# IMPROVEMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PLAN OF NEW ELEVATOR PRODUCT

Mr. Khatayos Phummanee



บทคัดย่อและแฟ้มข้อมูลฉนับบที่ษชูตงริทยกนิเษอย์ตั้งเตยี่กิลธุตึกษณณิธรีส์ ซี่ให้หมธิกละในกลัหมีอูญรจุฬาฯ (CUIR) forปีปนะฟัติอัตลูอาอร์งโดลิตนอ์กอย์ ยิ่าชูเกออาเซ์ ที่ส่งยู่เวณฑาเจบัณฑิดอิตย์กลัยManagement

The abstract and full text of theses from the set of th

are the thesis authors' files submitted the of the eringersity Graduate School.

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2015

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

การพัฒนาแผนงานบำรุงรักษาเชิงป้องกันของลิฟต์รุ่นใหม่

นายคธายส พุ่มมณี



วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาการจัดการทางวิศวกรรม ภาควิชาศูนย์ระดับภูมิภาคทางวิศวกรรมระบบการผลิต คณะวิศวกรรมศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2558 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Thesis Title	IMPROVEMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE
	PLAN OF NEW ELEVATOR PRODUCT
Ву	Mr. Khatayos Phummanee
Field of Study	Engineering Management
Thesis Advisor	Associate Professor Somkiat Tangjitsitcharoen,
	Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

\_\_\_\_\_Dean of the Faculty of Engineering

(Professor Bundhit Eua-arporn, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

Chairman

(Professor Parames Chutima, Ph.D.)

\_\_\_\_\_Thesis Advisor

(Associate Professor Somkiat Tangjitsitcharoen, Ph.D.)

Examiner

(Associate Professor Jeirapat Ngaoprasertwong)

External Examiner

(Assistant Professor Boonwa Thampitakkul, Ph.D.)

คธายส พุ่มมณี : การพัฒนาแผนงานบำรุงรักษาเชิงป้องกันของลิฟต์รุ่นใหม่ (IMPROVEMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PLAN OF NEW ELEVATOR PRODUCT) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: รศ. ดร. สมเกียรติ ตั้งจิตสิตเจริญ, 215 หน้า.

การย้ายแหล่งการผลิตและการใช้ผู้จัดหาสิ่งของให้ในการผลิตอุปกรณ์ลิฟต์เป็นกลฎทธ์ที่ ู บริษัทลิฟต์ข้ามชาติเลือกใช้เพื่อช่วยลดต้นทุนการผลิตและเพื่อเพ่งความสนใจในสมรรถนะหลักของ ้องค์กร อย่างไรก็ตาม หลังจากที่ลิฟต์รุ่นใหม่ที่ได้ใช้กลยุทธ์นี้ถูกนำไปใช้งานพบว่าลิฟต์มีสถิติบกพร่อง เป็นจำนวนหลายครั้ง ซึ่งสาเหตุของปัญหาส่วนมาก เกิดจากการขาดการบำรุงรักษาระบบประตูอย่าง ถูกต้อง การที่ลิฟต์มีสถิติบกพร่องเป็นจำนวนมาก งานวิจัยนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อพัฒนาแผนงาน บำรุงรักษาเชิงป้องกัน แทนที่งานบำรุงรักษาเชิงแก้ไขหลังจากลิฟต์รุ่นใหม่บกพร่อง

การวิเคราะห์ข้อบกพร่องและผลกระทบ เป็นเครื่องมือที่นำใช้ในการหาข้อบกพร่องของ ระบบประตูลิฟต์ที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้นได้ และใช้เพื่อคำนวณค่าดัชนีความเสี่ยงชี้นำเพื่อที่จะพัฒนาแผนงาน บำรุงรักษาเชิงป้องกันที่ใช้อยู่ในปัจจุบัน ค่าดัชนีความเสี่ยงชี้นำนี้ถูกนำมาใช้ในการปรับตารางงาน ซ่อมบำรุง, การปรับรายการตรวจสอบงานซ่อมบำรุง, การสร้างคู่มืองานซ่อมบำรุง, การเตรียม แผนการอบรมและ ระบบการประเมินผล

ผลลัพธ์แสดงให้เห็นว่าจำนวนครั้งที่ลิฟต์บกพร่องจากระบบประตูลดลงจาก 62.5% เป็น 20% สำหรับลิฟต์เครื่องเก่า และจำนวนครั้งที่ลิฟต์บกพร่องจากระบบประตูเป็น 0 สำหรับลิฟต์เครื่อง ใหม่ เมื่อจำนวนครั้งที่ลิฟต์เสียลดลงแล้ว ค่าดัชนีความเสี่ยงชี้นำใหม่จึงลดลงตามไปด้วย ซึ่งเป็นสิ่งที่ พิสูจน์แล้วว่าผลลัพธ์เป็นไปตามวัตถุประสงค์ของงานวิจัยนี้

ภาควิชา	ศูนย์ระดับภูมิภาคทางวิศวกรรม	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
	ระบบการผลิต	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาหลัก
สาขาวิชา	การจัดการทางวิศวกรรม	
ปีการศึกษา	2558	

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต	
ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาหลัก	

# # 5671202021 : MAJOR ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT

KEYWORDS: FAILURE MODE AND EFFECT ANALYSIS / RISK PRIORITY NUMBER / PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE / ELEVATOR / DOOR SYSTEM

KHATAYOS PHUMMANEE: IMPROVEMENT OF PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PLAN OF NEW ELEVATOR PRODUCT. ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF. SOMKIAT TANGJITSITCHAROEN, Ph.D., 215 pp.

Using of offshore manufacturing and offshore suppliers for new elevator product is a strategy of multinational elevator's company to focus on both cost reduction and company's core competency. However after this strategy has been used in new elevator product, there were a number of elevator's failures which mainly caused from poor preventive maintenance on elevator's door system. The objective of the research was to develop an improved preventive maintenance plan of door system of new elevator product instead of corrective maintenance.

The methodology of the research was performed based on Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA). FMEA was used for identifying potential failure modes of door system and assigning Risk Priority Number (RPN) in order to create an improvement of preventive maintenance plan. RPN setting was used for revising preventive maintenance schedule, revising preventive maintenance checklist, creating troubleshooting manual and developing training course & evaluation system.

The result shows that a number of elevator's failures from door system was reduced from 62.5% of total failures to 20% of total failures for old unit and number of elevator's failure from door system is equal to 0 for new unit. As occurrence is reduced, new PRN is reduced. It is proved that the result is in accordance with objectives.

Department:	Regional Centre for	Student's Signature	
	Manufacturing Systems	Advisor's Signature	
	Engineering		
Field of Study:	Engineering Management		
Academic Year:	2015		

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis adviser Assoc.Prof.Somkiat Tangjitsitcharoen for his guidance in application of preventive maintenance and all related thesis topics.

I would like to thank my company for granting necessary data, information and authorisation for implementing an improvement of preventive maintenance plan in real situation. Moreover I would like to thank my colleagues who directly and indirectly involved in my research.

To my father and my mother, I would like to thank for their endless love through my whole life.

Lastly, I would like to thank Buddha teachings for leading me peaceful, belief, and passion to overcome any obstacle.

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# CONTENTS

Pag	;e
THAI ABSTRACTiv	
ENGLISH ABSTRACTv	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vi	
CONTENTSvii	
CHAPTER I	
INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2 Company background	
1.3 Background of research	
1.4 Statement of the problem28	
1.5 Objectives	
1.6 Scope of the research	
1.7 Expected benefit	
1.8 Methodology	
CHAPTER II	
LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORIES CONSIDERATION	
1.9 Maintenance Principle	
1.10 Maintenance Management Process and Planning	
1.11 Preventive Maintenance Programme and Maintenance Strategies	
1.12 Measureable Indicators and Key Factors of Maintenance Performance48	
1.13 Failure Mode and Effect Analysis and Reliability Centred Maintenance Team48	
1.14 Tools to Increase Reliability of Metro Door System	

# Page

1.15 Door Maintenance of Elevator Business in Korea	55
CHAPTER III	56
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	56
1.16 Build taskforce team	58
1.17 Identify failure mode of door system	62
1.18 Identify potential effects of failure	66
1.19 Identify potential causes of failure	68
1.20 Identify ability to detect failure mode	76
1.21 Assign severity	78
1.22 Assign occurrence	80
1.23 Assign detection	81
1.24 Calculate RPN	82
1.25 Rank RPN	88
1.26 Identify corrective actions	89
CHAPTER IV	96
RESEARCH RESULTS	96
4.1 Equipment number 60004539	98
4.2 New handed-over unit (equipment number 60005943)	110
4.3 Re-calculate RPN	115
CHAPTER V	120
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	120
4.1 Conclusion	120
4.2 Suggestions	122

REFERENCES		
Reference list		
Bibiography		
APPENDICES		
Appendix A		
Appendix B		
Appendix C		
Appendix D		
Appendix E		
Appendix F		
Appendix G		
Appendix H		
Appendix I		
Appendix J	<b>ง พาสงแรนมีมหาวิทยาสัย</b>	
VITA	Сингалонскори Шинкерсту	

# Page

# Table of Figures

Figure 1: The PORT Technology	18
Figure 2: Business strategy of the organisation	19
Figure 3: Offshore suppliers	20
Figure 4: Customer's dissatisfaction in Social media	23
Figure 5: Mean Time Between Failure	25
Figure 6: Relationship between MTBF and failure definition	27
Figure 7: MTBF of whole BCBB elevators in year 2014	28
Figure 8: Failure reports in whole year 2014 deriving from SAP database	30
Figure 9: A group of component of FD1 failure of BCBB products in year 2014 .	33
Figure 10: Car door	34
Figure 11: Landing door	34
Figure 12: Why-why analysis of door system	35
Figure 13: Organisation chart for preventive and corrective maintenance in Exis	sting
Installation department	36
Figure 14: Causes of failure of equipment number 60004539 in year 2014	38
Figure 15: Existing checklist document for traction elevator	39
Figure 16: Taxonomy of maintenance philosophies	44
Figure 17: Maintenance Task and capacity planning Model	45
Figure 18: Wireman's maintenance framework	47
Figure 19: Campbell's maintenance framework	47
Figure 20: Example of RCM review team (Adopted from (Ben-Daya, 2009))	49
Figure 21: An improvement of preventive maintenance plan integrated with FI	MEA
structure	57

Figure 22: Organisation chart	58
Figure 23: Structure of taskforce team	59
Figure 24: Bi-weekly meeting	61
Figure 25: Elevator structure	62
Figure 26: Car door components	68
Figure 27: Top part of car door	69
Figure 28: Middle part of car door	69
Figure 29: Bottom part of car door	69
Figure 30: Landing door component	70
Figure 31: Top part of landing door	71
Figure 32: Middle part of landing door	71
Figure 33: Bottom part of landing door	71
Figure 34: A revised checklist document	92
Figure 35: Training plan	93
Figure 36: Classroom training	94
Figure 37: On-the-job training	94
Figure 38: Evaluation system	95
Figure 39: Equipment number 60004539	96
Figure 40: Equipment number 60005943	97
Figure 41: Failure report of equipment number 60004539 on 10 Jul 2015	.104
Figure 42: Misaligned SW lock roller on 1 <sup>st</sup> floor of landing door of equipment	
number 60004539	.105
Figure 43: Normal process	.106
Figure 44: Option 1	.107

Figure 45: Option 2	107
Figure 46: Comparison of causes of failure of equipment number 60004539 between May - Oct 2014 and May – Oct 2015	109
Figure 47: Causes of failure of equipment number 60005943 between May ar	nd
Oct 2015	115
Figure 48: SAP database	131
Figure 49: Controller display	133
Figure 50: Troubleshooting software	134
Figure 51: Remote monitoring tool	134
Figure 52: Failure reports which derived from SAP system	135
Figure 53: Failure report for discussion with parent company	135
Figure 54: An actual checklist document of May 2015 of equipment number 60004539	203
Figure 55: An actual checklist document of Jun 2015 of equipment number 60004539	204
Figure 56: An actual checklist document of Jul 2015 of equipment number 60004539	205
Figure 57: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number 60004539	206
Figure 58: An actual checklist document of Sep 2015 of equipment number 60004539	207
Figure 59: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number 60004539	208
Figure 60: An actual checklist document of Apr 2015 of equipment number 60005943	209

Figure 61: An actual checklist document of May 2015 of equipment number	
600059432	10
Figure 62: An actual checklist document of Jun 2015 of equipment number 600059432	11
Figure 63: An actual checklist document of Jul 2015 of equipment number 600059432	12
Figure 64: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number 600059432	13
Figure 65: An actual checklist document of Sep 2015 of equipment number 600059432	14



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# Table of tables

Table 1: Product segmentation for elevators	20
Table 2: Unique selling point of BCBB elevator	22
Table 3: Key performance indicators of the organisation	24
Table 4: Causes of FD1 of BCBB products in year 2014	31
Table 5: Approximate time to perform preventive maintenance	
Table 6: Possible failure modes of mechanical components	51
Table 7: Possible failure mode of electrical components	52
Table 8: FMEA of automatic door operation of railway system	53
Table 9: Door maintenance guidance for elevator (Adopted from (Park and    2011))	Yang,
Table 10: Role & responsibility of team member	60
Table 11: Electrical system of elevator	63
Table 12: How to obtain error code	64
Table 13: Potential failure modes of door system	65
Table 14: Potential effects of failure	67
Table 15: Potential causes of failure	72
Table 16: 4 detection methods	76
Table 17: 10 characteristic of cause of failure	77
Table 18: Severity level for door system	79
Table 19: Occurrence level for door system	80
Table 20: Examples of RPN calculation	82
Table 21: All combination of failure modes with RPN calculation	85
Table 22: RPN setting	88

Table 23: Revised preventive maintenance schedule
Table 24: Actual implementation date of preventive maintenance of equipmentnumber 6000453998
Table 25: Inspected items during preventive maintenance on Apr 2015 ofequipment number 60004539
Table 26: Number of failure from door system of equipment number 60004539derived from SAP database103
Table 27: Actual implementation date of preventive maintenance of equipment    number 60005943
Table 28: Inspected items during preventive maintenance on 17 April 2015 ofequipment number 60005943
Table 29: Result of Oct 2015 of equipment number 60005943 from SAP    database
Table 30: New RPN
Table 31: Specification of selected units
Table 32: Trip counter of equipment number 60004539136
Table 33: Landing door components155
Table 34: Car door components

### CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

In modern transportation system, safety of passenger is one of the most critical issues to concern. Elevator is an important vehicle which is used for transporting people between floors in the building however presently there are a number of accidents from using elevator around the world. In year 2014, there was a fatal accident happened in Huaqiao University in China (ChinaDaily, 2014). CCTV inside malfunctioned elevator showed that the elevator started moving up while elevator's door kept opening. It caused a young man who was getting in elevator's car to death by being trapped between elevator's door and elevator's car.

For modern elevator products of international brands, elevators have been designed, manufactured and installed to comply with regulations and standards such as EN code for Europe, ANSI code for North America and JIS code for Japan. However during normal operation period, in Thailand, there is no legal requirement to enforce elevator's owner to regularly inspect condition of elevators.

Elevator's door is one of very important parts of elevator as it is used as a gate for a passenger who takes a ride with an elevator. Malfunction from elevator's doors can make a negative impact in wide area. In terms of elevator itself, elevator will have shorter life cycle. In terms of passengers, they may get a minor injury up to fatality. In terms of elevator brand and building's owner, they may get a complaint or suing from passengers which will damage reputation. Therefore it is necessary for elevator's company to properly maintain elevator's door.

# 1.2 Company background

The selected organisation is one of the world largest multinational manufacturer of elevators, escalators and moving walks founded in one of European countries. The organisation provides close loop solutions including manufactures, installs, services and modernises escalators, elevators and moving walks for various type of building requirements through subsidiaries in more than 100 countries. In Thailand, the organisation was established more than 30 years under control of Joint Venture Company.

In terms of competitive advantage, the organisation leads in innovative product such as destination control system for transit management (Figure 1), traction media for replacing conventional rope and regenerative drives for returning electricity back to buildings.



Figure 1: The PORT Technology

In Thailand market, Japanese, US and European brands are playing as main competitors in terms of market share however CEO of Joint Venture Company believes that a robust business strategy can drive the organisation become number one brand in the region in terms of sales volumes, customer satisfaction, innovation, safety, reliability, availability and quality.

#### Chulalongkorn University

Price war between competitors, expansion of urbanisation in Asia Pacific countries which currently accounts for 75% of world-wide sales volume and the need of good-looking, environmental-friendly and user-friendly products nowadays (Anon, 2014) are key drivers of organisation's business strategy to move from differentiation strategy to best-cost (hybrid) strategy in order to focus on both cost leadership and differentiation as shown in Figure 2 (Thompson et al., 2008).



Figure 2: Business strategy of the organisation

# (Adopted from (Porter, 1985, Thompson et al., 2008))

Value conscious buyers who look for better product in terms of quality, feature, appeal, performance and service with lower cost than competitors are a targeted customer of best-cost strategy (Thompson et al., 2008, Bambang Baroto et al., 2012). The example organisations which succeed in best-cost strategy such as IKEA and Toyota.

หาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Best-cost strategy in the organisation can be seen in terms of product segmentation. The organisation provides products which cover all demands of elevator, escalator and moving walk in the market. For elevator market, the organisation has 5 elevator products contain AAAA series, BBBB series, CCCC series, DDDD series and EEEE series. The target's market of each products can be seen from Table 1 below.

Table 1: Product segmentation for elevators

No.	Products	Target's Market
1	АААА	Focus in low-rise buildings and economic market such as home
		office, apartment, low-rise condominium
2	BBBB	Focus in medium-rise buildings such as condominium, shopping
		mall, hospital
3	сссс	Focus in high-rise buildings such as office buildings, hotel, luxury
		buildings
4	DDDD	Focus in heavy duty use such as warehouse, cargo lift
5	EEEE	Focus in modernisation buildings

# 1.3 Background of research

To harmonise with business strategy, the manufacturing strategy of new products especially for low-rise and medium-rise buildings in Asia Pacific region has been changed from onshore manufacturing site in European country to offshore manufacturing site and offshore suppliers in China (Figure 3) such as WELM, Wittur and Sematic in order to minimise manufacturing cost and to focus on company's core competency (Anon, 2015).



Figure 3: Offshore suppliers

Thailand was the few first countries to launch a substituted product of BBBB elevator called BCBB elevator in year 2012 in order to raise opportunity from rapid condominium expansion nearby extension line of Bangkok Mass Transit System (BTS) and Metropolitan Rapid Transit (MRT) train stations in Bangkok. The target's customer is big name condominium developers in Thailand.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

The unique selling points of BCBB elevators are described as Table 2 below.

No.	Unique selling point	How				
1	Lower noise during travel	- New roping system (traction media)				
		- New machine and brake design				
		New machine Traction media				
2	Customised to customer	- More mix and match interior and exterior				
2	requirement	designs				
		- Machine-room-less elevator for medium-rise				
		building				
3	Lower electricity consumption	- Power factor 1 for regenerative drive				
	Chulalongk	- Integrated Light-emitting diode (LED) product				
		into elevator's indicators and lightings				
4	Cheaper selling price than	- Use of offshore manufacturing site and offshore				
	predecessor product (BBBB	suppliers in manufacturing processes				
	elevator) by 15%					

Table 2: Unique selling point of BCBB elevator

BCBB elevator was successfully introduced to Bangkok's market as sales volume reached the sales target (50 units) which was set by Joint Venture Company however there have been a high number of elevators' failures and complaints from users after elevators have been put into operation. Consequently, these problems delivered a big negative impact to the organisation in terms of reputation, customer's satisfaction and retaining old customers. Figure 4 shows that when we type in Thai "ลิฟต์ห่วย" which means bad elevator into Google search, the first result of the search is bad experience from customers who uses BCBB elevator in big name condominium in Bangkok.



Figure 4: Customer's dissatisfaction in Social media

For internal process, there are key performance indicators (KPIs) to measure performance in each department in different period of time as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Key performance indicators of the organisation

KPIs	Measure	Objective
CBR6	- Failure ratios of new handed-over units to maintenance	Reliability,
	department in the first 6-month period.	availability
FPY	- Percentage of new units which can pass quality and	Safety, quality
	safety at first inspection in new installation department	
MTBF	- Failure ratios of units in maintenance department	Reliability,
		availability,
		quality
CPSI	- Periodical inspection of safety and quality of units in	Safety, quality
	maintenance department	
CSS	- Customer satisfaction survey in different period	Overall

Referring to Table 3, top management team from Joint Venture Company set a short-term target to focus on Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) score of new elevator products in order to make confidence to stakeholder and customers which are the key drivers to recover organisation's reputation and revenues back soonest.

# Mean Time Between Failure

MTBF is a reliability term which is used widely in many industries and calculation

of MTBF is depended on failure definition (Torell and Avelar, 2010).

# Failure definition

Figure 5 shows that not every telephone call from a customer will count as a failure in MTBF.



Figure 5: Mean Time Between Failure

For example, a customer asks for collecting key chain which dropped down into

elevator's shaft. Therefore company has classified failure type into 2 categories.

First failure type is FD1 comprises availability, reliability and quality which caused

from product and maintenance issues itself.

Second failure type is FD2 contains others reasons which are not defined in FD1

such as customer assistance, vandalism, building power failure.

#### <u>Availability</u>

Availability refers to the degree to which elevator system is operational and accessible when a passenger requires for use (IEEE, 1990). For example, car door contact is broken which leads elevator to be unable to serve passenger.

### <u>Reliability</u>

Reliability refers to the ability of elevator system or elevator component to perform its required functions under stated conditions for a specified period of time (IEEE, 1990). For example, elevator is unable to set for running at rated speed because of ride comfort issue.

# <u>Ouality</u>

The degree to which elevator system, elevator component, or maintenance process meets specified requirements and/or user/customer needs and expectations (IEEE, 1990).

In summary, Figure 6 shows that MTBF score of BCBB product will be high or low is directly varied from 3 factors are availability, reliability and quality.



Figure 6: Relationship between MTBF and failure definition



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# 1.4 Statement of the problem

Referring to suitability requirements of industry elevator standards, (Buede, 1995) stated that the elevator should have MTBF at least more than 1 year (365 days) and the design target is 1.5 years (547.5 days).

Figure 7 illustrates performance of Existing Installation department to maintain BCBB elevator in terms of number of failure (FD1), monthly MTBF and Year-To-Date (YTD) MTBF. It can be seen that YTD MTBF of BCBB products in whole year 2014 is only 67 days which is worse than target in industry elevator standard.



Figure 7: MTBF of whole BCBB elevators in year 2014

#### Monthly MTBF

According to Figure 7, monthly MTBF can be calculated by following formula.

=  $\frac{(Calendar \ days \ of \ each \ month) x \ (Number \ of \ elevators \ in \ each \ month)}{Number \ of \ elevator's failure \ caused \ from \ product \ and \ maintenance \ in \ each \ month)}$ 

For example, the calendar days in January is 31 days and the number of BCBB elevators in January 2014 was 56 units. 33 telephone calls in January 2014 are FD1 failure. The calculation of monthly MTBF in January 2014 is shown below.

$$\text{MTBF} = \frac{31 \, x \, 56}{33} \cong 53 \text{ Days}$$

#### Yearly MTBF

=

Yearly MTBF can be calculated by following formula.

 $\frac{\Sigma\left(\left(\text{Calendar days of each month}\right) x\left(\text{Number of elevators in each month}\right)\right)}{\Sigma\left(\text{Number of elevator's failure caused from product and maintenance in each month}\right)}$ 

Summation of calendar days of each month multiply by number of elevators in each month was equal to 21525 days. There were 321 telephone calls in whole year 2014 are FD1 failure. The calculation of MTBF in year 2014 is shown below.

```
\frac{(31 \times 56) + (28 \times 56) + (31 \times 56) + (30 \times 56) + (31 \times 58) + (30 \times 61) + (31 \times 61) + (3
```

#### Failure reports

Failure reports of BCBB elevators (Figure 8) in whole year 2014 can be obtained from SAP database (Appendix A). However information in the failure reports is not ready to use for further analysis yet because elevator comprises complex combination of electrical components and mechanical components.

Country J	Equipme	City • Wee •	Mont - I	Notification da	• Notification ti	Evaluation/Event description
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004079 BANGKC	0K 2014.29	2014.07	15.07.2014	7:54:15	Jul 22: AESD had anything to do with this call back?
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004079 BANGKC	0K 2014.33	2014.08	17.08.2014	13:30:35	Aug 20: check again with JST to confirm, then update
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004079 BANGKO	K 2014.39	2014.09	24.09.2014	8:17:40	08.10: Raise GCM and return the PCBs for analysis> Can we raise a claim for free of charge? because now the
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.13	2014.03	26.03.2014	14:30:21	ปต.เปิดเปิดช้า
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.15	2014.04	13.04.2014	21:32:25	based on field feedback it was general landing door mechanical fault, to be confirmed with field.
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.39	2014.09	23.09.2014	6:20:35	05.11: Change CB_E to CB2.
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.41	2014.10	12.10.2014	13:30:45	15.10: Maybe the door be hit and caused the KOKB, auto set-up the car door and landing door.
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.44	2014.10	28.10.2014	8:13:21	04.11.2014: suggest field to check door mech. works smoothly, any damage to increase friction, or parameter of c
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.44	2014.10	29.10.2014	11:54:43	ค้างขึ้น 15 ปต.ปิด
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2014.49	2014.12	03.12.2014	10:40:59	b. UET bridged?
Thailand (JST)	JSG6000408C BANGKC	0K 2015.01	2014.12	29.12.2014	15:50:25	07.01: The lift was stopped on the 2nd floor due to KTC issue, field will replace KTC contact.
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	0K 2014.07	2014.02	10.02.2014	14:34:35	ค้างขึ้น 22 มีคนติดช่วยออกแล้ว
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	0K 2014.15	2014.04	09.04.2014	13:03:29	to check position contact KUET in F9, '1505 Invalid Floor Position during Trip' was found to lead to 476 and 477
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	K 2014.22	2014.05	29.05.2014	11:08:28	ค้างไม่วิ่ง
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	0K 2014.28	2014.07	08.07.2014	10:59:29	ปุ่มกดขึ้น 18,9 กดไม่ติด
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	0K 2014.31	2014.07	29.07.2014	9:56:16	05.08: CANCP pcb fault.
Thailand (JST)	JSG60004081BANGKC	0K 2014.41	2014.10	07.10.2014	14:03:16	15.10: If can replace the ASIXB pcb, please replace, otherwise repair it to avoid the IMOF switch change position

Figure 8: Failure reports in whole year 2014 deriving from SAP database

Table 4 shows that there were 53 uncategorised causes of FD1 failure were preliminary analysed and reported by technicians after performing corrective maintenance in whole year 2014. These 53 uncategorised causes of FD1 failure can be merged into a group of component in order to highlight a key driver of FD1 failure.

