตริกซ์ถูก เปรียบเทียบกับระบบควบคุมแบบขั้นน้ำตก ผลลัพธ์ของระบบควบคุมแบบขั้นน้ำตกสองคำแหน่ง อุณหภูมิของหอกลั่นแยกโพรเพนจากการรบกวนระบบค้วยการเปลี่ยนองค์ประกอบในสายป้อน, อัตรสการไหลเข้า, และอัตราการไหลของไอน้ำ ระหว่างระบบควบคุมแบบไดนามิกส์ เมตริกซ์ และระบบควบคุมแบบขั้นน้ำตก ถูกเปรียบเทียบโดยปฏิญานุพันธ์ของค่าสัมบูรณ์ของข้อผิดพลาด (IAE). ระบบควบคุมแบบขั้นน้ำตก นอกจากนี้, เส้นโค้งของการตอบสนองของอุณหภูมิจากระบบ ควบคุมแบบไดนามิกส์ เมตริกซ์ให้การสั่นที่น้อยกว่าและถึงจุดคงที่เร็วกว่าระบบควบคุมแบบขั้น น้ำตก

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful for the partial scholarship and partial funding of the thesis work provided by Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology (PPT Consortium).

Including, Prof. Pierre Boucot and Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, my thesis advisors, for their valuable guidance, and encouragement throughout the thesis work.

My gratitude is extended to all both Thai and Foreign Professors and all PPC staff for contributed knowledge, assistance and cooperation.

I would like to give special thanks for all lovely friends for their friendship, helping, and everything.

Finally, my deepest appreciate to my family and lover for reinforcement when I have a weakness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
Tit	le Page	i
Ab	stract (in English)	iii
Ab	stract (in Thai)	iv
Ac	knowledgements	v
Tal	ble of Contents	vi
Lis	t of Tables	viii
Lis	t of Figures	ix
CHAPT	ER	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
п	LITERARURE REVIEW	3
m	MATHEMATICAL MODELING	7.
	3.1 Model Structure of the Process	7
	3.1.1 Gravitational Flow Tank	7
	3.1.2 Plug-flow Reactor and Continuous Stirred	
	Tank Reactor_(CSTR)	9
	3.1.3 Binary Distillation Column	10
	3.2 Model of the Control System	14
	3.2.1 Control System	14
	3.2.2 Controller Tuning	21
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23
	4.1 Model Validation	23
	4.1.1 Gravitational Flow Tank with Control System	23
	4.1.2 Plug-flow Plus CSTR and Control System	35
	4.1.3 Binary Distillation Model without Control System	42
	4.1.4 Distillation Column with PID Cascade Control	45

CHAPT	ER	PAGE
	4.1.5 Distillation Column with Dynamic Matrix	55
	Control (DMC)	
v	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
<i>(</i> ±	REFERENCES	68
	APPENDICES	70
	Appendix A Calculation Algorithm Method of Tray	
	(IFP's Method)	70
	Appendix B Calculation Temperature from Bubble Point	
	Equation	71
	Appendix C Response Curves of Tuning Parameter	72
	Appendix D Liquid and Vapor Enthalpy	76
	Appendix E Numerical Method Of Depropanizer Column	79
	Appendix F Numerical Methods	81
	Appendix G Response Curves of Step Response for DMC	83
	Appendix H Source Code of Gravitational Flow Tank	85
	Appendix I Source Code of Plug-flow and CSTR	92
	Appendix J Source Code of Depropanizer Column	96
	Appendix K Compared Result of Depropanizer Column	
	between results of ProII Program and Fortran	
	Program	159
	CURRICULUM VITAE	164

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGI
3.1	Gravitational flow tank data	8
3.2	Tuning parameters for Ziegler Nichols tuning method:	
	closed loop (Process Dynamics, Modeling, and Control,	
	William L. Luyben)	22
4.1	IAE value of liquid level of gravitational flow tank	35
4.2	IAE value of liquid level of plug-flow plus CSTR	42
4.3	Vapor and liquid composition from Fortran program at	
	steady state condition	44
4.4	Tuning parameters for cascade control of depropanizer	
	column	46
4.5	Tuning parameters for DMC of depropanizer column	56
4.6	IAE value of temperature of trays no.6 and no.25 with	
	disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to	
	0.3:0.7 (propane:butane)	59
4.7	IAE value of temperature of tray no.6 and no.25 with	
	disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.01 (kg-	
	mol/minute)	61
4.8	IAE value of temperature of tray no.6 and no.25 with	
	disturbance of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to	
	323.1(K)	64

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGU	RE	PAGE
3.1	Gravitational flow tank	7
3.2	Plug-flow reactor and CSTR	9
3.3	Conventional depropanizer column	11
3.4	Block diagram of PID feedback control	15
3.5	Block diagram of PID feedforward control	15
3.6	Gravitational flow tank with cascade control	16
3.7	Block diagram of cascade control	16
3.8	Gravitational flow tank with dynamic matrix control	17
3.9	Block diagram of DMC	17
3.10	Unit step-response function	18
3.11	Dynamic response of variables for DMC control	20
3.12	Oscillation with a constant amplitude	21
4.1	Response curve of the controlled variable, height, at steady-	
	state of gravitational flow tank	23
4.2	Gravitational flow tank with feedback control	24
4.3	Response curve of controlled variable, height, of feedback	
	control of gravitational flow tank with the disturbance of	
	input volumetric flowrate per tank area changing from 0.311	
	to 0.35 m/s (Tuning parameters: $K_C = 0.01158 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_I = 22 \text{ s}$,	
	and $\tau_D = 5.5 \text{ s}$	25
4.4	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area (F/At), of feedback control of	
	gravitational flow tank with the disturbance of input	
	volumetric flowrate per tank area changing from 0.311 to	
	0.35 m/s (Tuning parameters: $K_C = 0.01158~1/s^2,~\tau_I = 22~s,$	
	and $\tau_D = 5.5 \text{ s}$	25

IGU	URE .	PAGE
4.5	Response curve of controlled variable, height, of feedback control on gravitational flow tank after setpoint of height	
	changing from 4.6546 to 4.7 ft (Tuning parameters: K_C =	
2025	0.01158 1/s^2 , $\tau_I = 22 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 5.5 \text{ s}$	26
4.6	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area (F/At), of feedback control on	
	gravitational flow tank after setpoint of height changing from	
	4.6546 to 4.7 ft (Tuning parameters: $K_C = 0.01158 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_I =$	
	22 s, and $\tau_D = 5.5$ s)	26
4.7	Gravitational flow tank with feedforwardcontrol	27
4.8	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area (F/At), of feedforward control on	
	gravitational flow tank after flowrate in per tank area	
	changing from 0.311 to 0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: K_C =	
	1.2 1/s^2 , $\tau_I = 1 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 0.25 \text{ s}$)	28
4.9	Response curve of height of liquid level in tank of	
	feedforwardcontrol on gravitational flow tank after the	
	disturbance of input volumetric flowrate per tank area	
	changing from 0.311 to 0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: K _C =	
	1.2 $1/s^2$, $\tau_I = 1$ s, and $\tau_D = 0.25$ s)	28
1.10	Response curve of height of liquid level in tank of	
	feedforward control on gravitational flow tank after setpoint	
	of input volumetric flowrate per tank area changing from	
	0.311 to 0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: $K_C = 1.2 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_I = 1 \text{ s}$,	
	and $\tau_D = 0.25 \text{ s}$)	29

