REFERENCES

Altria, K.D., Bryant, S.M., and Hadgett, T.A. (1997) Validated capillary electrophoresis method for the analysis of a range of acidic drugs and excipients. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 15, 1091-1101.

Altria, K.D., Frake, P., Gill, I., Hadgett, T., Kelly, M.A., and Rudd, D.R. (1995) Validated capillary electrophoresis method for the assay of a range of basic drugs. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 13, 951-957.

Astarita, G. et.al. (1983) Gas Treating with Chemical Solvents. New York: John Wiley.

Audeh, C.A., Lindsay Smith, J.R. (1970) Amine oxidation. part II. the oxidation of some trialkylamines with alkaline potassium hexacyanoferrate (III). Journal of Chemical Society B, 1280-1285.

Berkowitz, N. (1994) An Introduction to Coal Technology, 2nd ed. San Diego: Academic Press.

Bello, A. and Idem, R.O. (2005) Pathways for the formation of products of the oxidative degradation of CO_2-loaded concentrated aqueous monoethanolamine solutions during CO_2 absorption from flue gases. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 44(4), 945-969.

Bello, A. and Idem, R.O. (2006) Comprehensive study of the kinetics of the oxidative degradation of CO_2 loaded and concentrated aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) with and without sodium metavanadate during CO_2 absorption from flue gases. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2569-2579.

Berlie, E. M., Estep, J.W., and Ronicker, F.J. (1965) Preventing MEA Degradation. Chemical Engineering Progress, 61(4), 82-85.

Blanc, C, Grall, M., and, Demarais, G. (1982) The part played by degradation products in the corrosion of gas sweetening plants using DEA and MDEA. Paper presented at The 32nd Annual Laurance Reid Gas conditioning Conference, Oklahoma University, Oklahoma, USA.

Brydia, L. E and Persinger, H.E. (1967) Quantitative gas chromatographic determination of ethanolamines as trifluoroacetyl derivatives. Analytical Chemistry, 39(11), 1318-1320.

Chakma, A, Mehrotra, A.K., and Nielson, B. (1995) Comparison of chemical solvents for mitigating CO_2 emissions from fired power plants. Heat Recovery Systems & CHP, 15(2), 231-240.

Chakma, A. and Tontiwachwuthikul, P. (1999) Designer solvents for energy efficient CO_2 separation from flue gas streams. Greenhouse gas technologies, 1st Ed., 35-42.

Chi, S. and Rochelle, G.T. (2002) Oxidative degradation of monoethanolamine. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 41(17), 4178-4186.

Danckwerts, P.V. and McNeil, K.M. (1967) The absorption of carbon dioxide into aqueous amine solutions and the effects of catalysis. Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng, 45 T32.

Davison, J. (2007) Performance and costs of power plants with capture and storage of CO_2. Energy, 32, 1163-1176.

Dawodu, O.F. and Meisen, A. (1991) Identification of products resulting from carbonyl-sulphide induced degradation of diethanolamine. Journal of Chromatography A, 587, 237-246.

Dawodu, O. F. and Meisen, A. (1993) Gas chromatographic analysis of alkanolamine solutions using capillary and packed columns. Journal of Chromatography A, 629, 297-307.

Dawodu, O. F. and Meisen, A. (1994) Mechanism and kinetics of COS-induced diethanolamine degradation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 33, 480-487.

Dawodu, O. F. and Meisen, A. (1996) Degradation of aqueous diethanolamine solutions by carbon Disulfide. Gas Separation Purification, 10(1), 1-11.

Drake, F. (2000) Global Warming the Science of Climate Change. London: Arnold.

Goff, G. S. and Rochelle, G.T. (2004) Monoethanolamine degradation: O_2 mass transfer effects under CO_2 capture conditions. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 43(20), 6400-6408.

Goff, G.S. and Rochelle, G.T. (2006) Oxidation Inhibitors for Copper and Iron Catalyzed Monoethanolamine in CO_2 Capture Processes. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2513-2521.

Gregory, L. B. and Scharmann, W.G. (1937). Carbon dioxide scrubbing by amine solutions. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 29(5), 514-519.

