การแปรผันปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมเนื่องจากหมอกแม่เหล็กและปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆ



วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาโลกศาสตร์ ภาควิชาธรณีวิทยา คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย บทคัดย่อและแฟ้มข้อมูลฉบับเต็มของวิทยานิพนธ์ตั้มเต่ปีอาร์ศึกษา558554 ที่ให้บริการในคลังปัญญาจุฬาฯ (CUIR) เป็นแฟ้มข้อมูลของนิสิญชัญชิงจุฬาใญหรัญชี้ภูมิอาร์ตั้งมี่ส่งห่วงพิยาสยัณฑิตวิทยาลัย The abstract and full text of theses from the academic year 2011 in Chulalongkorn University Intellectual Repository (CUIR) are the thesis authors' files submitted through the University Graduate School.

TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT VARIATION RESULTING FROM MAGNETIC CLOUD WITH VARIOUS PHENOMENA



A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Earth Sciences Department of Geology Faculty of Science Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2013 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT VARIATION
	RESULTING FROM MAGNETIC CLOUD WITH
	VARIOUS PHENOMENA
Ву	Mr. Sorasit Thanomponkrang
Field of Study	Earth Sciences
Thesis Advisor	Paisan Tooprakai, Ph.D.
Thesis Co-Advisor	Sathon Vijarnwannaluk, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

_____Dean of the Faculty of Science

(Professor Supot Hannongbua, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

_____Chairman

(Assistant Professor Sombut Yumuang, Ph.D.)

_____Thesis Advisor

(Paisan Tooprakai, Ph.D.)

_____Thesis Co-Advisor

(Sathon Vijarnwannaluk, Ph.D.)

.....Examiner

(Assistant Professor Srilert Chotpantarat)

.....External Examiner

(Nithiwatthn Choosakul, Ph.D.)

สรสิช ถนอมพลกรัง : การแปรผันปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมเนื่องจากหมอกแม่เหล็กและ ปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆ. (TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT VARIATION RESULTING FROM MAGNETIC CLOUD WITH VARIOUS PHENOMENA) อ.ที่ปรึกษา วิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: ดร. ไพศาล ตู้ประกาย, อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม: ดร. สธน วิจารณ์วรรณลักษณ์, 259 หน้า.

หมอกแม่เหล็ก (MCs) และปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆปะทะชั้นไอโอโนสเฟียร์ทุกวัน ปริมาณ ้อิเล็กตรอนรวม (TEC) ในชั้นไอโอโนสเฟียร์ส่งผลต่อการแพร่กระจายคลื่นวิทยุผ่านไอโอโนสเฟียร์ อันตรกิริยาระหว่างหมอกแม่เหล็ก ปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆ และปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมยังไม่รู้อย่าง ้ชัดเจน จุดมุ่งหมายสองข้อของการวิจัยครั้งนี้คือ (1) ศึกษาการแปรผันปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมที่ เป็นผลจากหมอกแม่เหล็กและปรากฏการณ์อื่น ๆ โดยใช้ร้อยละการเบี่ยงเบนของปริมาณ อิเล็กตรอนรวม (2) หมอกแม่เหล็ก ตัวแปรของปรากฏการณ์อื่น ๆ และสัมประสิทธิการแปรผัน ปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมภายใต้หมอกแม่เหล็ก (MCs) 6 เหตุการณ์ คลื่น กระแทกระหว่างดาวเคราะห์ (ISs) 5 เหตุการณ์ บริเวณอันตรกิริยา 2 เหตุการณ์ ชีธ 2 เหตุการณ์ ึกระแสอัตราเร็วสูง(HSSs) 10 เหตุการณ์ และกระแสคอโรนา (CSs) 5 เหตุการณ์ ได้จากสถานีจีพี เอสในทวีปอเมริกาเหนือและใต้เพื่อใช้ประมาณค่าการเบี่ยงเบนของปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมดังนั้น โปรแกรมคอมพิวเตอร์จึงถูกสร้างขึ้นเพื่อตอบโจทย์นี้ การเคลื่อนที่ของหมอกแม่เหล็กชีธ และคลื่น กระแทกระหว่างดาวเคราะห์ทำให้ปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมเพิ่มขึ้นกลายเป็นความผิดปกติของชั้นไอ โอโนสเฟียร์บริเวณศูนย์สูตร แต่เปอร์เซ็นต์เบี่ยงเบนปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมเนื่องจากเมฆแม่เหล็ก และปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆไม่สามารถประมาณในการศึกษานี้ตัวแปรของหมอกแม่เหล็กและ ปรากฏการณ์ต่างๆ และปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมสามารถหาการสอดคล้องโดยการถดถอยเชิงเส้น ้ละติจูดแต่ละเส้นมีสมการของแต่ละเส้น และในละติจูดเดียวกันของเหตุการณ์ที่ต่างกันจะมีสมการ ที่ต่างกัน สัมประสิทธิการแปรผันของปริมาณอิเล็กตรอนรวมภายใต้การเคลื่อนที่เหล่านี้ไม่ขึ้นกับ ละติจูดภูมิมาตรศาสตร์

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

ภาควิชา ธรณีวิทยา สาขาวิชา โลกศาสตร์ ปีการศึกษา 2556

ลายมือชื่อร่	นิสิต
ลายมือชื่อ	อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก
ลายมือชื่อ	อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม

5372352423 : MAJOR EARTH SCIENCES

KEYWORDS: 11 / TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT (TEC) / MAGNETIC CLOUD / INTERPLANETARY SHOCK (IS)

SORASIT THANOMPONKRANG: TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT VARIATION RESULTING FROM MAGNETIC CLOUD WITH VARIOUS PHENOMENA. ADVISOR: PAISAN TOOPRAKAI, Ph.D., CO-ADVISOR: SATHON VIJARNWANNALUK, Ph.D., 259 pp.

Magnetic clouds (MCs) and various phenomena hit the ionosphere every day. Total electron contents (TEC) in the ionosphere influence on transionospheric radio propagation. The interaction between MCs, phenomena, and TEC was not clearly known. Two aims of this research are to (1) study TEC variation resulting from MC and other phenomena by using percent deviation of TEC, (2) MC, parameters of the other phenomena, and TEC variation coefficients. TEC under 6 magnetic clouds (MCs), 5 interplanetary shocks (ISs), 2 interaction regions (IRs), 2 sheathes, 10 high speed streams (HSSs), and 5 coronal streams (CSs) derived from GPS stations in north and south America for estimated deviation of TEC. Therefore the computer program was constructed to answer this question. The motion of MC, sheathes, and ISs made TEC increase to be the equatorial ionospheric anomaly (EIA) but dTEC% resulting from MC and various phenomena can't estimate in this study. Parameters of MC and various phenomena, and TEC can be fitted by the linear regression. Each latitude has its equation, and n the same latitude of different events, will have the different equations. Coefficients of variation of TEC under these motions do not depend on geodetic latitude.

Chulalongkorn University

Department: Geology Field of Study: Earth Sciences Academic Year: 2013

Student's Signature
Advisor's Signature
Co-Advisor's Signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest sincere appreciation to Dr.Paisan Tooprakai and Dr.Sathon Vijarnwannaluk for their expert advice in physics, computer programming and research methodology, encouragement and the second language improvement. I would like to thank committee for instrument experience, effective comments and cheerful encouragement.

The success of this thesis can be attentively data and program supported by following providers: ACE measurements from Los Alamos National laboratory and Sandia National laboratories; Neutron measurement from the Bartol Research Institute, at the University of Delaware, and GPS observations from the International GPS Service (IGS) and thank Dr.Gopi Seemala for version 2.2 RINEX GPS-to-TEC program.

I would like to express my deepest sincere appreciation to Miss Witchuda Ponsai, Miss Porntip Jaiman, Miss Sutipa Arsiraroj and Miss Wilairat Khositchaisri for powerful encouragement, E-thesis processes, hardcopy thesis, and facilitation and I would like to Miss Bussarasiri Thana, Dr.Parichat Wetchayont and Miss Parisa Nimnate and colleages in Earth Sciences and Geology program, and also department of Geology stuffs for convenient opportunities and support me.

This project would have been impossible without the Mr.Kanokwat Wannasorn and families, namely, mother, sister and brother warmly afford and support me in last semester.

> จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

CONTENTS

THAI ABSTRACTiv	V
ENGLISH ABSTRACT	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	/i
CONTENTSv	ii
LIST OF TABLE	٨i
LIST OF FIGUREx	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	V
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Scope and Limitation	3
1.4 Location of Study area	4
1.5 Expected output	4
1.6 Research methodology	4
1.7 Components of the thesis	б
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 The solar-terrestrial environment	7
2.2 The solar interior and atmosphere	8
2.3 The coronal mass ejection and the interplanetary coronal mass ejection1	1
2.3.1 The coronal mass ejection1	1
2.3.2 Interplanetary coronal mass ejection1	3
2.4 Magnetic clouds	4
2.4.1 Magnetic cloud identification1	5
2.4.2 Magnetic cloud model1	5
2.5 MC associated phenomena	С
2.6 Ionosphere	3
2.7 Total electron contents	4

Page

CHAPTER III INSTRUMENTS AND DATA DISCRIPTIONS	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Space-based measurement	37
3.2.1 Magnetometer, MAG	39
3.2.2 The solar wind, electron, proton and alpha monitor, SWEPAM	40
3.3 Ground-based measurement	46
3.3.1 Neutron monitor	46
3.3.2 GPS	48
3.3.3 International GPS service	51
3.4 Data descriptions	52
CHAPTER IV METHODOLOGY	55
4.1 Introduction	55
4.2 Magnetic clouds identification	55
4.2.1 Minimum variance analysis	56
4.2.2 An expected plasma temperature calculation	57
4.2.3 The thermal pressure to the magnetic pressure ratio test	58
4.3 An interplanetary shock (IS) identification and classification	58
4.3.1 Shock classification with the angle between the magnetic field direction	on
and its shock normal	59
4.3.2 Shock classification with its Mach number	60
4.4 Co-rotating interaction region identification	61
4.5 Investigating an arriving at the Earth of the MC and the others with a neutron	٦
monitor	62
4.6 TEC determination	63
4.6.1 Converting the differential delay into TEC in GOPI program and the acceptable temporal resolution in this study	64
4.6.2 The average of TEC from different satellites calculation	64
- 4.7 Mean TEC and presenting a deviated TEC as its variation	68

4.8 Clarify TEC variation with an inverse distance weighted (IDW) interpolation 68
4.9 Projecting the ACE spacecraft and the TEC information onto a same coordination system
4.9.1 The transformation from the geocentric solar ecliptic system to the geocentric equatorial inertial system
4.9.2 The transformation from the geographic coordinate system to the geocentric equatorial inertial system
4.10 The ACE Spacecraft and TEC observation point lag time calculation75
CHAPTER V RESULTS
5.1 Programming77
5.2 Results
5.2.1 Event I
5.2.2 Event II
5.2.3 Event III
5.2.4 Event IV
5.2.5 Event V
5.2.6 Event VI
5.2.7 Event VII
5.3 Relation between dTEC and MC and phenomenal parameters
5.3.1 Results of Event I105
5.3.2 Results of Event II
5.3.3 Results of Event III
5.3.4 Results of Event IV106
5.3.5 Results of Event V106
5.3.6 Results of Event VI107
5.3.7 Results of Event VII
CHAPTER VI DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS
6.1 Discussions

Page

	Page
6.1.1 Results	.109
6.1.2 Relation between dTEC and MC and phenomenal parameters	.110
6.2 Conclusions	.111
REFERENCES	.112
APPENDIX A PROGRAMS	.116
APPENDIX B FORSH DEREASE PLOT FROM SECTION	.120
APPENDIX C FULL FISHER'S LSD MULTIPLE COMPARISONS RESULTS FROM SECTION	5.2
	.122
APPENDIX D FULL MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FROM SECTION 5.3	.184
VITA	.259



LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1 Parameters used in this study and their details.	53
Table 5.1 Period of Events in study	78
Table 5.2 Periods of 5 ISs in this study	79
Table 5.3 Classes of 5 ISs	79
Table 5.4 Period of Events in study	83
Table 5.5 MC types and their configurations	84



LIST OF FIGURE

xii

green line. Numbers are spacecraft positions. Diagram from Badruddin and Singh (2009)
Figure 2.10 Ion species distribution in the ionosphere from E to the topside
Ionospheric region 26
Figure 2.11 Bays and radio waves: $FHF = extreme high frequency (Microwave) SHF =$
super high frequency (Microwayes) LIHE – ultrahigh frequency VHE – very high
frequency $HE = high frequency ME = medium frequency LE = low frequency$
$V_{\rm L}$ = very low frequency $V_{\rm L}$ = voice frequency and ELE = extremely low
frequency Biankuloski06en Electromagnetic radiation [Online] 18 April 2011
Source http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic radiation#mediaviewer/Eile
light spectrum and
Figure 3.1 The ACE encount illustration (ONANIWeb website 2012)
Figure 3.2 Fluggete magnetemeter mashanism (Royd T. M. 1006)
Figure 3.2 Fluxgate magnetometer mechanism. (Boyd, T., M., 1996)
Figure 3.3 An electrostatic analyzer mechanism
Figure 3.4 Drum-liked shapes of SWEPAM-I (A) and SWEPAM-E (B)
Figure 3.5 Inside the SWEPAM-I ESA and its CEMs arrangement
Figure 3.6 SWEPAM-I process
Figure 3.7 SWEPAM-E process
Figure 3.8 SWEPAM-E process
Figure 3.9 The atmospheric cascade starts in the deep upper atmosphere and
interacts with air molecule and reaches the ground level
Figure 3.10 Inside a neutron monitor and its illustration respectively
Figure 3.11 The position is determined at the point where all three spheres
intersect
Figure 3.12 GPS module
Figure 4.1 TEC plot from different elevation PRNs in GOPI observe type file
Figure 4.2 The adjusted TEC under elevation mask 15 degree filter
Figure 4.3 The once more adjusted TEC under elevation mask 20 degree filter 67
Figure 4. 4 The inverse distance weighted interpolation procedure
Figure 4.5 Coordinate transformations for Brussels71
Figure 4.6 The ACE spacecraft positions during 2005 to February, 2012

Figure 5.1 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and D_{st} at the Earth
observed between 7-10 January 200580
Figure 5.2 Travelling time of each interplanetary shock code81
Figure 5.3 Travelling time of HSS and CS
Figure 5.4 Forbush decreases from each event
Figure 5.5 Mean percentage deviation of TECU on 7-10 January 200582
Figure 5.6 Travelling time of MCs
Figure 5.7 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and $D_{\rm st}$ at the Earth
observed between 15-17 May 2005
Figure 5.8 Mean plot of percent deviation in TECU on 15-17 May 2005 (DOY 135-137)
by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena
Figure 5.9 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and the Dst observed
between 13-15 April 2006
Figure 5.10 Mean plot of percentage deviation of TECU on 13-15 April 2006 (DOY 103-
105) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena90
Figure 5.11 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 14-
16 December 2006
Figure 5.12 Mean plot of percentage deviation of TECU on 14-16 December 2006
(DOY 348-350) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena
Figure 5.13 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 19-
21 November 2007
Figure 5.14 Mean plot of percent deviation in TECU on 19-21 November 2007 (DOY
348-350) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena
Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10
Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and <i>D</i> st observed on 8-10 March 2008
 Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008
 Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008
 Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008
 Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008
 Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AU	Astronomical Unit
CME	Coronal Mass Ejection
CS	the High Solar Speed Stream associated with the Coronal Hole
EUV	Extreme Ultraviolet
FFS	the Fast Forward Shock
FGS	the High Solar Speed Stream associated with the Solar Flare
FRS	the Fast Reverse Shock
GEO	the Geographic Coordinate System
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSE	the Geocentric Solar Ecliptic Coordinate System
HSS	the High Solar Speed Stream
ICME	Interplanetary Coronal Mass Ejection
IGS	The International GNSS Service
IMF	the Interplanetary Magnetic Field
ISs	the Sudden Impulse
LASCO	Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraph
LF	Low Frequency
LIC	the Local Interstellar Cloud
MC	Magnetic Cloud
MCL	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure
MCL MF	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency
MCL MF PDL	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer
MCL MF PDL SA	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability
MCL MF PDL SA SAP	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS STEC	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock Slant Total Electron Content
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS STEC TEC	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock Slant Total Electron Content Total Electron Content
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS STEC TEC TID	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock Slant Total Electron Content Total Electron Content the Travelling ionospheric Disturbance
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS STEC TEC TID UV	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock Slant Total Electron Content Total Electron Content the Travelling ionospheric Disturbance Ultraviolet
MCL MF PDL SA SAP SFS SID SOHO SRS STEC TEC TID UV VLF	Magnetic Cloud-liked Structure Medium Frequency the Plasma Depleion Layer Selective Availability the Subauroral Polarization Stream the Slow Forward Shock Sudden Ionospheric disturbance the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory the Slow Reverse Shock Slant Total Electron Content Total Electron Content the Travelling ionospheric Disturbance Ultraviolet Very Low Frequency

CHAPTER I

1.1 Motivation

Magnetic clouds (MCs) are subset of the interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICMEs), one variety of the various solar activities influencing on the earth and human technologies. MCs and ICMEs main sources originate close to the solar disk center (Gopalswamy, Yashiro et al. 2007). Coronal mass ejection (CMEs) are observed by white-light serial imaginary with coronagraphs obtain from the Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraphs (LASCO) inboard installed on the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) as a distinctively movable magnetized plasma structure from the occulting disk in frame series (Hundhausen 1993, Kahler and Vourlidas 2005, Kunow, Crooker et al. 2006). Thence, the CMEs propagating in the planetary medium named as the ICMEs travel radially outward from closed magnetic field regions to the heliospheric boundary, hit the Earth and the magnetosphere. The Specific ICMEs present 3 following signatures, a smooth rotation in their magnetic field (*B*) with low variance, a low proton temperature, and a low plasma beta, are called MCs (Burlaga, Hundhausen et al. 1981, Klein and Burlaga 1982, Burlaga 1991).

CMEs occurrence vary accordingly to the phase of solar cycle. There are 2 to 5 CMEs present daily during the solar maximum whiles there is a one CME presents in a couple of days during the solar minimum (Hundhausen 1993, St. Cyr, Howard et al. 2000, Yashiro, Gopalswamy et al. 2004). Approximately 200 pairs of CMEs and ICMEs were presented at the solar cycle 23 (Gopalswamy, Yashiro et al. 2007). Roughly 30% – 40% of ICMEs are MCs (Cargill and Harra 2007) and 85% of MCs have effect with the Earth. 79% of MCs associate with shock. SN- and S-types MCs following shocks are more able to lead to intense geomagnetic storms (Kumar and Raizada 2010). An existence of the high solar speed stream (HSS) following MCs enhances an additionally storm duration (Badruddin and Singh 2009) MCs dimension at 1 AU are 0.2 - 0.3 AU. They usually trigger 25 - 100 hours geomagnetic disturbances (Lepping, Jones et al. 1990, Badruddin and Singh 2009), causing

ionospheric disturbances as plasma bubble disturbing GPS signals, also damage to spacecrafts, satellites, telecommunication, power grid and pipeline systems.

Magnetic Storms influence on the magnetosphere and the equatorial ionosphere noticeably, as a key factor in the magnetosphere - ionosphere coupling indicator, namely, the specific electron density along satellite's orbits called the total electron content (TEC) (Beloff, Denisenko et al. 2004). The ionosphere is the solar – terrestrially environmental by – product. Solar radiation in the ultraviolet (UV) and extreme ultraviolet (EUV) imposes the ionospheric conditions (Chian and Kamide 2007). Solar photoionization in the wavelengths turns the neutral components of the upper atmosphere at the altitude roughly below 90 – 300 km into partially ionized plasma and becomes ionosphere.

The ionosphere obtains heat and accelerating particles from the magnetosphere with their thermal and kinetic energy gradients. The thermospheric wind is a origin of the eastward equatorial electrojet and drives the equatorial ionosphere electrodynamic (Blelly and Alcayde 2007). The electrojet behaves like the eastward electric field and then uplifts the ionospheric plasma. As the consequence of this fountain-like uplift and the gravity force interaction, the plasma, also called TEC in case of using GPS measurement, accumulates at about 10-20 latitudes in both hemispheres. The ionospheric TEC variations depend on solar radiative intensity and the solar cycle as a diurnal, a seasonal and the 11 years periodic variation (Cai 2007).

TEC also responses to the magnetic storm and indicates the ionospheric storm. The result of penetrative electric field from the storm increase a large - range latitude TEC on the dayside simultaneously and create the enhance TEC band which extended from the dusk sector through the cusp to the polar cap (Nsumei, Reinisch et al. 2008). In the cases of storm caused by the transient of MCs, the decrease in magnetospheric convection generates the rapid increasing of the TEC below F layer indicates the abnormal descent of F layer on the post-sunset sector (Sastri, Rao et al. 1993). TEC during storms also indicates the position and shape of the travelling ionospheric disturbance (TID) and the ionospheric trough (Beloff, Denisenko et al. 2004).

This thesis uses ionospheric total electron contents (TEC) calculated from the GPS signals in IGS network as evidence to investigate the relation between the geoeffectiveness of magnetic clouds and the ionospheric variation. The analyses of characteristics of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) parameters and TEC variations allow us to reconstruct the magnetic clouds-magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling processes. TTEC can therefore be an important archive that being convenient to use without converting slant TEC to vertical TEC (Huang and Reinisch 2001, Belehaki and Tsagouri 2002). Previous works by Belehaki and Tsagouri (2002) indicated that the magnetic activity effects intensely on the top side electron content of ionosphere much more than the bottom side electron content. In addition, Gradual driven storms cause ionization depletion on the dayside, but ionization enhancements on the nightside instead.

This thesis also presents IMF and the solar wind parameters variation, magnetic cloud and other features identifications, as well as MCs and TEC correlation test. These give a better understanding of the large latitude magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling processes and also the global geospace-ionosphere coupling processes.

1.2 Objectives

The purpose of this thesis is to investigate the influences of the magnetic cloud and the various phenomena on TEC variation via percentage deviated TEC, the relationship of between MCs and the global TEC.

1.3 Scope and Limitation

The scope and limitation of this thesis are:

- The study area is the global ionosphere at a height of 350 km mostly above North and South America.
- Percentage in deviated TEC under the MCs and various phenomenal circumstances estimation.

• Analyses of MCs and other various phenomena parameters –TEC variation correlation.

1.4 Location of Study area

The GPS stations in this study located extending over North and South America. The network of GPS in this study (see Figure 1-1) covers the latitude between 54°49'55"N and the 53°8'12"S and the longitude between 63°53'45"W and 83°28'22"W. Intensive stations are to substitute for other near stations when the others are not available.

The reason that the study area should be on these stations are the stations most stably work compared with other parts of the world like Sumatran GPS network were devastated by Tsunami in 2006. Although, Japan has many station networks but they are not available to public. The GPS stations, where we selected, are nearly located along the longest latitudinal transaction. This transaction is useful to explain TEC variation in the global scale.

1.5 Expected output

The expected outputs of this thesis consist of:

- TEC variation resulting from MC and other phenomena in percent.
- MC and other phenomena parameters and TEC variation coefficients

1.6 Research methodology

Four sequential steps were designed to achieve the aims of this thesis. Each of which is described as follows:

1.6.1 Preparation

- Literature review of the related research in magnetic clouds, MCs and various phenomena identification, responses of the ionosphere to geomagnetic storms and TEC measurement.
- Station Selection from the IGS network and neutron monitor database.



Figure 1.1 GPS station location in the study.

1.6.2 Magnetic cloud identification

- Data collection of an available online data on the ACE spacecraft website. The parameters used in this study, namely, magnetic field components in GSE (B_x, B_y, B_z and B), latitude (Θ) and longitude (φ) of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), plasma parameters as proton temperature (T_p), proton density (N_p), flow speed (V) and also derived parameter as plasma beta (β).
- MC identification Programming. The selective program consists of 3 concurrent processes based 3 signatures of MC.
- Coordination Transformation between the Geocentric solar ecliptic coordinate (GSE) system, Geographic coordinate (GEO) system and the Geocentric equatorial Inertial (GEI) system

1.6.3 TEC and percentage of deviation in TECU (dTEC%) estimation

- TEC calculation with GOPI program at 350 km.
- Average TEC in 27 days period and dTEC% estimation

1.6.4 MCs and various properties - *dTEC%* correlation analyses

• Regression analyses. A simple regression correlation was used to study their relativistic.

1.7 Components of the thesis

This thesis is composes of 6 chapters, starting first with motivation and introduction as Chapter 1. Reviews of previous studies of magnetic clouds, various phenomena and TEC variations are briefly summarized and reported. Chapter 2 presents basics in MCs, various phenomena, the ionosphere and TEC in detail. The data descriptions of space – based and ground – based measurement are obtained from chapter 3. Chapter 4 provides all methods used in this study, respectively, magnetic cloud – phenomena identification, classification, infirming their arrival at the Earth. All results and their discussions are provided in chapter 5 and finally, the conclusion are presented in chapter 6.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The solar-terrestrial environment

Satellite in geospace, the environment near earth influences telecommunications and transportations, thus the solar-terrestrial environment comes closer to everyday life. The solar-terrestrial environment refers to: the geospace, such as, the Earth's magnetosphere; and the Earth's atmosphere, the ionosphere. These regions are controlled by the physical conditions in the solar interior and atmosphere. The change in the conditions and the solar wind are known as space weather. Space weather is sometimes unstable and disturbs human's space technologies.

Geomagnetic storms and substorms are generally transient disturbances. They are the resultants of the interaction between solar wind and magnetosphere. The geomagnetic storms are a geomagnetic disturbance in global scale. The average instantaneous longitude of the mid-latitude magnetic disturbance, so-called *D*st index, is to display their storm magnitude intensities simpliestly.

The storm-time duration generally consists of the continuous series of 3 phases: the sudden commencement phase, the enhancement of the *D*st at the Earth's surface typically last for several hours; the main phase, is duration following decrease in *D*st drastically; and the recovery phase, the duration that the *D*st raises back to the quiet-time condition.

Substorms are transient magnetospheric process formed by the dissipation of the energies of interaction between solar wind and magnetosphere, mainly on the nightside auroral ionosphere (Akasofu 1964, Rostoker, Akasofu et al. 1980).

Substorms sometimes independently occurred to geospace environment, but geomagnetic storms are always caused by the disturbance in the solar magnetic field. The magnetic explore ejects the mass of the Sun's atmosphere which can interrupt the Earth's upper atmosphere.

2.2 The solar interior and atmosphere

The solar magnetohydrodynamics drives our 4.6 billion years. Then, the G2V star drives planets, their interplanetary space and Earth. The Sun consists of two electrically conducting fluids plasma. The chemical compositions are a mixture of 75% hydrogen, 24% helium, and about 1% of all heavier elements. The convection and the rotation of the Sun both force, move the conductive components as an induce current, and also generate and change magnetic field itself. The solar interior is composed of three layers namely, the core, the radiative zone and the convection zone.

Hydrogen nuclei in the core converted themselves into 4.3 million tons of helium nuclei and generate energy at 380 yottawatts (3.8×10^{26} watts) per second. Those energies transfer outward continually as photon. Particles scatter and absorb each other and are finally shifted to the longer wavelength.

A convection process raises the hot ionized gas at the convection zone, the less dense layer. The hot ionized gas raises, cools and falls down on the top of the radiative zone again as the convection current. The process still repeats and manifests as the visible structure called granule (as seen in the Figure 2.1).

The solar atmospheric observations with helioseismologic techniques effect in the forecast of changing environmental conditions in near-Earth space, called space weather. Three layers of the solar atmosphere (e.g. photosphere, chromospheres and corona) are monitored at multi-wavelength.

The photosphere is the lowest atmosphere of the Sun and emits the white light which is the highest percentage of the sunlight. A white-light image reveals dark spots and the brighter area surrounded there. They are called sunspots and the active regions. Different wavelengths is suitable to monitor specific layers (as shown in the Figure 2.2), as well as displaying differently tectonic structures. X-ray and UV show the solar flares always occur within the active region as a sudden brightening.



Figure 2.1 The Granule, the solar interiors and the solar atmospheres. Kelvinsong, Sun [online], 24 July 2004.

Source http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sun#mediaviewer/File:Sun_poster.svg

The radio band	WAVELENGT	H RANGE	FREQUENCY BAND		
molecular absorption in Earth's atmosphere		100 p	— 3THz ——-	Space- based	lere ower
Ground-based window	nillimetric centimetric decimetric netric	1 mm	 300 GHz ——	V ODSETV.	Corona Chromosph upper middle
reflection/absorption in Earth's ionosphere	decametric hectometric kilometric	HF 160 m	High Frequency 	Space- based observ.	IP Medium
		ULF 1000 km	Ultra Low Frequency — 300 Hz ——	ŧ	

Figure 2.2 Solar environments, their detective wavelength in the radio range and their observing locations. Image credit (Bougeret and Pick, 2007)

Any dark structure indicates an area having a lower temperature compared to its surrounding due to an intense magnetic activity. H α or a deep visible red is usable to monitor the hue pink-to-red colored generating layer, called the chromospheres. The H α visualizes the prominence, which is another dark feature extending vertically as a ribbon. The loop rises up through this very low-density layer up to the outermost layer, called corona.

The corona is only seen with the unaided eye during a total eclipse, but the soft X-ray is capable of detecting the coronal tectonic. Active regions are also appear and are more-well seen in this wavelength, as ensembles of closed-coronal flux loops connecting two opposite magnetic polarities consisted of hot gasses. These hot currents containing magnetic field are able to guide, hold, shape and erect themselves of the photosphere.

Another appearance manifests itself as the global-scaled dark band in the soft X-ray image. It often prolongs from the Sun's equator to one magnetic pole and sometimes lay across two poles. Magnetic field lines, within this region, open and allow steady streams of the solar materials straight into interplanetary space. Therefore these regions have a lower density, so it is cooler and finally darker than other general regions as shown in Figure 2.3.



Figure 2.3 X-ray image pictured by Yohkoh satellite. The image shows two global-scaled features: active regions (the bright colored region) on the great circle; and the coronal holes (the dark region) at the solar pole. Kenneth, The X-ray Sun [Online], 24 July 2004. Source http://ase.tufts.edu/cosmos/view_picture.asp?id=559

Coronal hole are an important precursor of the space weather disturbance. Those bad conditions might be the gust of solar wind and the high speed streams. Conversely, active regions are also the origin of 79% of CMEs (Zhou et al., 2003) and 88% of the halo CME directed to the Earth are associated with flare.

2.3 The coronal mass ejection and the interplanetary coronal mass ejection

Monitoring CMEs and their ICMEs arrival times to the Earth forecast are important as near earth asteroids monitor. Several days after CMEs glowed, ICMEs caution would be warned, because the ICMEs directed to the Earth often trigger geomagnetic storm disturbance.

2.3.1 The coronal mass ejection

CMEs are a spectacularly photographic phenomenon in astronomic imagine. The Large Angle Spectrometric Coronagraph (LASCO) installed on the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) spacecraft detected first CME in 1971.

CMEs appear in white light as a large animated feature of discrete brightness. It emerges from an occulting disk and change in individual sequence of frames. Hundhausen gave two properties of CME in 1993: that is the observable change in the coronal structure (1) whose the duration of its occurrence is between a few minutes and several hours and (2) a white-light feature discretises into a new bright appearance in the coronagraph field of view.

August 5th and 18th, 1980 CME was the morphological paradigm of the CME, because the CME had three parts of complete CME configuration. A large helmet streamer (the most outside structure), the prominence (the middle structure) and the prominence cavity (dark region) appeared at the pre-eruption state and were moving away from the Sun (e.g. Hundhausen (1993)) as seen in the Figure 2.4.

The filament eruption causes CMEs. Magnetic forces suspend cool ($<10^4$ K) plasma, above the surface of the Sun. Streamers of plasma twist as an s-shaped and have more complex magnetic field. The more curves they were, the more complex magnetic fields were generated. These twisted complexities can store magnetic energy gradually.

New magnetic flux, from the photosphere, destabilizes of the former filament, reconnects together and following flare-like brightening occurs. Gary and Moore (2004) suggested that reconnection occurred initially at above base. After that the overlying magnetic field is removed and allows the existing filament to erupt.

Youhei (2008) described mechanism of the solar-type magnetic reconnection as four continual phases (Figure 2.5): (a) Precursor phase, the magnetic energy stores in the lower atmosphere; (b) Quiescent phase, the reconnection releases the energy and is transfered by photon flux to the coronal surface and heats it on the sudden, then the coronal plasma pressure increases significantly and creates a hot dense with the upward evaporation flow mass; (c) Main burst phase, The magnetic flux lifts the evaporate matter, then two separated structures are formed, the upper baryon rich prominence (filament and prominence are a same structure) and the lower baryon-poor magnetized corona, the prominence erupts, reconfigurates the field globally and magnetic reconnection; and (d) Mass ejection phase, magnetic energy in main phase converted into the kinetic energy of the trapped mass ejecta.



Figure 2.4 coronographs on 18 August 1980. The series starts with the pre-eruption helmet streamer, then, a trailing cavity at an initial eruption, after that the full 3-part structure; the leading bright edge, the cavity, and the prominence, the last image depicts the post-eruption prominence material. Image credit [Figure courtesy of HAO]



Figure 2.6 Four phase of a mass ejection from the theoretical model for giant flare based on the solar flare, CME theory by Youhei (2008)

The latitude distribution of CME doesn't correspond to sunspots or flares, but does correspond to streamers and prominences. The rate of CMEs at solar minimum is less than four or ten times the rate of CMEs at solar maximum (Hundhausen 1993, St. Cyr, Howard et al. 2000, Yashiro, Gopalswamy et al. 2004). The origins of CMEs are different within a solar cycle. CMEs originate in the equator of the Sun at solar minimum and extend over wider latitudes within solar maximum.

The daily total solar wind mass loss is about 10¹⁴ kg. Estimates of the daily average mass of a CME from Solwind and SMM were less than fifty billion kg Hundhausen (1993). That was a little percentage in daily loss.

2.3.2 Interplanetary coronal mass ejection

A photographic technique uses to detect CME at the Sun, and in situ interplanetary medium measurement detect CME further away from the Sun. The observed CME with magnetic field and medium measurements in the interplanetary medium, typically at L1, is called the interplanetary coronal mass ejection (ICME). Any ICME identification, an observer must detects the specific plasma and magnetic signals of the ICME at the beginning and rechecks back the CME data. ICME carries coronal materials into the interplanetary space. The discontinuous enhancements and a depression of some chemical species abundance identify ICME presence. First two primary composers of the solar wind are light elements, namely, hydrogen nuclei (protons) and electron. Heavier species are also found as few quantities in a quiet-solar wind condition. Within ejecta, some anomalous signals of the heavier to the lighter isotopes or species ratio increase. Those significantly twofold anomalies are O^{7+}/O^{6+} , Mg/O and Ne/O. He to proton proportion within CME to normal condition is more than or equals 0.06. The ratio of He³/He⁴ inside CMEs is thousand fold less than a quiet-time He³/He⁴. Charge state ratio enhancement is related to a greater variation in the local magnetism in the fast solar wind than the slow wind.

The pressure inside ICMEs is higher than the ambient solar wind and drive radial evolution of themselves. The difference between the speed at leading edges and their trailing edges and the pressure gradient expand their dimension. The average expansion speed between 0.3 and 5.4 AU is gradually lower from 65 to 45 km/s. Moreover, ICME velocity is clearly ordered by associated flare magnitude. Seven tenth of ICME are to decelerate in the planetary medium rapidly (Yashiro, Gopalswamy et al. 2004).

The arrival of ICME at the Earth is able to detect by the more than 4% decrease in the galactic cosmic ray, which is known as the Forbush's decrease. The suppression is able to observe with networks of the neutron monitors.

The ICME contains dense twisted filaments from the Sun, so the internal magnetism in the ICME is greater than its surrounding IMF. The radial ICME expansion also extends its magnetic field as an oval-rope shape.

2.4 Magnetic clouds

Some of ICMEs containing a low proton temperature because of expansion and its magnetic field component rotating smoothly (Burlaga, Hundhausen et al. 1981) through a large angle (about 180°) are so-called the Magnetic cloud. Magnetic cloud (hereafter MC) is a common type of interplanetary ejecta.

2.4.1 Magnetic cloud identification

There are three schemes of the IMF and the solar wind condition most accepted to identify the MCs: (1) the IMF rotates smoothly wider than 30°; (2) the discontinuous enhancement in IMF strength and be higher than the ambient solar wind; and (3) the ratio of the proton pressure to the magnetic pressure is less than 1 (the detail in MC identification is to describe in section 4.2). Some of observed CMEs are not MCs, but pass the acceptably additional test in the magnetic cloud model is so-called the magnetic cloud-liked structure (hereafter MCLs).

2.4.2 Magnetic cloud model

A magnetic cloud was empirically modeled with tens parameters by Burlaga in 1988 and satisfied a MC identification. He assumed the MC was force-free so that

$$\nabla \times B = J = \alpha B \tag{2.1}$$

Goldstein proposed a variable α in 1983 and be used by Marubashi first in 1986 to fit magnetic clouds. Two year after, Burlaga considered the α as a constant, so can describe magnetic clouds to first order with equation (2.1) as

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times B) = \alpha (\nabla \times B) = \alpha^2 B$$
(2.2)

Equation 2.2 production is

$$(\nabla^2 B) = -\alpha^2 B \tag{2.3}$$

Lundquist (1950) defined MCs as a cylinder and $\nabla \cdot B$ equals 0, so any MC is projected in cylindrical coordinate in term of zeroth- and first-order Bessel functions as follows:

Axial component

$$B_A = B_0 J_0(\alpha R) B_A = B_0 J_0(\alpha R)$$
(2.4a)

Where R is the radial distance from the cloud axis.

Tangential component

$$B_T = B_0 H J_1(\alpha R) B_T = B_0 H J_1(\alpha R)$$
(2.4b)

Where B_0 is the estimated amplitude of the field at maximum strength (assumed as the cloud's axis). The variable H is the handedness if MC field helicity. H equals +1 when a magnetic rope lying on a right-handed (RH) and equals -1 when a magnetic rope lying on a left-handed (LH).

Radial component

$$B_R = 0 \tag{2.4c}$$

These three magnetic field components were used to fit magnetic cloud quite imperfect, so others team propose extra parameters. Ivanov et al. (1989) used a constant- α as toroidally symmetric solution.

The least square fit of the model to the data in the variance coordinate system or $\chi^2 \chi^2$ can be determined with equation (2.5).

$$\chi^{2} = \sum \left[\left(\mathbf{B}_{xv}^{0} - \mathbf{B}_{xv}^{M} \right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{B}_{yv}^{0} - \mathbf{B}_{yv}^{M} \right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{B}_{zv}^{0} - \mathbf{B}_{zv}^{M} \right)^{2} \right] / \mathbf{N}$$
(2.5)

Where a subscript v and two superscripts, 0 and M refer to the variance coordinate system, observed fields and the model. N is the number of hourly average field vectors.

The seven proposed extra parameters can provide more cloud's characteristics.

Magnetic cloud's characteristics were described and classified finally with determined spacecraft independences. These common eight parameters are as follow:

1. The latitude of the cloud's axis with respect to the ecliptic plane, θ .

 $\theta = \sin^{-1}(B_z/B) \qquad \theta = \sin^{-1}(B_z/B)\theta = \sin^{-1}(B_z/B)$ (2.6)

2. The longitude of the cloud's axis with respect to the ecliptic plane, ϕ .

$$\phi = \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Y}}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Z}})\phi = \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Y}}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{Z}})$$
(2.7)

- 3. The distance from closest cloud's axis to the approach spacecraft point, Y_0 .
- 4. The magnetic field magnitude at the cloud's axis, B_0 .
- 5. Related to the size of the cloud, α^{-1} .
- 6. The sign of the helicity, *H*.
- 7. The time at the closest approach to the cloud's axis, T_0 .
- 8. The radial distance, T_0 and the diameter of cloud, T_0 .

Eight parameters allow illustrate and model the paradigm of the MC as the cylindrical object as shown in Figure 2.6.

HULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY



Figure 2.7 A computer simulation based on the Lundquist (1950) of the field lines in a MC, list of variables was declared following; R_0 is the radius of the cloud, S is a unit vector parallel to the spacecraft intersecting the cloud at the closest-approach distance Y_0 at time T_0



2.4.4 Magnetic cloud classification

22.

Classification of MCs ICMEs classification) with their magnetic field directions encountered to the observing spacecraft divides MCs into 8 magnetic clouds types. Three parameters needed to classify MCs are leading field (and also trailing field) direction, axial field direction and helicity. The leading field is the field encountered with a spacecraft first at the leading edge. The axial field is the dominance field at the MC axis. The leading and the axial field direction direct perpendicularly to the solar wind flow direction. The helicity used is the helicity in those two orthogonal directions. Figure 2-7 illustrates paradigms of 8 types from MCs classified with three parameters.

Research reveals that clouds had mainly horizontal axes during the cycle

Magnetic Rope Types Lying in Ecliptic Plane							
Magnetic Cloud Type			NES				
	3614		116.0				
Leading Field	South (-Bz)	South (-Bz)	North (+Bz)	North (+Bz)			
Axial Field	East (+By)	West (-By)	East (+By)	West (-By)			
Traiting Field	North (+Bz)	North (+Bz)	South (-Bz)	South (-Bz)			
Helicity	LH	RH	RH	LH			

Magnetic Rope Types Perpendicular to Ecliptic Plane

Magnetic Cloud Type	ž		± 2000 ± 2000 ±	≝ ≣
Leading Field	West	East	East	West
	(-By)	(+By)	(+By)	(-By)
Axial Field	North	South	North	South
	(+Bz)	(-Bz)	(+Bz)	(-Bz)
Trailing Field	East	West	West	East
	(+By)	(-By)	(-By)	(+By)
Helicity	RH	RH	LH	LH

Figure 2.8 8 types of MC classification with field directions and their helicity can be grouped into two groups respected with the direction that the magnetic rope to the ecliptic plane. (Mulligan, Russel, and Luhmann, 2000)

2.5 MC associated phenomena

Fast moved MCs often don't travel and expand into the heliosphere alone. The fast MC always drives the interplanetary shock in front of them. Area between the interplanetary shocks and MCs had a large swing in proton density and the magnetic magnitude is called sheaths. The solar high speed stream may catches up with slow MCs and causes the interaction region between slow MC and fast stream as shown in Figure 2-8.



Figure 2.9 Magnetic cloud and structure formed in the interplanetary space likes sheath, shock, high solar speed stream (HSS) and Interaction region (IR) as curve green line. Numbers are spacecraft positions. Diagram from Badruddin and Singh (2009).

2.5.1 Magnetic cloud-like structure

Scheme in automatic identification of MC program provides another type of false positive MC which pass the additional test of $(\chi_M/\langle B \rangle \chi_M/\langle B \rangle) < 0.42$ (Lepping, Jones et al. 1990). It is acceptable as MCLs.

Where $\chi^2_M\,$ is the Pythagorean mean in which can determine with equation 2.7.

$$\chi_{M} = \sqrt{\chi_{M}^{2}} = \sqrt{\left(\chi_{x}^{2} + \chi_{y}^{2} + \chi_{z}^{2}\right)}$$
(2.7)

For χ_x^2 , χ_y^2 , χ_z^2 , χ_x^2 , χ_y^2 , χ_z^2 are chi-squared values of the quadratic fits to the field components.

The occurrence frequency of MCLs is correlated with solar activity. Nearly half of MCLs induced weak geomagnetic storms and around eight percent of MCLs induced strong geomagnetic storms. Anyway, MCLs are generally less geoeffective than MCs.

2.5.2 Interplanetary shock and its classification

Interplanetary shocks are a type of the interplanetary discontinuities. Discontinuities manifest themselves as the discontinuous mathematical jump conditions in spatial change in magnetic fields and plasma parameters.

ICMEs are main IP-shock drivers. The shocks occur when the driver propagate in space plasma at speeds higher than the upstream magnetosonic speed relative to the ambient solar wind. Shock speeds depend on their drivers speeds. The Alfven Mach number and the MHD equations categorize shocks into three categories. The three categories are fast shock (Fs), intermediate shock (IS) and slow shock (Rostoker, Akasofu et al.).

Petschek (1958) and Kennel et al. (1985) detail those the different properties of various shock are: FS has steepening speeds greater than the upstream magnetosonic wave speed, IS has steepening speeds than acoustic wave speed and fast as an Alfven wave, and SS propagate at speed faster than the thermal sonic speed. Further identification and classification with wave modes processes are to describe in detail in section 4.3, in the chapter IV. However, slow ICME does not have a FS when it propagates at a speed less than magnetosonic speed.

Shock subcategorization is related to shock-driver direction. Forward shocks (FSs) (often called as planetary bow shocks) propagate in the same direction to their
drivers and reverse shocks (RSs) propagate oppositely to their drivers. Magnetic field intensities, number intensities and sheath plasma temperature in fast forward shocks (FFSs) increase downstream and these three observations present reversely in the sheath after fast reverse shocks (FRSs).

The number densities and plasma temperatures in slower shocks variance consistently as FFSs and FRSs, but the magnetic field intensities decrease in SFSs and increase in SRSs.

The enhanced plasma densities downstream of FFSs sometimes impact the Earth magnetosphere. The impingement enhances the Chapman-Ferraro magnetopause current and trigger the sudden positive variation in the horizontal component at the low-latitude geomagnetic field (also called Sudden impulses, ISs).

Shocks pass the ACE and reach the Earth within 5 minutes. The shock arrival time is calculated from time difference of ACE observation and the onset of the positive sudden impulse (SI^+s) . The compactions of the FFSs onto the magnetosphere trigger substorms and nightside geomagnetic activity. Energy storage in the ionosphere occurs even during the northward IMF intervals. The lower bound or maximum negative excursion of horizontal component (Auroral electrojet index, AL index) coincides to the magnetosonic Mach number of the precursor shock.

2.5.3 Sheathes and their characteristics

Sheathes are the region contained shocked plasma between a shock and obstacle to a supersonic flow. There are two quasi-stationary shocks producer in the solar wind. These are a planetary magnetosphere and the local interstellar cloud (LIC) shock ahead of the high-speed ICME. ICME sheathes evolve with distance, because their ICME drivers expand with distance.

Characteristics of sheathes depend on the in shock driver. A spatial width of the exhaust region was found at about 80,000 km. Energetic electrons have energy up to 400 keV and able to reconnect to Earth's magnetic fields. Another configuration found in the sheath is a plasma depletion layer (PDL). The PDLs is unstable to electron ion cyclotron wave, but it is stable to mirror mode wave. Near a half of sheath occurrences show the density decreases. A mirror mode wave is defined by a large amplitude magnetic field perturbation. The wave mode is found as an anti-correlated with the density fluctuation. The wave period at Earth was about 20 seconds.

Mirror mode waves grow well in high β plasma when $T > T - 1 > \frac{1}{\beta}$. The condition often been in sheathes behind quasi-perpendicular shocks.

2.5.4 Solar high speed streams, co-rotating interaction regions and their identification

High solar speed streams (HSSWS) are defined as the quiet stream emanated from near equatorial hole, and identified as a period as one having a rapidly rising increase in the solar wind speed (V_{sw}) over a short period, registering a maximum speed over and equally 450 and persist at least 5 days after its start.

Two main HSSWS origins provide HSSWS into two types. The first category is the HSSWS associated with solar flare (FGS) and another type is the HSSWS associated with coronal holes (CS).

The solar wind flows out from the Sun in radial direction and drags the magnetic fields from the Sun to space. When the Sun rotates, the magnetic field line will have spiral shape called Archimedean spiral. A fast solar wind (typically > 600 kms⁻¹), emanated from open magnetic field structure (coronal hole), has greater speed than the slow solar wind (typically < 400 kms⁻¹), emanated the closed magnetic structures (loop structures). Fast winds collide with its former slow wind from solar minimum, then, develop a co-rotating interaction region (CIR) between fast and slow wind.

2.6 lonosphere

Gauss (1839) proposed the existence of a conductive layer in the atmosphere to help interpretating how external sources effect on the diurnal variation of the Earth's magnetic field. Stewart had proposed the theory of the solar terrestrial dynamo in 1882 before Thomson discovered the electron in 1987. Reflection of the waves in the atmosphere and the curvature of the Earth allowed Marconi to succeed in the first radio transmission across the Atlantic in 1901. Kennely and Heaviside (1902) cited to Stewarts idea and assured the existence of the ionosphere, a conduction layer.

Ionosphere is an atmosphere where can reflect a HF radio wave (from 3 to 30 MHz). The Ionospheric base lays on the upper atmosphere at the altitude close to (about 50 km above the Earth's surface) the thermosphere. Main components in the ionosphere are free electron, atomic ion and molecular ion. Free electron charges in the layer are the electromagnetic wave mirror. Those three compositions are converted from their neutrally parental specie into an ion with two mechanisms, namely, charge exchange and ion charge exchange. On the other hands, positive ions can combine with the electron and turn back into their neutral species with dissociative electron recombination.

The Sun forms the ionosphere and controls quantities of neutral molecules, positive ions and free electron in the ionosphere. If we assume the ionosphere consists of M neutral molecules like A, B and C. The EUV continuously emitted from the Sun chases the dayside ionosphere and is absorbed by species. The neutral species then turn into positive charges and emit free electrons as the equations below.

$M + h\nu \rightarrow M^{+} + e^{-}$

Then the collision allows the primary products (atomic ions and molecular ions) to exchange their charges with other neutral species as three equations below.

(charge exchange)	$A^+ + B \longrightarrow A + B^+$
(ion charge exchange)	$A^{+} + BC \longrightarrow AB^{+} + C$
VERSIT (ion charge exchange)	$AB^+ + C \longrightarrow A + BC^+$

The dissociative recombination of molecular ions and free electrons allows the layer losses ions (As shown in the equation below).

 $AB^+ + e^- \rightarrow A + B$ (dissociative recombination) Free electrons from ionization absorb enough energy (above the thermal energy) to produce primary and sometimes secondary ionization. The suprathermal electron is important, because the secondary product of the photoelectron is onethird composition above the second lowest layer of the ionosphere, called E region.

2.6.1 Ionospheric layers

Breit and Tuve surveyed the ionosphere with an interferometric technique by generating the radio pulse and measurement. The experiment provided detection the electron density of lonospheric plasma as a function of height.

The gravitational force exerts on variance charges in the atmosphere and charges have different weights, so any specie of charges doesn't distribute equally along the lonospheric column. The lighter species stay on the higher altitude and molecular charges having more masses appear oppositely in descending order. Dominant chemical process and species distribution of charge allows assort the ionosphere into 5 generally stratified regions, namely, *D*, *E*, F_1 , F_2 region and the topside ionosphere.

The topside ionosphere is the outermost atmosphere facing with the geospace. It is defined to be the region lay above the F_2 peak, where the atomic oxygen ion (O⁺) decreases (Nicolet, 1961) to near F_2 base concentration and stops being prominent most (as shown in the Figure 2.9). The mid-latitudinal topside ionosphere extends from 600 to 1,500 km. Because of a very high altitude, the atomic hydrogen ion (H⁺) becomes predominant ion on this layer. The photon spheric state is controlled by the diffusion along field aligned transport process.

The *F* region is a less dense ionosphere. It can be divided into 2 vertical layers: *F1* and *F*₂ region. Above 180-300 km altitude, *F*₂ region is the place where O^+ presents dominantly. The above 150 to below 200 km altitude is the *F*₁ region.

The F_2 region is the transition zone of the diffusion equilibrium region (from the topside ionosphere) to the chemical equilibrium region (F regions). The ambipolar diffusion still controls region dominantly. The photochemical process is getting more frequent in the lower altitude in descending order, but is not significant. Making electron-ion pairs by the diffusion of the ionized particles through atmosphere constituents, keeps the overall electrical state neutrally.



Figure 2.10 Ion species distribution in the ionosphere from E to the topside Ionospheric region

(Blelly and Alcayde 2007)

The *F* region is a less dense ionosphere. It can be divided into 2 vertical layers: *F1* and *F2* region. Above 180-300 km altitude, F_2 region is the place where O^+ presents dominantly. The above 150 to below 200 km altitude is the F_1 region.

The F_2 region is the transition zone of the diffusion equilibrium region (from the topside ionosphere) to the chemical equilibrium region (F regions). The ambipolar diffusion still controls region dominantly. The photochemical process is getting more frequent in the lower altitude in descending order, but is not significant. Making electron-ion pairs by the diffusion of the ionized particles through atmosphere constituents, keeps the overall electrical state neutrally.

The photochemical process at the EUV wavelength controls the *F1* region, but the ion-electron recombination process of NO^+ and O_2^+ species balance the molecular ions. In summer daytime, the F1 region moves down to the lower altitude.

The *E* region of the ionosphere presents as a 90 km to 150 km altitude layer. A high ion-neutral collision frequent is the characteristics of this layer. The EUV

absorption ionizes different species within the upper regions. The O^+ and O_2^+ are still two main ions of this region. Aside the charge exchange reaction from O_2 with NO^+ , another species producing O^+ and O_2^+ participatively in this region, is N_2^+ .

The *D* region is the lowest layer of the ionosphere. The region extends approximately from 60 to 80 km above the Earth surface. At 90 km height in the region, the nitric oxide (NO) is just a minor constituent, but its product (NO^+) and by-product (O^+_2) is vastly created as a significantly comparable level. Another identity of the region is the hydrate molecules, so the main primary products of the layer are significantly contributed by hydrate parents.

The sunlight in X-rays and Lyman α emission (the X-rays emitted by solar flares) controls the *D* region principally. The sunlight ionizes hydrate, nitrate and carbonate species, then generates negative, positive charges and electrons in daytime. Ozone and electrons in the layer is the production of the negative charges of oxygen-atomic oxygen charge exchange.

2.6.2 Various temporal-scaled variations in the lonosphere

Variations in the ionosphere can be classified by frequency of occurrence into two classes: regular variations and irregular variations. Irregular variations are transient variation (the detail of irregular variations is to detail in section 2.6.4). Regular variations are a variation occurred in circles or can be predicted in advance with a reasonable accuracy.

Regular variations can be sub classified into four subsclasses by length of the cycle period. Four regular variations in the ionosphere are daily variation, 27-day variation, seasonal variation and 11-year period variation.