No.	Cause of failure	Number of failure	Percentage	Percentage cumulative	A group of component
1	Car Operating Panel	27	9.06%	9.06%	Fixtures
2	KTS (Hoistway door contact) - Mech. Adj.	24	8.05%	17.11%	Door system
3	Landing Door	19	6.38%	23.49%	Door system
4	Shaft information	17	5.70%	29.19%	Shaft Information
5	Landing door locking	15	5.03%	34.23%	Door system
6	LOP defective	14	4.70%	38.93%	Fixtures
7	GCIO (Global Controller I/O MX)	13	4.36%	43.29%	GCIOCF
8	Power Supply	12	4.03%	47.32%	Controller
9	BCM PCBA	12	4.03%	51.34%	Brake
10	ASIXx	11	3.69%	55.03%	ASIXB
11	Speed governor	11	3.69%	58.72%	Governor
12	ACVF	10	3.36%	62.08%	Drive
13	Door Drive Parameter	9	3.02%	65.10%	Door system
14	Software Bug	9	3.02%	68.12%	Controller
15	Door Drive	8	2.68%	70.81%	Door system
16	Blocked with unknown reason	6	2.01%	72.82%	Lack of information
17	Maintenance & safety devices/contacts	6	2.01%	74.83%	Shaft information
18	Car Door	6	2.01%	76.85%	Door system
19	Hoistway mechanical	6 DNGKORN	2.01%	78.86%	Car Damping Device
20	Main boards/controller	5	1.68%	80.54%	Controller
21	Door steel rope	5	1.68%	82.21%	Door system
22	CAN Bus	4	1.34%	83.56%	Communication
23	KTC (Car door contact)	4	1.34%	84.90%	Door system
24	KTC (Car door contact) - Mech. Adj.	3	1.01%	85.91%	Door system
25	Light Curtain	3	1.01%	86.91%	Door system
26	Transmission cable	3	1.01%	87.92%	Door system
27	Customer assistance	2	0.67%	88.59%	Function
28	Car Connectors/Wiring	2	0.67%	89.26%	Fixtures
29	KTS (Hoistway door contact)	2	0.67%	89.93%	Door system
30	Carrier rollers	2	0.67%	90.60%	Door system
31	KSSBV (Slack Rope Speed Governor)	2	0.67%	91.28%	Governor

Table 4: Causes of FD1 of BCBB products in year 2014

No.	Cause of failure	Number of failure	Percentage	Percentage cumulative	A group of component
32	Landing	2	0.67%	91.95%	Fixtures
	operating/indication				
	panel				
33	Shaft Encoder (IG)	2	0.67%	92.62%	Shaft
			0.670	00.000/	Information
34	Door mechanic	2	0.67%	93.29%	Door system
35	Door Clutch	2	0.67%	93.96%	Door system
36	Battery	1	0.34%	94.30%	Battery
	defective/uncharged				
37	Landing door panel	1	0.34%	94.63%	Door system
38	KB/KB1 adjustment	1	0.34%	94.97%	Brake
39	LMD Calibration	1	0.34%	95.30%	Load system
40	BIO Bus	1	0.34%	95.64%	Communication
41	Machine & drive	1	0.34%	95.97%	Machine
42	Safety gear		0.34%	96.31%	Car safety gear
43	Door bottom shoes		0.34%	96.64%	Door system
44	Door electric	1	0.34%	96.98%	Door system
45	KNE (final limit)		0.34%	97.32%	Shaft
		Marca Sam			Information
46	Operator toothed belt	1	0.34%	97.65%	Door system
47	External influence	1	0.34%	97.99%	External
					influence
48	Misuse		0.34%	98.32%	Lack of
	4 W 16	A 11 3 516 64 141	13/18/16/8		information
49	LOP Connectors/Wiring	ONGKOR <b>1</b>	0.34%	98.66%	Fixtures
50	SCPU (Microprocessor	1	0.34%	98.99%	CPUCF
	PCB)				
51	Car lighting	1	0.34%	99.33%	Car lighting
52	Load measuring device	1	0.34%	99.66%	Load system
53	Car door lock	1	0.34%	100.00%	Door system

Table 4: Causes of FD1 of BCBB products in year 2014 (Cont.)



largest proportion (37%) of a group of component of FD1 failure in year 2014.

According to Table 4, Figure 9 summarises that door system accounts for the

Figure 9: A group of component of FD1 failure of BCBB products in year 2014



In a big picture, door system comprise 2 primary parts are car door (Figure 10) and landing door (Figure 11).



Figure 10: Car door



Figure 11: Landing door

### Why-why analysis

Why-why analysis is used as a tool to identify potential root cause of failure from door system.

Since door system of BCBB product are also supplied by offshore manufacturer for car door and by supplier for landing door , Figure 12 demonstrates that the root cause of failure comes from poor preventive maintenance plan.



Figure 12: Why-why analysis of door system

Figure 13 shows that there are 5 Area Managers, 14 Supervisors and 148 service technicians work for Existing Installation departments. Total numbers of elevators, escalators and moving walks in Thailand's portfolio are 4952 units. It implies that one service technician has to maintain elevators, escalators and moving walks =  $\frac{4952}{148} = 34$  units.



Figure 13: Organisation chart for preventive and corrective maintenance in Existing Installation department

According to current manpower capacity, preventive maintenance schedule for individual elevator, escalator and moving walk are planned to perform by monthly visit by one technician. Since technicians work 6 days/week = 24 days/month, it implies 34

that in day technicians have to perform preventive maintenance =  $\frac{34}{24}$  = 1.5 units/day.

For a normal process, Table 5 demonstrates that a technician takes approximately 2 hours to perform preventive maintenance on low-rise elevator, 3 hours on medium-rise elevator and 4 hours on high-rise elevator.
Elevator product	Travel height	Approximate time to perform preventive maintenance
CCCC	< 30 metres	2 hours
BBBB, BCBB	30 – 100 metres	3 hours
AAAA	> 100 metres	4 hours

Table 5: Approximate time to perform preventive maintenance

From Table 5, it implies that max capacity of technicians to perform preventive maintenance is 2 units/day. Therefore there are only 12 number of failures/month or 3 number of failures/week left for technicians to performing corrective maintenance to elevator's failure. If total number of failures are over 12, it will definitely interrupt preventive maintenance schedule of the other unit in that month as preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance of the same elevator are performed by the same technician.

To elaborate on one of worse old units in year 2014, Figure 14 shows that equipment number 60004539 had 12 total number of failures in year 2014 or equal to 1 number of failure/month. It implies that as one technician has to maintain 34 units/month, he has to perform another 34 times/month for corrective maintenance which it is already over maximum capacity.

Figure 14 also shows that door system which comprises door mechanic and door electric accounts for the largest causes =  $\frac{(7+1)}{12} \times 100 = 66.67$  % of total failures.

In terms MTBF which excluded non technical callback, MTBF score of this unit is equal to  $\frac{365}{10}$  = 36.5 days.



Figure 14: Causes of failure of equipment number 60004539 in year 2014

It can be seen from current situation in Existing Installation department that preventive maintenance activities of each product are referred from existing preventive maintenance checklist document. However Figure 15 shows that details of preventive maintenance checklist are not specific enough for performing preventive maintenance

to any elevator product.



Figure 15: Existing checklist document for traction elevator

Moreover there is no regular training course and reference manual providing to technicians. Therefore it is unable to measure and control skill of technicians which directly affect to preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance quality of BCBB product. It also takes time for technicians to learn how to correctly perform preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance of new product by trial and error which it is already too late for customers.

Wrong understanding to perform preventive maintenance or corrective maintenance can lead elevators to have another failure before performing preventive maintenance in the next month

## 1.5 Objectives

To develop a preventive maintenance plan of door system of BCBB product to replace existing preventive maintenance plan.

## 1.6 Scope of the research

1. To focus on preventive maintenance plan of door system of BCBB product due to limitation of resources in terms of urgency, skill of technicians and budget

2. To reduce number of failures from door system of BCBB product

3. To implement on old and new BCBB elevators which have been used in normal operation more than 6 months. These two elevators have similar configuration in terms of door size, rated speed, rated load, numbers of floor, numbers of car group (Appendix A)

#### 1.7 Expected benefit

#### **ก**ลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาล**ั**ย

- 1. Increase effectiveness and efficiency of preventive maintenance plan
- 2. Increase availability, reliability and quality of BCBB product
- 3. Improve overall MTBF of BCBB product
- 4. Increase customer satisfaction and maintain existing customers
- 5. Sustain revenue of Existing Installation department
- 6. Develop technical skill of field staffs
- 7. Be an example model for other units of BCBB product

#### 1.8 Methodology

Research methodology contains following steps.

#### Step 1: Build task force team

This research cannot be implemented without having collaboration with others. The main members of taskforce team must come from technical people work for technical & field support department, Existing Installation department and purchasing department. The objective of constructing taskforce team is to align goal, get necessary data and information, brainstorm idea and get permission to implement (Ben-Daya, 2009, Spring, 1995).

#### Step 2: Perform FMEA

Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) will be used as a systematic tool in order to find out possible failure modes, possible causes of failure mode and possible effects of failure mode of door system. Severity, Occurrence and Detection will be assigned to each failure mode in order to calculate Risk Priority Number (RPN) and create corrective actions for step 3 to step 6.

#### Step 3: Create troubleshooting manual

Troubleshooting manual will be created in Thai and English language contained instruction of how to troubleshoot problem based on corrective actions from FMEA.

#### Step 4: Revise preventive maintenance schedule

New preventive maintenance schedule will be revised based on RPN setting from FMEA.

#### Step 5: Revise checklist document for door system

Since current checklist document doesn't help service technicians to perform preventive maintenance correctly. New checklist document will be revised based on corrective actions from FMEA as well.

#### Step 6: Develop technical training course and evaluation system

Technical training course and evaluation system will be developed and trained to service technicians who responsible for selected BCBB product. A service technician must pass the assessment criteria before performing an improvement of preventive maintenance plan to selected units.

Step 7: Implement to selected units

An improvement of preventive maintenance plan will be implemented into 2 selected units. The result will be measured by 6-month period by comparing number of failures from door system between May 2014 - October 2014 (before) and May 2015 - October 2015 (after). The number of failures must be reduced by 50%.

#### CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORIES CONSIDERATION

#### 1.9 Maintenance Principle

According to British Standards Institution (Dekker, 1996), maintenance can refer to the integration of technical and administrative actions in order to retain system in a state of required function.

(Kothamasu et al., 2009) stated that performing assessment on machine health can help the organisation to minimise maintenance and repair costs and also unscheduled downtime. In doing so, Kinclaid (1987 cited in (Ben-Daya, 2009)) mentioned that maintenance philosophies consist of 2 primary approaches are reactive maintenance and proactive maintenance as demonstrated in Figure 16. Corrective maintenance is classified as reactive maintenance. It is suitable to implement when failure rate of machine is low and consequence of failure is not critical. The maintenance activity of corrective maintenance will be started after the failure was occurred (Williams et al., 1994; Sheu and Krajewski, 1994; Blanchard et al., 1995 cited in (Ben-Daya, 2009)). Whereas preventive maintenance and predictive maintenance are classified as proactive maintenance because maintenance activity will be performed before the machine has a failure (Mobley, 1990 cited in (Ben-Daya, 2009)).



Figure 16: Taxonomy of maintenance philosophies

## (Adopted from Kinclaid, 1987 cited in (Ben-Daya, 2009))

Swanson (1997 cited in (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) stated that there are 3 primary activities in modern maintenance management are maintenance planning (work load forecast, scheduling), maintenance organisation (work design, standard) and maintenance control (inventory, cost, quality). Swanson (1997 cited in (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) believed that in order to manage maintenance effectively and efficiently, the organisation must have a clear understanding in maintenance management process and maintenance management framework.

## 1.10 Maintenance Management Process and Planning

In terms of maintenance management process, (Crespo Márquez, 2007) stated that the elements of maintenance management process comprise course of action, maintenance planning, maintenance scheduling, managing maintenance actions execution, maintenance assessment, ensuring continuous improvement and considering equipment re-design. To focus on maintenance planning, (Crespo Márquez, 2007) proposed the model of maintenance planning as illustrated in Figure 17.



Figure 17: Maintenance Task and capacity planning Model

(Adopted from (Crespo Márquez, 2007))

(Al-Turki, 2009) believed that maintenance planning and scheduling process can

divide into 3 periods are short-term planning (daily to weekly), medium-term planning

(monthly to yearly) and long-term planning (several years).

#### 1.11 Preventive Maintenance Programme and Maintenance Strategies

In terms of maintenance management framework, there are 2 schools of thought proposed by Wireman (1998 cited (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) as shown in Figure 18 and Campbell (1995 cited (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) as shown in Figure 19.

Wireman (1998 (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) believed that preventive maintenance programme is a ground step of maintenance management and we can move to the further step when in the number of corrective maintenance is in low level.

(Rungsa Em-ardchaya and Tangjitsitcharoen, 2014) proposed application of computerised preventive maintenance management system (CPMMS) integrated with failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) for CNC machine. Setting of risk priority number (RPN) which comprises severity (S), occurrence (O) and detection (D) from FMEA can be set as a standard to generate a new preventive maintenance plan automatically. This application is able to increase overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) of CNC machine.



Figure 18: Wireman's maintenance framework

(Adopted from Wireman, 1998 cited in (Crespo Márquez, 2007))

Campbell (1995 cited in (Crespo Márquez, 2007)) believed that developing maintenance strategies to align with business plan and creating cultural change in organisation are the basis step of maintenance management framework.



Figure 19: Campbell's maintenance framework

(Adopted from Campbell, 1995 cited in (Crespo Márquez, 2007))

#### 1.12 Measureable Indicators and Key Factors of Maintenance Performance

(Parida and Kumar, 2009) stated that availability, mean time between failure (MTBF), failure frequency and mean time to repair (MTTR) of the machine are measurable indicators of maintenance performance. And in order to increase productivity of maintenance performance, Raouf (1994 cited in (Ben-Daya, 2009)) believed that organisation policy, management training, planner training, technical training, motivation, scheduling, preventive maintenance history, condition monitoring, information system are key factors.

# 1.13 Failure Mode and Effect Analysis and Reliability Centred Maintenance Team

(Ben-Daya, 2009, Crespo Márquez, 2007) stated that Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) is an essential part of Reliability Centred Maintenance (RCM) because FMEA enables organisation to mitigate the risk of machine's failure, increase reliability,

increase customer's satisfaction and optimise maintenance efforts.

To make RCM success, (Ben-Daya, 2009) believed that the organisation must build RCM team from different functions in the organisation as shown in Figure 20. It will enable everyone to have alignment, commitment, understanding and confidence (Ben-Daya, 2009).



Figure 20: Example of RCM review team (Adopted from (Ben-Daya, 2009))

## 1.14 Tools to Increase Reliability of Metro Door System

For metro door system, there are a complex combination between mechanical and electrical component and the number of door operation is in a high frequency. (Liu et al., 2013) stated that there is a high possibility that mechanical or electrical component of door will be failure.

(Liu et al., 2013) used Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) and Fuzzy Reasoning Petri Net (FRPN) to analyse reliability of metro door system.

(Xia et al., 2013) used critical analysis of common failure modes on train door system to create door maintenance plan.

(Guo et al., 2013) used risk-based maintenance (RBM) which included FMEA tool to identify and eliminate potential failure of metro door system in order to create maintenance decision. (Guo et al., 2013) stated that the optimum cycle date of maintenance in metro door system should be 57 days. Based on common faults of train door, (Zubrzycki, 2010) used FMEA to develop a fault tolerant train door controller in order to improve train door reliability and reduce time to identify a fault during corrective and preventive maintenance. The possible failure modes of mechanical and electrical components of train door are shown as Table 6 and Table 7 below.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

Failure mode	Effect of failure	Detection method	
Rollers faults	- Rollers are blocked or have	- Measure time of door	
	difficulty moving smoothly	movement	
	across the	- Detect by distance sensor	
	door guide		
	- Motor may be not able to		
	move door		
	panels		
	- The door being put out of		
	service		
	- Increase the door opening		
	/ closing time		
Linear shaft assembly	- Increase friction	- Measure time of door	
	- Difficulties in door	movement	
	movement	- Detect by distance sensor	
Push button fault	- Button cannot work when	- Check during maintenance	
1 Alexandre	pressed		
Ball bearing fault	- Increase noise of door	- Monitor by system	
ýw 6	movement	temperature or current	
GHUL	ALONGKORN UNIVERSITY	change	
Door panels misalignment	- Bang noise and lateral	- Detect by distance sensor	
	movement	or current change	
System overheat	- Door controller shuts	- Monitor by system	
	down	temperature	
Motor brushes wear	- Increase door motor	- Monitor by temperature	
	temperature	sensor	
	- Door motor is unable to	- Optical encoder	
	function		

Table 6: Possible failure modes of mechanical components

Failure mode	Effect of failure	Detection method
Sensor fault	- Interference	- Optical encoder
Wrong motor control signal	- No door movement	- Internal micro controller
or no signal		function
Optical encoder failure	- High movement speed	- Additional sensor
	- Noise	
Defective sound alert	- No sound information for	- Check during maintenance
	passengers when door is	
	closing	

Table 7: Possible failure mode of electrical components



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University (Pandey et al., 2013) proposed FMEA as a tool for analysing safety functions of

automatic door operation of modern railway system as shown in Table 8.

Component	Failure Modes	Effects	Mitigation
Door Open / Close	- Door does not open/close when required	- Door permanently will be in opened /closed mode	- Hardware (HW) may be damage, need to check the mechanical failures
	- Open / Close when not required	- Door open /close when train is in running mode	- HW may be damage, need to check the mechanical failures
	- Door stuck in open / close position	- Door always is in opened or closed state.	- HW may be damage, need to check the mechanical failures
Door motor failure	- Motor not working	- Door will be in opened and closed state	- HW may be damage, need to check motor condition
	- Motor stops in between	- Door will jam	- HW may be damage, need to check motor condition
	- Motor speed is high/ low	- Rapid/slow closure of door	- HW may be damage, need to check motor interfacing unit

Table 8: FMEA of automatic door operation of railway system

Component	Failure Modes	Effects	Mitigation
Door power supply	- High voltage	- Door will remain open/close	<ul> <li>HW component may get damaged due to high voltage, have to check power supply</li> </ul>
	- Low voltage	- Door will not open/close	- Have to check power supply
	- Variable voltage	- Door will not open/close	HW component may get damaged due to unstable voltage, have to check power supply
Sensor	- Fast detection	- Door will open immediately before required time	- Have to check SW of delay time
	- Slow detection	- Door will open late after required time	- Have to check SW of delay time
	- No detection	- Door will not open/close	- HW may be damage, need to check power source
Timing	- High delay	- Door will not open/close or function properly	- HW may be damage, need to check SW of delay time
	- Low delay	- Door will not open/close or function properly	- HW may be damage, need to check SW of delay time

Table 8: FMEA of automatic door operation of railway system (Cont.)

## 1.15 Door Maintenance of Elevator Business in Korea

For elevator business in Korea, (Park and Yang, 2011) used FMEA for identifying risk of failure for elevator maintenance which sources of FMEA were collected based on failure statistic. (Park and Yang, 2011) mentioned that an elevator requires regular check-up period not only to maintain original function but also to ensure performance, quality, safety, reliability and comfort which will be delivered to users. (Park and Yang, 2011) proposed a guidance of effective door maintenance for elevator as shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Door maintenance guidance for elevator (Adopted from (Park and Yang, 2011))

Part name	Inspection method	Fault features	Effect of failure	Counter- measure	Inspection cycle (month)
Guide shoe	Visual, measurement	Wear, corrosion	Door separation	Repair/change	1
Hanger roller	Visual, Operation test	Bearing fault	Noise, vibration	Repair/change	1
Interlock switch	Visual, Operation test	Aging, wear	Operation shutdown	Repair/change	3
Door motor	Visual, Operation test	Motor overheat	Operation shutdown	Repair/change	1
Door switch	Visual, Operation test	Aging	Operation shutdown	Change	1

#### CHAPTER III

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The idea of research methodology is derived from literature review and theories consideration. Since a high number of failures of door system of BCBB product is a key driver of elevator's company to immediately improve preventive maintenance plan in order to reduce number of corrective maintenances which directly affects to workload of technicians and also capacity planning of Existing Installation department.

Preventive maintenance plan is a basic step for continuously improving maintenance's strategy to align with manufacturing strategy and business's strategy as current strategy was moved to cost reduction. Therefore it also requires people from related departments for brainstorming.

A source of preventive maintenance plan of BCBB product can be constructed based on historical failures as same as an improvement of preventive maintenance of train's door system. It is because elevator's door system has similar characteristic as metro's door system in terms of transportation system, frequency of operations, design which comprise a complex combination of mechanical and electrical components.

The concept of an improvement of preventive maintenance plan of BCBB product is referred the idea from applications of FMEA in train's door system and CPMMS in CNC machine. FMEA enables engineers to systematically identify the root cause of failure from door system, assign tailored severity (S), occurrence (O) and detection (D) and calculate RPN in order to prioritise corrective actions. An improvement of preventive maintenance plan will be implemented based on prioritisation of corrective actions as similar as CPMMS of CNC machine but it is different only there will be unable to generate plan automatically. As a result, the number of failures from door system will be reduced and MTBF score will be increased. We are able to continuously recalculate RPN to continuously improve a preventive maintenance plan because severity and occurrence of each failure mode will be reduced continuously.



In summary, big picture of research methodology is demonstrated as Figure 21.

Figure 21: An improvement of preventive maintenance plan integrated with FMEA

structure

## 1.16 Build taskforce team

Since MTBF is a KPI of Existing Installation department, Existing Installation Director was a responsible person who leaded a kick off meeting in order to build a sense of urgency to all relevant people and to form taskforce team. According to introduction chapter, Figure 22 shows where to get technical people to form taskforce team from organisation chart. Taskforce team comprised people from technical & field support department, Existing Installation department and purchasing department.



Figure 22: Organisation chart



Figure 23 shows that product line expert was an intermediate person who exchanged necessary data and information in taskforce team.

Roles & responsibilities in taskforce team are defined as Table 10 below.

Table 10: Role & responsibility of team member

No.	Role & responsibility	Who
1	- Collected failure reports from SAP database in whole year	Product line expert
	2014	
2	- Brainstormed for FMEA analysis and corrective actions	Product line expert
	based on failure reports	El director
		Area manager
	s bill it a .	Supervisor
3	- Discussed failure reports for further actions such as	Product line expert
	analysing an elevator which has repetitive failures	El director
	- Monitored MTBF score and number of elevator's failures	TF manager
		Parent company
4	- Developed training course and evaluation system in order	Product line expert
	to increase technical skill and certified field staffs	Technical trainer
		TF manager
	8 S	El director
5	- Recorded mechanical and electrical component claim for	Product line expert
	further use จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย	TQM officer
	Chulalongkorn University	Parent company
6	- Recorded spare part usage for further use	Product line expert
		Purchasing manager



Figure 24 shows that bi-weekly meeting was set up in order to start up FMEA analysis and to update progress after implementing corrective actions.

Figure 24: Bi-weekly meeting



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

## 1.17 Identify failure mode of door system

#### Elevator structure

Since elevator system consists of complex combination of mechanical and electrical components, it is necessary to review elevator's structure before performing FMEA of door system. Figure 25 illustrates how field staffs obtain error codes of door system from relationship between elevator's controller, car and door system.



Car door is a door which is installed at elevator's car whereas landing door is a door which is installed at every building floor. Description of how door system operate is shown in Appendix B.

In terms of electrical system, Table 11 described that elevator contains 3 types of electrical system which are power supply, safety circuit and communication.

Table 11: Electrical system of elevator

Electrical	Description			
system	Description			
	- 3 phases power supply (380 VAC) for Variable Frequency Drive			
Power supply	and Motor			
Fower supply	- 1 phase power supply (220 VAC) for lighting and car door drive			
	- 24 VDC transformer for controller			
	- 24 VDC when safety circuit close			
	- 60 VDC when safety circuit open			
	- Safety T4 stands for car door contact			
Safety circuit	- Safety T5 stands for landing door contact			
	SK T1 T2 T3A T4 T5 T6			
	- Ethernet for elevator group control			
Communication	- RS422 between controller and VF Drive			
	- 24 VDC CAN bus between controller and car			
	- 24 VDC BIO bus between controller and landing indicator panel			
	- 24 VDC intercom			

Failure of mechanical components and electrical components of BCBB elevator are displayed in terms of error code between number 0 and 9999 which is generated and recorded by elevator's controller. However, in normal process, technicians were unable to further analyse the root cause from controller's display during preventive maintenance or corrective maintenance without special tools. According to elevator structure (Figure 25), Table 12 shows that there are 2 special tools using for analyse failure modes of door system are troubleshooting software and remote monitoring tool. Explanation of these 2 special tools are shown in Appendix C.

Table 12: How to obtain error code

Way to analyse error code	Authorisation level	Technical skill
Troubleshooting software	Supervisors	Intermediate
Remote monitoring tool	Product line expert	Advance

In order to identify potential failure mode of door system which was the first step of performing FMEA, these 2 special tools were used for pairing failure modes of door system with the same date and time of failure reports (Appendix D) which has causes of elevator's failure from door system in whole year 2014 because there were a mix of error codes contained in log files from this 2 special tools.

Table 13 shows that there are 24 potential failure modes which comprise 23 potential failure modes which obtained from elevator's controller and another 1 potential failure mode which initiated from customer's complaint.

No	Potential failure mode		
NO.	Error code	Error description	
1	148	Safety T4	
2	149	Safety T5	
3	202	Door Operation Error	
4	203	Thermo Door Motor	
5	204	Door Reverse Device Error	
6	207	Close Sequence Error	
7	208	Door Device Error	
8	212	Open Sequence KOKB	
9	214	RPHT Continuous Activation	
10	217	KSKB Continuous Activation	
11	252	Door Unavailable	
12	709	Safety Circuit	
13	832	wKET-S2 Failure	
14	834	wMotor Over Temperature	
15	838	eLocking Jam	
16	839	eUnlocking Jam	
17	840	NGT 24VDC Over 5% Limit	
18	841	NGT 24 VDC Under 5% Limit	
19	842	NGT 24 VDC Over 10% Limit	
20	843	NGT 24VDC Under 10% Limit	
21	844	ePower Door Off	
22	850	eOver Voltage	
23	1301	CAN Missing Node	
24	-	Customer complaint	

Table 13: Potential failure modes of door system

## 1.18 Identify potential effects of failure

According to introduction chapter, availability, reliability and quality are 3 elements of failure definition which can be perceived by customers and field staffs. Therefore potential effects of failure were collected from failure reports in whole year 2014 and from direct experience from product line expert, technicians and supervisors and customers during previous corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance.



Chulalongkorn University

Potential effects of failure are shown as Table 14 below.

Table 14: Potential effects of failure

No.	Potential effects of failure
1	Elevator emergency stops during normal running
2	Door close but elevator cannot start running
3	Elevator cannot start a trip
4	Car door cannot close
5	Car door cannot open
6	Landing door cannot close
7	Landing door cannot open
8	Door close and open many times before it is stuck in the middle
9	Door stop moving (close/open) during normal operation
10	Door is stuck while opening
11	Door is stuck while closing
12	Door cannot open or close
13	Elevator is temporary unavailable
14	Elevator cannot use
15	COP button cannot register a call
16	LOP button cannot register a call
17	Door close/open very slow sometimes
18	Car door panel is shaking while elevator running
19	Door close with noise
20	Scratch on car door panel
21	Scratch on landing door panel

According to Table 13 and Table 14, it can be seen from real situation that one failure mode can have more than one potential effect of failure. For example, error code 148 "Safety T4" can have car door cannot close, car door closed but elevator cannot run and elevator emergency stops during normal running as effects of failure.