Gupta, M., Coyle, I., and Thamibuthu, K. (2003, September) CO_2 capture technologies and opportunities in canada: strawman document for CO_2 capture and storage (CC&S) technology roadmap. Paper presented at 1st Canadian CC&S Technology Roadmap Workshop, Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

Hendricks, C. (1994) Carbon Dioxide Removal from Coal-Fired Power Plants. Dordrecht: KluwerAcademic.

Hofmeyer, B.G, Scholten, H.G., and Lloyd, W.G. (1965) Contamination and corrosion in monoethanolamine gas treating solutions. Internal Report No. 722, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland.

Howard, M and Sargent, A. (2001) Operating experiences at duke energy field services wilcox plant with oxygen contamination and amine degradation. Paper presented at The 51st Annual Laurance Reid Gas conditioning Conference, Oklahoma University, Oklahoma, USA.

Hull, L.A., Davis, G.T., and Rosenblatt, D.H. (1969) Óxidation of amine. IX. Correlation of rate constants for reversible one-electron transfer in amine oxidation with reactant potentials. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 91, 6247-6250.

IEA Coal Research. (2001) Potential for Economic Greenhouse Gas Reduction in Coal-Fired Power Generation. London, UK.

Idem, R., Willson, M., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Chakma, A., Veawab, A., Aroonwilas, A., and Gelowitz, D. (2006) Pilot plant studies of the CO_2 capture performance of aqueous MEA and mixed MEA/MDEA solvents at the University of Regina CO_2 capture technology development plant and the boundary dam CO_2 capture demonstration plant. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2414-2420.

Kadnar, R. (1999) Determination of amines used in the oil and gas industry (upstream section) by ion chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 850, 289-295.

Kadnar, R. and Rieder, J. (1995) Determination of anion in amine solutions for sour gas treatment. Journal of Chromatography A, 706, 339-343.

Kaminski, M., Jastrzebski, D., Przyjazny, A., and Kartanowicz, R. (2002) Determination of the amount of wash amines and ammonium ion in desulfurization products of process gases and results of related studies. Journal of Chromatography A, 947, 217-225.

Kennard, M. L. and Meisen, A. (1983) Gas Chromatographic technique for analysing partially degraded diethanolamine solutions. Journal of Chromatography A. 267, 373-380.

Kohl, A. L. and Reisenfeld, F.C. (1985) Gas Purification, 4th ed. Texas: Gulf Publishing.

Lawal, A.O., Bello, A., and Idem, R.O. (2005) The role of methyl diethanolamine (MDEA) in preventing the oxidative degradation of CO_2-loaded and concentrated aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA)-MDEA blend during CO_2 absorption from flue gases. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 44(6), 1874-1896.

Lawal, A.O. and Idem, R.O. (2006) Kinetics of the oxidative degradation of CO_2 loaded and concentrated aqueous MEA-MDEA blends during CO_2 absorption from flue gas streams. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2601-2607.

Lloyd, W. G. and Taylor, F.C. (1954) Corrosion by deterioration of glycol and glycol-amine. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry, 46(11), 2407-2416 (1954).

Levenspiel, O. (1999) Chemical Reaction Engineering. New York: Wiley.

McKnight, J.E. (1988) Air exclusion key to gathering-system upkeep. Oil & Gas Journal, Feb, 41-42.

Pearce, R. L., Arnold, J. L., and Hall, C. K. (1961) Studies show carbonyl sulfide problem. Hydrocarbon Processing & Petroleum Refineries, 40(8), 121-126.

Pereira, E.A. and Tavares, M.F.M. (2004) Determination of Volatile Corrosion Inhibitors by Capillary Electrophoresis. Journal of Chromatography A, 1051, 303-308.

Piekos, R., Kobylczyk, K., and Grzybowski, J. (1975) Quantitative gas chromatographic determination of ethanolamines as trimethylsilyl derivatives. Analytical Chemistry, 47(7), 1157-1159.

Ramachandran, N., Aboudheir, A., Idem, R., and Tontiwachwuthikul, P. (2006) Kinetics of absorption of CO_2 into mixed aqueous solutions of monoethanolamine and methyldiethanolamine. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2608-2616.

Rochelle, G.T., Goff, G., Cullinane, T., and Freguia, S. (2002). Research results for CO_2 capture from flue gas by aqueous absorption/stripping. Paper presented at The 52nd Annual Laurance Reid Gas Conditioning Conference, Oklahoma University, Oklahoma, USA.