Diurnal variation

The angle of the Sun controls the ionization rate and the 24-hour rotation of the Earth allows any place on the Earth surface moves from a position to another exact location, where gain different angle of the Sun. Daily variation in the ionosphere effects on lonospheric layers differently.

Structure and density of all Ionospheric layers depends on the time of the day. The D region disappears at night. The E region at night has a greatly less

ionization than the daytime *E* region. The *F1* and *F2* regions unify as one layer during the night and separate back to be two layers again in daylight.

Seasonal variation

The Earth revolves about the Sun. The axial tilt of the Earth moves the relative position of the Sun from one hemisphere to the others and the seasonal variations of the ionosphere happen. Seasonal variations in all regions, except the F2 and the topside regions, corresponds to the highest angle of the Sun.

The *F2* region and the topside ionosphere propagate the higher operating frequencies in the winter than in the summer. The electron density in the topside ionosphere is the highest at the winter solstice and lowest at the summer solstice. The subauroral polarization stream (SAP) and the ion upward velocities are largest in winter and smallest in summer.

27-day variation

The Sun rotates around the axis with a differential rotation. One rotation at the solar poles makes every 34.3 days, while one rotation at the equator makes every 25.05 days. Their whole approximately making rotation time is 27 days and equals the sunspot cycle intervals. The sunspot cycle means any one times a sunspot presents, exists, changes, disappears, and new one emerges.

Fluctuation of the ionization density in the *F2* region is greater than other regions. The critical frequency prediction in the region couldn't be done with day-today basis precisely. The limitation is not to bad much, the longer-period based prediction allows forecasters to calculate frequencies for long-distance communications.

11-year variation

An appearance and disappearance of sunspot are called sunspot activity and also be observed. The activity makes a cycle every 11 years. There are a minimum and a maximum level of sunspot activity within one cycle. The ionization density of all lonospheric regions increases in a maximum sunspot activity.

2.6.3 Application in Ionosphere

Refraction of radio wave allows a trans-ionospheric propagation and a largedistance communication becomes possible. The trans-ionospheric propagation, including a skywave propagation GPS and navigation signal transmissions, makes an amateur radio operation, commercial marine and communications, shortwave broadcast and even in everyday life technologies available.

Skywave propagation is any mode of radio waves that rely on property of refraction in the ionospheric plasma. Telecommunication between two large-distance locations needs F2 region to be connected each other. The operating frequencies increase with the higher altitude. Classes of radio waves, their specific frequency, wavelength and energy is to describe in the Figure 2-10.

The *D* region reflects very low frequency (VLF), refracts low frequency (LF) and medium frequency (MF), however the *D* region disappears at night. The dayside *E* region refracts high frequency (HF) within 20 MHz to the distance of about 1,930 km. The operating frequencies in *E* region are smaller at night and the maximum usable frequency registers at 4 MHz and above whether it would block the higher frequency signals to reach at *F2* region. Since the F2 region presents through day and night (as *F* region), it is the most appropriate region for radio wave refraction.

The ionosphere in under regular variations like a daily and 27-days variations, is able to predict the optimal frequencies each layers. The abrupt variations have their precursors to forewarn occurrences. Unfortunately, the alert retard or some ionospheric disturbance spreads widely several days. The ionospheric disturbance does interfere in the signals and damages many commercial activities and businesses.

CLASS	FREQUENCY	WAVELENGTH	ENERGY
Ŷ	300 EHz	1 pm	1.24 MeV
	30 EHz	10 pm	124 keV
	3 EHz	100 pm	12.4 keV
SX —	300 PHz	1 nm	1.24 keV
	30 PHz	10 nm	124 eV
EUV_	3 PHz	100 nm	12.4 eV
NIR	300 THz	1 µm	1.24 eV
MIR	30 THz	10 µm	124 meV
FIR-	3 THz	100 µm	12.4 meV
	300 GHz	1 mm	1.24 meV
	30 GHz	1 cm	124 µeV
	3 GHz	1 dm	12.4 µeV
	300 MHz	1 m	1.24 µeV
	30 MHz	1 dam	124 neV
	3 MHz	1 hm	12.4 neV
	300 kHz	1 km	1.24 neV
	30 kHz	10 km	124 peV
	3 kHz	100 km	12.4 peV
	300 Hz	1 Mm	1.24 peV
	30 Hz	10 Mm	124 feV

Figure 2.11 Rays and radio waves: EHF = extreme high frequency (Microwave), SHF = super high frequency (Microwaves), UHF = ultrahigh frequency, VHF = very high frequency, HF = high frequency, MF = medium frequency, LF = low frequency, VLF = very low frequency, VF = voice frequency and ELF = extremely low frequency Bjankuloski06en, Electromagnetic radiation [Online], 18 April 2011. Source http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic_radiation#mediaviewer/File:Light_spectru m.svg

Chulalongkorn University

2.6.4 Ionospheric affects on trans-ionospheric propagations

Ionospheric irregularities cause the absence in selective availability (SA) in the ionosphere effect on trans-ionospheric propagations. The wave transmission allows radiowave propagation, which is available on skywave communications, GPS positioning and navigations. The absence in SA disturbs the activities with wave absorption, refraction and scintillation. The effects produce fluctuating signals, delays, phase shift and errors.

The sporadic *E* region, sudden Ionospheric disturbances (hereafter SIDs), and Ionospheric storms are common irregular variations in the ionosphere. The ionospheric instabilities lead unreliability in different signals specifically.

The sporadic E region

A thin layer of high electron density region appears as a cloud irregularly within the *E* region. Its name following its characteristics is the sporadic *E* layer. The cloud appears most frequently in summer months, when an eastward flowing neutral thermospheric wind crosses with the magnetic field. The morphology of the sporadic *E* region depending on latitude and can be classified into three types: an equatorial, middle-latitude and polar (auroral) type. Occurrence of the region allows a long-distance communication with transmitting a very high frequency (VHF) band.

Sudden Ionospheric disturbances

The SIDs is the Ionospheric irregularities which often occurred. The SID durations are from a few minute to several hours. The SID origins are usually an intense burst of EUV and UV likes solar flares. Both of wavelengths are not absorbed by the F2, F1, and E regions, but in been absorbed greatly by the D region. The burst instead causes a sudden abnormal increase in the ionization density in the region, thus the 1 to 2 MHz wave are usually completely absorbed and are unable penetrate by the region.

The lonospheric storms

Ionospheric storms are disturbances associated with the planet's magnetic field and correspond to the interplanetary electric field in dawn-to-dusk axis. The strong eastward electric fields penetrate into the evening lonospheric sector resulting from the southward IMF.

The ionospheric storms are described with increases and decreases in the lonospheric electron density into two phases. Positive plasma density increases are related to gravity wave. Global scaled gravity wave launches in the daytime auroral zone, travels to the middle latitude ($20^{\circ}-40^{\circ}$) ionosphere and then lifts the *F* regions ionization upward to higher altitude.

The ionosphere at any latitudinal zone reacts to a storm differently. The middle latitude ionospheric regions increase in ionization plasma significantly. The negative storms are caused by neutral composition change. Chao-Song Huang suggested in 2008 that the plasma density is deeply depleted over the equatorial region ($\sim 20^{\circ}$) in the evening sector.

lonospheric affects on skywave propagations

The quiet-time ionosphere also degrades radio signals. Regular and irregular ionizations within the lower ionosphere, especially D region, like absorbing the HF and VHF radio. The present in daytime D region causes significant amount of signal loss.

Ionospheric irregularities sometimes distort the path of radio wave but the irregularities often fade (absorb) signals and shift amplitude of the signals. A signal fluctuation phenomenon is known as scintillation.

Solar wind streams convey very energetic particles which travel at near the speed of light. The energetic particles induce ionizations in the upper atmosphere (the ionosphere) near the magnetic pole. The intensive ionization can make a deep absorption of the HF and VHF signals. The great absorption is called the polar cap absorption (PCA). The HF radio communication is not available when the PCA events occur. Magnetic storms and substorms sometimes extend the PCA out of the polar cap to the auroral zone, such as over Canada and Northern USA.

lonospheric affects on GPS

The ionosphere mirrors below 30 MHz radio waves back toward to the Earth and allows waves at higher frequencies pass right though. The wave used in the GPS is in the higher frequency wave. The electron density in the ionosphere determines the speed of propagation of a radiowave. The greater density of the electron contributes to the greater speed of the propagation, so the net effect GPS signal is the integration of the electron density along a satellite-to-receiver path.

If the speed of the propagation is to greater than the speed of its signal generator does, the signal traveled from satellite may be completely vacuum. The sinusoid phase of carrier arrived at the receiver earlier is called a phase advance.

The ionosphere doesn't only trigger a phase advance, but also trigger a group delay in radio wave propagation. The ionosphere delay signals modulating the GPS carrier, such as, the navigation massage and the pseudorandom noise codes. The modulating carrier signals are formed with the superposition of a large group of pure sinusoids of slightly different frequencies. The group delay is the delay of the modulation. The phase advance causes pseudorange error and the group delay causes range-rate errors.

The multiplication of a group delay size and the speed of light (about 300,000 km per second) is the pseudorange error. Hence, the ionospheric range error is proportional to secant of the satellite zenith angle. The pseudorange of the dual frequencies can be corrected by the range by L1 and L2 combination. The pseudorange observed with C/A code receivers can be corrected by the ionosphere model with root-mean square correction. Generally, the range error on horizontal coordinate is cancelled by the opposite path of satellite, so the range error occurs in the height coordinate (altitude).

The measurement of the observed carrier phases allows operators to know how much the GPS signals are contaminated by the ionosphere. Moreover the measurement allows operators to convert carrier phases into the carrier range. The carrier range error size equal its carrier range, but is opposite in sign. These range-rage errors are caused by the scintillation effects of the total number of electrons along the signal path, which is called the slant total electron contents (STEC).

2.7 Total electron contents

The counting the number of electrons in the vertical column with a cross-sectional area of 1 m^2 is quantify the electron density. The count is known as the total electron content (hereafter TEC).

2.7.1 Global TEC and its variation

The quantity of TEC relays on spatial and temporal variation. TEC is globally observed at the centroid height of the ionosphere. The height is approximately 400 kilometers above the Earth's surface. All TEC is determined at the same height, but the quantities of TEC in the different latitudes are unequal.

TECU is generally accepted TEC unit, 1 TECU = 10^{16} m⁻². Although TEC observed at the same location, the quantities of daytime and nighttime TEC are different. Since the nighttime electrons are not generated much, moreover the electrons like combining with positive ions. Daytime sunlight and its absence cause a strong swing in TEC as diurnal variation.

TEC starts to increase when the local F_2 ionosphere chases the sunlight. Generally, the rising time for TEC is between 5 to 6 in the morning depend on a season. TEC rises gradually and is most registered at approximately between 14 to 15 LT (the maximum TEC registered period also be suggested by Mansilla *et al.* in 2010, between 14:00-18:00 LT over a South-American section), then the local TEC drops continually and stop at the minimum content at approximate 3:00 LT (Wu *et al.*, 2006).

The daytime TEC maximum at the equatorial (0-20°), middle (40-55°) and high latitudes (60-87.5°) are 38 ± 5 , 14 ± 2 and 10 ± 2 TECU respectively. The nighttime TEC minimum is within 5-7 TECU and regardless of season, latitude and longitude. The amplitude of diurnal variation of TEC is the largest (20-35 TECU) at the equatorial latitudes and smallest (2-6 TECU) at high latitudes.

A seasonal variation in TEC between two hemispheres is asymmetrical. The maximum TEC are found in the equinoxes. The minimum TEC is in the summer solstice, but the minimum is also found in winter solstice at low latitudes in very low solar acidity phase.

The largest and the smallest amplitude of diurnal variation are in March and December respectively. There is an asymmetrical behavior in the northern and the southern hemispheres during two equinoxes: the amplitude in the southern hemisphere is higher in autumn than in spring.

TEC variation doesn't not correspond to only the solar cycle as a regular variation, but also correspond to the transient phenomena as an irregular variation. The irregular variation in TEC is often caused by the disturbance in the space weather condition, such geomagnetic storm and solar flare. The irregular variation in TEC is quantified as the percentage deviation in TEC.

2.7.2 The irregular TEC variation

Latitudes play a key role on variation in the lonospheric parameter under the stormy condition.

The dominant positive storm over the equatorial latitude oppose to predominantly negative storm effect over the midlatitudes. The positive and negative storm variance, both coincide to O/N_2 ratio. Daytime short-lived electron density enhancement is able to track travelling atmospheric disturbances (TAD).

Deviation in TEC (dTEC) and Percentage deviation in TEC (hereafter dTEC%) are generally accepted in a TEC anomaly study.

The deviation in TEC is deviation from the quiet-time TEC which is prefer as the TEC during the A_p index equals or less than 25. The dTEC% exceeds 30% during the storm.

The positive and negative deviations in TEC don't correspond to the severity of the storm. The largest deviation in TEC occurs around the main phase of the magnetic storm. The decrement in TEC occurs in recovery phase of the storm. It is due to prompt penetration electric fields. The penetrating field corresponds well to MC structure interval.

Predominantly negative deviation in TEC in midlatitudes oppose to the dominant positive deviation over the equatorial latitudes. The positive and negative deviations in TEC are parts of TADs.

Nighttime positive deviation in EIA depends a season and greatest in the equinox month.

Percentage deviation in TEC also is found in a pre-storm, post-storm and even in quiet time TEC. Day-to-day deviation in TEC manifests typically approximately 20% in daytime and 33% at night.

Pre-storm TEC enhancement is over equatorial and low latitudes. The prestorm enhancement at low latitude is caused by the vertical plasma drift or zonal electric field.

Post-storm TEC enhancements are part of equatorial crest region and extend poleward during the late evening and nighttime hours. Daytime TEC enhancements are not confined to the equatorial crest region, but occupy the whole of the latitude range considered in their study. The well-developed positive and negative storm occurs at low and equatorial latitude may represent the strong electric field originated from the magnetosphere.



CHAPTER III INSTRUMENTS AND DATA DISCRIPTIONS

3.1 Introduction

In studying the interaction between the outer Earth variables and the Earth dependents response, requires the space-based measurements and the ground measurements. The space measurement is needed to confirm an existence of the ICMEs and that doesn't mean the ejection will reach and attack the Earth always, so a neutron monitor is required to assure that the structures have already reached the Earth. The TEC variation will be investigated then whether the structures miss the Earth. There are 4 main required instruments in this study, so the chapter 4 is meant to explain them and their operating owner, including their data used in this investigation, respectively.

3.2 Space-based measurement

The interesting in heliospheric and galactic composition launched a 785 kg of the 1.6 m across and 1 m high octagonal object at Cape Canaveral, Florida, the United Stated at 14:39 UTC in August 25, 1997 with the Delta II 7920, to study the interplanetary medium matters at geospace L1 Earth – Sun libration point. The object is the Explorer-71 named Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE). This unmanned spacecraft designed, built at Johns Hopkins University (JHU) and the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) is purposed to operate 12 experiments until 2024.

Nine instruments maintained carry on 9 experimental mission of ACE utterly: the Solar Wind Ion Mass Spectrometer (SWIMS) to measure mass and energy of solar wind ions and isotopes; the Solar Wind Ion Composition Spectrometer (SWICS) to determine elemental and ionic composition, it's temperatures and mean speeds; Ultra-Low Energy Isotope Spectrometer (ULEIS) to measure energetic ion fluxes between He and Ni range (from about 20 keV/ nucleon to 10 MeV/nucleon); the Solar Energetic Particle Ionic Charge Analyzer (SEPICA) to measure properties of above 0.2 MeV/ nucleon energetic ion, namely, kinetic energy, ionic charge state and

nuclear charge; the Solar Isotope Spectrometer (SIS) to measure isotope from Z equal to 2 (Li) though 31 (Zn) at energies from 10 to 100 MeV/nucleon; the Cosmic Ray Isotope Spectrometer (CRIS) to measure to measure isotope from Z equal to 2 (Li) though 31 (Zn) at energies from 100 to 600 MeV/nucleon and besides ultra-heavy nuclei measurement from Z equal to 31 (Ga) though 40 (Zr); the Solar Wind Electron, Proton and Alpha Monitor (SWEPAM) to measure solar wind electrons and ions at 1 - 900 eV and 0.26 – 35 keV respectively; the Electron, Proton and Alpha Particle Monitor (EPAM) to measure wide range of the solar and interplanetary particle fluxes concluding electrons; the Magnetometer (MAG) to measure three vectors of the interplanetary magnetic field component and the last one is the ACE real time solar wind operated by NOAA to transmit telemetry real-time to NASA's deep space network, 21 hours a day to monitor and predict the change of space weather. All instruments are shown in Figure 3-1.

In situ digital parameters collected from all the analog sensors are assembled together in an onboard solid state data recorder (SSDR) which can store data continuously more than 50 hours long in cast of necessary. Then, the data are flown under the spacecraft command and data handling (C&DH) and formatted into a minor and a major frame. The C&DH system reads one frame spacing 996 bytes out a second. A major frame contains 16 minor frames.



Figure 3.1 The ACE spacecraft illustration (OMNIWeb website, 2013).

3.2.1 Magnetometer, MAG

A twin of tri-axial arrangement fluxgate magnetometer monitors its surrounding IMF representative as the locally magnetic field direction, the magnetic magnitude and provides an effective studying in the fluctuation characteristics of IMF at 1 AU, including, a large-scale structure.

Working principle of MAG is like a normal fluxgate magnetometer. A twin of closed ferromagnetic cores has its susceptibility enough to be saturated magnetically with a weak surrounding IMF. The primary coil winds around two cores oppositely (as a Figure 3-2) and is excited by a kilohertz of alternating current (Beloff, Denisenko et al.) to saturate cores at the same strength but opposite orientation during each half-cycle of excitation. The cores are winded once more with a secondary coil, which get a voltage potential from the primary coil. If there is no external magnetic field, an amp meter connected to the secondary coil should detect and find zero voltage. On the other hand, when there is an external magnetic field, the field will reinforce magnetic field to a core which have the same field direction, but produce a smaller induced field, because of the opposite direction. The difference of measurable voltages in the secondary coil between no-external fielded existence and external field (as Figure 3-2) existence can derive the strength of the environment magnetic field in the direction of the core proportionally.



Figure 3.2 Fluxgate magnetometer mechanism. (Boyd, T., M., 1996)

3.2.2 The solar wind, electron, proton and alpha monitor, SWEPAM

SWEPAM is a 3-dimension electrostatic analyzer (ESA) to observe the interstellar medium, especially on the ion components of the solar wind. This ESA comprises of two experiments. A solar wind ion instrument (SWEPAM-I) provides the measurement of the wind protons and alpha particles and another is a solar wind electron instrument (SWEPAM-E) provides measurement of local electrons. The instruments are sensitive to any charge particles having energy between ~10 eV to several hundred MeV per nucleon. These ion measurements provide an effective studying in the context of various solar wind structures such as the low speed streamer belt flows, the high speed solar wind from corona hole, CMEs, the various and strength of IR, and the magnetic connection to the earth blow shock. The SWEPAM doesn't monitor the composition of this galaxy singly. It collaborates with SWOOP, another older ESA installed on the Ulysses, which orbits with an assisting of the Jupiter gravity.

An electrostatic analyzer mechanism needs employing an electric field to observe an element and isotopic composition. A stream of wind comes into the apparatus apertures, but then only charge particles are permitted to flow through the space between two opposite voltage curve plates. Because these plates set up an electric field, which act like a charge filter. Basically, an upper voltage plate attracts a dissimilarly incoming charge but repels similarly charge. The greater opposite charge (energy), the further it can travel as in the Figure 3-3. Its energy-per charge ratio, E/q effects, biases itself into its suitable channel electron multiplier (CEM) and be counted individually here. An ESA need knowing all velocities in three dimensions each a charge to characterize the bulk flow and the kinetic properties of the solar wind.

SWEPAM is programmed to provide a 64 s and 2.5° resolutions of full electron and ion distribution resulting from three factors, two angles and an ESA step level. Due to the direction of the fan-shaped aperture of SWEPAM sensor, two angles defined to point the direction in which a charge comes. The first one is the polar angle, θ , where 0° is parallel to Sun-pointing spin-axis direction of the ACE spacecraft, and another one is the azimuth angle, ϕ , which is in the plane perpendicular to the

fan. Normally, the fan is pointed 18.75° in a polar angle and allows the instrument to measure ions arriving from 0° to 65 outward to the sun. Each ESA CEM steps 200 voltages from each others, both SWEPAM-I and SWEPAM-E.



Figure 3.3 An electrostatic analyzer mechanism

(NASA website 2006)

SWEPAM-I sensor is negative high voltage and well-protected from any practical biases inside a spherical rib having apertures as Figure 3-4. An entrance aperture faces sunward direction at 3-4.5°, depended on the polar angle. Down inside the rectangle-shaped aperture, a gap width of 2.84 mm with radius of 100 mm is braced with a couple of curved plates having a 105° bending angle. The plates are made of aluminum alloy, coated with copper and darken to reduce UV scattering into the sensor. The blackened technique is Ebanol-C process. An inner plate is induced to be negative high voltage to introduce a particle into its own right channel of sixteen CEMs. The multiplier detectors are coated individually with 2 types of ceramic cards; the first one once more coated with a glass-coated thin film resister and one another is bear ceramic coupling capacitors. The 7 mm detector funnel has 5° of polar angle separation connected the gap as Figure 3-5. The CEMs are slightly positive to the exit end respectively.



Figure 3.4 Drum-liked shapes of SWEPAM-I (A) and SWEPAM-E (B)

(NASA, 2006)

SWEPAM-I count is processed as Figure 3-6 and then calibrated. The SWEPAM counts particle at some given ESA voltages in any CEMs. CEMs have their own dedicated amplifier-discriminator and transmit a number of counts into their own miniature printed circuit board outside sensor, via a few centimeters of fully shielded signal line. The discriminator has 2 selectable levels; namely, 1x10⁶ and 2x10⁷ electrons per pulse. The lower level is to observe scientifically, whereas the higher level is use to calibrate a periodic CEM. After that cumulated pulses are processed in buffer/level-shifter modules, digitized into string, and calibrated finally. The SWEPAM-I calibration starts with forming 3D array of ESA voltage, azimuthal and polar angle of a given CEM and then approximates a count rate in gaussian profile with its geometric fitting, e.g., non-linear and trapezoid.

Ghulalongkorn University



Figure 3.5 Inside the SWEPAM-I ESA and its CEMs arrangement



Figure 3.6 SWEPAM-I process.

SWEPAM-E body is quite similar to SWEPAM-I (see Figure 3-4). This instrument is based on around a spherical ESA. An entrance aperture of its points normally to the spacecraft spin axis. An inner gap width of 3.5 mm radiates average of 41.9 mm long. The 120 °bended ESA is positive high voltage inside and connects to seven funnels of CEMs as Figure 3-7. This ESA is also blackened to reduce background from UV scattering, photoelectron and secondary production in plate themselves. Because of sensitivity of low-energy electron to the spacecraft surface charge, The SWEPAM-E needs a special spacecraft blanket containing thermal characteristics of its spacecraft, coating apertures with silver/teflon film once more and covering spring-load dust for protecting contamination. 21° of polar angle separation of CEM funnels width of 11 mm diameter are along an exit, where covered with 92% transmission nickel mesh and been positive slightly. The CEMs count electrons having energies from 1.6 eV – 1.35 eV and transmit the signal through their own dedicated amplifier-discriminator into 20 contiguous energy bins as Figure 3-8.



Figure 3.7 SWEPAM-E process.

The SWEPAM-E calibration is different from the SWEPAM-I, but both of their count rate adjustment is done similarly. There are 3 ways to calibrate SWEPAM-E; using a 1.05 keV proton beam, but simply much with using a negative high voltage or using ions with energies well above a few hundred volt post-acceleration bias on

CEM, the ions are far less effect by Earth's magnetic field than electrons. The count rate adjustment can be done with gaussian approximation and its geometric fitting.



Figure 3.8 SWEPAM-E process

3.3 Ground-based measurement

There are 2 main ground-based instruments, a neutron monitor and GPS, used in this study. The monitors used in this recheck are mostly located over auroral zone (see Figure 1-1). They operated within the University of Delaware, Bartol research institute neutron monitor program. Another instrument is GPS data, which this investigation concentrates on the GPS stations in the North and South America continents, because, those places have the intensest station and have the most availability of data during 2005 – 2012. However, thai studies wouldn't able to reach the remote data without the global service sector as IGS.

3.3.1 Neutron monitor

A neutron monitor counts the secondary cosmic rays. The cosmic rays, ions and gamma ray, now known as a high energy particle consists of predominantly protons and helium nuclei from outer space. The ray from outer space is called primary cosmic ray. It encounters the earth and interacts with the atmosphere. The interaction produces more types of particles disintegration. The split molecule has high energy and be called the secondary cosmic ray, which is easier to detect and count than the primary cosmic ray, because it can be amplified after have collided with other molecules. The collision continues again and again as Figure 3-9. The process is called an atmospheric cascade. When a starting primary cosmic ray has energy above 500 MeV, the secondary cosmic ray can reach ground level, where neutron monitor can detect its byproducts, as neutrons.

Energy range of neutrons must more than 10 MeV. A neutron monitor counts the number of the incoming cosmic particles with four main components, as, a reflector, a producer, a moderator and a proportional counter. 10 MeV neutrons encounter the body of the monitor reflectors, in which made of a polyethylene. Other lower energy neutrons cannot penetrate the shell, because the polymer is proton-rich material. Neutrons get though the reflector and interact with an array of producer made of lead as Figure 3-10. The interaction produces a number of onetenth energy neutrons of the previous neutron. These lower energy neutrons amplify the cosmic signal. The fast neutrons are slow down with a proton rich moderator, to confine, detect and count these neutrons within the reflector. Finally, disintegrate the proportional counter counts a number of neutrons via a 764 keV electrical signal produced by neutrons and helium reaction. The neutron monitor's invented first by Prof. John A Simpson in 1948.



Figure 3.9 The atmospheric cascade starts in the deep upper atmosphere and interacts with air molecule and reaches the ground level.



Figure 3.10 Inside a neutron monitor and its illustration respectively.

3.3.2 GPS

GPS project was first developed by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) in 1973. The department uses it in military affairs and allows civilians to some of the system also. The GPS is used widespread in business and also private individual nowadays because the system determines a one's exact location and the precise time with the high accuracy. As a result, its application is famously used in various activities, such as, surveying, navigation in an airline business and shipping business, vehicle monitoring in the logistic business, determining the exact location of any places and holiday trekking. The system has little inaccuracy.

The accuracies of 2 determinations of GPS, an exact position and local time, are accepted satisfyingly. The determined position has an error less than a range of 20 m to approximately 1 mm. Another determining provides the time precision having an error less than 60 ns to approximately 5 ns. These values are determined by 3 the GPS main components, namely, satellites, theirs signals and their ground receivers.

The first twenty-eight GPS operated satellites were launched in 1978 and other satellites were later to 2013. Lately, there are sixty-four satellites operate and orbits the Earth in May 2013. The orbits are height of 20,180 km above the modeled surface. Satellites are located equal on six different orbital planes. The planes are inclined at 55° to the equator.

Each satellite has four atomic clocks, which being heart and known that their atom will lose a maximum of one second every 30,000 to 1,000,000 years. Satellites transmit their on board clock time and their position to ground receivers at 300,000 km/s as fast as the speed of light. Basically, a required time to reach the receiver, where located directly under the satellite, is approximately 67.3 ms.

At any determination, GPS requires four satellites to provide some exact positions. Measuring signal transmit time of a satellite derives a distance from a satellite to receiver as a radius of a sphere. Measuring signal transmit time of two satellites derives a position of receiver on the longitude (X) and latitude (Y) plane. Adding another one satellite in measurement provides the height (Z) of the receiver as Figure 3-11. These geographic coordinates seem to get enough to provide an exact location and a precise time, but these three coordinates are not enough. Another unknown variable need to be determined is time error (δ t), so another satellite is needed to adjusted time error and distances in any works, however, there is another time error from receiver, which varies within approximately 5-10 m.



Figure 3.11 The position is determined at the point where all three spheres intersect.

A GPS signal contains an ephemeris data and an almanac, information about time and status of the entire satellite constellation, which allow user to determine the correct place and time. Both are coded in the Coarse/Acquisition (C/A) code and Precision (P) code, but the code that a public is allowed to use is C/A code, in which known as Pseudorandom Noise (PRN). The noise being a 1,023 bit deterministic sequence is transmitted repeatedly every millisecond or at 1.023 Mbit/s to receivers.

Receiver needs signals from at least four satellites to determine a correct three-dimensional position. At first, a receiver antenna evacuates weak signal, amplifies the transmitted 1575.42 MHz and converses the amplified signals into a lower intermediate frequency with the reference oscillator as a Figure 3-12. A means of a 2-bit ADC converts then an analogue intermediate frequency into a digital signal. After that, the digital signal has been undergone a correlating PRN pulse sequence to mix the satellite PRN sequence together and determines the signal transmit time from the satellites to the GPS receiver, before be transmitted to the signal processor. The signal processor controls the generated PRN sequence and uses it to calculate the correct position and save its in memory finally.

After receivers around the world generate raw orbit and tracking data, their products are transmitted to the Operational Data Centers (ODC) and the International GPS service (IGS) to format them according to a command standard. The data format named Receiver Independent Exchange (RINEX), which is commonly well-known.



Figure 3.12 GPS module

3.3.3 International GPS service

A general public can reach GPS observation data sets of the IGS collection for free online easily at out the SOPAC website. Since 1994, the International Association of Geodesy (IAG) operated routinely to achieve and distribute data products such as, tracking data and GPS orbits data in support of geodetic and geophysical research. In particular, global navigation satellite system (GNSS) data, in support of Earth science research.

GPS data of IGS at any position around the world and for any purpose are almost available. The IGS took a part in many government sectors and association, such as, the service of the international Association of Geodesy since 1990, the Federation of Astronomical and Geophysical Data and Analysis Service (FAGS) since 1996 and the Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center (SOPAC) which collects and supports near real-time of their own at least 250 station data. Moreover, the SOPAC has many participants in various researches, such as, Plate Boundary Observatory (PBO), Sumatran GPS Array (SuGAr), NASA MEASUREs, Earth Systems Research Laboratory (ESRL) at NOAA, which locates many GPS sites for Meteorological studies around the world, so any individuals who interesting in the GPS data can reach approximately 800 continuous GPS data from more than 20 scientific networks around the world at IGS via SOPAC website.

Various IGS-GPS usages in various studies contribute many products and application available to IGS. The Service uses GPS data sets to generate following product: GPS satellite ephemerides, GLONASS satellite ephemerides, Earth rotation parameters, IGS tracking station coordinates and velocities, GPS satellite and IGS tracking station clock information, Zenith tropospheric path delay estimates and Global ionospheric maps. These can use as a applications in many purpose of studies, such as earthquake hazards, tectonic plate motion, plate boundary deformation, meteorological and upper atmospheric process, which is repeated in this study.

3.4 Data descriptions

All the data used in this study, is available on online databases. An interested person can reach them via their operator website. The level 2 IMF data are available on ACE level 2 data server on the Space Radiation Lab (SRL), under the California Institute of Technology (CALTECH) website. SWEPAM both need 64 s to spin-phase calibrate with spacecraft clock and other instrument, while MAG instrument required to only 16 s as fast as one spin to calibrate itself, so the 64 s and 16 s is the finest time resolution to use its. The observed neutron counts monitoring data adjusted with the reference pressure 563 mmHg at the princess Sirindhorn project are available on Thaispaceweather website. GPS data from stations are available in RINEX format, on the SOPAC website. These data is saved in difference pseudo-code as table 3-1.



No.	Parameters (Pseudo-	Time	Level in	Measurements	Instruments	Usage
	code)	Resolution	Used			
1	the magnetic field	16 s	2	Space-based	MAG	- Identifying MCs,
	magnitude in nT				instrument	ISs
	(Bmag)					IRs and HSS
2	the RTN latitude	16 s	2			- Classifying shock
	in degree (Delta)					with
		6.0	NH12	9.9		its local angle
3	the RTN longitude	16 s	2	12		- Classifying shock
	in degree (Lambda)	States -	0 3			with
		TOTOTOLSE		and the second		its local angle
4	the x component of	16 s	2			- MVA
	magnetic field vector 🥌		//			-correlating MCs
	in GSE coordinate	////				to
	system in nT (Bgse_x)	1////		11111		dTEC%
5	the y component of 🥖	16 s	2	111100		- MVA
	magnetic field vector	111	1200			- correlation
	in GSE coordinate	1//////	(() II ()	811118		
	system in nT (Bgse_y)	118	0000	~ \@		
6	the z component of	16 s	2			- MVA
	magnetic field vector					- correlation
	in GSE coordinate	E.		and a second		- Classifying MCs
	system in nT (Bgse_z)		×	62		
7	the x component of	64 s	2	10	SWEPAM-I	- lag time
	proton velocity vector					determination
	in GSE coordinate					- correlation
	system in km/s	้าลงกรเ	ก้มหา	ิวิทยาลั	81	
	(Vgse x)					
8	the y component of	64 s	2	INIVERS	ITV	- lag time
	proton velocity vector					determination
	in GSE coordinate					- correlation
	system in km/s					
	(Vøse v)					
9	the v component of	64 s	2	-		- lag time
	proton velocity vector					determination
	in GSE coordinate					- correlation
	system in km/s					CONCLATION
7	(vgse_y)	(1 -	2	Current la		
1	proton speed in km/s	04 5	2	space-based	SVVEPAIVI-I	- identifying is and
	(V _p)	1	l	1	1	133

Table 3.1 Parameters used in this study and their details.

8	radial component of	64 s	2			- identifying MCs
	the proton					
	temperature in $^{\circ}$ K (T _{p,rr})					
9	proton density in cm ⁻³	64 s	2			- identifying MCs
	(n _p)					
10	the x component of	64 s	2		ACE	- lag time
	the spacecraft				spacecraft	determination
	position, in GSE, in					
	km (pos_gse_x)		. 6 6 6 a			
11	the y component of	64 s	2	2 -		-l time
	the spacecraft					determination
	position, in GSE, in		0 3			
	km (pos_gse_y)	Total Contraction	1 1 3			
12	the z component of	64 s	2			- lag time
	the spacecraft					determination
	position, in GSE, 🛛 in 🥌	e / / / /				
	km (pos_gse_z)	////L		III () () () () () () () () ()		
13	the neutron monitor,	1 h	Corrected	Ground-based	neutron	- rechecking
	corrected for pressure		with air		monitor	arriving of
	effect,	1/198	pressure			the CME
	in counts per hour		8680			structures to
	(Corr)	1 Steel	(4 (3))))))			the earth
14	GPS station latitude in	6 m	Std		GPS	- lag time
	degree (Lat)		2 V VIER	A		determination
	199					- generating
	4					dTEC% map
15	GPS tation longitude in	6 m	Std			- lag time
	degree (Lng)		٠	3		determination
	า จุ พา	เสงกรถ	แทมป	วทยาล	E	- generating
	0					dTEC% map
16	GPS station altitude in	6 m	Std	UNIVERS	БТY	- lag time
	m (Al)					determination
						- generating
						dTEC% map
17	mean TEC in TECU	6 m	Std			- deriving mTEC
	(VTEC)					and
						dTEC%
18	average magnetic field	1 h	OMNI 2		magneto-	- identifying a
	of the planet in nT (A _p)				meter	quiet and
						a disturbed day

CHAPTER IV METHODOLOGY

4.1 Introduction

Because lots of high-temporal-resolution datasets coming from the ground and space-based observations, are required in this study to confirm the CME, its ancillary structure and co-rotating region arrivals, a manual calculation is too drag. Programming is needed to carry these works out. The technical computing language used to execute provability in the study is MATLAB.

This chapter describes all processes, their concepts and their equations in the whole programs executing this study briefly. The content in chapter 4 starts from MCs and other phenomena identification with space instruments, investigation their arrivals with a ground instrument, coordination systems between GSE, GEO and GEI transformation, lag time from spacecraft to the GPS receivers calculation, time capture, change in TEC from quiet time determination and represents their deviation in maps generated with IDW interpolation.

4.2 Magnetic clouds identification

CMEs are observed with a photographic technique and those CMEs are identified once more specifically as MCs with their magnetic fields and particle measurement. Some driven ICMEs, pass through any spacecrafts. They may run into or away our earth. A few percent of ICMEs are MCs. Nonetheless, 72% of these MCs induce severe magnetic storms. Therefore, MCs identification in advance of reaching at the earth is well.

There are a lot of cloud criteria to identify. But, there are three processes to use typically. The earliest process is minimum variance analysis (MVA). The second one is an expected plasma temperature to the plasma temperature in measurement comparison. Another process is thermal pressure to the magnetic pressure ratio test. In my experience as a programmer I prefer to investigate two latter processes before MVA. Seeing that importing a lesser resolution file as small as the 64 second average SWEPAM data is much faster and easier than 16 second MAG data.

4.2.1 Minimum variance analysis

Generally, a space phenomenon reveals itself as a clustered data via a single or a multi-spacecraft based measurement. To confine the clustered physical configuration, MVA technique fits to border the magnetic boundary with its XYZ magnetic components. Base on its planar assumption, the MVA doesn't suit to all geometries. In particularly the multi-spacecraft case conflicts clearly with the assumption (Dunlop, M. N., Woodward, T. I. and Farrugia, C. J., 1994). Anyway, MVA is useful to estimate MC axis orientation (Echer, E., Gonzalez, W. D. and Alves, M. V., 2006). A unit normal vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$, the eigenvectors (x_1, x_2, x_3) and the eigenvalues ($\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_2, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_3$) are three basically derivative values needed to generate a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{M}_{\mu\nu}^{\mathbf{B}}$ in MVA (Sonnerup, U. Ö. and Scheible, M., 1998). The complex procedures are directed as follows.

Brief MVA steps of the program 1 in the Appendix A are hereafter described. The earliest work is the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ calculation from three distinct vector measurements given as B^1 , B^2 and B^3 as equation (4.1).

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \pm \frac{(\mathbf{B}^1 - \mathbf{B}^2) \times (\mathbf{B}^2 - \mathbf{B}^3)}{(\mathbf{B}^1 - \mathbf{B}^2) \times (\mathbf{B}^3 - \mathbf{B}^3)}$$
(4.1)

The normal field component B_n computed left out the assumption $B \cdot \hat{n} = B \cdot \hat{n} = 0$ 0 allows calculation of its actual value, as equation (4.2).

$$B_{n} = B \cdot \hat{n} = \pm \frac{B^{1} \cdot (B^{2} \times B^{3})}{|(B^{1} - B^{2}) \times (B^{2} - B^{3})|} B_{n} = B \cdot \hat{n} = \pm \frac{B^{1} \cdot (B^{2} \times B^{3})}{|(B^{1} - B^{2}) \times (B^{2} - B^{3})|}$$
(4.2)

Thenceforth, the result allows creation of an array B_n based on equation (4.3)

$$M_{\mu\nu}^{B} \equiv \langle B_{\mu}B_{\nu} \rangle - \langle B_{\mu} \rangle \langle B_{\nu} \rangle$$
$$M_{\mu\nu}^{B} \equiv \langle B_{\mu}B_{\nu} \rangle - \langle B_{\mu} \rangle \langle B_{\nu} \rangle M_{\mu\nu}^{B} \equiv \langle B_{\mu}B_{\nu} \rangle - \langle B_{\mu} \rangle \langle B_{\nu} \rangle$$
$$(4.3)$$

Where the subscripts μ and v are 1, 2 and 3 represent X, Y and Z in a Cartesian coordination system respectively.

An eigenvector of any square matrix is a non-zero vector in which multiplied by its matrix, yields the original vector multiplied by an eigenvalue specially. Owing to an eigenvector, the eigenvalue meant changing in a vector magnitude with keeping a same old direction under a given linear transformation. Consequently, an above matrix is usable to calculate the eigenvector and its eigenvalues with equation (4.4).

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{3} M_{\mu\nu}^{B} n_{\nu} = \lambda n_{\nu} \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} M_{\nu}^{B} n_{\nu} = \lambda n_{\nu} \sum_{\nu=1}$$

Siscoe and Suey suggested in 1980 that the λ_2 to λ_3 ratio in MCs must be or be higher than 2 because of its ellipsoid geometry. By the way, the equation 4.4 yields three λ , namely, λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 which stand for eigenvalues of the maximum, medium and minimum variance direction.

4.2.2 An expected plasma temperature calculation

Ordinarily, velocities about 400 and faster than 600 km/s are used to assort solar stream blowing into a slow and fast wind respectively. But the velocity about 500 km per second is critical speed to select a formula allowing calculation of an expected proton temperature. Richardson and Cane found these empirical correlations in 1995.

An expected proton temperature is a proton temperature defined statistically from the solar wind speed $V_P V_{SW}$ and the proton temperature $V_P T_p$ at near-earth space. Two differentiate formulas are to use in the different wind speed as equation 4.5 and 4.6.

 $T_{exp} = 1000 (0.031 V_{sw} - 5.1)^2 \quad \text{for } V_{sw} < 500 \text{km/s} T_{exp} = 1000 (0.031 V_{sw} - 5.1)^2 \quad for V_{sw} < 500 \text{km/s}$ (4.5)
$$T_{exp} = 510V_{sw} - 142000 \quad \text{for } V_{sw} \ge 500 \text{km/s} T_{exp} = 510V_{sw} - 142000 \quad \text{for } V_{sw} \ge 500 \text{km/s} \quad (4.6)$$

From a previous research, an actual MC temperature ought not to be higher a half of its expected temperature. Nowadays, many studies like holding the criteria and publish frequently.

4.2.3 The thermal pressure to the magnetic pressure ratio test

The thermal pressure to its magnetic pressure ratio β , is an effective index in MCs identification, since the ejected cloud contains more magnetizing compositions when compare it with a calm solar wind. Then, the magnetic field of the cloud has a greater effect on its motion than its dynamics. After all, the cloud has a higher pressure than the ambient medium, so the cloud ought to expand themselves to the surrounding medium and the proton temperature, , T_p is getting lower during the expansion. This anomaly makes MC different from wind and other ejecta. From Burlaga's result in his original study about the β in configurations of MC, in 1991, the empirical β was less than 1. The ACE observation allows us to determine $\beta \beta$ with its 3 parameters, the proton density, N_p , the radial component of the proton temperature, T_p and the magnetic field magnitude, B, as an equation 4.7.

$$\beta = \frac{8\pi \cdot N_{p} \cdot k_{B} \cdot T_{p}}{B^{2}}$$
(4.7)

Where $k_B \ kB$ devoting the Boltzmann constant, which equal 1.3806505 x 10⁻²³ J/K.

4.3 An interplanetary shock (IS) identification and classification

Because there is no directly sound speed, Alfven speed, proton density and so on measurement, in addition working with IS must involve with many assumptions by reason of a limited of instruments. Assumptions are use to calculate many ratios concerned with the IS and describe the IS configurations. There are two famous ways to classify IS with its characteristic and this examining do also, one is an angle between the magnetic field direction and its shock normal $heta_{Bn}$ and another is its speed classification.

4.3.1 Shock classification with the angle between the magnetic field direction and its shock normal

Colburn and Sonett stated the coplanarity theorem in 1966 that the shock normal **n** and the magnetic fields at two points, the upstream B_u and downstream B_d lie in a plane. After that θ_{Bn} can be calculated from three existing magnetic fields on ACE and their derived shock normal which are calculable with equation 4.8.

$$n = \frac{(B_u \times B_d) \times (B_u - B_d)}{|(B_u \times B_d) \times (B_u \times B_d)|}$$
(4.8)

The $heta_{Bn}$ can hereafter compute with basic vector operation as equation 4.9

$$\cos\theta_{Bn} = \frac{B_{\chi} \cdot n_{\chi} + B_{y} \cdot n_{y} + B_{z} \cdot n_{z}}{\sqrt{B_{\chi}^{2} + B_{y}^{2} + B_{z}^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{n_{\chi}^{2} + n_{y}^{2} + n_{z}^{2}}}$$
(4.9)

Then an angle is to classify IR into 3 major groups and 2 subgroups as follows:

- A perpendicular shock which propagates perpendicular to its magnetic field, $\theta_{Bn} = 90^{\circ}$.
- A parallel shock which propagates parallel to its magnetic fields, $heta_{Bn}$ = 180 $^\circ$
- An oblique shock which propagate at any θ_{Bn} between 0° and 90°. This major class can subdivide more into 2 subgroups:
 - O A quasi parallel shock which propagate at $0^{\circ} < \theta_{Bn} < 45^{\circ}$ angle width.
 - O A quasi perpendicular shock which propagate at 45° < $heta_{Bn}$ < 90° angle width.

The θ_{Bn} does not only itself imply and takes a part in calculating the critical Mach number M_c also. So that, the angle is essential in IR classification with its speed.

4.3.2 Shock classification with its Mach number

The Mach number is object speed to the speed of its sound ratio. The ratio is widely used in describe how fast object might perform. Any object moving at 1 Mach means it can move 1,225 km per hour in other words is 761.2 miles per hour. Mach number divides objects into 4 groups as a subsonic, a transonic, a supersonic and a hypersonic which move slower than 1 Mach, equally 1 Mach, faster than 1 Mach and faster than 5 Mach respectively (Glenn research center, NASA website, 2010). The Mach number needs a sound speed to derive and ACE spacecraft, there is no direct measurement, so calculating sound speed is need as equation 4.10.

Sonic Mach number
$$= \frac{V}{V_c}$$
 (4.10)

Sound implies a disturbance and its speed implies how fast it moves. Sound and IS are a distinction with few difference. Hence, sound speed implies a small disturbance speed in IS. Basically, sound speed responses consistently to the temperature and the gamma, the ratio of specific heat of a given medium, because sound is a transmission resulting from the collision between disturbed randomly moving molecules in the medium. The speed of sound can be derived from equation 4.11 in the next page.

$$V_{s} = \sqrt{gamma^{*} \left(\frac{\text{thermal pressure}}{\text{mass density}}\right)}$$
(4.11)

The operating missions as a Node on the internet (OMNI), NASA networking project details the derivation of several parameters including the finally derivation of the speed of sound, used in other spacecraft as equation 4.12.

$$V_{s} = 0.12^{*} \sqrt{T_{p}^{*} (1.28 \times 10^{5})}$$
(4.12)

In astrophysics, the solar wind is defined its characteristics as plasma. The plasma typically has a slow-frequency oscillation called Alfvén wave, a resulting from the inertia provided by the mass of its ions and the restoring force of the magnetic field tension. The Alfvén speed VA varies harmoniously to magnetic field magnitude and varies reverse a number of mass densities as equation 4.13.

$$VA = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi^* \text{mass density}}}$$
(4.13)

Practically, OMNI calculates Alfvén speed VA via derivation with equation 4.14.

$$VA = \frac{20B}{\sqrt{N_p}}$$
(4.14)

The critical Mach number is the ratio between difference in the speed of sound and its velocity at upstream to the Alfvén speed at a given $heta_{Bn}$ angle as equation 4.15.

$$M_{c} = \frac{V_{s} - U_{u}}{VAcos\theta_{Bn}}$$
(4.15)

This Mach number is to use as an indicator to classify shock into 3 groups:

- Slow group: a shock has $M_c < 1$.
- Intermediate group: a shock has $M_c = 1$.
- Fast group: a shock has $M_c > 1$.

4.4 Co-rotating interaction region identification

High Speed Streams (HSS) blows out of the poles of the sun, hits it's a former low speed wind and compresses itself together. Smith and Wolfe found and called them as a Co-Rotating interaction Region (CIR) since 1976. The CIR is mixed with high and slow wind and therefore an abrupt change appears as a noticeable peak in solar wind speed, density and magnetic field components graphs. Besides, these remarks are able to identify CIRs and the east-west deflection in velocity in y component also appear and been sometimes be noticed easily. Unfortunately, many times it seems the deflection doesn't clear. Hence, the other identifying CIR criteria are required to use.

Two characteristics of CIR are used to identify it. The first is fact that CIR is a vast structure of a magnetic continuity. Tsurutani and Smith regulated the TS criteria after their names in 1979, from their discovery. Studying at that time showed that the CIR was a sharp directional change in magnetic field, from seeing the experimentally differential magnetic field vector in any component being always greater than 26 and its one-half magnitude field magnitude also, as an inequality 4.16.

$$\Delta \mathbf{B} > 0.5 |\mathbf{B}|; \quad \Delta \mathbf{B} > 26 \tag{4.16}$$

Another characteristic of CIR is resulting from its HSS. Its HSS comes from the coronal hole at any high latitude and contains a large-amplitude Alfvén wave, in which, its ΔB to |B| ratio is typically around 1 and 2. Furthermore, the Alfvén wave exists in CIR, but doesn't exist in MCs. This fact is useful to bound and separate CIR and MC regions.

4.5 Investigating an arriving at the Earth of the MC and the others with a neutron monitor.

After the ACE spacecraft has caught a signal of ICMEs, A Forbush decrease, FD is use to detect an arrival of the ICMEs. FD was found and published by Scott E. Forbush in 1967. The FD is a temporal decrease in the number of the galactic cosmic ray reaching ground level of the earth following the CME and its ancillary structures. The decrease in the cosmic ray is detected with a neutron monitor.

The percentage FD can calculate from Kane formulation (Kane, R., P., 2011) which derived from Wada formulation (1957). The method used in this study can be tersely done as follows, declares N as an hourly counting rate which can determined with summarizing an average of counts per hour, $\hat{\mu}$, by adding its standard deviation,

 $\sigma(\hat{\mu})\sigma(\hat{\mu})$ as an equation 4.17, where $\sigma(\hat{\mu})$ can be derived from equation 4.18. During the FD occurrence, N_i and N_j are the counting rate at the start of the FD and the counting rate at the end of the FD. After that the method need calculating the differential Wada value, W, between at the start (W_i) and the end (W_j) with multiplying the reference pressure (*PO*), which is 563 mmHg at Doi Inthanon, with differential of counting rate at the start and the end as an equation 4.19.

$$N = \hat{\mu} \pm \sigma(\hat{\mu}) \tag{4.17}$$

$$\sigma(\hat{\mu}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\mu}}{n}}$$
(4.18)

$$W_{i} - W_{j} = PO \times (\ln N_{i} - \ln N_{j})$$

$$(4.19)$$

The last equation was derivated by Kane. The reference pressure is to use again as a factor as equation 4.20.

Percentage FD =
$$(W_i - W_j)/PO$$
 (4.20)

When there are two steps of decrease (more than 20%), the first drop is defined as the result of shock and the following drop is the result of CMEs.

4.6 TEC determination

After a neutron monitor confirms an arrival, The TEC datasets is used to describe their variation. TEC at the same time is declared as disturbed TEC and the TEC during an hourly averaged A-index called $A_p \leq 15$, in 13 days before and after event is declared as a quiet time TEC. With using both TECs, the percentage deviated TEC, dTEC%, is calculable.

Before TEC is derived and used in the study, a GPS RINEX file must have been batch processed with some programs. The program is used in this survey is

GOPI RINEX \rightarrow TEC version 2.2, in which developed by Dr. Gopi Krishna Seemala and widely used in many publications.

4.6.1 Converting the differential delay into TEC in GOPI program and the acceptable temporal resolution in this study.

GOPI RINEX \rightarrow TEC version 2.2 is able to execute data only on the internet. A RINEX file is an input file in GOPI process. GOPI reads 43 records in the file, such as its information about time, its station name and positions. The GOPI batch processes them, and afterwards the program needs the internet to download IGS navigation file to get satellite ephemeris from the IGS online database. After that, the processor processes cycle slips in phase data and read satellite biases from DCB IGS code. The program uses the bias to calculate the inter-channel bias for different satellite in the receiver and writes and ascii output files finally.

The accumulated effect by time and signal arrives at the GPS range observables is proportional to the integrated TEC from the receiver to satellite. GOPI integrates TEC from differential delay between f1 (1575.42 MHz) and f2 (1227.60 MHz) signals with summing the equation with 3 biases; receiver bias (B_{Px}), receiver interchannel bias (B_{Rich}) and satellite biases (B_{sat}) as written in equation (4.21).

Desired slant TEC = STEC +
$$B_{Rx}$$
 + B_{Rich} + B_{sat} (4.21)

The .CMN file-type output is processed again with the least – squared temporal difference method, which is described in the next topic. The .CMN file has 30s resolution. GOPI then writes the mean VTEC output, which is .std file type and has a much rougher time resolution which is 6 m. This study uses a 6 m data, since each an event is prolonged at least over a few days following the size of MCs and the others. Furthermore, this study studies trend of change in TEC, not its amplitude.

4.6.2 The average of TEC from different satellites calculation

At any given time, a GPS receiver detects signals from different PRNs, different satellite from a different ascension and declination. Consequently, there are many derivate TECs at any different celestial points. Sometimes, there are not any satellites on the zenith of the GPS station, so the vertical TEC is an imperfectly average value in the reinforcement learning.

The reinforcement learning is an approach to sequential decision made in an unknown environment by learning from past interactions with that environment and any TEC value derived from PRNs is a precision with the error. Any TEC computing programs have their own error and their own advantage. Gopi gives a short description about the tuple of his TEC, which is to use in this study as a "mean (2 sigma iterated) TEC". The description implies its derivation itself. A lower case $\delta \delta$ is meant to the standard deviation (SD) of a population or probability distribution in statistics and iteration means repeatment. By the way of explanation, 2 sigma iteration TEC, is meant to a TEC computed from 2 SD value a 2 times loop program, in which developed for data analysis based on the least – squared temporal difference method (LSTD).

The LSTD is a data-efficient evaluation technique in a linear least square regression. It's controlled with linear value approximation. There are bugs in this algorithm. Possibly poor extrapolation properties and its sensitivity to the unusual data points being out over the long range of the straight line are the limitation of LSTD, so another technique is developed to remove these advantages. The least-squares policy iteration (LSPI) is an evaluation technique specifically after Markov decision process (MDPs). The process is directly proportional to five factors, a state s, its action **a**, its reward **r**, the probability of reaching state **P** and a discount rate parameter γ .

State of TEC calculation depends on variable numbers of satellite at their inclination and declination. The furthest satellite with low declination is overestimated because of shear angle and the longer path it propagates. Conversely, the nearest zenith satellite is weighted most to set TEC on. Moreover, at any different given time, satellites move and stay different from a previous minute. Some satellites move and come closer, some satellites pass zenith and move down to horizon at the same time as Figure 4-1 and the condition in TEC calculation state changes. An action to calculate changes, a reward changes, so a discount rate of the incoming state changes finally. The following step is present and the next reward summation \hat{b} calculation with equation (4.22). Finally, the last step is to reduce error with multiplication with an inversion of the difference between the probabilities of

selecting action from states find weighted vector \hat{A} (equation 4.23) which is a suitable TEC.