## 1.19 Identify potential causes of failure

As same as train's door system, high number of door operation enables mechanical and electrical components of door system to have failure if there is lack of proper preventive maintenance. Hence potential causes of failure of door system can be identified based on product breakdown structure of car door and landing door.

Figure 26 shows that car door contains a complex combination of electrical and mechanical components which are equipped in 3 primary positions of car door.



Figure 26: Car door components

Figures 27, 28 and 29 demonstrate component's picture and component's name of car door which are potential causes of failure.



Figure 27: Top part of car door



Figure 28: Middle part of car door



Figure 29: Bottom part of car door

Similar to car door, Figure 30 shows complex combination of mechanical components and electrical components which are equipped at 3 primary positions of landing door.



Figure 30: Landing door component

Figures 31, 32 and 33 demonstrate component's name and component's

picture of car door which are potential causes of failure.



Figure 31: Top part of landing door



Figure 32: Middle part of landing door



Figure 33: Bottom part of landing door

According to failure reports, Table 15 illustrates example mechanical components and electrical components which are potential causes of failure.

Table 15: Potential causes of failure

	Potential		
No.	cause of	Component	Photo
	failure		
1	Wear and tear	Hook limiter	
2	Broken	Landing door contact	
	Potential		
-----	-----------	---	--
No.	cause of	Component	Photo
	failure		
3	Missing	Landing door panel rubber stopper	
4	Defective	Human Machine Interface	-INSPSETUP - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -
5	Damaged	Landing door contact	

Table 15: Potential causes of failure (Cont.)

	Potential		
No.	cause of	Component	Photo
	failure		
6	Stretched	Car door transmission rope	
7	Misaligned	Landing door contact	
8	Dirty	Car header	

Table 15: Potential causes of failure (Cont.)

No.	Potential cause of	Component	Photo
9	Stuck	SW lock roller	
10	Hit	Clutch cam	

Table 15: Potential causes of failure (Cont.)

### 1.20 Identify ability to detect failure mode

According to company's strategy, elevator system is designed to only detect failure mode but not to rectify failure mode automatically in order to ensure safety to passenger and to not make mechanical components and electrical components have more failure.

In normal process, there are 4 useful detection methods which technicians have been used during preventive maintenance but these 4 detection methods were not clearly defined and properly used in current checklist document. 4 detection methods comprise visual, clean, measurement and function as shown in Table 16.

Table 16: 4 detection methods

Detection method	Basic Tool
Visual	Eyes, ears
Measure	Ruler, measurement tape
Function	Hands, triangle unlocking key
Clean CHUL	Vacuum cleaner, rug, brush

Since there is no special tool provided for technicians, these 4 detection methods were used as a substituted tool to guide technicians to identify potential causes of failure of mechanical components and electrical components of door system. Table 17 demonstrates relationship between possible causes of failure of mechanical and electrical components and detection method.

No.	Potential cause of failure	Detection method
1	Wear and tear	Visual / Function
2	Broken	Visual
3	Missing	Visual
4	Defective	Function
5	Damaged	Visual
6	Stretched	Measure
7	Misaligned	Visual/ Measure/Function
8	Dirty	Clean
9	Stuck	Function
10	Hit	Visual/ Function

Table 17: 10 characteristic of cause of failure



# 1.21 Assign severity

To adapt the idea from (Spring, 1995), sources of assigning severity was derived from failure reports and direct experiences from field staffs and customers as mentioned earlier.

Table 18 demonstrated 10 tailored levels of severity in the perception of potential effects to passenger, elevator's component and company reputation.



Table 18: Severity level for door system

Soverity	Effect to customer/ elevator's component/			
Seventy	company reputation	Kaliking		
Hazardous	Car damaged while elevator is running in rated			
without worning	speed caused passenger to fatality and totally	10		
without warning	destroy company brand			
Hazardous with	Door opened while elevator is running in rated speed			
warning	caused passenger to fatality and totally destroy	9		
warning	company brand			
Very High	Elevator emergency stopped while running caused	8		
Very high	passenger to get major injure	0		
High	Elevator emergency stopped while running caused	7		
High	passenger to get minor injure	,		
Moderate	Elevator permanent blocked before starting or	6		
Moderate	ending a trip caused passenger to trap	0		
Low	Elevator temporary blocked for a few minutes before	ц		
	resuming as normal	5		
Verylow	Elevator cannot operate / is unavailable to serve a	4		
	car call and landing call	-		
Minor	Door operate not smooth/ unusual noise from	3		
	normal operation	5		
Very Minor	Scratch on panel / quality issue/ cleanliness	2		
None	No effect	1		

In some failure modes such as safety T4 and safety T5 can have more than one effect of failure. Therefore, to standardise process, taskforce team decided to use the highest potential effect of failure for assigning S.

### 1.22 Assign occurrence

The idea of assigning occurrence was derived from MTBF calculation which is measured by number of days and from number of door operations which was derived from remote monitoring tool.

Referring to statistic between July and December 2014 of old BCBB elevator (equipment number 60004539) as shown in Appendix C, the average number of door operation per day for medium-rise building is 1,250 times. Therefore failure probability can be defined in terms of number of days or number of door operations as shown in Table 19.

Failure probability	Failure probability	Failure probability	Ranking
1 day	1,250 trips	Failure is almost inevitable	10
3 days	3,750 trips	Failure is almost inevitable	9
7 days	8,750 trips	Repeated failures	8
15 days	18,750 trips	Repeated failures	7
30 days	37,500 trips	Occasional failures	6
60 days	75,000 trips	Occasional failures	5
90 days	112,500 trips	Occasional failures	4
180 days	225,000 trips	Relatively few failures	3
1 years	456,250 trips	Relatively few failures	2
> 2 years	912,500 trips	Failure is unlikely	1

Table 19: Occurrence level for door system

# 1.23 Assign detection

The idea of assigning detection was derived from characteristic of elevator's failure which is designed to not automatically recover by elevator itself, investigation of failure mode and rectified activity are performed by technicians during preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance only. Therefore, detection level of each failure mode is assigned to 10.



จุฬาลงกรณีมหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# 1.24 Calculate RPN

RPN is a product of Severity (S), Occurrence (O) and Detection (D), (Spring, 1995).

Table 20 illustrates examples of RPN calculation.

Tabla	20.	Evom	nloc	of PDN	coloui	lation
ruble	20.	LXUITI	pies	OJ NPIN	CUICU	.auon

Frror	Potential	Potential	Potential				
	failure	effects of	causes	S	ο	D	RPN
code	mode	failure	of failure				
148	Safety T4	Elevator emergency stops during normal running	Stretched	6	2	10	240
148	Safety T4	Elevator emergency stops during normal running	Dirty	6	4	10	240
149	Safety T5	Elevator emergency stops during normal running	Damaged	6	4	10	240

Frror	Potential	Potential	Potential				
	failure	effects of	causes	S	ο	D	RPN
code	mode	failure	of failure				
149	Safety T5	Elevator	Stuck	6	2	10	120
		emergency					
		stops during					
		normal					
		running					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
149	Safety T5	Elevator	Misaligned	6	5	10	300
		emergency					
		stops during	0 5 0 5 0 5 0				
		normal	la notice				
		running					
		8					
212	Open	Door is stuck	Misaligned	5	5	10	250
	sequence	while opening					
	КОКВ	CHULAL					
			wer st there 1 20				
834	wMotor	LOP button	Hit	4	2	10	80
	Over	cannot					
	Temperat	register a call	Date is call to the call of th				
	ure		Alexandre Character and Alexandre Alexan				
			66683				
			The second secon				

Table 20: Examples of RPN calculation (Cont.)

L

Error	Potential	Potential	Potential				
	failure	effects of	causes	S	ο	D	RPN
code	mode	failure	of failure				
850	eOver voltage	Door close/open very slow sometimes	Wear and tear	4	2	10	80
-	Complaint	Door close with noise	Missing	4	6	10	240

Table 20: Examples of RPN calculation (Cont.)

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University In summary, Table 21 illustrates all combination of potential failure modes with

RPN calculation.

Table 21: All combination of failure modes with RPN calculation

Гинон	Potential	Potential				
Error	Failure	Causes	s	ο	D	RPN
code	Mode	of Failure				
148	Safety T4	Worn out/broken KTC	6	4	10	240
		Misaligned KTC	6	5	10	300
		Car door transmission cable is too	6	2	10	120
		loose/damaged				
		Rubber from carrier roller is stuck on car	6	8	10	480
		door track				
		Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480
149	Safety T5	Worn out/broken KTS	6	4	10	240
		Misaligned KTS	6	5	10	300
		Landing door self-close spring worn	6	4	10	240
		out/broken				
		Landing door transmission cable damaged	6	2	10	120
	จุหาะ	Rubber from upper roller is stuck on	6	8	10	480
	CHULAI	Rubhish is stuck inside landing door sill	6	8	10	480
		Landing door unlocking device is stuck	6	2	10	120
202	Door Operation Error	Car door drive is defective	0	2	10	160
202	Therma Dear Motor	Hack limit roller worp out	о Е	2	10	100
203	Thermo Door Motor		5	2	10	200
		Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	5	6	10	300
204	Door Reverse Device	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480
	Error	Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill	6	8	10	480
207	Close Sequence Error	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480
		Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill	6	8	10	480
208	Door Device Error	This error will come with other errors	7	4	10	280
		Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120

Error	Potential	Potential				
codo	Failure	Causes	s	ο	D	RPN
coue	Mode	of Failure				
212	Open Sequence KOKB	Hook limit roller worn out	5	5	10	250
		Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	5	6	10	300
		No gap between eccentric roller and	5	4	10	200
		landing door track				
		Tension of car door is too loose	5	5	10	250
		Car door clutch is dirty/stuck/ worn out	5	8	10	400
		Landing door rubber stopper is missing/	5	2	10	100
		worn out				
214	RPHT Continuous	Light curtain is dirty	5	7	10	350
	Activation					
217	KSKB Continuous	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480
	Activation	Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill	6	8	10	480
	2	Tension of car door belt is too loose	6	5	10	300
252	Door Unavailable	Car door drive is defective	4	2	10	80
709	Safety Circuit	This error generate from drive side, so we	6	8	10	480
		have to check with other errors				
832	wKET-S2 Failure	KET-S2 worn out/broken	6	4	10	240
	CHULAI	Misaligned KET-S2	6	5	10	300
834	wMotor Over	Car door drive is defective	4	2	10	80
	Temperature	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	6	5	10	300
838	eLocking Jam	Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120
		Distance between car door clutch and cam	6	4	10	240
		plate is wrong				
839	eUnlocking Jam	Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120
		Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	6	5	10	300
		SW lock roller is worn out/broken	6	3	10	180
		Worn out Car guide rollers	6	2	10	120
		Hook limit roller worn out	6	4	10	240
840	NGT 24VDC Over 5%	Direct current voltage of car door power	4	2	10	80
	Limit	supply is over 24VDC by 5%				

Table 21: All combination of failure modes with RPN calculation (Cont.)

Error	Potential	Potential				
	Failure	Causes	s	ο	D	RPN
code	Mode	of Failure				
841	NGT 24 VDC Under 5%	Direct current voltage of car door power	4	2	10	80
	Limit	supply is lower 24VDC by 5%				
842	NGT 24 VDC Over 10%	Direct current voltage of car door power	4	2	10	80
	Limit	supply is over 24VDC by 10%				
843	NGT 24VDC Under 10%	Direct current voltage of car door power	4	2	10	80
	Limit	supply is under 24VDC by 10%				
844	ePower Door Off	Car door power switch failure	8	2	10	160
850	eOver Voltage	Worn out car door rubber stopper	3	4	10	120
1301	CAN Missing Node	Car door drive is defective	8	2	10	160
-	Customer complaint	Missing car door shoes	3	8	10	240
		Car door shoe worn out	3	8	10	240
		Car door panel rubber stopper is missing/	3	5	10	150
		worn out				
		Landing door panel rubber stopper is	3	5	10	150
	8	missing/ worn out				
		Landing door rubber stopper is missing/	3	5	10	150
	จุหาย	worn out				
	CHULAI	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	3	5	10	150
		Car door panel is hit by user	2	8	10	160
		Landing door panel is hit by user	2	8	10	160

Table 21: All combination of failure modes with RPN calculation (Cont.)

### 1.25 Rank RPN

According to RPN calculations in Table 21, there are 59 combinations of failure mode from door system.

The idea of developing RPN setting was derived from MTBF score of old BCBB elevator (equipment number 60004539) which was 36.5 days, workload problem in current preventive maintenance schedule which one technician has to maintain 34 units and literature review from (Rungsa Em-ardchaya and Tangjitsitcharoen, 2014) which used RPN for proposing a new preventive maintenance plan.

Table 22 shows that RPN calculation of each failure modes can be prioritised for implement corrective actions by based on RPN setting. For high priority (H), there are 13 numbers of failure mode required to perform 1 month preventive maintenance. For medium priority (M), there are 21 numbers of failure mode required to perform 3month preventive maintenance. For low priority (L), there are 25 numbers of failure mode required to perform 6-month preventive maintenance. Full FMEA analysis with RPN calculations and corrective actions can be seen in Appendix F.

Priority	RPN Range	New preventive maintenance schedule (Corrective actions)	Number of failure modes
High (H)	> 350	1 time per 30 days (1 month)	12
Medium (M)	200 - 350	1 time per 90 days (3 months)	21
Low (L)	< 200	1 time per 180 days (6 months)	26

Table 22: RPN setting

### 1.26 Identify corrective actions

#### Revise preventive maintenance schedule

According to result from Table 22, a revised preventive maintenance schedule has been put into 12 calendar months as shown in Table 23.

In month 1 and 7, technicians have to perform preventive maintenance for all

3 priorities (59 failure modes).

In month 4 and 10, technicians have to perform preventive maintenance for high and medium priorities (12+21 = 33 failure modes)

In month 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12, technicians have to perform preventive

maintenance for high priority (26 failure modes).

Since time frame of research schedule is limited to 6 months, it is unable to see the whole year result after implementing a revised preventive maintenance schedule to selected units.

Table 23: Revised preventive maintenance schedule

Month	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	#12
Priority	H,M,L	Н	Н	H,M	Н	Н	H,M,L	Н	Н	H,M	Η	Н

### Create troubleshooting manual

The idea of creating a troubleshooting manual is derived from current problem in Existing Installation department. Since car door and landing door of BCBB product is manufactured by offshore manufacturing and offshore supplier, technicians didn't have experience to perform preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance before. Moreover technicians didn't have special tools to analyse failure modes of door system. Therefore creating of troubleshooting manual will be used as a substituted tool for technicians to analyse failure modes during corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance.

Troubleshooting manual were created in both Thai and English language in order to make Thai technicians fully understand guidance in troubleshooting manual. In the first section of troubleshooting manual, it comprises basic knowledge of how to read error code from controller's display of BCBB elevator. In the second section of troubleshooting manual, it contains guidance based on 4 detection methods of each failure mode which derived from FMEA analysis and integrated with mechanical components' photo, electrical components' photo, spare part ID numbers and technical document ID number.

Pairing of spare part ID number and technical document ID number with mechanical components and electrical components are shown in Appendix G

Full troubleshooting manual is attached in Appendix H.

### Revise checklist document

Idea to revise existing checklist document is also derived from current problem in Existing Installation department. Since there were a high number of elevator's failures between interim of preventive maintenance in every month as we can see from MTBF of old unit (equipment number 60004539) is equal to 36.5 days, it implies that technicians were unable to effective and efficient use existing checklist document for identifying mechanical components and electrical components which have high potential to create a failure during preventive maintenance.

The structure of a revised checklist document is based on pattern of existing checklist document and integrated with a revised preventive maintenance schedule, a list of basic tools which technicians need to have for preventive maintenance, and a summarised list of mechanical components and electrical components and its detection methods from FMEA analysis.

A revised checklist document is shown in Figure 34.

Checklist fo	r door system 1 month 3 months	6 mont	hs									
machir	ne room machine room less	month	ye	ar	_							
Contract No	o	Contract na	me		_							
Lift No.		Commissio	ning No									
Necessary t	ools											
No.	Item	Yes	No		R	emark						
	Personal Protective Equipment											
1	(safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)											
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)											
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)											
4	Hammer											
5	Screw driver											
6	Torch light											
7	Doorstopper											
8	Lockout Tagout											
9	Rug											
10	Lubricant spray HP68											
Car roller												
No	No. Inc.		1 month	2 months	6 months		Inspec	tion metho	d	Evalu	ation	Detail of rectification
	No. Item		1	Smonus	omontris	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller			у	у	y		У				

Car door (V	aridor 35)										
	No			c		Inspection method			Evaluation		
140.	item	I month	5 months	6 months	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		у	У	У						
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		у	У			у	У			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		у	у	у			у			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		у	у			у				
5	Tension of car door belt		у	У			у				
6	Car door clutch	у	у	У		у		У			
7	Clutch cam		У	У	У			У			
8	Car door shoes		у	у	y		у				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			У	у		у				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			У	у			У			
11	Car door sill	у	у	у		у					
12	Car door header	у	у	у		у					
13	Car door panel	у	у	У	у						
14	Car door rubber stopper			У	у						
15	Car door panel rubber			у	у						
16	Car door drive			у				у			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			У			у				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	у	У	У				У			
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			у				у			
20	Car door light curtain		v	v		v					

Landing do	or (Augusta)										
No	No. Item		2 months	6 months		Inspec	tion metho	d	Evalu	ation	Detail of restification
110.			Smonths	omontais	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	у	У						
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		У	У			У	у			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		у	у			у	у			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			у	у						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		y	у	y			у			
6	Landing door sill	у	у	у		у					
7	Landing door header	у	у	у		у					
8	Landing door panel	у	у	у	у						
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		у	у	у						
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		у	у			у	у			
11	Unlocking device			у				у			
12	Hook limit roller			у	у						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			у			у	у			
14	Landing door panel rubber			у	у						
Name of fie	ld staff	Name of supervisor									
Signature _							Signature o	fsupervisor			
Date					Date						

Figure 34: A revised checklist document

### Develop technical training plan & evaluation system

Apart of troubleshooting document and checklist document, technical skill of technicians is one of causes to make BCBB elevator has a repetitive failure after performing preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance. Moreover, there was no technical training for BCBB elevator (new elevator product) provided to technicians before. Therefore developing of technical training course and evaluation system is a very important factor to reduce number of elevator's failure.

A technical trainer is a person who conducted a training to technicians before implementing an improvement of preventive maintenance plan to BCBB elevators.

A training plan (Figure 35) is set for 2 days per group. Day 1 is set for classroom training (Figure 36) and day 2 is set for on-the-job training (Figure 37).

ne	м	lorni	ng		Aft	erne	oon
⊨	09.00 -10.30		10.45 - 12.00		13.00 - 14.45		15.00 - 16.30
Day 1	Introduction & Pre-test	How to use troubleshooting manual	How to use troubleshooting manual	reak 15 mins	How to use checklist document		
Day 2	Perform preventive maintenance by using checklist document and troubleshooting manual	Coffee br	Perform preventive maintenance by using checklist document and troubleshooting manual	Γnn	Perform preventive maintenance by using checklist document and troubleshooting manual	Coffee br	Post-test & closing

Figure 35: Training plan



Figure 36: Classroom training



Figure 37: On-the-job training

In terms of evaluation system, there is 20-multiple-choice test (Appendix I) were used to measure knowledge of technicians before and after conducting a training.

A technician (Figure 38) who gets post-test score  $\geq$  16 out of 20 (80% of total score) will become a certified field staff for BCBB product in order to perform an improvement of preventive maintenance plan. Whereas any technician who get score < 16 out of 20 won't be certified yet and must be re-attended the training in the next course.



Figure 38: Evaluation system

### CHAPTER IV

### **RESEARCH RESULTS**

An improvement of preventive maintenance plan has been implemented to 2 selected BCBB elevators for 6 months between Apr 2015 and Sep 2015 and the result has been measured every month after implementation.

The first selected BCBB elevator (equipment number 60004539) is one of old units which has high numbers of failure from door system in whole year 2014 (Figure 39). This elevator is served as a passenger elevator in one of big name condominiums in Bangkok.

← → htt	tp://tafts.lo		Servitel_F	Reports/TopX.aspx		
	Servit Top X Home	Projects	Analysis	MTBC reports	Sick/Worse units	St
Groups Naming Co S3X00/S5300 All S3X00/5300	contra	Available Country: Thailand (	selections -	<b>•</b>	Equipment: JSG60004539	

Figure 39: Equipment number 60004539

The second selected BCBB elevator (equipment number 60005943) is one of recent handed-over BCBB elevators in year 2015 (Figure 40). This elevator is served as a passenger elevator in one of condominium in Bangkok as well.

<- <> ►	ttp://tafts.lo Servit	el	ervitel_F	Reports/BEW.aspx		
	Break Home	downs e Projects	Analysis	MTBC reports	stering Sick/Worse units	Stat
Groups Naming Co S3X00/S5300 A S3X00/S300	Figur	Available : Country: Thailand (J: re 40: Equi	selections ST) pment nui	mber 60005943	Equipment: JSG60005943 3	

### 4.1 Equipment number 60004539

According to a revised preventive maintenance schedule, Table 24 shows actual implementation date of preventive maintenance in each month. The actual revised checklist document of each month is shown in Appendix J.

Table 24: Actual implementation date of preventive maintenance of equipment number 60004539

Month	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15
Schedule	1, 3, 6	1	1	1, 3	1	1
Actual date	29-Apr-15	26-May-15	26-Jun-15	29-Jul-15	26-Aug-15	28-Sep-15

Referring to a revised checklist document and a troubleshooting manual, Table 25

demonstrates example actual causes of failure which has been found by a certified

technician during preventive maintenance.

Photos **Rectified items** - Readjusted car door contact (KTC) - Readjusted car door contact KTC2 KET-S2 (KTC2) and car door fully-close contact (KET-S2) - Readjusted clutch cam 10

number 60004539

Rectified items	Photos
- Readjusted car door clutch	the second secon
- Readjusted tension of car door belt	
Paadiustad tansian of car door	~
transmission rope	

number 60004539 (Cont.)

Rectified items	Photos
- Readjusted SW lock rollers to referent line	
- Cleaned car door header	
- Cleaned car door sill	

number 60004539 (Cont.)

Rectified items	Photos			
- Readjusted landing door contact (KTS)				
- Replaced hook limit roller				
- Cleaned landing door header	1/H, 000			

number 60004539 (Cont.)

Rectified items	Photos
- Cleaned landing door sill	

number 60004539 (Cont.)

The result has been monitored everyday via company mobile phone message which sent by call centre officer. Table 26 shows that there was one failure modes from door system was happened on 10 July 2015.

### **สาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาล**ัย

Table 26: Number of failure from door system of equipment number 60004539

derived from SAP database

Number of	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15
failure						
from door	0	0	1	0	0	0
system						

A technician used a guidance from troubleshooting manual to analyse the failure and to perform corrective maintenance. A failure report (Figure 41) shows that the actual failure mode was error 212 "Open Sequence KOKB", the actual effect of

failure was elevator cannot operate and the actual cause of failure was misaligned  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SW}}$ 

Callback Notification nu JSG12959120 (J	<b>mber:</b> 5G60004539)	•	Notification date: 10/07/2015 20:25:39	Week: Notif 2015.28 🔒 (	<b>ication status:</b> CLSX - Notification	closed w/o Order	Caller description: ด้างขึ้น1 ไม่วิ่ง	Blocked	Person tr
Equipment in	fo 🤌 👘								
Country	KG		Equipment	Address	Controller type	Hand over date	Contract type	Contract status	TA device
Thailand	JST		JSG60004539	00000 BANGKOK	10.8.1	22/04/2013	N/A	A	
Technician: SAMKONGNGA SCS A group: 4 - Landing Doo SCS C: 0 - No one trapp	M KITTIPHON rs xed/Injured	G ()	Technician remark: ปรับปต.นอกใหม่ SCS A: 4400 - Landing Door · Service leader:	- General Mechanic	Arrival o 10/07/20 SCS B g al Fault 1 - Equip	date/time: 015 21:00:58 roup: pment failure	Departure date 10/07/2015 22:00 SCS B: 1 - Equipment fa	/time: E :58 0 ilure	lapsed time: 1:00
Analysis Last editor:		Cate	egory:	S	ub category:		Repetitive	Maint mi	istake
Kun Luo         Door mechanic		• [	✓ Landing Door			Inst. mistake			
CB tracking: Forwarded to		varded to:	Reference		ice:				
To be analysed					Maintenance mistake				
Feedback from	field:								
Lift stopped at 1	l floor with "O	pen Se	equence KOKB" error, c	lue to pick up roller	r misaligned. Readju	ısted			$\langle \rangle$

lock roller at lowest landing floor (Figure 42).

Figure 41: Failure report of equipment number 60004539 on 10 Jul 2015





A corrective action was performed by visual, measure and function checks which in accordance with guidance in troubleshooting document.

Figure 42: Misaligned SW lock roller on 1<sup>st</sup> floor of landing door of equipment

number 60004539

Figure 43 demonstrated that root cause of this failure come from lack of maintenance procedure to lowest landing door. A technician was unable to use normal process (standing on elevator's roof) to perform preventive maintenance because of the design of safety clearance. Therefore this activity requires a special procedure which can be performed by 2 options.



Option 1 (Figure 44), if a floor-to-floor distance between a lowest floor and a second floor is not too high to exit, a technician is able to use a ladder to entre to elevator car from outside of lowest landing door.



Option 2 (Figure 45), there requires a second technician to operate on a roof

of elevator car while a first technician is inside elevator car.



Figure 45: Option 2

In summary, Figure 46 illustrates that a number of failure of door system has a dramatic decrease from 5 failures between May and October in year 2014 to only 1 failure between May and October in year 2015 after implementing an improvement of preventive maintenance plan.

Figure 46 also shows that the proportion of failure modes from door system was reduced from 5 out of 8 failures in year 2014 (62.5%) to 1 out of 5 failures (20%) in year 2015.

In terms of MTBF, as power failure and non-technical callback are not classified in FD1. Therefore we can calculate that MTBF was increased from  $\frac{180}{7}$  = 25 days in year 2014 to  $\frac{180}{3}$  = 60 days in year 2015.

In comparison between same period between May and October of year 2014 and year 2015.


Figure 46: Comparison of causes of failure of equipment number 60004539 between

May - Oct 2014 ai	nd May – Oct 2015

### 4.2 New handed-over unit (equipment number 60005943)

A revised preventive maintenance plan has been implemented and monitored the result as same as equipment number 60004539. The revised preventive maintenance checklist in each month is shown in Appendix J.

The actual implementation date of an improvement preventive maintenance plan is shown in Table 27.

 Table 27: Actual implementation date of preventive maintenance of equipment

 number 60005943

Month	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15
Schedule	1, 3, 6	1	1	1, 3	1	1
Actual date	29-Apr-15	26-May-15	26-Jun-15	29-Jul-15	26-Aug-15	28-Sep-15

Referring to a guidance from a revised checklist document and troubleshooting manual, Table 28 illustrates example actual causes of failure which were found during preventive maintenance by a certified technician.