Rooney, P.C. and Daniels, D.D. (1998) Oxygen solubility in various alkanolamine/water mixtures. Pertroleum Technolgy Quarterly, 3(1), 97-101.

Rooney, P.C. and Dupart, M.S. (2000) Corrosion in alkanolamine plants: causes and minimization. Paper presented at Corrosion 2000: NACE International Annual Conference and Exposition, Orlando, USA.

Rooney, P. C., Bacon, T.R., and Dupart, M.S. (1996). Effect of heat stable salts on MDEA solution corrosivity. Hydrocarbon Processing (International Edition), 75(March), 95-6+.

Rooney, P. C., Dupart, M.S., and Bacon, T.R. (1997) Part 2: effect of heat stable salts on MDEA solution corrosivity. Hydrocarbon Processing (International Edition), 76(April), 65-8+.

Rooney, P.C., Dupart, M.S., and Bacon, T.R. (1998) Oxygen's role in alkanolamine degradation. Hydrocarbon Processing (International Edition), 77(7), 109-113.

Saha, N. C., Jain, S.K., and Dua, R.K. (1977) A rapid powerful method for the direct gas chromatographic analysis of alkanolamines; application to ethanolamines. Chromatographia, 10(7), 368-371.

Smit, C.J., Van Heeringen, G.J., and Van Grinsven, P.F.A. (2002) Degradation of amine solvents and the relation with operational problems. The 52nd Annual Laurance Reid Gas Conditioning Conference, Oklahoma University, Oklahoma, USA.

Smith, I.M. and Thambimuthu, K.V. (1993) Greenhouse gas emissions, abatement and control: the role of coal. Energy & Fuels, 7, 7-13.

Speight, J.G. (1994) The Chemistry and Technology of Coal, 2nd ed., Rev. and Expanded. New York: Marcel Dekker.

Stewart, E. J. and Lanning, R.A. (1994) Part1: reduce amine plant solvent losses Hydrocarbon Processing, May, 67+.

Strazisar, B.R., Anderson, R.R., and White, C.M. (2003) Degradation pathways for monoethanolamine in a CO_2 capture facility. Energy & Fuels, 17(4), 1034-1039.

Supap, T., Idem, R., Veawab, A., Aroonwilas, A., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Chakma, A., and Kybett, B.D. (2001) Kinetics of the oxidative degradation of aqueous monoethanolamine in flue gases treating unit. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 40(16), 3445-3450.

Talzi, V.P. (2004) NMR determination of the total composition of commercial absorbents based on monoethanolamine. Russian Journal of Applied Chemistry, 77(3), 430-434.

Talzi, V.P. and Ignashin, S.V. (2002) NMR study of decomposition of monoethanolamine under conditions of industrial gas treatment. Russian Journal of Applied Chemistry, 75(1), 80-85.

Uyanga, I. J., Idem, R.O. (2007). Studies of SO_2- and O_2-induced degradation of aqueous MEA during CO_2 capture from power plant flue gas streams. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 46(8), 2558-2566.

Veawab, A. full list of authors. (2003). Performance and cost analysis for CO_2 capture from flue gas streams: absorption and regeneration aspects. Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies, 1, 127–132.

Veldman, R.R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Aroonwilas, A., and Chakma, A (2000) Alkanolamine solution corrosion mechanisms and inhibition for heat stable

salts and CO_2. Paper presented at <u>Corrosion 2000: NACE International Annual Conference and Exposition</u>, Orlando, USA.

Zhang, X., Zhang, C.F., and Liu, Y. (2002) Kinetics of absorption of CO_2 into aqueous solution of MDEA blended with DEA. <u>Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research</u>, 41(5), 1135-1141.