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{t} \phi(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \mathbf{r}_{t+1} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\widehat{A} = \sum_{t} \phi(s_{t}, a_{t}) (\phi(s_{t}, a_{t}) - \gamma E_{\pi} [\phi(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) | s_{t+1}])^{T}$$
(4.23)



Figure 4.1 TEC plot from different elevation PRNs in GOPI observe type file.

One condition is to add in the GOPI's TEC calculation to remove error is a mask elevation filter. The mask elevation is a low angle filter which created to remove the atmospheric error. The error triggers from long path propagation in the irregularly noisy air. Basically, receivers detect signal form the angles above 15 degree from horizon as Figure and the program reward average TEC and its standard deviation from different PRN as Figure 4-2. In high accuracy TEC calculation, the

program use signal from 20 degree above to calculate TEC again with another standard deviation as shown in a Figure 4-3. The finally TEC value is adjust third time with removing satellite and receiver biases.



Figure 4.2 The adjusted TEC under elevation mask 15 degree filter.



Date: 2005/05/14 (DoY: 134) File: D:\Thesis\RINEX\GPS_Gopi\samp1340.050



Figure 4.3 The once more adjusted TEC under elevation mask 20 degree filter.

4.7 Mean TEC and presenting a deviated TEC as its variation

A percentage relative deviation TEC dTEC% was used originally and applied since 2006. The dTEC% is the ratio of difference between TEC during disturbance and the quiet time to TEC in quiet time in percent as equation 4.24.

$$dTEC\% = \left(\frac{TEC_{disturbed} - TEC_{quiet}}{TEC_{quiet}}\right) \times 100$$
(4.24)

The $TEC_{disturbed}$ is observed TEC at a given time. The TEC_{quiet} is an average of TEC during $A_p \le 15$ in a window period, which is as long as one round of the solar rotation. It takes time 27 days. For example, if you would like to get TEC_{quiet} on the July 4th, you must average the TEC during $A_p \le 15$ since June 20th to July 17th.

4.8 Clarify TEC variation with an inverse distance weighted (IDW) interpolation

A limitation of studying in the global scale is lacking of samples at any location and at any time. It can't help facing any lost samples in somewhere and sometimes. In these cases, an estimation of missing sample point is needed to be done before a changing in spatial and temporal interpretation and its presentation.

There are 3 main interpolation techniques in the Earth systemic science studies, namely, Kriging, Spline and IDW methods. Kriging technique suits to study a dense and clustered sample. Especially, some of which concerning a buffer zone. This technique was used in previous studying TEC variation resulting from geomagnetic storms by Akinori SAITO in 2006. Spline is a piecewise polynomial method. A calculation in the Spline is the most simplicity. It is widely used in biomedical imaging study and other common processing images. The calculation based on regression, but its doubt is that the coefficients in the technique are nonlocally. A global smoothness decrease local fitting power between the nearest pair of tabulated points.

The IDW technique is used to interpolate the derived TEC in this study. The total electron content floating in the atmospheric body, in which has been studied

continuously with the same method. There are two disadvantage of IDW. At first, it cannot make estimation below the minimum or above the maximum. Moreover, it removes trends rather to preserve and consider them. But its advantage is a more local power of closed measure data points to their center and it spreads the emphasis of the further points out. These two advantages satisfy this research, because the distance between each receiver is quite large and the observed value at the remote point doesn't been needed to use in an interpolation, such as, midnight value interpolation no need any value of midday to effect as an over estimation.

The Inverse distance weight, IDW, is able to interpolates an unknown TEC, Z, with randomizing 2 or more known TEC receivers and then averages them with weighted average method. The weight at the given known point increases when its distance, d, to an interpolated point decreases as a Figure 4-4. An equation (4.25) used in IDW is below.



Figure 4. 4 The inverse distance weighted interpolation procedure From: Penn State's online geospatial education (2007)

4.9 Projecting the ACE spacecraft and the TEC information onto a same coordination system

ACE spacecraft is always in front of the earth and the earth orbits the sun, so the ACE spacecraft orbits the sun also. On the other hands, GPS receiver stations are on the fixed latitude and longitude. However, those fixed points still rotates and follows the earth's rotation. In addition, the earth axis does not direct to the geographic poles, but tilts at approximately 23.5° from the poles, so at a given time in different season in one year, a given-fixed locations of receiver don't at their own actually old position. The position might move to the upper and lower latitude when compare with the fixed solar ecliptic plane.

The space-based and ground-based measurements are collected and projected their location on the different coordination system. The ACE spacecraft parameters and its position change are kept in the Geocentric Solar Ecliptic system (GSE) while the ground instruments both a neutron monitor and GPS signals are kept in the Geographic coordinate system (GEO).

Any correlation studies between those places need transforming their coordinate system onto a same coordinate system, to be been able to calculate distance from one points to each other points. The Geocentric Equatorial Inertial system (GEI) is the most suitable to be a new coordination system of those three instruments. Because this system model adds the projection of the earth's equator onto the celestial sphere, so it is able to provide the most precise locations to operate them together.

The Figure 4-4 represents various coordinate systems, including, GEO with the most red, GEI with fuchsia and the GSE with lime green. Noticeably, GEI plane declines to the great circle at the vernal equinox at the same degree as the earth rotation.



Figure 4.5 Coordinate transformations for Brussels

From: Belge (1950)

The ACE spacecraft does not stay exactly at the L1 point, but it moves in an ellipsoidal-shaped orbit around the L1 as Figure 4-6. The speed equation is applicable to the situation of CME events, speed and velocities on any components of CME are known expression. The position which contained in A/C code allows determining the distance between satellites, the neutron monitor at Doi Inthanon and GPS receivers located covering the North and the South America. When a velocity and distance are known expression, the lag time between those three instruments can be solved. However, transforming coordinate must be done at first.

Basically, the coordinate system transformation can be done with matrix operation. Every coordinate system in the solar-terrestrial relation studies has 3 axes perpendicular to each others. One of them fixes and the other two axes orient in the plane perpendicular to the first axe direction. Fortunately, anyone of latter two is always has a common direction with other coordination system. Hence, the transformation is done simply with transpose. Two features are need in transformation are rotation matrix, given as matrix A and its transformed vector V^{ρ} measured in system a to V^{ρ} measured in system b. Thus the matrix that transforms

 V^{\flat} into V^{\flat} is A^{t} . We can describe these relations simply with writing equation (4.26) and its inversion as an equation (4.27).

$$A \cdot V^a = V^b \tag{4.26}$$

$$A^t \cdot V^b = V^a \tag{4.26}$$

When the transformation matrix A is needed to obtain, finding the directions of the three new coordinate axes in the old direction is the simplest way to do.



Figure 4.6 The ACE spacecraft positions during 2005 to February, 2012

72

Goldstein suggested in 1950 that "If the direction cosine of the new Xdirection expressed in the old system are (X1, X2, X3), of the new Y-direction are (Y1, Y2, Y3) and the new Z-direction are (Z1, Z2, Z3), then the rotation matrix is formed by these three vectors as rows." i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ Y_1 & Y_2 & Y_3 \\ Z_1 & Z_2 & Z_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_x^a \\ V_y^a \\ V_z^a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_x^b \\ V_y^b \\ V_z^b \end{bmatrix}$$

Conversely, the transformation from the system b to a is

$[X_1]$	Y ₁	Z ₁]	V_x^b		$[V_x^a]$	
X ₂	Y ₂	Z ₂	V_y^b	=	V_y^a	
LX_3	Y ₃	Z_3	V_z^b		$\begin{bmatrix} V_z^a \end{bmatrix}$	

4.9.1 The transformation from the geocentric solar ecliptic system to the geocentric equatorial inertial system

GSE is commonly used in satellite trajectories like ACE and the solar wind observations. The X-axis in GSE points from the Earth toward to the Sun. The Y-axis is points toward dusk in the ecliptic plane and motives oppositely to the planetary motion. The direction (Z) of the ecliptic pole (0, -0.398, 0.917) locates constantly in the GEI system. The X-axis directs toward the sun is obtained in GEI system. The matrix T_2 is use to transform GEI to GSE, on the other hands, T_2^{-1} is matrix transforming GSE to GEI. Where the T2 can be calculated from two matrixes in equation (4.27)

$$T_2 = \langle \lambda_{\Theta}, Z \rangle^* \langle \epsilon, X \rangle \tag{4.29}$$

Where the first matrix responses to the rotation from the Earth's equator to the plane of the ecliptic and the second matrix response to rotation in the plane of the ecliptic from the first point of Aries to the Earth-Sun direction. The Sun's ecliptic longitude, λ_{Θ} is determined with equation 4.30 in the next page. Where the capital lambda (Λ) means to longitude (the capital lambda is calculable with equation 4.31), T_0 is the time in Julian centuries (36525 days) from 12:00 UT at epoch 2000.0 (January 1, 2000) to the previous midnight. The T_0 can be derived from equation 4.32 with the modified Julian date, MJD, which MATLAB has a function to find its. M is the Sun's mean anomaly in which is approximated from equation 4.33.

$$\lambda_{\Theta} = \Lambda + (1.915 - 0.0048 \text{ T}_0) \sin M + 0.020 \sin 2M$$
(4.30)

$$\Lambda = 280.46 + 36,000.772 T_0 + 0.04107 UT$$
(4.31)

$$T_0 = \frac{\text{MJD-51544.5}}{36525.0} \tag{4.32}$$

The obliquity of the ecliptic (ϵ) can be determined with equation 4.33 following the U.S. Naval observatory method in 1989 and X is the direction in GEO which can derived with its latitude and longitude.

$$\epsilon = 23.439 - 0.013 T_0$$
 (4.33)

4.9.2 The transformation from the geographic coordinate system to the geocentric equatorial inertial system

Z-direction of GEO commonly used in astronomy and GEI commonly used in ground observation are in common, but the two other directions is difference from each others. The Z-direction in both systems is parallel to the earth rotation axis. Conversely, the X-axis of the GEO is in the Earth's equatorial plane while the X-axis of the GEI is pointed from the Earth's center toward to the first point of Aries, where is the position of the Sun at the vernal equinox. Consequence of the difference in their X-axis, their perpendicular Y-directions are difference from each others also.

The first point of Aries is the intersection of the Earth's equatorial plane and the ecliptic plane. The angle between the first point of Aries (GEI) and the Greenwich meridian (GEO) measured eastward from the first point of Aries in the Earth's equator is 0, then we can express the first point of Aries as $(cos\theta - sin\theta 0)$ in the GEO, so the transformation to find position (*P*) from GEO to GEI is equation (4.34)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_x\\ P_y\\ P_z \end{bmatrix}_{\text{GEO}} = \begin{bmatrix} P_x\\ P_y\\ P_z \end{bmatrix}_{\text{GEI}}$$
(4.34)

The angle heta is the Greenwich Mean Sidereal Time, in which MATLAB has function JD2GMST to convert the Julian date to the Greenwich Mean Sidereal Time.

4.10 The ACE Spacecraft and TEC observation point lag time calculation

The geographic coordinate points need in transformation to GEI, are X, Y and Z, not the latitude θ and longitude φ or the height at 350 km above the Earth's surface. In latitude and longitude to X-Y conversion needs the earth radius (r) to derive, but the study doesn't concentrate on the ground level, so the R_E at 350 km is compensated.

The R_E at 350 km height, R_{350} are derived from its longitude, θ , the equatorial radius, a, which is 6,378.1370 km and polar radius, b, which is 6,356.7523 km as the equation 4.35.

$$R_{\rm E} \text{ at 350 km height} = R_{350} = \sqrt{\frac{\left(a^2 \cos(\theta)\right)^2 + \left(b^2 \sin(\theta)\right)^2}{\left(a\cos(\theta)\right)^2 + \left(b\sin(\theta)\right)^2}} + 350$$
(4.35)

UHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY

Then, X and Y in Cartesian components can be derived with equation 4.36 – 4.37.

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R}_{350} \sin\theta \cos\phi \tag{4.36}$$

$$y = R_{350} \sin\theta \sin\phi \tag{4.37}$$

After we get X, Y and R_{350} as Z in GEO, the equation 4.34 is to transform them onto GEI. We now have got ACE and 350km above the receiver location in common GEI, we can calculate the distance between ACE and 350 km above receivers, $S_{ACE-GPS}$, with equation 4.38. In addition the SWEPAM on the ACE provide the solar wind speed, V_w , so the lag time , $\tau_{ACE-GPS}$, from spacecraft to the 350 km height above receiver is been able to calculate via their distance with equation 4.39.

$$S_{ACE-GPS} = \sqrt{(P_x^{ACE} - P_x^{GPS})^2 + (P_y^{ACE} - P_y^{GPS})^2 + (P_z^{ACE} - P_z^{GPS})^2} \quad (4.38)$$

$$\tau_(ACE-GPS) = S_(ACE-GPS)/V_w \quad (4.39)$$

Finally, the study will get lag time to capture to time period of MCs and the other phenomena and separates them off to interpret them.



CHAPTER V RESULTS

5.1 Programming

This study worked with lots of different temporal-spatial resolution data, to use, determine other derivatives and analysis measurements. In addition, those of data came from remote location and were positioned in different coordination system. Therefore, the computer programs were construct to manage and solving these problems with different algorithms accordingly to specific purpose.

Four most important programs were developed on MATLAB, program A and B needed inputting ACE measurements to identify MCs and classify IS with procedures in section 4.2 and section 4.3 respectively. The module of program A and B are shown in Figure A-1 and Figure A-2 in Appendix A. Two other programs are to convert positions of ACE and GPS into GEI coordinate system. GSE-GEI coordinate transformation program (program C in Appendix A) and GEO-GEI coordinate transformation program (program D in Appendix A), both needed importing T_0 , but program C needed Sun's properties, while program D needed importing the Earth radius at given latitude, to transform their positions into GEI coordination system (see Figure A-3 and Figure A-4 in Appendix A).

Applications process data and provided these outputs. Program A provides MC boundary to confine and divide samples to test with statistical methods. MVA analysis need 16-second solution magnetic measurements from MAG, but other two criteria need measurements from SPEWAM, so the time resolution of program A and B are 64-second resolutions. Program C and D allow to determine ACE and GPS position in GEI, both need another descended extraordinary program to calculate travelling time, which developed on MS EXCEL. Resulting from acceptable time solution of TEC measurement, a space of lag time shorter than 6 minute appears.

5.2 Results

During 2005 and 2012 was the duration got the deep declination of solar maximum of the solar cycle 23, a through (solar minimum) was in 2009, and then the number of Sunspot had risen since 2010. That meant this study was in once most quiet period of the solar activity, so the MC and phenomena gotten were quite light.

Availabilities in ACE and GPS data limited periods and magnitudes each events in study. The ACE data was often unavailable when the spacecraft encountered an intense ejection and also bad weather. One key parameter always having an inacceptable error is proton density. Speed and temperature of proton were then sequential parameters resulting from proton undetectability.

All of selected GPS station was not valid along years of this study and even each event. Vacuums in GPS track were able to be found when satellites stayed at too low declinations and the atmospheres did not permit. In addition to these the SWEPAM was getting badly worse since 2009. Finally, 7 proper events in Table 5.1 were selected to study.

Event	Year	Start time (DOY)	End time (DOY)
I	2005	08 January (008)	10 January (010)
II	2005	15 May (135)	17 May (137)
III	2006	13 April (103)	15 April (105)
IV		14 December (348)	16 December (350)
V	2007	19 November (323)	21 November (325)
VI	2008	08 March (068)	10 March (070)
VII	2009	21 July (202)	23 July (204)

5.2.1 Event I

Event I began from 7 to 10 January, 2005. TEC within those three days could be defined into 4 circumstances as follows: TEC under HSS circumstance (A), TEC under FFS embedded in HSS circumstance (B), TEC under HSS after FFS passage circumstance (C) and TEC under quiet SW circumstance (D) (see Figure 5.1)

Phenomena traveled from the L1 point to the study area within an hour (as seen in Figure 5.2-3). Neutron monitor detected Forbush decrease (δF) with magnitude larger than -2% as Figure 5.4. (see more plot of δF at stations in Appendix B) Percent deviation of TEC in Q_{\parallel} FFS circumstance (more details about its time at ACE and its class in Table 5.5 and 5.6) is higher than other phenomena notably (as seen Figure 5.5b). FFS hit the ionosphere in a midnight section and the HSS ended within a dawn section.

code	event	year	start ti	me	end ti	me
		Ţ	date (DOY)	time (UT)	date (DOY)	Time (UT)
1	ļ	2005	08 Jan (008)	0.02	08 Jan (008)	0.29
2	II		15 May (135)	1.56	15 May (135)	2.33
3	IV	2006	14 Dec (348)	13.32	14 Dec (348)	14.20
4			16 Dec (350)	17.24	16 Dec (350)	17.39
5	V	2007	19 Nov (323)	17.09	19 Nov (323)	17.33

Table	5.2	Periods	of	5	ISs	in	this	study	'.

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table 5.3 Classes of 5 ISs

code	event	year	Angle between <i>B</i> dire	M_C classification	
		•	local angle (degree) class		
1	I	2005	29.5°	Q_{\parallel}	FF
2	П		56.2°	Q_{\perp}	FF
3	IV	2006	59.0°	Q_{\perp}	FF
4			10.9°	Q_{\parallel}	SF
5	V	2007	06.9°	Q_{\parallel}	FF

Fishers Least Significant different (LSD) test showed that global deviations in TECU didn't respond clearly to the HSS, on the opposite of FFS that disturbed TEC throughout all latitudes. The FFS generated extraordinary TEC, especially at northern high latitudes. All latitude TEC is able to back into day-to-day balance in spite of still was being in the HSS. Finally, LSD showed that there was no HSS or FFS affect left in the quiet SW after ejection passages.



Figure 5.1 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and D_{st} at the Earth observed between 7-10 January 2005



Figure 5.2 Travelling time of each interplanetary shock code



Figure 5.3 Travelling time of HSS and CS



Forbush Decreases from each Event





Figure 5.5 Mean percentage deviation of TECU on 7-10 January 2005

(DOY 07-10)

by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena

5.2.2 Event II

Event II began from 15 to 17 May, 2005. TEC within those three days could be defined into 8 circumstances (see Figure 5.7) as follows: TEC under quiet SW circumstance (A), TEC under HSS circumstance (B), TEC under FFS circumstance (C), TEC under sheath circumstance (D), TEC under sheath with CS circumstance (E), TEC under MC circumstance (F), TEC under CS with post MC passage (G), and TEC under SW circumstance with post ejection passage (H). See period of identification and the properties of MC in Table 5.2 and Table 5.3.

MC, which has size 0.39 AU, took 29 minutes (see Figure 5.6) from the L1 to the study area which stayed in midnight side and made the HSS took the longest time to the study area in the evening zone (see Appendix C).

The LSD test showed that global deviations of TECU within HSS and FFS passages were significantly indifferent to the quiet time being. Then the sheath region following an HSS and FFS effected on TEC as a significantly positive deviation at the northern equatorial (4°N) and high latitude (54°N). The percentage deviations at both latitudes were larger than their ordinary day-to-day deviations. The sheath didn't cause any significant deviation in TECU between 20°N-49°N and 41°S -49°S in that way (see Appendix D).

code	event	year	start ti	me	end time		
	Сн	ULAL	date (DOY)	time (UT)	date (DOY)	Time (UT)	
1		2005	15 May (135)	05.42	15 May (135)	22.18	
2	Ш	2006	13 Apr (103)	14.48	13 Apr (103)	20.48	
3			13 Apr (103)	20.36	14 Apr (104)	09.54	
4	IV		14 Dec (348)	22.48	15 Dec (349)	19.48	
5	V	2007	19 Nov (323)	23.24	19 Nov (324)	12.54	
6	VII	2009	21 Jul (202)	03.54	21 Jul (202)	17.06	

Table 5.4 Period of Events in study.

code	event	year	start	time	end ti	ime	Properties							
			date (DOY)	(UT)	date (DOY)	(UT)	ΔT^{a}	ϕA^b	θA^b	V^{c}	$2R_0^d$	H^{e}	type	B_0^f
1	П	2005	15 May (135)	05.42	15 May (135)	22.18	16:36	94	67	843	0.39	L	SN	56
2	III	2006	13 Apr (103)	14.48	13 Apr (103)	20.48	06:00	244	77	517	0.096	L	SN	17
3	III		13 Apr (103)	20.36	14 Apr (104)	09.54	13:24	262	-13	517	0.225	L	NS	20
4	IV		14 Dec (348)	22.48	15 Dec (349)	19.48	21:00	85	27	725	0.724	L	SN	18
5	v	2007	19 Nov (323)	23.24	19 Nov (324)	12.54	13:50	272	-4	470	0.132	L	NS	20
6	VII	2009	21 Jul (202)	03.54	21 Jul (202)	17.06	13:05	117	-17	320	0.182	R	NS	8

Table 5.5 MC types and their configurations.

a ΔT is a duration of the MC encounter (HH:MM) *b* ϕA and θA are estimated longitude and latitude in GSE

c V is an average solar wind speed (in km/s) d $2R_0$ is an estimated diameter (in AU)

e H is a handedness (right and left-handed) $f B_0$ is an estimated axial field magnitude (in nT)

The sheath behind the HSS and FFS was caught with a CS and influenced on TEC in the study area differently from previous region in sheath. The content of TEC at 49°N was still being larger than a normal day-to-day deviation and the TEC at 4°N and 54°N were exceeding its normal deviations as well. The TEC at 20°S decreased significantly from its quiet time deviation, yet TEC in sheath with CS was not different to the TEC in front of the sheath. There also was a TEC increment at 41°N especially. However, TEC didn't exceed its normal day-to-day variations.

A SW-NE MC passed the ionosphere above the section of America and made δF . The feature enriched the TEC into abnormal contents at 04°N, 45°N, 53°S, 20°N and 28°N notably. Nevertheless, only two first latitudes had an exceeded day-to-day TEC. A result proved that the deviation in TEC caused by this MC and inhomogeneity in each hemisphere.

Here was another CS after the MC passage. Nearly all of diverse latitudes had significantly distinctive to TEC in a previous CS-passed condition, but 45°N and 41°N. Anyway, all of them were not far from their day-to-day variations. TEC at around 20°S in both hemispheres (20°N, 28°N and 20°N) were larger and other regions were lower than TEC within the previous CS passage.

Speed of the SW was dropped and there was no ejection passage. The SW backed into a quiet time condition. TEC at high latitude (49°N and 54°N) and above 20°S backed to their deviation while a quiet time TEC also was no ejection passage.

At 20°S, Northern equatorial latitude (4°N) and around 20°N, the TEC deviations were different from before. 20° S TEC dropped while the TEC at other 20° N were still accreting. They deviated less than their day-to-day deviation, but the TEC at 4°N which increased greater than the TEC during the pre-ejection passage (see Figure 5.8).



Figure 5.6 Travelling time of MCs.



Figure 5.7 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and D_{st} at the Earth observed between 15-17 May 2005



Figure 5.8 Mean plot of percent deviation in TECU on 15-17 May 2005 (DOY 135-137) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena

5.2.3 Event III

Event III began from 13 to 15 April, 2006. TEC within those three days could be defined into 7 circumstances (see Figure 5.9) as follows: TEC under quiet SW circumstance (A), TEC under HSS circumstance (B), TEC under the first MC circumstance (C), TEC under IR circumstance (D), TEC under the second MC circumstance (E), TEC under CS with post MC passage (F), and TEC under quiet SW with post ejection passage circumstance (G).

The HSS, the first MC and also IR between the first and the second MC passage didn't effect on any TEC in the study area. However, the second MC induced an overproducing in TEC at latitudes between 24°S and 20°N. There no abnormal TEC degeneration found at any region.

The second MC affect was left over 49°N after it passed, while other latitudes recovered into their normal TEC balances. Finally, CS disturbed TEC at 4°N and 24°S.

5.2.4 Event IV

Event IV was from 14 to 16 December, 2006. TEC within those three days could be defined into 7 circumstances (see Figure 5.11) as follows: TEC under CS circumstance (A), TEC under FFS circumstance (B), TEC under sheath circumstance (C), TEC under MC circumstance (D), TEC under CS with post MC passage (E), TEC under FFS passage (F), and TEC under quiet SW with post ejection passage circumstance (G).

All latitude except 27°S and 15°S responded to sheath following the FFS. The higher latitude TEC got the higher overproduction. MC triggered the largest δF and made a lower overproduction at 15°S, 4°N, 49°N and 54°N than the sheath did (see Figure 5.12c-Figure 5.12e). The below day-to-day deviation was also found at 33°N when the MC passed.



Figure 5.9 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and the *D*st observed between 13-15 April 2006



Figure 5.10 Mean plot of percentage deviation of TECU on 13-15 April 2006 (DOY 103-105) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena

After the MC had gone, there were still had CS. For latitudes 28°N and 35°N TEC was degenerated deeply. Extraordinary contents at 15°S and 43°N gradually eased, but they were larger than normal deviations. TEC at 4°S was still rising continually. At 33°N, TEC didn't overproduce during the MC cover the ionosphere.

After CS was declined, then the space weather got claim and no TEC deviated larger than normal oscillations. There was second FFS travelling through the Earth, but only 35°N and 54°N responded to the FFS as a losing TEC deeper abnormally. The quiet time SW behind the shock disturbed TEC at only 49°N and 35°N as enrichment and decreasing respectively.

5.2.5 Event V

Event V began from 19 to 21 November, 2007. TEC within those three days could be defined into 7 circumstances (see Figure 5.13) as follows: TEC under quiet SW circumstance (A), TEC under FFS circumstance (B), TEC under sheath circumstance (C), TEC under MC circumstance (D), TEC under the IR circumstance (E), TEC under CS with post MC passage (F) and TEC under quiet SW with post MC and CS passage circumstance (G).

TEC at 8°S and 41°N in front of FFS were more than normal contents. TEC was decreased in corresponding latitude in northern hemisphere but TEC was increased in corresponding latitude in southern hemisphere at FSS (see Figure 5.14a-Figure 5.14b). Both variations were within normal day-to-day deviations.

TEC at 4°S and 45°S were only significantly sheath-responded. TEC at 45°S was overproduced and TEC at 4°S decreased extraordinarily. TEC at 20°S and 1°S had never got affects from FSS and its sheath. There was no bimodal tend between two hemispheres during the sheath passage. Only TEC at 33°N overproduced extraordinarily when the MC passed.



Figure 5.11 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst

observed on 14-16 December 2006



Figure 5.12 Mean plot of percentage deviation of TECU on 14-16 December 2006 (DOY 348-350) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena
CS had followed that MC and arrived at the Earth about 12 hours after the passage of MC. There was only abnormally CS-responded TEC at 4° N. The IR between MC and the following CS triggered extraordinary deviations few north equator to few south equator and 20° S in the southern hemisphere.

After the passage of CS, TEC at 4° S and 33° N degenerated lower than a quiet-time TEC. TEC at 41° N overproduced.



Figure 5.13 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and *D*st observed on 19-21 November 2007



Figure 5.14 Mean plot of percent deviation in TECU on 19-21 November 2007 (DOY 348-350) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena.

5.2.6 Event VI

Event VI began from 8 to 10 March, 2008. TEC within those three days could be defined into 5 circumstances (see Figure 5.15) as follows: TEC under quiet SW circumstance (A), TEC under the HSS#1 circumstance (B), TEC under quiet SW with post HSS#1 passage circumstance (C), TEC under the HSS#2 circumstance (D), TEC under quiet SW with post HSS#2 passage circumstance (E), and TEC under CS circumstance (F).

The first stream had driven irregularities to the 49°N and 15°S TEC as abnormal increasing and decreasing accordingly before it blew above the Earth's ionosphere. During the stream was emanating the Earth: TEC at 49°N rose continually larger than normal deviation.

TEC at 15°S also extremely dropped larger than normal deviation. Other two latitudes just got an extreme HSS affect, were 35°N and 49°S. The TEC decreased abnormally at first latitude and increased abnormally at another. TEC rose with a normal day-to-day deviation at 20°S in the Northern hemisphere and the southern equator. The TEC at another hemisphere did oppositely during the HSS passage.

The first HSS affect still was left over the ionosphere as an abnormal decrease in TEC at the 49°N and overproducing TEC at the southern equator (4°S).

Statistically, there was no significantly abnormal deviation in TEC at any latitude during the second HSS passed through the Earth. At 54^oN is only latitude significantly presented overproducing TEC along the passage of the CS.

5.2.7 Event VII

Event VII began from 21 to 23 July, 2009. TEC within those three days could be defined into 8 circumstances (see Figure 5.17) as follows: (A) TEC under quiet SW circumstance, (B) MC circumstance, (C) TEC under quiet SW with post MC passage circumstance, (D) TEC under HSS#1 circumstance, (E) TEC under quiet SW with post HSS#1 passage circumstance, (F) TEC under HSS#2 circumstance, (G) TEC under quiet SW with post HSS#2 passage circumstance and (H) TEC under HSS#3 circumstance.



Figure 5.15 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst observed on 8-10 March 2008.



Figure 5. 16 Mean plot of percent deviation of TECU on 8-10 March 2008 (DOY 68-70) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena.

This dawn MC passage triggers an abnormal decreasing in TEC, particularly in the southern hemisphere. TEC in the ionosphere at only 27°S and 45°S got disturbance before the MC enshrouded the ionosphere at those latitudes. The irregularity had reduced TEC at 27°S, but generated extraordinary TEC at 45°S abnormally since quiet time before the MC passage to the MC-passed duration. While the MC-passed duration, northern mid and high latitudes TEC (33°N and 54°N)

and 20°S TEC increased at a normal oscillation. TEC at 8°S and 33°S degenerated at a normal oscillation as well.

After the MC passed, TEC at 45° S lessened into a normal TEC but the TEC at 27° S rose continually from abnormal decrease onto abnormal content. Electron at 20° N and 54° N rose but the TEC at over the 33° N declined within normal oscillation. TEC at the higher than 8° S gradually had decreased with a normal oscillation after the MC passed. This detection generally found in the Southern hemisphere but 1° S showed decreasing in TEC significantly in comparison with pre-MC passed TEC. High equatorial and above high-mid latitudes detection in the Northern hemisphere presented that TEC at those regions were generated more than an arrival of the MC.

There were three high speed streams blew the Earth between July, 22-24. The first stream generated TEC disturbance most. The third stream triggered disturbance more than the second one. The bimodal variations happened most clearly during the first stream: extraordinary increase in TEC in the southern hemisphere and did oppositely in the northern hemisphere. TEC at the 27°S and the 45°S always responded to HSSs. The first stream generated extraordinary TEC and the two left streams had abnormally degenerated TEC at both latitudes.

TEC after HSSs had gone always recovered into a normal content. In detail, all eased latitudinal ionosphere needed decreasing in TEC to balance the first stream affect. Oppositely, all eased latitudinal ionosphere needed generating TEC to recover the TEC balance from the second stream affect (see Figure 5.18).

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon \tag{5.1}$$



(A-G) Observations by the the ACE spacecraft (G-I), results from programs and
(H) geomagnetic activity (*Dst*) from OMNI between 21-22 July 2009. From top to bottom are
(A) plottedinterplanetary magnetic field (*B*), (B) Sun-Earth component of the IMF (*Bx*),
(C) east-westcomponent of the IMF (*By*), (D) north-south component of the IMF (*Bz*),
(E) proton speed (*Vp*), (F) proton density (*Np*), (G) proton temperature (*Tp*),
(H) plasma beta (*B*), (I) eigenvalues for the medium and minimum variance directions, (J) *Dst*.

Figure 5. 17 Solar wind measurements, MC signatures at L1 and Dst

observed on 21-23 July 2008.



Figure 5. 18 Mean plot of percent deviation on TECU on 21-23 July 2008 (DOY 202-204) by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena.

Summary

The TEC variation was asymmetry in global scale (see Figure 5.19). The TEC variation always found was as the TEC increment. Interpretation from all of event which selected from different period of a year reveal as follows:

- TEC increments were able to occur before and the after the passage of MC and phenomena, and TEC under post passage had more increase than the TEC increment before the passage.
- TID occurrences were often found at low to mid-latitude *F* region, before the EIA occurrence (sometimes the EIA disappeared). The TID liked moving to the higher latitude and the poles finally. TID occurrence in the southern hemisphere in January, March, May and July. TID occurs in the northern in April, November and December.
- EIA occurrence with various sizes. Smaller EIA found with TEC under IS and the larger EIA found with TEC under the passage of sheathes, CSs, MCs and also in the TEC under quiet space circumstance after the passage of those motions. The EIA were always found in the northern hemisphere in January, March and May. The EIA were found in the southern hemisphere in November and December.

5.3 Relation between dTEC and MC and phenomenal parameters

The multiple regression model assumes that a dependence (Y_i) response to four variables, namely, predictors (X_i) , their effects (regression coefficient, β_i), the predictors' noise (ε) and sometimes constant (α) is the intercept, needed to fix the estimated dependence closer by adjusting Y_i to X_i at zero value (see equation (5.1)). Multiple regressions assume that Y_i and X_i have linearly related.



Figure 5. 19 Mean plot of percent deviation on TECU of each Event by geodetic latitude; categorized by phenomena.

103

With this study, ionosphere is a co-product of change in properties of the solar-terrestrial environment. The ionosphere is the complex system produced with geospace, hence, the simplest multiple linear regressions is needed to answer the relations between TEC variation and the extraterrestrial weather which are measurable at L1.

Parameter used in statistical analysis

The majority of valuables emphasized in this study were observed interplanetary magnetic field and its components because they are key parameters of MC. Solar wind properties were also to analyze their relation to TEC. Another good point of this statistical method is final equation to estimate TEC at specific event.

Six joining derivatives are needed to success the method. R^2 is the coefficient of determination, the first derivative from the model, which was larger than 0.5 when any predictors relate to TEC variation. The regression doesn't need to do next when R^2 is smaller than 0.05. The second derivative is the regression coefficient, R to confirm the accuracy of the model in percent. Then, the significant of the coefficients via ANOVA is to confirm that one of all parameters relates to TEC, the next process doesn't need when this value is greater than 0.05. After that, the significance of coefficients, which are smaller than 0.05 is a derivative, to confirm a relation of specific parameters and TEC. Then, a larger absolute slope parameter value (β) implied more priority of effect it takes. The last derivative is the change in percent deviation of TECU for each one increment change in any parameter.

Final product of joining derivates is estimated TEC equation with accuracy. This study used SSPP program to analyze. All of results each event are shown in Appendix E accordingly to the number of event. Only model which have accuracy larger than 0.70 is to show in next section.

Model relation between dTEC and parameters

5.3.1 Results of Event I

Seven observatories from thirteen available GPS stations didn't response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, other six observations had strong relations with variables. The models in event I at given latitudes were follows:

$$dTEC \%_{SCH2}^{0.89} = 5.88N_{P} - 2.42 B_{z} - 0.44 Dst$$

$$dTEC \%_{GOGA}^{0.87} = 4.27B_{y} + 3.86B_{M} + 2.33B_{z} + 8.95E - 5T_{P} - 2.18B_{x}$$

$$dTEC \%_{UNSA}^{0.76} = 185.55 - 0.37 V_{P} - 2.25 B_{x} - 1.11B_{z}$$

$$dTEC \%_{SG05}^{0.76} = 3.9 B_{M} - 1.87 B_{x} - 1.44 B_{y} - 14.60\beta$$

$$dTEC \%_{COPO}^{0.72} = 180.14 + 5.25 B_{M} - 1.47 V_{P} + 1.76B_{y} + 0.5 Dst - 1.92 B_{x} - 1.47 B_{z}$$

5.3.2 Results of Event II

Six observatories from seven available GPS stations were response to some of MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, these six observations had strong relations with variables. The models in event II at given latitudes are follows:

$$dTEC \%_{BAIE}^{0.92} = 13.14 N_{P} - 42.06\beta - 3.17B_{z} + 2.17B_{y}$$

$$dTEC \%_{SCH2}^{0.83} = 12.49N_{P} + 4.33 B_{x} - 0.66 \text{ Dst} + 1.48 B_{y}$$

$$dTEC \%_{PARC}^{0.75} = -142.24 - 6.14 B_{M} + 0.34 V_{P} - 1.79 B_{y}$$

$$dTEC \%_{IQQE}^{0.73} = 4.36 B_{M} + 0.64 \text{ Dst} - 2.1 B_{y}$$

$$dTEC \%_{BOGT}^{0.72} = -35.05\beta + 2.28 B_{y}$$

$$dTEC \%_{COYQ}^{0.71} = -2.8 B_{y}$$

5.3.3 Results of Event III

Three observatories from nine available GPS stations didn't response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, other six

observations have strong relations with variables. The event-III models at given latitudes are follows:

$$\begin{split} dTEC \%_{GOGA}^{0.87} &= 4.27B_y + 3.86B_M + 2.33B_z + 8.95E - 5T_P - 2.18B_x \\ dTEC \%_{SG05}^{0.81} &= -2.19B_y \\ dTEC \%_{SCUB}^{0.80} &= -103.186 - 4.3B_y + 0.22V_P \\ dTEC \%_{LAMT}^{0.78} &= -143.24 - 6.14B_M + 0.34V_P - 1.79B_y \\ dTEC \%_{BOGT}^{0.73} &= -107.45 + 3.77B_z + 2.26V_P \\ dTEC \%_{UNSA}^{0.73} &= 0.22V_P - 2.5B_z + 4.25B_x \end{split}$$

5.3.4 Results of Event IV

Nearly half of observatories from fifteen available GPS stations didn't response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, other seven observations had strong relations with variables. The event-IV models at given latitudes were follows:

$$\begin{split} dTEC\%^{0.87}_{BAIE} &= -359.02 + 22.68 \ B_M + 0.00T_P + 0.48V_P - 12.03 \ N_P + 41.47\beta + 4.84 \ B_z - 8.07 \ B_x \\ dTEC\%^{0.88}_{SCH2} &= 10.56 \ B_M - 0.84 \ Dst - 7.08B_z - -11.82B_x + 5.75 \ B_y \\ dTEC\%^{0.87}_{PAAR} &= 6.36B_M - 5.34B_y + 3.56B_z - 5.01E - 5T_P + 0.30Dst + 3.01N_P \\ dTEC\%^{0.81}_{CONO} &= +7.00B_M + 0.63Dst + 4.40N_P - 2.90B_y \\ dTEC\%^{0.77}_{GOGA} &= 0.39V_P + 0.00T_P + 0.51B_z - 4.42B_y - 0.38Dst + 13.53\beta \\ dTEC\%^{0.70}_{SG05} &= 10.79B_M - 3.37B_z + 1.07Dst \\ dTEC\%^{0.53}_{BRAZ} &= -10.07B_M + 3.93B_z + 1.90Dst + 6.70B_y \end{split}$$

5.3.5 Results of Event V

Nearly half of observatories from fifteen available GPS stations didn't response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, other seven observations had strong relations with variables. The event-IV models at given latitudes were follows:

 $dTEC\%_{GOGA}^{0.92} = 51.17 - 0.19 V_{P} + 2.48B_{x} + 1.3 N_{P}$ $dTEC\%_{BOGT}^{0.81} = 111.54 - 2.01 Dst - 2.30V_{P} + 4.96B_{M}$ $dTEC\%_{COPO}^{0.80} = -1.94Dst + 6.5 B_{x} - 4.2 B_{z}$
$$\begin{split} dTEC\%_{POVE}^{0.78} =& 139.96 - 0.19 \, V_P - 3.34 \, B_M - 7.49 \beta \\ dTEC\%_{COYQ}^{0.55} =& 4.37 B_M - 5.40 B_x - 0.18 \, V_P + 2.44 B_y + 2.60 B_z \\ dTEC\%_{UNSA}^{0.53} =& 0.00 T_P - +0.28 D \text{st} \\ dTEC\%_{LAMT}^{0.52} =& -5.00 B_M - 10.50 \beta \end{split}$$

5.3.6 Results of Event VI

There was only one observatory from eleven available GPS stations response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. An only event-VI model at given latitude was follow:

 $dTEC\%_{COYO}^{0.78} = 153.21 - 0.22 V_{\rm P} - 6.21\beta - 1.89N_{\rm P}$

5.3.7 Results of Event VII

Five observatories from eleven available GPS stations didn't response to any MC and phenomenal parameter and a geomagnetic activity. However, other six observations had strong relations with variables. The event-IV models at given latitudes were follows:

$$\begin{split} dTEC\%_{GOGA}^{0.87} &= 4.27B_{y} + 3.86B_{M} + 2.33B_{z} + 8.95E - 5T_{P} - 2.18B_{x} \\ dTEC\%_{SCH2}^{0.86} &= -86.97 + 6.51B_{M} + 8.42\beta + 1.80B_{y} - 2.38B_{x} \\ dTEC\%_{COPO}^{0.81} &= -1.04D\text{st} - 3.29B_{y} - 3.7B_{z} \\ dTEC\%_{COYQ}^{0.78} &= 2.33.35 - 0.46V_{P} - 8.8B_{x} \\ dTEC\%_{VALP}^{0.73} &= -3.68N_{P} \\ dTEC\%_{CONO}^{0.73} &= 1.6B_{y} \end{split}$$

Summary

The first effective priority of predictors in TEC estimation at high latitude were always solar wind parameter (N_P and V_P). The depended-magnetic field models related with $B_{M,y \text{ and } z}$.

Regression coefficients of all events didn't depend on geodetic latitudes, but the Event VI, which had only streams (see R^2 in Figure 5.20).



Figure 5.20 Plots of regression coefficients and geodetic latitude in each event.



CHAPTER VI DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Discussions

6.1.1 Results

Abnormal increment and decrement of TEC before the passage of MC found this time coincided to the events reported by Liu *et al.*, 2008 that they appear near nightside EIA crest. Oppositely, TEC decrement at the equator after the storm found at event V contrasted to TEC increment in 2000-2002 (reported by Kutiev *et al.*, 2006) although they was in a same evening side section.

TEC variations resulting from HSS and CS in this study sometimes were not different from the TEC variation under the slower SW significantly. The residual variation could also back into normal variation within CS condition. The result confirms that although the large increases in solar wind speed happened, but they was a small responsible to magnetosphere-ionosphere-thermosphere environment as Solomon *et al* s' Model (2012).

TEC increased after the 4 of 5 IS events, which can't be explained why another didn't increase, because it was a FFS and its magnetic fields and directions same as others. TEC clearly and steeply after the IS passage, however it was not always rise exceed normal variation.

TID in this study were found in both hemispheres at higher than 20° stations. TID started in the lower latitude and moved the higher latitude. It was opposite to Otsuka *et al.*'s TID study over Europe in 2013. That Result implied the TID move south-westward. Hocke and Schegel suggested in 1996 that mid-latitude TID was wave-like structure and Otsuka *et al.* speculated that the daytime TID generated by gravity wave and frequently triggered most in winter, coincide to this study.

6.1.2 Relation between dTEC and MC and phenomenal parameters

MC often induced asymmetric TEC variation in hemisphere scale. MC had the largest $B_{\rm M}$ triggered abnormal increment and decrement in TEC broadly in northern hemisphere, TEC in the smallest $B_{\rm M}$ MC condition was enriched more than TEC before the MC passed. It seem the larger $B_{\rm M}$ brought the larger percent deviation of TEC but the SN-MC with axial $B_{\rm M}$ equaled 17 nT (as medium $B_{\rm M}$ found in this study) didn't bring any clear deviation of TEC.

Disappearance of abnormal TEC variation caused by medium- $B_{\rm M}$ MC in the study confirmed that the B_M is not a main factor to vary TEC. Oppositely, B_z is still a key. Because, the irregular TEC variation dues to the penetration of the electric fields and the electric fields came into the ionosphere during the Southward and Northward IMF turnings.

Recently, we used the nearest 16-second measurement from ACE to test the coefficient with the closest GPS data. The coefficient was poor (R^2 <0.3), but hourly average of spacecraft measurement give a better coefficients. Percent deviation of TEC has a good relationship with the IMF and SW (R^2 >0.7) properties all of those events.



Figure 6.1 Plots of regression coefficients and geodetic latitude.

The largest and the smallest squared multiple correlations in the study are at event IV and event VI respectively. Solomon *et al* s' Model (2012) is still able to explain these differences, because there were only HSSs and CS at event VI.

From short-term relationship of TEC with geomagnetic activity in equatorial regions (Wang *et al.*, 2008), the critical frequency (f_0F_2) which is able to be TEC representative had the most significant coefficient with *D*st in a local noon.

6.2 Conclusions

This thesis emphasizes the study of percent deviation of TEC resulting from MCs various phenomena and also describes their relationships. The solar wind measurement is used to identify and then classify different structure with computer program specifically constructed. Neutron monitors are to confirm arrival of CME and the phenomena as δF recheck. GPS data are to derive TEC, 27 days average and percent deviation of TECU. ACE and TEC measurements are converted to the same coordinate system. Travelling times are calculated to divide TEC into each condition.

TID occurrences were often found at low to mid-latitude *F* region, before the EIA occurrence (sometimes the EIA disappeared). The TID liked moving to the higher latitude and the poles finally. TID occurrence in the Southern hemisphere in summer solstice. TID occurs in the Northern in winter solstice.

EIA occurs in various sizes. Smaller EIA found with TEC under IS and the larger EIA found with TEC under the passage of sheathes, CSs, MCs and also in the TEC under quiet space circumstance after the passage of those motions. The EIA were always found in the Northern hemisphere in summer solstice. The EIA were found in the Southern hemisphere in winter solstice.

REFERENCES

- Akasofu, S. I. (1964). "The development of the auroral substorm." <u>Planetary and Space</u> <u>Science</u> **12**(4): 273-282.
- Badruddin and Y. P. Singh (2009). "Geoeffectiveness of magnetic cloud, shock/sheath, interaction region, high-speed stream and their combined occurrence." <u>Planetary and</u> <u>Space Science</u> **57**(3): 318-331.
- Belehaki, A. and I. Tsagouri (2002). "On the occurrence of storm-induced nighttime ionization enhancements at ionospheric middle latitudes." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics</u> **107**(A8): SIA 23-21-SIA 23-19.
- Beloff, N., et al. (2004). "Storm time changes in total electron content in ionosphere measured by low orbiting topside sounder." <u>Ann. Geophys.</u> **22**(8): 2741-2746.
- Blelly, P. L. and D. Alcayde (2007). <u>Handbook of the Solar-Terrestrial Environment</u>. Berlin, Springer.
- Burlaga, L. F. (1991). "Intermittent turbulence in the solar wind." <u>Journal of Geophysical</u> <u>Research: Space Physics</u> **96**(A4): 5847-5851.
- Burlaga, L. F., et al. (1981). "The coronal and interplanetary current sheet in early 1976." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics **86**(A11): 8893-8898.
- Cai, C. (2007). "Monitoring seasonal variations of ionospheric TEC using GPS measurements." <u>Geo-spatial Information Science</u> **10**(2): 96-99.
- Cargill, P. J. and L. K. Harra (2007). <u>Handbook of the Solar-Terrestrial Environment</u>. Berlin, Springer.
- Chian, A. C.-L. and Y. Kamide (2007). <u>Handbook of the Solar-Terrestrial Environment</u>. Berlin, Springer.
- Gopalswamy, N., et al. (2007). "Geoeffectiveness of halo coronal mass ejections." <u>Journal</u> <u>of Geophysical Research: Space Physics</u> **112**(A6): A06112.

- Huang, X. and B. W. Reinisch (2001). "Vertical electron content from ionograms in real time." <u>Radio Science</u> **36**(2): 335-342.
- Hundhausen, A. J. (1993). "Sizes and locations of coronal mass ejections: SMM observations from 1980 and 1984-1989." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space <u>Physics</u> **98**(A8): 13177-13200.
- Kahler, S. W. and A. Vourlidas (2005). "Fast coronal mass ejection environments and the production of solar energetic particle events." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research:</u> <u>Space Physics</u> **110**(A12): A12S01.
- Klein, L. W. and L. F. Burlaga (1982). "Interplanetary magnetic clouds At 1 AU." <u>Journal of</u> <u>Geophysical Research: Space Physics</u> **87**(A2): 613-624.
- Kumar, S. and A. Raizada (2010). "Geoeffectiveness of magnetic clouds occurred during solar cycle 23." <u>Planetary and Space Science</u> **58**(5): 741-748.

Kunow, H., et al. (2006). "Foreword." Space Science Reviews 123(1-3): 1-2.

- Lepping, R. P., et al. (1990). "Magnetic field structure of interplanetary magnetic clouds at 1 AU." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics **95**(A8): 11957-11965.
- Nsumei, P. A., et al. (2008). "Polar cap electron density distribution from IMAGE radio plasma imager measurements: Empirical model with the effects of solar illumination and geomagnetic activity." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics</u> **113**(A1): A01217.
- Rostoker, G., et al. (1980). "Magnetospheric substorms—definition and signatures." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics **85**(A4): 1663-1668.
- Sastri, J. H., et al. (1993). "Penetration of polar electric fields to the nightside dip equator at times of geomagnetic sudden commencements." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research:</u> <u>Space Physics</u> **98**(A10): 17517-17523.

- St. Cyr, O. C., et al. (2000). "Properties of coronal mass ejections: SOHO LASCO observations from January 1996 to June 1998." <u>Journal of Geophysical Research:</u> <u>Space Physics</u> **105**(A8): 18169-18185.
- Yashiro, S., et al. (2004). "A catalog of white light coronal mass ejections observed by the SOHO spacecraft." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics **109**(A7): A07105.





PROGRAMS Start ▼ Tp, Np, kB and B Plasma beta Calculation False Plasma beta ≤ 0.01 True Stop False Vp < 500 km/s True Texpected = 1000*((0.031*Vp)-5.1)^2 False Vp ≥ 500 km/s ÷ True Stop Texpected = (510*Vp)-142,000 Minimum variance analysis (MVA) False $\lambda_2/\lambda_3 \ge 2$ ✦ Stop ¥ End

APPENDIX A

Figure A. PROGRAM A (Magnetic cloud identification program)

Figure A-1 Program A









Figure C. PROGRAM D (GSE-GEI transformation program)





APPENDIX B

FORSH DEREASE PLOT FROM SECTION



Time (UT)

Figure B-3 Forbush decrease on 2006 April 13 (DOY-103)





14 (DOY-134)



December 15 (DOY-149)



21 (DOY-202)

APPENDIX C

FULL FISHER'S LSD MULTIPLE COMPARISONS RESULTS FROM SECTION 5.2

Event I

Table C-1 Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I from IGS stations.