**Rectified** items Photos - Readjusted KTC - Readjusted KTC2 and KETS-2 - Rechecked car door belt Varidor 35 Double clutch: d=1200mm d=600m

equipment number 60005943

equipment number 60005943 (Cont.)

Rectified items	Photos
- Rechecked car door transmission cable	
- Rechecked car door clutch	
- Rechecked SW lock rollers with reference line	

equipment number 60005943 (Cont.)

Rectified items	Photos
- Cleaned landing door header	
- Cleaned landing door sill	
- Cleaned car door header	

 Rectified items
 Photos

 - Cleaned car door sill
 Image: Cleaned car door sill

equipment number 60005943 (Cont.)

Table 29 shows that there was no failure mode from door system has been found during 6-month period.

Table 29: Result of Oct 2015 of equipment number 60005943 from SAP database

Number of	May-15	Jun-15	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15
failure from door system	о Сн	ULALONGKO	irn l <mark>o</mark> nivei	ISIT <sub>0</sub>	0	0

In terms of total failures, since this elevator is a new handed-over unit in year 2015. It is unable to compare the result with year 2015. Figure 47 shows that between May 2015 and Oct 2015 there were 2 failures which are not related to door system.

In terms of MTBF, as false call and power failure are not classified as FD1. It is

unable to calculate MTBF during this 6 months as number of failures (FD1) was equal



to 0. Therefore a technician was able to perform preventive maintenance following the schedule.

Figure 47: Causes of failure of equipment number 60005943 between May and Oct



### 4.3 Re-calculate RPN

According to failure reports of these 2 selected BCBB elevators, it can be seen that occurrence of each failure mode was significantly reduced. For old unit, although a result shows that a number of failures from door system was reduced by 80% (5 times to 1 time), a research period was not covered full cycle of a new preventive maintenance plan. Therefore it is estimated that the occurrence of new RPN can be reduced by minimum 50% which the result already meet objective of the research.

New RPN can be re-calculated as Table 30.

# Table 30: New RPN

Frror	Potential	Potential					New	New
code	Failure	Causes	S	ο	D	RPN	0	PDN
coue	Mode	of Failure					U	NF N
148	Safety T4	Worn out/broken KTC	6	4	10	240	2	120
		Misaligned KTC	6	5	10	300	3	180
		Car door transmission cable is too	6	2	10	120	1	60
		loose/damaged						
		Rubber from carrier roller is stuck	6	8	10	480	4	240
		on car door track						
		Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480	4	240
149	Safety T5	Worn out/broken KTS	6	4	10	240	2	120
		Misaligned KTS	6	5	10	300	3	180
		Landing door self-close spring	6	4	10	240	2	120
		worn out/broken						
		Landing door transmission cable	6	2	10	120	1	60
		damaged						
		Rubber from upper roller is stuck	6	8	10	480	4	240
		on landing door track						
		Rubbish is stuck inside landing	6	8	10	480	4	240
		door sill						
		Landing door unlocking device is	6	2	10	120	1	60
		stuck						
202	Door Operation	Car door drive is defective	8	2	10	160	1	80
	Error							
203	Thermo Door	Hook limit roller worn out	5	2	10	100	1	50
	Motor	Misaligned SW lock roller of	5	6	10	300	3	150
		landing door						
204	Door Reverse	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480	4	240
	Device Error	Rubbish is stuck inside landing	6	8	10	480	4	240
		door sill						
207	Close Sequence	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480	4	240
	Error	Rubbish is stuck inside landing	6	8	10	480	4	240
		door sill						

Error	Potential	Potential					Now	Now
ciror	Failure	Causes	S	ο	D	RPN	New	DDN
code	Mode	of Failure					U	RPIN
208	Door Device	This error will come with other	7	4	10	280	2	140
	Error	errors						
		Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120	1	60
212	Open Sequence	Hook limit roller worn out	5	5	10	250	3	150
	КОКВ	Misaligned SW lock roller of	5	6	10	300	3	150
		landing door						
		No gap between eccentric roller	5	4	10	200	2	100
		and landing door track						
		Tension of car door is too loose	5	5	10	250	3	150
		Car door clutch is dirty/stuck/	5	8	10	400	4	200
		worn out						
		Landing door rubber stopper is	5	2	10	100	1	50
		missing/ worn out						
214	RPHT	Light curtain is dirty	5	7	10	350	4	200
	Continuous	S CONTRACTOR						
	Activation							
217	KSKB	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	6	8	10	480	4	240
	Continuous	Rubbish is stuck inside landing	6	8	10	480	4	240
	Activation	door sill						
		Tension of car door belt is too	6	5	10	300	3	180
		loose						
252	Door	Car door drive is defective	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Unavailable							
709	Safety Circuit	This error generate from drive	6	8	10	480	4	240
		side, so we have to check with						
		other errors						
832	wKET-S2	KET-S2 worn out/broken	6	4	10	240	2	120
	Failure	Misaligned KET-S2	6	5	10	300	3	180

Francis	Potential	Potential					New	New
Error	Failure	Causes	s	ο	D	RPN	New	New
code	Mode	of Failure					0	KPN
834	wMotor Over	Car door drive is defective	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Temperature	Misaligned SW lock roller of	6	5	10	300	3	180
		landing door						
838	eLocking Jam	Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120	1	60
		Distance between car door clutch	6	4	10	240	2	120
		and cam plate is wrong						
839	eUnlocking Jam	Car door drive is defective	6	2	10	120	1	60
		Misaligned SW lock roller of	6	5	10	300	3	180
		landing door						
		SW lock roller is worn out/broken	6	3	10	180	2	120
		Worn out Car guide rollers	6	2	10	120	1	60
		Hook limit roller worn out	6	4	10	240	2	120
840	NGT 24VDC	Direct current voltage of car door	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Over 5% Limit	power supply is over 24VDC by 5%						
841	NGT 24 VDC	Direct current voltage of car door	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Under 5% Limit	power supply is lower 24VDC by						
		5% กลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย						
842	NGT 24 VDC	Direct current voltage of car door	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Over 10% Limit	power supply is over 24VDC by						
		10%						
843	NGT 24VDC	Direct current voltage of car door	4	2	10	80	1	40
	Under 10%	power supply is under 24VDC by						
	Limit	10%						
844	ePower Door	Car door power switch failure	8	2	10	160	1	80
	Off							
850	eOver Voltage	Worn out car door rubber stopper	3	4	10	120	2	120
1301	CAN Missing	Car door drive is defective	8	2	10	160	1	80
	Node							

Table 30: New RPN (Cont.)

Error	Potential	Potential					New	New
code	Failure	Causes	S	ο	D	RPN	0	RPN
couc	Mode	of Failure					Ŭ	
-	Customer	Missing car door shoes	3	8	10	240	4	120
	complaint	Car door shoe worn out	3	8	10	240	4	120
		Car door panel rubber stopper is	3	5	10	150	3	90
		missing/ worn out						
		Landing door panel rubber stopper	3	5	10	150	3	90
		is missing/ worn out						
		Landing door rubber stopper is	3	5	10	150	3	90
		missing/ worn out						
		Misaligned SW lock roller of	3	5	10	150	3	90
		landing door						
		Car door panel is hit by user	2	8	10	160	4	160
		Landing door panel is hit by user	2	8	10	160	4	160

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

### CHAPTER V

# CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

### 4.1 Conclusion

As company's strategy is necessary to shift form differentiate to hybrid to in order to be competitive in elevator market as selling price of elevator is one of very important factors for customers to make buying decision nowadays. Door system of BCBB product is one of selected elevator parts which is affected from a change of company's strategy as car door is supplied by offshore manufacturing site instead of onshore manufacturing site and landing door is supplied by offshore supplier. The change also created a number of elevator's failure after elevators have been used in normal operation.

Based on taskforce team's brainstorming on historical failure reports, FMEA is a selected systematic tool which is used for identify potential failure modes from door system of BCBB elevators which caused from its mechanical and electrical components. Findings from FMEA enables taskforce team to assign S, O and D in order to rank RPN for prioritising corrective actions.

A revised preventive maintenance schedule, a troubleshooting manual, a revised checklist document and training & evaluation system are elements of corrective actions which enables a technician to effective and efficient perform preventive a reduction of failures from door system.

From the result, it is proved that an improvement of preventive maintenance plan integrated with FMEA structure enables BCBB elevators to increase MTBF by reducing a number of failures from door system which were a largest proportion of elevator's failure.

The result also implies that as BCBB elevators have a higher availability, a higher reliability and a higher quality as MTBF was increased.

A reduction of number of elevator's failures and an increment of MTBF score also increase satisfaction of parent company, top management team and customers.

In terms of resource allocation, Existing Installation department is able to reduce workload of technicians as a number of corrective maintenance was reduced.

In order to continuously improve preventive maintenance plan, it can be seen that as a number of failures from door system was reduced during 6 months of implementation period. We are able to continuously re-calculate RPN for a next cycle of an improvement of preventive maintenance plan as severity and occurrence of each failure mode will be reduced.

It also proved that this concept can be applied in other BCBB elevators, other components of BCBB elevators and other elevator models.

## 4.2 Suggestions

In terms of spare part management, it is suggested to use spare part list in troubleshooting documents and historical usage of spare part in SAP database to forecast availability of each mechanical and electrical component in the stock in the future as sometimes technicians found a cause of failure but they were unable to replace spare parts because spare parts were out of stock.

In terms of process, we are able to create preventive and corrective maintenance process into paper as same as troubleshooting manual in order to prevent misunderstanding of technicians as it happened one time during research period. The preventive and corrective maintenance process can be added in training course as well. Besides, Human Resource department is able to set this process as a penalty to technicians who don't follow the process.

In order to build long-term competency for technicians which is one of key success factors of best-cost strategy, it is suggested to develop a training plan for new and old technicians. New technicians must be trained and certified before performing preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance in real situation whereas old technicians must be attended refresh training and re-evaluated technical skill every year. Moreover, video clip can be used as an effective training material and can be put into self-learning centre for demonstrating know-how of each preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance activity. In terms of resource allocation, it is suggested to record time to spend on each preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance activity in order to create a standard time for planning human resource in Existing Installation department in the future.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

#### REFERENCES

#### **Reference** list

AL-TURKI, U. M. 2009. Maintenance Planning and Scheduling. *In:* BEN-DAYA, M., DUFFUAA, S. O., RAOUF, A., KNEZEVIC, J. & AIT-KADI, D. (eds.) *Handbook of Maintenance Management and Engineering.* London: Springer.

ANON 2014. Schindler Annual Report 2014 - Group Review.

ANON 2015. Fact Sheet Schindler Group in brief.

- BAMBANG BAROTO, M., BIN ABDULLAH, M. M. & WAN, H. L. 2012. Hybrid Strategy: A New Strategy for Competitive Advantage. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7.
- BEN-DAYA, M. 2009. Handbook of Maintenance Management and Engineering. London: Springer.
- BUEDE, D. 1995. *Elevator System Case Study: Operational System*. George Mason University.
- CHINADAILY. 2014. Student dies in elevator accident at Huaqiao University Xiamen campus. *China Daily*, 17 September 2014.
- CRESPO MÁRQUEZ, A. 2007. The Maintenance Management Framework Models and Methods for Complex Systems Maintenance. *Springer Series in Reliability Engineering.* London: Springer,.
- DEKKER, R. 1996. Applications of maintenance optimization models: a review and analysis [Online]. Available: http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Rommert\_Dekker/publication/222296239 \_Applications\_of\_maintenance\_optimization\_models\_a\_review\_and\_analysis/l inks/00b49521348322c82500000.pdf [Accessed 4 April 2015].
- GUO, X., PAN, L., JIA, L., ZHANG, Y. & XING, Z. 2013. Risk-Based Maintenance
  Optimization of Metro Vehicle Door System. *In:* JIA, L., LIU, Z., QIN, Y., ZHAO,
  M. & DIAO, L. (eds.) *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013)- Volume II.* Springer.

- IEEE 1990. IEEE Standard Glossary of Software Engineering Terminology. New York: IEEE.
- KOTHAMASU, R., HUANG, S. H. & VERDUIN, W. H. 2009. System Health Monitoring and Prognostics – A Review of Current Paradigms and Practices. *In:* BEN-DAYA, M., DUFFUAA, S. O., RAOUF, A., KNEZEVIC, J. & AIT-KADI, D. (eds.) *Handbook of Maintenance Management and Engineering.* Springer.
- LIU, P., CHENG, X., QIN, Y., ZHANG, Y. & XING, Z. 2013. Reliability Analysis of Metro Door System Based on Fuzzy Reasoning Petri Net. In: JIA, L., LIU, Z., QIN, Y., ZHAO, M. & DIAO, L. (eds.) Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013)- Volume II. Springer.
- PANDEY, A. K., PANCHANGAM, S. & SMITH, J. G. 2013. Safety Analysis of Automatic Door Operation for Metro Train: A Case Study. *In:* SINGH, K. & AWASTHI, A. K. (eds.) *Quality, Reliability, Security and Robustness in Heterogeneous Networks.* Greader Noida: Springer.
- PARIDA, A. & KUMAR, U. 2009. Maintenance Productivity and Performance
   Measurement. In: BEN-DAYA, M., DUFFUAA, S. O., RAOUF, A., KNEZEVIC, J. &
   AIT-KADI, D. (eds.) Handbook of Maintenance Management and Engineering.
   London: Springer.
- PARK, S.-T. & YANG, B.-S. 2011. An implementation of risk-based inspection for elevator maintenance. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 24, 2367-2376.
- PORTER, M. E. 1985. Competitive advantage : creating and sustaining superior performance, New York, Free Press.
- RUNGSA EM-ARDCHAYA & TANGJITSITCHAROEN, S. 2014. Development of Computerized Preventive Maintenance Management System with Failure Mode and Effect Analysis for CNC Machine. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 627, 365-371.
- SPRING, P. 1995. *Potential Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) Reference Manual*, Chrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company, General Motors Corporation.

- TAO, X., XIA, T. & XI, L. Opportunistic Preventive Maintenance Scheduling Based on Theory of Constraints. *In:* GUAN, Y. & LIAO, H., eds. The 2014 Industrial and Systems Engineering Research Conference, 2014.
- THOMPSON, A. A., STRICKLAND, A. J. & GAMBLE, J. 2008. Crafting and Executing Strategy: Concepts and Cases, 16th Edition. 16th ed. Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- TORELL, W. & AVELAR, V. 2010. Mean Time Between Failure Explanation and Standards. *The Schneider Electric white paper library*. Schneider Electric's Data Center Science Center.
- XIA, J., PAN, L. S., CHENG, X. Q., QIN, Y. & XING, Z. 2013. Failure Mode Criticality Analysis of Metro Door System. *In:* JIA, L., LIU, Z., QIN, Y., ZHAO, M. & DIAO, L. (eds.) Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013)- Volume II. Berlin: Springer.
- ZUBRZYCKI, P. 2010. *Fault Tolerant Train Door Control.* Master's degree, University of Birmingham.



#### Bibiography

- ALTUGER, G. & CHASSAPIS, C. Multi Criteria Preventive Maintenance Scheduling Through Arena Based Simulation Modelling. *In:* ROSSETTI, M. D., HILL, R. R., JOHANSSON, B., DUNKIN, A. & INGALLS, R. G., eds. The 2009 Winter Simulation Conference, 2009 New Jersey.
- ANON n.d. What is Maintenance? : CHOA, RDH Building Engineering Ltd. and the Real Estate Foundation of BC.
- BAE, C., KOO, T., SON, Y., PARK, K., JUNG, J., HAN, S. & SUH, M. 2009. A study on reliability centered maintenance planning of a standard electric motor unit subsystem using computational techniques. *Journal of Mechanical Science* and Technology, 23, 1157-1168.
- CAMPBELL, J. D. n.d. Uptime Strategies for Excellence in Maintenance Management. College of Environmental Design.
- COOK, D. 2012. The Right Elevator Service Agreement. Florida: Florida Community Association Professionals.
- FRAMPTON, C. n.d. Benchmarking World-Class Maintenance. Charles Brooks Associates, Inc.
- JIA, L., LIU, Z., QIN, Y., ZHAO, M. & DIAO, L. 2013. Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013). Volume II.
- KELLY, H. W. & DAVIE, J. 2008. FMEA: Risk Perception and the RPN Index. Available: <u>http://pdf.thepdfportal.net//?id=90251&nocache</u> [Accessed 16 April 2015].
- KESHARA, B. 2008. Maintenance management.
- MAO, L., SU, Z., LONG, J., JIA, L. & XING, Z. 2013. Reliability Analysis of the Sliding Plug Door System Based on Bayesian Network. *In:* JIA, L., LIU, Z., QIN, Y., ZHAO, M. & DIAO, L. (eds.) *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Electrical and Information Technologies for Rail Transportation (EITRT2013)-Volume II.* Berlin: Springer.
- MOGHADDAM, K. S. 2008. Preventive Maintenance and Replacement Scheduling: Models and Algorithms. Doctoral degree, University of Louisville.

MONDRO, M. J. 2002. Approximation of Mean Time Between Failure When a System has Periodic Maintenance. *IEEE Transactions on reliability*, 51, 166-167.

- MUCHIRI, P. & PINTELON, L. 2008. Performance measurement using overall equipment effectiveness (OEE): literature review and practical application discussion. *International Journal of Production Research*, 46, 3517-3535.
- PORTER, M. E. 1980. Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors, New York, Free Press.
- RILEY, A., AARDEMA, B., VOSBURY, P., EIFF, M. A., FRAUTSCHY, H. G., SERKENBURG, R.,
  SHAFFER, D., WILD, T. & MICHMERHUIZEN, T. 2008. Aviation Maintenance
  Technician Handbook General. *In:* U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,
  F. A. A. (ed.). Oklahoma City: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal
  Aviation Administration.

SIMON, K. 2012. Pareto Principle (80/20 Rule). iSixSigma.

- SINGH, K. & AWASTHI, A. K. 2013. Quality, reliability, security and robustness in heterogeneous networks 9th International Conference, QShine 2013, Greader Noida, India, January 11-12, 2013, Revised selected papers. *Lecture notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering,.* Berlin ; New York: Springer,.
- SULLIVAN, G. P., PUGH, R., MELENDEZ, A. P. & HUNT, W. D. 2010. Operations & Maintenance Best Practices. *In:* ENERGY, T. F. E. M. P. U. S. D. O. (ed.). Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.
- TANGJITSITCHAROEN, S. 2012. Development of On-line Computer Maintenance Management System for Plastic Injection Machine. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 220-223, 2595-2601.
- TANGJITSITCHAROEN, S. 2015. Failure Mode and Effect Analysis for product development. Chulalongkorn University.
- TORELL, W. & AVELAR, V. 2011. Performing Effective MTBF Comparisons for Data Center Infrastructure. *The Schneider Electric white paper library.* Schneider Electric's Data Center Science Center.
- WIREMAN, T. 2005. Developing performance indicators for managing maintenance, second edition. 2nd ed. New York: Industrial Press,.

WIREMAN, T. n.d. Why the Asset Reliability and Maintenance Management Business Functions Need to be Regulated. Vesta Partners, LLC.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University



# Appendix A



Figure 48: SAP database

Configuration	Specification	Equipment number 60004539	Equipment number 60005943
Travel height	Up to 150 m	85.74	84.60 m
Speed	1.0 to 3.0 m/s	2.5 m/s	2.5 m/s
Load	630 to 2,500 kg	1000 kg	1000 kg
Number of stops	Up to 50 stops	31	27
Door width	800 to 1,400 mm	900	900
Door height	2,100 to 2,400 mm	2100	2100
Car groups	8	3	3

Table 31: Specification of selected units

# Appendix B

# How door system works

After an elevator received a car call or a landing call from a passenger, controller will command motor to open brake and then will command Variable-Frequency (VF) drive to control speed of motor to make an elevator start running and stop when an elevator arrived on a building floor. Car door clutch which is important component of car door will couple with landing door SW lock roller. Afterwards, car door drive will control car door clutch to open car door and landing door together. Eventually, passengers can get in and out from elevator's car.

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# Appendix C

In terms of controller display (Figure 49), there requires only 4-digit password for accessing to error code. Technicians are responsible person to check error code during preventive maintenance and corrective maintenance.



Figure 49: Controller display

In terms of troubleshooting software (Figure 50), there requires intermediate authorisation level and technical skill as it needs personnel software license and specific data cable for connecting between computer and elevator's controller. Supervisors are responsible to troubleshoot error code when the problem cannot solve by technicians or during regular visit.

Lift: 1 Commission	No.: 480034	9							
Controller Date: Ma	ar 2015 Cor	ntroller Time: 09:16:55							
Control Type: MX-G	C SW Vers	ion: V10.08.01 (12.12.2014)							
Drive Type: VECSYS	/VARIOSYS	EU/AP SW Version: V1.19 (11.12.2013)							
Shaft info: SALSIS S	W Version	: V1.01 (16.5.2012)							
Door Drive Side 1 -	SW Versior	: V5.00							
*****	********	*************************************							
Date - Time	Severity	Description	Id	Subsyster	Source Id	Extra Info [Hex]	Direction	Drive Pha	Drive Av
3/11/15 - 21:51:17	Event	Elevator Operation Resumed	477	Lift	90	'00D00A00'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:17	Event	CAN Node Alive Again	1302	-	7	'02000010'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:12	Event	CAN Node Alive Again	1302	-	7	'03010010'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:12	Event	CAN Node Alive Again	1302	-	7	'01000000'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Event	Elevator Operation Interrupted	476	Lift	90	'00D00A1E'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Error	DoorDevErr	208	Car	1	'0000005'	NONE	Standstill	No
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Error	CAN Missing Node	1301	-	7	'02000010'	NONE	Standstill	Yes
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Error	CAN Missing Node	1301	-	7	'03010010'	NONE	Standstill	Yes
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Error	CAN Missing Node	1301	-	7	'0100000'	NONE	Standstill	Yes
3/11/15 - 21:51:08	Warning	CAN Peripheral Controller Bus Off	1311	-	1	'00006A01'	NONE	Standstill	Yes
3/11/15 - 21:50:37	Event	Elevator Operation Resumed	477	Lift	90	'00D00A00'	NONE	Standstill	No
2/11/15 21.50.27	C	CANING IN A Live A second	1000		_	1020000101	NONE	Charles and a state	A1

	Figure 50:	Troubles	shooting	software
--	------------	----------	----------	----------

In terms of remote monitoring tool (Figure 51), there requires advance authorisation level and technical skill. Remote monitoring tool enables a product line expert to analyse all previous error code via online database.

Installation	Descr	Event Date	<ul> <li>Msg Text</li> </ul>	▼ Parameter ▼
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 04:57:07	03-00: Trip counter	725480
00000064537-03	Info	19.03.2015 04:56:54	02FF-[39]: TX Controller Version	10.8.0
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 04:31:02	03-00: Trip counter	725461
00000064537-03	Info	19.03.2015 04:31:02	02FF-[39]: TX Controller Version	10.8.0
00000064537-03	System	19.03.2015 04:00:00	04-3D: Transaction Counter Statistic	Buffer 2691
00000064537-03	System	19.03.2015 04:00:00	04-3D: Transaction Counter Statistic	Buffer 2690
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:04:13	03-00: Trip counter	725130
00000064537-03	Info	19.03.2015 00:03:59	02FF-[39]: TX Controller Version	10.8.0
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=2355 at Floor 31
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=36070 at Floor 30
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=32610 at Floor 29
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=14748 at Floor 28
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=19287 at Floor 27
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=26351 at Floor 26
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=36889 at Floor 25
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=41156 at Floor 24
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=33306 at Floor 23
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=32624 at Floor 22
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=20411 at Floor 21
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=42934 at Floor 20
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=41536 at Floor 19
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=38615 at Floor 18
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=34461 at Floor 17
00000064537-03	Counter	19.03.2015 00:00:00	03-02: Door opening counter	Door1=43343 at Floor 16

Figure 51: Remote monitoring tool

# Appendix D

Notifctn	Work ctr	FunctLocDescrip.	Equi	Malf.start	MalfStrt	ArrTime	ResTime	Root cause	First name
12889885	622-P03	THE BASE	SL4	02.01.2015	13:03:18	14:20:30	16:38:30	Wornout KTS contact	SANTHAN
12890139	622-P03	WYNE SUKHUMVIT	L2	03.01.2015	10:03:20	11:12:32	12:14:32	Motor has noise	SUKCHEEP
12890765	622-P03	THE BASE	SL4	05.01.2015	9:30:10	10:30:54	11:30:54	Open Sequence KOKB	SANTHAN
12893209	624-P02	AERONAUTICAL RADIO OPERAT	L2	10.01.2015	11:40:28	11:49:20	11:49:20	Rock was stuck inside landing sill	CHATCHAWAN
12893436	622-P03	WYNE SUKHUMVIT	SL1	11.01.2015	3:03:01	8:49:21	11:55:52	Defective SALSIS	PITAKCHAI
12895208	622-P03	WYNE SUKHUMVIT	L1	14.01.2015	17:05:05	18:20:28	19:20:28	Governor rope elongation	SUKCHEEP
12895462	615-P01	PYNE BY SANSIRI	SL1	15.01.2015	8:25:45	10:00:31	12:05:31	Motor has noise	Kiadtiphon
12896041	623-P01	FUSE MOBIUS	LB3	16.01.2015	16:30:14	17:52:14	17:52:14	Governor rope elongation	SITTHISAK
12896518	622-P02	KEYNE BY SANSIRI	PL3	18.01.2015	10:25:37	11:35:42	12:30:42	Unknown root cause	SUKCHEEP
12896789	622-P03	THE BASE	L3	18.01.2015	15:46:35	16:48:02	17:48:02	Wornout COP key switch	EUD
12896843	622-P03	THE BASE	L1	18.01.2015	20:30:27	22:32:25	23:32:25	DoorDevErr	SANONG
12897415	611-P02	TEAL SATHORN TAKSIN	L2	20.01.2015	8:58:17	10:00:18	10:30:18	Misaligned pickup roller	PRASIT
12897435	622-P03	THE BASE	SL4	20.01.2015	9:00:07	10:00:54	12:00:54	LOP buffer worn out	SANTHAN
12899452	622-P03	WYNE SUKHUMVIT	L1	25.01.2015	8:10:43	9:26:40	10:30:40	Defective BCM	PITAKCHAI
12900408	612-P02	THE SEED MINGLE	L1	27.01.2015	8:45:15	9:57:07	10:57:07	Motor has noise	ANUKUL
12900887	623-P01	FUSE MOBIUS	SLA	28.01.2015	15:10:24	16:00:16	10:00:31	Misaligned pickup roller	KLAI
12901267	622-P03	THE BASE	SL4	29.01.2015	11:58:09	12:03:11	12:04:11	Defective BCM	SANTHAN
12902426	622-P03	THE BASE	L2	01.02.2015	8:15:25	9:20:56	10:22:56	Open Sequence KOKB	SUKCHEEP
12904352	622-P03	THE BASE	SL4	05.02.2015	10:33:33	11:00:17	14:28:56	Reset - unknown root cause	SANTHAN
12904815	631-P02	THE TREE BANG PO	SL1	06.02.2015	10:35:13	11:00:33	13:00:33	Defective ASIXB	SUPPACHAI
10004005	COD 001	FUEL MODULE	CL D	00.00.0015	0.00.22	10-06-00	10.00.00	D	obstance.