APPENDICES

Appendix A   Concentration Time Data of MEA Oxidative Degradation Experiments

Table A1 Run No.1: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, 0.55 CO_2 loading and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.462
2	5.295
8	5.048
22	5.048
47	5.015
69	4.669
93	4.522
141	4.265

Table A2 Run No.2: 5.13 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.130
1	5.147
3	5.149
9	5.012
23	4.799
54	4.297
76	3.992
96	3.689
144	2.975

Table A3 Run No.3: 5.06 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, and 328 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.011
0.5	5.046
1	5.149
2	5.180
5	5.168
11	5.172
33	5.151
57	5.117
177	5.143

Table A4 Run No.4: 4.95 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	4.950
0.5	4.966
1	5.198
2	5.125
4	5.123
7	5.111
11.5	4.915
25	4.883
49	4.678
73	4.496
121	3.807
170	3.255

Table A5 Run No.5: 7 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O$_2$, 0.22 CO$_2$ loading and 328 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.169
1	7.092
7	7.261
24	7.152
72	7.064
95.5	7.132
144	7.065
192	6.976
265.5	6.761
334	6.678

Table A6 Run No.6: 3.2 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O$_2$, 0.22 CO$_2$ loading and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.121
1	3.162
5	3.127
24	2.991
48	2.880
74	2.791
97	2.637
120	2.573
168	2.411

Table A7 Run No.7: 3.18 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, 0.42 CO_2 loading and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.155
2	3.153
4	3.118
25	2.981
73	2.794
120	2.644
164	2.569

Table A8 Run No.8: 6.85 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	6.966
2	6.291
24	6.038
70.5	5.573
94.5	5.332
118.5	5.165
142.5	4.795

Table A9 Run No.9: 5.1 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6% O_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.156
20	5.135
44	5.104
68	5.089
117.5	5.105

Table A10 Run No.10: 5.1 kmol/m^3 MEA, 21% O_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
1	5.175
24	5.125
50	5.133
75	5.101
96	5.131
120	5.083
168	5.048

Table A11 Run No.11: 3 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6% O_2, 0.43 CO_2 loading and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.073
24	3.064
48	2.953
96	2.967
144	2.927
192	2.905
240	2.959
315.5	2.918

Table A12 Run No.12: 7.05 kmol/m^3 MEA, 21% O_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.333
2	7.375
4	7.274
8.5	7.278
95	7.233
140	7.221

Table A13 Run No.13: 3.25 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100% O_2, 0.52 CO_2 loading and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.354
1	3.362
24	3.285
48	3.164
72	3.098
96	3.074
120	2.994
164	2.983

Table A14 Run No.14: 3.15 kmol/m^3 MEA, 21% O_2, 0.28 CO_2 loading and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.091
3	3.099
22	3.109
46	3.054
94	3.017
119	3.058

Table A15 Run No.15: 4.95 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O_2, 6 ppm SO_2, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.172
23	5.123
34.5	5.135
47.5	5.120
121.5	5.124
193	5.080
289	4.999

Table A16 Run No.16: 5.88 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 6 ppm SO$_2$, and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	6.032
2	6.027
6	6.073
18	6.041
42	6.023
93	6.018
141	5.990

Table A17 Run No.17: 6.9 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 11 ppm SO$_2$, and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.349
43	7.335
91	7.294
140	7.339
219.5	7.227

Table A18 Run No.18: 6.95 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 11 ppm SO$_2$, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.171
24	7.183
48	7.113
77	7.086
120	7.099
168	7.034

Table A19 Run No.19: 6.91 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O_2, 196 ppm SO_2, and 328 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.218
24	7.228
48	7.208
120	7.218
168	7.211
240	7.200
312	7.210

Table A20 Run No.20: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O_2, 196 ppm SO_2, 0.17 CO_2 loading, and 328 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.034
23	5.006
49	4.961
72	4.972
95	4.987
143	4.988

Table A21 Run No.21: 3 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O_2, 196 ppm SO_2, 0.47 CO_2 loading, and 373 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.184
21	3.239
45	3.122
92	3.104
116	3.138
164	3.090
309	3.074

Table A22 Run No.22: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 6 ppm SO$_2$, 0.33 CO$_2$ loading, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.042
3	5.040
22.5	4.980
70.5	4.959
118.5	4.982
166.5	4.918
214.5	4.921
262.5	4.921
310.5	4.888

Table A23 Run No.23: 6.8 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 11 ppm SO$_2$, 0.24 CO$_2$ loading, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.217
4	7.265
48	7.247
96	7.177
192	7.115

Table A24 Run No.24: 6.8 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 196 ppm SO$_2$, 0.27 CO$_2$ loading, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	7.233
2	7.146
25	7.171
97	7.097
145	7.059
193	7.053
245	6.934
289	6.959

Table A25 Run No.25: 3.15 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 11 ppm SO$_2$, 0.28 CO$_2$ loading, and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	3.115
16.5	3.098
41	3.095
87.25	3.069
112	3.073
160	3.082
184	3.049

Table A26 Run No.26: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 0.05 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	4.891
1	4.891
23	4.874
47	4.895
71	4.867
95	4.887
119	4.879

Table A27 Run No.27: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 0.1 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.246
2	5.216
7	5.172
18	5.199
24	5.197
48	5.166
75	5.149
96	5.167

Table A28 Run No.28: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 0.3 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A and
393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.301
1	5.153
2	5.139
24	5.154
48	5.067
97	5.063
144	5.015

Table A29 Run No.29: 7 kmol/m^3 MEA, 100 % O$_2$, 0.1 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A
and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	6.794
2	7.054
12	6.821
24	6.769
49	6.151
72	5.735
96	4.882
120	4.559
144	4.259

Table A30 Run No.30: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 6 ppm SO$_2$, 0.05 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.038
24	5.018
48	5.024
72	5.042
96	4.991
122	5.018
144	5.001
168	5.017
216	4.996

Table A31 Run No.31: 5 kmol/m^3 MEA, 6 % O$_2$, 196 ppm SO$_2$, 0.05 kmol/m^3 Inhibitor UR-A and 393 K

Degradation time (h)	MEA concentration (kmol/m^3)
0	5.169
43	5.147
90	5.124
140	5.102
162	5.108
186	5.117
234	5.121

Appendix B   Experimental Rates and Model Predicted Rates using Power Law Model

Table B1 Kinetic Data for Power Law Model

| Temperature | MEA | O_2 | SO_2 | CO_2 | Degradation rate ($kmol/m^3.h$) | | %AAD |
(K)	($kmol/m^3$)	($kmol/m^3$)	($kmol/m^3$)	($kmol/m^3$)	Experimental	Model predicted	
393	5.03	0.00239	1E-11	2.77	0.00840	0.00851	1.28
393	5.13	0.00239	1E-11	1E-11	0.01835	0.01564	14.79
373	4.95	0.00222	1E-11	1E-11	0.01289	0.00819	36.50
328	7.00	0.00231	1E-11	1.54	0.00151	0.00187	24.00
373	3.20	0.00222	1E-11	0.70	0.00507	0.00436	14.01
373	3.18	0.00222	1E-11	1.34	0.00406	0.00429	5.67
393	6.85	0.00239	1E-11	1E-11	0.01894	0.01623	14.28
393	5.10	0.00073	1E-11	1E-11	0.00045	0.00033	27.77
393	5.10	0.00100	1E-11	1E-11	0.00065	0.00089	38.00
393	7.05	0.00100	1E-11	1E-11	0.00077	0.00093	21.46
373	3.25	0.00222	1E-11	1.69	0.00284	0.00428	50.75
393	3.15	0.00100	1E-11	0.88	0.00054	0.00047	13.50
373	5.88	0.00057	0.000094	1E-11	0.00036	0.00030	15.74
373	6.90	0.00057	0.000172	1E-11	0.00048	0.00032	33.18
393	6.95	0.00073	0.000172	1E-11	0.00082	0.00109	32.75
373	3.00	0.00057	0.003063	1.41	0.00042	0.00020	53.30
393	5.00	0.00073	0.000094	1.65	0.00044	0.00055	26.08
393	6.80	0.00073	0.000172	1.63	0.00070	0.00060	14.40
393	6.80	0.00073	0.003063	1.84	0.00088	0.00073	17.33
393	3.00	0.00073	0.003063	0.66	0.00074	0.00067	9.23

Appendix C Experimental Rates and Model Predicted Rates using Modified Power Law Model

Table C1 Kinetic Data for Modified Power Law Model

Temperature (K)	MEA ($kmol/m^3$)	O_2 ($kmol/m^3$)	SO_2 ($kmol/m^3$)	CO_2 ($kmol/m^3$)	Degradation rate ($kmol/m^3.h$) Experimental	Model predicted	%AAD
393	5.03	0.00239	0	2.77	0.00840	0.00835	0.57
393	5.13	0.00239	0	1E-11	0.01835	0.01842	0.36
373	4.95	0.00222	0	1E-11	0.01289	0.00916	28.93
328	7.00	0.00231	0	1.54	0.00151	0.00132	12.55
373	3.20	0.00222	0	0.70	0.00507	0.00431	14.85
373	3.18	0.00222	0	1.34	0.00406	0.00423	4.24
393	6.85	0.00239	0	1E-11	0.01894	0.01847	2.49
393	5.10	0.00073	0	1E-11	0.00045	0.00059	31.94
373	3.25	0.00222	0	1.69	0.00284	0.00420	48.08
393	3.15	0.00100	0	0.88	0.00054	0.00068	25.18
393	4.95	0.00073	0.000094	1E-11	0.00049	0.00059	21.00
373	5.88	0.00057	0.000094	1E-11	0.00036	0.00018	50.36
393	6.95	0.00073	0.000172	1E-11	0.00082	0.00060	27.20
328	5.00	0.00024	0.003063	0.85	0.00026	0.00010	62.65
373	3.00	0.00057	0.003063	1.41	0.00042	0.00042	1.25
393	5.00	0.00073	0.000094	1.65	0.00044	0.00027	37.37
393	6.80	0.00073	0.000172	1.63	0.00070	0.00027	60.72
393	6.80	0.00073	0.003063	1.84	0.00088	0.00081	8.12
393	3.00	0.00073	0.003063	0.66	0.00074	0.00083	12.09

Appendix D Experimental Rates and Model Predicted Rates using Split Model

Table D1 Kinetic Data for Split Model

Temperature (K)	MEA (kmol/m³)	O_2 (kmol/m³)	SO_2 (ppm)	CO_2 (kmol/m³)	Degradation rate (kmol/m³.h) Experimental	Model predicted	%AAD
393	5.03	0.00239	0	2.77	0.00840	0.00727	13.47
393	5.13	0.00239	0	0	0.01835	0.01762	4.00
373	4.95	0.00222	0	0	0.01289	0.01058	17.98
328	7.00	0.00231	0	1.54	0.00151	0.00270	78.55
373	3.20	0.00222	0	0.70	0.00507	0.00478	5.70
373	3.18	0.00222	0	1.34	0.00406	0.00443	9.12
393	6.85	0.00239	0	0	0.01894	0.01856	2.03
393	5.10	0.00073	0	0	0.00045	0.00050	12.00
393	7.05	0.00100	0	0	0.00077	0.00135	76.11
373	3.25	0.00222	0	1.69	0.00284	0.00432	52.26
393	3.15	0.00100	0	0.88	0.00054	0.00056	2.41
393	4.95	0.00073	6	0	0.00049	0.00073	47.83
373	5.88	0.00057	6	0	0.00036	0.00039	9.36
373	6.90	0.00057	11	0	0.00048	0.00046	5.26
393	6.95	0.00073	11	0	0.00082	0.00086	4.60
328	5.00	0.00024	196	0.85	0.00026	0.00027	3.73
373	3.00	0.00057	196	1.41	0.00042	0.00038	8.78
393	5.00	0.00073	6	1.65	0.00044	0.00045	2.30
393	6.80	0.00073	11	1.63	0.00070	0.00055	20.93
393	6.80	0.00073	196	1.84	0.00088	0.00087	1.98
393	3.00	0.00073	11	0.66	0.00074	0.00041	44.28

Appendix E   Experimental Rates and Model Predicted Rates using Combined Model

Table E1 Kinetic Data for Combined Model

Temperature	MEA	O_2	SO_2	CO_2	Degradation rate (kmol/m^3.h)		%AAD
(K)	(kmol/m^3)	(kmol/m^3)	(kmol/m^3)	(kmol/m^3)	Experimental	Model predicted	
393	5.03	0.00239	0	2.77	0.00840	0.00833	0.80
393	5.13	0.00239	0	0	0.01835	0.02015	9.80
373	4.95	0.00222	0	0	0.01289	0.00997	22.70
328	7.00	0.00231	0	1.54	0.00151	0.00135	10.50
373	3.20	0.00222	0	0.70	0.00507	0.00470	7.25
373	3.18	0.00222	0	1.34	0.00406	0.00441	8.76
393	6.85	0.00239	0	0	0.01894	0.02024	6.84
393	5.10	0.00073	0	0	0.00045	0.00064	42.66
373	3.25	0.00222	0	1.69	0.00284	0.00431	51.97
393	3.15	0.00100	0	0.88	0.00054	0.00073	33.95
393	4.95	0.00073	0.000094	0	0.00049	0.00064	30.80
373	5.88	0.00057	0.000094	0	0.00036	0.00019	46.69
373	6.90	0.00057	0.000172	0	0.00048	0.00019	60.21
393	6.95	0.00073	0.000172	0	0.00082	0.00065	21.17
373	3.00	0.00057	0.003063	1.41	0.00042	0.00041	2.04
393	5.00	0.00073	0.000094	1.65	0.00044	0.00028	35.87
393	6.80	0.00073	0.000172	1.63	0.00070	0.00028	59.68
393	6.80	0.00073	0.003063	1.84	0.00088	0.00080	9.13
393	3.00	0.00073	0.003063	0.66	0.00074	0.00088	18.38

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name: Mr. Teeradet Supap

Date of Birth: September 5, 1973

Nationality: Thai

University Education:

   1991-1995   Bachelor Degree of Science (Chemistry, Hons), Faculty of Science, Srinakharinwirot University, Bangkok, Thailand

   1996-1999   Master Degree of Science (Chemistry/Engineering), Faculty of Engineering, University of Regina, Regina, Canada

Working Experience:

1996-1999	Position:	Research Assistant
	Company name:	Process Systems Laboratory, U of R
		Regina, Canada
2000-2000	Position:	Shipping & Receiving Clerk
	Company name:	Crathco Ltd. (Thailand)
2000-2001	Position:	Technical Engineer
	Company name:	Chharoenpokphand Petrochemical Co.,
		Ltd. (Thailand)
2002-2003	Position:	Crathco Ltd. (Thailand)
	Company name:	Project Engineer

Publications:

1. Supap, T., Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., and Saiwan, C. (2007) Kinetics of sulfur dioxide and oxygen induced degradation of aqueous monoethanolamine solution during CO_2 absorption from power plants flue gas streams. Submitted to Chemical Engineering Science.

2. Supap, T., Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., and Saiwan, C. (2006) Analysis of monoethanolamine and its oxidative degradation products during CO_2 absorption from flue gases: a comparative study of GC-MS, HPLC-RID, and CE-DAD analytical techniques and possible optimum combinations. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 45(8), 2437-2451.

3. Supap, T., Idem, R., Veawab, A., Aroonwilas, A., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Chakma, A., and Kybett, B.D. (2001) Kinetics of the oxidative degradation of aqueous monoethanolamine in a flue gas treating unit. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 40(16), 3445-3450.

Proceedings and Presentation:

1. Supap, T, Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., and Saiwan, C. (2007, June 25-28) Kinetics of O_2-SO_2 induced degradation of aqueous monoethanolamine during CO_2 absorption from power plant flue gases. Proceedings of the 2nd ICAPP 2007, Bangkok, Thailand.

2. Pitipuech, P., Supap, T, Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., and Saiwan, C. (2007, June 25-28) Study on degradation inhibitors for amine based solvents for CO_2 absorption from power plant flue gases. Proceedings of the 2nd ICAPP 2007, Bangkok, Thailand.

3. Supap, T, Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., and Saiwan, C. (2006, May 9-12) The roles of O_2 and SO_2 in the degradation of monoethanolamine during CO_2 absorption from industrial flue gas streams. Proceedings of the EIC 2006 Climate Change Conference and Exhibition, Ottawa, Canada.

4. Supap, T.; Veawab, A.; Aroonwilas, A.; Tontiwachwuthikul, P.; Kybett, B. (1999, November 22-24) Kinetic study of oxidative degradation in gas treating unit using aqueous monoethanolamine solution. Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Chemical Engineering, Songkhla, Thailand.

5. Supap, T., A. Veawab, A. Aroonwilas, P. Tontiwachwuthikul, and B.D. Kybett (1999, October 3-6) Kinetic study of oxidative degradation in gas treating unit using aqueous alkanolamine solution. Paper presented at The 49th Canadian Chemical Engineering Conference, Saskatoon, Canada.