Station	Latitude/ longitude	A	В	C	D						
SCH2	63°45'23"N/ 68°30'36"W	13.12±5.33 (n=250)	303.56±12.50 (n=5)	4.62±2.54 (n=512)	7.09±0.38 (n=193)						
BAIE	49°11'12"N/ 68°15'46"W	6.45±3.15 (n=250)	200.41±11.11 (n=5)	-2.81±1.84 (n=512)	6.48±0.29 (n=193)						
LAMT	42°00'16"N/ 73°54'31"W	11.76±1.47 (n=250)	-55.47±5.02 (n=5)	-25.82±0.89 (n=512)	9.50±0.45 (n=193)						
SG05	28°03'54"N/ 80°37'21"W	-0.79±1.44 (n=250)	77.26±2.21 (n=5)	1.99±1.37 (n=512)	10.61±0.35 (n=193)						
BOGT	04°38'23"N/ 74°04'48"W	10.60±1.54 (n=250)	147.80±1.88 (n=5)	-2.97±1.88 (n=512)	17.39±0.65 (n=189)						
BRAZ	15°56'50"S/ 47°52'48"W	-8.95±1.42 (n=231)	-47.95±5.10 (n=5)	-18.23±1.13 (n=499)	22.92±0.83 (n=928)						
IQQE	20°16'24"S/ 70°07'54"W	16.46±2.07 (n=250)	24.49±5.96 (n=5)	11.28±2.03 (n=389)	17.82±0.77 (n=193)						
UNSA	24°43'40"S/ 65°53'46"W	-5.24±1.35 (n=239)	N/D	-5.60±0.87 (n=287)	20.62±0.79 (n=181)						
COPO	27°23'04"S/ 70°20'16"W	0.88±1.33 (n=250)	68.77±6.60 (n=5)	-9.44±1.42 (n=512)	19.48±0.66 (n=193)						
	1		A MINA								
Table (Table C-2 BAIE										
	:										

	Tab	le	C-2	BAI	E
--	-----	----	-----	-----	---

Dava dan Ditaiah la	(I) LFeat (J) LFeat		Marco Difference (L.I)	Chil Francis	<u> </u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable			Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	-193.96247	17.93	0.00	-229.16	-158.77
	1.00	3.00	9.26135	3.06	0.00	3.25	15.27
	1	4.00	-0.03	3.80	0.99	-7.50	7.44
	1	1.00	193.96247	17.93	0.00	158.77	229.16
	2.00	3.00	203.22382	17.84	0.00	168.20	238.24
ITEC		4.00	193.93300	17.99	0.00	158.64	229.23
diec.	3.00	1.00	-9.26135	3.06	0.00	-15.27	-3.25
		2.00	-203.22382	17.84	0.00	-238.24	-168.20
		4.00	-9.29082	3.35	0.01	-15.87	-2.71
	4.00	1.00	0.03	3.80	0.99	-7.44	7.50
		2.00	-193.93300*	17.99	0.00	-229.23	-158.64
		3.00	9.29082	3.35	0.01	2.71	15.87

Table C-3 BOGT

	(I) Eest	(I) Eest			C 1-	95% Confidence Interval	
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	-137.20624	15.30	0.00	-167.23	-107.18
	1.00	3.00	13.56335	2.61	0.00	8.43	18.69
		4.00	-6.79095	3.26	0.04	-13.20	-0.38
	2.00	1.00	137.20624	15.30	0.00	107.18	167.23
		3.00	150.76959*	15.22	0.00	120.90	180.64
ITEC		4.00	130.41529*	15.35	0.00	100.30	160.53
atec	3.00	1.00	-13.56335	2.61	0.00	-18.69	-8.43
		2.00	-150.76959*	15.22	0.00	-180.64	-120.90
		4.00	-20.35430*	2.88	0.00	-26.01	-14.70
	4.00	1.00	6.79095	3.26	0.04	0.38	13.20
		2.00	-130.41529*	15.35	0.00	-160.53	-100.30
		3.00	20.35430*	2.88	0.00	14.70	26.01

Table C-4 BRAZ

Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(I) Feat	Mean Difference (I-I)	Std Error	Sig	95% Confide	ence Interval
	() Li cut	() Li cut	incari binerence (i s)	Sta. Enoi	5.5.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	38.99375	10.01	0.00	19.34	58.65
	1.00	3.00	9.28326	1.76	0.00	5.82	12.74
		4.00	-31.87570	2.16	0.00	-36.12	-27.64
	2.00	1.00	-38.99375	10.01	0.00	-58.65	-19.34
		3.00	-29.71049	9.96	0.00	-49.25	-10.17
dTEC		4.00	-70.86945	10.03	0.00	-90.56	-51.18
UTEC.	3.00	1.00	-9.28326	1.76	0.00	-12.74	-5.82
		2.00	29.71049	9.96	0.00	10.17	49.25
		4.00	-41.15895	1.88	0.00	-44.84	-37.47
	4.00	1.00	31.87570	2.16	0.00	27.64	36.12
		2.00	70.86945	10.03	0.00	51.18	90.56
		3.00	41.15895	1.88	0.00	37.47	44.84

Table C-5 COPO

Dependent Variable	(I) I Feat	(J) Feat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confide	ence Interval
		0, 1, 001			,	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1.00	2.00	-67.89077	11.83	0.00	-91.12	-44.67
		3.00	10.32346	2.02	0.00	6.36	14.29
		4.00	-18.59747	2.51	0.00	-23.52	-13.67
	2.00	1.00	67.89077	11.83	0.00	44.67	91.12
		3.00	78.21424	11.78	0.00	55.11	101.32
		4.00	49.29330 [*]	11.87	0.00	26.00	72.59
diec.	3.00	1.00	-10.32346	2.02	0.00	-14.29	-6.36
		2.00	-78.21424	11.78	0.00	-101.32	-55.11
		4.00	-28.92093	2.21	0.00	-33.26	-24.58
	4.00	1.00	18.59747	2.51	0.00	13.67	23.52
		2.00	-49.29330 [*]	11.87	0.00	-72.59	-26.00
		3.00	28.92093	2.21	0.00	24.58	33.26

Table C-6 IQQE

December 1 Mariable	(1) []	(I) Foot	Man Difference (L.I)	CL-L E-	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	-8.03	14.91	0.59	-37.30	21.23
	1.00	3.00	5.18	2.68	0.05	-0.08	10.43
		4.00	-1.37	3.16	0.67	-7.58	4.84
	2.00	1.00	8.03	14.91	0.59	-21.23	37.30
		3.00	13.21	14.86	0.37	-15.96	42.37
ITEC		4.00	6.67	14.95	0.66	-22.69	36.02
alec	3.00	1.00	-5.18	2.68	0.05	-10.43	0.08
		2.00	-13.21	14.86	0.37	-42.37	15.96
		4.00	-6.54232 [*]	2.91	0.02	-12.25	-0.84
	4.00	1.00	1.37	3.16	0.67	-4.84	7.58
		2.00	-6.67	14.95	0.66	-36.02	22.69
		3.00	6.54232 [*]	2.91	0.02	0.84	12.25

Table C-7 LAMT

December 1 (sight)	() []	(1) []		CLI Emer	C 1-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat Mean Difference (I-J)		Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	67.23093	8.63	0.00	50.30	84.16
	1.00	3.00	37.58131	1.47	0.00	34.69	40.47
	1	4.00	2.26	1.83	0.22	-1.33	5.85
	2.00	1.00	-67.23093	8.63	0.00	-84.16	-50.30
		3.00	-29.64962*	8.58	0.00	-46.49	-12.81
		4.00	-64.97119	8.65	0.00	-81.95	-47.99
atec	3.00	1.00	-37.58131	1.47	0.00	-40.47	-34.69
		2.00	29.64962	8.58	0.00	12.81	46.49
		4.00	-35.32157 [*]	1.61	0.00	-38.49	-32.16
		1.00	-2.26	1.83	0.22	-5.85	1.33
	4.00	2.00	64.97119 [*]	8.65	0.00	47.99	81.95
		3.00	35.32157	1.61	0.00	32.16	38.49

Table C- 8 SCH2

December 1 (oright)		(1) []	Maan Difference (LI)	CLI E	- Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat Mean Difference (I-J)		Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	9	2.00	-290.43896	27.18	0.00	-343.77	-237.11
	1.00	3.00	8.49	4.64	0.07	-0.62	17.60
	<i>i</i> HUL	4.00	6.02	5.77	0.30	-5.29	17.34
		1.00	290.43896	27.18	0.00	237.11	343.77
	2.00	3.00	298.93255	27.04	0.00	245.87	352.00
ITTE		4.00	296.46268	27.25	0.00	242.98	349.95
diec	3.00	1.00	-8.49	4.64	0.07	-17.60	0.62
		2.00	-298.93255	27.04	0.00	-352.00	-245.87
		4.00	-2.47	5.08	0.63	-12.44	7.50
	4.00	1.00	-6.02	5.77	0.30	-17.34	5.29
		2.00	-296.46268	27.25	0.00	-349.95	-242.98
		3.00	2.47	5.08	0.63	-7.50	12.44

Table C- 9 SG05

Daram dan Dita ial la	() []	(I) Foot	Marca Differences (L.I)		C :-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2.00	-78.04975	11.54	0.00	-100.70	-55.39
	1.00	3.00	-2.78	1.97	0.16	-6.66	1.09
		4.00	-11.40065	2.45	0.00	-16.21	-6.59
	2.00	1.00	78.04975	11.54	0.00	55.39	100.70
		3.00	75.26476	11.49	0.00	52.72	97.81
ITEC		4.00	66.64910 [*]	11.58	0.00	43.93	89.37
alec	3.00	1.00	2.78	1.97	0.16	-1.09	6.66
		2.00	-75.26476	11.49	0.00	-97.81	-52.72
		4.00	-8.61566	2.16	0.00	-12.85	-4.38
	4.00	1.00	11.40065	2.45	0.00	6.59	16.21
		2.00	-66.64910	11.58	0.00	-89.37	-43.93
		3.00	8.61566 [*]	2.16	0.00	4.38	12.85

Table C-10 UNSA

Descendent Merich I.	(I) LFeat (J) LFeat			Ctal France	Sig	95% Confidence Interval	
Dependent Variable			Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1.00	3.00	0.36	1.43	0.80	-2.45	3.16
	1.00	4.00	-25.86889	1.61	0.00	-29.02	-22.71
	3.00	1.00	-0.36	1.43	0.80	-3.16	2.45
dTEC		4.00	-26.22584	1.55	0.00	-29.26	-23.19
	4.00	1.00	25.86889	1.61	0.00	22.71	29.02
		3.00	26.22584	1.55	0.00	23.19	29.26



QHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY

Event II Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event II from IGS stations.

Table C-11 BAIE

Dopondont Variable	(I) I Foot	(I) East	Maan Difforance (LI)	Std Error	Sig	95% Confide	ence Interval		
Dependent valiable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Enoi	JIY.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
		2	6.86519	26.25836	.794	-44.6879	58.4183		
		3	15.96447	38.12741	.676	-58.8911	90.8201		
		4	8.47186	24.94633	.734	-40.5053	57.4490		
	1	5	-178.45240	23.13823	.000	-223.8797	-133.0251		
		6	-34.70251	16.78037	.039	-67.6474	-1.7576		
		7	8.26358	16.77527	.622	-24.6713	41.1985		
		8	9.07783	16.36403	.579	-23.0497	41.2054		
		1	-6.86519	26.25836	.794	-58.4183	44.6879		
		3	9.09927	40.46686	.822	-70.3494	88.5479		
		4	1.60667	28.39342	.955	-54.1382	57.3515		
	2	5	-185.31759	26.81874	.000	-237.9709	-132.6643		
		6	-41.56770	21.57424	.054	-83.9244	.7890		
		7	1.39838	21.57028	.948	-40.9506	43.7473		
		8	2.21263	21.25203	.917	-39.5115	43.9368		
		1	-15.96447	38.12741	.676	-90.8201	58.8911		
		2	-9.09927	40.46686	.822	-88.5479	70.3494		
		4	-7.49261	39.62807	.850	-85.2945	70.3092		
	3	5	-194.41687	38.51548	.000	-270.0344	-118.7994		
		6	-50.66698	35.06630	.149	-119.5127	18.1787		
		7	-7.70089	35.06386	.826	-76.5418	61.1400		
		8	-6.88664	34.86899	.843	-75.3450	61.5717		
		1	-8.47186	24.94633	.734	-57.4490	40.5053		
		2	-1.60667	28.39342	.955	-57.3515	54.1382		
		3	7.49261	39.62807	.850	-70.3092	85.2945		
	4	5	-186.92426	25.53552	.000	-237.0582	-136.7903		
		6	-43.17437	19.95660	.031	-82.3552	-3.9936		
		7	20828	19.95231	.992	-39.3807	38.9641		
inc é		8	.60597	19.60782	.975	-37.8901	39.1020		
dIEC		1	178.45240	23.13823	.000	133.0251	223.8797		
				2	185.31759	26.81874	.000	132.6643	237.9709
			3	194.41687*	38.51548	.000	118.7994	270.0344	
	5	4	186.92426	25.53552	.000	136.7903	237.0582		
		6	143.74989	17.64438	.000	109.1087	178.3911		
		7	186.71598	17.63953	.000	152.0843	221.3477		
		8	187.53022	17.24891	.000	153.6654	221.3950		
	9		34.70251	16.78037	.039	1.7576	67.6474		
		2	41.56770	21.57424	.054	7890	83.9244		
		3	50.66698	35.06630	.149	-18.1787	119.5127		
	6	4	43.17437	19.95660	.031	3.9936	82.3552		
		5	-143.74989	17.64438	.000	-178.3911	-109.1087		
		7	42.96608	7.57338	.000	28.0973	57.8349		
		8	43.78033	6.61271	.000	30.7976	56.7631		
		1	-8.26358	16.77527	.622	-41.1985	24.6713		
		2	-1.39838	21.57028	.948	-43.7473	40.9506		
		3	7.70089	35.06386	.826	-61.1400	76.5418		
	7	4	.20828	19.95231	.992	-38.9641	39.3807		
		5	-186.71598	17.63953	.000	-221.3477	-152.0843		
		6	-42.96608	7.57338	.000	-57.8349	-28.0973		
		8	.81425	6.59975	.902	-12.1430	13.7715		
		1	-9.07783	16.36403	.579	-41.2054	23.0497		
		2	-2.21263	21.25203	.917	-43.9368	39.5115		
		3	6.88664	34.86899	.843	-61.5717	75.3450		
	8	4	60597	19.60782	.975	-39.1020	37.8901		
		5	-187.53022*	17.24891	.000	-221.3950	-153.6654		
		6	-43.78033*	6.61271	.000	-56.7631	-30.7976		
		7	81425	6.59975	.902	-13.7715	12.1430		

Table C-12 BOGE

Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat (J) LFeat	(I) Feet	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
		(J) LFEBT				Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-9.26764	11.16122	.407	-31.1805	12.6452
		3	-9.46364	16.20620	.559	-41.2813	22.3540
		4	-0.97401*	10.60353	.048	-41.7919	1561
	1	5	-49.15990*	9.83499	.000	-68.4690	-29.8508
		6	23.68525	7.13256	.001	9.6819	37.6886
		7	-10.99351	7.13039	.124	-24.9926	3.0056
		8	-52.56972	6.95559	.000	-66.2256	-38.9138
		1	9.26764	11.16122	.407	-12.6452	31.1805
		3	19600	17.20059	.991	-33.9659	33.5739
		4	-11.70638	12.06873	.332	-35.4009	11.9882
	2	5	-39.89226	11.39941	.000	-62.2727	-17.5118
		6	32.95289	9.17021	.000	14.9490	50.9568
		7	-1.72588	9.16853	.851	-19.7265	16.2747
		8	-43.30208	9.03325	.000	-61.0371	-25.5671
		1	9.46364	16.20620	.559	-22.3540	41.2813
		2	.19600	17.20059	.991	-33.5739	33.9659
		4	-11.51037	16.84406	.495	-44.5803	21.5596
	3	5	-39.69626	16.37115	.016	-71.8378	-7.5548
		6	33.14889	14.90506	.026	3.8858	62.4120
		7	-1.52987	14.90403	.918	-30.7910	27.7312
		8	-43.10608	14.82119	.004	-72.2045	-14.0076
		1	20.97401	10.60353	.048	.1561	41.7919
		2	11.70638	12.06873	.332	-11.9882	35.4009
		3	11.51037	16.84406	.495	-21.5596	44.5803
	4	5	-28.18589	10.85397	.010	-49.4955	-6.8763
		6	44.65926	8.48263	.000	28.0053	61.3132
		7	9.98050	8.48080	.240	-6.6699	26.6309
dTEC		8	-31.59571	8.33438	.000	-47.9586	-15.2328
		1	49.15990	9.83499	.000	29.8508	68.4690
	5	2	39.89226	11.39941	.000	17.5118	62.2727
		3	39.69626	16.37115	.016	7.5548	71.8378
		4	28.18589	10.85397	.010	6.8763	49.4955
		6	/2.84515	7.49981	.000	58.1208	87.5695
		1	38.16639	7.49775	.000	23.4460	52.8867
		8	-3.40982	7.33171	.642	-17.8042	10.9845
		2	-23.06323	0.17021	.001	-37.0000	-9.0019
		2	-52.55209	14.00506	.000	-50.9508	-14.9490
	6	4	-33.14689	2 18 262	.020	-02.4120	-2.0020
		5	-72 84515	7 49981	.000	-87 5695	-58 1208
		7	-34 67876	3 21000	.000	-40.9988	-28 3587
C		8	-76 25497	2 81076	.000	-40.7700	-20.3301
		1	10 99351	7 13039	124	-3.0056	24 9926
		2	1.72588	9.16853	.851	-16.2747	19.7265
	7	3	1.52987	14.90403	.918	-27.7312	30.7910
		4	-9.98050	8,48080	.240	-26.6309	6.6699
		5	-38.16639	7.49775	.000	-52.8867	-23.4460
	6	6	34.67876	3.21909	.000	28.3587	40.9988
		8	-41.57620*	2.80525	.000	-47.0838	-36.0687
		1	52.56972 [*]	6.95559	.000	38.9138	66.2256
		2	43.30208	9.03325	.000	25.5671	61.0371
		3	43.10608	14.82119	.004	14.0076	72.2045
	8	4	31.59571	8.33438	.000	15.2328	47.9586
		5	3.40982	7.33171	.642	-10.9845	17.8042
		6	76.25497*	2.81076	.000	70.7366	81.7733
		7	41.57620	2.80525	.000	36.0687	47.0838

Table C-13 BRAZ

Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat		Mean Difference (I- J)			95% Confidence Interval	
		(J) LFeat		Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-18.59113	10.91680	.089	-40.0243	2.8420
		3	-28.72131	15.85131	.070	-59.8424	2.3998
		4	-30.47152	10.37133	.003	-50.8337	-10.1093
	1	5	-28.94242	9.61962	.003	-47.8288	-10.0561
		6	9.60233	6.97637	.169	-4.0945	23.2991
		7	-3.72794	6.97425	.593	-17.4206	9.9647
		8	-2.63466	6.80507	.699	-15.9952	10.7258
		1	18.59113	10.91680	.089	-2.8420	40.0243
		3	-10.13018	16.82392	.547	-43.1608	22.9005
		4	-11.88039	11.80445	.315	-35.0562	11.2955
	2	5	-10.35129	11.14978	.354	-32.2418	11.5392
		6	28.19346	8.96940	.002	10.5837	45.8032
		7	14.86319	8.96775	.098	-2.7433	32.4697
		8	15.95647	8.83682	.071	-1.3930	33.3059
·		1	28.72131	15.85131	.070	-2.3998	59.8424
		2	10.13018	16.82392	.547	-22.9005	43.1608
		4	-1.75021	16.47520	.915	-34.0962	30.5958
	3	5	22112	16.01265	.989	-31.6590	31.2168
		6	38.32364	14.57867	.009	9.7011	66.9462
		7	24.99337	14.57765	.087	-3.6272	53.6139
		8	26.08665	14.49747	.072	-2.3765	54.5498
		1	30.47152	10.37133	.003	10.1093	50.8337
		2	11.88039	11.80445	.315	-11.2955	35.0562
		3	1.75021	16.47520	.915	-30.5958	34.0962
	4	5	1.52909	10.61628	.886 .000 .001	-19.3140	22.3722
		6	40.07385	8.29687		23.7845	56.3632
		7	26.74358	8.29509		10.4577	43.0295
		8	27.83686	8.15336	.001	11.8292	43.8445
dTEC	5	1	28.94242	9.61962	.003	10.0561	47.8288
		2 3 4 6 7	10.35129	11.14978	.354	-11.5392	32.2418
			.22112	16.01265	.989	-31.2168	31.6590
			-1.52909	10.61628	.886	-22.3722	19.3140
			38.54475	7.33558	.000	24.1427	52.9468
			25.21448	7.33356	.001	10.8164	39.6126
		8	26.30777*	7.17286	.000	12.2252	40.3904
	6	1	-9.60233	6.97637	.169	-23.2991	4.0945
		2	-28.19346	8.96940	.002	-45.8032	-10.5837
		3	-38.32364	14.57867	.009	-66.9462	-9.7011
		4	-40.07385	8.29687	.000	-56.3632	-23.7845
		9.5	-38.54475	7.33558	.000	-52.9468	-24.1427
		7	-13.33027	3.14860	.000	-19.5120	-7.1486
		8	-12.23699	2.75364	.000	-17.6432	-6.8307
			3.72794	6.97425	.593	-9.9647	17.4206
		2	-14.86319	8.96775	.098	-32.4697	2.7433
	7	3	-24.99337	14.57765	.087	-53.6139	3.6272
		4	-26.74358	8.29509	.001	-43.0295	-10.4577
		5	-25.21448	7.33356	.001	-39.6126	-10.8164
		6	13.33027	3.14860	.000	7.1486	19.5120
		8	1.09328	2.74826	.691	-4.3024	6.4890
	_	1	2.63466	6.80507	.699	-10.7258	15.9952
		2	-15.95647	8.83682	.071	-33.3059	1.3930
	8	3	-26.08665	14.49747	.072	-54.5498	2.3765
		4	-27.83686	8.15336	.001	-43.8445	-11.8292
		5	-26.30777*	7.17286	.000	-40.3904	-12.2252
		6	12.23699	2.75364	.000	6.8307	17.6432
		7	-1.09328	2.74826	.691	-6.4890	4.3024

Table C-14 COYQ

Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	()) =	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
		(J) LFeat				Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	57752	16.78325	.973	-33.5281	32.3731
		3	1.06051	24.36945	.965	-46.7841	48.9051
		4	-8.42200	15.94465	.598	-39.7262	22.8822
	1	5	76037	14.78899	.959	-29.7956	28.2749
		6	-66.29252	10.72531	.000	-87.3496	-45.2355
		7	-12.62783	10.72531	.239	-33.6849	8.4292
		8	-1.37761	10.45830	.895	-21.9104	19.1552
		1	.57752	16.78325	.973	-32.3731	33.5281
		3	1.63802	25.86473	.950	-49.1422	52.4183
		4	-7.84449	18.14789	.666	-43.4743	27.7853
	2	5	18286	17.14142	.991	-33.8366	33.4709
		6	-65.71501	13.78936	.000	-92.7877	-38.6423
		7	-12.05031	13.78936	.382	-39.1230	15.0224
		8	80009	13.58271	.953	-27.4670	25.8669
		1	-1.06051	24.36945	.965	-48.9051	46.7841
		2	-1.63802	25.86473	.950	-52.4183	49.1422
		4	-9.48251	25.32861	.708	-59.2102	40.2452
	3	5	-1.82088	24.61749	.941	-50.1524	46.5107
		6	-67.35303	22.41292	.003	-111.3563	-23.3497
		7	-13.68834	22.41292	.542	-57.6917	30.3150
		8	-2.43812	22.28638	.913	-46.1930	41.3168
		1	8.42200	15.94465	.598	-22.8822	39.7262
		2	7.84449	18.14789	.666	-27.7853	43.4743
		3	9.48251	25.32861	.708	-40.2452	59.2102
	4	5	7.00103	10.32124	.039	-24.5819	39.7051
		6	-57.07052	12.75543	.000	-02.9133	-32.0210
		8	7 04439	12.75345	574	-29.2400	31,6480
dTEC		1	76037	14 78899	959	-28 2749	29 7956
	5	2	.18286	17.14142	.991	-33.4709	33.8366
		3	1.82088	24.61749	.941	-46.5107	50.1524
		4	-7.66163	16.32124	.639	-39.7051	24.3819
		6	-65.53215	11.27755	.000	-87.6734	-43.3909
		7	-11.86746	11.27755	.293	-34.0087	10.2738
		8	61724	11.02392	.955	-22.2605	21.0260
		1	66.29252	10.72531	.000	45.2355	87.3496
	6	2	65.71501 [*]	13.78936	.000	38.6423	92.7877
		3	67.35303	22.41292	.003	23.3497	111.3563
		4	57.87052	12.75543	.000	32.8278	82.9133
		5	65.53215	11.27755	.000	43.3909	87.6734
		7	53.66469	4.84781	.000	44.1470	63.1824
	-	8	64.91491	4.22432	.000	56.6213	73.2085
		1	12.62783	10.72531	.239	-8.4292	33.6849
	7	2	12.05031	13.78936	.382	-15.0224	39.1230
		2	13.68834	22.41292	.542	-30.3150	57.6917
		4	4.20583	12.75543	.142	-20.8369	27.2486
		5	-53 66/40	11.27755 A 94791	.295	-10.2738	-44.0087 -44.1470
		8	-55.00409 11.25022	4.04101	000.	2 9566	-44.1470
		1	1.37761	10.45830	.895	-19.1552	21.9104
		2	.80009	13.58271	.953	-25.8669	27.4670
		- 3	2.43812	22.28638	.913	-41.3168	46.1930
	8	-	-7.04439	12.53174	.574	-31.6480	17.5592
		5	.61724	11.02392	.955	-21.0260	22.2605
		6	-64.91491	4.22432	.000	-73.2085	-56.6213
		7	-11.25022*	4,22432	.008	-19,5438	-2.9566
Table C-15 IQQE

Dealers dealers Mariable	(1) [+	(1) East	Mean Difference (I-	Ctul Emen	Ci-	95% Confid	ence Interval	
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
		2	-7.76507	12.93843	.549	-33.1671	17.6370	
		3	-5.51362	18.78673	.769	-42.3976	31.3704	
		4	-35.03779	12.29194	.004	-59.1706	-10.9050	
	1	5	-37.33324	11.40103	.001	-59.7169	-14.9496	
		6	-31.78476	8.26828	.000	-48.0179	-15.5516	
		7	16.76453	8.26577	.043	.5363	32.9927	
		8	25.15546	8.06314	.002	9.3251	40.9858	
		1	7.76507	12.93843	.549	-17.6370	33.1671	
		3	2.25146	19.93945	.910	-36.8957	41.3986	
		4	-27.27272	13.99045	.052	-54.7402	.1947	
	2	5	-29.56817	13.21454	.026	-55.5123	-3.6240	
		6	-24.01968	10.63039	.024	-44.8904	-3.1490	
		7	24.52960	10.62844	.021	3.6628	45.3964	
		8	32.92054	10.47163	.002	12.3616	53.4795	
		1	5.51362	18.78673	.769	-31.3704	42.3976	
		2	-2.25146	19.93945	.910	-41.3986	36.8957	
		4	-29.52417	19.52616	.131	-67.8599	8.8116	
	3	5	-31.81962	18.97794	.094	-69.0790	5.4398	
		6	-26.27114	17.27841	.129	-60.1939	7.6516	
		7	22.27814	17.27721	.198	-11.6422	56.1985	
		8	30.66908	17.18119	.075	-3.0628	64.4009	
		1	35.03779	12.29194	.004	10.9050	59.1706	
		2	27.27272	13.99045	.052	1947	54.7402	
		3	29.52417	19.52616	.131	-8.8116	67.8599	
	4	5	-2.29545	12.58225	.855	-26.9982	22.4073	
		6	3.25303	9.83332	.741	-16.0527	22.5588	
		7	51.80232	9.83121	.000	32.5007	71.1039	
dTEC		8	60.19325	9.66147	.000	41.2249	79.1616	
	1 2 3	1 2	1	37.33324	11.40103	.001	14.9496	59.7169
			2	29.56817	13.21454	.026	3.6240	55.5123
		3	31.81962	18.97794	.094	-5.4398	69.0790	
	5	4	2.29545	12.58225	.855	-22.4073	26.9982	
		6	5.54849	8.69401	.524	-11.5205	22.6175	
		7	54.09777	8.69162	.000	37.0335	71.1620	
		8	62.48871	8.49915	.000	45.8023	79.1751	
		1	31.78476	8.26828	.000	15.5516	48.0179	
		2	24.01968	10.63039	.024	3.1490	44.8904	
		3	26.27114	17.27841	.129	-7.6516	60.1939	
	6	4	-3.25303	9.83332	.741	-22.5588	16.0527	
		5 6 1	-5.54849	8.69401	.524	-22.6175	11.5205	
		7	48.54928	3.73167	.000	41.2229	55.8757	
		8	56.94022	3.25832	.000	50.5432	63.3373	
			-10.70455	0.20311	.043	-52.9921	5505	
		2	-24.32300	17 27721	108	-43.3904	-5.0028	
	7	4	-51 80232	0.83121	.190	-71 1039	-32 5007	
7	'	5	-51.00232	8.60162	.000	-71.1620	-37.0335	
		5	-18 54028	3 73167	.000	-55 8757	-01.0000	
		8	*40.J4720 8 30004	2 25102	.000	20064	-41.2229	
		1	-25 155//4	8.06314	.010	_40 9858	_0 3251	
		2	-32 92054	10 47163	002	-53 4795	-12 3616	
		3	-30 66908	17 18110	075	-64.4000	3.0628	
	8	4	-50.00200	9 661/17	000	-79 1616	-41 2240	
	0		-62 /18871	8 /19915	.000	_79.1010	-41.2249	
		6	-56 94022	3 25832	000	-63 3373	-50 5432	
		7	-8.39094	3.25193	.010	-14.7755	-2.0064	

Table C-16 LAMT

Dependent Variable	(I) East	(1) Feet	Mean Difference (I-	Ctul Error	Cir.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	9.02963	10.70057	.399	-11.9788	30.0381
		3	4.34232	15.53734	.780	-26.1622	34.8468
		4	-2.75873	10.16591	.786	-22.7175	17.2000
	1	5	-8.20102	9.42908	.385	-26.7132	10.3111
		6	-7.15092	6.83819	.296	-20.5763	6.2745
		7	-4.34409	6.83611	.525	-17.7654	9.0773
		8	-51.31996	6.66852	.000	-64.4123	-38.2276
		1	-9.02963	10.70057	.399	-30.0381	11.9788
		3	-4.68731	16.49069	.776	-37.0635	27.6889
		4	-11.78836	11.57063	.309	-34.5050	10.9283
	2	5	-17.23065	10.92893	.115	-38.6874	4.2261
		6	-16.18055	8.79174	.066	-33.4414	1.0803
		7	-13.37372	8.79013	.129	-30.6314	3.8839
		8	-60.34959	8.66044	.000	-77.3526	-43.3465
		1	-4.34232	15.53734	.780	-34.8468	26.1622
		2	4.68731	16.49069	.776	-27.6889	37.0635
		4	-7.10105	16.14888	.660	-38.8062	24.6041
	3	5	-12.54334	15.69548	.424	-43.3583	18.2716
		6	-11.49324	14.28991	.421	-39.5486	16.5622
		-7	-8.68641	14.28891	.543	-36.7398	19.3670
		8	-55.66228	14.20950	.000	-83.5598	-27.7648
		1	2.75873	10.16591	.786	-17.2000	22.7175
		2	11.78836	11.57065	.309	-10.9285	34.5050
	4	5	7.10105	16.14888	.660	-24.6041	38.8062
	4	5	-1.30210	8 13253	.001	-20.3588	14.5070
		7	-4.59219	8 13079	845	-17 5485	14 3778
		8	-48.56123	7.99040	.000	-64.2488	-32.8737
dTEC		1 0	8.20102	9.42908	.385	-10.3111	26.7132
		2	17.23065	10.92893	.115	-4.2261	38.6874
		3	12.54334	15.69548	.424	-18.2716	43.3583
	5	4	5.44229	10.40600	.601	-14.9878	25.8724
		6	1.05010	7.19028	.884	-13.0666	15.1668
		7	3.85693	7.18830	.592	-10.2559	17.9697
		8	-43.11894	7.02912	.000	-56.9192	-29.3187
		1	7.15092	6.83819	.296	-6.2745	20.5763
		2	16.18055	8.79174	.066	-1.0803	33.4414
		3	11.49324	14.28991	.421	-16.5622	39.5486
	6	4	4.39219	8.13253	.589	-11.5744	20.3588
		10 <u>5</u> 16 Mi	-1.05010	7.19028	.884	-15.1668	13.0666
		7	2.80683	3.08624	.363	-3.2524	8.8660
		8	-44.16904	2.69475	.000	-49.4596	-58.8784
		ULALUN	4.34409	0.03011	.525	-9.0773	20.6314
		2	8 68641	14 28891	543	-19 3670	36 7398
	7	4	1 58536	8 13079	.545	-14 3778	17 5485
		5	-3.85693	7.18830	.592	-17.9697	10.2559
		6	-2.80683	3.08624	.363	-8.8660	3.2524
		8	-46.97587	2.68947	.000	-52.2561	-41.6956
		1	51.31996	6.66852	.000	38.2276	64.4123
		2	60.34959	8.66044	.000	43.3465	77.3526
		3	55.66228	14.20950	.000	27.7648	83.5598
	8	4	48.56123	7.99040	.000	32.8737	64.2488
		5	43.11894	7.02912	.000	29.3187	56.9192
		6	44.16904	2.69475	.000	38.8784	49.4596
		7	46.97587	2.68947	.000	41.6956	52.2561

Table C-17 PARC

	0.15		Mean Difference (I-	0.1.5	<i>.</i>	95% Confide	ence Interval	
Dependent Variable	(I) L⊦eat	(J) LFeat	L)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
		2	21545	17.07389	.990	-33.7366	33.3057	
		3	-5.16364	24.79146	.835	-53.8367	43.5095	
		4	-14.64747	16.22077	.367	-46.4937	17.1988	
	1	5	-1.87097	15.04510	.901	-31.4090	27.6671	
		6	-68.77667	10.91105	.000	-90.1983	-47.3550	
		7	-82.83977	10.90773	.000	-104.2549	-61.4246	
		8	-19.66195	10.64033	.065	-40.5521	1.2282	
		1	.21545	17.07389	.990	-33.3057	33.7366	
		3	-4.94819	26.31263	.851	-56.6078	46.7114	
		4	-14.43202	18.46216	.435	-50.6788	21.8148	
	2	5	-1.65551	17.43826	.924	-35.8921	32.5811	
		6	-68.56122	14.02815	.000	-96.1027	-41.0197	
		7	-82.62432	14.02557	.000	-110.1607	-55.0879	
		8	-19.44650	13.81864	.160	-46.5766	7.6836	
		1	5.16364	24.79146	.835	-43.5095	53.8367	
		2	4.94819	26.31263	.851	-46.7114	56.6078	
		4	-9.48383	25.76723	.713	-60.0727	41.1050	
	3	5	3.29268	25.04380	.895	-45.8758	52.4612	
		6	-63.61303	22.80105	.005	-108.3784	-18.8477	
		-7	-77.67613	22.79946	.001	-122.4383	-32.9139	
		8	-14.49831	22.67275	.523	-59.0117	30.0151	
		1	14.64747	16.22077	.367	-17.1988	46.4937	
		2	14.43202	18.46216	.435	-21.8148	50.6788	
		3	9.48383	25.76723	.713	-41.1050	60.0727	
	4	5	12.77651	16.60388	.442	-19.8219	45.3749	
		6	-54.12920	12.97631	.000	-79.6056	-28.6528	
		1	-68.19230	12.97352	.000	-93.6632	-42.7214	
dTEC		8	-5.01448	12.74953	.694	-30.0456	20.0167	
	2	1	1.87097	15.04510	.901	-27.6671	31.4090	
		2	1.65551	17.43826	.924	-52.5811	35.8921	
	F	3	-3.29268	25.04380	.895	-52.4612	45.8758	
	5	4	-12.77051	10.00300	.442	-45.5749	19.0219	
		7	-00.90570	11.47203	.000	-09.4304	-44.5610	
		8	-00.90080	11.40909	.000	-39,8108	-56.4505	
		1	68 77667	10.01105	.115	47 3550	9.2200	
		2	68 56122	14 02815	000	41.0197	96 1027	
		3	63.61303	22.80105	.005	18.8477	108.3784	
	6	4	54.12920	12.97631	.000	28.6528	79.6056	
		1851 2 31	66.90570	11.47285	.000	44.3810	89.4304	
		7	-14.06310	4.92441	.004	-23.7312	-4.3950	
		8	49.11472	4.29976	.000	40.6730	57.5564	
		1	82.83977	10.90773	.000	61.4246	104.2549	
		2	82.62432	14.02557	.000	55.0879	110.1607	
		3	77.67613	22.79946	.001	32.9139	122.4383	
	7	4	68.19230*	12.97352	.000	42.7214	93.6632	
		5	80.96880*	11.46969	.000	58.4503	103.4873	
		6	14.06310*	4.92441	.004	4.3950	23.7312	
		8	63.17782 [*]	4.29133	.000	54.7526	71.6030	
		1	19.66195	10.64033	.065	-1.2282	40.5521	
		2	19.44650	13.81864	.160	-7.6836	46.5766	
		3	14.49831	22.67275	.523	-30.0151	59.0117	
	8	4	5.01448	12.74953	.694	-20.0167	30.0456	
	-	5 4	5	17.79099	11.21570	.113	-4.2288	39.8108
		6	-49.11472*	4.29976	.000	-57.5564	-40.6730	
		7	-63.17782	4.29133	.000	-71.6030	-54.7526	

Table C-18 SCH2

Dan an dan t <i>ù la</i> ia bha	(1) 1 5 +	(1) East	Manage Differences (L.I)	Chil Farmer	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-2.65178	16.32958	.871	-34.7117	29.4081
		3	-42.35295	23.71071	.074	-88.9042	4.1983
		4	-53.83927	15.51365	.001	-84.2972	-23.3813
	1	5	-133.61838	14.38923	.000	-161.8688	-105.3680
		6	-15.99110	10.43540	.126	-36.4789	4.4967
		7	-6.26253	10.43222	.548	-26.7441	14.2191
		8	-2.31785	10.17648	.820	-22.2973	17.6617
		1	2.65178	16.32958	.871	-29.4081	34.7117
		3	-39.70118	25.16557	.115	-89.1088	9.7064
		4	-51.18750	17.65733	.004	-85.8542	-16.5208
	2	5	-130.96660	16.67807	.000	-163.7107	-98.2225
		6	-13.33932	13.41661	.320	-39.6802	13.0015
		7	-3.61075	13.41415	.788	-29.9468	22.7253
		8	.33393	13.21623	.980	-25.6135	26.2814
		1	42.35295	23.71071	.074	-4.1983	88.9042
		2	39.70118	25.16557	.115	-9.7064	89.1088
		4	-11.48632	24.64395	.641	-59.8698	36.8972
	3	5	-91.26542	23.95205	.000	-138.2905	-44.2403
		6	26.36185	21.80707	.227	-16.4520	69.1757
		7	36.09042	21.80555	.098	-6.7204	78.9013
		8	40.03511	21.68436	.065	-2.5378	82.6080
		1	53.83927	15.51365	.001	23.3813	84.2972
		2	51.18750	17.65733	.004	16.5208	85.8542
		3	11.48632	24.64395	.641	-36.8972	59.8698
	4	5	-79.77910	15.88006	.000	-110.9564	-48.6018
		6	37.84817	12.41063	.002	13.4824	62.2140
		7	47.57674	12.40796	.000	23.2162	71.9373
dTEC		8	51.52143	12.19373	.000	27.5815	75.4614
GILC		1	133.61838	14.38923	.000	105.3680	161.8688
		2 3	130.96660	16.67807	.000	98.2225	163.7107
			91.26542	23.95205	.000	44.2403	138.2905
	5	4	79.77910	15.88006	.000	48.6018	110.9564
		6	117.62728	10.97271	.000	96.0845	139.1700
		7	127.35585	10.96969	.000	105.8190	148.8927
		8	131.30053	10.72677	.000	110.2407	152.3604
		1	15.99110	10.43540	.126	-4.4967	36.4789
		2	13.33932	13.41661	.320	-13.0015	39.6802
		3	-26.36185	21.80707	.227	-69.1757	16.4520
	6	4	-37.84817	12.41063	.002	-62.2140	-13.4824
		5	-117.62728	10.97271	.000	-139.1700	-96.0845
		7	9.72857	4.70974	.039	.4819	18.9752
	-	8	13.67325	4.11232	.001	5.5995	21.7470
		1	6.26253	10.43222	.548	-14.2191	26.7441
		2	3.61075	13.41415	.788	-22.7253	29.9468
	-	3	-36.09042	21.80555	.098	-78.9013	6.7204
	1	4	-47.57674	12.40796	.000	-71.9373	-23.2162
		5	-127.35585	10.96969	.000	-148.8927	-105.8190
		D	-9.72857	4.70974	.039	-18.9752	4819
		0	3.94468	4.10426	.337	-4.1132	12.0026
		1	2.31785	10.17648	.820	-17.6617	22.2973
		2	33393	13.21623	.980	-26.2814	25.6135
	0	2	-40.03511	21.68436	.065	-82.6080	2.5378
	8	4	-51.52143	12.19373	.000	-75.4614	-27.5815
		5	-131.30053	10.72677	.000	-152.3604	-110.2407
		0	-13.67325	4.11232	.001	-21.7470	-5.5995
1		1	-3.94468	4.10426	.557	-12.0026	4.1132

Table C-19 SCUB

	()		Mean Difference (I-	0.15	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	L)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	11.38969	12.25035	.353	-12.6628	35.4422
		3	13.64195	17.78763	.443	-21.2825	48.5664
		4	11.50654	11.63824	.323	-11.3441	34.3572
	1	5	33424	10.79471	.975	-21.5287	20.8602
		6	26.86002	7.82857	.001	11.4893	42.2307
		7	-24.71000*	7.82619	.002	-40.0760	-9.3440
		8	-20.55360	7.65078	.007	-35.5752	-5.5320
		1	-11.38969	12.25035	.353	-35.4422	12.6628
		3	2.25226	18.87905	.905	-34.8151	39.3196
		4	.11685	13.24642	.993	-25.8913	26.1250
	2	5	-11.72393	12.51178	.349	-36.2897	12.8419
		6	15.47034	10.06506	.125	-4.2915	35.2322
		7	-36.09969	10.06321	.000	-55.8579	-16.3415
		8	-31.94329	9.92740	.001	-51.4349	-12.4517
		1	-13.64195	17.78763	.443	-48.5664	21.2825
		2	-2.25226	18.87905	.905	-39.3196	34.8151
		4	-2.13541	18.48773	.908	-38.4345	34.1637
	3	5	-13.97619	17.96868	.437	-49.2561	21.3037
		6	13.21808	16.35953	.419	-18.9024	45.3386
		7	-38.35195	16.35839	.019	-70.4702	-6.2337
		8	-34.19555	16.27520	.036	-66.1505	-2.2406
		1	-11.50654	11.63824	.323	-34.3572	11.3441
		2	11685	15.24642	.993	-26.1250	25.8913
	4	5	11 94079	10.40773	.900	-34.1037	11 5406
	4	6	15 353/8	0 31038	100	-2.9266	33 6336
		7	-36 21655	9 30838	.100	-54 4927	-17 9404
		8	-32.06014	9.16139	.000	-50.0477	-14.0726
dTEC		1 1	.33424	10.79471	.975	-20.8602	21.5287
		2	11.72393	12.51178	.349	-12.8419	36.2897
		3	13.97619	17.96868	.437	-21.3037	49.2561
	5	5 4	11.84078	11.91312	.321 .001	-11.5496	35.2311
			27.19426	8.23165		11.0321	43.3564
		7	-24.37577	8.22939	.003	-40.5335	-8.2181
		8	-20.21936	8.06276	.012	-36.0499	-4.3888
		1	-26.86002	7.82857	.001	-42.2307	-11.4893
		2	-15.47034	10.06506	.125	-35.2322	4.2915
		3	-13.21808	16.35953	.419	-45.3386	18.9024
	6	4	-15.35348	9.31038	.100	-33.6336	2.9266
			-27.19426	8.23165	.001	-43.3564	-11.0321
		1	-51.57003	3.53322	.000	-58.5072	-44.6329
		8	-47.41362	3.12551	.000	-53.5503	-41.2770
		1	24.71000	1.82619	.002	9.3440	40.0760
		3	38 35105	16 35830	.000	6 2337	70.4702
	7	4	36 21655	9 30838	.019	17 9404	54 4927
	,	-	24 37577	8 22939	.000	8 2181	40 5335
		6	51.57003	3.53322	.000	44.6329	58.5072
		8	4.15640	3.11954	.183	-1.9685	10.2814
		1	20.55360	7.65078	.007	5.5320	35.5752
		2	31.94329	9.92740	.001	12.4517	51.4349
		3	34.19555	16.27520	.036	2.2406	66.1505
	8	4	32.06014	9.16139	.000	14.0726	50.0477
		5	20.21936	8.06276	.012	4.3888	36.0499
		6	47.41362	3.12551	.000	41.2770	53.5503
		7	-4.15640	3.11954	.183	-10.2814	1.9685

Table C-20 SG05

	()		Mean Difference	0.1.5	<i>c</i> .	95% Confid	ence Interval
Dependent ariable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	8.56433	13.15840	.515	-17.2696	34.3982
		3	7.55189	19.10613	.693	-29.9592	45.0630
		4	06125	12.50093	.996	-24.6043	24.4818
	1	5	-1.20323	11.59486	.917	-23.9674	21.5610
		6	20.62253	8.40886	.014	4.1134	37.1316
		7	-29.60106*	8.40630	.000	-46.1052	-13.0970
		8	-28.76775	8.20023	.000	-44.8673	-12.6682
		1	-8.56433	13.15840	.515	-34.3982	17.2696
		3	-1.01243	20.27846	.960	-40.8252	38.8003
		4	-8.62557	14.22831	.545	-36.5600	19.3089
	2	5	-9.76756	13.43921	.468	-36.1528	16.6177
		6	12.05820	10.81113	.265	-9.1673	33.2837
		7	-38.16539	10.80914	.000	-59.3870	-16.9438
		8	-37.33208	10.64966	.000	-58.2406	-16.4236
		1	-7.55189	19.10613	.693	-45.0630	29.9592
		2	1.01243	20.27846	.960	-38.8003	40.8252
		4	-7.61314	19.85813	.702	-46.6006	31.3744
	3	5	-8.75512	19.30060	.650	-46.6480	29.1378
		6	13.07063	17.57217	.457	-21.4288	47.5701
		7	-37.15295	17.57095	.035	-71.6500	-2.6559
		8	-36.31965	17.47330	.038	-70.6250	-2.0143
		1	.06125	12.50093	.996	-24.4818	24.6043
		2	8.62557	14.22831	.545	-19.3089	36.5600
		3	7.61314	19.85813	.702	-31.3744	46.6006
	4	5	-1.14198	12.79617	.929	-26.2647	23.9808
		0	20.68577	10.00051	.039	1.0498	40.5178
		1	-29.55901	9.99030	.003	-49.1096	-9.9100
dTEC		1	-20.70031	11 59/86	.004	-21 5610	-9.4130
		2	9.76756	13 (13921	.917	-16 6177	36 1528
		3	8 75512	19 30060	.400	-29 1378	46 6480
	5	4	1 14198	12 79617	929	-23 9808	26 2647
	2	6	21.82575	8.84182	.014	4.4666	39.1849
		7	-28.39783	8.83939	.001	-45.7522	-11.0434
		8	-27.56452	8.64365	.001	-44.5346	-10.5944
		1	-20.62253	8.40886	.014	-37.1316	-4.1134
		2	-12.05820	10.81113	.265	-33.2837	9.1673
		3	-13.07063	17.57217	.457	-47.5701	21.4288
	6	4	-20.68377*	10.00051	.039	-40.3178	-1.0498
			-21.82575	8.84182	.014	-39.1849	-4.4666
		7	-50.22358	3.79512	.000	-57.6745	-42.7726
		8	-49.39028	3.31371	.000	-55.8961	-42.8845
		1	29.60106	8.40630	.000	13.0970	46.1052
		2	38.16539	10.80914	.000	16.9438	59.3870
		3	37.15295	17.57095	.035	2.6559	71.6500
	7	4	29.53981	9.99836	.003	9.9100	49.1696
		5	28.39783	8.83939	.001	11.0434	45.7522
		6	50.22358	3.79512	.000	42.7726	57.6745
		8	.83331	3.30722	.801	-5.6598	7.3264
		1	28.76775	8.20023	.000	12.6682	44.8673
		2	37.33208	10.64966	.000	16.4236	58.2406
		3	36.31965	17.47330	.038	2.0143	70.6250
	8	4	28.70651	9.82573	.004	9.4156	47.9974
		5	27.56452	8.64365	.001	10.5944	44.5346
		6	49.39028	3.31371	.000	42.8845	55.8961
1		7	83331	3,30722	.801	-7.3264	5 6598

Event III Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I

from IGS stations.

Table C-21 BAIE

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Farmer	Ci-	95% Confid	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-I)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-3.09340	6.01359	.607	-14.8999	8.7131
		3	-3.55558	3.44933	.303	-10.3276	3.2165
	1	4	-9.11961	12.92607	.481	-34.4973	16.2581
	1	5	2.09725	2.67972	.434	-3.1638	7.3583
		6	35.91209 [*]	2.42150	.000	31.1580	40.6662
		7	32.43470*	2.52102	.000	27.4852	37.3842
		1	3.09340	6.01359	.607	-8.7131	14.8999
		3	46218	6.41831	.943	-13.0632	12.1389
	2	4	-6.02621	14.01356	.667	-33.5390	21.4866
	2	5	5.19065	6.03968	.390	-6.6670	17.0483
		6	39.00549	5.92962	.000	27.3639	50.6471
		7	35.52810*	5.97096	.000	23.8053	47.2509
		1	3.55558	3.44933	.303	-3.2165	10.3276
		2	.46218	6.41831	.943	-12.1389	13.0632
	3	4	-5.56402	13.11925	.672	-31.3210	20.1929
	5	5	5.65283	3.49462	.106	-1.2081	12.5138
		6	39.46767	3.30077	.000	32.9873	45.9481
		7	35.99028	3.37446	.000	29.3652	42.6153
		1	9.11961	12.92607	.481	-16.2581	34.4973
		2	6.02621	14.01356	.667	-21.4866	33.5390
dTEC	4	3	5.56402	13.11925	.672	-20.1929	31.3210
UILC	4	5	11.21686	12.93822	.386	-14.1847	36.6184
		6	45.03170	12.88722	.001	19.7303	70.3331
		7	41.55431	12.90629	.001	16.2154	66.8932
		1	-2.09725	2.67972	.434	-7.3583	3.1638
		2	-5.19065	6.03968	.390	-17.0483	6.6670
	5	3	-5.65283	3.49462	.106	-12.5138	1.2081
	5	4	-11.21686	12.93822	.386	-36.6184	14.1847
		6	33.81484	2.48559	.000	28.9349	38.6948
		7	30.33745	2.58264	.000	25.2670	35.4079
		1	-35.91209	2.42150	.000	-40.6662	-31.1580
		2	-39.00549	5.92962	.000	-50.6471	-27.3639
	6	3	-39.46767	3.30077	.000	-45.9481	-32.9873
	ů –	4	-45.03170	12.88722	.001	-70.3331	-19.7303
		5	-33.81484	2.48559	.000	-38.6948	-28.9349
		7	-3.47739	2.31360	.133	-8.0197	1.0649
		1	-32.43470	2.52102	.000	-37.3842	-27.4852
		2	-35.52810	5.97096	.000	-47.2509	-23.8053
	7	3	-35.99028 [*]	3.37446	.000	-42.6153	-29.3652
	,	4	-41.55431*	12.90629	.001	-66.8932	-16.2154
		5	-30.33745	2.58264	.000	-35.4079	-25.2670
		6	3.47739	2.31360	.133	-1.0649	8.0197

Table C-22 BOGT

Dependent	(I) Feet		Mean Difference	Ctol Error	Cia	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-6.44627	7.24450	.374	-20.6694	7.7768
		3	-17.47623*	4.15537	.000	-25.6344	-9.3180
	1	4	-46.33765	15.57188	.003	-76.9099	-15.7654
	1	5	-78.03776	3.22823	.000	-84.3757	-71.6998
		6	-21.93062*	2.91715	.000	-27.6579	-16.2034
		7	-78.79373	3.03704	.000	-84.7563	-72.8311
		1	6.44627	7.24450	.374	-7.7768	20.6694
		3	-11.02996	7.73206	.154	-26.2103	4.1504
	2	4	-39.89138 [*]	16.88198	.018	-73.0357	-6.7471
	Z	5	-71.59149 [*]	7.27593	.000	-85.8763	-57.3067
		6	-15.48435	7.14335	.031	-29.5089	-1.4598
		7	-72.34746	7.19314	.000	-86.4697	-58.2252
		1	17.47623	4.15537	.000	9.3180	25.6344
		2	11.02996	7.73206	.154	-4.1504	26.2103
	2	4	-28.86142	15.80460	.068	-59.8906	2.1677
	2	5	-60.56153	4.20993	.000	-68.8269	-52.2962
		6	-4.45440	3.97640	.263	-12.2613	3.3525
		7	-61.31750 [*]	4.06517	.000	-69.2986	-53.3364
		1	46.33765	15.57188	.003	15.7654	76.9099
		2	39.89138	16.88198	.018	6.7471	73.0357
ITEC	4	3	28.86142	15.80460	.068	-2.1677	59.8906
alec	4	5	-31.70011	15.58653	.042	-62.3011	-1.0991
		6	24.40703	15.52508		-6.0733	54.8874
		7	-32.45608	15.54806	.037	-62.9815	-1.9306
		1	78.03776	3.22823	.000	71.6998	84.3757
		2	71.59149	7.27593	.000	57.3067	85.8763
	F	3	60.56153	4.20993	.000	52.2962	68.8269
	5	4	31.70011	15.58653	.042	1.0991	62.3011
		6	56.10713	2.99436	.000	50.2283	61.9860
		7	75597	3.11128	.808	-6.8643	5.3524
		1	21.93062	2.91715	.000	16.2034	27.6579
		2	15.48435	7.14335	.031	1.4598	29.5089
	,	3	4.45440	3.97640	.263	-3.3525	12.2613
	0	4	-24.40703	15.52508	.116	-54.8874	6.0733
		5	-56.10713	2.99436	.000	-61.9860	-50.2283
	1	7 6 1	-56.86311	2.78717	.000	-62.3352	-51.3911
	9	1	78.79373	3.03704	.000	72.8311	84.7563
		2	72.34746	7.19314	.000	58.2252	86.4697
	ŲΗ	3	61.31750 [*]	4.06517	.000	53.3364	69.2986
	(4	32.45608	15.54806	.037	1.9306	62.9815
		5	.75597	3.11128	.808	-5.3524	6.8643
		6	56.86311	2.78717	.000	51.3911	62.3352

Table C-23 LAMT

Dependent	(I) East	(I) Fast	Mean Difference	Ctal Farer	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Sta. Enor	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-9.72143	5.20765	.062	-19.9456	.5027
		3	-11.42445	2.98705	.000	-17.2889	-5.5600
	1	4	-20.53585	11.19372	.067	-42.5125	1.4407
	1	5	7.74555	2.32059	.001	3.1895	12.3016
		6	14.99294	2.09697	.000	10.8760	19.1099
		7	14.66126	2.18315	.000	10.3751	18.9474
		1	9.72143	5.20765	.062	5027	19.9456
		3	-1.70302	5.55813	.759	-12.6153	9.2092
	2	4	-10.81443	12.13547	.373	-34.6400	13.0111
	Z	5	17.46697	5.23024	.001	7.1985	27.7355
		6	24.71437*	5.13494	.000	14.6330	34.7958
		7	24.38269*	5.17073	.000	14.2310	34.5344
		1	11.42445	2.98705	.000	5.5600	17.2889
		2	1.70302	5.55813	.759	-9.2092	12.6153
	2	4	-9.11141	11.36101	.423	-31.4164	13.1936
	3	5	19.17000 [*]	3.02627	.000	13.2285	25.1115
		6	26.41739	2.85840	.000	20.8055	32.0293
		7	26.08571	2.92222	.000	20.3485	31.8229
		1	20.53585	11.19372	.067	-1.4407	42.5125
		2	10.81443	12.13547	.373	-13.0111	34.6400
ITEC	4	3	9.11141	11.36101	.423	-13.1936	31.4164
diec	4	5	28.28140*	11.20425	.012	6.2841	50.2787
		6	35.52880	11.16008	.002	13.6182	57.4393
	_	7	35.19712	11.17660	.002	13.2541	57.1401
		1	-7.74555*	2.32059	.001	-12.3016	-3.1895
		2	-17.46697*	5.23024	.001	-27.7355	-7.1985
	F	3	-19.17000*	3.02627	.000	-25.1115	-13.2285
	5	4	-28.28140*	11.20425	.012	-50.2787	-6.2841
		6	7.24740*	2.15247	.001	3.0215	11.4733
		7	6.91572 [*]	2.23652	.002	2.5248	11.3067
		1	-14.99294	2.09697	.000	-19.1099	-10.8760
		2	-24.71437	5.13494	.000	-34.7958	-14.6330
		3	-26.41739 [*]	2.85840	.000	-32.0293	-20.8055
	6	4	-35.52880*	11.16008	.002	-57.4393	-13.6182
		5	-7.24740*	2.15247	.001	-11.4733	-3.0215
			33168	2.00354	.869	-4.2652	3.6019
	9	1	-14.66126	2.18315	.000	-18.9474	-10.3751
		2	-24.38269*	5.17073	.000	-34.5344	-14.2310
		3	-26.08571	2.92222	.000	-31.8229	-20.3485
		4	-35.19712	11.17660	.002	-57.1401	-13.2541
		5	-6.91572*	2.23652	.002	-11.3067	-2.5248
		6	.33168	2.00354	.869	-3.6019	4.2652