# Figure 52: Failure reports which derived from SAP system



JSG60004081 -							
Notification no.	Date	Error code	Cause of breakdown	Root cause	Action taken		
JSG12967702	05-08-2015 07:50:32	208	Lift stopped at 4 <sup>th</sup> floor with door open, DoorDevErr	see <u>errorlog</u> as attached	1. Cleaned KTS & track 2. Rechecked pickup roller at 4 <sup>th</sup> floor		

Figure 53: Failure report for discussion with parent company

# Appendix E

Month	Jul-14	Aug-14	Sep-14	Oct-14	Nov-14	Dec-14	Average
Floor	Trip counter						
31	627	495	604	586	667	654	606
30	456	601	534	600	515	408	519
29	367	400	388	562	458	424	433
28	351	382	386	316	422	370	371
27	427	372	382	400	481	442	417
26	615	511	468	392	532	540	510
25	829	726	758	684	801	732	755
24	579	461	760	674	721	622	636
23	658	725	652	602	638	604	647
22	508	583	756	688	720	660	653
21	674	581	704	798	723	666	691
20	832	831	732	826	795	706	787
19	822	653	738	604	758	728	717
18	781	729	970	784	1004	876	857
17	824	731	994	790	921	824	847
16	860	1012	922	966	861	788	902
15	658	613	696	682	668	596	652
14	841	737	824	752	750	692	766
13	730	606	840	628	810	738	725
12	1164	1091	1194	1172	1091	964	1113
11	1017	843	1138	746	996	804	924
10	1195	1140	1274	976	1063	1000	1108
9	1195	1040	1046	1022	928	852	1014
8	935	925	974	734	848	742	860
7	957	856	930	720	740	700	817
6	1055	866	942	774	848	716	867
5	1137	980	1178	946	910	844	999
4	1237	1233	1300	970	1027	950	1120
3	1158	1050	1166	762	843	650	938
2	1320	1238	1096	802	907	934	1050
1	15584	15188	15594	13400	13901	12706	14396
Total	40393	38199	40940	35358	37347	33932	37695

Table 32: Trip counter of equipment number 60004539

# Appendix F

1		1		_			
~		1/3/2015	Action Results		Actions Taken		M - 1 time per 3 months
FMEA Number:	Khatayos Phummanee	Date (Orig.)	Responsibility & Target Completion Date				Responsible field staffs
	Prepare by:		Recommended Action(s)				Visual check appearance of KTC and KTC2, replace if it is worn out
YSIS				ч	٩	Ν	240
ANAL				۵			10
<i>POTENTIAL</i> AILURE MODE AND EFFECTS (PROCESS FMEA)	Existing Installation Dept.	NIA	Current	Process	Controls	-Prevention -Detection	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
12				0			4
	Process Responsibility:	Key Date	Potential Causes(s)/ Mechanism(s) of Failure				Worn out/broken KTC
•				S			9
Rev	ventive and corrective maintenance	BCBB	Potential Effect(s) of Failure				<ul> <li>- Car door cannot close</li> <li>- Door close but elevator cannot start running</li> <li>- Elevator emergency stops during normal running</li> </ul>
~	Prev		Potential Failure Mode				Safety T4
Print #	Item:	Elevator Model	Error code				148

M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	H - 1 time per month
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Visual check alignment of KTC and KTC2 when car door is fully closed</li> <li>Measure distance</li> <li>Measure distance housing and contact bridge = 4 mm, adjust if distance is wrong</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check         <ul> <li>appearance of car door             transmission cable,             replace if it is damaged             <li>Use hand to press on             car door transmission             callet, adjust if it is too             tight or too loose         </li> </li></ul> </li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of car door track</li> <li>Use sharp tool (steel ruler) and rag to clean on car door track if it is dirty</li> </ol>
300	120	430
10	0	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
ۍ ا	0	0
Misaligned KTC	Car door transmission cable is too loose/damaged	Rubber from carrier roller is stuck on car door track
۵ 	۵	9

H - 1 time per month	M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of car door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check appearance of KTS, replace if it worn out</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check         <ul> <li>alignment of KTS when             landing door is fully             closed             clos</li></ul></li></ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check appearance of landing door self-close spring</li> </ol>
480	240	300	240
10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
œ	4	v	4
Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	Worn outbroken KTS	Misaligned KTS	Landing door self-close spring worn out/broken
٥	9	ω	9
	- Landing door cannot close - Door close but elevator cannot start running - Elevator emergency stops during normal running		
	Safety T5		
	149		

ck Responsible L - 1 time of landing field staffs per 6 months ssion cable	ck Responsible H - 1 time af landing field staffs per month tool (steel g to clean bor track if it	ck Responsible H - 1 time of landing field staffs per month r vacuum s dirty s dirty	le key to Responsible L - 1 time on of field staffs per 6 vice months	ar door Responsible L - 1 time n field staffs per 6 banel must months sed/opened lace if it is
1. Visual ch appearance door transm	<ol> <li>1. Visual ch cleanliness door track</li> <li>2. Use shart ruler) and ra on landing d is dirty</li> </ol>	1. Visual ch cleanliness door sill 2. Use rag o cleaner to cl door sill if it.	1 1. Use trian check functi unlocking de	1. Turn off c power switc 2. Car door be freely clo by hand, rej stuck
12(	48(	1 480	12(	16(
9		- -	2	- -
During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only
5	~~	©	~ ~	2
Landing door transmission cable damaged	Rubber from upper roller is stuck on landing door track	Rubbish is stuci inside landing door sill	Landing door unlocking device is stuck	Car door drive it defective
0	<u> </u>	۵ 	ω	
				- Elevator cannot use
				Door Operatio n Error
				202

-				
	L - 1 time per 6 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	H - 1 time per month	H - 1 time per month
	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
	<ol> <li>Visual check         appearance of hook limit         roller, replace if it is         worn out     </li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with SW lock roller</li> <li>Wassure equality and use hand to check tension of car roller tension of car roller tension of the misaligned with reference line</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of car door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>
	100	300	430	480
	10	10	10	10
	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
	5	Q	0	0
	Hook limit roller worn out	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill
	5	v	9	9
	- Elevator is temporary unavailable		- Door close and open many times before it is stuck in the middle	
	Thermo Door Motor		Door Reverse Device Error	
	203		204	

H - 1 time per month	H - 1 time per month	M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of car door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>	Have to check surrounding error code to further analysis	<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>
480	480	280	120
10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
0	œ	4	2
Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill	This error will come with other errors	Car door drive is defective
9	9	~	ø
- Door close and open many times before it is stuck in the middle		<ul> <li>Door stop moving (close/open) during normal operation</li> <li>Elevator cannot open ol close door</li> <li>Elevator emergency stops during normal running</li> </ul>	
Close Sequenc e Error		Door Device Error	
207		208	

M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
Visual check appearance of hook limit roller, replace if it is worn out	<ol> <li>Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with SW lock roller</li> <li>Measure equality and 2. Measure equality and use hand to check tension of car roller tension of car roller if it is misaligned with reference line</li> </ol>	Use hand to check playable of eccentric roller. It needs to adjust if it is not playable, gap between eccentric roller and landing door track must be 0.5-1 mm.	Use hand or belt calibration tool to measure tension of car door belt, adjust if it is too tight or too loose
250	300	200	250
10	9	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
Ś	ω	4	2
Hook limit roller worn out	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	No gap between eccentric roller and landing door track	Tension of car door is too loose
ŝ	и И	Ω.	5
<ul> <li>Door is stuck while opening</li> <li>Door cannot open</li> </ul>			
Open Sequenc e KOKB			
212			

		1
H - 1 time per month	L - 1 time per 6 months	
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	
Visual check appearance of car door clutch, clean or lubricate if is necessary	<ol> <li>Visual check         <ul> <li>appearance of landing             door rubber stopper,             replace if it is missing/             worn out             2. Measure distance             between hook and lock,             it must be between 1.2-             3 mm.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
400	100	MIII
10	10	
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	
0	5	
Car door clutch is dirty/stuck/ worn out	Landing door rubber stopper is missing/ wom out	U
5	ς,	

วิทยาลัย
M - 1 time per 3 months	H - 1 time per month
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Visual check red light (obstacle) of HMI will blink or turm on</li> <li>Turn offion power switch (JHT) in order to check obstacle light whether is still active</li> <li>Use dry rag to clean light curtain and check whether red light turms off</li> <li>Light curtain has to be replaced, if obstacle light is still active</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of car door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>
350	480
10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
N	0
Light curtain is dirty	Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill
<del>ک</del>	9
- Elevator cannot close door	- Door is stuck while closing
RPHT Continuo u Activatio n	KSKB Continuo us Activatio n
214	217

H - 1 time per month	M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	H - 1 time per month	M - 1 time per 3 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill</li> <li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is dirty</li> </ol>	Use hand or belt calibration tool to measure tension of car door belt, adjust if it is too tight or too loose	<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>	Have to check surrounding error code to further analysis such as error 148, 149	Visual check appearance of KET-S2, replace if it wom out
430	300	8	480	240
10	10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
Rubbish is stuck 8 inside landing door sill	Tension of car 5 door belt is too loose	Car door drive is 2 defective	This error 8 generate from drive side, so we have to check with other errors	KET-S2 wom 4 out/broken
ω	9	4	9	9
		- LOP/COP button cannor register a call	<ul> <li>Elevator cannot start a trip</li> <li>Elevator emergency stops during normal running</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Door close but elevator cannot start running (No fully-closed symbol on SMLCD)</li> <li>Elevator emergency</li> <li>Elevator emergency</li> <li>running normal</li> </ul>
		Door Unavaila ble	Safety Circuit	wKET- S2 Failure
		252	709	832

M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	
<ol> <li>Visual check alignment of KET-S2 when car door is fully closed</li> <li>Measure distance</li> <li>Measure distance</li> <li>Contact bridge = 4 mm, adjust if distance is wrong</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>	
300	8	
10	10	
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	
ν	7	1
Misaligned KET- S2	Car door drive is defective	
ω	4	
	- LOP button cannot register a call	
	wMotor Over Tempera ture	
	834	

M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	M - 1 time per 3 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with SW lock roller</li> <li>Measure equality and use hand to check tension of car roller a. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference line</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Turn off Power Switch (JHT)</li> <li>Manually open and close car door panel by hand, there must have gap between retainer of car door clutch and clutch cam about 2 mm.</li> </ol>
300	120	240
10	0	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
w ا	5	4
<ul> <li>Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door</li> </ul>	Car door drive is defective	Distance between car door clutch and cam plate is wrong
9	9	0
	- Door cannot close	
	eLocking Jam	
	838	

L - 1 time per 6 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch (JHT)</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with SW lock roller</li> <li>Measure equality and use hand to check tension of car roller a Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference line</li> </ol>	Visual check appearance of SW lock roller, replace if it is worn out/broken
120	000	180
10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
7	ۍ ا	3
Car door drive is defective	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	SW lock roller is worn out/broken
٥	ω	9
- Door cannot open		
eUnlocki ng Jam		
839		

L - 1 time per 6 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
Use hand to check tension of car door roller and also measure distance between upright and car guide rail must be equal left rail must be equal left if requires	Visual check appearance of hook limit roller	Use multi-metre to measure direct current vottage (VDC) of car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 25.2 VDC or higher than 25.2 VDC	Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or higher than 25.2 VDC
120	240	8	8
10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
2	4	7	7
Worn out car guide rollers	Hook limit roller worn out	Direct current voltage of car door power supply is over 24VDC by 5%	Direct current voltage of car door power supply is lower 24VDC by 5%
9	ø	4	4
		- LOP/COP button cannor register a call	- LOP/COP button cannor register a call
		NGT 24VDC Over 5% Limit	NGT 24 VDC Under 5% Limit
		840	841

L - 1 time	per 6 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	L - 1 time per 6 months	L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible	field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
Use multi-metre to	measure direct current voltage (VDC) of car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or higher than 25.2 VDC	Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or higher than 25.2 VDC	Try to turn off and turn on car door power switch and check lighting status of car lighting status of car fif it is not functioned properly	Visual check appearance of car door rubber, replace if it is worn out
80		80	160	120
10		10	10	10
During corrective	maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
2		7	2	4
Direct current	voltage of car door power supply is over 24VDC by 10%	Direct current voltage of car door power supply is under 24VDC by 10%	Car door power switch failure	Worn out car door rubber stopper
t 4		4	4 00	en la
- LOP/COP button canno	register a call	- LOP/COP button canno register a call	- LOP/COP button canno register a call - Elevator emergency stops during normal running	- Door close/open very slow sometimes
NGT 24	VDC Over Limit	NGT 24VDC Under 10% Limit	ePower Door Off	eOver Voltage
842		843	844	850

L - 1 time per 6 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	M - 1 time per 3 months	L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
<ol> <li>Turn off car door power switch</li> <li>Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand, replace if it is stuck</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Visual check number of car door shoes</li> <li>Install new car door shoe if old car door shoe is missing</li> </ol>	Push car door panel and use filler gauge thickness 1 mm insert between car door sill, replace if movement is over 1 mm	Visual check appearance of car door panel rubber stopper, replace if it is missing/worn out
160	240	240	150
10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
5	œ	ø	c,
Car door drive is defective	Missing car door shoes	Car door shoe worn out	Car door panel rubber stopper is missing/ worn out
00 	e	en	e
- LOP button cannot register a call - Elevator emergency stops during normal running	- Door panel is shaking while elevator running		- Door close with noise
CAN Missing Node	Custome r complain t		
1301			

2	ls lime	is time	lime
L - 1 T per 6 month	L - 1 per 6 month	M - 1 per 3 month	L - 1 t per 6 month
Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs	Responsible field staffs
Visual check appearance of landing door panel rubber stopper, replace if it is missing/ worn out	Visual check appearance of landing door rubber stopper, replace if it is missing/ worn out	<ol> <li>Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with SW lock roller</li> <li>Measure equality and use hand to check tension of car roller tension of car roller a. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference line</li> </ol>	Visual check appearance of car door panel, clean and adjust if necessary
150	150	300	160
10	10	10	10
During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only	During corrective maintenance and preventive maintenance only
2	ŝ	9	00
Landing door panel rubber stopper is missing/ worn out	Landing door rubber stopper is missing/ worn out	Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	Car door panel is hit by user
<i>с</i> у	n	2	2
			- Scratch on car door panel

L - 1 time per 6 months
Responsible field staffs
Visual check appearance of landing door panel, clean and adjust if necessary
160
10
During corrective maintenance and preventiv maintenance only
œ
2 Landing door panel is hit by user
door
- Scratch on landing o panel



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

## Appendix G

r

	Landing door					
No.	Component type	Component name	ID number	Technical document		
1	Mechanic	Track	56302814	EJ 41350877		
2	Mechanic	Landing door transmission	59350867	EJ 41350877		
		cable for door open = 900 mm				
3	Mechanic	Landing door transmission	59350868	EJ 41350877		
		cable for door open = 1000				
		mm				
4	Mechanic	Upper roller	59352108	EJ 41350877		
5	Mechanic	Bottom roller	59352109	EJ 41350877		
6	Mechanic	Rubber stopper	59350870	EJ 41350877		
7	Mechanic	SW lock roller	59352111	K 43402071		
		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		EJ 41350877		
8	Mechanic	Hook limiter	59352112	EJ 41350877		
9	Mechanic	Triangle unlocking device	57618115	EJ 41350877		
10	Mechanic	Closing spring cable	59352113	EJ 41350877		
11	Mechanic	Landing door panel	56302814	EJ 41350877		
12	Mechanic	Landing sill for centre door	59350873	EJ 41350877		
		open = 900 mm				
13	Mechanic	Landing sill for centre door	59350874	EJ 41350877		
		open = 1000 mm				
14	Mechanic	Landing guide shoes	59350871	EJ 41350877		
15	Mechanic	Landing door panel rubber	59352122	EJ 41350877		
		stopper				
16	Electric	Landing door contact bridge	51907562	EJ 41350877		
17	Electric	Landing door contact housing	51907563	EJ 41350877		

## Table 33: Landing door components

Table 34: Car door components

	Car door					
No.	Component type	Component name	ID number	Technical document		
1	Mechanic	Track	59350701	EJ 41350882		
2	Mechanic	Car door transmission cable	59350786	EJ 41350882		
	Mechanic	Car door clutch	59350806	EJ 41350882		
3				TT-14-0009		
4	Mechanic	Rubber stopper	962052	EJ 41350882		
	Mechanic	Clutch cam	59350533	EJ 41350882		
5				TT-14-0009		
6	Mechanic	Carrier roller	57605958	EJ 41350882		
7	Mechanic	Tooth belt	59350549	EJ 41350882		
8	Mechanic	Car door panel	59352900	EJ 41350882		
9	Mechanic	Car door panel rubber stopper	59352122	EJ 41350882		
10	Mechanic	Car door sill	59350728	EJ 41350882		
11	Mechanic	Car guide shoes	59300308	EJ 41350882		
	Electric	Car door drive	59350600	EJ 41350882		
12				K 40700050		
	Electric	Power switch	59350784	EJ 41350882		
13		GHULALONGKORN UNIVERSI	Y	EJ 41350884		
	Electric	Power supply	59350751	EJ 41350882		
14				EJ 41350884		
	Electric	Human machine interface	59350643	EJ 41350882		
				EJ 41350884		
15				K 40700050		
	Electric	Car door contact bridge for	966843	EJ 41350882		
16		KTC, KTC2, KET-S2		EJ 41350884		
	Electric	Car door contact housing for	969517	EJ 41350882		
17		KTC, KTC2, KET-S2		EJ 41350884		
	Electric	Light curtain	59341612	EJ 41350882		
18				EJ 41350884		

## Appendix H

## Troubleshooting manual





ตำแหน่ง	คำอธิบาย (Description)										
(Position)	ซื่อลิฟต์ในกล่า										
1	ชื่อลิฟต์ในกลุ่ม										
	Elevator designation within group										
2	สถานะของเซ็นเซอร์เซ็คน้ำหนักบรรทุกในลิฟต์:										
	Load Measuring Sensor status:										
	<ul> <li>CAL = ต้องปรับแต่งเซ็นเซอร์เช็คน้ำหนักใหม่ (LMS requires</li> </ul>										
	calibration)										
	<ul> <li>UNV = เซ็นเซอร์เซ็คน้ำหนักบรรทุกไม่ปรากฏ (LMS is unavailable)</li> </ul>										
	<ul> <li>DIS = ปิดการใช้งานเซ็นเซอร์เซ็คน้ำหนักบรรทุก (LMS is disabled)</li> </ul>										
	<ul> <li>xx % = แสดงน้ำหนักบรรทุกเป็น % ของน้ำหนักบรรทุกสูงสุดที่รองรับ</li> </ul>										
	ได้ (Car load in % of rated load)										
	<ul> <li>CALF = ต้องการเซ็คน้ำหนักบรรทุกเปล่าทุกชั้น (missing floor dep</li> </ul>										
	Zero load calibration)										
3	สถานะเซอร์วิสวิสิตของลิฟต์) "*"กระพริบ หมายถึง เซอร์วิสวิสิตกำลังทำงานอ										
	Status service visit (blinking "*" if service visit is active, blank if service										
	visit is inactive)										
4	สถานะลิฟต์ตัวแม่ ("M" = ลิฟต์ตัวแม่(ฟต์ตัวแม่ว่างเปล่า หมายถึงไม่ใช่ลิ ,										
	Status master ("M" = this elevator is group master, blank if this										
	elevator is not group master)										
5	สถานะโหนดของลิฟต์:										
	"%" กระพริบ หมายถึง ซอฟต์แวร์ของลิฟต์กำลังดาวน์โหลดข้อมูลอยู่										
	"?" ติดค้าง หมายถึง ต้องทำการฟรีซโหนดใหม่										
	"?" กระพริบ หมายถึง ลิฟต์กำลังฟริซโหนดอยู่										
	"!" กระพริบ หมายถึง										
	Status node tree:										
	Blinking "%" Node SW download in progress										
	"?" Node tree freeze required										
	Blinking "?" Executing node tree freeze										
	Blinking "!" Nod tree freeze not successful										

ตำแหน่ง (Position)	คำอธิบาย (Description)
	"!" Missing or new nodes found after freeze
	Blank Freeze completed and no changes on node
	Tree
6	สถานะของไดรฟ:
	0 คือไดรฟอยู่กับที่
	+ คือไดรฟกำลังสั่งให้ลิฟต์เคลื่อนที่ด้วยความเร่ง
	= คือไดรฟกำลังสั่งให้ลิฟต์เคลื่อนที่ด้วยความเร็วคงที่
	- คือไดรฟกำลังสั่งให้ลิฟต์เคลื่อนที่ด้วยความหน่วง
	F คือไดรฟไม่ปรากฏ
	? คือไม่รู้สถานะไดรฟ
	Status drive:
	0 Drive at standstill
	+ Drive accelerating
	= Drive traveling at constant speed
	- Drive decelerating
	F Drive not available
	? Unknown drive status
7	สถานะของตัวลิฟต์: ONGKORN UNIVERSITY
	= คือลิฟต์อยู่เสมอชั้น
	# คือลิฟต์อยู่นอกชั้น
	↑ คือลิฟต์กำลังเคลื่อนที่ขึ้น
	👃 คือลิฟต์กำลังเคลื่อนที่ลง
	? คือไม่รู้สถานะของลิฟต์
	Status car:
	= Car is at standstill within the door zone
	# Car is at standstill outside the door zone
	↑ Car is traveling up
	↓ Car is traveling down

ตำแหน่ง	ด้าอธิบาย (Description)
(Position)	
	? Unknown car status
8	ตำแหน่งชั้นของตัวลิฟต์
	Current group selector value (1 n)
9	สถานะของประตู:
	Status door:
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
	1 ประตูด้านที่ 1 หรือ 2 (1 Door side)
	2 ประตูเปิด (2 Door open)
	3 ประตูกำลังปิด (3 Door closing)
	4 ประตูกำลังเปิด (4 Door opening)
	5 ประตูปิด (5 Door closed)
	6 ประตูปิดสุดและล็อคแล้ว (6 Door locked)
	7 ประตูหยุด (7 Door stopped)
	8 ไม่รู้สถานะประตู (Status unknown)
10	สถานะ ตัวสั่งการทำงานของลิฟต์ (Control status) เช่น
	N = ปกติ (Normal)
	JAB = ปิดลิฟต์ (Out of service)
	JBF = ไฟไหม้ (Fire evacuation)
	UNV = ลิฟต์ไม่พร้อมใช้งาน (Unavailable)
11	สถานะ การควบคุมการเคลื่อนที่ของลิฟต์ (Status travel control)
	เวลาที่ลิฟต์เสีย error code จะถูกแสดงขึ้นดังนี้
	(When in error condition, the error code is displayed alternating with
	the status.)
	BlkTemp: คอนโทรลลิฟต์จะหยุดชั่วขณะจาก error ที่เกิดขึ้น (Control
	temporarily blocked by an error)

ตำแหน่ง	คำอธิบาย (Description)								
(Position)	BlKPerm: คอนโทรลลิฟต์จะหยุดถาวรจาก error ที่เกิดขึ้น (Control								
	BlKPerm: คอนโทรลลิฟต์จะหยุดถาวรจาก error ที่เกิดขึ้น (Control								
	permanently blocked by a fatal error)								
	Control: คอนโทรลกำลังสั่งให้ลิฟต์วิ่งขึ้นไปชั้นบนสุดหรือลงไปชั้นล่างสุด								
	(Control performing a travel triggered by DFM-D/U)								
	T1Open: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 1 เปิด (Safety circuit open at T1)								
	T2Open: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ดตัวที่ 2 เปิด Safety circuit open at T2								
	T3AOpen: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 3A เปิด (Safety circuit open at T3A)								
	T3BOpen: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 3B เปิด (Safety circuit open on elevato								
	T4Open: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 4 เปิด (Safety circuit open at T4)								
	T5Open: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 5 เปิด (Safety circuit open at T5)								
	T6Open: ชุดวงจรเซฟตี้ตัวที่ 6 เปิด (Safety circuit open at T6)								
	JHM On: ปุ่ม stop มอเตอร์ถูกกดอยู่ (Control stopped by JHM)								
	JHC On: ปุ่ม stop หลังคาลิฟต์ถูกกดอยู่ (Control stopped by JHC)								
	JHC1 On: ปุ่ม stop หลังคาลิฟต์ตำแหน่งที่ 2 ถูกกดอยู่ (Control stopped by								
	JHC1)								
	FC_NRdy: ไดรฟไม่พร้อมให้ลิฟต์เคลื่อนที่ (Frequency control not ready for								
	travel) GHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY								
	DoorByp: มีการลัดวงจรเซฟตี้ประตูนอก (Landing door bypass active)								
	KTHMH: มอเตอร์มีอุณหภูมิสูงเกิน (Hoisting motor over temperature)								
	VFOvTmp: ไดรฟมีอุณหภูมิสูงเกิน (Frequency converter over								
	temperature)								
	Lift24V: ขาดแหล่งจ่ายไฟกระแสตรง 24 โวลต์ (Lack of 24 VDC supply)								
	SB Fault: คอนโทรลลิฟต์หยุดเนื่องจากเบรก คอนแทคเตอร์ (Control stopped								
	by a failure on brake contactor)								
	LMSnRdy: เซ็นเซอร์เซ็คน้ำหนักลิฟต์ยังไม่พร้อมใช้งาน (Load measurement								
	system not ready)								
	Correct: ลิฟต์กำลังเคลื่อนที่เพื่อให้เสมอชั้น (Elevator performing a								
	correction travel)								

ตำแหน่ง	คำอธิบาย (Description)									
(Position)	คาอริบาย (Description)									
	PEBOFlt: อุปกรณ์ปลดเบรคไม่สามารถใช้งานได้ (Fault has occurred on									
	PEBO device)									
	Creep: คอนโทรลสั่งให้ลิฟต์วิ่งครบรอบโดยการควบคุมจากตัวควบคุมความเร็ว									
	(Control completing a travel with the speed encoder)									
	BatFlt: แบตเตอรี่ (Fault occurred on emergency supply battery)									
	Ovrload: น้ำหนักในตัวลิฟต์เกิน (Elevator in overload status)									
	AccTBlk: ลิฟต์ไม่สามารถวิ่งได้หลังการทดสอบลิฟต์ (Elevator blocked after									
	acceptance test)									
	BMIni: กำลังรอการค้นหาการทำงานของเบรค (Brake emergency stop									
	monitor awaits initialization)									
	BMErr: ตัวเช็คการทำงานของเบรคกำลังทำงานอยู่ (Brake emergency stop									
	monitor triggered)									
	PeboBat: แบตเตอรี่สำหรับปลดเบรคไฟฟ้าเวลาลิฟต์ค้างไม่เสมอชั้น หมด									
	(Manual evacuation battery exhausted)									
12	แสดงความเร็วของลิฟต์: หรือจะแสดง error เมื่อมี error ที่เกี่ยวกับความเร็ว									
	Traveling speed: When in error condition, the motor control status is									
	shown alternating with the error code.									
13	<ul> <li>ระหว่างที่ลิฟต์ถูกใช้งานทั่วไป: ค่าจากตัวนับจำนวนครั้งการวิ่งของลิฟต์จะ</li> </ul>									
	ถูกเก็บไว้ในชิปการ์ดทุกวัน (During normal operation: Value of the									
	traction media trip counter. The value is stored daily on the									
	chip card.)									
	<ul> <li>หลังจากไฟดับเป็นเวลานาน: ตัวนับจำนวนครั้งการวิ่งของลิฟต์จะนับต่อ</li> </ul>									
	จากค่าสุดท้ายที่เก็บไว้ในชิปการ์ด After long power failure: The trip									
	counter re-starts at the last value stored on the chip card.									
	<ul> <li>หลักจากมีการเปลี่ยนปริ้นท์ยกเว้นปริ้นท์ CPUCF: ค่าความจำ จำนวนครั้ง</li> </ul>									
	การวิ่งของลิฟต์ After replacement of a PCBA (other than the									
	CPUCF): The memory of the trip counter is stored on CPUCF.									