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.11	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area (F/At), of feedforward control on	
	gravitational flow tank after setpoint of input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area changing from 0.311 to 0.35 ft/s	
	(Tuning parameters: $K_C^* = 1.2 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_I = 1 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 0.25 \text{ s}$)	29
4.12	Response curve of controlled variable, height of liquid in	
	tank, of cascade control of gravitational flow tank after the	
	disturbance, input volumetric flowrate per tank area,	
	changing from 0.311 to 0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: K_{C1} =	
	0.200034 1/s^2 , $\tau_{I1} = 1 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_{D1} = 0.25 \text{ s}$, $K_{C2} = 1.2 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_{I2} =$	
	1 s, and $\tau_{D2} = 0.25$ s)	30
4.13	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric	
	flowrate per tank area (F/At), of cascade control of	
	gravitational flow tank after the disturbance, input	
	volumetric flowrate per tank area, changing from 0.311 to	
	0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: $K_{C1} = 0.200034 1/s^2$, $\tau_{I1} = 1 s$,	
	and $\tau_{D1} = 0.25 \text{ s}$, $K_{C2} = 1.2 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_{12} = 1 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_{D2} = 0.25 \text{ s}$)	31
4.14	Response curve of controlled variable, height of liquid in	
	tank, of cascade control of gravitational flow tank after	
	setpoint of input volumetric flowrate per tank area changing	
	from 4.6546 to 4.7 ft (Tuning parameters: $K_{C1} = 0.200034$	
	$1/s^2$, $\tau_{11} = 1$ s, and $\tau_{D1} = 0.25$ s, $K_{C2} = 1.2$ $1/s^2$, $\tau_{12} = 1$ s, and	
	$\tau_{D2} = 0.25 \text{ s}$	31

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.15	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric flowrate per tank area (F/At), of cascade control of gravitational flow tank after setpoint of input volumetric flowrate per tank area, changing from 4.6546 to 4.7 ft (Tuning parameters: $K_{CI} = 0.200034 \text{ 1/s}^2$, $\tau_{II} = 1 \text{ s}$, and τ_{DI}	
4.16	=0.25 s, K_{C2} =1.2 1/s ² , τ_{I2} = 1 s, and τ_{D2} = 0.25 s) Response curve of controlled variable, height, of dynamic matrix control of gravitational flow tank with the disturbance	32
	of input volumetric flowrate per tank area changing from 0.311 to 0.35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: f=20, NC=40, P=80)	33
4.17	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric flowrate per tank area (F/At), of dynamic matrix control of gravitational flow tank with the disturbance of input volumetric flowrate per tank area changing from 0.311 to 0.	
4.18	35 ft/s (Tuning parameters: f=20, NC=40, NP=80) Response curve of controlled variable, height, of dynamic matrix control of gravitational flow tank with setpoint of	33
	height changing from 4.6546 to 4.7ft (Tuning parameters: f=20, NC=40, NP=80)	34
4.19	Response curve of manipulated variable, input volumetric flowrate per tank area (F/At), of dynamic matrix control of gravitational flow tank with the setpoint of height changing	
	from 4.6546 to 4.7 ft (Tuning parameters: f=20, NC=40, NP=80)	2.4
4.20	Plug-flow reactor plus CSTR with feedback control	34 36

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.21	Response curve of feedback control on plug-flow plus CSTR of concentration (controlled variable) with the disturbance of flowrate changing from 4 to 6 kmol/s (Tuning parameters:	
4.00	$K_C = 0.06312 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$, $\tau_I = 31 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 7.75 \text{ s}$)	36
4.22	Response curve of feedback control on plug-flow plus CSTR of flowrate (manipulated variable) with the disturbance of flowrate changing from 4 to 6 kmol/s (Tuning parameters:	•
4.23	$K_C = 0.06312 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$, $\tau_I = 31 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 7.75 \text{ s}$) Response curve of feedback control on plug-flow plus CSTR of concentration (controlled variable) with the disturbance of setpoint changing from 96.1337 to 18 kmol/m3 (Tuning	37
4.24	parameters: $K_C = 0.06312 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$, $\tau_I = 31 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 7.75 \text{ s}$) Response curve of feedback control on plug-flow plus CSTR of flowrate (manipulated variable) with the disturbance of setpoint changing from 96.1337 to 18 kmol/m3 (Tuning	37
	parameters: $K_C = 0.06312 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$, $\tau_I = 31 \text{ s}$, and $\tau_D = 7.75 \text{ s}$)	38
4.25 4.26	Plug-flow reactor plus CSTR with dynamic matrix control Response curve of DMC on plug-flow plus CSTR of concentration (controlled variable) with disturbance of flowrate changing from 4 to 6 kmol/s (Tuning parameters: f=40, NC=4, NP=11)	39
4.27	Response curve of DMC on plug-flow plus CSTR of flowrate (manipulated variable) with disturbance of flowrate changing from 4 to 6 kmol/s (Tuning parameters: f=40, NC=4, NP=11)	40
	The state of the s	10

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.28	Response curve of DMC on plug-flow plus CSTR of concentration (controlled variable) with setpoint changing from 96.1337 to 18 kmol/m3 (Tuning parameters: f=40,	
	NC=4, NP=11)	40
4.29	Response curve of DMC on plug-flow plus CSTR of	
	flowrate (manipulated variable) with setpoint changing from	
	19.1337 to 18 kmol/m3 (Tuning parameters: f=40, NC=4,	
	NP=11)	41
4.30	Response curve of DMC on plug-flow plus CSTR of concentration (controlled variable) with disturbance of	
	flowrate changing from 4 to 6 kmol/s	42
4.31	Response curve of liquid and vapor composition from	
	Fortran program	43
4.32	Binary distillation column with cascade controller	45
4.33	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7	
	(propane:butane)	47
4.34	Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7	
	(propane:butane)	47
4.35	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7	
	(propane:butane)	48

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.36	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance	
4.37	of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7 (propane:butane)	48
4.57	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance	
4.38	of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute) Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of	49
	cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute)	49
4.39	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance	
	of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute)	50
4.40	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of two point cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with	
	disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-	
4.41	mol/minute) Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance	50
	of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	51
4.42	Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
	cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	51
4.43	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance	
	of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	52

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.44	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance	
4.45	of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K) Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance	52
4.46	of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-mol/minute) Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of	53
	cascade control of temperature of tray no.6 disturbance of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-mol/minute)	53
4.47	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance	33
	of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-mol/minute)	54
4.48	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of cascade control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-	
	mol/minute)	54
4.49 4.50	Binary distillation column with dynamic matrix control Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray	55
4.51	no.6 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7 (propane:butane) Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to	57
	0.3:0.7 (propane:butane)	57

FIGU	RE	PAGE
4.52	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray no.25 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7	
	(propane:butane)	58
4.53	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
	dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing feed composition from 0.5:0.5 to 0.3:0.7 (propane:butane)	58
4.54	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray	
	no.6 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing	
	feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute)	59
4.55	Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
	dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.6 with	
	disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute)	60
4.56	Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray no.25 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-mol/minute)	60
4.57	Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.25 with disturbance of changing feed flowrate from 0.09 to 0.1 (kg-	
4.58	mol/minute) Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray no.6 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing	61
	setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	62
4.59	Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.6 with disturbance of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to	
	323.1 (K)	62

4.60 Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray no.25 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of	
changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	63
4.61 Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.25 with	
disturbance of changing setpoint of tray no.6 from 321.1 to 323.1 (K)	63
4.62 Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray	
no.6 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of changing	
steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-mol/minute)	64
4.63 Response curve of reflux flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.6 with	
disturbance of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02	
(kg-mol/minute)	65
4.64 Response curve of temperature (controlled variable) of tray	
no.25 of dynamic matrix control with disturbance of	
changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02 (kg-	
mol/minute)	65
4.65 Response curve of steam flowrate (manipulated variable) of	
dynamic matrix control of temperature of tray no.25 with	
disturbance of changing steam flowrate from 0.0309 to 0.02	
(kg-mol/minute)	66