Table C-24 SCH2

	()	(1) - 5	Mean Difference (I-	0.15	<i>c</i> :	95% Confid	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	11.93514	8.74433	.173	-5.2483	29.1186
		3	5.24411	7.23825	.469	-8.9798	19.4680
	1	6	21.86902	3.99780	.000	14.0129	29.7251
		7	27.47753	3.66580	.000	20.2739	34.6812
		1	-11.93514	8.74433	.173	-29.1186	5.2483
	2	3	-6.69103	10.69286	.532	-27.7036	14.3215
	Z	6	9.93388	8.82764	.261	-7.4133	27.2811
		7	15.54239	8.68234	.074	-1.5193	32.6041
	3	1	-5.24411	7.23825	.469	-19.4680	8.9798
JTEC		2	6.69103	10.69286	.532	-14.3215	27.7036
alec		6	16.62491	7.33869	.024	2.2037	31.0462
		7	22.23342	7.16324	.002	8.1569	36.3099
		1	-21.86902	3.99780	.000	-29.7251	-14.0129
	,	2	-9.93388	8.82764	.261	-27.2811	7.4133
	D	3	-16.62491	7.33869	.024	-31.0462	-2.2037
		7	5.60851	3.86033	.147	-1.9774	13.1944
		1	-27.47753	3.66580	.000	-34.6812	-20.2739
	7	2	-15.54239	8.68234	.074	-32.6041	1.5193
	1	3	-22.23342	7.16324	.002	-36.3099	-8.1569
		6	-5.60851	3.86033	.147	-13.1944	1.9774



Table C-25 SCUB

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Francis	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	10.34498	8.59836	.229	-6.5362	27.2261
		3	.57981	4.93193	.906	-9.1030	10.2627
	1	4	-18.40766	18.48199	.320	-54.6933	17.8780
	1	5	-67.41951 [*]	3.83153	.000	-74.9419	-59.8971
		6	-14.97081	3.46232	.000	-21.7684	-8.1733
		7	-15.79840	3.60461	.000	-22.8753	-8.7215
		1	-10.34498	8.59836	.229	-27.2261	6.5362
		3	-9.76517	9.17704	.288	-27.7824	8.2521
	2	4	-28.75264	20.03692	.152	-68.0911	10.5858
	Z	5	-77.76449	8.63567	.000	-94.7189	-60.8101
		6	-25.31579*	8.47831	.003	-41.9612	-8.6703
		7	-26.14338	8.53741	.002	-42.9049	-9.3819
		1	57981	4.93193	.906	-10.2627	9.1030
		2	9.76517	9.17704	.288	-8.2521	27.7824
	2	4	-18.98747	18.75820	.312	-55.8154	17.8404
	5	5	-67.99933	4.99669	.000	-77.8093	-58.1893
		6	-15.55062*	4.71952	.001	-24.8164	-6.2848
		7	-16.37821*	4.82488	.001	-25.8509	-6.9055
		1	18.40766	18.48199	.320	-17.8780	54.6933
		2	28.75264	20.03692	.152	-10.5858	68.0911
	4	3	18.98747	18.75820	.312	-17.8404	55.8154
diec.	4	5	-49.01185	18.49938	.008	-85.3316	-12.6921
		6	3.43685	18.42645		-32.7397	39.6134
		7	2.60926	18.45371	.888	-33.6209	38.8394
		1	67.41951 [*]	3.83153	.000	59.8971	74.9419
		2	77.76449*	8.63567	.000	60.8101	94.7189
	F	3	67.99933	4.99669	.000	58.1893	77.8093
	5	4	49.01185	18.49938	.008	12.6921	85.3316
		6	52.44870 [*]	3.55395	.000	45.4712	59.4262
		7	51.62111	3.69272	.000	44.3712	58.8710
		1	14.97081	3.46232	.000	8.1733	21.7684
		2	25.31579	8.47831	.003	8.6703	41.9612
	4	3	15.55062*	4.71952	.001	6.2848	24.8164
	0	4	-3.43685	18.42645	.852	-39.6134	32.7397
		5	-52.44870	3.55395	.000	-59.4262	-45.4712
	<u>a</u>	7 6 6	82759	3.30805	.803	-7.3223	5.6671
	Î	1	15.79840	3.60461	.000	8.7215	22.8753
		2	26.14338	8.53741	.002	9.3819	42.9049
	7	3	16.37821	4.82488	.001	6.9055	25.8509
	1	4	-2.60926	18.45371	.888	-38.8394	33.6209
		5	-51.62111*	3.69272	.000	-58.8710	-44.3712
		6	.82759	3.30805	.803	-5.6671	7.3223

Table C-26 SG05

Dependent	(I) East		Mean Difference	Ctol Error	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	19.79142	8.15839	.016	3.7741	35.8088
		3	9.18799	4.67957	.050	.0006	18.3754
	1	4	34415	17.53627	.984	-34.7731	34.0848
	1	5	-17.71818 [*]	3.63548	.000	-24.8557	-10.5807
		6	20.68497*	3.28515	.000	14.2352	27.1347
		7	28.26183	3.42017	.000	21.5470	34.9766
		1	-19.79142 [*]	8.15839	.016	-35.8088	-3.7741
		3	-10.60343	8.70746	.224	-27.6988	6.4919
	2	4	-20.13557	19.01164	.290	-57.4611	17.1899
	Z	5	-37.50960*	8.19379	.000	-53.5964	-21.4228
		6	.89355	8.04448	.912	-14.9002	16.6873
		7	8.47041	8.10056	.296	-7.4334	24.3742
		1	-9.18799	4.67957	.050	-18.3754	0006
		2	10.60343	8.70746	.224	-6.4919	27.6988
	2	4	-9.53214	17.79835	.592	-44.4756	25.4113
	5	5	-26.90617	4.74101	.000	-36.2142	-17.5982
		6	11.49698	4.47803	.010	2.7053	20.2887
		7	19.07384	4.57799	.000	10.0859	28.0618
		1	.34415	17.53627	.984	-34.0848	34.7731
		2	20.13557	19.01164	.290	-17.1899	57.4611
ITTO	4	3	9.53214	17.79835	.592	-25.4113	44.4756
diec	4	5	-17.37403	17.55277	.323	-51.8353	17.0873
		6	21.02913	17.48357		-13.2963	55.3546
		7	28.60598	17.50944	.103	-5.7703	62.9822
		1	17.71818	3.63548	.000	10.5807	24.8557
		2	37.50960*	8.19379	.000	21.4228	53.5964
	F	3	26.90617	4.74101	.000	17.5982	36.2142
	5	4	17.37403	17.55277	.323	-17.0873	51.8353
		6	38.40315	3.37210	.000	31.7827	45.0236
		7	45.98001	3.50376	.000	39.1011	52.8589
		1	-20.68497	3.28515	.000	-27.1347	-14.2352
		2	89355	8.04448	.912	-16.6873	14.9002
	,	3	-11.49698	4.47803	.010	-20.2887	-2.7053
	6	4	-21.02913	17.48357	.229	-55.3546	13.2963
		5	-38.40315	3.37210	.000	-45.0236	-31.7827
	ି କ	107 6 11	7.57685	3.13877	.016	1.4145	13.7392
	9	1	-28.26183	3.42017	.000	-34.9766	-21.5470
		2	-8.47041	8.10056	.296	-24.3742	7.4334
		3	-19.07384	4.57799	.000	-28.0618	-10.0859
	(4	-28.60598	17.50944	.103	-62.9822	5.7703
		5	-45.98001*	3.50376	.000	-52.8589	-39.1011
		6	-7.57685	3.13877	.016	-13.7392	-1.4145

Table C-27 UNSA

Dependent	() []		Mean Difference		6:-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	11.95338	9.33696	.201	-6.3786	30.2854
		3	15.09940	5.35923	.005	4.5772	25.6216
	1	4	.31608	20.06432	.987	-39.0778	39.7099
	T	5	-45.89673*	4.16626	.000	-54.0767	-37.7168
		6	-32.93444*	3.76621	.000	-40.3289	-25.5399
		7	-83.63998*	4.01377	.000	-91.5205	-75.7594
		1	-11.95338	9.33696	.201	-30.2854	6.3786
		3	3.14602	9.96201	.752	-16.4132	22.7052
	2	4	-11.63730	21.75080	.593	-54.3424	31.0677
	2	5	-57.85011*	9.37433	.000	-76.2555	-39.4447
		6	-44.88783*	9.20352	.000	-62.9578	-26.8178
		7	-95.59336	9.30756	.000	-113.8676	-77.3191
		1	-15.09940	5.35923	.005	-25.6216	-4.5772
		2	-3.14602	9.96201	.752	-22.7052	16.4132
	3	4	-14.78332	20.36271	.468	-54.7630	25.1964
	J	5	-60.99613	5.42409	.000	-71.6457	-50.3466
		6	-48.03384	5.12321	.000	-58.0926	-37.9750
		7	-98.73938*	5.30786	.000	-109.1607	-88.3181
		1	31608	20.06432	.987	-39.7099	39.0778
		2	11.63730	21.75080	.593	-31.0677	54.3424
ATEC	4	3	14.78332	20.36271	.468	-25.1964	54.7630
UTEC	4	5	-46.21281*	20.08174	.022	-85.6409	-6.7848
		6	-33.25052	20.00257	.097	-72.5231	6.0221
		7	-83.95606*	20.05066	.000	-123.3231	-44.5890
		1	45.89673 [*]	4.16626	.000	37.7168	54.0767
		2	57.85011*	9.37433	.000	39.4447	76.2555
	F	3	60.99613*	5.42409	.000	50.3466	71.6457
	5	4	46.21281*	20.08174	.022	6.7848	85.6409
		6	12.96229*	3.85795	.001	5.3877	20.5369
		7	-37.74325*	4.09997	.000	-45.7930	-29.6935
		1	32.93444	3.76621	.000	25.5399	40.3289
		2	44.88783*	9.20352	.000	26.8178	62.9578
	6	3	48.03384*	5.12321	.000	37.9750	58.0926
	0	4	33.25052	20.00257	.097	-6.0221	72.5231
		5	-12.96229*	3.85795	.001	-20.5369	-5.3877
	1	7	-50.70554	3.69275	.000	-57.9558	-43.4553
		1	83.63998	4.01377	.000	75.7594	91.5205
		2	95.59336	9.30756	.000	77.3191	113.8676
	7	3	98.73938	5.30786	.000	88.3181	109.1607
		4	83.95606	20.05066	.000	44.5890	123.3231
		5	37.74325	4.09997	.000	29.6935	45.7930
		6	50.70554	3.69275	.000	43.4553	57.9558

Event IV Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I from IGS stations.

Table C- 28 BAIE

Dependent Variable	(I) Eest	(I) Fest	Mean Difference (L.I)	Std Error	Sig	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. EITOI	Jig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-50.33465	53.94644	.351	-156.2478	55.5785
		3	-159.51961	10.34017	.000	-179.8205	-139.2187
		4	-131.39617	8.09382	.000	-147.2868	-115.5056
	1	5	-63.14179	8.56470	.000	-79.9569	-46.3267
		6	-34.33214	12.57772	.006	-59.0260	-9.6383
		7	-37.08460	34.45598	.282	-104.7321	30.5629
		8	-61.93242*	12.03761	.000	-85.5659	-38.2990
		1	50.33465	53.94644	.351	-55.5785	156.2478
	2	3	-109.18495	54.22219	.044	-215.6394	-2.7305
		4	-81.06152	53.83899	.133	-186.7637	24.6406
		5	-12.80713	53.91178	.812	-118.6522	93.0379
		6	16.00252	54,69301	.770	-91.3763	123.3814
		7	13,25006	63,40616	.835	-111,2353	137.7355
		8	-11 59777	54 57133	832	-118 7377	95 5422
		1	159 51961	10 34017	000	139 2187	179.8205
		2	109 18495	54 22219	044	2 7305	215 6394
		4	28 12343	9 76408	004	8 9536	47 2933
	3	5	06 37782	10 15782	000	76.4350	116 3207
	2	6	125 19747	13 71226	.000	09 2662	152 1000
		7	122.10747	24 00612	.000	53 0430	100.0270
		0	122.45501	12 21960	.000	71 (251	190.9270
		0	97.30710	13.21000	.000	115 5054	147.0949
		1	131.39017	0.09302	.000	115.5056	147.2000
		2	81.06152	55.85899	.155	-24.6406	186.7657
		3	-28.12343	9.76408	.004	-47.2933	-8.9536
	4	5	68.25439	7.85953	.000	52.8238	83.6850
		6	97.06404	12.10855	.000	73.2913	120.8368
		7	94.31158	34.28750	.006	26.9949	161.6283
dTEC		8	69.46375	11.54653	.000	46.7944	92.1331
			63.14179	8.56470	.000	46.3267	79.9569
		2	12.80713	53.91178	.812	-93.0379	118.6522
		3	-96.37782	10.15782	.000	-116.3207	-76.4350
	5	4	-68.25439	7.85953	.000	-83.6850	-52.8238
		6	28.80965	12.42824	.021	4.4093	53.2100
		7	26.05719	34.40170	.449	-41.4837	93.5981
		8	1.20936	11.88135	.919	-22.1173	24.5360
		101	34.33214	12.57772	.006	9.6383	59.0260
		2	-16.00252	54.69301	.770	-123.3814	91.3763
		3	-125.18747	13.71226	.000	-152.1088	-98.2662
	6	4	-97.06404	12.10855	.000	-120.8368	-73.2913
		5	-28.80965	12.42824	.021	-53.2100	-4.4093
		7	-2.75246	35.61351	.938	-72.6725	67.1676
		8	-27.60028	15.03367	.067	-57.1159	1.9153
		1	37.08460	34.45598	.282	-30.5629	104.7321
		2	-13.25006	63.40616	.835	-137.7355	111.2353
-		3	-122.43501*	34.88613	.000	-190.9270	-53.9430
	7	4	-94.31158 [*]	34.28750	.006	-161.6283	-26.9949
		5	-26.05719	34.40170	.449	-93.5981	41.4837
		6	2.75246	35.61351	.938	-67.1676	72.6725
		8	-24.84782	35.42636	.483	-94.4005	44.7048
		1	61.93242	12.03761	.000	38.2990	85.5659
		2	11.59777	54.57133	.832	-95.5422	118.7377
		3	-97.58718	13.21860	.000	-123.5393	-71.6351
	8	4	-69.46375	11.54653	.000	-92.1331	-46.7944
		5	-1.20936	11.88135	.919	-24.5360	22.1173
		6	27.60028	15.03367	.067	-1.9153	57.1159
		7	24.84782	35.42636	.483	-44.7048	94.4005
			= OE			5 10	

Table C-29 BOGT

Dan an dan t Mariak la	(1) 1 5 +	(1) East	Mean Difference	Chil Franzi	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-8.04682	47.56107	.866	-101.4267	85.3331
		3	-30.90683	9.08190	.001	-48.7379	-13.0757
		4	-46.72793	7.23063	.000	-60.9243	-32.5316
	1	5	-70.86873	7.57289	.000	-85.7371	-56.0004
		6	8.78603	11.08896	.428	-12.9857	30.5577
		7	15.95605	30.37760	.600	-43.6864	75.5985
_		8	-9.79494	10.61278	.356	-30.6317	11.0419
		1	8.04682	47.56107	.866	-85.3331	101.4267
		3	-22.86001	47.79764	.633	-116.7044	70.9844
2		4	-38.68111	47.48068	.416	-131.9032	54.5410
	2	5	-62.82191	47.53401	.187	-156.1487	30.5049
		6	16.83285	48.21927	.727	-77.8394	111.5050
		7	24.00287	55.90109	.668	-85.7516	133.7573
		8	-1.74812	48.11200	.971	-96.2097	92.7135
		1	30.90683	9.08190	.001	13.0757	48.7379
		2	22.86001	47.79764	.633	-70.9844	116.7044
		4	-15.82110	8.65106	.068	-32.8063	1.1641
	3	5	-39.96190	8.93910	.000	-57.5126	-22.4112
		6	39.69286	12.06332	.001	16.0081	63.3776
			46.86288	30.74667	.128	-13.5042	107.2299
		8	21.11190	7 02062	.070	-1.7164	43.9402
		1	46.72795	17.25065	.000	52.5516	60.9243
		2	58.68111	47.48068	.416	-54.5410	151.9052
	4	5	15.82110	7 05043	.068	-1.1641	52.8065
	4 5 6 7 8	5	-24.14080	10 73904	.000	-51.9054	-10.2902
		7	62 68308	30 25159	.000	3 2800	122.0790
		8	36.93300	10 24652	.000	16 8153	57.0507
dTEC	1	1	70.86873	7 57289	000	56 0004	85 7371
		2 3 5 4 6	62.82191	47.53401	.187	-30.5049	156.1487
			39.96190	8.93910	.000	22.4112	57.5126
	5		24.14080	7.05043	.001	10.2982	37.9834
	5		79.65476	10.97231	.000	58.1121	101.1974
		7	86.82478	30.33522	.004	27.2656	146.3840
		8	61.07380	10.49084	.000	40.4764	81.6712
		1	-8.78603	11.08896	.428	-30.5577	12.9857
		2	-16.83285	48.21927	.727	-111.5050	77.8394
		3	-39.69286	12.06332	.001	-63.3776	-16.0081
	6	4	-55.51396	10.73894	.000	-76.5985	-34.4295
		1985 - AND	-79.65476	10.97231	.000	-101.1974	-58.1121
		7	7.17002	31.39812	.819	-54.4760	68.8161
		8	-18.58096	13.25421	.161	-44.6039	7.4419
			-15.95605	30.37760	.600	-75.5985	43.6864
		2	-24.00287	55.90109	.668	-133.7573	85.7516
		3	-46.86288	30.74667	.128	-107.2299	13.5042
-	7	4	-62.68398	30.25159	.039	-122.0790	-3.2890
		5	-86.82478	30.33522	.004	-146.3840	-27.2656
		6	-7.17002	31.39812	.819	-68.8161	54.4760
		8	-25.75098	31.23312	.410	-87.0731	35.5711
		1	9.79494	10.61278	.356	-11.0419	30.6317
		2	1.74812	48.11200	.971	-92.7135	96.2097
		3	-21.11190	11.62712	.070	-43.9402	1.7164
	8	4	-36.93300	10.24652	.000	-57.0507	-16.8153
		5	-61.07380	10.49084	.000	-81.6712	-40.4764
		6	18.58096	13.25421	.161	-7.4419	44.6039
I		7	25,75098	31.23312	.410	-35.5711	87.0731

Table C-30 BRAZ

Dan an dan t Mariak la	(1) 1 5 +	(1) East	Mean Difference	Chall Frances	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-6.91283	32.93878	.834	-71.5817	57.7561
		3	-15.88827	6.31353	.012	-28.2837	-3.4929
		4	-75.65311	4.94675	.000	-85.3651	-65.9411
	1	5	-74.49697	5.22946	.000	-84.7640	-64.2299
		6	-34.44621	7.67974	.000	-49.5239	-19.3685
		7	-30.72908	21.03824	.145	-72.0336	10.5754
		8	-36.88712	7.34996	.000	-51.3173	-22.4569
		1	6.91283	32.93878	.834	-57.7561	71.5817
		3	-8.97544	33.10714	.786	-73.9749	56.0240
		4	-68.74029	32.87389	.037	-133.2818	-4.1988
	2	5	-67.58414	32.91762	.040	-132.2115	-2.9568
		6	-27.53339	33.39462	.410	-93.0972	38.0305
		7	-23.81626	38.71472	.539	-99.8251	52.1926
		8	-29.97429	33.32033	.369	-95.3923	35.4437
		1 1000000000	15.88827	6.31353	.012	3.4929	28.2837
		2	8.97544	33.10714	.786	-56.0240	73.9749
		4	-59.76484	5.96575	.000	-71.4774	-48.0522
	3	5	-58.60870	6.20219	.000	-70.7855	-46.4319
		6	-18.55795	8.37247	.027	-34.9957	-2.1202
		7	-14.84081	21.30088	.486	-56.6610	26.9793
		8	-20.99885	8.07105	.009	-36.8448	-5.1529
		1	75.65311	4.94675	.000	65.9411	85.3651
		2	68.74029	32.87389	.037	4.1988	133.2818
		3	59.76484	5.96575	.000	48.0522	71.4774
	4	5	1.15614	4.80383	.810	-8.2753	10.5875
		6	41.20690	7.39648	.000	26.6853	55.7285
		7	44.92403	20.93650	.032	3.8193	86.0288
dTEC		8	38.76599	7.05347	.000	24.9179	52.6141
	1 2	1	74.49697	5.22946	.000	64.2299	84.7640
		2	67.58414	32.91762	.040	2.9568	132.2115
	-	3 5 4	58.60870	6.20219	.000	46.4319	70.7855
	5		-1.15014	4.00303	.810	-10.5675	0.2755
		0	40.05075	1.30041	.000	25.1525	54.9492
		0	43.10109	21.00509	.038	2.5205	65.0075
		1	34 44621*	7 67074	000	10 3685	/0.5230
		2	27 53339	33 39462	410	-38.0305	93.0972
		3	18 55795	8 37247	027	2 1202	34 9957
	6	4	-41.20690	7.39648	.000	-55.7285	-26.6853
		5	-40.05075	7,58847	000	-54,9492	-25.1523
		7	3.71713	21.74500	.864	-38.9750	46.4092
		8	-2.44090	9.17930	.790	-20.4627	15.5809
		1	30.72908	21.03824	.145	-10.5754	72.0336
			23.81626	38.71472	.539	-52.1926	99.8251
		3	14.84081	21.30088	.486	-26.9793	56.6610
	7	4	-44.92403	20.93650	.032	-86.0288	-3.8193
		5	-43.76789	21.00509	.038	-85.0073	-2.5285
		6	-3.71713	21.74500	.864	-46.4092	38.9750
		8	-6.15804	21.63073	.776	-48.6258	36.3097
		1	36.88712	7.34996	.000	22.4569	51.3173
		2	29.97429	33.32033	.369	-35.4437	95.3923
		3	20.99885	8.07105	.009	5.1529	36.8448
	8	4	-38.76599	7.05347	.000	-52.6141	-24.9179
		5	-37.60985	7.25455	.000	-51.8527	-23.3670
		6	2.44090	9.17930	.790	-15.5809	20.4627
		7	6.15804	21.63073	.776	-36.3097	48.6258

Table C-31 CONO

	()	())	Diff. (L.)	Ci 1 5	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-55.14206	13.86384	.000	-82.3609	-27.9232
		3	-97.41718	2.86081	.000	-103.0338	-91.8006
		4	44.97949 [*]	2.00790	.000	41.0374	48.9216
	1	5	58.92147	2.13320	.000	54.7334	63.1096
		6	35.74028	3.18794	.000	29.4814	41.9992
		7	32.69334	8.84484	.000	15.3283	50.0584
-		8	39.59663 [*]	3.04688	.000	33.6147	45.5786
		1	55.14206	13.86384	.000	27.9232	82.3609
		3	-42.27512	13.99622	.003	-69.7539	-14.7963
		4	100.12154	13.84708	.000	72.9356	127.3075
	2	5	114.06353	13.86580	.000	86.8408	141.2863
		6	90.88234	14.06673	.000	63.2651	118.4996
		7	87.83540	16.30770	.000	55.8185	119.8523
		8	94.73869	14.03543	.000	67.1829	122.2945
		1	97.41718	2.86081	.000	91.8006	103.0338
		2	42.27512 [*]	13.99622	.003	14.7963	69.7539
		4	142.39667	2.77846	.000	136.9417	147.8516
	3	5	156.33865	2.87031	.000	150.7034	161.9739
		6	133.15747	3.72171	.000	125.8506	140.4643
		7	130.11052	9.05093	.000	112.3408	147.8802
		8	137.01381 [*]	3.60162	.000	129.9427	144.0849
		1	-44.97949	2.00790	.000	-48.9216	-41.0374
		2	-100.12154	13.84708	.000	-127.3075	-72.9356
		3	-142.39667	2.77846	.000	-147.8516	-136.9417
	4	5	13.94198	2.02143	.000	9.9733	17.9107
		6	-9.23920*	3.11425	.003	-15.3534	-3.1250
		7	-12.28614	8.81855	.164	-29.5996	5.0273
dTEC		8	-5.38286	2.96970	.070	-11.2133	.4476
0.20		1	-58.92147	2.13320	.000	-63.1096	-54.7334
		2 3 5 4	-114.06353	13.86580	.000	-141.2863	-86.8408
			-156.33865	2.87031	.000	-161.9739	-150.7034
	5		-13.94198	2.02143	.000	-17.9107	-9.9733
		6	-23.18118	3.19647	.000	-29.4568	-16.9055
		7	-26.22813	8.84792	.003	-43.5993	-8.8570
		8	-19.32484	3.05581	.000	-25.3243	-13.3254
		1	-35.74028	3.18794	.000	-41.9992	-29.4814
		2	-90.88234	14.06673	.000	-118.4996	-63.2651
		3	-133.15747	3.72171	.000	-140.4643	-125.8506
	6	4	9.23920	3.11425	.003	3.1250	15.3534
		5	23.18118	3.19647	.000	16.9055	29.4568
		(-3.04694	9.15959	.739	-21.0300	14.9361
	-	8	3.85634	3.86657	.319	-3.7349	11.4476
		1	-32.69334	8.84484	.000	-50.0584	-15.3283
		2	-07.00040	0.05003	.000	-117.0020	-55.0105
7	7	4	-130.11032	9.00090	.000	-147.0002 E 0273	-112.5406
	I	4	12.20014	0.01000	.104	-5.0275	29.5990
		5	20.22013	0.04792	.003	0.0070	45.5995
		0	6.00320	9.13939	.159	-14.9501	21.0500
		1	-30 50662	2.11140	.449	-10.7032	-33 61/17
		2	-01 73860	1/ 035/2	.000	-43.3100	-55.0147
		2	-127 01291	3 60162	.000	-122.2743	-120 0/27
	8	4	-101.01001 E 20204	2 04070	.000	-144.0049	-127.7427
	8	+ 5	10 32/10/*	2.70710	.070	4470	25 22/2
		5	-2 85624	3 86657	310	-11 ///74	2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
		7	-5.05034	9 11106	449	-24 7918	10 9852

Table C-32 COPO

Dan an dan ta' air bha	(1) = +	(1) East	Mean Difference	Chall Frances	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-9.00578	13.40837	.502	-35.3305	17.3189
		3	-33.60521	2.57005	.000	-38.6510	-28.5594
		4	2.41658	2.01172	.230	-1.5330	6.3662
:	1	5	-36.44753	2.12875	.000	-40.6269	-32.2681
		6	-5.35301	3.12619	.087	-11.4907	.7846
		7	4.55311	8.56403	.595	-12.2607	21.3669
		8	-13.69804	2.99195	.000	-19.5721	-7.8239
		1	9.00578	13.40837	.502	-17.3189	35.3305
		3	-24.59943	13.47691	.068	-51.0587	1.8598
		4	11.42236	13.38167	.394	-14.8499	37.6946
	2	5	-27.44176	13.39976	.041	-53.7495	-1.1340
		6	3.65277	13.59393	.788	-23.0362	30.3418
		7	13.55888	15.75958	.390	-17.3819	44.4997
		8	-4.69226	13.56369	.729	-31.3219	21.9374
		1	33.60521	2.57005	.000	28.5594	38.6510
		2	24.59943	13.47691	.068	-1.8598	51.0587
		4	36.02179	2.42686	.000	31.2571	40.7864
	3	5	-2.84232	2.52472	.261	-7.7991	2.1145
		6	28.25220	3.40818	.000	21.5609	34.9435
		7	38.15831	8.67094	.000	21.1346	55.1820
		8	19.90717	3.28548	.000	13.4568	26.3576
		1	-2.41658	2.01172	.230	-6.3662	1.5330
		2	-11.42236	13.38167	.394	-37.6946	14.8499
		3	-36.02179	2.42686	.000	-40.7864	-31.2571
	4	5	-38.86411	1.95348	.000	-42.6994	-35.0288
		6	-7.76959*	3.00958	.010	-13.6783	-1.8609
		7	2.13652	8.52215	.802	-14.5950	18.8681
dTFC		8	-16.11462	2.86989	.000	-21.7491	-10.4802
	1 2 2	1	36.44753	2.12875	.000	32.2681	40.6269
		2 3	27.44176	13.39976	.041	1.1340	53.7495
			2.84232	2.52472	.261	-2.1145	7.7991
	5	4	38.86411	1.95348	.000	35.0288	42.6994
		6	31.09453	3.08904		25.0298	37.1592
		7	41.00064	8.55053	.000	24.2134	57.7879
		8	22.74950	2.95311	.000	16.9517	28.5473
		1	5.35301	3.12619	.087	7846	11.4907
		2	-3.65277	13.59393	. /88	-30.3418	23.0362
	¢	3	-28.25220	3.40818	.000	-34.9435	-21.5609
	0	4	21.00452	3.00958	.010	27 1502	15.0705
			-31.09453	5.08904	.000	-37.1592	-25.0298
		0	9.90011	3 73661	.203	-7.4725	1 0090
		0	-0.54505	9.56403	.026	-15.0011	-1.0009
		2	-4.555888	15 75958	300	-21.3009	17 3810
		3	-38 15831	8 67094	000	-55 1820	-21 1346
	7	4	-2 13652	8 52215	802	-18 8681	14 5950
7	1	5	-11 00061	8 55053	.002	-57 7870	-24 2134
		6	-9 90611	8.85173	263	-27 2847	7 4725
		8	-18 25114	8 80521	.205	-35 5384	- 9639
		1	13 69804	2 99195	000	7 8239	19 5721
		2	4 69226	13 56369	729	-21 9374	31 3219
		-	-19 90717	3 28548	000	-26 3576	-13 4568
	8	4	16 11462	2 86989	000	10.4802	21 7491
	5	5	-22.74950	2.95311	.000	-28.5473	-16.9517
		6	8.34503	3.73661	.026	1.0089	15.6811
		7	18.25114	8.80521	.039	.9639	35.5384

Table C-33 GOGA

Descendent Merichle	(1) 1 5 +	(1) = = +	Marin Difference (L.I)	Chil Emai	<u> </u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-77.46309	18.12565	.000	-113.0492	-41.8770
		3	-119.45688	3.74023	.000	-126.8001	-112.1137
		4	-34.04166	2.62514	.000	-39.1956	-28.8877
	1	5	-65.22171	2.78896	.000	-70.6973	-59.7461
		6	-45.68185	4.16792	.000	-53.8647	-37.4990
		7	-24.12864	11.56379	.037	-46.8318	-1.4254
		8	-31.43815	3.98351	.000	-39.2590	-23.6173
		1	77.46309	18.12565	.000	41.8770	113.0492
		3	-41.99379	18.29872	.022	-77.9197	-6.0679
		4	43.42144	18.10374	.017	7.8783	78.9645
	2	5	12.24138	18.12821	.500	-23.3498	47.8325
		6	31.78124	18.39091	.084	-4.3257	67.8881
		7	53.33446	21.32077	.013	11.4754	95.1935
		8	46.02495	18.34999	.012	9.9984	82.0515
		1	119.45688	3.74023	.000	112.1137	126.8001
		2	41.99379	18.29872	.022	6.0679	77.9197
	2	4	85.41525	3.63257	.000	18.2834	92.5471
	3	5	54.23517	3.75266	.000	46.8676	61.6028
		6	73.77503	4.86578	.000	64.2220	83.3280
		0	95.52824	11.83525	.000	72.0960	118.5604
		1	34.04166	4.10811	.000	20 0077	30 1056
		2	-43.42144	18 10374	.000	-78 9645	-7 8783
		3	-45.42144	3 63257	.017	-78.9043	-78 2834
	4	5	-31 18005	2 64282	.000	-36 3687	-75.2034
	4	6	-11 64020	4.07159	004	-19 6339	-3 6464
		7	9 91 302	11 52941	390	-12 7227	32 5487
		8	2 60351	3 88260	503	-5.0192	10 2262
dTEC		1 4	65.22171	2.78896	.000	59,7461	70.6973
		2	-12.24138	18.12821	.500	-47.8325	23.3498
		3	-54.23517	3.75266	.000	-61.6028	-46.8676
	5	4	31.18005	2.64282	.000	25.9914	36.3687
		6	19.53986	4.17908	.000	11.3351	27.7447
		7	41.09307	11.56781	.000	18.3820	63.8042
		8	33.78356	3.99519	.000	25.9398	41.6273
		1	45.68185	4.16792	.000	37.4990	53.8647
		2	-31.78124	18.39091	.084	-67.8881	4.3257
		3	-73.77503	4.86578	.000	-83.3280	-64.2220
	6	4	11.64020	4.07159	.004	3.6464	19.6339
		197 5	-19.53986	4.17908	.000	-27.7447	-11.3351
		7	21.55322	11.97529	.072	-1.9579	45.0643
	_	8	14.24371	5.05518	.005	4.3189	24.1685
		1	24.12864	11.56379	.037	1.4254	46.8318
		2	-53.33446	21.32077	.013	-95.1935	-11.4754
		3	-95.32824	11.83323	.000	-118.5604	-72.0960
7	7	4	-9.91302	11.52941	.390	-32.5487	12.7227
		5	-41.09307	11.56781	.000	-63.8042	-18.3820
		6	-21.55322	11.97529	.072	-45.0643	1.9579
		8	-7.30951	11.91236	.540	-30.6971	16.0780
		1	31.43815	3.98351	.000	23.6173	39.2590
		2	-46.02495	18.34999	.012	-82.0515	-9.9984
		3	-88.01873	4.70877	.000	-97.2635	-78.7740
	8	4	-2.60351	3.88260	.503	-10.2262	5.0192
		5	-33.78356	3.99519	.000	-41.6273	-25.9398
		6	-14.24371	5.05518	.005	-24.1685	-4.3189
		7	7.30951	11.91236	.540	-16.0780	30.6971

Table C-34 PARC

Dan an dan t Maria bla	(1) 1 5 +	(1) East	Mana Difference (LI)	Chall Frances	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-12.11127	18.98076	.524	-49.3762	25.1537
		3	-109.06680	3.63813	.000	-116.2096	-101.9241
		4	28.66985	2.84777	.000	23.0788	34.2609
	1	5	14.55431	3.01344	.000	8.6380	20.4706
		6	-2.83958	4.42540	.521	-11.5280	5.8488
		7	-4.65404	12.12315	.701	-28.4554	19.1474
-		8	-10.84990	4.23537	.011	-19.1652	-2.5346
		1	12.11127	18.98076	.524	-25.1537	49.3762
		3	-96.95554	19.07778	.000	-134.4110	-59.5001
		4	40.78112	18.94295	.032	3.5904	77.9718
	2	5	26.66558	18.96856	.160	-10.5754	63.9066
		6	9.27168	19.24343	.630	-28.5090	47.0523
		7	7.45722	22.30911	.738	-36.3423	51.2567
		8	1.26136	19.20062	.948	-36.4352	38.9580
		1	109.06680	3.63813	.000	101.9241	116.2096
		2	96.95554	19.07778	.000	59.5001	134.4110
		4	137.73666	3.43544	.000	130.9919	144.4815
	3	5	123.62111	3.57397	.000	116.6043	130.6379
		6	106.22722	4.82458	.000	96.7551	115.6993
		7	104.41276	12.27449	.000	80.3142	128.5113
		8	98.21690 [*]	4.65089	.000	89.0858	107.3480
		1	-28.66985	2.84777	.000	-34.2609	-23.0788
		2	-40.78112	18.94295	.032	-77.9718	-3.5904
		3	-137.73666	3.43544	.000	-144.4815	-130.9919
	4	5	-14.11554	2.76533	.000	-19.5447	-8.6864
		6	-31.50944	4.26033	.000	-39.8737	-23.1451
		7	-33.32389	12.06387	.006	-57.0089	-9.6389
dTEC		8	-39.51975	4.06258	.000	-47.4958	-31.5437
		1	-14.55431	3.01344	.000	-20.4706	-8.6380
		2	-26.66558	18.96856	.160	-63.9066	10.5754
		3 5 4	-123.62111	3.57397	.000	-130.6379	-116.6043
	5		14.11554	2.76533	.000	8.6864	19.5447
		6	-17.39389	4.37281	.000	-25.9790	-8.8088
		7	-19.20835	12.10405	.113	-42.9722	4.5555
		8	-25.40421	4.18039	.000	-33.6116	-17.1969
		1	2.83958	4.42540	.521	-5.8488	11.5280
		2	-9.27168	19.24343	.630	-47.0523	28.5090
	,	3	-106.22722	4.82458	.000	-115.6993	-96.7551
	6	4	31.50944	4.26033	.000	23.1451	39.8737
			17.39389	4.37281	.000	8.8088	25.9790
		<i>'</i>	-1.81446	12.53042	.885	-26.4154	22.7865
	-	8	-8.01032	5.28951	.130	-18.3952	2.5746
		1	4.05404	12.12515	.701	-19.1474	26.4554
		2	104 41276	12 27440	.158	-51.2507	90.31423
7	7	3	-104.41270	12.21449	.000	-120.5115	-00.0142
	1	4 E	10 20025	12.00307	.000	9.0309	42.0722
		5	19.20055	12.10403	.115	-4.5555	42.9722
		0	6 10596	12.55042	.005	-22.1005	10 2750
		1	10.17300	12.40437	.019	2 52/14	10.2130
		2	-1 26126	10 20042	010	-38 0580	36 1350
		2	-1.20130	17.20002	.740	-107 2400	00.4002
	8	1	-90.21090	4.00009	.000	-107.3460	-07.0038
	U	4	25.212/5	4.00208	.000	31.3437 17.1040	41.4900
		5	20.40421	4.10039	.000	11.1909	10 2052
		7	6.01052	12 46457	.150	-2.2740 _18.2750	30 6676
1		1	0.1200	12.404.01	.017	-10.2130	0100.00

Table C-35 SCH2

Dependent Veriable	(I) East	(I) Feet	Maan Difference (LI)	Ctul Excer	Cira.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Dependent Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-36.82578	59.49134	.536	-153.6252	79.9737
		3	-86.23835	11.40299	.000	-108.6258	-63.8509
		4	-166.25781	8.92575	.000	-183.7818	-148.7339
	1	5	9.44900	9.44503	.317	-9.0944	27.9924
		6	20.07451	13.87052	.148	-7.1575	47.3065
-		7	25.25051	37.99755	.507	-49.3501	99.8512
		8	19.69502	13.27490	.138	-6.3676	45.7577
		1	36.82578	59.49134	.536	-79.9737	153.6252
		3	-49.41257	59.79543	.409	-166.8090	67.9839
		4	-129.43204	59.37284	.030	-245.9988	-12.8653
	2	5	46.27477	59.45312	.437	-70.4496	162.9992
		6	56.90029	60.31465	.346	-61.5155	175.3161
		7	62.07628	69.92338	.375	-75.2044	199.3570
		8	56.52080	60.18047	.348	-61.6316	174.6732
		1	86.23835	11.40299	.000	63.8509	108.6258
		2	49.41257	59.79543	.409	-67.9839	166.8090
		4	-80.01946	10.76768	.000	-101.1597	-58.8793
	3	5	95.68735	11.20189	.000	73.6947	117.6800
		6	106.31286	15.12168	.000	76.6244	136.0013
		7	111.48886	38.47191	.004	35.9569	187.0208
		8	105.93337*	14.57728	.000	77.3138	134.5530
		1	166.25781	8.92575	.000	148.7339	183.7818
		2	129.43204	59.37284	.030	12.8653	245.9988
		3	80.01946	10.76768	.000	58.8793	101.1597
	4	5	175.70681	8.66737	.000	158.6901	192.7235
		6	186.33232	13.35314	.000	160.1161	212.5486
		7	191.50832	37.81176	.000	117.2724	265.7442
dTEC		8	185.95284	12.73334	.000	160.9535	210.9522
-	1 2 2	1	-9.44900	9.44503	.317	-27.9924	9.0944
		2 3 5 4	-46.27477	59.45312	.437	-162.9992	70.4496
			-95.68735	11.20189	.000	-117.6800	-73.6947
	5		-175.70681	8.66737	.000	-192.7235	-158.6901
		6	10.62551	13.70568	.438	-16.2829	37.5339
		7	15.80151	37.93769	.677	-58.6816	90.2846
		8	10.24603	13.10257	.434	-15.4783	35.9703
		1	-20.07451	13.87052	.148	-47.3065	7.1575
		2	-56.90029	60.31465	.346	-175.3161	61.5155
	,	3	-106.31286	15.12168	.000	-136.0013	-76.6244
	0	4	-180.33232	13.35314	.000	-212.5486	-160.1161
			-10.62551	15.70568	.438	-37.5539	16.2829
		7	5.17600	39.27405	.895	-71.9508	82.2828
	-	8	57949	16.57891	.982	-32.9289	32.1699
		1	-25.25051	60.02229	.507	-99.0512	49.5501
		2	111 /0006	29 47101	.575	-199.3370	35.0540
7	7	1	-111.40000	27 01176	.004	-107.0200	-55.7509
	1	5	-15 80151	37 03760	.000	-200.1442	-117.2724
		5	-15.00151	39 27/05	.077	-90.2040	71 9308
		8	-2.11000	30 06767	.075	-02.2020	71 1//41
		1	-3.33340	13 27/100	.007		6 3674
		2	-12.02002	60 180/7	3/12	-174 6732	61 6316
		3	-105 93337	14 57728		-134 5530	-77 3138
	8	4	-185 95284	19.73334	.000	-210 9522	-160 9535
	8	+ 5	-103.73204	13 10257	.000	-210.7022	15 4783
		6	379/10	16 57801	94	-32 1600	32 9289
		7	5.55548	39.06767	.887	-71.1461	82.2571

Table C-36 SG05

Dependent Veriable	(I) Feet	(I) Feet	Maan Difference (LI)	Ctud Farran	Cire	95% Confide	ence Interval	
Dependent variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
		2	18.07591	22.07605	.413	-25.2692	61.4210	
		3	-50.42893	4.23142	.000	-58.7371	-42.1208	
		4	53.60130	3.31217	.000	47.0980	60.1046	
	1	5	82.63801	3.67791	.000	75.4166	89.8594	
		6	53.30537	5.14707	.000	43.1994	63.4114	
		7	47.84354	14.10013	.001	20.1587	75.5284	
		8	59.99036	4.92605	.000	50.3183	69.6624	
			1	-18.07591	22.07605	.413	-61.4210	25.2692
		3	-68.50485	22.18889	.002	-112.0715	-24.9382	
		4	35.52539	22.03208	.107	-7.7334	78.7841	
	2	5	64.56210	22.09002	.004	21.1896	107.9346	
		6	35.22946	22.38156	.116	-8.7155	79.1744	
		7	29.76763	25.94717	.252	-21.1782	80.7134	
		8	41.91444	22.33177	.061	-1.9327	85.7616	
		1	50.42893	4.23142	.000	42.1208	58.7371	
		2	68.50485	22.18889	.002	24.9382	112.0715	
	2	4	104.03023	5.99567	.000	96.1850	111.8755	
	3	5	133.06694	4.30371	.000	124.6168	141.5170	
		0	103.73430	5.01155	.000	92.7167	114.7519	
			98.27248	14.27616	.000	70.2420	126.5029	
		0	F3 60130	3.40934	.000	99.1964	121.0402	
		2	-55.00150	22.02209	107	-00.1040	-47.0900	
		3	-104 03023	3 00567	.107	-10.7041	-96 1850	
	4	5	29.03671	3,40404	.000	-111.0755	-90.1850	
	4	6	- 29593	4 95508	952	-10.0250	9,4331	
		7	-5 75776	14.03119	682	-33 3072	21 7917	
		8	6 38906	4 72509	177	-2 8884	15 6665	
dTEC			1 0 3	-82.63801	3.67791	.000	-89.8594	-75.4166
		2	-64.56210	22.09002	.004	-107.9346	-21.1896	
		3	3	-133.06694	4.30371	.000	-141.5170	-124.6168
	5	4	-29.03671*	3.40404	.000	-35.7203	-22.3531	
	5	6	-29.33264	5.20667	.000	-39.5556	-19.1096	
		7	-34.79447	14.12200	.014	-62.5222	-7.0667	
		8	-22.64765	4.98829	.000	-32.4419	-12.8534	
		1	-53.30537	5.14707	.000	-63.4114	-43.1994	
		2	-35.22946	22.38156	.116	-79.1744	8.7155	
		3	-103.73430	5.61135	.000	-114.7519	-92.7167	
	6	4	.29593	4.95508	.952	-9.4331	10.0250	
		5	29.33264	5.20667	.000	19.1096	39.5556	
		7	-5.46183	14.57382	.708	-34.0767	23.1530	
		8	6.68499	6.15210	.278	-5.3943	18.7643	
		1	-47.84354	14.10013	.001	-75.5284	-20.1587	
		2	-29.76763	25.94717	.252	-80.7134	21.1782	
		3	-98.27248	14.27616	.000	-126.3029	-70.2420	
7	7	4	5.75776	14.03119	.682	-21.7917	33.3072	
		5	34.79447	14.12200	.014	7.0667	62.5222	
		6	5.46183	14.57382	.708	-23.1530	34.0767	
		8	12.14681	14.49723	.402	-16.3177	40.6113	
		1	-59.99036	4.92605	.000	-69.6624	-50.3183	
		2	-41.91444	22.33177	.061	-85.7616	1.9327	
		3	-110.41929	5.40934	.000	-121.0402	-99.7984	
	8	4	-6.38906	4.72509	.177	-15.6665	2.8884	
		5	22.64765	4.98829	.000	12.8534	32.4419	
		6	-6.68499	6.15210	.278	-18.7643	5.3943	
		7	-12.14681	14.49723	.402	-40.6113	16.3177	

Event V Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I

from IGS stations.

Table C-37 BAIE

Dependent			Mean Difference	Child France	<u> </u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	6.84463	7.65067	.371	-8.1759	21.8652
		3	9.02941	1.96782	.000	5.1660	12.8928
	1	4	9.93116	1.48809	.000	7.0096	12.8527
	1	5	8.34977	1.52519	.000	5.3554	11.3442
		6	14.00349	1.35112	.000	11.3508	16.6561
		7	-3.78682	3.24687	.244	-10.1614	2.5878
		1	-6.84463	7.65067	.371	-21.8652	8.1759
		3	2.18478	7.77926	.779	-13.0882	17.4578
	2	4	3.08653	7.67196	.688	-11.9758	18.1489
	Z	5	1.50514	7.67925	.845	-13.5715	16.5818
		6	7.15886	7.64658	.349	-7.8536	22.1714
		7	-10.63145	8.19676	.195	-26.7241	5.4612
		1	-9.02941	1.96782	.000	-12.8928	-5.1660
		2	-2.18478	7.77926	.779	-17.4578	13.0882
	2	4	.90175	2.04904	.660	-3.1211	4.9246
	3	5	67963	2.07614	.743	-4.7557	3.3965
		6	4.97408	1.95184	.011	1.1420	8.8061
		7	-12.81623	3.53926	.000	-19.7648	-5.8676
		1	-9.93116	1.48809	.000	-12.8527	-7.0096
		2	-3.08653	7.67196	.688	-18.1489	11.9758
	4	3	90175	2.04904	.660	-4.9246	3.1211
UTEC	4	5	-1.58138	1.62864	.332	-4.7789	1.6161
		6	4.07233	1.46690	.006	1.1924	6.9523
		7	-13.71798	3.29673	.000	-20.1904	-7.2455
		1	-8.34977	1.52519	.000	-11.3442	-5.3554
		2	-1.50514	7.67925	.845	-16.5818	13.5715
	5	3	.67963	2.07614	.743	-3.3965	4.7557
	5	4	1.58138	1.62864	.332	-1.6161	4.7789
		6	5.65371	1.50452	.000	2.6999	8.6075
		7	-12.13659	3.31365	.000	-18.6423	-5.6309
		1	-14.00349	1.35112	.000	-16.6561	-11.3508
		2 C C C C	-7.15886	7.64658	.349	-22.1714	7.8536
	6	3	-4.97408	1.95184	.011	-8.8061	-1.1420
	J	4	-4.07233	1.46690	.006	-6.9523	-1.1924
		5	-5.65371	1.50452	.000	-8.6075	-2.6999
		7	-17.79031	3.23722	.000	-24.1459	-11.4347
		1	3.78682	3.24687	.244	-2.5878	10.1614
		2	10.63145	8.19676	.195	-5.4612	26.7241
	7	3	12.81623	3.53926	.000	5.8676	19.7648
	,	4	13.71798	3.29673	.000	7.2455	20.1904
		5	12.13659	3.31365	.000	5.6309	18.6423
		6	17.79031	3.23722	.000	11.4347	24.1459

Table C-38 BOGT

Dependent	(I) East	(I) Feet	Mean Difference	Ctal Error	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	28.00399	13.75027	.042	1.0081	54.9999
		3	33.90368	3.53669	.000	26.9601	40.8473
	1	4	-4.12092	2.67449	.124	-9.3717	1.1299
	1	5	-74.32149	2.74117	.000	-79.7032	-68.9398
		6	40.04458	2.42833	.000	35.2771	44.8121
		7	48.46434	5.83549	.000	37.0075	59.9211
		1	-28.00399	13.75027	.042	-54.9999	-1.0081
		3	5.89969	13.98139	.673	-21.5499	33.3493
	2	4	-32.12491	13.78854	.020	-59.1959	-5.0539
	2	5	-102.32548	13.80163	.000	-129.4222	-75.2288
		6	12.04059	13.74292	.381	-14.9408	39.0220
		7	20.46035	14.73173	.165	-8.4624	49.3831
		1	-33.90368	3.53669	.000	-40.8473	-26.9601
		2	-5.89969	13.98139	.673	-33.3493	21.5499
	3	4	-38.02460	3.68267	.000	-45.2548	-30.7944
	5	5	-108.22518	3.73138	.000	-115.5510	-100.8994
		6	6.14089	3.50798	.080	7463	13.0281
		7	14.56065	6.36098	.022	2.0722	27.0491
		1	4.12092	2.67449	.124	-1.1299	9.3717
		2	32.12491	13.78854	.020	5.0539	59.1959
dTEC	4	3	38.02460	3.68267	.000	30.7944	45.2548
GILC	7	5	-70.20057	2.92709	.000	-75.9473	-64.4538
		6	44.16550	2.63641	.000	38.9894	49.3415
		7	52.58526	5.92510	.000	40.9525	64.2180
		1	74.32149	2.74117	.000	68.9398	79.7032
		2	102.32548	13.80163	.000	75.2288	129.4222
	5	3	108.22518	3.73138	.000	100.8994	115.5510
	5	4	70.20057	2.92709	.000	64.4538	75.9473
		6	114.36607	2.70402	.000	109.0573	119.6749
		7	122.78583	5.95549	.000	111.0934	134.4782
		1	-40.04458	2.42833	.000	-44.8121	-35.2771
		2	-12.04059	13.74292	.381	-39.0220	14.9408
	6	3	-6.14089	3.50798	.080	-13.0281	.7463
	0	4	-44.16550	2.63641	.000	-49.3415	-38.9894
		5	-114.36607	2.70402	.000	-119.6749	-109.0573
	1	7765	8.41976	5.81813	.148	-3.0030	19.8425
		1	-48.46434	5.83549	.000	-59.9211	-37.0075
		2	-20.46035	14.73173	.165	-49.3831	8.4624
	7	3	-14.56065	6.36098	.022	-27.0491	-2.0722
		4	-52.58526	5.92510	.000	-64.2180	-40.9525
		5	-122.78583	5.95549	.000	-134.4782	-111.0934
		6	-8.41976	5.81813	.148	-19.8425	3.0030

Table C-39 BRA2

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Francis	<u> </u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-14.11467	11.44248	.218	-36.5796	8.3503
		3	6.58008	2.94311	.026	.8019	12.3583
	1	4	5.10192*	2.22562	.022	.7324	9.4715
	1	5	-7.44191	2.28110	.001	-11.9204	-2.9634
		6	-3.30188	2.02076	.103	-7.2692	.6655
		7	8.79755	4.85608	.070	7364	18.3315
		1	14.11467	11.44248	.218	-8.3503	36.5796
		3	20.69475	11.63480	.076	-2.1478	43.5373
	2	4	19.21659	11.47432	.094	-3.3109	41.7441
	Z	5	6.67276	11.48521	.561	-15.8761	29.2216
		6	10.81279	11.43636	.345	-11.6402	33.2657
		7	22.91222	12.25921	.062	-1.1562	46.9807
		1	-6.58008	2.94311	.026	-12.3583	8019
		2	-20.69475	11.63480	.076	-43.5373	2.1478
	3	4	-1.47815	3.06458	.630	-7.4948	4.5385
	2	5	-14.02199*	3.10511	.000	-20.1182	-7.9257
		6	-9.88196	2.91921	.001	-15.6132	-4.1507
		7	2.21748	5.29338	.675	-8.1750	12.6099
		1	-5.10192*	2.22562	.022	-9.4715	7324
		2	-19.21659	11.47432	.094	-41.7441	3.3109
ATEC	4	3	1.47815	3.06458	.630	-4.5385	7.4948
UTEC	4	5	-12.54383	2.43582	.000	-17.3261	-7.7616
		6	-8.40381	2.19392	.000	-12.7111	-4.0965
		7	3.69563	4.93065	.454	-5.9847	13.3760
		1	7.44191*	2.28110	.001	2.9634	11.9204
		2	-6.67276	11.48521	.561	-29.2216	15.8761
	E	3	14.02199*	3.10511	.000	7.9257	20.1182
	5	4	12.54383	2.43582	.000	7.7616	17.3261
		6	4.14002	2.25019	.066	2778	8.5578
		7	16.23946	4.95595	.001	6.5095	25.9695
		1	3.30188	2.02076	.103	6655	7.2692
		2	-10.81279	11.43636	.345	-33.2657	11.6402
	6	3	9.88196	2.91921	.001	4.1507	15.6132
	0	4	8.40381	2.19392	.000	4.0965	12.7111
		5	-4.14002	2.25019	.066	-8.5578	.2778
	1		12.09944	4.84164	.013	2.5939	21.6050
		1	-8.79755	4.85608	.070	-18.3315	.7364
		2	-22.91222	12.25921	.062	-46.9807	1.1562
	-	3	-2.21748	5.29338	.675	-12.6099	8.1750
	/	4	-3.69563	4.93065	.454	-13.3760	5.9847
		5	-16.23946	4.95595	.001	-25.9695	-6.5095
		6	-12.09944	4.84164	.013	-21.6050	-2.5939