ตำแหน่ง	ด้าอธิบาย (Description)							
(Position)	หายอบาย (Description)							
	Replacement of any other PCBA is therefore irrelevant for the							
	correct functioning of the trip counter.							
	• After replacement of the CPUCF: The counter of the new							
	CPUCF compares the trip counter value stored on the chip							
	card.							
	<ul> <li>If the commissioning number of the new CPUCF and the</li> </ul>							
	existing chip card is identical: The trip counter is restarted with							
	the bigger value.							
	<ul> <li>If the commissioning number of the new CPUCF and the</li> </ul>							
	existing chip card is not identical: The trip counter is restarted							
	with the chip card value.							
	– If a new chip card with identical commissioning number is							
	downloaded: The data backup area of the chip card is stored,							
	the new content is downloaded and the backup data is then							
	re-stored.							
14	ตัวนับจำนวนเที่ยวการวิ่งของลิฟต์ (Car trip counter reading)							

91	การสิฟต์เสีย			
(Potenti	al failure modes)	48 4 - 0		79.
รพัสความ	ด้าอธิบายความ	(Dotential effect of failure)	Riting (Detential navioa/Manhaniam of failura)	risonadourom (Datartinon nonnordadurad)
ผิดพลาด	ติดพลาด			(Detection biocedures)
(Error code)	(Error description)			
148	Safety T4	- ประตูในปีตไม่ได้	<ol> <li>1.1 คอนแทคประตูใน (KTC) เสื้อม/หัก</li> </ol>	1. สังเกตว่า KTC และKTC2 อยู่ในสภาพดี เปลี่ยนทั้นที่ถ้าพบว่า
		- Car door cannot close	1.1 worn out/broken KTC	เสื่อมสภาพแด้ว
		- สิฟต์ปิตประดูแล้วไม่สามารออก		1. Visual check appearance of KTC and KTC2, replace if it is
		พัวได้		wom out
		- Door close but elevator	1.2 ระยะคอนแทคประทูใน (KTC) ไม่ถูกต้อง	<ol> <li>สังเกตว่า KTC และ KTC2 อยู่ตรงกลางเมือประทูในปิดสุด</li> </ol>
		cannot start running	1.2 Misaligned KTC	1. Visual check alignment of KTC and KTC2 when car door
		- สิฟต์หยุดกะทันทันขณะวิจ		is fully closed
		- Elevator emergency stops		2. วัทระยะระหว่างคอนแทคตัวผู้-ดัวเมีย = 4 มม.
		during normal running		2. Measure distance between KTC contact housing and
				contact bridge = 4 mm, adjust if distance is wrong
			ເ Contact housing (ທຳມີຢ) ID No. = 969517 Contact bridge (ທຳຢູ້) ID No. = 966843 ເຍາສາຈຍ້ຳນ່ອນ Reference document EJ 41350882	

		(Detection procedures)		1. สังเกตว่าสลิงเซ็นเตอร์ ประตูในอยู่ในสภาพดี เปลี่ยนเมื่อพบรอย	LUMP1	1. Visual check appearance of car door transmission cable.	replace if it is damaged	2. ใช้มีอกตลงบนสลิงเซ็นเตอร์ประตูในว่าต้องมีความตึงที่เหมาะสม	2. Use hand to press on car door transmission cable, adjust	if it is too tight or too loose	
		וווויאיק (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		1.3 สลิจเซ็นเตอร์ประทูในหย่อน/แตก	1.3 Car door transmission cable is too loose/damaged						
	4 4 1 8	Rentsevrumenevermout (Potential effect of failure)									
ารลิฟท์เสีย	failure modes)	ค้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาต	(Error description)								
81U	(Potentia	รพัสความ มิตพลาด	(Error code)								

Right Constants	(Detection procedures)			1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตบนราจเฮตเตอร์ประตูใน	1. Visual check cleanliness of car door track	2. ใช้ของมีคม(ฟุตเหล็ก)และผ้าทำความสะอาดขึ้โรลเลอร์ออกจากราง	เฮคเตอร์ประตูใน	2. Use sharp tool (steel ruler) and rag to clean on car door	track if it is dirty	
	и пину (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Transmission cable C2/C4 V35 ID No.= 59350786	1.5 มีการสะสมของขึ้เรลเลอร์บนรางเฮตเตอร์ประตูใน	1.5 Rubber from carrier roller is stuck on car door track					5
ผลกระทบที่อาจจะเริศขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)										
ารลิฟต์เสีย I failure modes)	ค้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)								
อาก (Potential	รทัสพวาม ผิดพลาด	(Error code)								

មង្គ្រោទ៤និមនីបារ	(Detection procedures)			1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชิลประตูใน	1. Visual check cleanliness of car door sill	2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผู้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทูใน	2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is	dirty	
iduanu s	(Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		tenarsérvés. Reference document EJ 41350882	1.6 ขยะที่คร่องชิลประตูใน	1.6 Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill				
ผลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)									
การสิฟต์เสีย I failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ มีตพลาต	(Error description)							
enr (Potentia	รพัสความ ผิดพลาด	(Error code)							

				T								
การตรวจเร็ด (Detection procedures)				<ol> <li>สังเกพว่า KTS อยู่ในสภาพดี เปลี่ยนถ้าพบว่าเสี่อมสภาพแล้ว</li> </ol>	1. Visual check appearance of KTS, replace if it worn out	1. สังเภทว่า KTS อยู่ตรงกลาง	1. Visual check alignment of KTS when landing door is fully	closed	2. วัตระยะทำจระหว่างคอนแทค 1-3 มม.	2. Measure distance between KTS contact housing and	contact bridge = 1-3 mm, adjust if distance is wrong	
สามหตุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)			Landras visa. Reference document EJ 41350882	2.1 คอนแทคประตูนอกเสื่อม/หัก	2.1 Worn out/broken KTS	2.2 ระยะคอนแทคประชูนอกไม่ถูกต้อง	2.2 Misaligned KTS					
ผลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)				- ประตูนอกปิตไม่ได้	- Landing door cannot close	- สิฟต์ปิตประตูแล้วไม่สามารออก	ด้วได้	- Door close but elevator	cannot start running	- สิฟต์หยุตกะทันทันชณะวิจ	- Elevator emergency stops	during normal running
าารติฟต์เสีย il failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)		Safety T5	Extra info =	elevator position						
enr (Potentia	รทัสความ มิตพลาด	(Error code)		149								

7	Intervention procedures)			1. สังเกตว่าสลิงเริ่มเตอร์ ประทุนอกอยู่ในสภาพดี	1. Visual check appearance of landing door transmission	cable	
	สานหตุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Closing spring ID No. = 59352114	2.4 สลิงเซ็นเตอร์ประทูนอกแตก/ชาต	2.4 Landing door transmission cable damaged		
4 d	Rensewoweneescond (Potential effect of failure)						
ោទតិឃាំភ្លើខ I failure modes)	คำอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)					
อาก (Potential	รพัสความ ผิดพลาด	(Error code)					

18	(Detection procedures)					1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตบนรางเฮตเตอร์ประตูนอก	<ol> <li>Visual check cleanliness of landing door track</li> </ol>	2. ใช้ของมีคม(ฟุตเหล็ก)และผ้าทำความสะอาดชี้โรลเลอร์ออกจากราง	เธษเพตร์ประชุมนอก	2. Use sharp tool (steel ruler) and rag to clean on landing	door track if it is dirty	1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชื่อประตุนอก	1. Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill	2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผู้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทุนอก	2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is	dirty	1. ใช้กุญแจสามเหลี่ยมใชพูการทำงานของประตูนอก	1. Use triangle key to check function of unlocking device	
	สานพยุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		l andiren daar traasmission aabla ID No –	Landing door mansmission caple ID No Fostingt for BT ond (3	50360868 for BT 10000 (สำหรับประตุณีที่ๆ 1 m)	2.5 มีการสหสมของขี้ไรลเลอร์บนราจเฮพเตอร์ประตูนอก	2.5 Rubber from upper roller is stuck on landing door track					2.6 ขยะพิตร่องชิลประตูนอก	2.6 Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill				2.7 กลไกกุญแจประทูนอกศักร	2.7 Landing door unlocking device is stuck	
ود d	Rensewowenseernwou (Potential effect of failure)																		
กรลิฟต์เสีย I failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ มีตพลาด	(Error description)																	
อาก (Potentia	รพัสความ มิตพลาต	(Error code)																	

		(Detection procedures)			1. ปิตสวิทช์ตัดกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประทูใน	1. Turn off car door power switch	2. ใช้มีอดองเลื่อนเปิด/ปิดบานประพูถ้ามีอาการกระตุกระทว่างเปิด/	ให้เปลี่ยนไดยทันที	2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,	replace if it is stuck	
	MANANA PARA	ر Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Londras Žvaĝa Reference document EJ41360877	3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>					
		(Potential effect of failure)			- สิฟต์ไม่สามารถใช้งานได้	- Elevator cannot use					
าารสิฟต์เสีย	al failure modes)	ต้าอธิบายความ คือจงอาต	(Error description)		Door Operation	Error					
61f	(Potentia	รทัศตาวาม คิดพราช	(Error code)		202						

	(Detection procedures)			. ตรวจสอบสภาพยางรองชุดตอร์ลือค	. Visual check appearance of hook limit roller, replace if it is	om out	
	етили (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		DE-V36 door drive ID No. = 59350600	<ol> <li>1 ยางของชุดตอร์ลือคลึกทำให้อุกอยู่ตำแหน่งที่สูงเกินเวลากระดก</li> <li>1.</li> </ol>	นิตแล้วติด	4.1 Hook limit roller is worn out	
ag dd	Rentaenum neverimou (Potential effect of failure)			- ลิฟต์ไม่สามารถใช้งานได้ชั่วขณะ	- Elevator is temporary	unavailable	<u> </u>
ាទតិឃាត់ត្រឹង failure modes)	ศ้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)		Thermo Door Mator			
อาก (Potential	รทัสความ ผิดพลาด	(Error code)		203			

เมืองเรียดราวจเนื้อง	(Detection procedures)			1. เคลื่อนสิทต์ตัวยใหมดสโลว์สปิดเพื่อเพียบระยะจากเส้นอ้างอิงที่ชิด	ได้บนเฮตเตอร์หลังคากับตอร์สอด	1. Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with	SW lack roller	2. ปรับชุตตอร์ลีอตเข้าหาเส้นอ้างอิง	2. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference	lline	
ได้เหลาเมอ เ	(Fotential cause/ Mechanism of failure)		Hook limit roller ID No. = 50352112	4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์สือคใน่ถูกต้อง	4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door						
มลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น 	(Potential effect of failure)										
าารติฟต์เสีย al failure modes) คำอธิบายความ	มิตพลาด 	(Error description)									
อาง (Potentia ราหัสความ	ลิศพลาด เ	(Error code)									

การพรวจเร็ด (Detection procedures)			1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชิลประตูใน	1. Visual check cleanliness of car door sill	2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผุ้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทูใน	2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is	dirty	1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชิลประตุนอก	1. Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill
สาเหตุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Line A 402071	1.6 ขยะพิตร่องชีลประตูใน	1.6 Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill				2.6 ขยะพิตร่องชิลประทุนอก	2.6 Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill
มลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)			- สิ่งได้พยายามปีด/เป็ดประตู	หลายครั้งแต่หยุดกลางคั้น	- Elevator close and open	door many times but it is	stuck in the middle	I	
าารสิฟฟ์เสีย I failure modes) ค่ำอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)		Door Reverse	Device Error					
อาก (Potentia รพัสความ มิตพลาด	(Error code)		204						

en (Potentia	การสิฟต์เสีย ม failure modes)			
ethelen nel	anosa nelannal	ผลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น	โปนานไป	การพรวจเช็ต
4		(Potential effect of failure)	(Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)	(Detection procedures)
MERMAN	MMANA			
(Error code)	(Error description)			
				2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผู้นทำความสะอาทร่องชิลประทูนอก
				<ol><li>Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is</li></ol>
				dirty
207	Close Sequence	- สิฟต์พยายามปิตประตูหลายครั้ง	1.6 ขยะติตร่องชีลประตูใน	1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาดที่ร่องชิลประตูใน
	Error	แต่หยุตกลางค้น	1.6 Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill	1. Visual check cleanliness of car door sill
		- Elevator tries to close door		2. ใช้ผักหรือเครื่องดูดผุ้นทำความสะอาทร่องชิลประทูใน
		many times but it is stuck in		2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is
		the middle		dirty
			2.6 ขยะพิตร่องชิลประตูนอก	1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชิลประตุนอก
			2.6 Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill	1. Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill
				2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผุ้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทุนอก
				2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is
				dirty
208	Door Device Error	- สิฟต์เปิด/ปิดประตู แล้วหยุด	5. ต้องเช็ครหัสความผิดพลาคอื่นประกอบ	พ้องตรวจสอบรหัสความผิดพลาดอื่นก่อนหน้า/หลังประกอบด้วย
		กลางทัน	5. This error will come with other errors	Have to check surrounding error code to further analysis
		- Door stop moving	3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย	1. ปิตสวิทช์ตัดกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประทูใน
		(close/open) during normal	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>	1. Turn off car door power switch
		operation		2. ใช้มีอลองเลื่อนเปิด/ปิตบานประทูล้ามีอาการกระตุกระหว่างเปิด/
				ให้เปลี่ยนโตยทันที

	in the second seco	(Data Alancer and Alance)	(necession bracedores)		2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,	replace if it is stuck					พรวจสอบสภาพยางรองชุดตอร์สือค	Visual check appearance of hook limit roller, replace if it is	worn out	1. เคลื่อนสิฟต์ด้วยใหมดสโควสปิตเพื่อเพียบระยะจากเส้นอ้างอิงที่ชิต	ไว้บนเฮตเตอร์หลังคากับตอร์สีอค	1. Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with	SW lock roller	2. ปรับชุดตอร์ลือตเข้าหาเส้นอ้างอิง	2. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference	line	ใช้มีอหมุนอัพทัชโรลเลอร์ที่ดำแหน่งประตูปีดสุดและเปิดสุด ถ้าไม่	สามารถหนุนได้ ให้ปรับคูกเบี้ยวอัพทั้งโรลเลอร์ที่มีช่องว่างประมาณ	0.6 -1 มม. กับรางเฮตเตอร์
	MANA DE	(Dotantial carea(Machaniam of failura)									4.1 ยางรองชุดตอร์ลือคลึกทำให้รุกอยู่พำแหน่งที่สูงเกินเวลากระดก	เปิดแล้วติด	4.1 Hook limit rollerworn out	4.2 ระยะชุคตอร์สือคไม่ถูกต้อง	4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door						6.1 ไม่มีช่องว่าจระหว่างอัพทัดโรลเลอร์กับแทรคเฮตเตอร์	6.1 No gap between eccentric roller and landing door track	
		Maritsemunier neventeruus (Ontential effect of failure)			- สิฟต์ไม่สามารถเปิด/ปิดประตูได้	- Elevator cannot open or	close door	- สิฟต์วิ่งแล้วหยุดกะทันทัน	- Elevator emergency stops	during normal running	- ประตูเปิดแล้วติดไม่สามารถเปิด	ได้สุด	- Door is stuckwhile opening	- ประตูไม่สามารถเปิดได้	- Door cannot open						1		
าารติฟต์เสีย	ki failure modes)	ศักอธิบายความ	นิตพลาต	(Error description)							Open Sequence	KOKB											
318	(Potentia	รพัสความ	ผิศพลาต	(Error code)							212												

er (Potenti	เการสิฟต์เสีย al failure modes)	39 0 -7		
รพัสความ	คำอธิบายความ	ผลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)	สานพฤ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)	nnswepaufun (Detection procedures)
RIMATA	มิตพลาต			
(Error code)	(Error description)			
				Use hand to check playable of eccentric roller. It needs to
				adjust if it is not playable, gap between eccentric roller and
				landing door track must be 0.5-1 mm.
				7
			C	
			H	
			3	0
				0
			เอกสารอ้างอิง Reference document EJ41350877	
			6.2 สายพานประตูในหย่อน	ใช้มีอกตศายพานประตูใน หรือใช้เครื่องมือวัดความดึงศายพานประตู
			6.2 Tension of car door is too loose	ในวารบนสายพานประตูใน
				Use hand or belt calibration tool to measure tension of car
				door belt, adjust if it is too tight or too loose



	Bin Constants	(Detection procedures)				<ol> <li>สังเกพสภาพลูกยางเชิ่นเตอร์ประตูนอก เปลี่ยนทันทีลักพบว่าหลุด/</li> </ol>	เสื้อมสภาพแล้ว	1. Visual check appearance of landing door rubber stopper,	replace if it is missing/worn out	2. วัตระยะระหว่างธุตกับด็อคตั้องมีค่าระหว่าง 1.2- 3 มม.	2. Measure distance between hook and lock, it must be	between 1.2- 3 mm.	Hook
	2000 I LUID	a nord (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)			Car door clutch without car door lock ID No: 59350808	6.4 ลูกยางเชิ้มเตอร์ประทูนอกหลุดใสึก	6.4 Landing door rubber stopper is missing/worn out						
		Remain affect of failure)											
าการสิพท์เสีย	ial failure modes)	ทั้กอริบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)									
6	(Potenti	รพัสความ	RIMBIN	(Error code)									
70	(Detection procedures)		1-3 mm 1-2-3 mm PART A PART A	<ol> <li>สังเกพว่าให้สินคงที่ดำแหน่ง obstacle ของ HMI จะติดแบบ</li> </ol>	กระพริบหรือติดค้าง	1. Visual check red light (obstacle) of HMI will blink or turn	on	2. ให้ปีต/เปิดสวิตช์ดัดกระแสไฟนอเตอร์ประตูในแล้วสังเกตว่าไฟสี	แต่งที่ HMI ยังพิตต้างอยู่หรือไม่	2. Turn off/on power switch (JHT) in order to check obstacle	light whether is still active	3. ใช้ผ้าแห้งเช็ดทำความสะอาคม่านแสงและสังเกตดูไฟสิแคงที่	พ้าแหน่ง obstacle ของ HMI ต้องทับ
-------------------------------	--	---------------------	--	---	------------------------------	--	----	---	-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------
	เสานพฤ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Rubber stopper ID No. = 59350870 sonansănsă Reference document E.141350877	7. ม่านแสงสกปรก	7. Light curtain is dirly								
-a a -a	Renteenumeneurerineur (Potential effect of failure)			- สิ่งต์ไม่สามารถปิดประตูได้	- Elevator cannot close door								
ាទតិฟต์เสีย failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ มีตพลาด	(Error description)		RPHT Continuous	Activation								
อาก (Potential	รทัศความ ผิศพลาต	(Error code)		214									

										_										
	in the second seco	(Tratantion proceedings)	(Detection biocecutes)		3. Use dry rag to clean light curtain and check whether red	light turns off	4. เปลี่ยนม่านแสงประทูในใหม่ถ้าพบว่ายังติดค้างอยู่	4. Light curtain has to be replaced, if obstacle light is still	active		h			0000		1. ตรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชีลประตูไม	1. Visual check cleanliness of car door sill	2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผู้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทูใน	2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean car door sill if it is	dirty
	una lug	(Dotential cause (Machaniam of failure)	(FORTHAL CAUSE) IN ECHARINSH OF IAILOF									Cost Office Anna-Sis L	×		Light curtain ID No. = 59341612	1.6 ขยะทิตร่องชิลประตูโน	1.6 Rubbish is stuck inside car door sill			
		Maritsemiumer never impus (Dotantial affect of failure)	(Fotertial effect of failure)													- ประหูปิตแล้วติตไม่สามารถปิดได้	en En	- Door is stuckwhile closing		
าารลิฟต์เสีย	al failure modes)	ต้าอธิบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)												KSKB Continuous	Activation			
818	(Potentia	ราหัสคาวาม	มีตาดเก	(Error code)												217				

			(nerecitori biocegnica)		1. พรวจสอบความสะอาตที่ร่องชิลประตูนอก	1. Visual check cleanliness of landing door sill	2. ใช้ผ้าหรือเครื่องดูดผู้นทำความสะอาตร่องชิลประทุนอก	2. Use rag or vacuum cleaner to clean landing door sill if it is	dirty	ใช้มีอกตสายพานประตูใน หรือใช้เครื่องมือวัดความพึงสายพานประตู	ในวาจบนสายพานประทูใน	Use hand or belt calibration tool to measure tension of car	door belt, adjust if it is too tight or too loose	1. ปิตสวิทช์ทัตกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประทูใน	1. Turn off car door power switch	2. ใช้มีอลองเลื่อนเปิด/ปิดบานประตูล้ามีอาการกระตุกระหว่างเปิด/	ให้เปลี่ยนไดยทันที	2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,	replace if it is stuck	พ้องตรวจสอบรหัสความมิตพลาดอื่นก่อนหน้า/หลังประกอบด้วย เช่น	รหัสความผิดพลาด 148, 149	Have to check surrounding error code to further analysis	such as error 148, 149
	ana su	(Datastic science/Advertance)			2.6 ขยะทิตร่องชิลประตูนอก	2.6 Rubbish is stuck inside landing door sill				6.2 สายพานประทูโนหย่อน	6.2 Tension of car door belt is too loose			3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>					8. ไดรฟตรวจพบว่าวจจรเชพดีเซอร์กิตต่อไม่สมบูรณ์	8. This error generate from drive side, so we have to check	with other errors	
		Marrisentumerinungunnun (Dototiol officit of failund)												- ลิฟต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกดเรียกชั้นใน	ลิพท์และหน้าชน	- COP/LOP button cannot	register a call			- สิฟต์ไม่สามารถออกตัวได้	- Elevator cannot start a trip	- ลิฟตวิ่งแล้วหยุดกะทันทัน	
การสิฟต์เสีย	al failure modes)	ต้าอธิบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)										Door Unavailable						Safety Circuit			
10	(Potentia	รพัสตาวาม	ผิตพลาด	(Error code)										252						709			

		(Detertion proceedings)	(necession b)				สังเกตว่าอยู่สภาพดีหรือไม่, เปลี่ยนทั้นที่ถ้าพบว่าเสื่อมสภาพแล้ว	Visual check appearance of KET-S2, replace if itworn out	<ol> <li>สังเภพว่า KET-S2 อยู่ตรงกลางเมื่อประทูในปีดสุด</li> </ol>	1. Visual check alignment of KET-S2 when car door is fully	closed	2. วัตระยะระหว่างคอนแทคตัวผู้-ด้วเมีย = 3 มม., ปรับทันทีลักพบว่า	នខ <b>ន</b> ដៃពួកហ័ល <b>ខ</b>	2. Measure distance between KET-52 contact housing and	contact bridge = 4 mm, adjust if distance is wrong		F 3 KET-S2		1
	Marriedo	a turq. (Detential ratios (Machanism of failtre)					9.1 คอนแทคสัญญาณประพูปิตสุด (KET-S2) เสื้อม	9.1 KET-S2 worn out/broken	9.2 คอนแทคสัญญาณประหูปัจสุด (KET-52) ไม่ได้ระยะที่ถูกต้อง	10.2 Misaligned KET-S2							KETAS		เอกสารอ้างอิ่ง Reference document EJ 41350882, EJ 4135088
		Marrison units investminus (Dotantial affant of failura)			- Elevator emergency stops	during normal running	- สิฟต์ปิตประตูแล้วไม่สามารออก	ตัวได้	(สัญญาณปิตสุดบนหน้าจอ	SMLCD [tub])	- Door close but elevator	cannot start running	(No fully-closed symbol on	SMLCD)	- สิฟต์หยุดกะทันทันขณะวิจ	- Elevator emergency stops	during normal running		
าารสิฟต์เสีย	I failure modes)	ศักอธิบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)			wKET-S2 Failure												
8JF	(Potentia	รพัสตวาม	ผิภพลาด	(Error code)			832												

ผิพธาก (Error description)         มีการการการการการการการการการการการการการก	lure modes) ผลกา ด้าอธิบายความ (Poter	ระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น ntial effect of failure)	สานพทุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)	การทรวจเซ็ค (Detection procedures)
<ul> <li>สิพิเท็ไม่รับคำสั่งปุ่มกคในลิพิต์ 3. มอเตอร์ประตุโนเสีย และพน้ำชั้น</li> <li>LOP button cannot register</li> <li>LOP button cannot register</li> <li>a call</li> <li>4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์คิธคไม่ถูกต้อง</li> <li>4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la</li> <li>ประตุป็ม่สามารถปิตได้</li> <li>มอเตอร์ประตุโนเสีย</li> <li>- ประตุป็ม่สามารถปิตได้</li> <li>3. มอเตอร์ประตุโนเสีย</li> <li>- Door cannot close</li> <li>3. Car door drive is defective</li> </ul>	n iption)			
e และหน้าชั้น 3. Car door drive is defective - LOP button cannot register a call 4.2 ระยะชุคตอร์คือคไม่ถูกต้อง 4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la 4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la and - ประชุปไม่สามารถปัตใต้ได้ 5. Latimosful ระชุดิณศิย	ะ ลิฟต์ไม่	เร็บคำสังปุ่มกดในสิฟต์	3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเดีย	1. ปิดสริทซ์ทัดกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประทูใน
<ul> <li>LOP button cannot register</li> <li>a call</li> <li>4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์สือคใปสุกต้อง</li> <li>4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la</li> <li>1-ประตุปันสามารถปิดได้</li> <li>3. มอเตอร์ประตุโนสีย</li> <li>- Door cannot close</li> <li>3. Car door drive is defective</li> </ul>	e และหน้า	181. 181.	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>	1. Turn off car door power switch
a call a call a call - ประทูปน่สามารถปักได้ - ประทูปน่สามารถปักได้ - Door cannot close 3. Car door chive is defective	- LOP b	vutton cannot register		2. ใช้มือลองเลื่อนเปิด/ปิดบานประตูลักมีอาการกระตุกระหว่างเปิด/
am - ประทูโม่สามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประทูโมเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective	a call			ให้เปลี่ยนไดยทันที
am - ประพูใน่สามารถปิดได้ - ประพูใน่สามารถปิดได้ - Door cannot close 3. Liet.meร์ประตูในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,
<ul> <li>4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์สือคโม่ถูกต้อง</li> <li>4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la</li> <li>4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of la</li> <li>1 ประตุโม่สามารถปิดได้</li> <li>3. มอเตอร์ประตุโมเสีย</li> <li>- Door cannot close</li> <li>3. Car door drive is defective</li> </ul>				replace if it is stuck
am - ประทูไม่สามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประทูในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective			4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์ลือคไม่ถูกต้อง	1. เคลื่อนสิฟต์ด้วยใหมดสโลว์สปิดเพื่อเทียบระยะจากเส้นอ้างอิงที่ชิด
am - ประตุไม่สามารถปิตได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประตุในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective			4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	ไว้บนเฮตเตอร์หลังคากับตอร์สือค
am - ประตูไม่สามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				1. Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with
am - ประตุไม่สามารถปิตได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประตุในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				SW lock roller
am - ประทุไม่สามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประทุในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				2. ปรับชุดตอร์ลือตเช้าหาเส้นอ้างอิง
am - ประทูโนเสามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประทูโนเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				2. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference
am - ประหูในเดามารถปิดได้ 3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย - Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective				line
- Door cannot close 3. Car door drive is defective	Jam - ประตูป	ม่สามารถปิดได้	3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย	1. ปิดสวิทช์ทัดกระแสไฟนอเตอร์ประทูใน
	- Door c	cannot close	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>	1. Turn off car door power switch
				2. ใช้มีอดองเดือนเปิดเปิดบานประพูล้ามีอาการกระตุกระหว่างเปิด/
				ให้เปลี่ยนโดยทันที
				2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,
				replace if it is stuck

								7								
		(Detertion concerned)	(Detection biocedules)		1. ปิตสวิทซ์จำยกระแสไฟของมอเตอร์ประตูโน	1. Turn off Power Switch (JHT)	2. ใช้มีอดองเดือนเปิด/ปิดบานประตูในเพื่อตรวจสอบระยะท่าง	ระหว่าง รีเทนเนอร์ของคลัชท์ประตูในและแผ่นประคองด้านหลังต้อ	ห่างกันประมาณ 2 มม. ตลอดแนว	2. Manually open and close car door panel by hand, there	must have gap between retainer of car door clutch and	clutch cam about 2 mm.	Clutch cam			
	CONTRACT OF CONTRACT.	drium) (Detential caree (Mechanism of failure)	(Fotential cause) intecharilisti ol lanure)		ระยะระหว่างพลาสติกสีต้าประคองคลัชท์ประตูในด้านหลังและ	เประคองด้านหลังไม่ถูกต้อง	Distance between car door clutch and cam plate is	5u						tion		5
	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Makrissmumer investminud (Doteotial effect of failure)			11.	uai	11.	WIG						Damaged from user during evacua	without turn off main switch (JH)	ช่วยผู้โดยสารโดยไม่ปัด JH ทำให้ clut cam โดนชน
ารติฟท์เสีย	failure modes)	ด้ำอธิบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)												
61R	(Potential	รพัสตวาม	ผิดพลาด	(Error code)												

	การตรวจเซิด (Detection procedures)			1. ปิดสวิทช์ตัดกระแสไฟปอเตอร์ประตูใน	1. Turn off car door power switch	2. ใช้มีอลองเลื่อนเปิดเปิดบานประตูลักมีอาการกระตุกระหว่างเปิด/	ให้เปลี่ยนโดยทันที	2. Car door panel must be freely closed/opened by hand,	replace if it is stuck	1. เคลื่อนสิทได้ด้วยใหมดสโลว์สปิดเพื่อเพียบระยะจากเส้นอ้างอิงที่จิต	ไว้บนเฮดเตอร์หลังคากับตอร์ลือค	1. Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with	SW lock roller
	ศาเหตุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		เธกลารอ้างอิง Reference document EJ 41350882, TT-14-0009	3. มอเตอร์ประตูโมเสีย	3. Car door drive is defective					4.2 ระยะชุดตอร์สือคใน่ถูกต้อง	4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door	2 2	
-,	มลกระทบที่อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)			- ประตูไม่สามารถเปิดได้	- Door cannot open								,
ารสิพท์เสีย I failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)	0	eUnlocking Jam									
enn (Potential	รทัสดวาม มีตพลาด	(Error code)		839									

	(Detection procedures)		2. ปรับชุศตอร์ลีอคเข้าหาเส้นอ้างอิง	2. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference	line	สังเกตว่าลูกล้อดอร์ลือคอยู่ในสภาพดี เปลี่ยนพันที่ล้าหนว่า	នៅខារផរភា <b>អ</b> េធ័រ	Visual check appearance of SW lock roller, replace if it is	worn out/broken			O La		1. ใช้มือหมุนโรลเลอร์บนหลังศาลิฟต์ทั้งด้านซ้ายและด้านขวาพร้อม	ทั้งเซ็คระยะจากอัพไรที่มีจหน้าราจทั้งค้านซ้ายและค้านขวาต้องแบ่ง	เท่าๆกัน, ปรับแต่งใหม่ทั้นที่ ถ้าพบว่าไม่เท่ากัน
	मगणम् (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)					12.1ลูกล้อพอร์ลือพสีก/แทก	12.1 SW lock roller is worn out/broken						SW lock roller ID No.= 59352111	12.2 ใจลเลอร์สิฟท์เสื้อมสภาพ	12.2 Worn out Car guide rollers	
ود م - a	Rentsenumennen (Potential effect of failure)					1	emo	-							ens	- Lu
ารติฟต์เสีย failure modes)	ค้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)														9
อาภ (Potential	รพัสคาวาม มีคพธาต	(Error code)														

higher than 25.2 VDC				
car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or				
Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of		register a call		
แปลงประตูในใหม่	24VDC by 5%	- LOP/COP button cannot		
VDC และไม่สูงกว่า 25.2 VDC ถ้าเกินค่าที่กำหนด ให้เปลี่ยนหม้อ	14. Direct current voltage of car door power supply is lower	ลิฟต์และหน้าชั้น	5% Limit	
ใช้มัลติมิเตอร์วัดความต่างศักดิ์กระแสตรง ต้องมีคำไม่ต่ำกว่า 22.8	14. ความต่างศักธ์หม้อนปลงประตูด้ำเกิน 24VDC 5%	- สิพต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกตเรียกชั้นใน	NGT 24 VDC Under	841
higher than 25.2 VDC				
car door power supply, replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or				
Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of		register a call		
แปลงประตูในใหม่	24VDC by 5%	- LOP/COP button cannot		
VDC และไม่สูงกว่า 25.2 VDC ถ้าเกินค่าที่กำหนด ให้เปลี่ยนหม้อ	13. Direct current voltage of car door power supply is over	ลิฟต์และหน้าชน	5% Limit	
ใช้มัลติมิเตอร์วัดความต่างศักดิ์กระแสตรง ต้องมีค่าไม่ต่ำกว่า 22.8	13. ความต่างศักย์หม้อแปลงประตูในสูงเกิน 24VDC 5%	- ลิฟต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกตเรียกขึ้นใน	NGT 24VDC Over	840
Visual check appearance of hook limit roller	6.2 Hook limit roller worn out			
ตรวจสอบสภาพยาวรอวร์ตศอรลอศ ต	เปิดแล้วติด			
92.	6.2 ยางรองชุดตอร์ลือคสึกทำให้สุกอยู่ตำแหน่งที่สูงเกินเวลากระดก			
be equal left and right sides, readjust if requires				
measure distance between upright and car guide rail must				
Use hand to check tension of car door roller and also				
			(Error description)	(Error code)
(Detection biocedures)	(FOREILIAI CAUSE/INFECTIALIISTI OF IAIIUTE)	(Potential effect of failure)	มิตพลาด	ผิศพลาต
	(Detertial course) A schemic of failure)	Rentsemumernværmnur (Betestiol officiel officiel	ต้าอธิบายความ	ราหัสคาวาม
		9 9 9	ial failure modes)	(Potenti
			าการลิฟต์เสีย	6

		(Detertion monocolinee)	(Detection blockdated)		ใช้มัลติมิเตอร์วัดความต่างศักดิ์กระแสตรง ต้องมีค่าไม่ด้ำกว่า 22.8	VDC และไม่สูงกว่า 25.2 VDC ถ้าเกินค่าที่กำหนด ให้เปลี่ยนหน้อ	แปลงประตูในใหม่	Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of	car door power supply. replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or	higher than 25.2 VDC	ใช้มัลติมิเตอร์วัดความต่างศักดิ์กระแสตรง ต้องมีค่าไม่ด้ำกว่า 22.8	VDC และไม่สูงกว่า 25.2 VDC ถ้าเกินค่าที่กำหนด ให้เปลี่ยนหม้อ	แปลงประตูในใหม่	Use multi-metre to measure direct current voltage (VDC) of	car door power supply. replace if it is lower than 22.8 VDC or	higher than 25.2 VDC	
	distant.	(Dotential rayed Machanism of failure)			15. ความต่างศักย์หม้อแปลงประตูในสูงเกิน 24VDC 10%	15. Direct current voltage of car door power supply is over	24VDC by 10%				16. ความต่างศักย์หน้อแปลงประตูด้ำเกิน 24VDC 10%	16. Direct current voltage of car door power supply is under	24VDC by 10%				
		Mariaeniume investminus (Dotantial affant of failure)			- สิฟต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกตเรียกชั้นใน	สิฟต์และหน้าชั้น	- LOP/COP button cannot	register a call			- สิฟต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกตเรียกชั้นใน	ลิฟต์และหน้าชั้น	- LOP/COP button cannot	register a call			
าารติฟต์เสีย	Il failure modes)	ศักอธิบายความ	นิตพลาต	(Error description)	NGT 24 VDC Over	10% Limit					NGT 24VDC Under	10% Limit					
916	(Potentis	รพัสความ	ผิศพลาด	(Error code)	842						843						

91	การลิฟต์เสีย			
(Potenti	al failure modes)			
รพัสตวาม	ด้าอธิบายความ	Martisentune Internitua (Detection official of follower)	an tanàn ao amin'ny faritr'o amin'ny farit	
ผิศพลาด	นิตพลาด	(Potential effect of failure)	(Foteriulai cause/ Miechlaniistri oli Iallure)	(Detection procedures)
(Error code)	(Error description)			
			Car Door Power Supply 150W 230VAC/24VDC ID No. = 59350	751
844	ePawer Door Off	- ลิฟต์ไม่รับคำสั่งปุ่มกตเรียกชั้นใน	17. สวิทธ์พัดกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประทูโนเสีย	ลองพดสอบปิดเปิดสริทซ์พัดกระแสไฟมอเตอร์ประตูใน และสังเกต
		ลิฟต์และหน้าชั้น	17. Car door power switch failure	ไฟสถานะการทำงานจากปุ่มควบคุมประตูใน (HMI) เปลี่ยนทันที่ถ้า
		- LOP/COP button cannot		พบว่านึกกรทำนที่มีตปกติ
		register a call		Try to turn off and turn on car door power switch and check
		- ลิฟต์วิ่งแล้วหยุดกะทันทัน		lighting status of car door from HMI, replace if it is not
		- Elevator emergency stops		functioned properly
		during normal running		
			0	0
			Power Switch ID No.= 59350784	
850	eOver Voltage	- ลิฟต์เปิด/ปิตประตูชั่าเป็น	18. สต๊อปเปอร์ลูกยางประทูในสึก	สังเกพว่าสท็อปเปอร์ลูกยางประตูในอยู่สภาพดี เปลี่ยนทันทีถ้าพบว่า
		บางครั้ง	18. Worn out car door rubber stopper	เสื่อมสภาพแล้ว
				Visual check appearance of car door rubber, replace if it is
				worn out

10		(Detection procedures)				5			1. UMRENNOMMERELATIONNESLEENEL	1. Turn on car door power switch 5 124-5-5-5-6-6-6-6-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-	z. tourenevaneurumunununusennillaristisenninuunu ev. e. e. e. e.	เหนาลยนเตยทนท	<ol> <li>Car door panel must be treely closed/opened by hand,</li> </ol>	replace if it is stuck	1. ตรวจเซ็คซูรูประตูในว่ายังอยู่ครบหรือไม่	1. Visual check number of car door shoes	2. นำนาใสใหม่ ด้าพบว่าสูญหาย	2. Install new car door shoe if old car door shoe is missing
	General control (A control of the control of the second se	(Fotential cause) Mechanism of failure)					Rubber stopper ID No. = 962052	3. มอเตอร์ประตูในเสีย	<ol><li>Car door drive is defective</li></ol>						19.1 เกือกประตูในหาย	19.1 Missing car door shoes		
ود d	MERTSEVIUMERNOVELINMUU (Detection official control)	(Potential effect of failure)		- Door close/open very slow	sometimes			- สิฟต์ไม่รับคำสังปุ่มกตเรียกชั้นใน	สิพท์และหน้าชั้น	- LOP button cannot register	a call	- สิฟต์วิ่งแล้วทยุตกะทันทัน	- Elevator emergency stops	during normal running	- สิฟต์วิ่งแล้วมีเสียงกระที่อจาก	มิสรุกทบน	- Door panel is shaking while	elevator running
កាទតិមត្រដែន I failure modes)	ต้าอธิบายความ	มิตพลาด	(Error description)					CAN Missing Node							ลูกค้าบ่น	Customer	complaint	
ens (Potentia	รพัสตวาม	ติศพลาด	(Error code)					1301							,			

	(Detection procedures)		หรวจเช็กซูว์ประตูในว่ายังอยู่สภาพดี โดยการผลักบานประตูใน แล้ว ใช้ฟิลเลอร์เกจขนาด 1 มม. เสียบลงที่ซูว์ประตูใน เปลี่ยนพันทิลก พบว่าบานประตูเคลื่อนที่ได้เกิน 1 มม. Push car door panel and use filler gauge thickness 1 mm insert between car door shoes and car door sill, replace if movement is over 1 mm	
	ศาเหตุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		19.2 เกือกประพูในสีก 19.2 Car door shoe worn out	
a a	Renter of failure) (Potential effect of failure)			
ាទតិមន៍កែន failure modes)	ค้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาด	(Error description)		
อาก (Potential	รพัสความ ผิตพลาด	(Error code)		

	การตรวจเชิด (Detection procedures)			ตรวจเช็กสภาพลูกยางบานประตูใน เปลี่ยนพันที่ถ้าพบว่าหลุด/	មើលរាជនាាមแล้ว	Visual check appearance of car door panel rubber stopper,	replace if it is missing/worn out		สังเกตสภาพลูกยางบานประตุนอก เปลี่ยนทันที่ถ้าพบว่าหลุ <i>ด/</i>	out เสียมสภาพแต้ว
	สามพรุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)		Guide shoe ID No. = 59300308 เอกศารอั้างอิง Reference document EJ 41350882	19.3 ลูกยางบานประตูในทรุด/เสียมสภาพ	19.3 Car door panel rubber stopper is missing/wom out			Panel rubber stopper ID No.= 69352122	19.4 ลูกยางบานประตูนอกหลุด/เสื่อมสภาพ	19.4 Landing door panel rubber stopper is missing/worn o
	ผลกระทบท้อาจจะเกิดขึ้น (Potential effect of failure)			- ประทูปิทเรียงตั้ง	- Door close with noise					
ាទតិហត់ដើខ failure modes)	ศ้าอธิบายความ มิตพลาต	(Error description)								
อาก <sup>.</sup> (Potential	รพัสความ ผิดพลาต	(Error code)								

	(Detection procedures)		Visual check appearance of landing door panel rubber	siopper, replace II II IS missing/worthout		(				A		สังเกตสภาพลกยางเชิ้นเตอร์ประตนอก เปลี่ยนทั้นที่ถักพบว่าหลด/		Lacuation under	Visual check appearance of landing door rubber stopper,	replace if it is missing/worn out	1. เคลื่อนสิฟต์ด้วยใหมตสโควสปิดเพื่อเพียบระยะจากเส้นอ้างอิจที่ชิด	ได้บนเฮตเตอร์หลังตากับตอร์ลือค	1. Move car by inspection control to verify reference line with	SW lack roller	2. ปรับชุดตอร์ลือคเข้าทาเส้นอ้างอิง
	ศานทหุ (Potential cause/Mechanism of failure)						and a second	Y			Panel rubber stopper ID No.= 59352122	19.5 ลกยางเชิ่นเตอร์ประทนอกทลท/สิก	40 El condición deferente defensive a condición de servicion de serv	19.5 Landing door rupper stopper is missing/ worn out			4.2 ระยะชุคตอร์ลือคไม่ถูกต้อง	4.2 Misaligned SW lock roller of landing door			
4 4	Rensevruverwernwer (Potential effect of failure)																				
กรติฟต์เสีย I failure modes)	คำอธิบายความ มีตพลาต	(Error description)																			
enr (Potentia	รพัสความ ผิดพลาด	(Error code)																			

	and the second se	(Detertion procedures)			2. Readjust SW lock roller if it is misaligned with reference	line	สังเกทสภาพประทูโน ทำความสะอาด,ปรับทันที่ถึกจำเป็น	Visual check appearance of car door panel, clean and	adjust if necessary	สังเกตสภาพประทุนอก ทำความสะอาค.ปรับทันที่ถ้าจำเป็น	Visual check appearance of landing door panel, clean and	adjust if necessary	
	MANA PARA	Grunny (Doteotial cause/Machaniem of failure)		.7 ประตูในโตนชนจากการใช้งาน . 7 Car door panel is hit by user .8 ประตูนอกโตนชนจากการใช้งาน .8 ประตูนอกโตนชนจากการใช้งาน							19.0 Landing door parter is till by user		
		Maritsentumerismentation (Dotential effect of failure)					1			I			
ารติฟต์เสีย	I failure modes)	ด้าอธิบายความ	นิตพลาด	(Error description)									
916	(Potentia	รพัสตวาม	ผิดพลาด	(Error code)									

้ มี เยาลัย IVFRSITV

# Appendix I

BCBB elevator - ชุดทดสอบความรู้

Created by Khatayos Phummanee (Technical & Field Support Department) 27 March 2015

ชื่อ		นามสกุล	ตำแหน่ง
	1.	ลิฟต์ BCBB ใช้ประตูในรุ่นอะไร	
	ก.	Varidor 30	
	ข.	Fermator	
	ค.	Varidor 35	

- ۹. Sematic
- 2. ลิฟต์ BCBB ประกอบด้วยแผ่นปริ้นท์หลักๆ อะไรบ้าง
- ก. ASIXA, LONIC, GCIO
- ข. ASIXB, CANIC, GCIOCF
- P. SMIC, SCPU, SDIC
- ง. ผิดทุกข้อ
- 3. Error code "149" Safety T5 open ของลิฟต์ BCBB มีความหมายว่าอะไร
- ก. ปุ่ม Stop หลังคาลิฟต์ถูกกดอยู่
- ข. คอนแทคประตูในไม่ต่อ
- ค. คอนแทคบัฟเฟอร์ถูกกดอยู่
- ง. คอนแทคประตูนอกไม่ต่อ
- 4. เราจะสามารถเช็ค error ทั้งหมดของลิฟต์ BCBB จากหน้าจอ SMLCD ได้อย่างไร
- n. Login (ABCD) -> Command -> Clr Blocking Err
- ข. Errorlog -> Show
- ค. Login (ABCD) -> Errorlog -> Show All
- Login (ABCD) -> Statistic

Page 1/6

- 5. ถ้าลิฟต์ขึ้น error "212" Open Sequence KOKB เราต้องตรวจเซ็คอะไร
- ก. VF Drive และ Motor
- ข. ปริ้น GCIOCF
- ค. ชุด mechanic ประตูในและประตูนอก
- ง. สายพาน STM
- 6. Parameter -> Car -> Final Timer -> 0 Sec มีความหมายว่าอย่างไร
- ก. ยกเลิกการทำงานของ Final Timer, ประตูในจะเปิดค้างได้ตลอดเวลาโดยไม่มีเสียงร้องเตือน
- ข. ประตูในจะปิดทันทีหลังจากประตูในเปิดสุดแล้ว
- ค. ถูกทั้ง ก และ ข
- ง. ไม่มีข้อใดถูกต้อง
- 7. รูปด้านล่างหมายความว่าอะไร



- ก. ลิฟต์ใช้งานได้ปกติ
- ข. CLC2 (ตัวเช็คน้ำหนักลิฟต์มีปัญหา)
- ค. Battery เสื่อม
- ง. ประตูใน และ ประตูนอก มีปัญหา

Page 2/6

- 8. ข้อใดกล่าวถูกต้อง
- ก. ระยะ KET-S2 = 3 มม. , KTC = 4 มม.
- ข. ระยะ KET-S2 = 4 มม. , KTC = 4 มม.
- ค. ระยะ KET-S2 = 4 มม. , KTC = 3 มม.
- ง. ระยะ KET-S2 = 3 มม. , KTC = 3 มม.
- 9. ถ้าลิฟต์ขึ้น Error "1301" CAN Missing Node ต้องตรวจสอบอะไรบ้าง
- ก. ตรวจเช็ค Extra info ที่มุมขวาของจอ SMLCD เพื่อหาว่าอุปกรณ์ที่สื่อสารด้วย CAN Bus ส่วนใดมีปัญหา
- ข. กดปุ่ม Reset แล้วไปซ่อมลิฟต์ที่อื่นต่อ
- ค. เปลี่ยนปริ้น BCM ใหม่
- ง. เปลี่ยน GCIOCF ใหม่
- 10. Error "148" Safety T4 Open หมายถึงชุดเซฟตี้จุดไหนไม่ต่อ
- ก. ประตูใน
- ข. ประตูนอก
- ค. ประตูฉุกเฉิน
- ง. Stop ก้นบ่อ
- 11. อุปกรณ์ในรูปนี้เอาไว้ใช้ทำอะไรและเกิดอะไรขึ้น



ก. ตัวที่ทำให้แค้มประตูใน ให้หุบและเปิด
 ได้เวลาประตูปิดสุด, โดนกระแทกจากการ
 ช่วยผู้โดยสารติดลิฟต์โดยไม่ Off main
 breaker (JH)

 ตัวที่ช่วยประคองแค้มประตูใน, โดน กระแทกจากการเหยียบก่อนก้าวขึ้นหลังคา ลิฟต์

- ค. ถูกทั้ง ก และ ข
- ง. ไม่มีข้อใดถูกต้อง

Page 3/6

12. ถ้าลิฟต์ขึ้น Error "214" RPHT Continous Activation และเมื่อมาดูที่หลังคาลิฟต์พบว่า สัญญาณ Obstacle ติดอยู่แล้วประตูในปิดไม่ได้ เราจะทำอย่างไรได้บ้าง



- n. ลองปิด/เปิดปุ่ม JHT ตัดต่อตัวจ่ายไฟมอเตอร์ประตูใน เพื่อดูว่าสัญญาณ Obstacle จะ ถูก reset หรือไม่
- ข. ลองทำความสะอาดโปรการ์ดดู
- ค. เปลี่ยนโปรการ์ดใหม่
- ง. ถูกทุกข้อ

13. Command -> Clr Blocking Err เอาไว้ใช้ทำอะไร

- ก. ใช้สำหรับเคลียร์ error ที่ทำให้ลิฟต์ บล็อค
- ข. ลบ errorlog ออกทั้งหมด
- ค. ถูกทั้ง ก และ ข
- ง. node ของปุ่มกดหน้าชั้น (LOP)

14. เราจะ save PCT ของลิฟต์ BCBB ด้วย SD/MMC card ได้อย่างไร

- ก. ใส่ MMC card -> กด reset -> Command -> SD Card -> Save Config -> OK
- น. ใส่ MMC card -> กด reset -> Command -> SD Card -> Save Log -> OK
- ค. ไม่สามารถทำได้ ต้องใช้ CADI GC เท่านั้น
- ง. ใส่ MMC card -> กด reset -> Parameter -> SD Card -> Save Config -> OK

- 15. ID No. = 59350600 คือ ID ของอุปกรณ์อะไร
- ก. มอเตอร์ประตูใน
- ข. HMI
- ค. เซ็นเซอร์ม่านแสงประตูใน
- ง. ซิลประตูนอก
- 16. เอกสารเลขที่ EJ41350877 ใช้สำหรับอ้างอิงส่วนใดของลิฟต์
- ก. การตรวจเช็คประตูใน
- ข. การตรวจเช็คประตูนอก
- ค. การติดตั้งประตูใน
- ง. การติดตั้งประตูนอก
- 17. ถ้าตรวจพบ error 709 ต้องทำอย่างไร
- ก. ลบ error ทิ้งได้เลย
- ข. ตรวจสอบ error อื่นๆ ประกอบ
- ค. กด reset ที่ GCIOCF
- ง. ไม่มีข้อใดถูกต้อง

Page 5/6

**Chulalongkorn University** 

18. ถ้าตรวจพบ สลิงเซ็นเตอร์ประตูนอกแตกดังภาพ ต้องทำอย่างไร



- ก. ใช้เทปกาวพันไว้ก่อน
- ข. ปล่อยไว้เฉยๆ
- ค. หา ID number ที่ถูกต้องและเข้าไปเบิกอะไหล่
- ง. ถูกทุกข้อ

19. วงจรเซฟตี้ของลิฟต์ BCBB ใช้ความต่างศักดิ์ชนิดใด และมีขนาดเท่าไหร่

- ก. 220 V AC
- ข. 380 V AC
- ค. 24 60 V DC
- ۹. 110 V AC

20. หลักการตรวจเซ็คลิฟต์ประกอบด้วยอะไรบ้าง

- ก. วัด, ขัด, ดู, อ่าน
- ข. ฟังเสียง, ดู, ตรวจสอบ, ทำความสะอาด
- ค. ตรวจสอบ, จด, ทำความสะอาด, วัด
- ง. ดู, วัด, เช็คการทำงาน, ทำความสะอาด

# Appendix J

Checklist for	door system	1 month	3 months	6 months
/ machine ro	om machine room	less		month 04
Contract No	60-41221			Contract name
Lift No				Commissioning N

Contract name \_\_\_\_\_ 60004539

N	ece	ss	an	120	ols

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark	
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1			
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	1			
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	/			
4	Hammer	/			
5	Screw driver	1			
6	Torch light	(			
7	Door stopper	/			
8	Lockout Tagout	/			
9	Rug	/			
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1			

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Insper	ction method		Evalu	ation	Datail of contification
			51101113	omontais	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		γ	y	y		y		1		

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	tion method		Evaluation		Detail of contribution
		Amonta	2 1001013	omonuis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		Y	Y	y				1/		
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		v	y		1	Y	y	1		Rendivister
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		Y	y	y			v	1		U
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y			y		1		Readincted
5	Tension of car door belt		y	y	1000		y		1		Readinstea
6	Car door clutch	у	y	Y		y		Y	17		Regisser
7	Clutch cam		y	¥	¥			¥	1		Readinsted
8	Car door shoes		Y	y	y		v		1		0.00
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			y	Y		y		1		Rendinsted
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	Y			v	1		
11	Car door sill	y	y	y	1.52.69	v			17		cleaned
12	Car door header	y	Y	y		v			1		cleaned
13	Car door panel	y	Y	y	y				1		
14	Car door rubber stopper			v	¥		1950 - F		1		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	Y				1		
16	Car door drive			y				Y	1		
17	Cardoor power supply (24 volts)			v			v		1		
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	y	y	y				V	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)	·		v				v	1		
20	Car door light curtain	10.000	V	ST.					1		

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	6 maother		Inspec	ction method		Evaluation		Detail of contification
	inem .	THORE	5 HIGHLIS	O TRUDINGIO	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v	v				1		
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		y	y			y	y	1		Rendiuste
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		y	y	and the		y	Y	1		
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	v				17		
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		y	y	v			Y	1		
б	Landing door sill	¥	y	y		¥			1		cleaned
7	Landing door header	¥	γ	v		y			1		cleaned
8	Landing door panel	Y	y	y	' y				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	y	y				1		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		γ	y			y	у	1		Readiusted
11	Unlocking device			y				Y	1		0
12	Hook limit roller	50 N 1843		y	y	2			1		
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y		1.	Y	y	1		
14	Landing door panel rubber			v	v		5		1		

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 54: An actual checklist document of May 2015 of equipment number

Checklist for door system 2 1 month 3 months 6 months machine room machine room less month 05 year 2015 Contract No. 60 - 41 22 1 Lift No. 3 Lift No. 6000 4539 '

INSCE2291	Y tools
N.c.	