Table C-40 CONO

Dependent		(I) Feet	Mean Difference	Stal Erman	çi	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	5.32651	7.23105	.462	-8.8702	19.5232
		3	7.37272	1.85989	.000	3.7212	11.0242
	1	4	6.37820	1.40647	.000	3.6169	9.1395
	1	5	9.77264	1.43813	.000	6.9492	12.5961
		6	22.04368	1.27860	.000	19.5334	24.5539
		7	5.40044	3.06879	.079	6245	11.4254
		1	-5.32651	7.23105	.462	-19.5232	8.8702
		3	2.04621	7.35259	.781	-12.3891	16.4815
	2	4	1.05169	7.25118	.885	-13.1845	15.2879
	2	5	4.44613	7.25738	.540	-9.8023	18.6945
		6	16.71717	7.22746	.021	2.5275	30.9068
		7	.07393	7.74718	.992	-15.1361	15.2840
		1	-7.37272	1.85989	.000	-11.0242	-3.7212
		2	-2.04621	7.35259	.781	-16.4815	12.3891
	3	4	99452	1.93666	.608	-4.7968	2.8077
	2	5	2.39992	1.95977	.221	-1.4477	6.2475
		6	14.67096	1.84589	.000	11.0469	18.2950
		-7	-1.97228	3.34514	.556	-8.5398	4.5952
		1	-6.37820	1.40647	.000	-9.1395	-3.6169
		2	-1.05169	7.25118	.885	-15.2879	13.1845
dTEC	4	3	.99452	1.93666	.608	-2.8077	4.7968
		5	3.39444	1.53613	.027	.3786	6.4103
		6	15.66548	1.38790	.000	12.9406	18.3903
		7	97776	3.11592	.754	-7.0952	5.1397
		1	-9.77264	1.43813	.000	-12.5961	-6.9492
		2	-4.44613	7.25738	.540	-18.6945	9.8023
	5	3	-2.39992	1.95977	.221	-6.2475	1.4477
	-	4	-3.39444	1.53613	.027	-6.4103	3786
		6	12.27104	1.41998	.000	9.4832	15.0589
		7	-4.37220	3.13034	.163	-10.5180	1.7736
		1	-22.04368	1.27860	.000	-24.5539	-19.5334
		2	-16.71717	7.22746	.021	-30.9068	-2.5275
	6	3	-14.67096	1.84589	.000	-18.2950	-11.0469
		4	-15.66548	1.38790	.000	-18.3903	-12.9406
		5	-12.27104	1.41998	.000	-15.0589	-9.4832
		7 6 1	-16.64324	3.06032	.000	-22.6516	-10.6349
		1	-5.40044	3.06879	.079	-11.4254	.6245
		²	07393	7.74718	.992	-15.2840	15.1361
	7	3	1.97228	3.34514	.556	-4.5952	8.5398
		4	.97776	3.11592	.754	-5.1397	7.0952
		5	4.37220	3.13034	.163	-1.7736	10.5180
		6	16.64324	3.06032	.000	10.6349	22.6516

Table C-41 COPO

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Francis	<u> </u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	3.52705	16.31243	.829	-28.4991	35.5532
		3	21.40970	4.19570	.000	13.1723	29.6471
	1	4	30.84807 [*]	3.17284	.000	24.6188	37.0773
	1	5	-45.83320*	3.25195	.000	-52.2177	-39.4487
		6	-14.01585 [*]	2.88081	.000	-19.6717	-8.3600
		7	28.86450*	6.92285	.000	15.2729	42.4561
		1	-3.52705	16.31243	.829	-35.5532	28.4991
		3	17.88265	16.58661	.281	-14.6818	50.4471
	2	4	27.32101	16.35783	.095	-4.7943	59.4363
	Z	5	-49.36025*	16.37336	.003	-81.5060	-17.2145
		6	-17.54290	16.30370	.282	-49.5519	14.4661
		7	25.33745	17.47677	.148	-8.9746	59.6495
		1	-21.40970*	4.19570	.000	-29.6471	-13.1723
		2	-17.88265	16.58661	.281	-50.4471	14.6818
	3	4	9.43836	4.36888	.031	.8610	18.0158
	5	5	-67.24291*	4.42666	.000	-75.9338	-58.5521
		6	-35.42555	4.16164	.000	-43.5961	-27.2550
		7	7.45479	7.54626	.324	-7.3607	22.2703
		1	-30.84807	3.17284	.000	-37.0773	-24.6188
		2	-27.32101	16.35783	.095	-59.4363	4.7943
dTEC.	4	3	-9.43836	4.36888	.031	-18.0158	8610
UTEC	4	5	-76.68127*	3.47252	.000	-83.4988	-69.8637
		6	-44.86391	3.12766	.000	-51.0044	-38.7234
		7	-1.98357	7.02915	.778	-15.7839	11.8167
		1	45.83320*	3.25195	.000	39.4487	52.2177
		2	49.36025*	16.37336	.003	17.2145	81.5060
	E	3	67.24291	4.42666	.000	58.5521	75.9338
	5	4	76.68127*	3.47252	.000	69.8637	83.4988
		6	31.81736	3.20788	.000	25.5193	38.1154
		7	74.69770 [*]	7.06521	.000	60.8266	88.5688
		1	14.01585	2.88081	.000	8.3600	19.6717
		2	17.54290	16.30370	.282	-14.4661	49.5519
	<i>(</i>	3	35.42555*	4.16164	.000	27.2550	43.5961
	0	4	44.86391	3.12766	.000	38.7234	51.0044
		5	-31.81736 [*]	3.20788	.000	-38.1154	-25.5193
	1	7 6 1	42.88035	6.90225	.000	29.3292	56.4315
	9	1	-28.86450	6.92285	.000	-42.4561	-15.2729
		2	-25.33745	17.47677	.148	-59.6495	8.9746
	7	3	-7.45479	7.54626	.324	-22.2703	7.3607
	1	4	1.98357	7.02915	.778	-11.8167	15.7839
		5	-74.69770*	7.06521	.000	-88.5688	-60.8266
		6	-42.88035	6.90225	.000	-56.4315	-29.3292

Table C-42 COYQ

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Francis	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-50.84132	11.90903	.000	-74.2223	-27.4604
		3	-31.66233	3.06311	.000	-37.6761	-25.6485
	1	4	2.28765	2.54433	.369	-2.7076	7.2829
	1	5	-20.32399*	2.21079	.000	-24.6644	-15.9836
		6	19.40463 [*]	2.10316	.000	15.2755	23.5338
		7	-9.29170	5.05408	.066	-19.2144	.6310
		1	50.84132 [*]	11.90903	.000	27.4604	74.2223
		3	19.17899	12.10920	.114	-4.5950	42.9529
	2	4	53.12897	11.98848	.000	29.5920	76.6659
	2	5	30.51733	11.92215	.011	7.1106	53.9240
		6	70.24594	11.90266	.000	46.8775	93.6144
		7	41.54962	12.75907	.001	16.4998	66.5995
		1	31.66233	3.06311	.000	25.6485	37.6761
		2	-19.17899	12.10920	.114	-42.9529	4.5950
	3	4	33.94998	3.35876	.000	27.3557	40.5442
	5	5	11.33834	3.11371	.000	5.2252	17.4515
		6	51.06696	3.03824	.000	45.1020	57.0319
		7	22.37063	5.50921	.000	11.5544	33.1868
		1	-2.28765	2.54433	.369	-7.2829	2.7076
		2	-53.12897	11.98848	.000	-76.6659	-29.5920
dTEC	4	3	-33.94998	3.35876	.000	-40.5442	-27.3557
dille	-	5	-22.61164	2.60503	.000	-27.7261	-17.4972
		6	17.11698	2.51434	.000	12.1806	22.0534
		7	-11.57935	5.23855	.027	-21.8642	-1.2945
		1	20.32399	2.21079	.000	15.9836	24.6644
		2	-30.51733	11.92215	.011	-53.9240	-7.1106
	5	3	-11.33834	3.11371	.000	-17.4515	-5.2252
	5	4	22.61164	2.60503	.000	17.4972	27.7261
		6	39.72861	2.17620	.000	35.4561	44.0011
		7	11.03229	5.08491	.030	1.0491	21.0155
		1	-19.40463	2.10316	.000	-23.5338	-15.2755
		2	-70.24594	11.90266	.000	-93.6144	-46.8775
	6	3	-51.06696	3.03824	.000	-57.0319	-45.1020
		4	-17.11698	2.51434	.000	-22.0534	-12.1806
		5	-39.72861	2.17620	.000	-44.0011	-35.4561
	<u> </u>	7	-28.69633	5.03905	.000	-38.5895	-18.8032
		1	9.29170	5.05408	.066	6310	19.2144
		2	-41.54962	12.75907	.001	-66.5995	-16.4998
	7	3	-22.37063	5.50921	.000	-33.1868	-11.5544
		4	11.57935	5.23855	.027	1.2945	21.8642
		5	-11.03229	5.08491	.030	-21.0155	-1.0491
		6	28.69633	5.03905	.000	18.8032	38.5895

Table C-43 GOGA

Dependent	(I) East		Mean Difference	Stal Error	Cir.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-11.06151	11.59976	.341	-33.8353	11.7123
		3	6.56927	2.98356	.028	.7117	12.4269
	1	4	-47.91407	2.25621	.000	-52.3437	-43.4845
	1	5	9.78119	2.31246	.000	5.2412	14.3212
		6	47.38484	2.04854	.000	43.3629	51.4067
		7	86.79296	4.92283	.000	77.1280	96.4579
		1	11.06151	11.59976	.341	-11.7123	33.8353
		3	17.63078	11.79473	.135	-5.5258	40.7873
	2	4	-36.85256	11.63205	.002	-59.6897	-14.0154
	2	5	20.84270	11.64309	.074	-2.0161	43.7015
		6	58.44635	11.59356	.000	35.6848	81.2079
		7	97.85447	12.42772	.000	73.4552	122.2538
		1	-6.56927	2.98356	.028	-12.4269	7117
		2	-17.63078	11.79473	.135	-40.7873	5.5258
	3	4	-54.48334	3.10671	.000	-60.5827	-48.3839
	5	5	3.21192	3.14780	.308	-2.9681	9.3920
		6	40.81557	2.95934	.000	35.0055	46.6256
		7	80.22369	5.36614	.000	69.6884	90.7590
		1	47.91407	2.25621	.000	43.4845	52.3437
		2	36.85256	11.63205	.002	14.0154	59.6897
dTEC	4	3	54.48334	3.10671	.000	48.3839	60.5827
GIEC		5	57.69527	2.46930	.000	52.8473	62.5432
		6	95.29891	2.22408	.000	90.9324	99.6654
		7	134.70704	4.99843	.000	124.8936	144.5204
		1	-9.78119	2.31246	.000	-14.3212	-5.2412
		2	-20.84270	11.64309	.074	-43.7015	2.0161
	5	3	-3.21192	3.14780	.308	-9.3920	2.9681
	-	4	-57.69527	2.46930	.000	-62.5432	-52.8473
		6	37.60365	2.28112	.000	33.1251	42.0822
		7	77.01177	5.02407	.000	67.1480	86.8755
		1	-47.38484	2.04854	.000	-51.4067	-43.3629
		2	-58.44635	11.59356	.000	-81.2079	-35.6848
	6	3	-40.81557	2.95934	.000	-46.6256	-35.0055
		4	-95.29891	2.22408	.000	-99.6654	-90.9324
		5	-37.60365	2.28112	.000	-42.0822	-33.1251
		7	39.40812	4.90819	.000	29.7719	49.0444
		1	-86.79296	4.92283	.000	-96.4579	-77.1280
		2	-97.85447	12.42772	.000	-122.2538	-73.4552
	7	3	-80.22369	5.36614	.000	-90.7590	-69.6884
		4	-134.70704	4.99843	.000	-144.5204	-124.8936
		5	-77.01177	5.02407	.000	-86.8755	-67.1480
		6	-39.40812	4.90819	.000	-49.0444	-29.7719

Table C-44 HUGO

Dependent		(I) Feet	Mean Difference	Ctal Farrage	Ci.e.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	1.57276	6.48453	.808	-11.1583	14.3038
		3	-6.42722	1.66788	.000	-9.7018	-3.1527
	1	4	3.59415	1.26127	.005	1.1179	6.0704
	1	5	7.56146	1.29272	.000	5.0235	10.0994
		6	4.51589	1.14518	.000	2.2676	6.7642
		7	-26.51643	2.75197	.000	-31.9194	-21.1135
		1	-1.57276	6.48453	.808	-14.3038	11.1583
		3	-7.99998	6.59352	.225	-20.9450	4.9450
	2	4	2.02139	6.50257	.756	-10.7451	14.7879
	2	5	5.98870	6.50875	.358	-6.7899	18.7673
		6	2.94313	6.48106	.650	-9.7811	15.6674
		7	-28.08919	6.94738	.000	-41.7290	-14.4494
		1	6.42722	1.66788	.000	3.1527	9.7018
		2	7.99998	6.59352	.225	-4.9450	20.9450
	2	4	10.02137	1.73672	.000	6.6117	13.4311
	5	5	13.98868	1.75969	.000	10.5339	17.4435
		6	10.94311	1.65434	.000	7.6952	14.1911
		7	-20.08921	2.99979	.000	-25.9787	-14.1997
		1	-3.59415	1.26127	.005	-6.0704	-1.1179
		2	-2.02139	6.50257	.756	-14.7879	10.7451
ITEC	4	3	-10.02137	1.73672	.000	-13.4311	-6.6117
diec	4	5	3.96732	1.38040	.004	1.2572	6.6774
		6	.92174	1.24331	.459	-1.5192	3.3627
		7	-30.11058	2.79423	.000	-35.5965	-24.6247
		1	-7.56146	1.29272	.000	-10.0994	-5.0235
		2	-5.98870	6.50875	.358	-18.7673	6.7899
	E	3	-13.98868	1.75969	.000	-17.4435	-10.5339
	5	4	-3.96732	1.38040	.004	-6.6774	-1.2572
		6	-3.04557	1.27520	.017	-5.5492	5420
		7	-34.07789	2.80857	.000	-39.5919	-28.5638
		1	-4.51589	1.14518	.000	-6.7642	-2.2676
		2	-2.94313	6.48106	.650	-15.6674	9.7811
	6	3	-10.94311	1.65434	.000	-14.1911	-7.6952
	0	4	92174	1.24331	.459	-3.3627	1.5192
		5	3.04557	1.27520	.017	.5420	5.5492
		187	-31.03232	2.74379	.000	-36.4192	-25.6454
		1	26.51643	2.75197	.000	21.1135	31.9194
		2	28.08919	6.94738	.000	14.4494	41.7290
	7	3	20.08921	2.99979	.000	14.1997	25.9787
	1	4	30.11058	2.79423	.000	24.6247	35.5965
		5	34.07789	2.80857	.000	28.5638	39.5919
		6	31.03232	2.74379	.000	25.6454	36.4192

Table C-45 IQQE

Dependent	(I) Feet	(I) Feet	Mean Difference	Ctol Error	Cir.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-21.85485	11.71387	.062	-44.8527	1.1430
		3	-3.50347	3.01291	.245	-9.4187	2.4118
	1	4	-5.97939 [*]	2.50264	.017	-10.8928	-1.0660
	1	5	-33.38057	2.17456	.000	-37.6499	-29.1113
		6	-34.40772*	2.06869	.000	-38.4692	-30.3463
		7	-20.71964	4.97126	.000	-30.4797	-10.9596
		1	21.85485	11.71387	.062	-1.1430	44.8527
		3	18.35138	11.91076	.124	-5.0330	41.7357
	2	4	15.87546	11.79202	.179	-7.2758	39.0267
	2	5	-11.52571	11.72677	.326	-34.5489	11.4974
		6	-12.55287	11.70761	.284	-35.5384	10.4326
		7	1.13521	12.54998	.928	-23.5041	25.7745
		1	3.50347	3.01291	.245	-2.4118	9.4187
		2	-18.35138	11.91076	.124	-41.7357	5.0330
	3	4	-2.47592	3.30372	.454	-8.9621	4.0103
	5	5	-29.87709	3.06269	.000	-35.8901	-23.8641
		6	-30.90425	2.98845	.000	-36.7715	-25.0370
		7	-17.21617	5.41893	.002	-27.8551	-6.5772
		1	5.97939	2.50264	.017	1.0660	10.8928
		2	-15.87546	11.79202	.179	-39.0267	7.2758
dTEC	4	3	2.47592	3.30372	.454	-4.0103	8.9621
dille	-	5	-27.40117	2.56234	.000	-32.4318	-22.3705
		6	-28.42833	2.47314	.000	-33.2838	-23.5728
		7	-14.74025	5.15270	.004	-24.8565	-4.6240
		1	33.38057	2.17456	.000	29.1113	37.6499
		2	11.52571	11.72677	.326	-11.4974	34.5489
	5	3	29.87709	3.06269	.000	23.8641	35.8901
	-	4	27.40117	2.56234	.000	22.3705	32.4318
		6	-1.02716	2.14054	.631	-5.2297	3.1754
		7	12.66093	5.00158	.012	2.8413	22.4805
		1	34.40772	2.06869	.000	30.3463	38.4692
		2	12.55287	11.70761	.284	-10.4326	35.5384
	6	3	30.90425	2.98845	.000	25.0370	36.7715
		4	28.42833	2.47314	.000	23.5728	33.2838
		5	1.02716	2.14054	.631	-3.1754	5.2297
	<u> </u>	7	13.68809	4.95647	.006	3.9571	23.4191
		1	20.71964	4.97126	.000	10.9596	30.4797
		2	-1.13521	12.54998	.928	-25.7745	23.5041
	7	3	17.21617	5.41893	.002	6.5772	27.8551
		4	14.74025	5.15270	.004	4.6240	24.8565
		5	-12.66093	5.00158	.012	-22.4805	-2.8413
		6	-13.68809	4.95647	.006	-23.4191	-3.9571

Table C-46 LAFE

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chil Francis	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	16.45578	10.60896	.121	-4.3729	37.2845
		3	14.72085	2.74645	.000	9.3287	20.1130
	1	4	-19.79442*	2.06349	.000	-23.8457	-15.7431
	1	5	-23.60540*	2.12000	.000	-27.7676	-19.4432
		6	3.37070	1.87356	.072	3077	7.0491
		7	-5.90378	4.62133	.202	-14.9769	3.1693
		1	-16.45578	10.60896	.121	-37.2845	4.3729
		3	-1.73493	10.79177	.872	-22.9225	19.4527
	2	4	-36.25020	10.63848	.001	-57.1369	-15.3636
	2	5	-40.06118	10.64959	.000	-60.9696	-19.1527
		6	-13.08508	10.60328	.218	-33.9026	7.7325
		7	-22.35956	11.41385	.051	-44.7685	.0494
		1	-14.72085	2.74645	.000	-20.1130	-9.3287
		2	1.73493	10.79177	.872	-19.4527	22.9225
	3	4	-34.51527	2.85838	.000	-40.1272	-28.9034
	2	5	-38.32625	2.89943	.000	-44.0187	-32.6338
		6	-11.35015	2.72444	.000	-16.6991	-6.0012
		7	-20.62463	5.02683	.000	-30.4939	-10.7554
		1	19.79442	2.06349	.000	15.7431	23.8457
		2	36.25020	10.63848	.001	15.3636	57.1369
dTEC	4	3	34.51527	2.85838	.000	28.9034	40.1272
diec	4	5	-3.81098	2.26313	.093	-8.2542	.6322
		6	23.16512	2.03411	.000	19.1715	27.1587
		7	13.89064	4.68872	.003	4.6852	23.0961
		1	23.60540	2.12000	.000	19.4432	27.7676
		2	40.06118	10.64959	.000	19.1527	60.9696
	5	3	38.32625	2.89943	.000	32.6338	44.0187
	5	4	3.81098	2.26313	.093	6322	8.2542
		6	26.97610	2.09141	.000	22.8700	31.0822
		7	17.70162	4.71386	.000	8.4468	26.9564
		1	-3.37070	1.87356	.072	-7.0491	.3077
		2	13.08508	10.60328	.218	-7.7325	33.9026
	6	3	11.35015	2.72444	.000	6.0012	16.6991
		4	-23.16512	2.03411	.000	-27.1587	-19.1715
		5	-26.97610	2.09141	.000	-31.0822	-22.8700
	ି କ	7	-9.27448	4.60829	.045	-18.3220	2270
		1	5.90378	4.62133	.202	-3.1693	14.9769
		2	22.35956	11.41385	.051	0494	44.7685
	7	3	20.62463	5.02683	.000	10.7554	30.4939
		4	-13.89064	4.68872	.003	-23.0961	-4.6852
		5	-17.70162	4.71386	.000	-26.9564	-8.4468
		6	9.27448	4.60829	.045	.2270	18.3220

Table C-47 LAMT

Dependent	(I) East	(I) Feet	Mean Difference	Ctol Error	Cia	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	24.14928	11.38103	.034	1.8049	46.4936
		3	22.06446	2.92730	.000	16.3173	27.8116
	1	4	42.45987 [*]	2.21366	.000	38.1138	46.8059
	1	5	23.03301*	2.26885	.000	18.5786	27.4874
		6	66497	2.00991	.741	-4.6110	3.2811
		7	-56.56192	4.83000	.000	-66.0446	-47.0792
		1	-24.14928	11.38103	.034	-46.4936	-1.8049
		3	-2.08482	11.57232	.857	-24.8047	20.6351
	2	4	18.31059	11.41271	.109	-4.0959	40.7171
	2	5	-1.11627	11.42354	.922	-23.5441	21.3115
		6	-24.81425	11.37494	.029	-47.1466	-2.4819
		7	-80.71120	12.19338	.000	-104.6504	-56.7720
		1	-22.06446	2.92730	.000	-27.8116	-16.3173
		2	2.08482	11.57232	.857	-20.6351	24.8047
	3	4	20.39541	3.04813	.000	14.4110	26.3798
	2	5	.96855	3.08844	.754	-5.0950	7.0321
		6	-22.72943	2.90354	.000	-28.4299	-17.0289
		7	-78.62638	5.26495	.000	-88.9630	-68.2897
		1	-42.45987	2.21366	.000	-46.8059	-38.1138
		2	-18.31059	11.41271	.109	-40.7171	4.0959
dTEC	4	3	-20.39541	3.04813	.000	-26.3798	-14.4110
diec	7	5	-19.42686	2.42274	.000	-24.1834	-14.6703
		6	-43.12484	2.18214	.000	-47.4090	-38.8407
		7	-99.02179	4.90417	.000	-108.6501	-89.3934
		1	-23.03301	2.26885	.000	-27.4874	-18.5786
		2	1.11627	11.42354	.922	-21.3115	23.5441
	5	3	96855	3.08844	.754	-7.0321	5.0950
	5	4	19.42686	2.42274	.000	14.6703	24.1834
		6	-23.69798	2.23811	.000	-28.0920	-19.3039
		7	-79.59492	4.92933	.000	-89.2727	-69.9172
		1	.66497	2.00991	.741	-3.2811	4.6110
		2	24.81425	11.37494	.029	2.4819	47.1466
	6	3	22.72943	2.90354	.000	17.0289	28.4299
		4	43.12484	2.18214	.000	38.8407	47.4090
		5	23.69798	2.23811	.000	19.3039	28.0920
	<u> </u>	7	-55.89695	4.81564	.000	-65.3515	-46.4424
		1	56.56192	4.83000	.000	47.0792	66.0446
		2	80.71120	12.19338	.000	56.7720	104.6504
	7	3	78.62638	5.26495	.000	68.2897	88.9630
		4	99.02179	4.90417	.000	89.3934	108.6501
		5	79.59492	4.92933	.000	69.9172	89.2727
		6	55.89695	4.81564	.000	46.4424	65.3515

Table C-48 POYE

Dependent	(I) Feet	(I) Feet	Mean	Stal Erman	Cir.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	2.06373	14.62633	.888.	-26.6521	30.7795
		3	37.63841	3.76202	.000	30.2524	45.0244
	1	4	37.99580*	2.85093	.000	32.3986	43.5930
	1	5	46.81374	2.90893	.000	41.1026	52.5248
		6	61.15578 [*]	2.58304	.000	56.0845	66.2270
		7	52.89209*	6.20728	.000	40.7054	65.0788
		1	-2.06373	14.62633	.888.	-30.7795	26.6521
		3	35.57468	14.87217	.017	6.3762	64.7732
	2	4	35.93207	14.66821	.015	7.1340	64.7301
	2	5	44.75001	14.67959	.002	15.9296	73.5704
		6	59.09205	14.61850	.000	30.3916	87.7925
		7	50.82836	15.67032	.001	20.0629	81.5938
		1	-37.63841	3.76202	.000	-45.0244	-30.2524
		2	-35.57468	14.87217	.017	-64.7732	-6.3762
	3	4	.35739	3.92169	.927	-7.3420	8.0568
	5	5	9.17533	3.96405	.021	1.3927	16.9579
		6	23.51737	3.73148	.000	16.1914	30.8434
		7	15.25368	6.76625	.024	1.9695	28.5378
		1	-37.99580	2.85093	.000	-43.5930	-32.3986
		2	-35.93207	14.66821	.015	-64.7301	-7.1340
dTEC	4	3	35739	3.92169	.927	-8.0568	7.3420
arec	-	5	8.81794	3.11267	.005	2.7068	14.9290
		6	23.15998	2.81050	.000	17.6421	28.6778
		7	14.89629	6.30533	.018	2.5171	27.2755
		1	-46.81374	2.90893	.000	-52.5248	-41.1026
		2	-44.75001	14.67959	.002	-73.5704	-15.9296
	5	3	-9.17533	3.96405	.021	-16.9579	-1.3927
	2	4	-8.81794	3.11267	.005	-14.9290	-2.7068
		6	14.34204	2.86932	.000	8.7087	19.9754
		7	6.07835	6.33176	.337	-6.3528	18.5095
		1	-61.15578	2.58304	.000	-66.2270	-56.0845
		2	-59.09205	14.61850	.000	-87.7925	-30.3916
	6	3	-23.51737	3.73148	.000	-30.8434	-16.1914
	-	4	-23.15998	2.81050	.000	-28.6778	-17.6421
		5	-14.34204	2.86932	.000	-19.9754	-8.7087
		7	-8.26369	6.18882	.182	-20.4142	3.8868
		9 1	-52.89209	6.20728	.000	-65.0788	-40.7054
		2	-50.82836	15.67032	.001	-81.5938	-20.0629
	7	3	-15.25368	6.76625	.024	-28.5378	-1.9695
		4	-14.89629*	6.30533	.018	-27.2755	-2.5171
		5	-6.07835	6.33176	.337	-18.5095	6.3528
		6	8.26369	6.18882	.182	-3.8868	20.4142

Table C-49 RIOP

Dependent	(I) Feet		Mean	Chal Ennan	Cir.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-7.38241	11.99779	.539	-30.9376	16.1728
		3	3.81787	3.08594	.216	-2.2407	9.8765
	1	4	9.97813 [*]	2.33363	.000	5.3965	14.5597
	1	5	-22.70330*	2.39181	.000	-27.3991	-18.0075
		6	17.07335	2.11883	.000	12.9134	21.2332
		7	-5.94522	5.09175	.243	-15.9418	4.0514
		1	7.38241	11.99779	.539	-16.1728	30.9376
		3	11.20028	12.19945	.359	-12.7509	35.1514
	2	4	17.36054	12.03118	.149	-6.2602	40.9813
	2	5	-15.32089	12.04260	.204	-38.9641	8.3223
		6	24.45576	11.99137	.042	.9131	47.9984
		7	1.43719	12.85416	.911	-23.7993	26.6737
		1	-3.81787	3.08594	.216	-9.8765	2.2407
		2	-11.20028	12.19945	.359	-35.1514	12.7509
	3	4	6.16026	3.21331	.056	1484	12.4689
	5	5	-26.52117	3.25581	.000	-32.9133	-20.1290
		6	13.25548	3.06089	.000	7.2461	19.2649
		7	-9.76309	5.55027	.079	-20.6599	1.1337
		1	-9.97813	2.33363	.000	-14.5597	-5.3965
		2	-17.36054	12.03118	.149	-40.9813	6.2602
dTEC	4	3	-6.16026	3.21331	.056	-12.4689	.1484
GIEC	4	5	-32.68143	2.55403	.000	-37.6958	-27.6671
		6	7.09522	2.30040	.002	2.5789	11.6116
		7	-15.92335	5.16994	.002	-26.0735	-5.7732
		1	22.70330	2.39181	.000	18.0075	27.3991
		2	15.32089	12.04260	.204	-8.3223	38.9641
	5	3	26.52117	3.25581	.000	20.1290	32.9133
	2	4	32.68143	2.55403	.000	27.6671	37.6958
		6	39.77665	2.35939	.000	35.1445	44.4088
		7	16.75808	5.19646	.001	6.5559	26.9603
		1	-17.07335	2.11883	.000	-21.2332	-12.9134
		2	-24.45576	11.99137	.042	-47.9984	9131
	6	3	-13.25548	3.06089	.000	-19.2649	-7.2461
	Ū.	4	-7.09522	2.30040	.002	-11.6116	-2.5789
		5	-39.77665	2.35939	.000	-44.4088	-35.1445
		7	-23.01856	5.07661	.000	-32.9855	-13.0517
		9 1	5.94522	5.09175	.243	-4.0514	15.9418
		2	-1.43719	12.85416	.911	-26.6737	23.7993
	7	3	9.76309	5.55027	.079	-1.1337	20.6599
		4	15.92335	5.16994	.002	5.7732	26.0735
		5	-16.75808	5.19646	.001	-26.9603	-6.5559
		6	23.01856	5.07661	.000	13.0517	32.9855

Table C-50 SCUB

Dependent			Mean	Chil Francis	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	29.37210 [*]	7.75673	.000	14.1433	44.6009
		3	15.36519	1.99510	.000	11.4482	19.2822
	1	4	12.12134 [*]	1.50872	.000	9.1593	15.0834
	1	5	7.52677 [*]	1.54633	.000	4.4909	10.5627
		6	9.90485 [*]	1.36985	.000	7.2154	12.5943
		7	-10.09231	3.29188	.002	-16.5553	-3.6294
		1	-29.37210	7.75673	.000	-44.6009	-14.1433
		3	-14.00691	7.88710	.076	-29.4916	1.4778
	2	4	-17.25077	7.77832	.027	-32.5219	-1.9796
	2	5	-21.84534	7.78570	.005	-37.1310	-6.5597
		6	-19.46726	7.75258	.012	-34.6879	-4.2466
		7	-39.46442	8.31038	.000	-55.7802	-23.1487
		1	-15.36519	1.99510	.000	-19.2822	-11.4482
		2	14.00691	7.88710	.076	-1.4778	29.4916
	3	4	-3.24385	2.07745	.119	-7.3225	.8348
	5	5	-7.83842	2.10492	.000	-11.9710	-3.7058
		6	-5.46034	1.97890	.006	-9.3455	-1.5752
		7	-25.45750	3.58832	.000	-32.5024	-18.4126
		1	-12.12134	1.50872	.000	-15.0834	-9.1593
		2	17.25077	7.77832	.027	1.9796	32.5219
dTEC	4	3	3.24385	2.07745	.119	8348	7.3225
a.Le		5	-4.59457	1.65122	.006	-7.8364	-1.3527
		6	-2.21649	1.48724	.137	-5.1364	.7034
		7	-22.21365	3.34243	.000	-28.7758	-15.6515
		1	-7.52677	1.54633	.000	-10.5627	-4.4909
		2	21.84534	7.78570	.005	6.5597	37.1310
	5	3	7.83842	2.10492	.000	3.7058	11.9710
	-	4	4.59457	1.65122	.006	1.3527	7.8364
		6	2.37808	1.52538	.119	6167	5.3729
		7	-17.61908	3.35958	.000	-24.2149	-11.0232
		1	-9.90485	1.36985	.000	-12.5943	-7.2154
		2	19.46726	7.75258	.012	4.2466	34.6879
	6	3	5.46034	1.97890	.006	1.5752	9.3455
		4	2.21649	1.48724	.137	7034	5.1364
		5	-2.37808	1.52538	.119	-5.3729	.6167
		7	-19.99716	3.28209	.000	-26.4409	-13.5534
		1	10.09231	3.29188	.002	3.6294	16.5553
		2	39.46442	8.31038	.000	23.1487	55.7802
	7	3	25.45750	3.58832	.000	18.4126	32.5024
		4	22.21365	3.34243	.000	15.6515	28.7758
		5	17.61908	3.35958	.000	11.0232	24.2149
		6	19.99716	3.28209	.000	13.5534	26.4409
Table C-51 UNSA

Dependent	(I) East	(I) Feet	Mean	Stal Erman	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-28.75918	13.23991	.030	-54.7543	-2.7641
		3	4.39002	3.85486	.255	-3.1786	11.9586
	1	4	5.70063	2.57522	.027	.6445	10.7568
	1	5	-40.34871*	2.65214	.000	-45.5559	-35.1415
		6	-23.30221*	2.33819	.000	-27.8930	-18.7114
		7	-3.28454	5.76739	.569	-14.6082	8.0391
		1	28.75918	13.23991	.030	2.7641	54.7543
		3	33.14920	13.58309	.015	6.4803	59.8181
	2	4	34.45982	13.27675	.010	8.3924	60.5272
	2	5	-11.58953	13.29189	.384	-37.6867	14.5076
		6	5.45698	13.23282	.680	-20.5242	31.4381
		7	25.47464	14.24441	.074	-2.4927	53.4420
		1	-4.39002	3.85486	.255	-11.9586	3.1786
		2	-33.14920	13.58309	.015	-59.8181	-6.4803
	3	4	1.31062	3.97958	.742	-6.5028	9.1241
	5	5	-44.73873	4.02978	.000	-52.6508	-36.8267
		6	-27.69222	3.83046	.000	-35.2129	-20.1715
	-	7	-7.67456	6.51676	.239	-20.4695	5.1204
		1	-5.70063	2.57522	.027	-10.7568	6445
		2	-34.45982	13.27675	.010	-60.5272	-8.3924
dTEC	4	3	-1.31062	3.97958	.742	-9.1241	6.5028
dille	-	5	-46.04935	2.83037	.000	-51.6065	-40.4922
		6	-29.00284	2.53855	.000	-33.9870	-24.0187
		7	-8.98517	5.85149	.125	-20.4739	2.5036
		1	40.34871	2.65214	.000	35.1415	45.5559
		2	11.58953	13.29189	.384	-14.5076	37.6867
	5	3	44.73873	4.02978	.000	36.8267	52.6508
	5	4	46.04935	2.83037	.000	40.4922	51.6065
		6	17.04651	2.61655	.000	11.9092	22.1838
		7	37.06417	5.88575	.000	25.5082	48.6202
		1	23.30221	2.33819	.000	18.7114	27.8930
		2	-5.45698	13.23282	.680	-31.4381	20.5242
	6	3	27.69222	3.83046	.000	20.1715	35.2129
	0	4	29.00284	2.53855	.000	24.0187	33.9870
		5	-17.04651	2.61655	.000	-22.1838	-11.9092
		7	20.01766	5.75111	.001	8.7260	31.3093
		9 1	3.28454	5.76739	.569	-8.0391	14.6082
		2	-25.47464	14.24441	.074	-53.4420	2.4927
	7	3	7.67456	6.51676	.239	-5.1204	20.4695
		4	8.98517	5.85149	.125	-2.5036	20.4739
		5	-37.06417	5.88575	.000	-48.6202	-25.5082
		6	-20.01766	5.75111	.001	-31.3093	-8.7260

Event VI Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I from IGS stations.

Table C-52 BAIE

Demendent			Mean			95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Lippor Bound
variable			J)			Lower Bound	opper bound
		2	-20.10090*	8.45233	.018	-36.6953	-3.5065
	1	3	21.40543	5.00917	.000	11.5710	31.2399
		4	50.97333*	3.65033	.000	43.8067	58.1400
		5	28.94303	2.96961	.000	23.1128	34.7732
		1	20.10090*	8.45233	.018	3.5065	36.6953
	0	3	41.50632*	9.24023	.000	23.3651	59.6475
	2	4	71.07423*	8.57991	.000	54.2294	87.9191
		5	49.04392*	8.31316	.000	32.7228	65.3650
	3	1	-21.40543	5.00917	.000	-31.2399	-11.5710
TTEC		2	-41.50632*	9.24023	.000	-59.6475	-23.3651
diec		4	29.56790*	5.22157	.000	19.3165	39.8193
		5	7.53760	4.77058	.115	-1.8284	16.9036
		1	-50.97333	3.65033	.000	-58.1400	-43.8067
	4	2	-71.07423*	8.57991	.000	-87.9191	-54.2294
	4	3	-29.56790*	5.22157	.000	-39.8193	-19.3165
		5	-22.03030*	3.31535	.000	-28.5393	-15.5213
		1	-28.94303	2.96961	.000	-34.7732	-23.1128
	-	2	-49.04392*	8.31316	.000	-65.3650	-32.7228
	5	3	-7.53760	4.77058	.115	-16.9036	1.8284
		4	22.03030*	3.31535	.000	15.5213	28.5393

Table C- 53 BOGT

Dependent	() · F · ·		Mean	61 L 5		95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	21.00126	5.17944	.000	10.8325	31.1701
	1	3	03561	3.06953	.991	-6.0620	5.9908
	1	4	-15.97890	2.24167	.000	-20.3800	-11.5778
		5	11.75088	1.82180	.000	8.1741	15.3276
		หาลงกร	-21.00126	5.17944	.000	-31.1701	-10.8325
	2	3	-21.03686	5.66225	.000	-32.1536	-9.9202
		4	-36.98015	5.25967	.000	-47.3065	-26.6538
		5	-9.25038	5.09490	.070	-19.2532	.7524
	3	1	.03561	3.06953	.991	-5.9908	6.0620
		2	21.03686	5.66225	.000	9.9202	32.1536
diec		4	-15.94329	3.20305	.000	-22.2318	-9.6547
		5	11.78649	2.92462	.000	6.0446	17.5284
		1	15.97890	2.24167	.000	11.5778	20.3800
	4	2	36.98015	5.25967	.000	26.6538	47.3065
	4	3	15.94329	3.20305	.000	9.6547	22.2318
		5	27.72978	2.03874	.000	23.7271	31.7324
		1	-11.75088	1.82180	.000	-15.3276	-8.1741
	5	2	9.25038	5.09490	.070	7524	19.2532
	C.	3	-11.78649	2.92462	.000	-17.5284	-6.0446
		4	-27.72978	2.03874	.000	-31.7324	-23.7271

Table C-54 BRAZ

Dependent			Mean	Chill France	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	11.18721	5.83612	.056	2708	22.6452
	1	3	-37.09937	3.45871	.000	-43.8898	-30.3089
		4	-48.39089	2.52588	.000	-53.3499	-43.4319
		5	-35.37470	2.04928	.000	-39.3980	-31.3514
		1	-11.18721	5.83612	.056	-22.6452	.2708
	<u>^</u>	3	-48.28657	6.38014	.000	-60.8126	-35.7605
	2	4	-59.57810	5.92652	.000	-71.2136	-47.9426
		5	-46.56191	5.73961	.000	-57.8304	-35.2934
	3	1	37.09937	3.45871	.000	30.3089	43.8898
ITTO		2	48.28657	6.38014	.000	35.7605	60.8126
diec		4	-11.29152	3.60915	.002	-18.3773	-4.2057
		5	1.72466	3.29325	.601	-4.7409	8.1903
		1	48.39089	2.52588	.000	43.4319	53.3499
	4	2	59.57810	5.92652	.000	47.9426	71.2136
	4	3	11.29152	3.60915	.002	4.2057	18.3773
		5	13.01619	2.29410	.000	8.5122	17.5202
		1	35.37470	2.04928	.000	31.3514	39.3980
	F	2	46.56191	5.73961	.000	35.2934	57.8304
	5	3	-1.72466	3.29325	.601	-8.1903	4.7409
		4	-13.01619	2.29410	.000	-17.5202	-8.5122

Table C- 55 CONO

Dependent			Mean Difference	CLI From	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1	2	-18.84387	4.09856	.000	-26.8905	-10.7972
		3	-13.91489	2.42896	.000	-18.6836	-9.1461
		4	-26.68927	1.77386	.000	-30.1719	-23.2067
		5	-11.59890	1.43916	.000	-14.4244	-8.7734
		1	18.84387	4.09856	.000	10.7972	26.8905
	2	3	4.92897	4.48062	.272	-3.8678	13.7257
	2	4	-7.84540	4.16205	.060	-16.0167	.3259
		5	7.24497	4.03079	.073	6686	15.1586
	3		13.91489	2.42896	.000	9.1461	18.6836
ITEC		2	-4.92897	4.48062	.272	-13.7257	3.8678
atec		4	-12.77438	2.53462	.000	-17.7506	-7.7982
		5	2.31599	2.31277	.317	-2.2246	6.8566
			26.68927	1.77386	.000	23.2067	30.1719
	4	2	7.84540	4.16205	.060	3259	16.0167
	4	3	12.77438	2.53462	.000	7.7982	17.7506
		5	15.09037	1.61109	.000	11.9273	18.2534
		1	11.59890	1.43916	.000	8.7734	14.4244
	F	2	-7.24497	4.03079	.073	-15.1586	.6686
	Э	3	-2.31599	2.31277	.317	-6.8566	2.2246
		4	-15.09037	1.61109	.000	-18.2534	-11.9273

Table C-56 COYQ

Dependent	Dependent (I) LFeat Variable	(I) Foot	Mean	Std Error	Sig	95% Confidence Interval		
Variable	(I) LFEd((J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	SLU. EITUI	JIY.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
		2	-61.06136	7.92697	.000	-76.6243	-45.4984	
	1	3	-80.01390*	4.69782	.000	-89.2371	-70.7907	
	1	4	-19.37372*	3.43081	.000	-26.1094	-12.6381	
dTEC		5	-11.04014	2.78346	.000	-16.5049	-5.5754	
		1	61.06136	7.92697	.000	45.4984	76.6243	
	2	3	-18.95254 [*]	8.66590	.029	-35.9662	-1.9389	
	Z	4	41.68764 [*]	8.04976	.000	25.8836	57.4916	
		5	50.02122*	7.79589	.000	34.7156	65.3268	
		1	80.01390 [*]	4.69782	.000	70.7907	89.2371	
	2	2	18.95254	8.66590	.029	1.9389	35.9662	
	3	4	60.64018	4.90217	.000	51.0158	70.2646	
		5	68.97376	4.47309	.000	60.1918	77.7557	
		1	19.37372	3.43081	.000	12.6381	26.1094	
	4	2	-41.68764*	8.04976	.000	-57.4916	-25.8836	
	4	3	-60.64018	4.90217	.000	-70.2646	-51.0158	
		5	8.33358*	3.11599	.008	2.2160	14.4512	
		1	11.04014	2.78346	.000	5.5754	16.5049	
	-	2	-50.02122*	7.79589	.000	-65.3268	-34.7156	
	5	3	-68.97376	4.47309	.000	-77.7557	-60.1918	
		4	-8.33358*	3.11599	.008	-14.4512	-2.2160	
Table C-!	57 LAMT			G4				

Table C-57 LAMT

Dependent	(1) [Mean Difference	CLI Emu	<u></u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1	2	-15.12499	3.28226	.000	-21.5691	-8.6809
		3	-12.14252	1.94519	.000	-15.9616	-8.3235
		4	-16.50647	1.42057	.000	-19.2955	-13.7174
		5	-1.81227	1.15716	.118	-4.0841	.4596
		1	15.12499	3.28226	.000	8.6809	21.5691
	2	3	2.98247	3.58822	.406	-4.0624	10.0273
	Z	4	-1.38148	3.33311	.679	-7.9254	5.1625
		5	13.31272	3.22964	.000	6.9719	19.6535
	3		12.14252	1.94519	.000	8.3235	15.9616
		2	-2.98247	3.58822	.406	-10.0273	4.0624
alec		4	-4.36394	2.02981	.032	-8.3491	3788
		5	10.33025	1.85502	.000	6.6882	13.9723
			16.50647	1.42057	.000	13.7174	19.2955
	4	2	1.38148	3.33311	.679	-5.1625	7.9254
	4	3	4.36394	2.02981	.032	.3788	8.3491
		5	14.69419	1.29435	.000	12.1530	17.2354
		1	1.81227	1.15716	.118	4596	4.0841
	-	2	-13.31272	3.22964	.000	-19.6535	-6.9719
	Э	3	-10.33025	1.85502	.000	-13.9723	-6.6882
		4	-14.69419	1.29435	.000	-17.2354	-12.1530

Table C-58 PARC

Dependent			Mean Difference	Chill France	C 1-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1	2	-7.99540	7.75048	.303	-23.2118	7.2210
		3	-9.00619	4.59323	.050	-18.0240	.0116
	1	4	6.72216	3.35442	.045	.1365	13.3079
		5	-10.81144	2.72149	.000	-16.1545	-5.4684
		1	7.99540	7.75048	.303	-7.2210	23.2118
	0	3	-1.01078	8.47296	.905	-17.6456	15.6241
	Z	4	14.71756	7.87054	.062	7346	30.1697
		5	-2.81604	7.62232	.712	-17.7808	12.1488
	3	1	9.00619	4.59323	.050	0116	18.0240
ITEC		2	1.01078	8.47296	.905	-15.6241	17.6456
alec		4	15.72835	4.79303	.001	6.3183	25.1384
		5	-1.80526	4.37350	.680	-10.3917	6.7812
		1	-6.72216	3.35442	.045	-13.3079	1365
	4	2	-14.71756	7.87054	.062	-30.1697	.7346
	4	3	-15.72835	4.79303	.001	-25.1384	-6.3183
		5	-17.53360	3.04661	.000	-23.5150	-11.5522
		1	10.81144	2.72149	.000	5.4684	16.1545
	r	2	2.81604	7.62232	.712	-12.1488	17.7808
	5	3	1.80526	4.37350	.680	-6.7812	10.3917
		4	17.53360	3.04661	.000	11.5522	23.5150

Table C-59 SCH2

Dependent	(1)		Mean Difference	Ci I F	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1	2	-3.90533	10.87144	.720	-25.2491	17.4384
		3	13.08287	6.44283	.043	.4338	25.7320
		4	-18.22668	4.70518	.000	-27.4643	-8.9891
		5	-32.99422*	3.81738	.000	-40.4888	-25.4996
		1	3.90533	10.87144	.720	-17.4384	25.2491
	2	3	16.98820	11.88484	.153	-6.3452	40.3216
	Z	4	-14.32135	11.03984	.195	-35.9957	7.3530
		5	-29.08890*	10.69167	.007	-50.0797	-8.0981
	3	1	-13.08287*	6.44283	.043	-25.7320	4338
		2 6	-16.98820	11.88484	.153	-40.3216	6.3452
alec		4	-31.30955	6.72308	.000	-44.5089	-18.1102
		5	-46.07710*	6.13461	.000	-58.1211	-34.0331
			18.22668	4.70518	.000	8.9891	27.4643
	4	2	14.32135	11.03984	.195	-7.3530	35.9957
	4	3	31.30955	6.72308	.000	18.1102	44.5089
		5	-14.76754	4.27342	.001	-23.1575	-6.3776
		1	32.99422	3.81738	.000	25.4996	40.4888
		2	29.08890*	10.69167	.007	8.0981	50.0797
	Э	3	46.07710 [*]	6.13461	.000	34.0331	58.1211
		4	14.76754	4.27342	.001	6.3776	23.1575

Table C-60 SCUB

Dependent	(1) [Mean Difference	Chill France	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	21.17394	6.42558	.001	8.5587	33.7892
		3	-18.76051	3.80804	.000	-26.2368	-11.2842
	1	4	-10.41574	2.78100	.000	-15.8756	-4.9558
		5	7.73243	2.25627	.001	3.3027	12.1621
		1	-21.17394	6.42558	.001	-33.7892	-8.5587
	2	3	-39.93444	7.02455	.000	-53.7257	-26.1432
	2	4	-31.58967	6.52511	.000	-44.4003	-18.7790
		5	-13.44151	6.31933	.034	-25.8482	-1.0349
	3	1	18.76051	3.80804	.000	11.2842	26.2368
ITTO		2	39.93444	7.02455	.000	26.1432	53.7257
diec		4	8.34477	3.97369	.036	.5433	16.1463
		5	26.49294	3.62587	.000	19.3743	33.6116
		1	10.41574	2.78100	.000	4.9558	15.8756
	4	2	31.58967	6.52511	.000	18.7790	44.4003
	4	3	-8.34477	3.97369	.036	-16.1463	5433
		5	18.14817	2.52581	.000	13.1893	23.1071
		1	-7.73243	2.25627	.001	-12.1621	-3.3027
	F	2	13.44151	6.31933	.034	1.0349	25.8482
	5	3	-26.49294	3.62587	.000	-33.6116	-19.3743
		4	-18.14817	2.52581	.000	-23.1071	-13.1893

Table C-61 SG05

Dependent	() · ·		Mean	0.15	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-13.99509	5.78204	.016	-25.3476	-2.6426
		3	-6.30060	3.57400	.078	-13.3178	.7166
	1	4	-2.88465	2.52840	.254	-7.8489	2.0796
		5	-5.85469	2.07616	.005	-9.9310	-1.7783
		1	13.99509	5.78204	.016	2.6426	25.3476
	2	3	7.69449	6.37894	.228	-4.8300	20.2190
	2	4	11.11044	5.85749	.058	3902	22.6111
		5	8.14040	5.67695	.152	-3.0058	19.2866
	3 Gu	1112105	6.30060	3.57400	.078	7166	13.3178
ITEC		2	-7.69449	6.37894	.228	-20.2190	4.8300
diec		4	3.41595	3.69482	.356	-3.8385	10.6704
		5	.44591	3.40138	.896	-6.2324	7.1242
		1	2.88465	2.52840	.254	-2.0796	7.8489
	4	2	-11.11044	5.85749	.058	-22.6111	.3902
	4	3	-3.41595	3.69482	.356	-10.6704	3.8385
		5	-2.97005	2.27786	.193	-7.4424	1.5023
		1	5.85469	2.07616	.005	1.7783	9.9310
	F	2	-8.14040	5.67695	.152	-19.2866	3.0058
	5	3	44591	3.40138	.896	-7.1242	6.2324
		4	2.97005	2.27786	.193	-1.5023	7.4424

Table C-62 UNSA

Dependent	(1) []		Mean	Chil Famou	Ci-	95% Confid	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	Difference (I-J)	Sta. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	1	2	42.13636	4.35004	.000	33.5960	50.6767
		3	-10.36077	2.57800	.000	-15.4221	-5.2994
		4	9.46042	1.88270	.000	5.7641	13.1567
		5	13.11463	1.52746	.000	10.1158	16.1135
		1	-42.13636	4.35004	.000	-50.6767	-33.5960
	0	3	-52.49713	4.75553	.000	-61.8336	-43.1606
	2	4	-32.67594	4.41742	.000	-41.3486	-24.0033
		5	-29.02173	4.27810	.000	-37.4209	-20.6226
		1	10.36077	2.57800	.000	5.2994	15.4221
ITEC	2	2	52.49713	4.75553	.000	43.1606	61.8336
diec	3	4	19.82119	2.69014	.000	14.5397	25.1027
		5	23.47540	2.45467	.000	18.6562	28.2946
		1	-9.46042	1.88270	.000	-13.1567	-5.7641
	4	2	32.67594	4.41742	.000	24.0033	41.3486
	4	3	-19.82119	2.69014	.000	-25.1027	-14.5397
		5	3.65421	1.70994	.033	.2971	7.0113
		1	-13.11463	1.52746	.000	-16.1135	-10.1158
	F	2	29.02173	4.27810	.000	20.6226	37.4209
	5	3	-23.47540	2.45467	.000	-28.2946	-18.6562
		4	-3.65421	1.70994	.033	-7.0113	2971



Event VI Mean percentage deviation of TECU for each phenomena of Event I from IGS stations.

Table C-63 CONO

Dependent	(1) = - +	(1) E +	Mean Difference	Chil Finan	<u> </u>	95% Confid	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-7.70021	1.71588	.000	-11.0690	-4.3314
		3	9.12107	1.66835	.000	5.8456	12.3965
		4	10.70169 [*]	1.80299	.000	7.1619	14.2415
	1	5	20.96401	2.77501	.000	15.5158	26.4122
		6	15.54331	2.24774	.000	11.1303	19.9563
		7	16.66019 [*]	1.69891	.000	13.3247	19.9957
		8	85562	1.94389	.660	-4.6721	2.9608
		1	7.70021	1.71588	.000	4.3314	11.0690
		3	16.82128	1.22803	.000	14.4103	19.2323
		4	18.40190	1.40551	.000	15.6425	21.1613
	2	5	28.66422	2.53483	.000	23.6876	33.6409
		6	23.24353	1.94346	.000	19.4279	27.0591
		7	24.36040	1.26924	.000	21.8685	26.8523
		8	6.84460	1.58221	.000	3.7382	9.9510
		1	-9.12107	1.66835	.000	-12.3965	-5.8456
		2	-16.82128	1.22803	.000	-19.2323	-14.4103
		4	1.58063	1.34707	.241	-1.0641	4.2253
	3	5	11.84294	2.50290	.000	6.9290	16.7569
		6	6.42225	1.90163	.001	2.6888	10.1557
		7	7.53913	1.20421	.000	5.1749	9.9033
		8	-9.97668 [*]	1.53053	.000	-12.9816	-6.9718
		1	-10.70169	1.80299	.000	-14.2415	-7.1619
		2	-18.40190	1.40551	.000	-21.1613	-15.6425
		3	-1.58063	1.34707	.241	-4.2253	1.0641
	4	5	10.26232	2.59459	.000	5.1684	15.3563
		6	4.84162	2.02079	.017	.8742	8.8090
		7	5.95850	1.38474	.000	3.2398	8.6772
dTEC		8	-11.55731	1.67628	.000	-14.8484	-8.2663
			-20.96401	2.77501	.000	-26.4122	-15.5158
		2	-28.66422	2.53483	.000	-33.6409	-23.6876
		3	-11.84294	2.50290	.000	-16.7569	-6.9290
	5	4	-10.26232	2.59459	.000	-15.3563	-5.1684
		6	-5.42069	2.92121	.064	-11.1559	.3145
		7	-4.30382	2.52337	.089	-9.2580	.6503
		8	-21.81963	2.69441	.000	-27.1096	-16.5297
			-15.54331	2.24774		-19.9563	-11.1303
		2	-23.24353	1.94346	000.	-27.0591	-19.4279
		2	-0.42225	1.90163	.001	-10.1557	-2.6888
			5 42060	2.02019	.017	- 31/15	0742
		7	1 11400	1 02040	.004	3145	4 0021
		0	16 20902	2 14744	.000	-2.0095	4.9001
		1	-16.66019	1 60801	.000	-20.0150	-12.1027
		2	-24 36040	1 26924	.000	-26.8523	-13.3241
		3	-7 53913	1 20421	000	-9 9033	-5 1749
	7	4	-5.95850	1.38474	.000	-8.6772	-3.2398
		5	4 30382	2 52337	000.	- 6503	9 2580
		-	-1.11688	1.92849	.563	-4.9031	2.6693
		8	-17.51581	1.56379	.000	-20.5860	-14.44.56
		1	.85562	1.94389	.660	-2.9608	4.6721
		2	-6.84460	1.58221	.000	-9.9510	-3.7382
		-	9.97668	1.53053	.000	6.9718	12.9816
	8	-	11.55731	1.67628	.000	8.2663	14.8484
	-	5	21.81963	2.69441	.000	16.5297	27.1096
		6	16.39893	2.14744	.000	12.1829	20.6150
		7	17.51581*	1.56379	.000	14.4456	20.5860

Table C-64 COYQ

Dependent	(1)	()) =	Mean Difference	0.1.5	Ċ.	95% Confid	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	37.40851	4.63195	.000	28.3146	46.5024
		3	86.24207*	4.50363	.000	77.4001	95.0841
		4	56.67588	4.85847	.000	47.1372	66.2145
	1	5	126.23553	7.63281	.000	111.2500	141.2210
		6	137.18011	6.06770	.000	125.2674	149.0928
		7	104.23510	4.58614	.000	95.2311	113.2391
		8	120.01458	5.24745	.000	109.7123	130.3169
		1	-37.40851	4.63195	.000	-46.5024	-28.3146
		3	48.83356	3.31503	.000	42.3252	55.3420
		4	19.26737	3.78304	.000	11.8401	26.6946
	2	5	88.82702	6.99761	.000	75.0886	102.5654
		6	99.77160	5.24630	.000	89.4715	110.0717
		7	66.82659	3.42627	.000	60.0998	73.5534
		8	82.60607	4.27112	.000	74.2206	90.9916
		1	-86.24207	4.50363	.000	-95.0841	-77.4001
		2	-48.83356	3.31503	.000	-55.3420	-42.3252
	2	4	-29.56619	3.62480	.000	-36.6828	-22.4496
	3	5	39.99346	6.91334	.000	26.4205	53.5664
		6	50.93804	5.13337	.000	40.8597	61.0164
		-	17.99303	3.25071	.000	11.6109	24.3752
		8	55.77251	4.15161	.000	25.6609	41.8841
		1	-30.07300	4.03047	.000	-00.2145	-47.1572
		2	-19.20737	3.70304	.000	-20.0940	-11.0401
	4	5	69 55965	7 1/1057	.000	55 5220	30.0020 83.5064
	4	6	80 50423	5 ///733	000	69 8095	01.1000
		7	47 55922	3 72681	000	40 24 24	54 8761
		8	63 33870	4 51577	000	54 4729	72 2045
dTEC	-	1	-126,23553	7.63281	.000	-141.2210	-111.2500
		2	-88.82702	6.99761	.000	-102.5654	-75.0886
		3	-39.99346	6.91334	.000	-53.5664	-26.4205
	5	4	-69.55965	7.14957	.000	-83.5964	-55.5229
		6	10.94458	8.02051	.173	-4.8021	26.6913
		7	-22.00043	6.96737	.002	-35.6795	-8.3214
		8	-6.22095	7.41939	.402	-20.7874	8.3455
		1	-137.18011	6.06770	.000	-149.0928	-125.2674
		2	-99.77160	5.24630	.000	-110.0717	-89.4715
		3	-50.93804	5.13337	.000	-61.0164	-40.8597
	6	4	-80.50423	5.44733	.000	-91.1990	-69.8095
		50 6 10	-10.94458	8.02051	.173	-26.6913	4.8021
		7	-32.94501	5.20590	.000	-43.1658	-22.7243
		8	-17.16553	5.79694	.003	-28.5467	-5.7844
		1	-104.23510	4.58614	.000	-113.2391	-95.2311
		2	-66.82659	3.42627	.000	-73.5534	-60.0998
		3	-17.99303	3.25071	.000	-24.3752	-11.6109
	7	4	-47.55922	3.72681	.000	-54.8761	-40.2424
		5	22.00043	6.96737	.002	8.3214	35.6795
		6	32.94501	5.20590	.000	22.7243	43.1658
		8	15.77948	4.22139	.000	7.4916	24.0673
		1	-120.01458	5.24745	.000	-130.3169	-109.7123
		2	-82.60607	4.27112	.000	-90.9916	-74.2206
		3	-33.77251	4.13161	.000	-41.8841	-25.6609
	8	4	-63.33870	4.51577	.000	-72.2045	-54.4729
		5	6.22095	7.41939	.402	-8.3455	20.7874
		6	17.16553	5.79694	.003	5.7844	28.5467
1		7	-15.77948	4.22139	.000	-24.0673	-7.4916

Table C-65 COPO

Dependent	(1) 5 +	(1) East	Mean Difference	Chil Eman	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	1.76431	3.56460	.621	-5.2341	8.7627
		3	-53.63187	3.46585	.000	-60.4364	-46.8274
		4	-95.41730	3.73892	.000	-102.7579	-88.0767
	1	5	-70.27820*	5.87397	.000	-81.8106	-58.7458
		6	-15.87386	4.66950	.001	-25.0415	-6.7062
		7	-5.27034	3.52934	.136	-12.1995	1.6588
		8	5.40267	4.03827	.181	-2.5257	13.3310
		1	-1.76431	3.56460	.621	-8.7627	5.2341
		3	-55.39618	2.55114	.000	-60.4048	-50.3875
		4	-97.18162	2.91131	.000	-102.8974	-91.4658
	2	5	-72.04251	5.38513	.000	-82.6151	-61.4699
		6	-17.63817	4.03738	.000	-25.5648	-9.7116
		7	-7.03465	2.63675	.008	-12.2114	-1.8579
		8	3.63836	3.28691	.269	-2.8148	10.0916
		1	53.63187	3.46585	.000	46.8274	60.4364
		2	55.39618	2.55114	.000	50.3875	60.4048
		4	-41.78544	2.78953	.000	-47.2621	-36.3087
	3	5	-16.64633	5.32028	.002	-27.0917	-6.2010
		6	37.75801	3.95047	.000	30.0020	45.5140
		7	48.36153	2.50164	.000	43.4500	53.2730
		8	59.03454	3.17956	.000	52.7921	65.2770
		1	95.41730	3.73892	.000	88.0767	102.7579
		2	97.18162	2.91131	.000	91.4658	102.8974
		3	41.78544	2.78953	.000	36.3087	47.2621
	4	5	25.13910	5.50207	.000	14.3369	35.9413
		6	79.54344	4.19209	.000	71.3131	87.7738
		7	90.14696	2.86803	.000	84.5162	95.7778
dTEC		8	100.81998	3.47519	.000	93.9971	107.6428
		1	70.27820	5.87397	.000	58.7458	81.8106
		2	72.04251	5.38513	.000	61.4699	82.6151
		3	16.64633	5.32028	.002	6.2010	27.0917
	5	4	-25.13910	5.50207	.000	-35.9413	-14.3369
		6	54.40434	6.17232	.000	42.2862	66.5225
		7	65.00786	5.36186	.000	54.4809	75.5348
		8	/5.6808/	5.70972	.000	64.4710	86.8908
		1	15.87386	4.66950	.001	6.7062	25.0415
		2	17.63817	4.03738	.000	9.7116	25.5648
		3	-37.75801	3.95047	.000	-45.5140	-30.0020
	0	4	-19.54344	4.19209	.000	-81.1138	-71.5151
			-54.40454	6.17232	.000	-00.3223	-42.2002
		0	10.00352	4.00629	.000	2.7500	10.4091
		0	5 27034	4.40113	.000	1 4 5 9 9	12 1005
		2	7.03465	2 63675	.150	-1.0500	12.1995
		3	-18 36153	2 50164	000	-53 2730	-43.4500
	7	4	-90.14696	2.86803	000	-95 7778	-84 5162
		5	-65.00786	5 36186	.000	-75 53/19	-54 4800
		6	-10 60352	4 00629	000	-18 4691	-2 7380
		8	10.67301	3.24865	.001	4,2949	17.0511
		1	-5.40267	4.03827	.181	-13.3310	2.5257
		2	-3 63836	3 28691	269	-10.0916	2.5257
		- 3	-59.03454	3.17956	.000	-65.2770	-52.7921
	8	-	-100 81998	3 47519	000	-107 6428	-93 9971
	Ŭ	5	-75.68087	5.70972	.000	-86.8908	-64.4710
		6	-21 27653	4 46113	000	-30 0351	-12 5180
		7	-10.67301*	3.24865	.001	-17.0511	-4.2949

Table C-66 GOGA

Dependent	()		Mean Difference	61 L 5	C.	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-I)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-13.41479	1.90191	.000	-17.1488	-9.6808
		3	25.01846	1.84922	.000	21.3879	28.6490
		4	31.09064	1.99492	.000	27.1740	35.0073
	1	5	47.91247	3.13408	.000	41.7593	54.0656
		6	38.47755	2.49144	.000	33.5861	43.3690
		7	26.32320	1.88310	.000	22.6261	30.0203
		8	-5.25576	2.15464	.015	-9.4860	-1.0255
		1	13.41479	1.90191	.000	9.6808	17.1488
		3	38.43325	1.36117	.000	35.7609	41.1056
		4	44.50544	1.55334	.000	41.4558	47.5551
	2	5	61.32726	2.87327	.000	55.6862	66.9683
		6	51.89234	2.15417	.000	47.6631	56.1216
		7	39.73800	1.40685	.000	36.9759	42.5001
		8	8.15904	1.75375	.000	4.7159	11.6022
		1	-25.01846	1.84922	.000	-28.6490	-21.3879
		2	-38.43325	1.36117	.000	-41.1056	-35.7609
		4	6.07219	1.48837	.000	3.1501	8.9943
	3	5	22.89401	2.83867	.000	17.3209	28.4672
		6	13.45909	2.10780	.000	9.3209	17.5973
		1	1.30475	1.33476	.329	-1.3158	3.9253
		8	-30.27421	1.69647	.000	-55.6049	-26.9435
		2	-51.09064	1.99492	.000	-33.0073	-27.1740
		2	-44.30344	1.55554	.000	-47.5551	-41.4550
	4	5	-0.07219	2.03566	.000	-0.9943	-3.1301
	4	6	7 38601	2.95500	.000	2 0056	11 7782
		7	-4.76744	1 53025	.001	-7 7718	-1 7631
		8	-36 34640	1.85421	000	-39 9868	-32 7060
dTEC		1	-47.91247	3.13408	.000	-54.0656	-41.7593
		2	-61.32726	2.87327	.000	-66.9683	-55.6862
		3	-22.89401	2.83867	.000	-28.4672	-17.3209
	5	4	-16.82183	2.93566	.000	-22.5854	-11.0582
		6	-9.43492	3.29328	.004	-15.9006	-2.9692
		7	-21.58927	2.86085	.000	-27.2060	-15.9726
		8	-53.16823	3.04645	.000	-59.1493	-47.1871
		1	-38.47755	2.49144	.000	-43.3690	-33.5861
		2	-51.89234	2.15417	.000	-56.1216	-47.6631
		3	-13.45909	2.10780	.000	-17.5973	-9.3209
	6	4	-7.38691	2.23671	.001	-11.7782	-2.9956
			9.43492	3.29328	.004	2.9692	15.9006
		7	-12.15435	2.13758	.000	-16.3511	-7.9576
		8	-43.73331	2.38026	.000	-48.4065	-39.0601
		1	-26.32320	1.88310	.000	-30.0203	-22.6261
		2	-39.73800	1.40685	.000	-42.5001	-36.9759
		3	-1.30475	1.33476	.329	-3.9253	1.3158
	7	4	4.76744	1.53025	.002	1.7631	7.7718
		5	21.58927	2.86085	.000	15.9726	27.2060
		6	12.15435	2.13758	.000	7.9576	16.3511
		8	-31.57896	1.73333	.000	-34.9820	-28.1759
		1	5.25576	2.15464	.015	1.0255	9.4860
		2	-8.15904	1.75375	.000	-11.6022	-4.7159
		3	30.27421	1.69647	.000	26.9435	33.6049
	8	4	36.34640	1.85421	.000	32.7060	39.9868
		5	53.16823	3.04645	.000	47.1871	59.1493
		6	43.73331	2.38026	.000	39.0601	48.4065
1		7	31.57896	1.73333	.000	28.1759	34.9820

Table C-67 IQQE

Dependent	()		Mean Difference	61 L 5		95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-5.99220	2.25735	.008	-10.4241	-1.5603
		3	12.05078 [*]	2.19482	.000	7.7417	16.3599
		4	-22.76281	2.36774	.000	-27.4114	-18.1142
	1	5	21.12213	3.71980	.000	13.8190	28.4252
		6	30.42712	2.93489	.000	24.6650	36.1892
		7	-3.84749	2.23713	.086	-8.2396	.5447
		8	24.62195	2.55731	.000	19.6012	29.6427
		1	5.99220	2.25735	.008	1.5603	10.4241
		3	18.04299	1.61556	.000	14.8712	21.2148
		4	-16.77060	1.84364	.000	-20.3902	-13.1510
	2	5	27.11433	3.41024	.000	20.4190	33.8097
		6	36.41933	2.53109	.000	31.4500	41.3886
		7	2.14471	1.67258	.200	-1.1391	5.4285
		8	30.61415	2.08150	.000	26.5275	34.7008
		1 10000000	-12.05078	2.19482	.000	-16.3599	-7.7417
		2	-18.04299	1.61556	.000	-21.2148	-14.8712
		4	-34.81359	1.76653	.000	-38.2818	-31.3454
	3	5	9.07134	3.36918	.007	2.4566	15.6861
		6	18.37634	2.47548	.000	13.5162	23.2365
		1	-15.89827	1.58/18	.000	-19.0144	-12.7822
		8	12.57116	2.01352	.000	8.0180	16.5243
		2	16 77060	2.36774	.000	10.1142	27.4114
		2	10.77000 34.91350	1.04504	.000	21 2454	20.3702
	٩	5	13 88493	3 48430	000	37 0442	50.2010
	-	6	53 18993	2 63001	000	48 0264	58 3534
		7	18 91531	1 81882	000	15 3444	22 4862
		8	47.38475	2,20073	.000	43.0640	51,7055
dTEC		1 6	-21.12213	3.71980	.000	-28,4252	-13.8190
		2	-27.11433	3.41024	.000	-33.8097	-20.4190
		3	-9.07134	3.36918	.007	-15.6861	-2.4566
	5	4	-43.88493	3.48430	.000	-50.7257	-37.0442
		6	9.30500	3.89201	.017	1.6638	16.9462
		7	-24.96962	3.39689	.000	-31.6387	-18.3005
		8	3.49982	3.61579	.333	-3.5991	10.5987
		1	-30.42712	2.93489	.000	-36.1892	-24.6650
		2	-36.41933	2.53109	.000	-41.3886	-31.4500
		3	-18.37634	2.47548	.000	-23.2365	-13.5162
	6	4	-53.18993	2.63001	.000	-58.3534	-48.0264
		5	-9.30500	3.89201	.017	-16.9462	-1.6638
		7	-34.27462	2.51307	.000	-39.2085	-29.3407
		8	-5.80518	2.80189	.039	-11.3061	3042
			3.84749	2.23713	.086	5447	8.2396
		2	-2.14471	1.67258	.200	-5.4285	1.1391
		3	15.89827	1.58718	.000	12.7822	19.0144
	7	4	-18.91531	1.81882	.000	-22.4862	-15.3444
		5	24.96962	3.39689	.000	18.3005	31.6387
		6	34.27462	2.51307	.000	29.3407	39.2085
	. <u></u>	8	28.46944	2.05955	.000	24.4259	32.5130
		1	-24.62195	2.55731	.000	-29.6427	-19.6012
		2	-30.61415	2.08150	.000	-34.7008	-26.5275
	0	3	-12.57116	2.01352	.000	-16.5243	-8.6180
	8	4	-47.38475	2.20073	.000	-51.7055	-43.0640
		5	-3.49982	3.61579	.333	-10.5987	3.5991
		0	5.80518	2.80189	.039	.3042	11.3061
1		1	-20.40944	2.00705	.000	-52.5130	-24.4259

Table C-68 POYE

Dependent	())	()).5	Mean Difference	61 L 5	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	7.93782	1.46914	.000	5.0535	10.8222
		3	8.82112	1.42844	.000	6.0167	11.6256
		4	-5.16142	1.54098	.001	-8.1868	-2.1360
	1	5	23.28950	2.42094	.000	18.5365	28.0425
		6	27.08700	1.92452	.000	23.3086	30.8654
		7	12.46155	1.45461	.000	9.6057	15.3174
		8	12.62827	1.66436	.000	9.3606	15.8959
		1	-7.93782	1.46914	.000	-10.8222	-5.0535
		3	.88330	1.05144	.401	-1.1810	2.9476
		4	-13.09924	1.19989	.000	-15.4550	-10.7435
	2	5	15.35168	2.21946	.000	10.9942	19.7092
		6	19.14918	1.66399	.000	15.8823	22.4161
		7	4.52373	1.08673	.000	2.3902	6.6573
		8	4.69044	1.35469	.001	2.0308	7.3501
		1 10000000	-8.82112	1.42844	.000	-11.6256	-6.0167
		2	88330	1.05144	.401	-2.9476	1.1810
		4	-13.98254	1.14970	.000	-16.2397	-11.7253
	3	5	14.46838	2.19274	.000	10.1634	18.7734
		6	18.26588	1.62817	.000	15.0693	21.4625
		1	3.64043	1.03104	.000	1.6162	5.6647
		8	5.80714	1.51044	.004	1.2343	6.3799
		1	5.10142	1.54098	.001	2.1300	0.1000
		2	13.09924	1.19969	.000	10.7435	15.4550
	4	5	28 45002	2 26766	.000	23 0088	32 9030
	4	6	32 24842	1 72776	.000	28.8563	35.6405
		7	17 62297	1.12770	000	15 3022	19 9437
		8	17 78969	1.10203	000	14 9777	20 6017
dTEC	-	1	-23,28950	2,42094	.000	-28.0425	-18.5365
		2	-15.35168	2.21946	.000	-19.7092	-10.9942
		3	-14.46838	2.19274	.000	-18.7734	-10.1634
	5	4	-28.45092	2.26766	.000	-32.9030	-23.9988
		6	3.79750	2.54390	.136	-1.1969	8.7919
		7	-10.82795	2.20987	.000	-15.1666	-6.4893
		8	-10.66124	2.35324	.000	-15.2814	-6.0411
		1	-27.08700*	1.92452	.000	-30.8654	-23.3086
		2	-19.14918	1.66399	.000	-22.4161	-15.8823
		3	-18.26588	1.62817	.000	-21.4625	-15.0693
	6	4	-32.24842	1.72776	.000	-35.6405	-28.8563
		1985	-3.79750	2.54390	.136	-8.7919	1.1969
		7	-14.62545	1.65118	.000	-17.8672	-11.3837
		8	-14.45874	1.83864	.000	-18.0685	-10.8489
			-12.46155	1.45461	.000	-15.3174	-9.6057
		2	-4.52373	1.08673	.000	-6.6573	-2.3902
		3	-3.64043	1.03104	.000	-5.6647	-1.6162
	7	4	-17.62297	1.18205	.000	-19.9437	-15.3022
		5	10.82795	2.20987	.000	6.4893	15.1666
		6	14.62545	1.65118	.000	11.3837	17.8672
		8	.16671	1.33892	.901	-2.4620	2.7954
		1	-12.62827	1.66436	.000	-15.8959	-9.3606
		2	-4.69044	1.35469	.001	-7.3501	-2.0308
		3	-3.80714	1.31044	.004	-6.3799	-1.2343
	8	4	-17.78969	1.43229	.000	-20.6017	-14.9777
		5	10.66124	2.35324	.000	6.0411	15.2814
		6	14.45874	1.83864	.000	10.8489	18.0685
		7	16671	1.33892	.901	-2.7954	2.4620

Table C-69 RIOP

Dependent	())		Mean Difference	61 L 5	<i>c</i> :	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	1.08431	1.97697	.584	-2.7971	4.9657
		3	2.18140	1.92296	.257	-1.5940	5.9568
		4	-30.76008	2.07237	.000	-34.8288	-26.6914
	1	5	-18.36336	3.24376	.000	-24.7319	-11.9949
		6	2.29024	2.58242	.375	-2.7798	7.3603
		7	-8.88175	1.95769	.000	-12.7253	-5.0382
		8	3.29858	2.23631	.141	-1.0920	7.6891
		1	-1.08431	1.97697	.584	-4.9657	2.7971
		3	1.09708	1.40525	.435	-1.6618	3.8560
		4	-31.84439	1.60364	.000	-34.9928	-28.6960
	2	5	-19.44768	2.96630	.000	-25.2714	-13.6239
		6	1.20592	2.22392	.588	-3.1603	5.5722
		7	-9.96607	1.45240	.000	-12.8176	-7.1146
		8	2.21426	1.81053	.222	-1.3404	5.7689
		1	-2.18140	1.92296	.257	-5.9568	1.5940
		2	-1.09708	1.40525	.435	-3.8560	1.6618
		4	-32.94147	1.53656	.000	-35.9582	-29.9247
	3	5	-20.54476	2.93058	.000	-26.2984	-14.7911
		6	.10884	2.17604	.960	-4.1634	4.3811
		7	-11.06315	1.37798	.000	-13.7686	-8.3577
		8	1.11/18	1.75140	.524	-2.3213	4.5557
		1	30.76008	2.07237	.000	26.6914	34.8288
		2	51.84459	1.60364	.000	28.6960	34.9928
	4	5	52.94147	1.53656	.000	29.9247	35.9582
	4	5	12.J9071 33.05031	2 30013	.000	20 5140	27 5920
		7	21 87832	1 57980	.000	18 7767	24 9800
		8	34.05866	1 91424	000	30 3004	37 8169
dTEC		1	18 36336	3 24376	000	11 9949	24 7319
		2	19.44768	2.96630	.000	13.6239	25.2714
		3	20.54476	2.93058	.000	14.7911	26.2984
	5	4	-12.39671	3.03071	.000	-18.3469	-6.4465
		6	20.65360	3.39991	.000	13.9785	27.3287
		7	9.48161	2.95348	.001	3.6830	15.2802
		8	21.66194	3.14509	.000	15.4872	27.8367
		1	-2.29024	2.58242	.375	-7.3603	2.7798
		2	-1.20592	2.22392	.588	-5.5722	3.1603
		3	10884	2.17604	.960	-4.3811	4.1634
	6	4	-33.05031	2.30913	.000	-37.5839	-28.5168
		1985 5 1 - 6 - 6 - 6	-20.65360	3.39991	.000	-27.3287	-13.9785
		7	-11.17199 [*]	2.20679	.000	-15.5046	-6.8394
	-	8	1.00834	2.45733	.682	-3.8162	5.8328
			8.88175	1.95769	.000	5.0382	12.7253
		2	9.96607	1.45240	.000	7.1146	12.8176
		3	11.06315	1.37798	.000	8.3577	13.7686
	7	4	-21.87832	1.57980	.000	-24.9800	-18.7767
		5	-9.48161	2.95348	.001	-15.2802	-3.6830
		6	11.17199	2.20679	.000	6.8394	15.5046
		8	12.18033	1.78946	.000	8.6671	15.6936
		1	-3.29858	2.23631	.141	-7.6891	1.0920
		2	-2.21426	1.81053	.222	-5.7689	1.3404
		3	-1.11718	1.75140	.524	-4.5557	2.3213
	8	4	-34.05866	1.91424	.000	-37.8169	-30.3004
		5	-21.66194	3.14509	.000	-27.8367	-15.4872
		0	-1.00834	2.45/33	.682	-5.8328	3.8162
1		1	-12.18033	1.78946	.000	-15.6936	-8.6671

Table C-70 SCH2

Dependent	(1) 1 5 +	(1) = +++	Mean Difference	Chil Francis	<u></u>	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-9.18403	3.43692	.008	-15.9317	-2.4363
		3	-32.39930 [*]	3.34171	.000	-38.9601	-25.8385
		4	5.94261	3.60500	.100	-1.1351	13.0203
	1	5	12.40188	5.66357	.029	1.2826	23.5212
		6	21.19513	4.50225	.000	12.3559	30.0344
		7	2.88222	3.40293	.397	-3.7988	9.5632
		8	-8.56134	3.89362	.028	-16.2057	9170
		1	9.18403	3.43692	.008	2.4363	15.9317
		3	-23.21527	2.45976	.000	-28.0445	-18.3860
	<u>^</u>	4	15.12664	2.80703	.000	9.6156	20.6377
	2	5	21.58591	5.19225	.000	11.3920	31.7799
		6	30.37916	3.89277	.000	22.7365	38.0218
			12.06625	2.54230	.000	7.0749	17.0576
		8	.62269	3.16918	.844	-5.5994	6.8448
		2	23 21527	2 45976	.000	23.0303	28 0445
		2	23.21327	2.43970	.000	33.0614	13 6224
	3	4	J0.J4191	5 1 2072	.000	34 7300	54 8724
	5	6	53 501110	3.80897	.000	16 1163	61 0726
		7	35 28152	2 41204	000	30 5460	40.0171
		8	23.83796	3.06567	000	17 8191	29.8568
		1	-5 94261	3 60500	100	-13 0203	1 1351
		2	-15.12664	2.80703	.000	-20.6377	-9.6156
		3	-38.34191	2.68961	.000	-43.6224	-33.0614
	4	5	6.45927	5.30500	.224	-3.9560	16.8746
		6	15.25252	4.04194	.000	7.3170	23.1881
		7	-3.06040	2.76531	.269	-8.4895	2.3687
		8	-14.50395	3.35072	.000	-21.0824	-7.9255
diec		1 00	-12.40188	5.66357	.029	-23.5212	-1.2826
		2	-21.58591	5.19225	.000	-31.7799	-11.3920
		3	-44.80118	5.12972	.000	-54.8724	-34.7300
	5	4	-6.45927	5.30500	.224	-16.8746	3.9560
		6	8.79326	5.95124	.140	-2.8908	20.4773
		7	-9.51966	5.16981	.066	-19.6696	.6302
		8	-20.96321	5.50521	.000	-31.7716	-10.1548
		1	-21.19513	4.50225	.000	-30.0344	-12.3559
		2	-30.37916	3.89277	.000	-38.0218	-22.7365
		3	-53.59444	3.80897	.000	-61.0726	-46.1163
	6	4	-15.25252	4.04194	.000	-23.1881	-7.3170
			-8.79326	5.95124	.140	-20.4773	2.8908
		q (-18.31292	3.86279	.000	-25.8967	-10.7291
	- 0.	8	-29.75647	4.30134	.000	-38.2013	-21.3116
		1	-2.88222	3.40293	.397	-9.5632	3.7988
		3	-12.0002J	2,54250	.000	-17.0570	-1.0149
	7	3	3.06040	2.41204	269	-40.0171	-50.5400
	1	5	9 51966	5 16981	.209	-2.5007	19 6696
		6	18 31292	3.86279	000	10 7291	25.8967
		8	-11.44355	3.13229	.000	-17.5932	-5.2939
		1	8.56134	3.89362	.028	.9170	16.2057
		2	62269	3.16918	.844	-6.8448	5.5994
		- 3	-23.83796	3.06567	.000	-29.8568	-17.8191
	8	4	14.50395	3.35072	.000	7.9255	21.0824
	-	5	20.96321*	5.50521	.000	10.1548	31.7716
		6	29.75647	4.30134	.000	21.3116	38.2013
		7	11.44355	3.13229	.000	5.2939	17.5932

Table C-71 SCUB

Dependent	()	()	Mean Difference	61 L 5		95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	-17.61754	2.28509	.000	-22.1039	-13.1312
		3	-23.56099	2.22342	.000	-27.9263	-19.1957
		4	-12.27802	2.39684	.000	-16.9838	-7.5723
	1	5	3.27085	3.76552	.385	-4.1221	10.6638
		6	-2.50673	3.01687	.406	-8.4298	3.4163
		7	-16.00286	2.26249	.000	-20.4449	-11.5609
		8	-13.11275	2.59739	.000	-18.2122	-8.0133
		1	17.61754 [*]	2.28509	.000	13.1312	22.1039
		3	-5.94346	1.63763	.000	-9.1586	-2.7283
		4	5.33952	1.86630	.004	1.6754	9.0037
	2	5	20.88839	3.45215	.000	14.1107	27.6661
		6	15.11081	2.61528	.000	9.9762	20.2454
		7	1.61467	1.69029	.340	-1.7039	4.9333
		8	4.50479	2.11770	.034	.3471	8.6625
		1	23.56099	2.22342	.000	19.1957	27.9263
		2	5.94346	1.63763	.000	2.7283	9.1586
		4	11.28298	1.79026	.000	7.7681	14.7978
	3	5	26.83185	3.41164	.000	20.1337	33.5300
		6	21.05427	2.56157	.000	16.0251	26.0834
		7	7.55813	1.60594	.000	4.4052	10.7111
		8	10.44825	2.05100	.000	6.4215	14.4750
		1	12.27802	2.39684	.000	7.5723	16.9838
		2	-5.33952	1.86630	.004	-9.0037	-1.6754
		3	-11.28298	1.79026	.000	-14.7978	-7.7681
	4	5	15.54887	3.52712	.000	8.6240	22.4737
		6	9.77129	2.71347	.000	4.4439	15.0987
		7	-3.72485	1.83856	.043	-7.3345	1152
dTEC		8	83473	2.23782	.709	-5.2283	3.5588
uice		1	-3.27085	3.76552	.385	-10.6638	4.1221
		2	-20.88839	3.45215	.000	-27.6661	-14.1107
		3	-26.83185	3.41164	.000	-33.5300	-20.1337
	5	4	-15.54887	3.52712	.000	-22.4737	-8.6240
		6	-5.77758	3.97457	.146	-13.5809	2.0258
		7	-19.27372	3.43724	.000	-26.0221	-12.5253
		8	-16.38360	3.66635	.000	-23.5818	-9.1854
		1	2.50673	3.01687	.406	-3.4163	8.4298
		2	-15.11081	2.61528	.000	-20.2454	-9.9762
		3	-21.05427	2.56157	.000	-26.0834	-16.0251
	6	4	-9.77129	2.71347	.000	-15.0987	-4.4439
		5	5.77758	3.97457	.146	-2.0258	13.5809
		q 7	-13.49613	2.59556	.000	-18.5920	-8.4002
		8	-10.60602	2.89214	.000	-16.2842	-4.9278
		1	16.00286	2.26249	.000	11.5609	20.4449
			-1.61467	1.69029	.340	-4.9333	1.7039
		3	-7.55813	1.60594	.000	-10.7111	-4.4052
	1	4	3.72485	1.83856	.043	.1152	7.3345
		5	19.27372	3.43724	.000	12.5253	26.0221
		6	13.49613	2.59556	.000	8.4002	18.5920
		8	2.89011	2.09329	.168	-1.2197	6.9999
		1	13.11275	2.59739	.000	8.0133	18.2122
		2	-4.50479	2.11770	.034	-8.6625	3471
		3	-10.44825	2.05100	.000	-14.4750	-6.4215
	8	4	.83473	2.23782	.709	-3.5588	5.2283
		5	16.38360	3.66635	.000	9.1854	23.5818
		6	10.60602	2.89214	.000	4.9278	16.2842
1		7	-2.89011	2.09329	.168	-6.9999	1.2197

Table C-72 UNSA

Dependent	()		Mean Difference			95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-I)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	5.57423	3.03287	.066	3802	11.5287
		3	9.88729	2.95102	.001	4.0935	15.6811
		4	-19.72470	3.18119	.000	-25.9704	-13.4790
	1	5	31.83418	4.99775	.000	22.0220	41.6463
		6	27.78256	4.00411	.000	19.9212	35.6439
		7	-6.87879	3.00287	.022	-12.7744	9832
		8	29.40234	3.44736	.000	22.6341	36.1706
		1	-5.57423	3.03287	.066	-11.5287	.3802
		3	4.31306	2.17352	.048	.0457	8.5804
		4	-25.29893	2.47703	.000	-30.1621	-20.4357
	2	5	26.25995	4.58184	.000	17.2644	35.2555
		6	22.20833	3.47111	.000	15.3935	29.0232
			-12.45302	2.24343	.000	-16.8576	-8.0485
		8	23.82811	2.81070	.000	18.3098	29.3464
		1	-9.00729	2.95102	.001	-15.0011	-4.0955
		2	-4.51506	2.17352	.048	-0.5004	0457
	3	4	-29.01200	4.52807	.000	-54.2770	-24.9470
	J	6	17 80527	3 30082	.000	11.2203	24 5702
		7	-16 76608	2 13147	000	-20.9508	-12 5813
		8	19 51504	2.15147	000	-20.9500	24 8595
		1	19.72470	3.18119	.000	13.4790	25.9704
		2	25.29893	2.47703	.000	20.4357	30.1621
		3	29.61200	2.37610	.000	24.9470	34.2770
	4	5	51.55888	4.68133	.000	42.3679	60.7498
		6	47.50726	3.60142	.000	40.4365	54.5780
		7	12.84591	2.44021	.000	8.0550	17.6368
inte é		8	49.12704	2.97013	.000	43.2957	54.9583
diec		1	-31.83418	4.99775	.000	-41.6463	-22.0220
		2	-26.25995	4.58184	.000	-35.2555	-17.2644
		3	-21.94689	4.52807	.000	-30.8369	-13.0569
	5	4	-51.55888	4.68133	.000	-60.7498	-42.3679
		6	-4.05162	5.27521	.443	-14.4085	6.3053
		7	-38.71297	4.56204	.000	-47.6697	-29.7562
		8	-2.43184	4.86613	.617	-11.9856	7.1219
		1	-27.78256	4.00411	.000	-35.6439	-19.9212
		2	-22.20833	3.47111	.000	-29.0232	-15.3935
		3	-17.89527	3.39982	.000	-24.5702	-11.2203
	6	4	-47.50726	3.60142	.000	-54.5780	-40.4365
			4.05162	5.27521	.443	-6.3053	14.4085
		1	-54.00155	3.44493	.000	-41.4248	-27.8979
		0	1.01970	3.03030	.073	-5.9105	9.1301
		1	12 45302	2 24343	.022	.9032	12.7744
		3	16 76608	2 13147	000	12 5813	20.9508
	7	4	-12 84591	2.13141	000	-17 6368	-8.0550
		5	38.71297	4.56204	.000	29.7562	47.6697
		6	34.66135	3.44493	.000	27.8979	41.4248
		8	36.28113	2.77830	.000	30.8264	41.7358
		1	-29.40234	3.44736	.000	-36.1706	-22.6341
		2	-23.82811	2.81070	.000	-29.3464	-18.3098
		3	-19.51504	2.72217	.000	-24.8595	-14.1706
	8	4	-49.12704	2.97013	.000	-54.9583	-43.2957
		5	2.43184	4.86613	.617	-7.1219	11.9856
		6	-1.61978	3.83856	.673	-9.1561	5.9165
		7	-36.28113	2.77830	.000	-41.7358	-30.8264

Table C-73 YALP

Dependent	(I) F = = t	(1) East	Mean Difference	Chall France	Ci-	95% Confide	ence Interval
Variable	(I) LFeat	(J) LFeat	(L-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
		2	13.66198	1.96454	.000	9.8019	17.5220
		3	27.76054	2.24067	.000	23.3580	32.1631
	1	6	10.08680	2.79641	.000	4.5923	15.5813
		7	2.59925	1.94511	.182	-1.2226	6.4211
		8	14.53395	2.22560	.000	10.1610	18.9069
		1	-13.66198	1.96454	.000	-17.5220	-9.8019
		3	14.09856	1.82999	.000	10.5029	17.6942
	2	6	-3.57518	2.47955	.150	-8.4471	1.2968
		7	-11.06273	1.45318	.000	-13.9180	-8.2074
		8	.87196	1.81150	.630	-2.6874	4.4313
		1	-27.76054	2.24067	.000	-32.1631	-23.3580
		2	-14.09856	1.82999	.000	-17.6942	-10.5029
	3	6	-17.67374	2.70358	.000	-22.9859	-12.3616
		7	-25.16130	1.80911	.000	-28.7159	-21.6066
ATEC		8	-13.22660	2.10777	.000	-17.3681	-9.0851
UILC		1	-10.08680	2.79641	.000	-15.5813	-4.5923
		2	3.57518	2.47955	.150	-1.2968	8.4471
	6	3	17.67374	2.70358	.000	12.3616	22.9859
		7	-7.48755	2.46419	.003	-12.3293	-2.6458
		8	4.44714	2.69110	.099	8405	9.7348
		1	-2.59925	1.94511	.182	-6.4211	1.2226
		2	11.06273	1.45318	.000	8.2074	13.9180
	7	3	25.16130	1.80911	.000	21.6066	28.7159
		6	7.48755	2.46419	.003	2.6458	12.3293
		8	11.93470	1.79041	.000	8.4168	15.4526
		1	-14.53395	2.22560	.000	-18.9069	-10.1610
		2	87196	1.81150	.630	-4.4313	2.6874
	8	3	13.22660	2.10777	.000	9.0851	17.3681
		6	-4.44714	2.69110	.099	-9.7348	.8405
		7	-11.93470	1.79041	.000	-15.4526	-8.4168



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

APPENDIX D

FULL MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESULTS FROM SECTION 5.3

Event I

Table D-1 BAIE

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.688 ^a	.474	.419	31.84343					

	ANOVÃ								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	78492.834	9	8721.426	8.601	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	87204.356	86	1014.004					
	Total	165697.190	95						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE1

				coefficien	1.3			
Mode	el	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(C	onstant)	107.960	47.199		2.287	.025	14.132	201.789
	В	2.819	1.914	.280	1.473	.145	987	6.625
	B _×	1.227	1.072	.122	1.145	.255	904	3.359
	By	1.741	.602	.288	2.890	.005	.543	2.939
1	Bz	-2.187	.782	284	-2.798	.006	-3.741	633
1	Tp	.000	.000	333	-2.787	.007	.000	.000
	Np	2.209	1.126	.323	1.962	.053	029	4.447
	$V_{\rm p}$	207	.108	221	-1.918	.058	421	.007
	β	-5.569	11.551	067	482	.631	-28.532	17.394
	Dst	.605	.334	.295	1.815	.073	058	1.269

Coefficients^ª

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE1

Table D-2 BOGT

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.551 ^ª	.304	.231	30.53367

b

		ANC	VA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	35030.856	9	3892.317	4.175	.000 ^a
1	Residual	80178.217	86	932.305		
	Total	115209.073	95			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT1

			coefficients				
Model	Unsta Coe	ndardized fficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	67.781	45.258		1.498	.138	-22.188	157.751
В	3.475	1.836	.414	1.893	.062	174	7.124
B _x	.973	1.028	.116	.946	.347	-1.071	3.016
By	2.136	.578	.424	3.698	.000	.988	3.285
Bz	-1.952	.750	303	-2.604	.011	-3.442	462
1 Tp	.000	.000	180	-1.309	.194	.000	.000
Np	266	1.079	047	246	.806	-2.411	1.880
$V_{\rm p}$	144	.103	185	-1.395	.167	350	.061
β	3.138	11.076	.045	.283	.778	-18.881	25.157
Dst	.325	.320	.189	1.015	.313	311	.961

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT1

Table D-3 BRAZ

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.597 ^a	.356	.289	22.34448					

	ANOVA ^b								
Mc	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	23752.190	9	2639.132	5.286	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	42937.706	86	499.276					
	Total	66689.896	95						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: BRAZ1

				coemeiene	5			
Mode	<u>e</u> l	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	109.431	33.120		3.304	.001	43.592	175.271
	В	1.233	1.343	.193	.918	.361	-1.437	3.904
	B _x	-1.188	.752	187	-1.579	.118	-2.684	.308
	By	201	.423	052	476	.635	-1.042	.639
	Bz	-1.262	.549	258	-2.301	.024	-2.352	171
1	Tp	-6.632E-6	.000	009	069	.945	.000	.000
	Np	354	.790	082	449	.655	-1.925	1.216
	Vp	263	.076	442	-3.471	.001	413	112
	β	15.377	8.105	.291	1.897	.061	736	31.490
	Dst	.125	.234	.096	.534	.594	340	.590

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BRAZ1

Table D-4 COPO

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.721 ^a	.519	.469	20.62632

b

	ANOVA ^b								
Mc	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	39545.089	9	4393.899	10.328	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	36588.293	86	425.445					
	Total	76133.382	95						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: COPO1

Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	180.141	30.573		5.892	.000	119.364	240.917
В	5.254	1.240	.769	4.237	.000	2.789	7.719
B _×	-1.921	.695	282	-2.766	.007	-3.302	540
By	1.760	.390	.430	4.511	.000	.984	2.536
Bz	-1.474	.506	282	-2.911	.005	-2.480	467
1 T _p	8.270E-5	.000	.106	.930	.355	.000	.000
Np	1.339	.729	.289	1.837	.070	110	2.789
Vp	461	.070	725	-6.591	.000	600	322
β	986	7.482	017	132	.896	-15.860	13.889
Dst	.509	.216	.366	2.357	.021	.080	.939

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: COPO1

Table D-5

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.611 ^a	.373	.297	27.13486				

		ANO'	VA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	32430.935	9	3603.437	4.894	.000 [°]
1	Residual	54486.227	74	736.300		
	Total	86917.162	83			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: IQQE1

				coefficients				
		Unstanc Coeffi	dardized cients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confid	ence Interval for B
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	98.638	58.817		1.677	.098	-18.558	215.834
	В	.668	2.047	.090	.326	.745	-3.411	4.748
	B _×	-3.798	1.400	448	-2.713	.008	-6.587	-1.009
	By	.483	.586	.108	.825	.412	685	1.651
	Bz	772	.717	136	-1.076	.285	-2.200	.657
	$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	.439	2.470	.016	.000	.001
	Np	.697	1.012	.139	.689	.493	-1.320	2.714
	Vp	265	.142	381	-1.862	.067	548	.019
	β	-10.797	11.939	165	904	.369	-34.586	12.992
	Dst	868	.363	562	-2.393	.019	-1.590	145

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: IQQE1

Table D-6 LAMT

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.698 ^a	.487	.434	19.19697

b

		ANO'	VA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	30124.727	9	3347.192	9.083	.000 [°]
1	Residual	31693.040	86	368.524		
	Total	61817.766	95			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: LAMT1

			coefficients				
	Unstand Coeff	dardized icients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confide	ence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	-49.875	28.454		-1.753	.083	-106.440	6.690
В	3.569	1.154	.580	3.093	.003	1.275	5.864
B _x	266	.646	043	411	.682	-1.551	1.019
By	-1.024	.363	277	-2.820	.006	-1.746	302
Bz	-1.668	.471	354	-3.540	.001	-2.605	731
Tp	8.711E-5	.000	.124	1.052	.296	.000	.000
Np	888	.679	212	-1.308	.194	-2.237	.462
$V_{ m p}$.052	.065	.091	.801	.425	077	.181
β	11.217	6.964	.221	1.611	.111	-2.627	25.060
Dst	.665	.201	.530	3.309	.001	.266	1.065

Coefficientsa

a. Dependent Variable: LAMT1

Table D-7 SCH2

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.887 ^a	.787	.765	30.41249

b

		AN	OVA			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	294294.966	9	32699.441	35.354	.000 [°]
1	Residual	79543.077	86	924.919		
	Total	373838.043	95			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: SCH21

			coentcients				
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confid	ence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	14.066	45.078		.312	.756	-75.546	103.679
В	2.170	1.828	.143	1.187	.239	-1.465	5.805
B _×	1.243	1.024	.082	1.214	.228	792	3.279
By	.859	.575	.095	1.494	.139	284	2.003
Bz	-2.415	.747	208	-3.235	.002	-3.899	931
$T_{\rm p}$	9.390E-5	.000	.054	.716	.476	.000	.000
Np	5.875	1.075	.572	5.464	.000	3.737	8.012
$V_{ m p}$	147	.103	104	-1.425	.158	352	.058
β	-16.396	11.032	131	-1.486	.141	-38.328	5.535
Dst	441	.319	143	-1.384	.170	-1.074	.193

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH21

Table D-8 SG05

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.758 ^a	.575	.530	17.80456

b

		AN	OVA ^b			
	F	Sig.				
	Regression	36862.515	9	4095.835	12.921	.000 ^a
1	Residual	27262.200	86	317.002		
	Total	64124.714	95			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Tem, Bx, Speed, Bwai, betta, Density, Bmg

b. Dependent Variable: SG051

			coefficients				
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confid	ence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	-8.245	26.390		312	.755	-60.708	44.217
В	3.911	1.070	.624	3.654	.000	1.783	6.039
B _×	1.874	.600	.300	3.126	.002	.683	3.066
By	1.443	.337	.384	4.285	.000	.774	2.113
Bz	826	.437	172	-1.890	.062	-1.695	.043
$T_{\rm p}$	-9.052E-5	.000	126	-1.179	.242	.000	.000
Np	457	.629	107	726	.470	-1.708	.794
Vp	048	.060	082	788	.433	167	.072
β	14.598	6.459	.282	2.260	.026	1.759	27.437
Dst	.064	.187	.050	.345	.731	307	.435

Coefficientsa

a. Dependent Variable: SG05

Table D-9 UNSA

			Model Summary	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.759 ^a	.577	.514	13.25038

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	14592.357	9	1621.373	9.235	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	10709.924	61	175.573					
	Total	25302.281	70						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bz, Bwai, betta, Bx, Tem, Bmg, Density

b. Dependent Variable: UNSA1

Model	Unstanc Coeffi	lardized cients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confide	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
(Constant)	186.554	39.038		4.779	.000	108.492	264.615		
Bmg	449	1.435	075	313	.755	-3.318	2.419		
Bx	-2.253	.956	432	-2.357	.022	-4.165	342		
Bwai	799	.444	224	-1.801	.077	-1.686	.088		
Bz	-1.110	.494	291	-2.248	.028	-2.098	123		
Tem	-3.395E-5	.000	062	298	.766	.000	.000		
Density	1.270	1.085	.295	1.170	.247	901	3.440		
Speed	371	.094	886	-3.949	.000	559	183		
betta	.337	8.274	.008	.041	.968	-16.208	16.882		
Dst	.507	.275	.298	1.844	.070	043	1.056		

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA1

Event II Table D-10

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.919 ^a	.844	.822	30.95143

	ANOVA ^b								
Model Sum of Squares df Mean Square F									
1	Regression	322534.196	9	35837.133	37.409	.000 [°]			
	Residual	59395.444	62	957.991					
	Total	381929.640	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE2

Coefficients								
Model	Unstand Coeffi	lardized cients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidenc	Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	-21.410	41.449		517	.607	-104.265	61.446	
В	.686	1.952	.142	.351	.727	-3.216	4.587	
B _×	2.282	2.283	.379	1.000	.321	-2.281	6.845	
By	2.167	.708	.318	3.062	.003	.753	3.582	
Bz	-3.170	1.193	382	-2.656	.010	-5.555	785	
T _p	-5.409E-6	.000	019	086	.932	.000	.000	
Np	13.136	4.772	.816	2.753	.008	3.597	22.676	
Vp	028	.095	062	300	.765	218	.161	
β	-42.058	16.088	416	-2.614	.011	-74.217	-9.898	
Dst	496	.293	347	-1.693	.096	-1.081	.090	

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE2

Coofficient

Table D-11 BOGT

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.724 ^a	.524	.455	31.23835

		ANC	VA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	66525.749	9	7391.750	7.575	.000 ^a
1	Residual	60501.737	62	975.834		
	Total	127027.486	71			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT2

Model	Unsta Coe	andardized efficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confide	onfidence Interval for B		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
(Constant)	72.607	41.833		1.736	.088	-11.017	156.230		
В	-1.023	1.970	368	519	.605	-4.961	2.914		
B _×	.793	2.304	.228	.344	.732	-3.813	5.398		
By	2.284	.714	.581	3.197	.002	.856	3.712		
Bz	233	1.204	049	194	.847	-2.640	2.174		
1 T _p	9.844E-5	.000	.605	1.555	.125	.000	.000		
N _p	-5.268	4.816	567	-1.094	.278	-14.896	4.359		
Vp	.044	.096	.168	.464	.644	147	.235		
β	-35.054	16.237	601	-2.159	.035	-67.512	-2.597		
Dst	.418	.296	.507	1.415	.162	173	1.009		

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT2

Table D-12 BRAZ

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.463 ^a	.214	.100	27.54303

		ANC	VA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	12804.283	9	1422.698	1.875	.072 ^a
1	Residual	47034.346	62	758.618		
	Total	59838.628	71			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BRAZ2

			coerneie	105			
	Unstanc Coeffi	dardized icients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confid	dence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	13.878	36.885		.376	.708	-59.853	87.610
В	-1.390	1.737	729	800	.427	-4.861	2.082
B _×	-2.215	2.031	930	-1.090	.280	-6.275	1.846
By	290	.630	108	461	.646	-1.550	.969
Bz	489	1.062	149	461	.647	-2.612	1.633
Tp	4.656E-5	.000	.417	.834	.407	.000	.000
Np	-3.777	4.247	593	889	.377	-12.266	4.712
Vp	.073	.084	.404	.867	.389	095	.242
β	1.039	14.316	.026	.073	.942	-27.580	29.657
Dst	.361	.261	.638	1.385	.171	160	.882

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BRAZ2

Table D-13 COYQ

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.707 ^a	.500	.427	38.18983

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	90402.298	9	10044.700	6.887	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	90424.704	62	1458.463					
	Total	180827.002	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: COYQ2

	Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confiden B	ce Interval for
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	42.814	51.143		.837	.406	-59.419	145.046
В	.773	2.408	.233	.321	.749	-4.041	5.587
B _×	-1.536	2.816	371	545	.588	-7.166	4.094
By	-2.797	.873	596	-3.203	.002	-4.543	-1.052
Bz	2.481	1.472	.434	1.685	.097	462	5.424
T_{p}	-8.961E-5	.000	462	-1.158	.251	.000	.000
Np	9.409	5.888	.849	1.598	.115	-2.360	21.179
Vp	101	.117	322	866	.390	335	.132
β	-5.430	19.851	078	274	.785	-45.111	34.251
Dst	026	.361	026	071	.944	748	.697

Coefficients^ª

a. Dependent Variable: COYQ2

Table D-14 IQQE

	Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.732 ^a	.536	.469	30.20465			

	ANOVA ^b								
Мо	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
1	Regression	65315.699	9	7257.300	7.955	.000 [°]			
	Residual	56563.880	62	912.321					
	Total	121879.579	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: IQQE2

	Unstand Coeff	dardized ìcients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confide	ence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant	56.696	40.449		1.402	.166	-24.161	137.552
В	4.358	1.905	1.602	2.288	.026	.551	8.165
B _×	-1.304	2.228	384	585	.560	-5.757	3.149
By	-2.103	.691	546	-3.044	.003	-3.483	722
Bz	.248	1.164	.053	.213	.832	-2.080	2.575
$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	.677	1.762	.083	.000	.000
Np	-7.917	4.657	870	-1.700	.094	-17.226	1.392
Vp	093	.092	361	-1.009	.317	278	.091
β	10.277	15.700	.180	.655	.515	-21.106	41.661
Dst	.644	.286	.797	2.253	.028	.073	1.215

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: IQQE2

Table D-15 LAMT

Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.640 ^a	.409	.323	29.51661			

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	37396.356	9	4155.151	4.769	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	54016.278	62	871.230					
	Total	91412.634	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: LAMT2

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confide	nce Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	66.041	39.528		1.671	.100	-12.974	145.056
В	-1.617	1.861	686	869	.388	-5.337	2.104
B _×	.084	2.177	.029	.039	.969	-4.267	4.436
By	1.091	.675	.327	1.617	.111	258	2.440
Bz	.297	1.138	.073	.261	.795	-1.977	2.572
Tp	2.951E-5	.000	.214	.493	.624	.000	.000
Np	4.517	4.551	.573	.993	.325	-4.580	13.614
Vp	145	.090	647	-1.602	.114	325	.036
β	-21.984	15.342	445	-1.433	.157	-52.653	8.685
Dst	453	.279	647	-1.621	.110	-1.011	.105

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: LAMT2

Table D-16 PARC

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.754 ^a	.568	.505	37.34467				

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	113683.532	9	12631.504	9.057	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	86466.732	62	1394.625					
	Total	200150.264	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: PARC2

		Unstanc Coeffi	dardized cients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confide	ence Interval for B
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	-143.244	50.011		-2.864	.006	-243.215	-43.274
	В	-6.138	2.355	-1.761	-2.607	.011	-10.845	-1.431
	B _×	-5.294	2.754	-1.216	-1.922	.059	-10.800	.211
	By	-1.788	.854	362	-2.093	.040	-3.495	080
	Bz	186	1.440	031	129	.898	-3.063	2.692
	Tp	.000	.000	523	-1.412	.163	.000	.000
	Np	3.828	5.758	.328	.665	.509	-7.682	15.337
	Vp	.337	.114	1.017	2.948	.005	.108	.565
	β	6.647	19.411	.091	.342	.733	-32.156	45.449
	Dst	052	.353	050	147	.884	758	.654

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: PARC2

Table D-17 SCH2

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.833 ^a	.694	.650	27.50877

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	106553.737	9	11839.304	15.645	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	46917.422	62	756.733					
	Total	153471.159	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCH22

	connents								
	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	(Constant)	-36.023	36.839		978	.332	-109.663	37.616	
	В	.266	1.735	.087	.153	.879	-3.201	3.733	
1	B _×	4.331	2.029	1.136	2.135	.037	.276	8.387	
	By	1.477	.629	.342	2.349	.022	.220	2.735	
	Bz	254	1.060	048	240	.811	-2.374	1.866	
	Tp	-6.458E-5	.000	361	-1.158	.251	.000	.000	
	Np	12.486	4.241	1.223	2.944	.005	4.008	20.964	
	$V_{\rm p}$.018	.084	.063	.216	.830	150	.186	
	β	-13.492	14.299	211	944	.349	-42.075	15.090	
	Dst	663	.260	731	-2.548	.013	-1.183	143	

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH22

Table D-18 SCUB

Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.586 ^ª	.343	.246	31.38168				

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	31348.331	9	3483.148	3.537	.001 ^a				
1	Residual	60073.403	61	984.810						
	Total	91421.734	70							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB2

Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for E	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	-86.749	42.054		-2.063	.043	-170.840	-2.657
В	-4.116	1.981	-1.744	-2.078	.042	-8.078	155
B _×	-2.179	2.314	738	941	.350	-6.807	2.449
By	1.668	.719	.500	2.321	.024	.231	3.105
Bz	-2.128	1.213	522	-1.753	.085	-4.554	.299
T _p	2.180E-5	.000	.158	.342	.733	.000	.000
Np	-7.614	4.867	965	-1.565	.123	-17.346	2.118
$V_{\rm p}$.266	.096	1.183	2.769	.007	.074	.458
β	3.281	16.312	.066	.201	.841	-29.336	35.899
Dst	.270	.299	.385	.902	.370	328	.868

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB2
Table D-19 SG05

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.555 [°]	.308	.208	35.75513				

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	35342.604	9	3926.956	3.072	.004 ^a			
1	Residual	79262.625	62	1278.429					
	Total	114605.229	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SG052

Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Co	onstant)	-87.616	47.882		-1.830	.072	-183.331	8.098
В		-4.822	2.255	-1.828	-2.139	.036	-9.328	315
B _×		-2.974	2.637	903	-1.128	.264	-8.245	2.297
By		1.009	.818	.270	1.234	.222	626	2.643
Bz		-1.934	1.378	425	-1.403	.166	-4.689	.822
T_{p}		1.313E-5	.000	.085	.181	.857	.000	.000
Np		-5.652	5.513	641	-1.025	.309	-16.671	5.368
Vp		.255	.109	1.018	2.330	.023	.036	.474
β		.518	18.585	.009	.028	.978	-36.633	37.669
Dst	t	.237	.338	.302	.700	.486	439	.913

Coefficients^a

Table D-20 SCUB

	Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.586 ^a	.343	.246	31.38168			

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Мс	del	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	31348.331	9	3483.148	3.537	.001 ^a				
1	Residual	60073.403	61	984.810						
	Total	91421.734	70							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB2

Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	-86.749	42.054		-2.063	.043	-170.840	-2.657
В	-4.116	1.981	-1.744	-2.078	.042	-8.078	155
B _×	-2.179	2.314	738	941	.350	-6.807	2.449
By	1.668	.719	.500	2.321	.024	.231	3.105
Bz	-2.128	1.213	522	-1.753	.085	-4.554	.299
T _p	2.180E-5	.000	.158	.342	.733	.000	.000
Np	-7.614	4.867	965	-1.565	.123	-17.346	2.118
$V_{\rm p}$.266	.096	1.183	2.769	.007	.074	.458
β	3.281	16.312	.066	.201	.841	-29.336	35.899
Dst	.270	.299	.385	.902	.370	328	.868

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB2

Table D-21 SG05

Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.555 [°]	.308	.208	35.75513			

	ANOVA ^b									
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	35342.604	9	3926.956	3.072	.004 ^a				
1	Residual	79262.625	62	1278.429						
	Total	114605.229	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SG052

	Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	(Constant)	-87.616	47.882	-	-1.830	.072	-183.331	8.098	
	В	-4.822	2.255	-1.828	-2.139	.036	-9.328	315	
	B _×	-2.974	2.637	903	-1.128	.264	-8.245	2.297	
	By	1.009	.818	.270	1.234	.222	626	2.643	
1	Bz	-1.934	1.378	425	-1.403	.166	-4.689	.822	
	Tp	1.313E-5	.000	.085	.181	.857	.000	.000	
	Np	-5.652	5.513	641	-1.025	.309	-16.671	5.368	
	Vp	.255	.109	1.018	2.330	.023	.036	.474	
	β	.518	18.585	.009	.028	.978	-36.633	37.669	
	Dst	.237	.338	.302	.700	.486	439	.913	

Coefficients^a

Table D-22 UNSA

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.239 ^a	.057	080	42.86585				

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	6889.011	9	765.446	.417	.922 ^a			
1	Residual	113923.847	62	1837.481					
	Total	120812.858	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Den, Bye, Betta, Speed, Tem, Bx, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: UNSA

	Connicing								
Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B			
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
(Constant)	100.260	57.405		1.747	.086	-14.490	215.010		
В	3.024	2.703	1.117	1.119	.268	-2.379	8.427		
B _×	4.067	3.161	1.202	1.286	.203	-2.253	10.386		
By	-1.262	.980	329	-1.288	.203	-3.222	.697		
Bz	2.503	1.652	.536	1.515	.135	800	5.807		
T _p	8.037E-6	.000	.051	.093	.927	.000	.000		
Np	6.020	6.609	.665	.911	.366	-7.191	19.231		
Vp	139	.131	542	-1.062	.292	402	.123		
β	-22.719	22.281	400	-1.020	.312	-67.258	21.820		
Dst	378	.406	470	932	.355	-1.189	.433		

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA

Event III

Table D-23 BAIE

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.649 ^a	.421	.337	22.02561					

	ANOVA ^b									
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	21901.376	9	2433.486	5.016	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	30077.909	62	485.128						
	Total	51979.285	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Speed, Den, Bz, Bmag, Bye, Tem

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE3

Coefficients								
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	26.106	37.883		.689	.493	-49.622	101.834	
В	2.280	.974	.454	2.342	.022	.334	4.226	
B _x	629	1.340	077	469	.641	-3.307	2.050	
By	.817	.993	.179	.822	.414	-1.169	2.802	
Bz	-1.045	.784	222	-1.333	.187	-2.613	.522	
T _p	-2.081E-5	.000	087	369	.713	.000	.000	
Np	.215	1.395	.027	.154	.878	-2.573	3.004	
Vp	089	.069	249	-1.284	.204	226	.049	
β	-2.279	4.272	093	534	.596	-10.819	6.261	
Dst	.147	.194	.166	.762	.449	239	.534	

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE3

206

Table D-24 BOGT

Model Summary ^b								
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate								
1	.760 ^a	.577	.516	29.08375				

	ANOVA ^b									
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	71597.285	9	7955.254	9.405	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	52443.608	62	845.865						
	Total	124040.893	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Speed, Den, Bz, Bmag, Bye, Tem

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT3

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B			
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
(Constant)	-107.458	50.023		-2.148	.036	-207.453	-7.463		
В	1.479	1.285	.191	1.150	.254	-1.091	4.048		
B _×	-1.346	1.769	107	761	.450	-4.883	2.191		
By	-1.627	1.311	231	-1.240	.220	-4.248	.995		
Bz	-3.774	1.035	520	-3.646	.001	-5.843	-1.705		
T Tp	-4.367E-5	.000	118	587	.559	.000	.000		
Np	-2.683	1.842	217	-1.457	.150	-6.365	.999		
Vp	.262	.091	.477	2.877	.006	.080	.444		
β	-4.804	5.641	127	852	.398	-16.081	6.472		
Dst	.249	.256	.182	.976	.333	261	.760		

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT3

Table D-25 LAMT

	Model Summary ^b									
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate										
1	.782 ^a	.611	.555	13.40877						

	ANOVA ^b									
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	17530.278	9	1947.809	10.833	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	11147.300	62	179.795						
	Total	28677.578	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Speed, Den, Bz, Bmag, Bye, Tem

b. Dependent Variable: LAMT3

Model	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constan	t) 13.252	23.063		.575	.568	-32.850	59.354
В	445	.593	119	751	.456	-1.630	.740
B _×	.239	.816	.039	.293	.770	-1.391	1.870
By	902	.605	267	-1.493	.141	-2.111	.306
Bz	1.798	.477	.515	3.768	.000	.844	2.752
T Tp	-9.727E-6	.000	055	284	.778	.000	.000
Np	.019	.849	.003	.022	.982	-1.679	1.716
Vp	038	.042	146	916	.363	122	.045
β	-2.035	2.601	112	782	.437	-7.233	3.164
Dst	.206	.118	.312	1.746	.086	030	.441

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: LAMT3

Table D-26 SCH2

	Model Summary ^b								
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate									
1	.478 ^a	.229	.046	32.08454					

b

	ANOVA ^b									
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	11597.914	9	1288.657	1.252	.294 ^a				
1	Residual	39117.867	38	1029.418						
	Total	50715.781	47							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Tem, Bz, Bmag, Den, Bye, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: SCH23

_									
Model	Model	Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	52.470	74.724		.702	.487	-98.802	203.742	
	В	078	2.817	007	028	.978	-5.781	5.625	
	B _x	2.899	2.926	.297	.991	.328	-3.024	8.823	
	By	1.890	2.754	.230	.686	.497	-3.684	7.465	
1	Bz	-1.922	2.316	213	830	.412	-6.610	2.766	
1	Tp	-7.227E-5	.000	232	543	.590	.000	.000	
	$N_{\rm p}$	-1.197	2.550	140	469	.641	-6.358	3.965	
	$V_{\rm p}$	008	.157	023	051	.959	326	.310	
	β	-3.747	8.035	112	466	.644	-20.012	12.518	
	Dst	.472	.497	.376	.950	.348	534	1.478	

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH23

SCUB

Table D-27 SCUB

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.792 ^a	.627	.573	24.31375

	ANOVA ^b						
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
	Regression	61683.440	9	6853.716	11.594	.000 ^a	
1	Residual	36651.827	62	591.159			
	Total	98335.267	71				

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Speed, Den, Bz, Bmag, Bye, Tem

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB3

Coefficients								
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	t	t Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
1 (Constant)	-103.186	41.819		-2.467	.016	-186.781	-19.591	
В	1.064	1.075	.154	.990	.326	-1.084	3.212	
B _x	106	1.479	009	072	.943	-3.063	2.850	
By	-4.258	1.096	680	-3.884	.000	-6.450	-2.066	
Bz	-1.359	.865	210	-1.570	.122	-3.088	.371	
T _p	.000	.000	472	-2.503	.015	.000	.000	
Np	.020	1.540	.002	.013	.990	-3.058	3.098	
$V_{\rm p}$.222	.076	.453	2.912	.005	.069	.374	
β	8.224	4.716	.244	1.744	.086	-1.203	17.651	
Dst	.249	.214	.203	1.164	.249	178	.676	

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB3

Table D-28 SG05

	Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.806 ^a	.650	.599	21.20249			

	ANOVA ^b						
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
	Regression	51673.150	9	5741.461	12.772	.000 [°]	
1	Residual	27871.828	62	449.546			
	Total	79544.978	71				

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bx, Speed, Den, Bz, Bmag, Bye, Tem

b. Dependent Variable: SG053

Mo	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	-33.436	36.468		917	.363	-106.334	39.462
	В	.006	.937	.001	.006	.995	-1.867	1.879
	B _×	1.681	1.290	.166	1.303	.197	898	4.259
	By	-2.193	.956	390	-2.294	.025	-4.105	282
1	Bz	.636	.755	.109	.843	.403	873	2.145
T	$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	632	-3.457	.001	.000	.000
	Np	2.438	1.343	.246	1.815	.074	246	5.122
	$V_{\rm p}$.057	.066	.129	.858	.394	076	.190
	β	2.480	4.112	.082	.603	.549	-5.741	10.700
	Dst	056	.186	051	298	.766	428	.317

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SG053

Table D-29 UNSA

	Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.728 ^a	.530	.462	34.19861			

		ANC	VA ^b			
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	81885.912	9	9098.435	7.779	.000 ^a
1	Residual	72511.774	62	1169.545		
	Total	154397.686	71			
		TOTOLOGICAL STREET	1	and the second se		

Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	-105.738	58.821		-1.798	.077	-223.319	11.843
В	1.039	1.512	.120	.687	.495	-1.983	4.060
B _x	4.254	2.081	.302	2.044	.045	.095	8.413
By	1.726	1.542	.220	1.120	.267	-1.356	4.809
Bz	-2.499	1.217	308	-2.053	.044	-4.932	066
T _p	4.012E-5	.000	.097	.459	.648	.000	.000
Np	352	2.166	025	162	.871	-4.682	3.978
Vp	.221	.107	.361	2.065	.043	.007	.435
β	-3.683	6.633	087	555	.581	-16.943	9.577
Dst	205	.300	134	681	.499	805	.396

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA3

Event IV Table D-30 BAIE

Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate		
1	.933 ^a	.871	.852	35.64261		

	ANOVA ^b							
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
	Regression	531378.857	9	59042.095	46.475	.000 ^a		
1	Residual	78764.521	62	1270.395				
	Total	610143.378	71					

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE4

		Coefficie	nts ^a		
	Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		C:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-359.018	87.293		-4.113	.000
В	22.676	3.666	1.100	6.185	.000
B _x	-8.071	3.393	243	-2.379	.020
By	-2.055	2.315	103	888	.378
Bz	4.844	1.486	.251	3.260	.002
T _p	.000	.000	692	-5.766	.000
Np	-12.034	2.829	429	-4.253	.000
Vp	.484	.149	.587	3.248	.002
β	41.469	10.973	.362	3.779	.000
Dst	054	.243	025	221	.826

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE4

213

Table D-31 BOGT

Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.619 ^a	.384	.294	60.22907			

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	140030.725	9	15558.969	4.289	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	224907.551	62	3627.541					
	Total	364938.276	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT4

coentectus					
	Unstandardize	istandardized Coefficients Standardized Coefficients			Cia
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
(Constant)	-45.963	147.508		312	.756
В	8.078	6.196	.507	1.304	.197
B _×	-15.039	5.734	585	-2.623	.011
By	3.223	3.911	.209	.824	.413
Bz	2.072	2.510	.139	.825	.412
T T _p	-6.609E-5	.000	292	-1.116	.269
Np	-6.835	4.781	315	-1.430	.158
Vp	.139	.252	.218	.551	.584
β	3.903	18.542	.044	.210	.834
Dst	159	.410	094	387	.700

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT4

Table D-32 BRAZ

Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.727 ^a	.529	.460	39.82498			

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	110306.470	9	12256.274	7.728	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	98333.789	62	1586.029					
	Total	208640.259	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: BRAZ4

coefficients					
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		C:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-57.026	97.536		585	.561
В	-10.074	4.097	836	-2.459	.017
B _x	1.739	3.791	.089	.459	.648
By	-6.693	2.586	575	-2.588	.012
Bz	3.927	1.660	.349	2.366	.021
T_{p}	5.270E-5	.000	.308	1.345	.183
$N_{\rm p}$.284	3.162	.017	.090	.929
$V_{\rm p}$.060	.166	.124	.358	.722
β	-28.457	12.260	425	-2.321	.024
Dst	-1.898	.271	-1.490	-6.997	.000

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BRAZ4

CONO

Table D-33 CONO

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.900 ^a	.809	.782	22.20507

ANOVA^b

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	129897.779	9	14433.087	29.272	.000 ^a
1	Residual	30570.040	62	493.065		
	Total	160467.819	71			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: CONO4

	Unstandardize	andardized Coefficients Standardized Coefficients			<i>c</i> :
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1 (Constant)	-16.899	54.383		311	.757
В	7.004	2.284	.663	3.066	.003
B _×	-3.810	2.114	223	-1.803	.076
By	-2.902	1.442	284	-2.013	.049
Bz	135	.926	014	145	.885
Tp	-3.106E-5	.000	207	-1.422	.160
N _p	4.404	1.763	.306	2.498	.015
V _p	.001	.093	.002	.008	.994
β	-3.713	6.836	063	543	.589
Dst	.633	.151	.567	4.188	.000

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: CONO4

Table D-34 COPO

Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.670 ^a	.449	.369	19.19932				

	ANOVA ^b								
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	18625.884	9	2069.543	5.614	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	22854.062	62	368.614					
	Total	41479.946	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: COPO4

Coefficients^a Unstandardized Coefficients Standardized Coefficients Model t Sig. Beta В Std. Error -85.388 -1.816 .074 (Constant) 47.021 .478 В 1.411 1.975 .263 .714 $B_{\rm x}$ -3.526 1.828 -.407 -1.929 .058 1.247 .571 $B_{\rm y}$ -.710 -.137 -.569 2.355 .022 1.885 .800 .375 $B_{\rm z}$ -5.508E-5 -2.917 $T_{\rm p}$.000 -.723 .005 -2.113 1.524 -.289 -1.387 .171 $N_{\rm p}$.080 .744 1.993 .051 $V_{\rm p}$.160 β 4.085 5.911 .691 .492 .137 .141 .080 .131 .542 Dst .613

a. Dependent Variable: COPO4

217

Table D-35 GOGA

Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.879 ^a	.773	.741	20.92325			

	ANOVA ^b									
Model Sum of Squares df Mean Square					F	Sig.				
	Regression	92637.742	9	10293.082	23.512	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	27142.498	62	437.782						
	Total	119780.240	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: GOGA4

	coefficients					
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		ci
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-258.215	51.243		-5.039	.000
	В	2.855	2.152	.313	1.327	.189
	B _x	-1.781	1.992	121	894	.375
	By	-4.421	1.359	501	-3.254	.002
1	Bz	5.510	.872	.646	6.318	.000
T	$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	923	-5.809	.000
	$N_{\rm p}$	-3.647	1.661	293	-2.196	.032
	Vp	.386	.087	1.058	4.420	.000
	β	13.532	6.441	.267	2.101	.040
	Dst	383	.142	397	-2.685	.009

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: GOGA4

Table D-36 PARC

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.933 ^a	.871	.852	18.70173

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Model Sum of Squares df				Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	146295.444	9	16255.049	46.476	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	21684.795	62	349.755						
	Total	167980.239	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: PARC4

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	÷	C:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-53.668	45.803		-1.172	.246
В	6.361	1.924	.588	3.306	.002
B _x	-2.032	1.780	116	-1.141	.258
By	-5.341	1.214	511	-4.398	.000
Bz	3.558	.780	.352	4.565	.000
T_{p}	-5.099E-5	.000	332	-2.772	.007
Np	3.012	1.485	.204	2.029	.047
Vp	.071	.078	.164	.909	.367
β	-2.034	5.757	034	353	.725
Dst	.299	.127	.262	2.350	.022

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: PARC4

Table D-37 SCH2

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.939 ^a	.881	.864	42.00834					

b

	ANOVA ^b								
Model Sum of Squares df Mean Square F						Sig.			
Regression 812384.523		9	90264.947	51.150	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	109411.433	62	1764.701					
	Total	921795.956	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCH24

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c.
Mod	del	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	42.555	102.883		.414	.681
	В	10.558	4.321	.417	2.443	.017
	B _x	-11.818	3.999	289	-2.955	.004
	By	5.753	2.728	.235	2.109	.039
1	Bz	-7.076	1.751	299	-4.041	.000
Ţ	Tp	9.940E-5	.000	.277	2.406	.019
	Np	6.014	3.335	.174	1.803	.076
	Vp	174	.176	171	990	.326
	β	-21.721	12.933	154	-1.680	.098
	Dst	842	.286	314	-2.943	.005

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH24

Table D-38 SG05

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.837 ^a	.701	.656	30.54863

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	131390.472	9	14598.941	15.644	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	55993.132	60	933.219						
	Total	187383.604	69							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Speed, Bx, Betta, Bz, Density, Bye, Tem, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SG05

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
(Constant)	56.204	75.515		.744	.460
В	10.792	3.207	.929	3.366	.001
B _×	-5.420	2.915	287	-1.859	.068
By	-1.664	1.996	151	834	.408
Bz	-3.367	1.274	315	-2.642	.010
1 7 _p	-4.095E-5	.000	252	-1.356	.180
Np	3.243	2.437	.208	1.330	.188
Vp	088	.129	193	683	.497
β	1.963	9.457	.031	.208	.836
Dst	1.066	.210	.883	5.079	.000

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SG05

Event V

Table D-39 BAIE

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.557 ^a	.310	.210	10.24399						

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	2921.262	9	324.585	3.093	.004 ^a			
1	Residual	6506.236	62	104.939					
	Total	9427.499	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE5

υ.	Coefficients ^a									
	Model	Unstand Coeffi [,]	lardized cients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confiden	ce Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta		<u> </u>	Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
	(Constant)	45.122	11.674		3.865	.000	21.787	68.457		
	В	.201	.734	.090	.274	.785	-1.267	1.669		
	B _×	579	.946	202	611	.543	-2.470	1.313		
	By	.390	.524	.219	.745	.459	657	1.437		
1	Bz	020	.611	008	033	.974	-1.241	1.201		
Ţ	Tp	-1.639E-5	.000	151	569	.571	.000	.000		
	Np	480	.378	338	-1.270	.209	-1.236	.276		
	Vp	061	.022	559	-2.787	.007	105	017		
	β	078	2.130	008	037	.971	-4.336	4.181		
	Dst	.048	.137	.107	.349	.728	226	.322		

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE5

222

Table D-40 BOGT

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.814 ^a	.662	.613	28.13511				

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	96231.511	9	10692.390	13.508	.000 ^a			
1	Residual	49078.223	62	791.584					
	Total	145309.734	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT5

	connection								
	Model	Unstanc Coeffi	Unstandardized Coefficients		t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	(Constant)	111.544	32.062		3.479	.001	47.454	175.635	
	В	4.955	2.017	.563	2.457	.017	.923	8.987	
	B _×	-3.296	2.599	293	-1.268	.210	-8.492	1.900	
	By	1.136	1.439	.162	.789	.433	-1.740	4.012	
1	Bz	2.530	1.677	.239	1.508	.137	823	5.883	
1	$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	636	-3.430	.001	.000	.000	
	$N_{\rm p}$	277	1.039	050	267	.790	-2.353	1.799	
	Vp	297	.060	694	-4.945	.000	418	177	
	β	7.617	5.851	.208	1.302	.198	-4.078	19.313	
	Dst	-2.010	.377	-1.140	-5.335	.000	-2.763	-1.257	

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT5

Table D-41 BRAZ

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.456 ^a	.208	.093	18.19849				

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	5385.657	9	598.406	1.807	.085 [°]			
1	Residual	20533.471	62	331.185					
	Total	25919.129	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: BRAZ5

Model	Unstandard	ized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	-9.047	20.738		436	.664	-50.502	32.408
В	.405	1.305	.109	.311	.757	-2.203	3.013
B _x	2.944	1.681	.620	1.751	.085	417	6.305
By	1.050	.931	.355	1.128	.263	810	2.910
Bz	-1.891	1.085	422	-1.742	.086	-4.060	.278
T Tp	.000	.000	580	-2.042	.045	.000	.000
Np	.060	.672	.025	.089	.929	-1.283	1.402
Vp	.028	.039	.152	.708	.482	050	.105
β	4.231	3.784	.273	1.118	.268	-3.334	11.796
Dst	699	.244	938	-2.868	.006	-1.186	212

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: BRAZ5

Table D-42 CONO

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.766 ^a	.587	.526	9.39962				

	ANOVA ^b									
Мо	del	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
1	Regression	7769.957	9	863.329	9.771	.000 ^a				
	Residual	5477.879	62	88.353						
	Total	13247.836	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: CONO5

_	Coefficients								
	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t Si	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	(Constant)	45.805	10.711		4.276	.000	24.394	67.217	
	В	927	.674	349	-1.375	.174	-2.274	.420	
	B _x	.174	.868	.051	.201	.842	-1.562	1.910	
	By	.180	.481	.085	.375	.709	781	1.141	
1	Bz	-1.294	.560	404	-2.309	.024	-2.415	174	
1	Tp	1.556E-5	.000	.121	.589	.558	.000	.000	
	Np	.502	.347	.298	1.448	.153	191	1.196	
	$V_{\rm p}$	077	.020	597	-3.845	.000	117	037	
	β	-4.279	1.955	387	-2.189	.032	-8.186	372	
	Dst	.036	.126	.068	.286	.776	216	.288	

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: CONO5

Table D-43 COPO

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.795 ^a	.632	.579	24.24588

ANOVA ^b									
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
Regression	62703.518	9	6967.058	11.852	.000 ^a				
1 Residual	36447.493	62	587.863						
Total	99151.010	71							
	KONTONIA A		and the second se						

	Coefficients										
Model		Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B				
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound Upper Bound				
	(Constant)	-22.501	27.630		814	.419	-77.732	32.730			
	В	-1.169	1.738	161	672	.504	-4.643	2.306			
	B _×	6.499	2.240	.700	2.901	.005	2.021	10.976			
	By	2.386	1.240	.413	1.925	.059	092	4.865			
	Bz	-4.193	1.446	479	-2.900	.005	-7.083	-1.303			
1	$T_{\rm p}$.000	.000	653	-3.375	.001	.000	.000			
	$N_{\rm p}$.679	.895	.147	.759	.451	-1.110	2.468			
	$V_{\rm p}$.060	.052	.169	1.153	.253	044	.163			
	β	5.234	5.042	.173	1.038	.303	-4.844	15.313			
	Dst	-1.943	.325	-1.334	-5.983	.000	-2.592	-1.293			

a. Dependent Variable: COPO5

ม มาลงกรณ์แหาวิทยาลัย

, Chulalongkorn University

Table D-44 COYQ

Model Summary ^b						
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.741 ^a	.549	.484	18.65127		

b

	ANOVA ^b								
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
	Regression	26285.626	9	2920.625	8.396	.000 [°]			
1	Residual	21567.923	62	347.870					
	Total	47853.550	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: COYQ5

	coefficients							
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confid	ence Interval for B
Mode	l	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	60.490	21.254		2.846	.006	18.004	102.977
	В	4.366	1.337	.864	3.265	.002	1.693	7.039
	B _x	-5.396	1.723	836	-3.131	.003	-8.840	-1.951
	By	2.440	.954	.607	2.558	.013	.533	4.346
	Bz	2.598	1.112	.427	2.336	.023	.375	4.821
	Tp	5.220E-6	.000	.021	.100	.921	.000	.000
	Np	527	.688	165	766	.447	-1.903	.849
	Vp	175	.040	711	-4.391	.000	255	095
	β	5.063	3.879	.241	1.305	.197	-2.690	12.816
	Dst	.374	.250	.369	1.496	.140	126	.873

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: COYQ5

Table D-45 GOGA

Model Summary ^b						
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.917 ^a	.841	.818	16.76233		

	ANOVA ^b									
Мс	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	92211.464	9	10245.718	36.465	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	17420.490	62	280.976						
	Total	109631.954	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: GOGA5

	Unstar Coef	ndardized Ficients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Con	fidence Interval for B
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	51.174	19.102		2.679	.009	12.990	89.358
В	2.641	1.202	.345	2.197	.032	.238	5.043
B _×	3.481	1.549	.356	2.248	.028	.386	6.577
By	-1.577	.857	259	-1.840	.071	-3.290	.137
Bz	620	.999	067	621	.537	-2.618	1.378
T _p	.000	.000	.382	3.001	.004	.000	.000
Np	1.294	.619	.267	2.092	.041	.057	2.531
Vp	185	.036	497	-5.166	.000	257	113
β	-3.098	3.486	097	889	.378	-10.066	3.870
Dst	239	.224	156	-1.063	.292	687	.210

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: GOGA5

Table D-46 HUGO

Model Summary ^b					
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.553 ^a	.306	.205	10.35892	

b

	ANOVA ^b								
Mode	el	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.			
R	legression	2934.666	9	326.074	3.039	.004 ^a			
1 R	Residual	6653.042	62	107.307					
Т	otal	9587.708	71						

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: HUGO5

	comicina								
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B			
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
(Constant)	15.288	11.805		1.295	.200	-8.309	38.885		
В	.590	.743	.261	.795	.430	894	2.075		
B _×	-2.007	.957	695	-2.097	.040	-3.920	094		
By	.820	.530	.456	1.548	.127	239	1.879		
Bz	.748	.618	.275	1.210	.231	487	1.982		
T _p	-6.901E-5	.000	630	-2.370	.021	.000	.000		
Np	382	.382	266	999	.322	-1.146	.382		
Vp	.003	.022	.031	.152	.880	041	.048		
β	118	2.154	013	055	.956	-4.424	4.188		
Dst	.251	.139	.554	1.810	.075	026	.528		

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: HUGO5

Table D- 47 IQQE

Model Summary ^b						
Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.695 [°]	.482	.407	19.15126		

	ANOVA ^b									
Мо	del	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	21188.429	9	2354.270	6.419	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	22739.791	62	366.771						
	Total	43928.220	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: IQQE5

-		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confidenc	e Interval for B
Mode	<u>ا</u> ر	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	(Constant)	-55.682	21.824		-2.551	.013	-99.308	-12.056
	В	223	1.373	046	162	.872	-2.967	2.522
	B _×	1.816	1.769	.294	1.026	.309	-1.721	5.353
	By	.437	.979	.114	.447	.657	-1.520	2.395
	Bz	231	1.142	040	202	.841	-2.513	2.052
	Tp	-1.723E-5	.000	073	320	.750	.000	.000
	Np	1.287	.707	.419	1.820	.074	126	2.700
	Vp	.102	.041	.432	2.487	.016	.020	.184
	β	-2.996	3.983	149	752	.455	-10.957	4.965
	Dst	706	.256	728	-2.753	.008	-1.219	193

Coefficients^ª

a. Dependent Variable: IQQE5

Table D-48 LAFE

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.659 ^a	.434	.352	16.49118

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Mo	odel	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	12946.265	9	1438.474	5.289	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	16861.464	62	271.959						
	Total	29807.728	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: LAFE5

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	070	18.793		004	.997	-37.636	37.496
	В	3.889	1.182	.976	3.289	.002	1.526	6.252
	B _×	-1.110	1.524	218	729	.469	-4.156	1.935
	By	1.288	.843	.406	1.528	.132	397	2.974
4	Bz	.700	.983	.146	.712	.479	-1.265	2.666
1	Tp	-1.407E-5	.000	073	304	.762	.000	.000
	Np	712	.609	282	-1.170	.247	-1.929	.505
	Vp	042	.035	219	-1.205	.233	113	.028
	β	.634	3.429	.038	.185	.854	-6.221	7.489
	Dst	159	.221	199	720	.474	600	.283

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: LAFE5

Table D-49 LAMT

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.719 ^a	.517	.447	19.576492				

	ANOVA ^b									
Мс	del	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	25450.109	9	2827.790	7.379	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	23760.820	62	383.239						
	Total	49210.929	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: LAMT5

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients t		Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
(Constant)	47.234	22.309		2.117	.038	2.640	91.829
В	-4.967	1.403	970	-3.539	.001	-7.773	-2.162
B _×	.207	1.809	.032	.114	.909	-3.408	3.822
By	.218	1.001	.054	.218	.828	-1.783	2.219
Bz	-2.003	1.167	325	-1.716	.091	-4.337	.330
T_{p}	2.335E-5	.000	.094	.424	.673	.000	.000
Np	.137	.723	.042	.190	.850	-1.307	1.582
Vp	.046	.042	.183	1.091	.279	038	.129
β	-10.501	4.071	492	-2.579	.012	-18.638	-2.363
Dst	.372	.262	.362	1.418	.161	152	.896

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: LAMT5

Table D-50 POVE

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.784 ^a	.615	.559	20.76950

b

		AN	OVA ^b			
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	42648.354	9	4738.706	10.985	.000 [°]
1	Residual	26745.078	62	431.372		
	Total	69393.433	71			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: POVE5

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients t		Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1 (Constant)	139.960	23.668		5.913	.000	92.649	187.272
В	-3.344	1.489	550	-2.246	.028	-6.321	368
B _×	2.526	1.919	.325	1.317	.193	-1.309	6.362
By	752	1.062	155	708	.482	-2.875	1.371
Bz	-1.514	1.238	207	-1.223	.226	-3.989	.961
Tp	3.201E-5	.000	.109	.548	.585	.000	.000
Np	.230	.767	.060	.300	.765	-1.302	1.763
Vp	187	.044	632	-4.218	.000	276	098
β	-7.495	4.319	296	-1.735	.088	-16.129	1.139
Dst	025	.278	021	091	.928	581	.531

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: POVE5

Table D-51 RIOP

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.663 ^a	.439	.358	19.20951					

	ANOVA ^b										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	17916.852	9	1990.761	5.395	.000 [°]					
1	Residual	22878.336	62	369.005							
	Total	40795.188	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: RIOP5

	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	63.018	21.890		2.879	.005	19.260	106.777
	В	1.053	1.377	.226	.765	.447	-1.700	3.806
	B _×	-2.163	1.775	363	-1.219	.228	-5.710	1.385
	By	1.556	.982	.420	1.584	.118	407	3.520
1	Bz	.262	1.145	.047	.229	.820	-2.028	2.551
1	Tp	.000	.000	526	-2.202	.031	.000	.000
	Np	.108	.709	.037	.153	.879	-1.309	1.526
	Vp	123	.041	542	-3.000	.004	205	041
	β	1.702	3.995	.088	.426	.672	-6.284	9.687
	Dst	524	.257	560	-2.036	.046	-1.038	009

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: RIOP5

Table D-52 SCUB

	Model Summary ^b										
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate							
1	.492 ^a	.242	.132	10.95532							

	ANOVA ^b										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	2379.300	9	264.367	2.203	.034 ^a					
1	Residual	7441.177	62	120.019							
	Total	9820.477	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB5

	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	26.640	12.484		2.134	.037	1.685	51.596
	В	-1.268	.785	554	-1.615	.111	-2.838	.302
	B _x	.569	1.012	.195	.562	.576	-1.454	2.592
	By	069	.560	038	124	.902	-1.189	1.051
1	Bz	150	.653	055	230	.819	-1.456	1.155
1	Tp	8.148E-6	.000	.073	.265	.792	.000	.000
	Np	.122	.404	.084	.302	.763	686	.931
	Vp	021	.023	191	907	.368	068	.026
	β	-4.441	2.278	466	-1.949	.056	-8.995	.113
	Dst	031	.147	067	209	.835	324	.263

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB5

Table D-53 UNSA

	Model Summary ^b										
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate							
1	.728 ^a	.530	.462	20.84830							

	ANOVA ^b										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	30413.775	9	3379.308	7.775	.000 [°]					
1	Residual	26948.410	62	434.652							
	Total	57362.184	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Betta, Bz, Speed, Bmag, Density, Tem, Byi, Bx

b. Dependent Variable: UNSA5

-	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
	(Constant)	-34.837	23.758		-1.466	.148	-82.328	12.655
	В	.666	1.495	.121	.446	.657	-2.321	3.654
	B _x	3.152	1.926	.446	1.636	.107	698	7.002
	By	1.686	1.066	.383	1.581	.119	446	3.817
4	Bz	-1.640	1.243	246	-1.319	.192	-4.125	.845
1	Tp	.000	.000	464	-2.121	.038	.000	.000
	Np	.178	.770	.051	.232	.818	-1.360	1.717
	Vp	.064	.045	.237	1.435	.156	025	.153
	β	2.986	4.335	.130	.689	.494	-5.681	11.652
	Dst	-1.184	.279	-1.068	-4.240	.000	-1.742	626

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA5

Event VI

Table D-54 BAIE

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.522 ^a	.272	.166	33.63780						

	ANOVA ^b										
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	26221.230	9	2913.470	2.575	.014 ^a					
1	Residual	70153.087	62	1131.501							
	Total	96374.317	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: BAIE6

Coefficients^a

	Unstandardiz	zed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		<i>c</i> :
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	125.558	72.040		1.743	.086
В	156	3.050	014	051	.959
B _x	4.188	2.777	.278	1.508	.137
By	2.675	2.040	.197	1.311	.195
Bz	2.306	1.584	.225	1.455	.151
T_{p}	.000	.000	531	-2.237	.029
Np	151	1.279	031	118	.906
Vp	101	.102	350	989	.327
β	-2.797	2.286	279	-1.223	.226
Dst	386	.402	218	959	.341

a. Dependent Variable: BAIE6

237
Table D-55 BOGT

	Model Summary									
		D			(Change Sta	atistio	CS		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.522 ^a	.272	.166	19.41323	.272	2.573	9	62	.014	

	ANOVA ^b							
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
	Regression	8728.745	9	969.861	2.573	.014 ^ª		
1	Residual	23366.162	62	376.874				
	Total	32094.907	71					

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: BOGT6

	Coefficients								
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence Interval for B		
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
	(Constant)	7.795	41.576		.187	.852	-75.314	90.905	
	В	1.064	1.760	.163	.605	.548	-2.454	4.583	
	B _×	-1.017	1.603	117	635	.528	-4.222	2.187	
	By	079	1.177	010	067	.947	-2.433	2.274	
1	Bz	223	.914	038	244	.808	-2.051	1.605	
1	$T_{\rm p}$	5.183E-5	.000	.301	1.267	.210	.000	.000	
	Np	409	.738	146	554	.581	-1.885	1.066	
	$V_{\rm p}$	045	.059	271	765	.447	163	.073	
	β	2.256	1.319	.390	1.710	.092	382	4.893	
	Dst	190	.232	186	819	.416	654	.274	

a. Dependent Variable: BOGT6

Model Summary

Table D-56 BRAZ

			Model Summary ^b	
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.646 ^a	.417	.332	23.20270

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	23881.427	9	2653.492	4.929	.000 ^a
1	Residual	33378.638	62	538.365		
	Total	57260.065	71			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: BRAZ6

			Coefficie	nts ^ª		
		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Мс	odel	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	-48.772	49.692		981	.330
	В	-1.882	2.104	215	895	.374
	B _x	-2.891	1.916	249	-1.509	.136
	By	1.480	1.407	.141	1.051	.297
	Bz	-2.453	1.093	310	-2.244	.028
1	T _p	1.112E-5	.000	.048	.227	.821
	Np	.072	.882	.019	.082	.935
	Vp	.062	.071	.277	.874	.386
	β	240	1.577	031	152	.880
	Dst	419	.277	307	-1.513	.135

a. Dependent Variable: BRAZ6

Table D-57 CONO

	Model Summary ^b							
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate				
1	.635 ^a	.403	.317	13.91696				

b

	ANOVA ^b							
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
	Regression	8117.512	9	901.946	4.657	.000 [°]		
1	Residual	12008.268	62	193.682				
	Total	20125.780	71					

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: CONO6

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1 (Constant)	-32.416	29.805		-1.088	.281
В	1.463	1.262	.282	1.160	.251
B _x	488	1.149	071	425	.672
By	.774	.844	.125	.917	.363
Bz	139	.656	030	211	.833
$T_{\rm p}$	-3.164E-5	.000	232	-1.079	.285
Np	.449	.529	.202	.848	.400
$V_{ m p}$.051	.042	.386	1.204	.233
β	476	.946	104	503	.617
Dst	313	.166	386	-1.881	.065

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: CONO6

Table D-58 COYQ

	Model Summary ^b						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate			
1	.783 ^a	.613	.556	24.21477			

b

	ANOVA ^b							
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
	Regression	57509.943	9	6389.994	10.898	.000 ^a		
1	Residual	36354.030	62	586.355				
	Total	93863.973	71					

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: COYQ6

	*4	Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		Ci-
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	153.206	51.859		2.954	.004
	В	195	2.195	017	089	.930
	B _×	-2.676	1.999	180	-1.338	.186
	By	-2.125	1.468	158	-1.447	.153
1	Bz	981	1.141	097	860	.393
1	Tp	-4.121E-5	.000	140	808	.422
	Np	-1.890	.921	395	-2.053	.044
	Vp	220	.074	769	-2.980	.004
	β	-6.211	1.646	628	-3.774	.000
	Dst	568	.289	325	-1.962	.054

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: COYQ6

Table D-59 LAMT

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.520 ^a	.270	.164	11.63399						

ANOVA ^b									
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
Regression	3105.211	9	345.023	2.549	.015 [°]				
1 Residual	8391.680	62	135.350						
Total	11496.892	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: LAMT6

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	+	Cia
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	6.197	24.916		.249	.804
В	.803	1.055	.205	.761	.449
B _×	911	.961	175	948	.347
By	-1.107	.706	236	-1.569	.122
Bz	357	.548	101	651	.517
T_{p}	3.930E-5	.000	.381	1.603	.114
Np	070	.442	042	157	.876
Vp	024	.035	236	665	.509
β	590	.791	170	747	.458
Dst	.014	.139	.023	.102	.919

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: LAMT6

Table D-60 PARC

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.602 ^a	.363	.270	25.23994						

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	22488.740	9	2498.749	3.922	.001 ^a				
1	Residual	39497.382	62	637.055						
	Total	61986.122	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: PARC6

	M 11	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		C:
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	16.603	54.055		.307	.760
	В	.029	2.288	.003	.013	.990
	B _×	.874	2.084	.072	.419	.676
	By	2.400	1.531	.220	1.568	.122
1	Bz	1.680	1.189	.204	1.413	.163
Ţ	Tp	.000	.000	852	-3.832	.000
	Np	.070	.960	.018	.073	.942
	$V_{ m p}$.032	.077	.139	.418	.677
	β	-2.351	1.715	292	-1.370	.175
	Dst	764	.302	538	-2.533	.014

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: PARC6

Table D-61 SCH2

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.452 ^a	.204	.089	41.92212						

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	27953.432	9	3105.937	1.767	.093 ^a				
1	Residual	108962.765	62	1757.464						
	Total	136916.197	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: SCH26

		coernele	1165		
	Unstandard	ized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		c:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-57.666	89.782		642	.523
В	616	3.801	046	162	.872
B _×	1.149	3.461	.064	.332	.741
By	5.241	2.542	.323	2.061	.043
Bz	-2.927	1.975	239	-1.482	.143
T T _p	.000	.000	350	-1.408	.164
Np	084	1.594	015	053	.958
Vp	.162	.128	.469	1.266	.210
β	.308	2.849	.026	.108	.914
Dst	210	.501	099	419	.677

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH26

SCUB

Table D-62 SCUB

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.504 ^a	.254	.145	22.58999

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	10754.632	9	1194.959	2.342	.024 ^a				
1	Residual	31639.075	62	510.308						
	Total	42393.707	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB6

		Coefficie	nts ⁴		
Marial	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Ci-
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	8.018	48.380		.166	.869
В	620	2.048	082	303	.763
B _x	-1.848	1.865	185	991	.326
By	.441	1.370	.049	.322	.749
Bz	070	1.064	010	066	.948
T _p	.000	.000	514	-2.135	.037
Np	.629	.859	.195	.733	.467
$V_{\rm p}$	013	.069	069	194	.847
β	387	1.535	058	252	.802
Dst	700	.270	595	-2.592	.012

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB6

Table D-63 SG05

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.564 ^a	.318	.219	18.26151					

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	9631.174	9	1070.130	3.209	.003 ^a				
1	Residual	20675.931	62	333.483						
	Total	30307.105	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: SG056

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		C.
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-46.257	39.110		-1.183	.241
В	1.192	1.656	.187	.720	.474
B _×	193	1.508	023	128	.899
By	1.584	1.107	.208	1.431	.158
Bz	.894	.860	.156	1.040	.303
T_{p}	.000	.000	927	-4.031	.000
$N_{ m p}$	1.053	.694	.387	1.517	.134
Vp	.102	.056	.627	1.830	.072
β	442	1.241	079	356	.723
Dst	465	.218	468	-2.130	.037

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SG056

Table D-64 UNSA

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.663 ^a	.439	.357	14.17691						

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	9748.802	9	1083.200	5.389	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	12461.053	62	200.985						
	Total	22209.855	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bye, Betta, Bx, Density, Tem, Bmag, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: UNSA6

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c:
MOC	del	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	-5.615	30.362		185	.854
	В	1.153	1.285	.212	.897	.373
	B _×	-3.212	1.171	443	-2.744	.008
	By	1.745	.860	.267	2.029	.047
1	Bz	-2.361	.668	480	-3.536	.001
T	$T_{\rm p}$	-2.730E-5	.000	191	914	.364
	$N_{\rm p}$	756	.539	324	-1.403	.166
	$V_{\rm p}$.028	.043	.205	.659	.512
	β	1.819	.964	.378	1.888	.064
	Dst	.499	.169	.587	2.949	.004

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA6

Event VII Table D-65 CONO

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.729 ^a	.532	.464	9.66811					

	ANOVA ^b									
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
Regression	6592.178	9	732.464	7.836	.000 ^a					
1 Residual	5795.289	62	93.472							
Total	12387.467	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: CONO7

			Coefficie	nts ^ª		
		Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		c:
MOC	del	В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
-	(Constant)	-1.182	18.795		063	.950
	В	1.731	1.227	.389	9 1.411	.163
	B _×	-1.111	.718	158	-1.548	.127
	By	1.602	.490	.602	3.267	.002
1	Bz	.151	.409	.044	.370	.713
1	Tp	4.702E-5	.000	.268	3 1.338	.186
	Np	253	.454	14	l557	.580
	$V_{\rm p}$	012	.038	072	307	.760
	β	3.005	2.396	.253	3 1.254	.215
	Dst	.106	.109	.197	.973	.335

a. Dependent Variable: CONO7

248

Table D-66 COPO

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.813 ^a	.661	.612	25.72876					

	ANOVA ^b										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	80120.961	9	8902.329	13.448	.000 ^a					
1	Residual	41042.095	62	661.969							
	Total	121163.057	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

Coefficients						
	Unstandarc	lized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients			
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	
1 (Constant)	87.145	50.017		1.742	.086	
В	-4.828	3.264	347	-1.479	.144	
B _x	-3.483	1.910	159	-1.823	.073	
By	-3.288	1.305	394	-2.520	.014	
Bz	-3.699	1.089	344	-3.398	.001	
T _p	.000	.000	296	-1.740	.087	
Np	253	1.209	045	209	.835	
Vp	177	.102	347	-1.732	.088	
β	-5.036	6.376	136	790	.433	
Dst	-1.043	.290	620	-3.600	.001	

a. Dependent Variable: COPO7

Table D-67 COQY

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.776 ^a	.603	.545	30.96496						

	ANOVA ^b											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
	Regression	90226.100	9	10025.122	10.456	.000 ^a						
1	Residual	59447.392	62	958.829								
	Total	149673.493	71									

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: COYQ7

			coefficie	113		
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
(Constar	nt)	233.351	60.197		3.876	.000
В		-4.724	3.928	306	-1.203	.234
B _x		-8.801	2.299	360	-3.828	.000
By		1.427	1.570	.154	.909	.367
Bz		1.698	1.310	.142	1.296	.200
T Tp		7.480E-6	.000	.012	.066	.947
Np		456	1.455	073	314	.755
$V_{\rm p}$		458	.123	805	-3.714	.000
β		4.042	7.674	.098	.527	.600
Dst		506	.349	271	-1.451	.152

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: COYQ7

Table D-68 GOGA

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.870 ^a	.757	.722	11.14770						

	ANOVA ^b											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
	Regression	24016.828	9	2668.536	21.473	.000 ^a						
1	Residual	7704.816	62	124.271								
	Total	31721.644	71									

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: GOGA7

Marial	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Ci-
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-65.436	21.671		-3.019	.004
В	3.862	1.414	.543	2.731	.008
B _x	-2.184	.828	194	-2.638	.011
By	4.273	.565	1.001	7.559	.000
Bz	2.325	.472	.422	4.930	.000
T_{p}	8.950E-5	.000	.318	2.208	.031
Np	.764	.524	.266	1.460	.149
Vp	.069	.044	.263	1.551	.126
β	3.319	2.763	.175	1.201	.234
Dst	067	.125	078	536	.594

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: GOGA7

Table D-69 IQQE

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.466 ^a	.217	.104	18.97641						

	ANOVA ^b											
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.						
	Regression	6203.577	9	689.286	1.914	.066 ^a						
1	Residual	22326.446	62	360.104								
	Total	28530.023	71									

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: IQQE7

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
(Constant)	33.446	36.891		.907	.368
В	257	2.407	038	107	.915
B _x	-3.787	1.409	355	-2.688	.009
By	1.125	.962	.278	1.169	.247
Bz	040	.803	008	049	.961
T T _p	1.069E-5	.000	.040	.155	.877
Np	643	.892	236	721	.473
Vp	069	.076	280	918	.362
β	2.378	4.703	.132	.506	.615
Dst	471	.214	578	-2.206	.031

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: IQQE7

Table D-70 POVE

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.476 ^a	.226	.114	10.13470						

	ANOVA ^b										
	Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	1861.498	9	206.833	2.014	.053 ^a					
1	Residual	6368.156	62	102.712							
	Total	8229.654	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: POVE7

coefficients					
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		<i>c</i> :
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	46.326	19.702		2.351	.022
В	-1.335	1.286	368	-1.038	.303
B _×	-2.263	.752	395	-3.008	.004
By	196	.514	090	382	.704
Bz	.077	.429	.027	.179	.858
T_{p}	3.436E-5	.000	.240	.933	.355
Np	.094	.476	.064	.198	.844
Vp	092	.040	688	-2.273	.027
β	335	2.512	035	133	.894
Dst	164	.114	373	-1.433	.157

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: POVE7

Table D-71 RIOP

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.693 ^a	.481	.405	11.62572						

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	7756.651	9	861.850	6.377	.000 [°]				
1	Residual	8379.752	62	135.157						
	Total	16136.403	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: RIOP7

		Coefficie	1113		
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		c:
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
(Constant)	36.853	22.601		1.631	.108
В	-3.106	1.475	612	-2.106	.039
B _×	-1.726	.863	215	-2.000	.050
By	842	.590	277	-1.429	.158
Bz	-1.074	.492	273	-2.184	.033
T_{p}	.000	.000	530	-2.515	.014
$N_{ m p}$	468	.546	229	857	.395
Vp	.000	.046	005	019	.985
β	-1.236	2.881	091	429	.669
Dst	306	.131	499	-2.336	.023

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: RIOP7

Table D-72 SCH2

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.855 [°]	.731	.692	14.22651						

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	34057.999	9	3784.222	18.697	.000 ^a				
1	Residual	12548.395	62	202.393						
	Total	46606.394	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCH27

			coenticle	1103		
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		<i>c</i> :
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
((Constant)	-86.970	27.657		-3.145	.003
	В	6.511	1.805	.755	3.607	.001
	B _×	-2.378	1.056	175	-2.251	.028
	By	1.796	.721	.347	2.490	.015
1	Bz	.461	.602	.069	.766	.446
T	Tp	5.026E-5	.000	.148	.972	.335
	Np	1.250	.668	.359	1.871	.066
	Vp	.042	.057	.133	.742	.461
	β	8.418	3.526	.366	2.388	.020
	Dst	352	.160	338	-2.201	.031

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCH27

Table D-73 SCUB

	Model Summary ^b									
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate						
1	.470 ^a	.221	.108	12.31271						

b

	ANOVA ^b										
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.					
	Regression	2664.430	9	296.048	1.953	.061 ^a					
1	Residual	9399.370	62	151.603							
	Total	12063.800	71								

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: SCUB7

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		ci
Moc	lel	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
	(Constant)	-23.457	23.936		980	.331
	В	2.114	1.562	.482	1.354	.181
	B _×	1.910	.914	.275	2.089	.041
	By	.487	.624	.185	.779	.439
1	Bz	905	.521	266	-1.737	.087
1	Tp	2.819E-5	.000	.163	.630	.531
	Np	582	.578	329	-1.005	.319
	$V_{\rm p}$	003	.049	019	062	.951
	β	2.940	3.051	.251	.964	.339
	Dst	.123	.139	.232	.887	.379

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: SCUB7

Table D-74 UNSA

	Model Summary ^b								
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate					
1	.478 ^a	.228	.116	21.55069					

b

	ANOVA ^b									
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
	Regression	8505.140	9	945.016	2.035	.050 [°]				
1	Residual	28794.803	62	464.432						
	Total	37299.943	71							

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Density, Bx, Betta, Bz, Tem, Bye, Speed, Bmag

b. Dependent Variable: UNSA7

		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		C:-
	Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	τ	Sig.
	(Constant)	7.934	41.895		.189	.850
	В	413	2.734	054	151	.880
	B _×	-4.943	1.600	405	-3.089	.003
	By	.896	1.093	.194	.820	.415
1	Bz	527	.912	088	578	.565
1	Tp	-2.072E-6	.000	007	026	.979
	Np	.558	1.012	.179	.551	.583
	Vp	.033	.086	.116	.384	.702
	β	-1.778	5.341	086	333	.740
	Dst	331	.243	355	-1.364	.178

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: UNSA7

Table D-75 VALP

Model Summary ^b											
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate							
1	.732 ^a	.536	.432	11.14404							

ANOVA ^b											
Model	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	F	Sig.						
Regression	5744.904	9	638.323	5.140	.000 ^a						
1 Residual	4967.581	40	124.190								
Total	10712.485	49									

a. Predictors: (Constant), Dst, Bz, Bmag, Bx, Bye, Tem, Betta, Density, Speed

b. Dependent Variable: VALP7

Connellins										
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	±.	Ci-					
Model	В	Std. Error	Beta	ι	SIg.					
(Constant)	46.046	29.980		1.536	.132					
В	1.617	3.075	.199	.526	.602					
B _x	-2.281	1.199	311	-1.903	.064					
By	-1.303	.905	397	-1.440	.158					
Bz	1.485	.940	.252	1.581	.122					
1 7 _p	-3.515E-5	.000	196	482	.633					
Np	-3.678	1.389	-1.054	-2.647	.012					
Vp	058	.073	361	803	.427					
β	7.158	4.570	.595	1.566	.125					
Dst	.013	.449	.013	.030	.976					

Coefficients^a

a. Dependent Variable: VALP7

VITA

Sorasit Thanomponkrang was born on March 5, 1987 in Bangkok, Thailand. He was a graduate student of Earth sciences program at Chulalongkorn university, Thailand. He received his B.Sc. in Marine sciences from Kasetsart university.

Presentation

Sorasit Thanomponkrung (2013) The Total Electron Content Deviation During Magnetic Clouds Transtent. The 27th National Graduate Research Conference, Naresuan University, Pitsanulok, Thailand.