No.	item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	1		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	1		
4	Hammer	1		
5	Screw driver	1		
6	Torch light	/		
7	Door stopper	/		
8	Lockout Tagout	1		
9	Rug	1		
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1		

Car roller

No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspec	tion method	L	Evalu	ation	Detail of rectification
110.		2 110/101			Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	
1	Appearance of car roller		γ	у	y		y				

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	tion method	1	Evalu	ation	D-t-ll-ftill
		1 month	omonuis	Unionuis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	y	y						
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	y			y	γ			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	Y	Y			v			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-SZ)		y	y			v				
5	Tension of car door belt		ý	y			v				
6	Car door clutch	y	у	Y		y		Y	1		
7	Clutch cam		v	v	v			v			
8	Car door shoes		Y	v	Y		V				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			Y	y		Y				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	y	0.575		v			2
11	Car door sill	y	Y	y		v		Real Section	1		Cleaned
12	Car door header	y	v	y		¥			1		Cleaned
13	Car door panel	y	¥	γ	y				1		
14	Car door rubber stopper			y	y						
15	Car door panel rubber			y	y						
16	Car door drive			v			22.74.8	v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			y			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	y	y.	v				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			y	1		energie (	v			
20	Car door light curtain	365	v	N			2000	1.00			

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Datail of contification
		2 montes	5 11011113	ombindis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v	v		Sector Sector				
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		y	y			v	v			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		y	y			y	v			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	y						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		Y	y	y			Y			. 1
6	Landing door sill	Y	y	y		Y			1		Cleaned
7	Landing door header	γ	y	Y	1000	Y			1		Cleaned
8	Landing door panel	у	y	у	y				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		у	y	у			1			
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		y	у			y	v			
11	Unlocking device			y				y			
12	Hoak limit roller			у	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.	- 15 B		у			y	Y			
14	Landing door panel rubber	See State		v	v		Sec. Sec. Sec. Sec.				

Name of fiel	d staff
Signature	
Date	26.05.2015

Name of su	pervisor _							
Signature of	of supervis							
Date	26	1	0	51	20	1	5	

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 55: An actual checklist document of Jun 2015 of equipment number

 Checklist for
 door system
 1 month
 3 months
 6 months

 Imachine room
 machine room less
 month
 Jun
 year

 Contract No.
 00 - 41221
 Contract name
 1

 Lift No.
 13
 Commissioning No.
 00004539

Nococcockepts

No.	ltem	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	(		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	1		
4	Hammer	1		
5	Screw driver	1		
6	Torch light	1		
7	Door stopper	1		
8	Lockout Tagout	1		
9	Rug	/		
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1		

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month 3 months		6 months	Inspection method					ation	Dotail of rostification
					Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1 App	pearance of car roller	y	v	y	v		v				

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detell of control of
		Amonth	Smonus	0 monters	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		у	y	y		Sector 1				
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	y			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y	v		1.1.1.1.1.1.1	v			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y			v				
5	Tension of car door belt		v	v		- Date:	v				
6	Car door clutch	v	¥	v		v		v	1		
7	Clutch cam		v	4	v	1.000		v	1		
8	Car door shoes		v	Y	v		v	,			
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			Y	v	-	Ý	5.586.5			
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			Y	v			v			
11	Car door sill	y	v	y		v			1		Cleaned
12	Car door header	y	y	y		v.		Silaran	1		Cleaned
13	Car door panel	Y	y	v	v				11		
14	Car door rubber stopper			v	v	1.1.1.1.1.1.1					
15	Car door panel rubber			Ý	v		ADAM DE D				
16	Car door drive		3 36 3	v			1.0	v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			v			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	v	v	V				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				V			
20	Car door light curtain		v	v		v					

No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months	1.11.11.11	Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of rectification
_			5 11011113	0 months	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	y	v					-	
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		Y	Y	1.1.1.1.1.1.1		v	Y			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		γ	y	100000		Y	v			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	y						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		Y	v	v			v			
6	Landing door sill	Y	y	y		y			1		Cleaned
7	Landing door header	y	у	y		y			1		Cleaner
8	Landing door panel	Y	v	v	v				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		v	y	y				-		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		v	y			v	Y			
11	Unlocking device			v				v			
12	Hook limit roller			y	v						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			Y	Y			
14	Landing door panel rubber			v	V			1.			

Figure 56: An actual checklist document of Jul 2015 of equipment number 60004539

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Vo.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	1		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	/		
4	Hammer	1		
5	Screw driver	1		
6	Torch light			
7	Door stopper	1		
8	Lockout Tagout	(		
9	Rug	(		
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1		

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspec	tion method		Evalu	ation	Detail of a stress
			e mentits		Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1 Ap	opearance of car roller		у	y	у		y	State 1	1		

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	Canadha		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	
		1 month	Jinonais	binoticits	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	v	¥				1		
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	v			v	v	17		
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		Y	v	v	100		v	17		
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	v		1.3774/8	v		17		
5	Tension of car door belt		Y	v			v		17		
6	Car door clutch	v	v	Y		v		¥	1		
7	Clutch cam	5.01 A	Y	y	v			U U	17		
8	Car door shoes		v	v	v	States of	v		17		
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			y	Y		v		-		
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			Y	v			v			
11	Car door sill	y	y	¥		v			1		Cleaned
12	Car door header	y	γ	v	1.000	Y			1		Cleaned
13	Car door panel	y	y	y	y		10112-30		17		0104110
14	Car door rubber stopper			v	v		S. S. Sandar				
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v		the news				
16	Car door drive			v				v			
17	Cardoor power supply (24 volts)			y			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	Y	Y	v			1997	v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				v	1		
20	Car door light curtain		Y	v		v		and the second	1		

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 maoths		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of rectification
		A month	5 111011010		Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)	Section 1	y	y	y				1		
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v			¥	Y	11		
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		y	v			Y	v	1		
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	y						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		у	y	y			y	1		
6	Landing door sill	Y	y	Y		y		Contraction of the second	1		Cleaned
7	Landing door header	v	y	y		y			1		cleaned
8	Landing door panel	v	y	y	y				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	y	y				1		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		y	y		Sec. 1	y	γ	1		
11	Unlocking device		et teach	y	12123			y			
12	Hook limit roller			y	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			Y	¥			
14	Landing door panel rubber			v	v						

Name of field staff Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ 29 JU 2015 Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 57: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \mbox{Checklist for} & \mbox{door system} & \mbox{$\square$} \ 1 \ \mbox{month} \\ \hline \mbox{$\square$ machine room} & \mbox{$\square$ machine room} \\ \mbox{Contract No.} & \mbox{$\square$} \ \mbox{$\square$} \m$ 

 I month
 3 months
 6 months

 less
 month
 08

 Contract name
 0000453

 Commissioning No.
 0000453

Necess	ary	too	is.

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	/		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	(		
4	Hammer	(		
5	Screw driver	(		
6	Torch light	1		
7	Door stopper	(		
8	Lockout Tagout	1		
9	Rug	1		
10.	Lubricant spray H069	1		

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspec	tion method	1	Evalu	ation	Datall of costification
			5 months	omonuna	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		¥	у	y		y				

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Data in the state of
2000000		1 monen	o montra	Omontons	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	Y	Y		100				
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		у	Y			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2) ·		y	y	v			v			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		v	v			v				
5	Tension of car door belt		y	v	1000		v				
6	Car door clutch	¥	y	Y		v		v	1		
7	Clutch cam	and the second	y	v	v			v			
8	Car door shoes		y	Y	Y		v	,			
9	Tension of car door transmission rope	and the second		v	Y		v				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			v	v			v			
11	Car door sill	v	Y	v		v			1		cleaned
12	Car door header	Y	Y	Y		v			1		cleaned
13	Car door panel	y	y .	y	v	-	Sec. Sec.	-	1		
14	Car door rubber stopper			v	v				-		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v		-				
16	Car door drive			v				v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			v	100/184		v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	y	v	v				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				v	· ·		
20	Car door light curtain		v	N		v					

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months	Inspection method					ation	Dotall of costification
0.088622		a month	Sinonaig	omondia	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of recurication
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	v	-					
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	1933		¥	v			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		γ	Y			v	Y			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	y	S					
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		y	y	y			v			
6	Landing door sill	Y	y	y		y			1		Cleaned
7	Landing door header	Y	y	Y		v			1		cleaned
8	Landing door panel	Y	y	y	Y				17		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		Y	y	¥						
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		y	y			y	Y			
11	Unlocking device			y				v			
12	Hook limit roller			y	y		1.1.1.1				
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			v	v			
14	Landing door panel rubber	1000		v	. v						

Name of field staff Signature \_\_\_\_\_\_\_26.08.2015\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_\_26.08.2015\_\_\_\_\_

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 58: An actual checklist document of Sep 2015 of equipment number

Checklist for door system year 2015

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	(		
з	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	1		
4	Hammer	1		
5	Screw driver	1		
6	Torch light	1		
7	Door stopper	1		
8	Lockout Tagout	1		
9	Rug	1		
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1		

[	No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Desili de side si
+			a monun	5 110/1615	omonuis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
L	1	Appearance of car roller		Y	v	Y		y				

Car door [Varidor 35]

No.	item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	D + 11 / - 110 - 11
		1 month	o montrio	Unonuis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		¥	y	¥	2011	0.000				
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		Y	v			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		¥	v	v			v			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		¥	v	A CONTRACTOR	1.00	v				
5	Tension of car door belt		Y	v		118	v				
6	Car door clutch	¥	v	v	10	v		W	1		
7	Clutch cam		v	v	v			/	1		
8	Car door shoes		v	v	v		v				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			v	v	1	v				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope		No.	V	v			v			
11	Car door sill	v	v	v		~			1		Cleaned
12	Car door header	v	v	v		U V	See .		17		Cleaned
13	Car door panel	v	v	v	v	-			1		Cleanda
14	Car door rubber stopper	10000		Y	v				1		
15	Car door panel rubber			· · · ·	V.						
16	Car door drive						the state of		-		
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)		11023	v	NALE CON		Conception of the	Ŷ	-		
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)		V						1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				Y	-		
20	Car door light curtain	-			1.000			1			

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months	Inspection method					ation	Datail of castification
			5 montha		Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)	Sec. 23	v	Y	v	1					
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		v	V	1. 16.2	1.2.2.2.1	v	v			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		v	y		-	v	v			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			Y	v						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		Y	v	v			v			
6	Landing door sili	v	v	v	1.000	v	1.		1		Cleaned.
7	Landing door header	v	Y	v		v			1		cleaned
8	Landing door panel	v	v	Y	v		and the second		1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	Y	y		Sec. 1				
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		Y	y			y	v E			
11	Unlocking device			Y		122012	Unit and a	Y			
12	Hook limit roller			у	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y		and the second	v	v			
14	Landing door panel rubber			~				1.1.1.2.			

Signature of supervisor Date 26/05/2015

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 59: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number

# Checklist for door system

#### □ 1 month □ 3 months [2 6 months month <u>Apri</u>] year 2015 i Contract name Commissioning No. <u>1000 5643</u>

Necessary tools

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	/		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)			
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	V.		
4	Hammer			
5	Screw driver	V.		
6	Torch light			
7	Door stopper	1		
8	Lockout Tagout	V.		
9	Rug =			
10	Lubricant spray HD69			

#### Carroller

No.	Item	1 mnoth	3 months	6 months		Inspec	tion method		Evalu	ation	Detail of restification
			Smonda	Gritanita	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		y	y	v		v		V		

Car door [Varidor 35]

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	6 months	1.15	Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Detail of contribution
		Amonth	Smonus	0 montris	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		Y	y	y				V		
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		Y	y	1.1		y	y	1		
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y	y			v	V		
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-52)		¥	y			y		1		
5	Tension of car door belt		Y	y	121157	0.000	v		V		
6	Car door clutch	y	y	y	1.539.04	Y		Y	V		
7	Clutch cam		y	Y	Y			Y	V		
8	Car door shoes	150	y	y	Y		v		1		
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			v	Y		v		V		
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	y y			v	V		
11	Car door sill	y	y	v		v			V		Clean
12	Car door header	Y	v	v		v			V		Clean
13	Car door panel	y	y	Y	y		14 A.C. 16-		1		
14	Car door rubber stopper			V	v	1.1.1.1.1.1	-		V		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v				1		
16	Car door drive			v				v	V.		
17	Cardoor power supply (24 volts)			v			Y		11		
18	Cardoor human machine interface (HMI)	y	v	Y				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				v	V		
20	Car door light curtain			1			and Section 1		1.7	1	

No.	Itam	1 manth	2 manatha	Consethe	1.5.374	Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Deteril of a sufficient
		1 month	Smonus	omontas	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	v				1		
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v	1.000		y	v	V.		
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm,		v	v			v	v	V		
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	v				V		
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		у	y	y			y	V.		
6	Landing door sill	y	у	y		y			1		
7	Landing door header	У	y	y		у			1	1	
8	Landing door panel	v	y	v	y				V		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	y	Y				V		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		Y	y			y	v	V.		Readiust
11	Unlocking device			y				v	1		
12	Hook limit roller		1	y	y				V		
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			у		3-2-1-2	y	y	V.		
14	Landing door panel rubber			v	v						

Signature\_\_\_\_\_ Date\_\_\_\_\_17 April 2015

Figure 60: An actual checklist document of Apr 2015 of equipment number

Signature of supervisor Date 17/04/15

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Checklist for door system 1 1 month machine room 1 machine room less Contract No. 80 - 02470

I month ☐ 3 months ☐ 6 months less month <u>Moy</u> year <u>2015</u> i Contract name <u>1</u> Commissioning No. <u>5000 5943</u>

Necessary tools

No.	ltem	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	V		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	V.		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)			
4	Hammer			
5	Screw driver	V		
6	Torch light			
7	Door stopper	V		
8	Lockout Tagout			
9	Rug	V.		
10	Lubricant spray HP68			

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months	Second 1	Inspec	tion method	1	Evalu	ation	Detail of contification
100000		A INDIAN	omonuia	omusiais	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		y	y	Y		y				

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of an atificant a
1022532)		1 month	Juonuna	Unionais	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectificatio
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		у	y	y	12162			-		
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	y			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y	v			Y			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	v			v				
5	Tension of car door belt		y	V			v				
6	Car door clutch	y	y	y		v		V	V		
7	Clutch cam		Y	v	v	Status -		v			
8	Car door shoes	a de la compañía	v	v	Y	1.00	v				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			y	v		v				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			V	v			v	-		
11	Car door sill	Y	Y	v	1997	v			1/		Clean
12	Car door header	v	Y	v	10.000	v			1		Clean
13	Car door panel	v	v	v	v				1		010011
14	Car door rubber stopper			v	v				Y.		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v						
16	Car door drive			v	2.5.5.5.5			v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			v			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	v	v	v	1000			v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			V			1000	×	V		
20	Car door light curtain	1.000	N								

No	Itam	1	2 marths	Carrotha		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	B
	item	THIOLEU	5 montins	6 months	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		v	Y	v						
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v			v	v			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		v	v	1.000		v	v			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable	223		y	v						
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		у	y	y			Y			
6	Landing door sill	Y	y	y		v			1		
7	Landing door header	v	y	Y		v			1		
3	Landing door panel	v	y	Y	y				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		v	v	y			191	-		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		у	Y			y	v			
11	Unlocking device			v				y			
12	Hook limit roller			y	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			Y	у			
14	Landing door papel rubber	100		v	N						

Figure 61: An actual checklist document of May 2015 of equipment number

# 60005943

Revision 0 (April 2015)

 Checklist for
 door system
 1 month
 3 months
 6 months

 machine room
 1 machine room less
 month
 JUn
 year
 2015

 Contract No.
 80 - 024 70
 Contract name
 Contract name

 Lift No.
 1
 Commissioning No.
 60005943

- P.J	10		-		
	100				

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	V		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	V		
4	Hammer	V.		
5	Screw driver	V		
6	Torch light	V		
7	Door stopper	1		
8	Lockout Tagout	V		
9	Rug	1		
10	Lubricant spray HP68			

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of contribution
		A HIGHLIT	3 11000013	omonuts	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller			v	v			1			

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspé	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of contificants.
		1 month	o montra	omondia	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectificatio
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	y	y	-					
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		γ	y			y I	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y	v			v			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	v			v				
5	Tension of car door belt		y	y			y				
6	Car door clutch	y	y	y	1	y		Y	V		
7	Clutch cam		v	v	v	1.5		v			
8	Car door shoes		y	v	Y		v				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			y	Y		v				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			v	¥			Y			
11	Car door sill	Y	v	v		v	10000		1		Clean
12	Car door header	y	y	v		v			V		Clean
13	Car door panel	v	v	v	v	Relation			1		
14	Car door rubber stopper		and the second second	y	v				-		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v						
16	Car door drive	1		v	1.1			v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			y			y				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	y	v	v				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				v	-		
20	Car door light curtain			v	-						

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	6 months	17. A. S. S.	Inspe	tion method	l .	Evalu	ation	Detail of continue to
	10.11	* monut	Smonus	omunus	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	v						
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v			v	v			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		v	y			Y	Y			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			У	y			Sec. 1	-		
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		y	y	v			v			
6	Landing door sill	v	y	y		v			1		
7	Landing door header	Y	y	y		y			1		
8	Landing door panel	У	у	Y	y				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	y	y						
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		y	У			y	¥	1.		
11	Unlocking device			y				¥			
12	Hook limit roller			y	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			Y			Y	Y			
14	Landing door panel rubber	and the second		v	V						

Name of field staff	Name of supervisor _
Signature Date17 JUN 2015	Signature of supervisor Date17/06/15
	Revision 0 (April 2015)

Figure 62: An actual checklist document of Jun 2015 of equipment number

# Checklist for door system 1 month 1 months 6 months machine room M machine room less month JW year 2015 Contract No. \$0 - 0.2470 Contract name Contract name Uft No. L2 Commissioning No. 60005943

Necessary tools

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	V		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	1		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	1		
4	Hammer			
5	Screw driver			
6	Torch light	V.		
7	Door stopper			
8	Lockout Tagout			
9	Rug			
10	Lubricant corrow HD69	1		

#### Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months	Received	Inspec	tion method	1	Evalu	ation	Detail of contribution
			omonais	omontaia	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		γ	У	y		у		1		

#### Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Detail of contification
200000		1 SPORT	5 monuts	Omontas	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	y	¥				1		
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	v			v	v	V		
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		v	v	v			v	V		
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		Y	v			V		17		A strength from the strength of the strength o
5	Tension of car door belt			v			v		11		
6	Car door clutch	v	Y	v		V		v	1		
7	Clutch cam		Y	Y	v			v	1/		
8	Car door shoes		v	v	v	1	v		1		
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			v	v	1.1.1.1	v		- V		
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	v			v			
11	Car door sill	y	y	v		v	CONTRACTOR OF	,	1		Clean
12	Car door header	y	y	v		v			11		CLEAN
13	Car door panel	y	y	y	v				1		OLCON
14	Car door rubber stopper			y	Y		1000		~		
15	Car door panel rubber			v	v						
16	Car door drive			Y				v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			v			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	v	v	v				v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)	4.25		v				v	-		
20	Car door light curtain		v	v		v			1		

No.	Item	1 month	2 months	Consethe		Inspe	ction method	C.C. Station	Evalu	ation	
		1 month	Smonuts	omonths	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	v	12.5			1		
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		¥	v		No. St.	v	v	1		
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		Y	Y		Shower	v	v	1		
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	v				1		
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		У	Y	v			v	1		
6	Landing door sill	y	y	Y		Y			11		clean
7	Landing door header	v	y	y		Y		Chevrola III	V		
8	Landing door panel	y	v	Y	v				1		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	Y	y				1		
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		y	Y		-	y	v	1		
11	Unlacking device			y				v			
12	Hook limit roller			у	v						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			Y	y			
14	Landing door panel rubber					and the					

Figure 63: An actual checklist document of Jul 2015 of equipment number 60005943

Revision 0 (April 2015)

Checklist for door system

 machine room
 machine ro

 Contract No.
 80 - 024-70

 Lift No.
 Lift

I month ☐ 3 months ☐ 6 months less month <u>Avia</u> year <u>2015</u> Contract name Commissioning No. <u>60005943</u>

cessary	100/3			
No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	1		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)	V.		
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	1		
4	Hammer	V		
5	Screw driver			
6	Torch light	$\checkmark$		
7	Door stopper	$\checkmark$		
8	Lockout Tagout	$\checkmark$		
9	Rug			
10	Lubricant spray HP68	1		

Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	1 month 3 months			ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Dotail of restification	
1,03,000,		- month		ottoneto	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car roller		γ	у	y		V V				

Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	item	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evalu	uation	Detell of costification
.8070		1 month	5 1101015	Dinolitins	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)		y	y	y						
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		y	y			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	y	v			y			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		y	v		a second as second	v				
5	Tension of car door belt		y	V			v				
6	Car door clutch	y	y	Y	Second Second	v		Y	1		
7	Clutch cam		y	Y	v			v			
8	Car door shoes		y	Y	Y		v				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			v	Y		Y				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	Y			v			
11	Car door sill	¥	v	y		v	19 - an - 19 -		1		Clean
12	Car door header	y	y	v		v			1		CLEON
13	Car door panel	y	y	y	v	-			V		
14	Car door rubber stopper			y	y						
15	Car door panel rubber			y	y						
16	Car door drive			v				v			
17	Cardoor power supply (24 volts)	2008		Y			v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	y	y	y				v			
19	Car door power switch (JHT)		124-20	v				v			
20	Car door light curtain							1. C. 1. P. C. 1.			

No.	item	1 month	2 mmothe	6 months		Іпѕре	ction method	1	Evalu	ation	Dotall of ractification
	item	1 month	5 1101015	omonuis	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		y	v	v						
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		v	у			Y	y			
З	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		y	v		Second 1.	Y	v			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			v	¥		10000				
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable	a survey and	у	y	y			y			
6	Landing door sill	y	y	y		Y			V		
7	Landing door header	y	y	y		y			V		
8	Landing door panel	y	у	y	у				V		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		y	у	y						
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header	SST-SS	y	v			y	y			
11	Unlocking device			y		L. aller		Y			
12	Hook limit roller			y	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			y			y	- y			
14	Landing door panel rubber				v		a state a state of				

Figure 64: An actual checklist document of Aug 2015 of equipment number

Checklist for door system 2 1 moi machine room 2 machine room less Contract No. 20-024-70 Uff No. 2

 I month
 3 months
 6 months

 less
 month
 Sep

 Contract name
 0005943

Necessary tools

No.	Item	Yes	No	Remark
1	Personal Protective Equipment (safety shoes, bump cap, gloves, uniform)	$\checkmark$		
2	Hex key set (no. 4, 5, 10)			
3	Spanner set (no. 10, 13, 16, 17, 18)	V		
4	Hammer	V.		
5	Screw driver			
6	Torch light			
7	Door stopper	V		
8	Lockout Tagout			
9	Rug			
		1		

#### Car roller

No.	Item	1 month	h 3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method		Evalu	ation	Detail of rectification
	14011	A month			Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	
1 Appe	arance of car roller		٧	y	y		y				

#### Car door (Varidor 35)

No.	ltem	1 month	3 months	6 months		Inspe	ction method	1	Evaluation		Detail of contification
	The fit	Tulontin	2 110/0018	o montons	Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of car door contact (KTC)	100	Y	y	v						
2	Distance X=4 mm and alignment Y-Z of car door contact (KTC)		¥	y			v	v			
3	Appearance of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		γ	y	v			Y			
4	Distance X=3 mm and alignment Y-Z of fully-closed contact (KET-S2)		Y	y	1222010		v				
5	Tension of car door belt		y	y			v				
6	Car door clutch	y	¥	v	1. Secold	v		v	1		
7	Clutch cam		y	y	y			Y			
8	Car door shoes		¥	Y	y		v				
9	Tension of car door transmission rope			v	v		v				
10	Appearance of car door transmission rope			y	y			y			2
11	Car door sill	y	y	v		v			1		Clean
12	Car door header	y	- y	y		v			V		Clean
13	Car door panel	V	y	v	v				V		
14	Car door rubber stopper		1912 1 1 1 1	v	¥						
15	Car door panel rubber		1.	v	Y	hill here					
16	Car door drive			v				v			
17	Car door power supply (24 volts)			v.		1.5	v				
18	Car door human machine interface (HMI)	v	v	Y		E TUR		v	1		
19	Car door power switch (JHT)			v				v			
20	Car door light curtain										

No.	Item	1 month	3 months	6 months	Inspection method				Evaluation		Datall of contification
					Visual	Clean	Measure	Function	Pass	Fail	Detail of rectification
1	Appearance of landing door contact (KTS)		v	v	v						
2	Distance and alignment of landing door contact (KTS)		y	y			y	y			
3	Landing door eccentric roller 0.5 - 1.0 mm.		y	y		Sever.	У	y			
4	Landing door transmissiong cable			y	y	1012-0					
5	Self-close spring and self-close cable		y	y	y			у			
6	Landing door sill	¥	y	y	120.21	y			V		Clean
7	Landing door header	Y	у	v		y			V		
8	Landing door panel	y	y	y	у				V		
9	Appearance of SW lock roller		у	y	Y						
10	SW lock roller to reference line at car header		у	y			y	v			
11	Unlocking device			y				y			
12	Hook limit roller		Story News	y	y						
13	Landing door rubber, distance between hook and lock = 1-3 mm.			у			у	у			
14	Landing door panel rubber		and a stand	v							

Name of su	pervisor	
Signature o	f supervisor	
Date	17/09/15	

Revision 0 (April 2015)

# Figure 65: An actual checklist document of Sep 2015 of equipment number

### VITA

For education background, Mr. Khatayos Phummanee received bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from Chulalongkorn University in academic year 2009.

For working background, he had 1-year working experience in project engineer department in multinational consumer product's company after graduation. Afterwards until now, he has been working for 5 years in technical & field support department in multinational elevator company.



CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY