ผลกระทบของขนาดโมเลกุลต่อค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตี ของไกลคอลในน้ำ

นางสาวปานกมล พฤกษ์สุนันท์

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี คณะวิศวกรรมศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2543 ISBN 974-13-1029-3 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# EFFECT OF MOLECULAR SIZE ON THE ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT OF GLYCOLS IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION

Miss Parnkamol Prucksunand

A Thesis Submitted in Partail Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Chemical Engineering Department of Chemical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2000 ISBN 974-13-1029-3

Thesis Title	Effect of Molecular Size on the Activity Coefficient of
	Glycols in Aqueous Solution
Ву	Miss Parnkamol Prucksunand
Department	Chemical Engineering
Thesis Advisor	Associate Professor Kroekchai Sukanjanajtee, Ph.D.
Thesis Co-Advisor	Khanitta Malayawech

Accepted by the Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn university in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of Master's Degree

...... Deen of Faculty of Engineering (Professor Somsak Panyakeow, Dr.Eng.)

Thesis Committee

...... Chairman (Associate Professor Tawatchai Charinpanitkul, Dr.Eng.)

(Associate Professor Kroekchai Sukanjanajtee, Ph.D.)

(Khanitta Malayawech)

(Assistant Professor Seeroong Prichanont, Ph.D.)

ปานกมล พฤกษ์สุนันท์ : ผลกระทบของขนาดโมเลกุลที่มีต่อค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตี ของไกลคอลในน้ำ (EFFECT OF MOLECULAR SIZE ON THE ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT OF GLYCOLS IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION) อ.ที่ปรึกษา : รศ.ดร.เกริกชัย สุกาญจนัจที, อ.ที่ปรึกษาร่วม : อ.ขนิษฐา มาลยเวช, 140 หน้า, ISBN 974-13-1029-3.

งานวิจัยนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษาผลกระทบของขนาดโมเลกุลที่มีต่อค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอก ติวิตีของไกลคอลในน้ำ ซึ่งไกลคอลที่นำมาศึกษาผลกระทบดังกล่าวได้แก่ เอทีลีนไกลคอล, 1,2-โพรพีลีนไกลคอล และ 1,4-บิวทีลีนไกลคอล โดยในงานวิจัยได้ทำการออกแบบเครื่องมือสร้าง สมดุลเฟสไอ-ของเหลว เพื่อเก็บข้อมูลสมดุลเฟสของระบบสององค์ประกอบ 1,4-บิวทีลีนไกล คอล-น้ำ ซึ่งได้ควบคุมอุณหภูมิให้คงที่ที่ 98, 110 และ 122 องศาเซลเซียส เนื่องจากอุณหภูมิ ทั้งสามค่านี้สามารถครอบคลุมช่วงอุณหภูมิออบติมัมของการกลั่นสารละลายไกลคอลในน้ำได้ แล้วนำข้อมูลสมดุลที่ได้มาหาค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีของ 1,4-บิวทีลีนไกลคอลในน้ำได้ ข้อมูลกับโมเดล UNIQUAC และสมการWilson ด้วยโปรแกรมการคำนวณที่เขียนขึ้น และนำมา เปรียบเทียบกับค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีที่จากการฟิตข้อมูลของเอทีลีนไกลคอล และ 1,2-โพรพี ลีนไกลคอล ในน้ำ ที่ผู้อื่นทำวิจัยไว้ ด้วยโปรแกรมข้างต้น เพื่อศึกษาผลกระทบของขนาดโมเลกุล ที่มีต่อค่าดังกล่าว

จากงานวิจัยพบว่า โมเดล UNIQUACและ Wilson สามารถใช้ได้ดีกับระบบที่ศึกษา ค่า สัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีของระบบไกลคอลในน้ำแต่ละระบบขึ้นอยู่กับอุณหภูมิและองค์ประกอบของ สารในระบบ นอกจากนี้ค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีของไกลคอลในน้ำยังขึ้นอยู่กับขนาดและรูปร่าง โมเลกุลของไกลคอลอีกด้วย โดยเมื่อพิจารณาไกลคอลที่มีโครงสร้างโมเลกุลแบบเส้นตรงแล้วพบ ว่าไกลคอลที่มีขนาดโมเลกุลใหญ่กว่ามีค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีต่ำกว่า ส่วนไกลคอลที่มีโครงสร้าง โมเลกุลแบบกิ่งมีค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีสูงกว่าไกลคอลที่มีโครงสร้างแบบเส้นตรง ผลกระทบ ของขนาดร่วมกับรูปร่างโมเลกุลของไกลคอลในน้ำต่อค่าสัมประสิทธิ์แอกติวิตีนี้อาจอธิบายได้ด้วย แรงกระทำระหว่างโมเลกุลของสารซึ่งสามารถแสดงได้ด้วยค่าจุดเดือดของสาร อย่างไรก็ตามผล กระทบของขนาดโมเลกุลไกลคอลในน้ำจะแสดงแนวโน้มได้ชัดเจนกว่าเมื่อพิจารณาค่าพารา มิเตอร์ของโมเดล โดยค่าพารามิเตอร์ของทั้งสองโมเดลคือ UNIQUACและWilsonนั้นขึ้นอยู่กับ อุณหภูมิของระบบด้วย

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
สาขาวิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา
ปีการศึกษา <u>.</u>	2543	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

### ## 4070342221 : MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

KEY WORD : MOLECULAR SIZE / ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT / GLYCOL / WATER / AQUEOUS / VAPOR-LIQUID EQUILIBRIUM / WILSON / UNIQUAC

PARNKAMOL PRUCKSUNAND : EFFECT OF MOLECULAR SIZE ON THE ACTIVITY COEFFICIENCT OF GLYCOLS IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION. THESIS ADVISOR : ASSOC. PROF. KROEKCHAI SUKANJANAJTEE, Ph.D. THESIS CO-ADVISOR : KHANITTA MALAYAWECH. 140 pp. ISBN 974-13-1029-3.

This research was aimed to study effect of molecular size on the activity coefficient of glycols in aqueous solution. The studied glycols were ethylene glycol, 1,2-propylene glycol, and 1,4-butylene glycol. A vapor liquid equilibrium still was designed and used to produce isothermal VLE experimental data for 1,4-butylene glycol-water at temperature of 98, 110, and 122°C, which cover the optimal temperature range for the distillation process of glycols in water. By fitting experimental VLE data of 1,4-butylene glycol in water with UNIQUAC or Wilson model with the aid of computer programming, the activity coefficient was obtained. The estimated activity coefficient of 1,4-butylene glycol in water was compared with that of literature results of ethylene glycol and 1,2-propylene glycol in water to study the effect molecular size.

The results shown that the UNIQUAC and Wilson models are capable of estimating with good accuracy the equilibrium conditions for such mixture. The activity coefficient of each system depends on temperature and composition. The activity coefficient also depends on molecular size and shape of glycols in aqueous solution. The activity coefficient of straight chain glycols trend to decrease when the molecular size is increased and that value of the branch chain glycol is greater than of the straight chain. The effect of molecular size together with molecular structure may be explained by interaction of component, which can be presented by boiling point of component. However, the effect of molecular size of glycols in water can be more significantly presented by the parameters of both UNIQUAC and Wilson models. The parameters were also depended on system temperature.

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
สาขาวิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา
ปีการศึกษา	2543	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am gratefully indebted to so many who have helped in the completion of this thesis.

To my advisor, Associate Professor Kroechai Sukanjanajtee, Department of Chemical Engineering, Chulalongkorn University, who gave inspiring guidance that motivated me to commence and proceed through the research work and also gave me encouraging discussion and helpful suggestion through the course of this work.

To my co-advisor, Mrs. Khanitta Malayawech, Department of Mining and Petroleum Engineering, Chulalongkorm University, who kindly guided and helpfully suggested me at all stage of the work.

To Associate Professor Tawatchai Charinpanitkul and Assistant Professor Seeroong Prichanont, Department of Chemical Engineering, Chulalongkorn University, for their valuable comments and participation as thesis committee.

To Associate professor Chirakarn Muangnapoh, Department of Chemical Engineering, Chulalongkorn University for the valuable comments in this work.

To Division of Analytical Chemistry Training, Department of Science Service for the analysis instruments favorableness and accommodation through the analysis. Especially, to Mrs. Achara Poomchatra; the director, Mr. Naris Rohtwesalsrup; the chemistry degree 4, Dr. Pisons Karasudsi; the chemistry degree 7, and other officers.

To Perkin Elmer Ltd. Particularly, to Mr. Suwat Boonchusorn; the analyst who assist me along the analysis.

To Mr. Mangkorn Kitiphatmontree, Chemical Research Institute, Rajamangla Technology Institute, who give nice suggestion in analysis to me.

To Department of Chemical Technology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University for permitting the use of instruments.

Specially, to Mr. Kriangsak Kittiphiman who has kindly encouraged and suggested me in this work.

Moreover, to my family for their best love and willpower all along my life.

Finally, to my friends and all others who have helped me over the years of my study.

# CONTENTS

ABTRACT IN THAI iv		
ABTRACT IN ENGLISH		
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT vi		
LIST OF TABLES x		
LIST OF FIGURES xiv	/	
NOMENCLATURES xvi	i	
CHAPTER		
I INTRODUCTION 1		
1.1 Objectives of Study 2	,	
1.2 Scope of Study 2	,	
1.3 Research Procedure		
1.4    Expected Benefit    3		
II THEORY 4	,	
2.1 Phase Equilibrium 4	,	
2.2 Chemical Potential and Phase Equilibrium	J	
2.3 Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium (VLE) 11		
2.4 Vapor Pressure 12	,	
2.4.1 Clausius-Clapeyron Equation		
2.4.2 Kirchhoff Equation 13		
2.4.3 Riedel Equation		
2.4.4 Antoine Equation 13		
2.4.5 Miller's Equation 13		
2.5 Equations of State (EOS) 14		
2.5.1 Virial Equation 15		
2.6 Properties Model 16	,	
2.6.1 Wilson Equation 17		
2.6.2 UNIQUAC Model		
2.6.3 UNIFAC Method 19		
III LITERATURE REVIEWS		
3.1 Related Literature		
3.2 Experiment and Calculation Routes		

# PAGE

IV	EXPER	IMENT	30
	4.1	Chemicals	30
	4.2	Experimental Apparatuses	30
	4.3	Experimental Procedure	32
V	DATA I	REDUCTION	34
	5.1	Back Calculation Method	34
	5.2	Newton-Raphson Method	34
	5.3	Region Elimination Method	35
	5.4	Computer Program	35
		5.4.1 Program for Properties Models' Parameters	
		Evaluating	35
		5.4.2 Program for Phase Compositions & Activity	
		Coefficients Estimating from Correlated	
		Models	35
		5.4.3 Program for Liquid Phase Composition &	
		Activity Coefficients Estimating from	
		Experimental Measured Pressures	35
VI	RESUL	TS AND DISCUSSION	42
	6.1	Experimental VLE Results	42
	6.2	Liquid Phase Compositions of Butylene Glycol-Water	42
	6.3	UNIQUAC Interaction Parameters	43
	6.4	Wilson Parameters	45
	6.5	Comparison Between Experiment and Literature	
		VLE Data of Ethylene Glycol-Water	47
	6.6	Estimation by Virial EOS	49
	6.7	Comparison of Experimental and Calculated Liquid Phase	
		Composition of 1,4-Butylene Glycol-Water System	51
	6.8	Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson Models	
		Estimations	54
	6.9	Deviations of Models Estimations	54
	6.10	Activity Coefficients	58
	6.11	Comparison with Literature Researches	60
	6.12	Correlation of the Activity Coefficient and Molecular Size.	61

# PAGE

VII CONCLUS	IONS A	ND RECOMMENDATIONS	64
7.1 Co	nclusion	IS	64
7.2 Re	commen	dations for Future Study	65
REFERENCES		(	66
APPENDICES		(	68
APPENDIX A	Prope	rties of Pure Components	69
APPENDIX B	UNIÇ	UAC & UNIFAC Group Parameters	79
APPENDIX C	Refra	ctive Index Calibration of	
	1,4-B	utahylene Glycol in Aqueous Solution	84
APPENDIX D	Gravi	metrically Preparation of Charge Mixtures	87
APPENDIX E	Comp	uter Programs	89
	<b>E.1</b>	Program for UNIQUAC Interaction Parameter	
		Evaluating	90
	E.2	Program for Phase Compositions & Activity	
		Coefficients Estimating by Correlated	
		Wilson Model	98
	E.3	Program for Liquid Phase Composition &	
		Activity Coefficients Estimating from	
		Experimental Measured Pressures Using	
		UNIFAC Method10	04
APPENDIX F	Progr	am Estimated Data1	13
VITA			40

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PA	GE
3.1	Experimental results for the mixture water (1)-	
	ethylene glycol (2) by Lancia et al. (1996)	22
3.2	Experimental results for the mixture water (1)-	
	propylene glycol (2) by Lancia et al. (1996)	23
3.3	UNIQUAC interaction parameters ( $\tau$ ) for the mixture water-	
	propylene glycol-ethylene glycol at the temperatures of 98 °C,	
	110 °C and 122 °C, evaluated by Lancia et al. (1996)	23
3.4	Activity coefficients of diols (1) infinitely diluted in water (2),	
	$\gamma_1^{\infty}$ , obtained by Suleiman and Eckert (1994)	25
4.1	Properties of Source Chemicals	30
6.1	Experimental VLE results of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2)	42
6.2	Experimental VLE results of butylene glycol (1)-water (2)	42
6.3	Experimental mole fractions obtained from refractive index	
	calibrating of liquid phase samples in butylene glycol (1)-	
	water (2) VLE.	43
6.4	UNIQUAC interaction parameters for the binary mixture	
	of glycols (1) in water (2)	43
6.5	Wilson parameters for the binary mixture of glycols $(1)$ in	
	water (2)	46
6.6	% Average absolute deviations of UNIQUAC model	
	estimations	54
6.7	% Average absolute deviations of Wilson model estimations	54
6.8	UNIQUAC estimated infinite dilution activity coefficient of	
	glycols in water	58
6.9	$\gamma^{\infty}$ of water (1)-ethylene glycol(2) system calculated by using	
	UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al. (1996)	60
6.10	$\gamma^{\infty}$ of water (1)-propylene glycol(2) system calculated by using	
	UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al. (1996)	61
6.11	Infinite dilution activity coefficient correlate to molecular weight	
	and normal boiling point	62

TABLE	PAGE
A.1	Physical properties of pure components
A.2	Chemical and thermodynamic properties of pure components 73
A.3	Temperature depending properties of pure components
	at studied temperatures
B.1	Group volume and surface area parameters
B.2	Group interaction parameters, a <sub>mn</sub> <sup>o</sup> K 82
C.1	Gravimetrically standard preparation of 1-4, butylene glycol
	in aqueous solution
C.2	Calibration results of refractive index of 1,4-butahylene glycol
	in aqueous solution
D.1	Gravimetrically charge preparation of ethylene glycol in water
F.1	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 98°C by UNIQUAC114
F.2	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 110°C by UNIQUAC114
F.3	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 122°C by UNIQUAC114
F.4	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 98°C by Wilson equation
F.5	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 110°C by Wilson equation
F.6	Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycol-water
	at 122°C by Wilson equation
F.7	Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model
	of ethylene glycol-water at 98°C 116
F.8	Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model
	of ethylene glycol-water at 110°C116
F.9	Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model
	of ethylene glycol-water at 122°C117
F.10	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 98°C by UNIQUAC
F.11	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 110°C by UNIQUAC

TABLE	PAGE
F.12	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 122°C by UNIQUAC
F.13	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 98°C by Wilson equation
F.14	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 110°C by Wilson equation
F.15	Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water
	at 122°C by Wilson equation
F.16	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 98°C
F.17	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 110°C
F.18	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 122°C121
F.19	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C 122
F.20	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 110°C
F.21	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 122°C
F.22	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C124
F.23	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 110°C124
F.24	Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 122°C125
F.25	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C126
F.26	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 110°C
F.27	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 122°C

TABLE	PAGE
F.28	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C129
F.29	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 110°C
F.30	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 122°C
F.31	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of 1,4-butylene
	glycol(1)-water(2) at 98°C131
F.32	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of 1,4-butylene
	glycol(1)-water(2) at 110°C131
F.33	Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of 1,4-butylene
	glycol(1)-water(2) at 122°C132
F.34	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 98°C
F.35	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 110°C
F.36	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glycol(1)-
	water(2) at 122°C
F.37	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C
F.38	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at $110^{\circ}$ C
F.39	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 122°C
F.40	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at 98°C
F.41	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at $110^{\circ}$ C
F.42	Program estimated data by Wilson equation of 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water(2) at $122^{\circ}$ C

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
2.1	Binary liquid-vapor temperature-composition diagram for the
	system carbon tetrachloride + stannic chloride
2.2	Temperature-composition diagram for acetone + chloroform,
	showing maximum boiling point
2.3	Temperature-composition diagram for ethanol + benzene, showing
	minimum boiling point 8
2.4	Schematic diagram of a three-component system at a fixed
	temperature and pressure
3.1	Comparison of $\gamma^{\infty}$ values for various diols in water measured by
	dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994)26
3.2	Comparision of $\gamma^{\infty}$ values for 1,2-ethanediol in water measured by
	dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994) with
	values obtained by other VLE extrapolated sources
3.3	Comparision of $\gamma^{\infty}$ values for 1,2-propanediol in water measured by
	dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994) with
	values obtained by other VLE extrapolated sources
3.4	Flow chart for VLE data reduction of Rowley and Haffman (1990)29
4.1	Vapor liquid equilibrium still
5.1	Flow chart of main program for Properties Models' Parameters
	Evaluating
5.2	Flow chart of main program for phase compositions and activity
	coefficients estimating by correlated models
5.3	Flow chart of main program for liquid phase composition & activity
	coefficients estimating from experimental measured pressures39
5.4	Flowchart of subprogram UNIQUAC 40
5.5	Flowchart of subprogram Wilson
6.1A	UNIQUAC interaction parameter as temperature dependent
	parameters44
6.1B	UNIQUAC interaction parameter dependent on molecular weight
	of glycols45

6.2A	Wilson parameter as temperature dependent parameters46
6.2B	Wilson parameter dependence on molecular weight of glycols47
6.3	Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene
	glycol (1)-water (2) at 98°C 48
6.4	Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene
	glycol (1)-water (2) at 110°C 48
6.5	Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene
	glycol (1)-water (2) at 122°C48
6.6	VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at $98^{\circ}$ C by experiment
	and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation
6.7	VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at $110^{\circ}$ C by experiment
	and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation
6.8	VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 122°C by experiment
	and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation51
6.9	Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at 98°C52
6.10	Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at 110°C 52
6.11	Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at 122°C 52
6.12	Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at 98°C 53
6.13	Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at 110°C 53
6.14	Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental
	determined liquid phase molar fractions for 1,4-butylene glycol
	(1)-water (2) mixture at $122^{\circ}$ C 53

### FIGURE 6.15 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 98°C......55 6.16 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 110°C......55 6.17 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 122°C......55 6.18 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 98°C......56 6.19 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 110°C......56 6.20 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 122°C......56 6.21 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of 1,4-butylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 98°C......57 6.22 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of 1,4-butylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 110°C......57 6.23 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of 1,4-butylene glycol(1)-water(2) system at 122°C......57 6.24 UNIQUAC estimated activity coefficients of glycols in water at 98°C. 59 UNIQUAC estimated activity coefficients of glycols in water 6.25 UNIQUAC estimated activity coefficients of glycols in water 6.26 Infinite dilution activity coeffcients of glycols in water estimated 6.27 by using UNIQUAC model......60 6.28 Calculated infinite dilution activity coeffcients of glycol in water by using UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al (1996)...... 61 6.29 Effect of molecular size on the the infinite dilution activity coefficient 6.30 Effects of molecular size and structure on the the infinite dilution C.1 Refractive index calibration curve of 1,4-buthylene glycol in water......86

C.2 

### PAGE

# NOMENCLATURES

$a_{mn}$	group interaction parameter
Bij	second virial coefficient
BG	1,4-butylene glycol (1,4-butanediol)
b.p.	boiling point temperature, $T_b$
EG	ethylene glycol (1,2-ethanediol)
f	fugacity
g	free energy
Н	enthalpy
MW	molecular weight
Ν	number of experiment data
n	mole numbers
Р	pressure
PG	propylene glycol (1,2-propanediol)
Q	group volume parameter
R	group area parameter
RI	refractive index
S	entropy
Т	temperature
$T_b$	boiling point temperature, b.p.
U	molar internal energy
V	molar volume
x	liquid mole fraction
y 6	vapor mole fraction
Ζ	compressibility factor
z	feed mole fraction

## Subscripts

арр	approximated
С	critical property
cal	calculated value
exp	experimental value

i	i th component
j	j th component
k, m, n	group type
r	residual property
t	total

# Superscripts

С	combinatorial contribution
Ε	excess property
l	liquid phase
v	vapor phase
R	residual contribution
sat	saturated
t	total
$\infty$	infinite dilution

# **Greek letters**

$\hat{\pmb{\phi}}_i$	fugacity coefficient of <i>i</i> in solution
γ	activity coefficient
η	viscosity
μ	chemical potential
ω	acentric factor
$ au_{ij}$	UNIQUAC binary interaction parameter
$\Lambda_{ij}$	Wilson parameter

### **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

In order to design and control the industrial instruments, it is essential to have many basic informations such as density, enthalpy, viscosity, vapor pressure, etc. Particularly in the separation process that appertaining inter-phase transfer, distillation for example, need informations of phase equilibrium data or correlation to predict the properties of chemicals in the reactions at the operating condition. Besides, calculation of phase and chemical equilibrium is of fundamental importance for the design and simulation of chemical processes.

In design of the distillation, separation, extraction and absorption process, a reliable technique for estimate the thermodynamic properties of mixture is required not only to minimize the size and complexity of equipment, but also to minimize the energy requirements are of major importance in industrial applications because of their economic implications. Accurate densities for mixtures also are important for custody transfer applications.

In the past, engineers obtained data in laboratory and established equation representing properties correlation such as equation of state that exhibit pressurevolume-temperature correlation. The obtained equation is empirical equation that can not be used in all/other condition but must be limited by temperature range and/or pressure range, etc. In present, there is less experimental data. It is important to get more for improve equations to be more accurate.

Glycols are byproducts in many processes. For example, in the process of recycling PET by hydrolysis with water, ethylene glycol in water is one of the products. Another example is catalytic hydrocracking of sorbital that leads to an aqueous solution of ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and butylene glycols, glycerol, alcohols, etc. These products can be separated by distillation.

The vapor-liquid equilibrium data for aqueous solutions of glycols in the interested condition of separation is useful for optimization the distillation process to separate the reaction products and technology. The temperature range for the distillation process is about 98-122 °C and three temperatures of 98, 110 and 122 °C cover the optimal temperature range for the process.

The equilibrium data obtained by experiment usually are interpolated and extrapolated with an equation model with the objective of using the model in another range of operating conditions. Many equations have been proposed in this field. Wilson, NRTL (Non-Random Two-Liquid) and UNIQUAC (UNIversal QUAsi Chemical) are widely used in systems with contain polar components.

Knowledge of activity coefficient can be crucial for the accurate design of any separation process. It can be estimated by using vapor-liquid equilibrium data. One-parameter Wilson equation is often used to characterize the experimental results and estimate the activity coefficients of glycols in water. Beside, many previous works were shown that the UNIQUAC model is capable of estimating with very good accuracy the equilibrium conditions for such system.

A survey of the publicly accessible literature, especially of available collection of vapor-liquid equilibrium data, revealed a scarcity of such a data, particularly with regard to aqueous and alcoholic solutions of glycols in the interested temperature for distillation process. The present work represents a continuation of experimental studies on vapor-liquid equilibrium for binary systems containing glycol in water (Lancia, 1996). The binary system of butylene glycol-water was studied in temperature range for the distillation process.

### 1.1 Objectives of Study

- 1.1.1 Producing experimentally isothermal vapor-liquid equilibrium data for the butylene glycol-water binary system.
- 1.1.2 Correlating the equilibrium data with UNIQUAC and Wilson equation model.
- 1.1.3 Considering effect of molecular size on the activity coefficient of glycols.

### 1.2 Scope of Study

Experimental work includes determination of isothermal vapor-liquid equilibrium data for the 1,4-butylene glycol-water system in the temperature range of 98-122°C.

Analytical work includes 1) correlating experimental data with the help of UNIQUAC or Wilson equation, with the aid of computer programming, for which temperature dependent interaction parameters were fitted simultaneously to VLE data, 2) finding out the activity coefficient in aqueous solution of 1,4-butylene glycol from the experimental data, and of ethylene glycol and propylene glycol from literature experimental data (Lancia, 1996) by using the program, and 3) studying the effect of molecular size on the activity coefficient of ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and butylene glycol in aqueous solution.

### **1.3 Research Procedure**

- 1.3.1 Literature survey.
- 1.3.2 Literature study.
- 1.3.3 Chemicals and experimental apparatus preparation.
- 1.3.4 Laboratory experiment.
- 1.3.5 Data reduction.
- 1.3.6 Result analysis and conclusion

### **1.4 Expected Benefit**

- 1.4.1 Availability of property model, UNIQUAC and Wilson equation, for aqueous solution of glycols is useful in process calculation, such as distillation process optimization.
- 1.4.2 Knowledge of the effect of molecular size of light glycols on the activity coefficient is useful in furtherance prediction the activity coefficient of higher molecular weight glycols.

# จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

### **CHAPTER II**

### THEORY

### 2.1 Phase Equilibrium<sup>\*</sup>

A general field of physical chemistry dealing with the various situations which two or more phase (or state of aggregation) can coexist in thermodynamic equilibrium with each other, with the nature of the transitions between phases, and with the effects of temperature and pressure upon this equilibria. Many superficial aspect of the subject are largely quantitative, for example the empirical classification of types of phase diagrams; but the basic problems always are susceptible quantitative thermodynamic treatment, and in many cases, statistical thermodynamic methods can be applied to simple molecular models.

Thermodynamics required that when two phases,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , are free to change heat, mechanical work, and matter (chemical species), the temperature *T*, the pressure *P*, and the chemical potential (partial molar free energy)  $\mu_i$  of each particular component *i* must be equal in both phases at equilibrium. Algebraically, equilibrium exists when  $T_{\alpha} = T_{\beta}$ ,  $P_{\alpha} = P_{\beta}$ ,  $\mu_{i,\alpha} = \mu_{i,\beta}$ , and  $\mu_{j,\alpha} = \mu_{j,\beta}$ .

These conditions of thermal, mechanical, and material equilibrium need not all be present if the equilibrium between phases is subject to inhibiting restrictions. Thus, for a solution of a non volatile solute in equilibrium with the solvent vapor, the condition of equality of solute chemical potentials  $\mu_{2,\alpha} = \mu_{2,\beta}$  need not apply, since there can be no solute molecules in the vapor phase. Similarly, in osmotic equilibria, in which solvent molecules can pass through a semipermeable membrane, whereas solute molecules cannot,  $\mu_{1,\alpha} = \mu_{1,\beta}$  and  $T_{1,\alpha} = T_{2,\beta}$ , but the solute chemical potentials  $\mu_2$  are unequal, as are the pressures on opposite sides of the membrane.

If a system consists of P phases and C distinguishable components, there are C + 2 thermodynamic variables (C chemical potentials  $\mu_i$ , plus the temperature and pressure) which are interrelated by an equation for each phase. Since there are P independent equations relating the C + 2 variables, one needs to fix only F = C + 2 –

Parker (1993)

P variables to define completely the state of the system at equilibrium; the other variables are then beyond control. This relation for the number of degrees of freedom F, or variance, is called the phase rule and was first derived by Willard Gibbs in 1873. It has proved to be a powerful tool in interpreting and classifying types of phase equilibria.

When chemical changes may occur in the system, the number of components C is the number of independent components, this is, the number of components whose amounts can be varied by the experimenter; this is equal to the total number of chemical species present less the number if independent chemical equilibria between them.

An invariant system has no degrees of freedom (F = 0), for which the number of phases P = C + 2. For a one-component system, such an invariant point is a triple point at which three phases coexist at a single temperature and pressure only; for a two-component system, a quadruple point (four phases) would be invariant.

In a univariant system (F = 1), P = C + 1. With a one-component system, one can fix the temperature at which two phases (liquid and gas, for instance) can coexist in equilibrium; then the pressure (here the vapor pressure) is determined and not subject to external control. A univariant system is described by a line in a phase diagram, for example, a plot of vapor pressure versus temperature. The differential equation, Equation (2.1), for such a univariant line in a one-component system was first deduced by B. P. E. Clapeyron in 1834.

$$\frac{dP}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H}{T\Delta V} \tag{2.1}$$

In the equation  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta V$  are the enthalpy change (heat absorbed) and volume change, respectively, for the transition from one phase to another.

### **Binary System**

Phase diagrams and binary systems containing two components are easily classified. Typical examples of the important classes (liquid-gas, liquid-liquid, solid-liquid, solid-solid) have been selected for description.

Consider a liquid-vapor equilibrium. In a one-component system, liquid and vapor are in equilibrium at the boiling point. For two–components systems, the two-phase situation is bivariant and more complex. A complete temperature-pressure-composition diagram would be three-dimensional, so most phase diagrams are made

for either constant pressure or constant temperature. Figure 2.1 shows the simplest type of binary liquid-vapor temperature-composition diagram, exemplified by the system carbon tetrachloride–stannic chloride, which forms essentially ideal solution. The regions label *G* and *L* are one-phase, gas (vapor) and liquid, respectively; the region labeled L + G is a two-phase region in which liquid and vapor coexist. If the temperature of a liquid mixture of 40 mole percent  $\text{SnCl}_4$  (mole fraction = 0.40) is increased at a constant pressure of 1 atm, the change in the system can be traced along the straight line *ab'cd''e*. At low temperatures, only one phase, the liquid, is present, but at 87.5°C (190°F) point *b'*, a vapor phase appears. The composition of this vapor phase is given by point *b''* (mole fraction  $\text{SnCl}_4 = 0.18$ ), and the two conjunction phases are connected on the diagram by the tie line *b''b'*. As the temperature is increased further, more vapor is formed; since the vapor is rich in CCl<sub>4</sub>, this component becomes relatively depleted in the liquid phase, and the liquid composition move along the line *b'c'd'*, while the vapor composition moves along the line *b'c'd'*.



Figure 2.1 Binary liquid-vapor temperature-composition diagram for the system carbon tetrachloride + stannic chloride. G = gas (vapor) phase; L = liquid phase; L + G = two coexisting phase. Pressure = 1 atm (10<sup>2</sup> kPa). <sup>o</sup>F = (<sup>o</sup>C x 1.8) + 32.

At 90°C (194°F) the over all composition of the two-phase system is represented by point c, but the compositions of vapor and the liquid separately are given by the two ends of the tie line, points c'' and c', respectively (mole fractions of 0.22 and 0.47, respectively). The relative amounts of the two phases are given by the lever arm principal of physics. The ratio of the moles of vapor to moles of liquid is given by the ratio length cc' to the length c''c, here 0.07:0.18, or 28%, in the vapor phase. Further increase in temperature produces more and more vapor until, at 97°C (207°F), the liquid phase (point d' mole fraction) has become vanishingly small; at higher temperatures, it disappears, and only the vapor phase (point d'', mole fraction = 0.40) remains. Further increase in temperature (along the line d''e) is uneventful.

In this simple system, there are no maximum or minimum in the liquid and vapor curves; consequently, such liquid mixture can be separate completely into the two pure components by fractional distillation. Systems with maximum boiling points (acetone + chloroform, Figure 2.2) or minimum boiling points (ethanol + benzene, Figure 2.3) cannot be so separated into the pure substances. At the maximum or minimum, the composition of the liquid is identical with that of the vapor with which it is in equilibrium; continued boiling mixtures or azeotropes. It should be noted that the solid and liquid lines are smooth curves tangent to each other at such a point; any phase diagram which shows a sharp corner is thermodynamically incorrent.

Maximum boiling mixtures are associated with negative deviations from ideal behavior usually arises from strong attractions between molecules of the different species (sometimes call component formulation). Minimum boiling mixtures are associated with positive deviations from ideal behavior; this usually arises when the attraction between two unlike molecules (1-2) is weaker than the average of two like pairs (1-1 and 2-2); extreme examples of this arise when one component may be described as associated. The simpler type of phase diagram (Figure 2.1) occurs when the two components mix nearly ideally or when the boiling points are very different.



Figure 2.2 Temperature-composition diagram for acetone + chloroform, showing maximum boiling point. Pressure = 1 atm  $(10^2 \text{ kPa})$ .  $^{\circ}\text{F} = (^{\circ}\text{C x } 1.8) + 32$ .



Figure 2.3 Temperature-composition diagram for ethanol + benzene, showing minimum boiling point. <sup>o</sup>F = (<sup>o</sup>C x 1.8) + 32.

**Figure 2.3 Temperature-composition diagram for ethanol** + **benzene, showing minimum boiling point.** <sup>o</sup>F = (<sup>o</sup>C x 1.8) + 32.

### Multicomponent System

As one proceeds from binary systems to systems with three or more components, the phase diagrams become more complex. Each component add another dimension to the representation of the phase equilibria. Thus for three components, two dimensions are required to represent the phase diagrams for a single temperature and pressure; these are conveniently depicted by a triangular diagram in with each vertex represents a pure component. Figure 2.4 show a diagram of a ternary system in which three liquid phases can coexist. Even when there are three phases, the system is still bivariant. (An example of such a system in water + succinonitrile + diethyl ether.)

A special case of three-component system is that in which there are two immiscible solvents and a third component, soluble in both, distributed between the two phases. The ratio of the concentration of the solute in the two solvents is the distribution coefficient; in dilute solutions, this is independent of the concentration, but the higher concentrations, nonideal behavior of the solute can produce systematic variations of the distribution coefficient which ends on the most concentrated solutions with the ratio of the solubilities in the saturated solutions.

Distribution effects are important in separating similar materials. A small different in distribution coefficient is amplified by multistage equilibria, such as those used in countercurrent extraction and partition chromatography.

The example used to illustrate the various types of phase equilibria are not supposed to suggest that these types are restricted to the particular kind of chemical substances shown. In general, examples of each could have been selected from many kinds of substance such as metals, nonmetallic elements, inorganic salts, and organic nonelectrolytes.



Figure 2.4 Schematic diagram of a three-component system at a fixed temperature and pressure. Points A, B, and C represent the pure liquids. The composition corresponding to a point in the diagram is determined by the positions along a line from each vertex to the opposite side; thus point *b* is 20% *A*, 50% *B*, 30% *C*. Region;  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  correspond to single phase rich in *A*, *B*, and *C*, respectively;  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$  is a three-phase region; the three saturated solutions have the compositions given by the points a, b, and c. Three two-phase regions,  $\alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha + \gamma$ , and  $\beta + \gamma$ , are indicated by drawing in the tie lines.

### 2.2 Chemical Potential and Phase Equilibrium

When equilibrium is attained in a closed *PVT* system consisting of two phases which each may be considered a single-phase open system. The differential changes at the equilibrium state for the two phases with superscripts  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  identifying are

$$d(nU)^{\alpha} = Td(nS)^{\alpha} - Pd(nV)^{\alpha} + \sum \mu_{i}^{\alpha} dn_{i}^{\alpha}$$
$$d(nU)^{\beta} = Td(nS)^{\beta} - Pd(nV)^{\beta} + \sum \mu_{i}^{\beta} dn_{i}^{\beta}$$

Where *U*, *S* and *V* are molar properties of the phase.  $n_i$  is mole numbers of component *i*. And  $\mu_i$  is called the chemical potential of component *i*.

The equilibrium is in uniformity of temperature (T) and pressure (P) throughout both phases. The sum of these two equations is

$$dU = TdS' - PdV' + \sum \mu_i^{\alpha} dn_i^{\alpha} + \sum \mu_i^{\beta} dn_i^{\beta}$$

From

$$dU^{t} = TdS^{t} - PdV$$

Thus

$$\sum \mu_i^{\alpha} dn_i^{\alpha} + \sum \mu_i^{\beta} dn_i^{\beta} = 0$$

From mass transfer between the two phases, mass conversion requires that

$$dn_i^{\alpha} = -dn_i^{\beta}$$

Thus

$$\sum (\mu_i^{\alpha} - \mu_i^{\beta}) dn_i^{\alpha} = 0$$

Since the  $dn_i^{\alpha}$  are independent and arbitrary, the general equation satisfied for

an N-component system is

$$\mu_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{\beta}$$
 (*i* = 1,2,3,...,*N*)

For more than two phase, application to pairs of phase leads to generalization for  $\pi$  phases:

$$\mu_i^{\alpha} = \mu_i^{\beta} = \dots = \mu_i^{\pi} \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$$
(2.2)

This equation is a general criterion for phase equilibrium.

### 2.3 Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium (VLE)

Similar to pressure, the fugacity of a component i in a multicomponent, multiphase system must be the same in all phases at equilibrium. As equation (2.2) we obtain

$$\hat{f}_i^{\alpha} = \hat{f}_i^{\beta} = \dots = \hat{f}_i^{\pi}$$
 (*i* = 1,2,3,...,*N*) (2.3)

which  $\hat{f}_i$  is the fugacity of a component *i* in a solution, not a pure-component property and not a partial property.

For the vapor (v)-liquid (l) equilibrium, equation (2.3) becomes

$$\widehat{f}_i^{\nu} = \widehat{f}_i^{l} \tag{2.4}$$

This equation is common used as the basis for the solution of VLE problems.

From definition of the fugacity coefficient of a component *i* in solution:

$$\hat{\phi}_i = \hat{f}_i / x_i P \tag{2.5}$$

Where  $x_i$  is a mole fraction of a component i in the solution.

And from definition of the activity coefficient of species *i*:

$$\gamma_i = \hat{f}_i / x_i f_i \tag{2.6}$$

where  $f_i$  is the fugacity of pure *i*.

Replaces the fugacities in Equation(2.4) by using Equation (2.5) which let  $y_i$  to represent a vapor-phase mole fraction for the vapor phase and using Equation (2.6) for the liquid phase with representing a liquid-phase mole fraction by  $x_i$ . Thus we have

$$y_i \hat{\phi}_i P = \gamma_i x_i f_i^{\ l} \tag{2.7}$$

For Gas at low pressure,

 $\lim_{P \to 0} \left( \hat{f}_i / x_i P \right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{P \to 0} \left( f_i / P \right) = 1$ 

Thus  $\hat{\phi}_i$  in the left side of Equation (2.7) becomes to unity and  $f_i^l$  in the right of the equation equal to  $P_i^{sat}$  at the equilibrium temperature. So we obtain

$$y_i P = \gamma_i x_i P^{sat} \tag{2.8}$$

The saturated pressure,  $P_i^{sat}$ , is the vapor pressure of pure component *i* at the equilibrium temperature. It is pure component property that we can know.

So in many researches that received  $y_i$ ,  $x_i$  and P from the experimental VLE data, the activity coefficients could be calculated by Equation (2.7) or (2.8) as a function of equilibrium conditions, pressure and temperature.

### 2.4 Vapor Pressure

Vapor pressure or saturated pressure is the specific property of each component. It is temperature dependent property. By calculation, the vapor pressure  $P_i^{sat}$  is usually represented as a function of temperature *T* by an empirical formula. The derivation of vapor pressure equations may be written as

$$\frac{d \ln P^{sat}}{d (1/T)} = \Omega$$

where the quantity of  $\Omega$  depends on *T* only. The simplest polynomial expression of sufficient generality for the temperature dependence of  $\Omega$  is

$$\Omega = B + DT + ET^a$$

where B, D, E, and a are empirical constants.

So the general form of vapor pressure equation is given by substitution and integration as

$$\ln P^{sat} = A - \frac{B}{T} + D \ln T + FT^{b}$$
(2.9)

where b = a-1, F = E/b. This equation in its complete form can reasonably accurate description of the entire vapor pressure.

There are many of widely used vapor-pressure equations for determination of vapor pressure of pure component as the following.

### 2.4.1 Clausius-Clapeyron Equation

The simplest nontrivial equation for  $P^{sat}$  is obtained by let the assignments D = F = 0 in Equation (2.9), giving

$$\ln P^{sat} = A - \frac{B}{T}$$

This equation implied that  $\Omega$  is a constant, independent of *T*. It never provide absurd values for vapor pressure. It is often used for rough calculation where high accuracy is not required.

### 2.4.2 Kirchhoff Equation

The result of setting F = 0 in Equation (2.9),

$$\ln P^{sat} = A - \frac{B}{T} + D \ln T$$

This implied a linear approximation to the *T* dependence of  $\Omega$ . It offers little adventage over the Clausius-Clapeyron equation.

### 2.4.3 <u>Riedel Equation</u>

One of complete form of the general vapor pressure equation, Equation (2.9), where b = 6 (a = 7) is proposed, the four-parameter Riedel equation, a favorable expression, is produced.

### 2.4.4 Antoine Equation

The three-parameter Antonie equation :

$$\ln P^{sat} = A - \frac{B}{T + C}$$

is essentially an empirical modification of Equation (2.9). It implied a nonlinear temperature dependence expression of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega = \frac{BT^2}{\left(T + C\right)^2}$$

This makes the Antoine equation more accurate than three-parameter Kirchhoff equation.

### 2.4.5 Miller's Equation

Miller's equation use critical pressure and temperature, and boiling point temperature  $T_b$  to calculate the vapor pressure.

$$\log P_r^{sat} = -\frac{G}{T_r} \left[ 1 - T_r^2 + k (3 + T_r) (1 - T_r)^3 \right]$$

$$P_{r}^{sat} = \frac{P_{c}^{sat}}{P_{c}}$$

$$G = 0.210 + 0.200a$$

$$a = \frac{T_{rb} \ln P_{c}}{1 - T_{rb}}$$

$$k = \frac{(1/2.306G) - (1 + T_{rb})}{(3 + T_{rb})(1 - T_{rb})^{2}}$$

$$T_{rb} = \frac{T_{b}}{T_{rb}}$$

### 2.5 Equations of State (EOS)

Equations of state are widely used to predict thermodynamic behaviors of pure and mixture fluids.. They empirically provide a relation between pressure, density, temperature and (for mixture) composition. The equations of state can be also used to determine equilibrium conditions and other properties. Specially afterwards for the objective of using the equilibrium data in a wide range of operating conditions. Many equations represent the properties of vapor phase but some are for only liquid phase. The most well-known of equation of state models are capable of application in both vapor and liquid phases. But there is no equation appropriate to all solid, liquid and vapor.

In calculation of vapor-liquid equilibrium it is necessary to calculate separately the fugacity of each component in each of the two phases. The fugacity  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{i}^{\nu}$  of component i in the vapor phase is related to its mole fraction  $y_{i}$  in the vapor phase and to the total pressure *P* by the fucacity coefficient as shown in Equation (2.5) which let  $y_{i}$  to represent the vapor phase mole fraction for the vapor phase in stead of  $x_{i}$ .

The fugacity coefficient is a function of temperature, total pressure, and composition of the vapor phase. It can be found by using the equation of state in the rigorous thermodynamic relation :

$$\ln \hat{\phi}_{i} = \frac{1}{RT} \int_{V_{t}}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial n_{i}} \right)_{T, V_{t}, n_{i}} - \frac{RT}{V_{t}} \right] dV_{t} - \ln Z$$
(2.10)

where  $V_t$  is total volume having  $n_1$  moles of 1,  $n_2$  moles of 2, etc, and Z is the compressibility factor of vapor mixture,

$$Z = \frac{PV}{(n_1 + n_2 + ...) RT}$$

If the vapor mixture have a behavior as ideal gas, at low pressure (less than one atmosphere) as the frequently good example, the integral in Equation (2.10) is zero, Z is unity for all compositions, and  $\hat{\phi}_i = 1$  for each component *i*.

### 2.5.1 Virial Equation

Among numerous empirical equation of state have been proposed, the virial equation is the only equation of state with firm theoretical basis.

The virial equation of state is a power series in the reciprocal volume

$$Z = \frac{PV}{RT} = 1 + \frac{B}{V} + \frac{C}{V^2} + \dots$$
(2.11)

where V is the molar volume of the gas, B is the second virial coefficient, C is the third virial coefficient, etc.

For a pure vapor, the virial coefficients are function of temperature only. But for a mixture, they are also function of composition within, the rigorous relationships are given below.

$$B_{\text{mixture}} = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N} y_{i} y_{j} B_{ij}$$
(2.12)

$$C_{\text{mixture}} = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{j}^{N} \sum_{k}^{N} y_{i} y_{j} y_{k} C_{ijk}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where each of coefficient  $B_{ij}$  and  $C_{ijk}$  is function only of temperature. Substitution of Equations (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13) into Equation (2.10) give

$$\ln \hat{\phi}_{i} = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{j}^{N} y_{i} B_{ij} + \frac{2}{2V^{2}} \sum_{j}^{N} \sum_{k}^{N} y_{i} y_{k} C_{ijk} + \dots - \ln Z$$

For typical industrial operations such as distillation, absorption, or flash separations, the third and higher virial coefficients are neglected, the fugacity coefficient is given by

$$\ln \widehat{\phi}_i = \frac{2}{V} \sum_{j}^{N} y_i B_{ij} - \ln Z$$

The correlation for second virial coefficients  $B_{ii}$  for pure gases both of which nonpolar and polar based on a tree-parameter theory of corresponding states is described by Prausnitz (1967).

To estimate the cross-coefficients  $B_{ij}$  (i  $\neq$  j) in mixture, we can calculate from known pure  $B_{ii}$  and  $B_{jj}$  by using a geometric-mean combination rule as following

$$B_{ij} = \sqrt{B_{ij}B_{jj}} \tag{2.14}$$

In other words, Prausnitz (1967) has used the correlation equations for pure components with suitable rules for the various parameters to present the cross-coefficient  $B_{ij}$  of mixture.

In this research, the fugacity coefficient of vapor is calculated from

$$\ln \hat{\phi}_i = \left[ 2.0 \sum_{j=1}^N y_i B_{ij} - B_m \right] \frac{P}{RT}$$
(2.15)

with use the Eq. (2.14) to calculate  $B_{ij}$ . The second virial coefficients  $B_{ii}$  for some pure glycols ware presented in Table A.2.

### 2.6 Propreties Model

The fugacity  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_i^l$  of component i in the liquid phase is related to its mole fraction  $x_i$  in the liquid phase and to the fugacity  $f_i$  of pure i by the activity coefficient  $\gamma_i$  as presented in Equation (2.6). To calculate the activity coefficient, there are properties models deserving for mixture containing strongly polar components such the Wilson Equation and UNIQUAC model.

### 2.6.1 Wilson Equation

Wilson equation is one of activity coefficient equations of multicomponent mixture based on local-composition theory presented the effect of pressure and temperature on some solution properties such as Gibbs free energy of solution, fugacity of a component i in a solution, activity coefficient, etc. The equations based on this concept was introduced into solution theory by G. M. Wilson. The Wilson equation is written as

$$g = -\sum_{i} \left[ x_{i} \ln \left( \sum_{j} x_{j} \Lambda_{ij} \right) \right]$$

Wilson equation is usually written as function of activity coefficient as shown below.

$$\ln \gamma_{i} = 1 - \ln \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i} \Lambda_{ij} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_{k} \Lambda_{ki}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \Lambda_{kj}} \right)$$
(2.16)

 $\Lambda_{ij}$  is the approximate temperature dependence of the parameter for the Wilson equation:

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \frac{V_j^{l}}{V_i^{l}} \exp\left(\frac{-A_{ij}}{RT}\right) \quad (i \neq j)$$

 $\Lambda_{ii} = 1$  for i = j

with

Where  $V_j^l$  and  $V_i^l$  are the molar volume at temperature T of liquid *j* and *i*, and  $A_{ij}$  is a constant independent of composition and temperature which some is collected in the work of Gmehling and Onken, "Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data Collection", a series of volumes (appearing first in 1997). The values of Wilson parameters which may not be negative, usually are in a range of  $0 < \Lambda_{ij} < 5$  (Nagahama, Suzuki and Hirata, 1971).

### 2.6.2 UNIQUAC Model

In the UNIQUAC theory, the deviation from ideal behavior of real solution can be described by a combinatorial contribution, which depends on the differences in the shape and in the dimensions (size) of the molecules, and by a residual contribution, which depends on the energetic interactions between molecules. Consequently, the expression of excess free energy  $g^E$  is given by :

$$g^{E} = g^{E}_{comb} + g^{E}_{res}$$

and correspondingly the activity coefficient of the *i* species depends on a combinatorial contribution

$$\ln \gamma_i = \ln \gamma_i^C + \ln \gamma_i^R \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\ln \gamma_i^C = \ln \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} + \frac{z}{2} q_i \ln \frac{\theta_i}{\phi_i} + l_i - \frac{\phi_i}{x_i} \sum_j x_j l_j$$
(2.18)

and

$$\ln \gamma_i^R = q_i \left[ 1 - \ln \sum_j \theta_i \tau_{ji} - \sum_j \left( \theta_j \tau_{ij} / \sum_k \theta_k \tau_{kj} \right) \right]$$
(2.19)

which

$$l_{i} = \frac{z}{2}(r_{i} - q_{i}) - (r_{i} - 1) \quad ; \qquad \widehat{z} = 10$$
$$\theta_{i} = \frac{q_{i}x_{i}}{\sum_{j} q_{j}x_{j}}; \qquad \phi_{i} = \frac{r_{i}x_{i}}{\sum_{j} r_{j}x_{j}}$$
$$\tau_{ji} = \exp \left[\frac{u_{ji} - u_{ii}}{RT}\right]$$

 $\widehat{z}$  is lattice coordination number, set equal to 10.  $\theta_i$  is the area fraction and  $\phi_i$  is the segment fraction which is similar to the volume fraction. Pure component parameters  $r_i$  and  $q_i$  indicate measures of molecular van der Waals volumes and molecular surface areas respectively. The parameters  $r_i$  and  $q_i$  are calculated as the sum of the group volume and area parameters,  $R_k$  and  $Q_k$  respectively as described in UNIFAC method. Group parameter  $R_k$  and  $Q_k$  are obtained from van der Waal group
volume and surface areas. Collection of some group parameters  $R_k$  and  $Q_k$  are given in Table B.1.

The two adjustable binary parameters  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ji}$  must be evaluated from experimental phase equilibrium data. In general,  $(u_{ji} = u_{ii})$  and  $(u_{ij} = u_{jj})$  are linear function of temperature in accordance with  $u_{ji} = u_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ii} = \tau_{jj} = 1$ . The binary parameters  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ji}$  are enough to use for ternary or multicomponent systems, no ternary or higher parameter are required.

The UNIQUAC model gives good representation of both vapor-liquid and liquid-liquid equilibrium for binary and multicomponent mixtures containing a variety of nonelectrolytes such as hydrocabons, ketones, esters, water, amines, alcohols, nitriles, glycols, etc.

#### 2.6.3 UNIFAC Method

The concept of UNIFAC (UNIQUAC functional-group activity coefficients) model following Derr and Deal's (1969) ASOG model let the activity coefficients in mixtures relate to interaction between structure groups. The method is used to reduce experimentally obtained activity coefficient data to obtain parameters characterizing interaction between pairs of structural groups in nonelectrolyte systems and then the collection of parameters is used to predict the activity coefficients for other systems which have no experimental equilibrium data study but contain the functional groups have in the collection. So with use of the interaction parameters, that can be back-calculated from exiting equilibrium data, together with the size parameter, that can be calculated from theory, the prediction of phase equilibrium properties of mixture is available.

Liquid phase activity coefficients can be estimated for non ideal mixture which lack of experiment data by UNIFAC when the assumption of regular solution is not valid because polar components are present.

**q** The UNIFAC method is based on the UNIQUAC method. In the UNIFAC method, the parameters are independent of temperature, available for wide range of type of functional groups. The prediction by the method can be made in a temperature range of 275 to 425 K for pressure up to a few atmospheres.

The combinatorial part in the UNIFAC method is the same as in the UNIQUAC activity coefficients as Equation (2.18). Only pure component properties is used in the equation. Parameters  $r_i$  and  $q_i$  are calculated by equation below

$$r_i = \sum_k v_k^{(i)} R_k$$
 and  $q_i = \sum_k v_k^{(i)} Q_k$ 

where  $v_k^{(i)}$  is the number of groups of type k in molecule i, always an integer.

The residual part of the activity coefficients, Equation (2.19) is replaced by the solution-of-groups concept, as below equation is instead of.

$$\ln \gamma_i^R = \sum_k \nu_k^{(i)} \left[ \ln \Gamma_k - \ln \Gamma_k^{(i)} \right]$$
(2.20)

where  $\Gamma_k$  is the group residual activity coefficient, found from an expression similar to Equation (2.19) as below, and  $\Gamma_k^{(i)}$  is the residual activity coefficient of group *k* in a reference solution containing only molecules of type *i*.

$$\ln\Gamma_{k} = Q_{k} \left[ 1 - \ln(\sum_{m} \theta_{m} \psi_{mk}) - \sum_{m} (\theta_{m} \psi_{km} / \sum_{n} \theta_{n} \psi_{nm}) \right]$$
(2.21)

where  $\theta_m$  is the area fracton of group *m* and the sum the sums over all different group.  $\theta_m$  is calculated in a manner similar to that of  $\theta_i$  as by Equation (2.22). The Equation (2.21) also hold for  $\ln \Gamma_k^{(i)}$ .

$$\theta_m = \frac{Q_m X_m}{\sum_n Q_n X_n}$$

where  $X_m$  is the mole fraction of group *m* in the mixture. The group interaction parameter  $\psi_{mn}$  is given by

$$\psi_{mn} = \exp\left[\frac{u_{mn} - u_{nn}}{RT}\right] = \exp((a_{mn}/T))$$

where  $u_{mn}$  is a measure of the energy of interaction between groups *m* and *n*. The group interaction parameter  $a_{mn}$  obtained from a database using wide range of experiment results. The  $a_{mn}$  has unit of kelvins. The  $a_{mn} \neq a_{mn}$  but when m = n,  $a_{mn} = 0$  and  $\psi_{mn} = 1$ . Some of these are shown in Table B.2.

## **CHAPTER III**

## LITERATURE REVIEWS

Many past researches shown that the system containing similar groups of components often correlate with the same equation model. In some glycols-water binary mixtures, the equilibrium data were correlated with the Wilson, NRTL and UNIQUAC equations and the activity coefficient could be evaluated.

Although the UNIQUAC can accurately describe the behavior of mixture containing strongly polar species, as those considered in this work, but Wilson equation is simplifier and is also good for correlating many past research data.

#### 3.1 Related Literature

A survey of the aqueous solution of glycols system, revealed the temperature range for the distillation process is about 98-122 °C and three temperatures of 98, 110 and 122 °C cover the optimal temperature range for the process. VLE of ethylene glycol - water, propylene glycol - water, and ethylene glycol - propylene glycol at this three temperatures were determined by Lancia et al. (1996). The data were correlated by UNIQUAC equation with the assumption of low pressure and the Equation (2.8) hold. Experimental results for the mixture water-ethylene glycol and water-propylene glycol is shown in the Table3.1 and Table 3.2, accordingly.

The work of Lancia et al. (1996) inform that the UNIQUAC model has been successfully applied to the mixture ethylene glycol - propylene glycol – water in the temperature range of 98-122 °C. The ternary mixture and the three binary mixtures which can be obtained from these three species have been considered. In particular, vapor-liquid equilibrium data relative to the binary systems have been used to evaluate the binary interaction parameters. For example, UNIQUAC interaction parameters ( $\tau$ ) for the binary mixtures at the temperatures of experiment are shown in Table 3.3. Afterwards, such parameters have been used to predict the equilibrium conditions for the ternary system, and a satisfactory agreement has been found between model and experimental results.

According to UNIQUAC method, the work of Lancia et al. (1996) used the expression proposed by Kikic et al. (1980), the combinatorial part, differed from the original, was evaluated the expression:

$$\ln \gamma_i^C = \ln \frac{g_i}{x_i} + 1 - \frac{g_i}{x_i}$$
(3.1)

where is  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is the volume fraction for the *i* specied which is defined as :

$$\mathcal{G}_i = \frac{x_i r_i^{2/3}}{\sum_j x_j r_j^{2/3}}$$

However, the work of Kikic et al. (1980) studied only in saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons, not polar components as considered in Lancia (1996)'s and this work.

Table 3.1 Experimental results for the mixture water (1)-ethylene glycol (2) byLancia et al. (1996).

T = 9	$T = 98 ^{\circ}\text{C}  (371 \text{K})$ $T =$		T = 1	$T = 110 ^{\circ}\text{C} (383 \text{K})$		$T = 122 ^{\circ}\text{C} $ (395 K)		95 K)
P(kPa)	$x_{l}(-)$	y <sub>1</sub> (-)	P(kPa)	$x_{1}(-)$	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub> (-)	P(kPa)	$x_{l}(-)$	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub> (-)
4.25	0.026	0.570	5.33	0.012	0.330	7.31	0.006	0.191
5.32	0.039	0.680	7.46	0.029	0.561	16.48	0.050	0.673
9.57	0.089	0.826	10.93	0.055	0.715	17.28	0.055	0.668
13.69	0.137	0.879	11.86	0.063	0.737	19.93	0.069	0.721
33.62	0.377	0.964	14.53	0.083	0.782	22.73	0.089	0.765
53.16	0.594	0.986	15.46	0.095	0.805	23.12	0.093	0.769
65.92	0.710	0.992	23.33	0.159	0.882	27.11	0.119	0.836
68.44	0.733	0.995	29.06	0.199	0.900	32.69	0.144	0.821
70.83	0.754	0.994	34.92	0.25	0.924	42.53	0.215	0.881
81.07	0.841	0.997	41.32	0.300	0.939	45.18	0.225	0.889
90.77	0.912	0.998	71.44	0.562	0.978	49.17	0.235	0.898
92.76	0.970	0.999	79.71	0.613	0.989	70.43	0.386	0.941
			91.17	0.667	0.988	83.72	0.467	0.959
			118.36	0.826	0.999	106.58	0.586	0.976
						131.83	0.684	0.985
						149.64	0.753	0.992
		1	1	1	1	1	1	1

T = 9	$T = 98 ^{\circ}\text{C}  (371  \text{K})$		T = 1	$T = 110 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ (383 K)		$T = 122 ^{\circ}\text{C} $ (395 K)		
P(kPa)	$x_{l}(-)$	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub> (-)	P(kPa)	$x_{l}(-)$	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub> (-)	P(kPa)	$x_{1}(-)$	<i>y</i> <sub>1</sub> (-)
3.32	0.004	0.124	5.86	0.005	0.124	14.88	0.030	0.430
4.39	0.016	0.339	6.66	0.010	0.211	25.25	0.080	0.679
5.71	0.033	0.517	11.20	0.043	0.557	38.01	0.147	0.796
6.38	0.040	0.570	12.66	0.054	0.615	57.94	0.254	0.881
8.51	0.065	0. <mark>68</mark> 1	15.73	0.077	0.696	66.98	0.300	0.900
18.74	0.182	0.871	20.53	0.113	0.774	70.70	0.321	0.908
36.68	0.395	0.951	33.59	0.211	0.876	73.09	0.331	0.915
52.89	0.577	0.976	46.65	0.312	0.922	73.62	0.333	0.916
57.14	0.616	0.981	78.64	0.563	0.971	107.64	0.514	0.959
74.42	0.786	0.992	79.97	0.572	0.972	182.46	0.830	0.980
			115.96	0.795	0.992			

Table 3.2 Experimental results for the mixture water (1)-propylene glycol (2) byLancia et al. (1996).

Table 3.3 UNIQUAC interaction parameters (τ) for the mixture water-propylene glycol-ethylene glycol at the temperatures of 98 °C, 110 °C and 122 °C, evaluated by Lancia et al. (1996).

	A.	98 °C	110 °C	122 °C
H <sub>2</sub> O( <i>1</i> )-EG(2)	$ au_{12}$	2.13	2.35	2.59
	$ au_{21}$	0.279	0.210	0.161
$H_2O(1)$ -PG(2)	$ au_{12}$	1.50	1.62	1.75
6) (	$ au_{21}$	0.533	0.449	0.383
PG(1)-EG(2)	$ au_{12}$	1.39	1.51	1.62
<b>N</b>	$ au_{21}$	0.661	0.581	0.515

Activity coefficients of glycols at infinite dilution of aqueous solution of ethylene glycol, propylene glycols and butylene glycols were obtained experimentally by Suleiman and Eckert (1994). They used dew point technique in their experimental work. They informed that the new developed dew point technique used in their work is especially suitable to this type of system with very low relative volatility (e.g. < 0.5), where other methods are inapplicable. A one parameter Wilson equation was used to characterize the results and to estimate  $\gamma^{\infty}$  for water in the glycols. Partial molar excess enthalpies at infinite dilution were estimated from the temperature derivative of the limiting activity coefficients.

In the work of Suleiman and Eckert (1994), the limiting activity coefficients for 1,2-ethanediol (ethylene glycol), 1,2-propanediol (propylene glycol), 1,3propanediol, 1,2-butanediol, 1,3-butanediol, 1,4-butanediol (1,4-butylene glycol) and 2,3-butanediol in water were measured over a range of temperatures (297-348 K) as shown in Table 3.4. The result shown that the activity coefficients of glycols infinitely diluted in water trend to increase when molecular size is larger and each trend to increase when temperature is higher, not linear, depend on molecular structure also, as illustrated by Figure 3.1. However, they found that there is some disagreement of extrapolated data from other source with dew point data at higher temperature which is where this technique is less accurate, that is within experimental uncertainty. In their work, the disagreement in glycol-water system was shown as an uncertainty of the activity coefficients at infinite dilution as in the Figure 3.2 for ethylene glycol and Figure 3.3 for propylene glycol in water. The dependence of infinite dilution activity coefficients on temperature of other extrapolated data was not in accord with their data.

According to UNIQUAC and UNIFAC models, a combinatorial contribution accounts for size- and shape-effects and a residual contribution accounts for interactions among groups or molecules. Kikic et al. (1980) proposed the development of a new combinatorial part of UNIFAC and UNIQUAC models to improve predictions of the excess Gibbs energy of systems where the residual contribution may be expected to be zero (eg. mixtures of aliphatic hydrocarbons). They offered two modifications of the S-G combinatorial that much better predictions than the original version in the aliphatic hydrocarbon systems. Equation (3.1) is an example of modification. For strongly interacting systems, such as alcohol-paraffin and ketone-paraffin systems with contain strongly interacting groups as examples, the residual part is usually muck larger than the combinatorial part. The modified expression was found to have little effect on the overall correlation of such this type of system; in these cases one may use either the original or the new combinatorial. So this research has used the original one, different from the Lancia et al.(1996) work.

SOLUTE	T/K	$\gamma_1^{\infty}$	SOLUTE	T/K	$\gamma_1^{\infty}$
1,2-ethanediol	297.5	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.1	1,3-butanediol	299.1	2.2 <u>+</u> 0.2
	308.2	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.1		308.8	2.3 <u>+</u> 0.2
-	317.9	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.1		318.3	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.3
-	328.2	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.1		327.3	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.3
	338.1	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.1		338.1	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.3
	<mark>348.1</mark>	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.2		348.0	2.6 <u>+</u> 0.3
1,2-propanediol	296.8	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	1,4-butanediol	299.4	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.3
	<mark>308.3</mark>	1.1 <u>+</u> 0.1		309.1	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.3
	31 <mark>8</mark> .8	$1.2 \pm 0.1$		318.4	2.9 <u>+</u> 0.3
	328.5	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	1.5.0	326.8	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.3
0	337.9	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.2		337.6	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.3
6	348.3	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.2		349.9	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.4
1,3-propanediol	298.0	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	2,3-butanediol	299.0	1.6 <u>+</u> 0.2
	307.9	$1.2 \pm 0.2$		308.9	1.7 <u>+</u> 0.2
	318.7	1.7 <u>+</u> 0.2		318.5	1.9 <u>+</u> 0.2
ລຄ	328.7	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	มบรกา	327.4	2.1 <u>+</u> 0.2
	338.4	1.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	4	337.7	2.2 <u>+</u> 0.3
ิเฉพำล	347.5	1.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	หาวทย	347.4	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.3
1,2-butanediol	299.2	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.2			
	308.4	2.1 <u>+</u> 0.2			
	318.1	2.2 <u>+</u> 0.2			
	327.3	2.3 <u>+</u> 0.3			
	338.6	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.3			
	348.4	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.3			
1			1		

Table 3.4 Activity coefficients of diols (1) infinitely diluted in water (2),  $\gamma_1^{\infty}$ , obtained by Suleiman and Eckert (1994).





Figure 3.1 Comparison of  $\gamma^{\infty}$  values for various diols in water measured by dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994).



Figure 3.2 Comparision of  $\gamma^{\sigma}$  values for 1,2-ethanediol in water measured by dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994), (**u**), with values obtained by other VLE extrapolated sources, (**u**).



Figure 3.3 Comparision of y<sup>p</sup> values for 1,2-propanediol in water measured by dew point method researched by Suleiman and Eckert (1994), (II), with values obtained by other VLE extrapolated sources, (II).

## 3.2 Experiment and Calculation Routes

There are so many researches involving VLE experiment, and so many types of apparatus were used but in the same fundamental. Most experiments aim to receive equilibrium data, particular in the isothermal system, pressure, liquid phase mole fraction and vapor phase mole fraction at equilibrium is need to know. One of the wide-use apparatuses to get all equilibrium data above is the recirculating glass still designed by David Zudkevitch, a sketch of which is found in the papers of McNelis et al. (1989) and Mulins et al. (1989).

However there is a way to complete data by only know the pressure. The work of Rowley and Hoffman (1990), for example, used the total pressure (PTx) method, a fast efficient method, to obtain binary vapor-liquid equilibrium data for mixtures of triethylene glycol + n-hexane, triethylene glycol + cyclohexane, and furfural + isoprene. The PTx method eliminates difficulties associated with sampling and analysis common in Pxy and Txy methods. The measured pressures for each charge composition on an isotherm were reduced to equilibrium phase compositions using an equation of state, the Peng-Robinson equation for their work, to calculated vapor phase fugacity coefficients and a properties model, the NRTL model for theirs, for liquid fugacity coefficients. The equilibrium follows Equation (2.4) and the fugacity coefficients of vapor and liquid phase are following Equations (2.5) and (2.6) respectively. The yield of the VLE analysis equation was seemed the form of Equation (2.7), the easy form.

Rowley and Hoffman (1990) regressive calculated the both phase compositions by comparison of measured and calculated pressures, the simplified flow diagram of data reduction procedure is in Figure 3.4.

In this work, used the *PTx* method to obtain the vapor-liquid equilibrium data, only the pressure, and improved the data reduction procedure of Rowley and Hoffman (1990) for the studied system, using Virial (for polar component) EOS to calculated the vapor phase and Wilson or UNIQUAC model to calculated the liquid phase. In addition, liquid phase was sampled to confirm the calculated phase compositions.





Figure 3.4 Flow chart for VLE data reduction of Rowley and Hoffman (1990)

## **CHAPTER IV**

## **EXPERIMENT**

## 4.1 Chemicals

All chemicals were used directly as received from the manufacturer without further purification. The properties of source chemicals were displayed in Table 4.1.

Chemical	Ethylene glycol	1,4-Butane diol	Water
Formula	CH <sub>2</sub> OHCH <sub>2</sub> OH	$C_4H_{10}O_2$	H <sub>2</sub> O
Molecular weight	62.070	90.12	18.02
Assay (Purity)	min. 99.5% (GLC)	~99% (GC)	100%
Boiling point (°C)	198.0 (b.r. <u>+</u> 1.5)	120-122 (10 mmHg)	100
Melting point (°C)	3. 0. <u>(a.(.)</u> ))))))	19-21	0
Density (20°C/4°C)	1.109 <u>+</u> 0.003	1.014	1.00
Refraction index	1.4314	1.446	-
(20°C/D)	139320001045		
Supplier	Carlo Erba	Fluka	(double-
			distilled water)

Table 4.1Properties of Source Chemicals

#### 4.2 Experimental Apparatuses

4.2.1 Vapor Liquid Equilibrium Still

The equilibrium still used to obtain vapor-liquid equilibrium data is the recirculating glass still new designed by modifying from literature stills, a sketch of which is presented in Figure 4.1. The still is made of Pyrex glass.

4.2.2 Constant Temperature Oil Bath

The automatic temperature controller; FENWAL AR-L series, thermocouple of type Pt100 that have range -199.9 to  $850^{\circ}$ C of temperature, and a circulated oil bath with heat source from Cole-Parmer stirred-hotplate model 4658 were used to heat the equilibrium still with constant temperature.



Figure 4.1 Vapor liquid equilibrium still

#### 4.2.3 Vacuum Pump

Edwards vacuum pump model RV3 was connect to the VLE still to avail vacuum for the still before charging mixture.

#### 4.2.4 <u>Digital Thermometer</u>

The microcomputer digital thermometer, JENCO model 7001H, was used to read the system temperature in equilibrium still with an accuracy of 0.1 °C.

#### 4.2.5 <u>Refractometer</u>

The refractometer, Atoga\_1T, have scale range 1.30-1.70 of refractive index with constant temperature cooling water circulation was used to read the refractive index of solutions with an accuracy of 0.0001 in the temperature range of 26.6- $26.7^{\circ}$ C.

#### **4.3 Experimental Procedure**

This work used the *PTx* method (Rowley and Hoffman, 1990) to obtain the vapor-liquid equilibrium data, only the pressure, and improved the data reduction procedure of Rowley and Hoffman (1994) for the studied system, using Virial EOS to calculated the vapor phase and Wilson or UNIQUAC model to calculated the liquid phase. In addition, liquid phase was sampled to confirm the calculated phase compositions.

#### 4.3.1 <u>Calibration of the refractive index of mixture</u>

The refractometer was first accuracy adjusted, using double-distilled water with refractive index read of 1.33 at room temperature.

The refractive index was calibrated with gravimetrically prepared standard mixture for the binary system of 1,4-butylene glycol in water covering the entire composition range of interest consisting of 11 samples including each pure component.

The gravimetrically standard preparation was shown in Table C.1. The calibration results were in Table C.2 and were generated to calibration curve as Figure C.1.

#### 4.3.2 <u>Preparation of Charge Mixtures</u>

1,4-butylene glycol and double-distilled water were gravimetrically prepared in different ratio to obtain various charge mole fractions of 1,4-butylene glycol in water. Expectation of approximate compositions is 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 0.9 of mole fraction. An accuracy of gravimetrically measurement is 0.0001 g. The preparation is presented in Appendix D.

In addition, to consider for the equivalence of present experiment with literature experimental data researched by Lancia et al. (1996), ethylene glycol was prepared in the same way as of 1,4-butylene glycol for equilibrium experiment.

The gravitrically data of charge mixtures was in Appendix D.

#### 4.3.3 Procedure for Experimental Collecting of Equilibrium data

The equilibrium still was used to obtain the pressure at equilibrium in the systems of ethylene glycol-water and 1,4-butylene glycol-water at 98, 110, and 122<sup>o</sup>C of temperature by experiment.

The still was cleaned and vacuumed before charging individual mixture of various compositions. The mixture then was heated and stirred with a constant temperature oil bath and a magnetic stirrer placed below the still. Cooled water (about 10°C) was used as cold fluid for the condenser to prevent condensation of vapor in the cooler manometer arm. The oil bath was thermostatted with set point about 10°C above the isotherm system set point to maintain an appreciable boil-up rate and then adjusted until occurred the temperature of desired isotherm in the system as long enough to approach the equilibrium, for greater than 5 hours was the suggestion by Lancia et al. (1996). The system pressure was measure with U-tube mercury manometer. The phase compositions were next calculated from experimental temperature and pressure data with aid of computer programming by correlating with properties models. The interaction parameters of models and the activity coefficients of each system of glycols in water were also determined from experimental data with aid of computer programming.

In addition, to compare with the calculated liquid phase compositions of 1,4butylene glycol in water, the liquid phase was sampled from such a system.

#### 4.3.4 Finding Out of Experimental Liquid Phase Compositions

The liquid phase was sampled from the still by an addition device and then to find out the compositions, the liquid samples was measured for refractive index and estimated using the calibration curve.

## **CHAPTER V**

## **DATA REDUCTION**

The experimental data was correlated with UNIQUAC (original) and Wilson properties models and to evaluate the interaction parameters of each model, the back calculation method and some mathematical methods were used. This was succeeded by aid of computer programming. The computer programs were written in Qbasic. Flow charts and algorithms of programs were also presented future, in Figure 5.1 and the followings.

#### 5.1 Back Calculation Method

When experimental data on temperature, pressure and liquid phase composition of binary system are available, the parameters in UNIQUAC or Wilson properties model can be determined by back calculation.

The objective function used is

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{P_{cal}(i) - P_{exp}(i)}{P_{exp}(i)}$$
(5.1)

where N is number of experimental data points,  $P_{exp}$  is experimental pressure, and  $P_{cal}$  is calculated pressure from the model. The mathematical methods required are Newton-Raphson method and the region elimination method.

#### 5.2 Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton-Raphson method is widely used in solution of positive roots of models. The method is employed by which successive approximations to the solution a of an equation f(a) = 0 are calculated and solution is found when

$$|a_{n+1}-a_n| < \epsilon$$

for some suitable small value of  $\varepsilon$ .

#### 5.3 Region Elimination Method

To evaluate the interaction parameters  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ji}$  for UNIQUAC model, or the Wilson parameters  $\Lambda_{ij}$  and  $\Lambda_{ji}$  for Wilson equation, it needs the region elimination method for a two-dimensional search, the method such has capability to eliminate regions of which parameters range out of accurate value for remaining subinterval sufficiently small terminate.

The basic fundamental of the method is the comparison of values of f(a) at two or more points with in range of a with assumption of f(a) is unimodal and has a minimum within the interval.

In each dimension search, the range of parameters was divide into 20 sections and then the objective function of each section was calculated and compared to result decrement of parameter range containing minimum objective function value. New range was divided into 20 sections again and decreased again by the same route until a range of parameters was with in acceptable value.

#### 5.4 Computer Program

The research consists of 3 main programs:

#### 5.4.1 Program for Properties Models' Parameters Evaluating

The interaction parameters of each model were evaluated from PTx experimental data following the flow chart in Figure 5.1.

# 5.4.2 Program for Phase Compositions & Activity Coefficients Estimating by Correlated Models

VLE data such the vapor phase and the activity coefficient could be estimated by correlated models, used the parameters obtained by 5.4.1. The flow chart of the program was illustrated in Figure 5.2.

# 5.4.3 <u>Program for Liquid Phase Composition & Activity Coefficients</u> Estimating from Experimental Measured Pressures

Measured pressures for each charge composition on an isotherm were reduced to equilibrium phase compositions using the Virial EOS to calculate vapor phase fugacity, the right side of Equations (2.4) and (2.7), and either the UNIQUAC, Wilson or UNIFAC model to calculate liquid phase fugacity, the left side of those equations And then with the mole balance and mathematical methods, the phase compositions and the activity coefficients of the system was estimated as following as the flow chart in Figure 5.3.

Each main program written in Qbasic were presented in Appendix F. The vapor pressures and calculating parameters used in programs were kept in Appendix A. Flow charts of subprograms were also presented in this chapter.



# สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 5.1 Flow chart of main program for Properties Models' Parameters Evaluating.







Figure 5.3 Flow chart of main program for liquid phase composition & activity coefficients estimating from experimental measured pressures. Remark : The dash line only for using Virial equation need.



Figure 5.4 Flowchart of subprogram UNIQUAC.



Figure 5.5 Flowchart of subprogram Wilson.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## **CHAPTER VI**

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 6.1 Experimental VLE Results

The experimental VLE results obtained from the VLE still of the ethylene glycol and 1,4-butylene glycol in water are shown in Tables 6.1 and 6.2, respectively.

7,	$P_{exp}$ (kPa)					
2.1,app	98 °C	110 °C	122 °C			
0.3	47.5133	79.9634	140.6032			
0.5	39.6740	70.5669	69.7180			
0.7	23.3720	49.6754	39.4750			
0.9	14.0869	21.1037	23.7832			

Table 6.1 Experimental VLE results of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2)

 Table 6.2 Experimental VLE results of butylene glycol (1)-water (2)

7.	$P_{exp}$ (kPa)					
<i>4.1,app</i>	98 °C	110 °C	122 °C			
0.1	83.1730	108.6610	169.1953			
0.3	53.0190	81.8575	111.0171			
0.5	41.1994	66.8729	85.3092			
0.7	26.8870	62.9266	76.1910			
0.9	21.3928	37.8082	48.0590			

#### 6.2 Liquid Phase Compositions of Butylene Glycol-Water

The liquid phase samples in 1,4-butylene glycol-water VLE at various experimental conditions were measured via the refractive indexes to determine the mole fractions by using the calibration curve in Figure C.1. The calibrating correlation of refractive index and mixture mole fraction is

$$RI = -0.94238x^{6} + 3.31836x^{5} - 4.79834x^{4} + 3.75944x^{3} - 1.80298x^{2} + 0.57578x + 1.33042$$
(6.1)

The liquid phase mole fractions of butylene glycol,  $x_i$ , is obtained by solving in the Equation (6.1).

Table 6.3 Experimental mole fractions obtained from refractive index calibrating of liquid phase samples in butylene glycol (1)-water (2) VLE

Т	98 °C		110 °C		122 °C	
Z.1,app	RI	<i>x</i> <sub>exp</sub>	RI	X <sub>exp</sub>	RI	<i>x</i> <sub>exp</sub>
0.1	1.3825	0.1327	1.3795	0.1213	1.3792	0.1202
0.3	1.4176	0.3686	1.4200	0.3982	1.4131	0.3206
0.5	1.4 <mark>294</mark>	0.5587	1.4233	0.4447	1.4276	0.5199
0.7	1.4 <mark>347</mark>	0.7162	1.4280	0.5281	1.4320	0.6266
0.9	1.4357	0.7548	1.4339	0.6867	1.4337	0.6806

### 6.3 UNIQUAC Interaction Parameters

With the aid of computer programming as follow the Figure 5.1 with the UNIQUAC subprogram, the interaction parameters  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ji}$  of aqueous solutions of ethylene glycol (EG) and propylene glycol (PG) were obtained from correlating the UNIQUAC model with literature VLE data (*P*,*T*,*x*) of Lancia et al. (1996). For aqueous solution of 1,4-butylene glycol (BG), such parameters were obtained by experimental VLE data containing the liquid phase compositions. The parameters at experimental conditions are presented below.

Table 6.4UNIQUAC interaction parameters for the binary mixture of glycols (1) in<br/>water (2)

จฬาลง	กรถ	98°C	110 °C	122 °C
$EG(1) - H_2O(2)$	$ au_{12}$	1.5006	1.9483	2.3578
	$ au_{21}$	1.0236	0.7423	0.5008
PG (1) - H <sub>2</sub> O (2)	$ au_{12}$	1.7456	2.2303	2.5996
	$ au_{21}$	0.6697	0.4471	0.3133
BG (1) – H <sub>2</sub> O (2)	$ au_{12}$	2.3833	2.6999	3.2866
	$ au_{21}$	0.3863	0.3513	0.2981

The interaction parameters  $\tau_{12}$  and  $\tau_{21}$  are the temperature dependent parameters as shown in Figure 6.1A. In each system, the  $\tau_{12}$  increase when temperature is increased but the  $\tau_{21}$  decreased when temperature is increased. In small temperature range, both  $\tau_{12}$  and  $\tau_{21}$  are linear function of temperature. The interaction parameters also depend on molecular size of each kind of component. The Figure 6.1A also show that in aqueous mixture of glycol, the  $\tau_{12}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\tau_{21}$  decrease when molecular size of glycol is increased. It can be more explained by a plot between the parameter and molecular weight in Figure 6.1B. Trends of the parameter vs molecular weight curve seem to be non-linear even in the small temperature range.





Figure 6.1B UNIQUAC interaction parameter dependent on molecular weight of glycols.

#### 6.4 Wilson Parameters

Same as the  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_{ji}$ , the Wilson parameters  $\Lambda_{ij}$  and  $\Lambda_{ji}$  were also determined by help of computer program as follow the Figure 5.1 when used Wilson subprogram. The results are in Table 6.5. And the temperature dependent behaviours of Wilson parameters are also shown by Figure 6.2A. The figure shows that in each system, the  $\Lambda_{12}$  decrease when temperature is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when temperature is increased but the  $\Lambda_{12}$  and  $\Lambda_{21}$  are linear function of temperature. The Wilson parameters in aqueous mixture of glycol also depend on molecular size of glycol as show in the Figure 6.2A that the  $\Lambda_{12}$  decrease when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase when molecular size of glycol is increased but the similaries of the parameter  $\Lambda_{21}$  vs molecular weight curve seem to be non-linear even in the small temperature range.

		98°C	110 °C	122 °C
EG (1) - H <sub>2</sub> O (2)	$\Lambda_{12}$	0.8911	0.7761	0.6683
	$\Lambda_{21}$	1.3929	1.5833	1.7810
PG (1) - H <sub>2</sub> O (2)	$\Lambda_{12}$	0.6796	0.5727	0.4525
	$\Lambda_{21}$	1.5682	1.6730	1.8647
BG (1) - H <sub>2</sub> O (2)	$\Lambda_{12}$	0.5273	0.4049	0.3558
	$\Lambda_{21}$	1.9054	2.4100	3.2202

Table 6.5 Wilson parameters for the binary mixture of glycols (1) in water (2)



Figure 6.2A Wilson parameter as temperature dependent parameters.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 6.2B Wilson parameter dependence on molecular weight of glycols.

# 6.5 Comparison Between Experimental and Literature VLE Data of Ethylene Glycol-Water

Charge compositions and measured pressures from the VLE still of ethylene glycol-water system were used to calculate the liquid compositions and other properties by either UNIQUAC or Wilson model as in Tables F.1 to F.6. These were compared with the literature VLE data of the same system researched by Lancia et al (1996) to confirm that the experiment gave accurate data, related with the literature. The comparisons are shown in Figures 6.3 to 6.5 for 98° to 122°C of experimental temperatures. Figures show that the experimental results agree with those of the literature.





Figure 6.3 Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 98°C



Figure 6.4 Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 110°C



Figure 6.5 Comparison of experiment and literature VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 122°C

#### 6.6 Estimation by Virial EOS

The Virial Equation, Equation (2.15) was used to calculated the fugacity of vapor phase for regressive calculation of the both phase compositions as follow the flow chart in Figure 5.2. The second virial coefficients of mixture were calculated from that of pure components, shown in Table A.3, by Equation (2.14). The liquid phase fugacity coefficients that is written in term of the activity coefficients were calculated by properties models, UNIQUAC and Wilson which has correlated with VLE data. The results of using the Virial equation and the UNIQUAC model for the vapor phase of ethylene glycol –water system are following. These are next compared with using the Equation (2.8), with the assumption of  $\phi$  (fc)  $\approx$  1 at low pressure. With similar comparison, the results of propylene glycol and butylene glycol in water estimated by the Virial equation were similar to that of ethylene glycol.



Figure 6.6 VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 98°C by experiment and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation.

Figures showed that the Virial Equation provided data not accurate in dilute solution region of glycol in water, about less than 3.5 of mole fraction, and it over estimate vapor pressure of water at all three temperatures. Beside, for the case of the Wilson equation using replacing the UNIQUAC model, the results of Virial estimation are the same.



Figure 6.7 VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 110°C by experiment and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation.

The pressure under 1 atm. up to 1.5 atm. (about 0-150 kPa) was acceptable to be "low pressure", and the assumption of the vapor phase fugacity coefficient (fc)  $\phi \approx 1$  at low pressure was hold. Because of more accuracy along the compositions of using this assumption in vapor phase calculations as in the figures above, this work is desired to continue on this assumption in estimation of compositions and activity coefficients.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 6.8 VLE data of ethylene glycol (1)-water (2) at 122°C by experiment and estimation, effect of using the Virial equation.

# 6.7 Comparison of Experimental and Calculated Liquid Phase Composition of 1,4-Butylene Glycol-Water System

The calculated 2-phase compositions from the measured pressures in 1,4butylene glycol-water equilibrium using  $\phi \approx 1$  for vapor phase calculation and UNIQUAC or Wilson model for liquid phase calculation were shown in Tables F.10 to F.15. By comparison of the  $x_{cal}$  in above tables and the  $x_{exp}$  in Table 6.3, it is found that for the three temperature considered, the  $x_{cal}$  vs the  $x_{exp}$  give a "parity plot" as show in Figures 6.9 to 6.14. So the calculated liquid compositions,  $x_{cal}$ , and calculation with the aid of presented computer programming could be reliable. Because the liquid compositions calculated by using each model have slightly difference, this research use the experimental measured liquid copositions,  $x_{exp}$ , in result analysis.



Figure 6.9 Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 98°C



Figure 6.10 Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 110°C



Figure 6.11 Comparison between UNIQUAC calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 122°C



Figure 6.12 Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 98°C



Figure 6.13 Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 110°C



Figure 6.14 Comparison between Wilson calculated and experimental determined liquid phase molar fractions for1,4-butylene glycol (1)-water (2) mixture at 122°C

#### 6.8 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson Models Estimations

The results of computer programming calculation of the three studied glycol in water systems at all three temperatures were presented in Appendix F using entire three models; UNIFAC, UNIQUAC, and Wilson for liquid phase calculations. The results at one temperature are compared the three models estimations together in the Figures 6.15 to 6.17 for ethylene glycol, Figures 6.18 to 6.20 for propylene glycol, and Figures 6.21 to 6.23 for 1,4-butylene glycol in aqueous systems.

The UNIFAC method over estimated the VLE of glycols in water systems whereas the UNIQUAC and Wilson models with the correlated parameters in Tables 6.4 and 6.5, respectively, give good estimate for such the systems.

#### 6.9 Deviations of Models Estimations

The deviations of UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations from the experimental data are shown in Tables 6.6 and 6.7 respectively. Deviations are presented in %AAD (%average absolute deviation) defined as follows.

%AAD = 
$$\frac{100}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{P_{cal} - P_{exp}}{P_{exp}} \right|$$

 Table 6.6
 % Average absolute deviations of UNIQUAC model estimations

Temperature	98°C	110 °C	122 °C
EG-H <sub>2</sub> O	2.6579	3.5989	3.7960
PG-H <sub>2</sub> O	3.9657	3.3326	1.9890
BG-H <sub>2</sub> O	4.5983	3.7499	8.7003

 Table 6.7
 % Average absolute deviations of Wilson model estimations

Temperature	98°C	110 °C	122 °C
EG-H <sub>2</sub> O	2.7066	3.7787	5.7527
PG-H <sub>2</sub> O	4.2170	4.2312	1.9614
BG-H <sub>2</sub> O	4.8018	3.9269	10.2832


Figure 6.15 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 98°C



Figure 6.16 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 110°C



Figure 6.17 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of ethylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 122°C



Figure 6.18 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glyco[1)-water(2) system at 98°C



Figure 6.19 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glyc@1)l-water(2) system at 110°C



Figure 6.20 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of propylene glyco[1)-water(2) system at 122°C



Figure 6.21 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of 1,4-butylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 98°C



Figure 6.22 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models

estimations of 1,4-butylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 110°C



Figure 6.23 Comparison of UNIFAC, UNIQUAC and Wilson models estimations of 1,4-butylene glyco(1)-water(2) system at 122°C

When the parameters of each binary systems was determined, it can be used to predict pressure of each system by EOS and/or properties models. The %AAD is used in evaluating models as the objective function; the smaller the deviation, the better the model. As show in Table 6.6 and 6.7, the UNIQUAC model has %AAD in range of 1.9890-8.7003 where the Wilson model has the value in range of 1.9614-10.2832. Both give closely good estimated VLE data of glycols in water with slightly better estimation of UNIQUAC model.

#### 6.10 Activity Coefficients

The activity coefficients estimated by using UNIQUAC or Wilson models in each of the three systems were also shown in Appendix F. The activity coefficient is different in each system and depends on the mole fraction and temperature of one system. In the studied glycol-water systems, the dependence of UNIQUAC estimated activity coefficients on the mole fraction is presented by Figures 6.24, 6.25 and 6.26 for 98, 110 and 122°C of temperature respectively. The Figure 6.27 present the dependence on temperature of the activity coefficients of studied infinitely diluted glycols in water with the assumption of  $x_i = 1E-09$  for the infinite dilution of species *i*.

The first set of figures show that the activity coefficients of glycol trended to increase when the glycol mole fraction is increased.

The Figure 6.27 presents that the infinite dilution activity coefficients of glycol decreased when the system temperature is increased. Besides, the results inform that the infinite dilution activity coefficients of straight chain glycol decrease when the molecular size of is increased.

 Table 6.8
 UNIQUAC estimated infinite dilution activity coefficient of glycols in water

Temperature (°C)	Ethylene glycol	Propylene glycol	1,4-Butylene glycol
98	0.7856	1.1858	0.8419
110	0.5912	0.9472	0.4028
122	0.3474	0.9118	0.0988



Figure 6.24 UNIQUAC estimated activity coeffcients of glycol(1) in









Figure 6.26 UNIQUAC estimated activity coeffcients of glycol(1) in water (2) at 122°C



Figure 6.27 Infinite dilution activity coeffcients of glycols in water estimated by using UNIQUAC model

However, infinite dilution activity coefficient of branch chain is greater than that of straight chain glycol, not in agreement with the molecular size. The ethylene glycol and 1,4-butylene glycol have straight chain structures but the propylene glycol has branch structure. The molecular size (molecular weight) of ethylene glycol < propylene glycol < 1,4-butylene glycol.

Suleiman and Eckert (1994) suggested that this effect may be due to the interaction of component and tried to explain by using the normal boiling point of component in their results.

#### 6.11 Comparison with Literature Researches

The calculated results of  $\gamma^{\infty}$  of glycols in water by using  $\tau_{12}$  and  $\tau_{21}$  obtained by the work of Lancia et al. (1996) (Table 3.3) in the present UNIQUAC model are shown in Tables 6.9 and 6.10 for ethylene glycol and propylene glycol respectively. The results of glycols were then illustrated by Figures 6.28.

Table 6.9  $\gamma^{\infty}$  of water(1)-ethylene glycol(2) system calculated by using UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al. (1996)

Temperautre (C)	98	110	122
$\gamma_1^{\infty}$	1.7793	1.9464	2.0177
$\gamma_2^{\infty}$	2.3571	2.2068	1.9800

 UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al. (1996)

 Temperautre (C)
 98
 110
 122

  $\gamma_1^{\infty}$  1.6157
 1.7365
 1.8084

  $\gamma_2^{\infty}$  3.6741
 3.7468
 3.6319

 $\gamma^{\infty}$  of water(1)-propylene glycol(2) system calculated by using

Table 6.10



Figure 6.28 Calculated infinite dilution activity coeffcients of glycol in water by using UNIQUAC interaction in the work of Lancia et al (1996)

The Table 6.9 show that the  $\gamma^{\infty}$  of ethylene glycol decrease when the system temperature is increased, in agreement with the results in this work. These confirm that the present computer programming give reliable estimation.

#### 6.12 Correlation of the Activity Coefficient and Molecular Size

We have found from the Figure 6.27 that the infinite dilution activity coefficients of straight chain glycol decrease when the molecular size is increased and that value of branch chain glycol is greater than of the straight chain. These inform that the activity coefficient depends on molecular size and structure of glycol in aqueous solution. These two effects may be explained together by interaction of components, which can be presented by boiling point of component because the boiling point also depends on both of those two effects.

Table 6.11 shows the change of the infinite dilution activity coefficients by the effects found in the research.

The molecular weight is used to represent the molecular size effect to the infinite dilution activity. It can not explain those 2 effects presented in this work as shows in Figure 6.29. The correlation of the infinite dilution activity of glycol in water and molecular weight is also suggested in the figure. The effects of molecular size together with molecular structure on the the infinite dilution activity of glycol in water are present by the normal boiling point (n.b.p.), the boiling point at 1 atm. of pressure that near to the studying pressures, as show in Figure 6.30.

 Table 6.11
 Infinite dilution activity coefficient correlate to molecular weight and normal boiling point

	MW	n.b.p.	Infinite dilu	ution activity	coefficient	
		(°C)	98 C	110 C	122 C	
1,2-propylene glycol	76.095	189	1.1858	0.9472	0.9118	
Ethylene glycol	62.068	197.2	0.7856	0.5912	0.3474	
1,4-butylene glycol	90.122	230	0.8419	0.4028	0.0988	



Figure 6.29 Effect of molecular size on the the infinite dilution activity coefficient of glycol in water



Figure 6.30 Effects of molecular size and structure on the infinite dilution activity coefficient of glycol in water

Figures present that not only molecular size has effect on the infinite dilution activity coefficient  $\gamma^{\infty}$  but the molecular structure and may others else also. These also support the interaction of component has effect on the  $\gamma^{\infty}$ , the suggestion of Suleiman and Eckert (1994).



### **CHAPTER VII**

### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 7.1 Conclusions

The study of VLE for mixtures of glycols and water constitutes an interesting field of study in this research, both for theoretical and for applicative proposes. The interested glycols are ethylene glycol (ethanediol), propylene glycol (propanediol) and 1,4-butylene glycol (1,4-butanediol). The studied systems can use the assumption of  $\phi = 1$  for gas at low pressure. The UNIQUAC and Wilson models have been successfully applied to such the binary mixtures in the temperature range of 98-122°C. A satisfactory agreement has been found between models and experiment results. The binary parameters of each model can be evaluated from the VLE data, and then can be further used to predict properties of such the binary mixtures at other conditions of operation or to predict the equilibrium conditions for ternary mixtures containing the studied components.

Activity coefficients of each system were estimated by the correlated models. The values depended on temperature and composition (mole fraction) in each system. With in studied glycol-water systems, the activity coefficients were depended on molecular size and shape, as the literature (Suileiman and Eckert, 19894) found. The ethylene glycol and 1,4-butylene glycol have straight chain structures but the propylene glycol has branch structure. The molecular size (molecular weight) of ethylene glycol < propylene glycol < 1,4-butylene glycol. The research showed that the infinite dilution activity coefficients of glycols trended to decrease when the molecular size of the same structure was increased and the branch chain have the value of the infinite dilution activity coefficients greater than that of the straight chain.

However, in the small temperature range of study, the effect of molecular size of glycols in water can be more significantly presented by the parameters of both UNIQUAC and Wilson models. The molecular size of glycol increase,  $\tau_{12}$  increase but the  $\tau_{21}$  decrease whereas the  $\Lambda_{12}$  decrease but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase. Besides, the parameters were also depended on system temperature. When studied

temperature is increased, the  $\tau_{12}$  increase but the  $\tau_{21}$  decreased whereas the  $\Lambda_{12}$  decrease but the  $\Lambda_{21}$  increase.

#### 7.2 Recommendations for Future Studies

To eliminate the molecular structure effect on activity coefficients, it should study the 1,2-butylene glycol to learn only the molecular size effect.

To study the effect of molecular structure on activity coefficient, it should study the isomer of one molecular size, eg. comparison of 1,2- butylene glycol, 1,3- butylene glycol, 1,4- butylene glycol, and 2,3- butylene glycol etc.

To expand the VLE information to a wide range of conditions, future work may study at temperature out of range of 98-122°C.

Future work may correlate VLE data with UNIQUAC using the new developed combination part of UNIQUAC and UNIFAC as follow in the work of Lancia et al. (1996) for the liquid phase calculation, and compare accuracy of the model.

### REFERENCES

#### Thai

เกริกชัย สุกาญจนัจที่ <u>อุณหพลศาสตร์ สำหรับอุตสาหกรรมซีพีไอ</u>. กรุงเทพมหานคร. โรงพิมพ์ จุฬาลงกรณ์ มหาวิทยาลัย, 2538.

#### English

- Anderson, T. F., and Prausnitz, J. M. Application of the UNIQUAC equation to calculation of multicomponent phase equilibria 1. vapor-liquid equilibria. Ind. Eng. <u>Chem.Process Des.Dev</u>. 17 No. 4 (1978) : 552-561.
- Bennett, H. <u>Concise chemical and technical dictionary</u>. New York : Chemical Publishing, 1986.
- Daubert, T. E., and Danner, R. P. <u>Physical and thermodynamic properties of pure</u> <u>chemicals : data compilation</u>. Vol. 1. New York : Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1989a.
- Daubert, T. E., and Danner, R. P. <u>Physical and thermodynamic properties of pure</u> <u>chemicals : data compilation</u>. Vol. 2. New York : Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1989b.
- Daubert, T. E., and Danner, R. P. <u>Physical and thermodynamic properties of pure</u> <u>chemicals : data compilation</u>. Vol. 4. New York : Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, 1989c.
- Kikic, I., Alessi, P., Rasmussen, P., and Fedenslund, A. On the combinatorial part of the UNIFAC and UNIQUAC models. <u>The Canadian Journal of Chemical</u> <u>Engineering</u> 58 (April 1980) : 253-258.
- Kyle, B. G. <u>Chemical and process thermodynamics</u>. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. N. J. : Prentice-Hall, 1992.
- Lancia, A., Musmarra, D., and Pepe, F. Vapor-liquid equilibria for mixtures of ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and water between 98° and 122°C. <u>J. Chem.</u> <u>Eng. Jpn.</u> 29 No. 3 (1996) : 449-455.
- Lewis, R. J. <u>Hawley's condensed chemical dictionary</u>. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New York : John Wiley & Sons, 1997.
- Grant, J. Hackh's chemical dictionary. 4th ed. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1969.

- McNelis, J. C., Wileman, K. P., Poling, B. E., and Manley, D. B. Experimental vapor liquid equilibria for the benzene / propylmercaotan and methylcyclohexane / propylmercaptan systems. <u>AIChE J. Symp. Ser</u>. 85 No. 271 (1989) : 87-93.
- Mulins, S. R., Oehlert, L. A., Wileman, K. P., and Manley, D. B. Experimental vapor liquid equilibria for the methanol/dimethylsulfide, methanol/methyl tert-butyl ether, and n-hexane/n, n-diethylmethylamine systems. <u>AIChE J. Symp. Ser</u>. 85 No. 271 (1989) : 94-101.
- Nagahama, K., Suzuki, I., and Hirata, M. Estimation of Wilson Parameters. J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 4 No. 1 : 1-5.
- Parker, S. P. <u>McGraw-Hill encyclopedia of chemistry</u>. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. U.S.A. : McGraw-Hill, 1993.
- Perry, R.H., and Green, D. W. <u>Chemical engineers' handbook</u>. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1997.
- Prausnitz, J. M. et al. <u>Computer calculations for multicomponent vapor-liquid</u> <u>equilibria</u>. NJ : Prentice-Hall, 1967.
- Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Poling, B. E. <u>Properties of gases and liquids</u>. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Singapore : McGraw-Hill, 1988
- Rowley, R. L., and Hoffman, G. L. Vapor-liquid equilibrium measurements on mixtures important to industrial design triethylene glycol + n-hexane, triethylene glycol + cyclohexane, and furfural + isoprene. <u>AIChE J. Symp.</u> <u>Ser</u>. 86 No. 279 (1990) : 6-19.
- Smith, J. M., and Van Ness, H. C. <u>Introduction to chemical engineering</u> <u>thermodynamics</u>. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- Suleiman, D. and Eckert, C. A. Limiting activity coefficients of diols in water by a dew point technique. J. Chem. Eng. Data 39 No. 4 (1994) : 692-696.
- Van Ness, H. C., and Abbott, M. M. <u>Classical thermodynamics of nonelectrolyte</u> solutions with applications to phase equilibria. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1982.
- Yaws, C. L. Chemical properties handbook. New York : McGraw-Hill, 1999.

APPENDICES

### APPENDIX A

## **PROPERTIES OF PURE COMPONENTS**

Table A.1 Physical properties of pure components

Use						Polyesters, polyurethanes,	surface-active agents,	plasticizers, humectant,	couping agent, solvent, food	additive, flavoring.	Solvents, humectant,	intermediate for plasticizers,	pharmaceuticals, cross-linking	agent in polyurethane	elastomers, manufacture of	tetrahydrofuran, terephthalate	plastics.
	Other					sl.s.	in	ether			sl.s.	in	ether				
Solubility	Alcohol	s.				s.					s.						
	Water	s.				s.					s.						
sp.gr. or	Density	1.019				$1.0259^{20/4}$	$1.0059^{20/20}$				$1.020^{20/4}$						
b.p.	(°C)	192				207	55	21	A		230						
m.p.	(D°)					LL-	212	000			16						
MM		90.122		V		90.122		392		20	90.122						
Form and	Color	colorless	liquid.			colorless	viscous	liquid.			colorless	oily liquid.					
Formular		CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH-	(OH)CH <sub>2</sub> OH	٩	, ا۱	CH <sub>3</sub> CH(OH)-	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	И	٤J	ป	CH <sub>2</sub> OH-	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH		ן	j		
Other Names		$\alpha$ -butylene glycol;	1,2- or $\alpha$ -butanediol;	1,2-dihydroxy butane;	Ethyl-ethylene glycol;	β-butylene glycol;	1,3- or $\beta$ -butanediol;	1,3-dihydroxy butane;	α-methyl-trimethylene	glycol	γ-butylene glycol;	1,4- or $\gamma$ -butanediol;	1,4-dihydroxy butane;	Tetramethylene glycol	1	° (6	
Name		1,2-butylene glycol				1,3-butylene glycol					1,4-butylene glycol						

Nomo	Othor Nomoo	Econorioe	Form and	M W	m.p.	b.p.	sp.gr. or		Solubility		IIoo
Maille	Ould Maines	1 OLIMIAI	Color	·	(°C)	(°C)	Density	Water	Alcohol	Other	
2,3-butylene glycol	ψ- butylene glycol;	CH <sub>3</sub> CH(OH)-	colorless	90.122	23	182	$1.045^{20/20}$	s.	s.	s.	Resins, solvent for dyes,
	2,3- or $\psi$ -butanediol;	CH(OH)CH <sub>3</sub>	crystalline				$1.048^{0/4}$			in	intermediate, blending agent.
	2,3-dihydroxy butane;	តែ	or liquid.							ether	
	pseudobutylene glycol;	<b>1</b>	Ter I		-						
	dimethyl pinacol;	11							4		
	sym-dimethylethylene	ע שיי				/					
	glycol	น		CI INT	22						
Ethylene glycol	1,2-ethanediol; glycol;	CH <sub>2</sub> OHCH <sub>2</sub> -	colorless,	62.068	-13.5	197.2	$1.1176^{15/15}$	s.	s.	s.	Coolant and antifreeze, heat-
	ethylene alcohol;	НО	syrupy		20		1.1155 <sup>(20C)</sup>			'n	transfer agent, low-freezing
	glycol alcohol;	18	liquid;	97% 15.4	The second	3			Ų	ace-	dynamite, solvent, extractant,
	glycohol alcohol;	١	odorless;		A					tone	resin, lacquers, printing inks,
	dihydroxyethane;		sweet	9							adhesives, leather dyeing,
	monophenyl ether;	3	taste.						_		textile processing, cosmetics,
	phenoxetol	1						5			tobacco, foam stabilizer, etc.
1,2-propylene glycol	"propylene glycol";	CH <sub>2</sub> OHCHOH	colorless,	76.095	-60	189	$1.0381^{20/20}$	s.	s.	s.	Antifreeze, solvent, perfumes,
	1,2-propanediol;	-CH <sub>3</sub>	viscous				$1.040^{(15C)}$			in	colors, coolant in refrigerator,
	1,2-dihydroxy propane;		liquid;							many	plasticizers, hydraulic fluids,
	methyl glycol;		odorless;							org.	emulsifier, preservative,
	methylene glycol		test.less.							solv.	suntan lotion, pharmaceuticals,
											break fluids, tobacco, etc.

		1				1									1
1 I se		Intermediate, especially for	polyesters.			Suspending agent, solvent,	diluent, industial coolant,	filtration, washing & scouring,	mining, hydrolysis, hydraulic	systems, power source, steam	generation, food industry,	source of hydrogen by	electrolysis & thermochemical	decomposition, etc.	
	Other	s.	in	ether		s.	in	many	org.	solv.					
Solubility	Alcohol	s.				s.									
	Water	s.				s.				Ĩ					
sp.gr. or	Density	$1.0537^{(25C)}$				$1.00^{(4C)}$			3	i i i					
b.p.	°C)	210				100	//	/	2	6	3				
m.p.	(°C)				1	0		2	12		N N				
ΜM		76.095				18.015					150		9		
Form and	Color	colorless,	viscous	liquid;	oderless.	colorless	liquid;	odorless;	test.less.						
Formular		HOCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> -	CH <sub>2</sub> OH	16		$H_2O$	و ا	น	ĵ	9	18		U	ริ	า
Other Names		1,3-propanediol;	trimethylene glycol			1									V
Name		1,3-propylene glycol				Water									

Abbreviation :b.p.boiling pointm.p.melting pointm.y.Molecular weightM.W.Molecular weightorg. solv.organic solvents.solublesp.gr.specific gravitysl.s.slightly soluble

Properties	1,3-Butylene gly	ycol 1,4-Butylene glyco	1 2,3-Butylene glycol	Ethylene glycol	1,2-Proplene glycol	1,3-Propylene glycol	Water
Critical properties	M						
'Temperature, $T_c$ (K)	643.00	667.00	611.00	645.00	626.00	658.00	647.13
'Pressure, $P_c$ (bar)	50.00	48.80	51.30	75.30	61.00	59.20	220.55
'Volume, $V_c$ (cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)	292.0	297.0	267.0	0.191.0	239.0	217.0	56.0
'Compresibility factor, $Z_c$ (-)	0.273	0.261	0.270	0.268	0.280	0.235	0.229
Acentric factor, $\omega$ (-)	1.146	1.189	1.106	1.137	1.107	1.152	0.345
Solubility parameter (J/cm <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>0.5</sup>	26.974	27.958	25.95	19.493	29.516	31.173	47.813
Liquid volume (at $25^{\circ}$ C) (cm <sup>3</sup> /mol)	89.913	88.987	90.63	65.634	73.694	72.364	18.069
Herry's Law constant for compound	d in water (H <sub>i</sub> - at	tm/mole fraction) $[K_i =$	$H_i/P_t \ ; \ y_i = K_i x_i]$				
Temperature (°C)	2	20.0	-	25.0	T	-	I
H@T (atm/mole fraction)	7	2.8544E-05		1.0506E-04	T		ı
H@T (atm/mol/m <sup>3</sup> )	<b>]</b>	5.1378E-10		1.8911E-09	-		ı
Dipole moment (Debye)	A	(liquid) 3.93	2.10 (in benzene)	2.31 (in dioxane)	3.63 (liquid)	2.52 (in dioxane)	1.85 (gas)
critical density, g/cm <sup>3</sup> $\rho_c = MW/V_c$ critical compressibility factor $z$ : acentric factor $= \frac{3}{7} \frac{T_B/T_c}{1 - T_B/T_c}$ (log	= P <sub>c</sub> V <sub>c</sub> /RT <sub>c</sub> g P <sub>C</sub> ) - 1	15					73

Table A.2 Chemical and thermodynamic properties of pure components

Properties	1,3-Butylene glyc	ol 1,4-Butylene glycol	2,3-Butylene glycol	Ethylene glycol	1,2-Proplene glycol	1,3-Propylene glycol	Water
Liquid viscosity	W						
# regression coefficients for	the correlation : log <sub>10</sub>	$\eta_{liq} = A + B/T + CT + D$	$T^2$ ( $\eta_{liq}$ - centipoise, <sup>7</sup>	Γ-K)			
temperature range	293 - 643	293 - 667	281 - 611	261 - 645	233 - 626	246 - 658	273 - 643
А	-24.0994	-14.3559	-6.0949	-16.9780	-29.4920	-7.9787	-10.2158
В	4.6841E+03	3.1423E+03	2.1560E+03	3.1886E+03	5.2456E+03	1.9800E+03	1.7925E+03
C	4.4009E-02	2.4253E-02	2.8597E-03	3.2537E-02	5.8169E-02	1.1850E-02	1.7730E-02
D	-3.0862E-05	-1.7544E-05	-1.0083E-06	-2.4480E-05	-4.2343E-05	-9.3205E-06	-1.2631E-05
$\eta_{liq} @~25^\circ C$	97.500	71.600	79.314	17.645	47.962	23.270	0.911
$\eta_{liq} \circledast T_{max}$	0.053	0.053	0.064	0.059	0.051	0.062	0.056
Density of liquid (saturated liq	uid density, g/ml)	J٩	ARA				
<sup>#</sup> regression coefficients for	the correlation : densi	$ity = AB^{-(1-T/T_{C})^{\Lambda}n}  (T-K)$					
temperature range	196.15 - 643.00	293.05 - 667.00	280.75 - 611.00	260.15 - 645.00	213.15 - 626.00	246.45 - 658.00	273.16 - 647.13
A	0.32441	0.31558	0.33718	0.32503	0.31839	0.32832	0.34710
В	0.25980	0.25131	0.26990	0.25499	0.26106	0.25080	0.27400
п	0.28570	0.28570	0.28600	0.17200	0.20459	0.28570	0.28571
density @ 25°C	1.002	1.013	0.994	1.110	1.033	1.052	1.027
	2						

Table A.2 Chemical and thermodynamic properties of pure component(continue)

Properties	1,3-Butylene glycol	1,4-Butylene glycol	2,3-Butylene glycol	Ethylene glycol	1,2-Proplene glycol	1,3-Propylene glycol	Water
apor pressure	N						
# regression coefficients for	the correlation : log <sub>10</sub> P	$A = A + B/T + C \log_{10} T + C$	+ $DT + ET^2$ (P-mmH	Ig, T-K) [Antoine-	type equation]		
temperature range	196.15 - 643.00	293.05 - 667.00	280.75 -611.00	260.15 - 645.00	213.15 - 626.00	246.45 - 658.00	273.16 - 647.13
A	109.4540	22.4549	46.6247	82.4062	90.2930	27.4723	29.8605
В	-7.4377E+03	-4.2023E+03	-4.7864E+03	-6.3472E+03	-6.6968E+03	-4.0200E+03	-3.1522E+03
C	-3.6627E+01	-4.2015E+00	-1.2792E+01	-2.5433E+01	-2.8109E+01	-6.2839E+00	-7.3037E+00
D	1.4845E-02	-7.4539E-10	-8.5522E-10	-2.3732E-09	-1.3326E-10	-6.7098E-10	2.4247E-09
Щ	-7.6634E-14	6.1761E-07	3.8460E-06	8.7467E-06	9.3651E-06	2.2952E-06	1.8090E-06
# regression coefficients fo	r the correlation : $P = ex$	$p \left[ A + B/T + C \ln T + I \right]$	DT <sup>E</sup> ] (P-Pascal, T-K	()			
temperature range	196.15 - 643.00	293.05 - 667.00		260.15 - 645.00	213.15 - 626.00	246.45 - 658.00	273.16 - 647.13
error	< 10%	< 10%		< 10%	< 10%	< 10%	< 10%
А	2.5692E+02	5.6597E+01		1.9464E+02	2.1280E+02	6.8150E+01	7.3649E+01
В	-1.7126E+04	-9.6762E+03		-1.4615E+04	-1.5420E+04	-9.2565E+03	-7.2582E+03
U	-3.6627E+01	-4.2015E+00		-2.5433E+01	-2.8108E+01	-6.2839E+00	-7.3037E+00
D	3.4182E-02	1.4221E-06		2.0140E-05	2.1564E-05	5.2749E-06	4.1653E-06
Щ	1.0000E+00	2.0000E+00		2.0000E+00	2.0000E+00	2.0000E+00	2.0000E+00

omponent(continue)
pure c
of
properties
'namic
thermody
d
an
al
nic
Chen
0
A
able

Water			323.64 - 3236.40	< 25%	-2.3040E-02	2.6860E+01	-2.8170E+07	3.3408E+20	-1.3837E+23	
1,3-Propylene glycol			330.00 - 3290.00	< 25%	-8.2189E-02	2.7156E+02	-1.8867E+08	6.8989E+21	-2.9538E+24	
1,2-Proplene glycol			313.00 - 3123.00	< 25%	-7.6351E-02	2.5985E+02	-1.6097E+08	4.2654E+21	-1.7792E+24	
Ethylene glycol			323.00 - 3223.00	< 25%	-1.1361E-01	3.1111E+02	-1.6684E+08	5.9180E+21	-2.4740E+24	
1,4-Butylene glycol 2,3-Butylene glycol		$+ B/T + C/T^3 + D/T^8 + E/T^9$ (T-K)	333.00 - 3333.00	< 25%	-5.0576E-02	2.3069E+02	-2.0923E+08	7.6049E+21	-3.3116E+24	
1,3-Butylene glycol	(lot	he correlation : $B_{ij} = A$ .	322.00 - 3212.00	< 25%	-4.4903E-02	2.2210E+02	-1.8513E+08	5.2158E+21	-2.2488E+24	n
Properties	Second Virial coefficient (m <sup>3</sup> /kr	## regression coefficients for t	temperature range	error	А	В	C	D	ш	

Table A.2 Chemical and thermodynamic properties of pure component(continue)

าวิทยาลั

# Daubert and Danner (1989)

<sup>#</sup> Yaws (1999)

Properties	1,3-Butylene glyco	l 1,4-Butylene glycol	2,3-Butylene glycol	Ethylene glycol	1,2-Proplene glycol	.3-Propylene glycol	Water
Liquid viscosity <sup>#</sup> correlation :	$log_{10} \ \eta_{liq} = A + B/T$	+ $CT + DT^2$ ( $\eta_{liq}$ - cent	ipoise, T-K)				
At 98°C (371.15 K)	4.015	4.957	4.331	2.075	2.500	2.953	0.285
At 110°C (383.15 K)	2.866	3.650	3.019	1.648	1.862	2.296	0.252
At 122°C (395.15 K)	2.118	2.757	2.157	1.336	1.435	1.817	0.226
Density of liquid (saturated liquid	density, g/ml) <sup>#</sup> coi	relation : density = AF	3 <sup>-(1-T/Tc)^n</sup> (T-K)				
At 98°C (371.15 K)	0.931	0.943	0.919	1.057	0.973	0.978	0.958
At 110°C (383.15 K)	0.918	0.931	0.905	1.048	0.963	0.965	0.945
At 122°C (395.15 K)	0.906	0.919	0.892	1.038	0.952	0.952	0.933
Vapor pressure <sup>#</sup> correlation :	$\log_{10} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{C}$	$\log_{10} T + DT + ET^2$ (1)	P-mmHg, T-K) [Anto	oine-type equation]			
At 98°C (371.15 K)	6.4451E+00	2.6402E+00	2.4473E+01	1.4397E+01	2.0522E+01	6.4636E+00	7.0699E+02
At 110°C (383.15 K)	1.2848E+01	5.2939E+00	4.4732E+01	2.6399E+01	3.7467E+01	1.2124E+01	1.0736E+03
At 122°C (395.15 K)	2.4318E+01	1.0147E+01	7.8448E+01	4.6316E+01	6.5378E+01	2.1854E+01	1.5841E+03
## correlation :	$\mathbf{P} = \exp\left[ \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{T} + \right]$	$C \ln T + DT^{E}$ ] (P-Pas	scal, T-K)				
At 98°C (371.15 K)	8.5923E+02	3.5192E+02		1.9192E+03	2.7517E+03	8.6024E+02	9.4248E+04
At 110°C (383.15 K)	1.7129E+03	7.0565E+02		3.5191E+03	5.0239E+03	1.6134E+03	1.4312E+05
At 122°C (395.15 K)	3.2420E+03	1.3526E+03		6.1741E+03	8.7668E+03	2.9081E+03	2.1117E+05
	2						

Table A.3 Temperature depending properties of pure components at studied temperatures

Second Virial coefficient ( $n^3/km0$ )       ** correlation: $B_{\mu} = A + B/T + CT^3 + DT^8 + ET^6$ (T-K)         A1 98°C (371.15 K)       -5.4092E+00       -7.1807E+00       -3.9230E+00       -5.9335E+00       -6.0923E         A1 10°C (383.15 K)       -4.1654E+00       -5.4035E+00       -5.4035E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.9335E+00       -5.1201E-         A1 110°C (383.15 K)       -3.1282E+00       -5.4035E+00       -3.4335E+00       -3.0743E+00       -3.4724E+00       -3.1201E-         A1 122°C (395.15 K)       -3.328E+00       -3.4238E+00       -3.4238E+00       -3.4237E+00       -3.4237E+00       -3.4222E+00       -4.4734E+00       -3.4237E+00       -4.4734E+00       -3.4237E+00       -3.4237E+00       -3.4237E+00       -4.4734E+00       -3.4237E+00       -4.4370E       -4.3870E       -4.3870E       -4.3870E       -4.4374E+00       -4.4374E+00       -4.4374E+00       -4.4374E+00 <th>Properties</th> <th>1,3-Butylene glyco</th> <th>ol 1,4-Butylene glycol 2,3-Butylene glycol</th> <th>Ethylene glycol</th> <th>1,2-Proplene glycol</th> <th>1,3-Propylene glycol</th> <th>Water</th>	Properties	1,3-Butylene glyco	ol 1,4-Butylene glycol 2,3-Butylene glycol	Ethylene glycol	1,2-Proplene glycol	1,3-Propylene glycol	Water
At 98*C (371.15 K)       -5.4092E+00       -7.1807E+00       -7.1807E+00       -5.933E+00       -5.033E+00       -6.023E-         At 110°C (383.15 K)       -4.1634E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -4.4724E+00       -5.101E-         At 110°C (383.15 K)       -3.323E+00       -5.4033E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -4.4724E+00       -4.4724E+00       -4.4724E+00       -4.3870E-         At 112°C (395.15 K)       -3.323E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.4370E-       -4.4724E+00       -4.4724E+00       -4.4724E+00       -4.4370E-         At 112°C (395.15 K)       -3.323E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.423E+00       -3.4232E+00       -3.4232E+00       -3.4232E+00       -3.4232E+00       -3.4232E+00       -3.4370E-	Second Virial coefficient (m <sup>3</sup> /kmol)	() <i>##</i> correlation :	$B_{ij} = A + B/T + C/T^3 + D/T^8 + E/T^9  (T\text{-}K)$				
At 110°C (383.15 K)       -4.1634E+00       -5.4035E+00       -3.043E+00       -3.043E+00       -5.1201E-         At 122°C (395.15 K)       -3.2828E+00       -3.0145E+00       -2.4724E+00       -5.1201E-         At 122°C (395.15 K)       -3.2828E+00       -2.6072E+00       -2.4267E+00       -5.1201E-         At 122°C (395.15 K)       -3.222E+00       -3.422E+00       -3.4222E+00       -4.3870E-	At 98°C (371.15 K)	-5.4092E+00	-7.1807E+00	-4.6153E+00	-3.9920E+00	-5.9835E+00	-6.0923E-01
A1122°C (395.15 K) -3.2828E+00 -4.1628E+00 -2.4367E+00 -3.4222E+00 -4.3870E-	At 110°C (383.15 K)	-4.1634E+00	-5.4035E+00	-3.4283E+00	-3.0743E+00	-4.4724E+00	-5.1201E-01
งันวิทยบริกา กรณ์มหาวิทย	At 122°C (395.15 K)	-3.2828E+00	-4.1628E+00	-2.6072E+00	-2.4267E+00	-3.4222E+00	-4.3870E-01

Table A.3 Temperature depending properties of pure components at studied temperature (continue)

### **APPENDIX B**

# **UNIQUAC & UNIFAC GROUP PARAMETERS**

parameters
l surface area
volume and
Group
Table B.1

Group number and name

Rk Qk Sample group assignment

	$CH_2$	Alkane group				
	Subgrc	:sdnc				
	1A	CH <sub>3</sub>	end group of hydrocarbon chain	0.0011	0.848	Ethane: 2CH <sub>3</sub>
	1B	$CH_2$	middle group in hydrocarbon chair	0.6744	0.340	n-butane: 2CH <sub>3</sub> , 2CH <sub>2</sub>
	1C	CH	middle group in hydrocarbon chair	0.4469	0.228	iso-butane: 3CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CH
0	C=C		olefin group, $\alpha$ -olefin only	1.3454	1.176	$\alpha$ -butene: 1C=C, 1CH <sub>2</sub> , 1CH <sub>3</sub>
З	ACH		aromatic carbon group	0.5313	0.400	Benzene: 6ACH
4	ACCH	5	aromatic carbon -alkane group			
	Subgrc	sdnc:				
	4A	ACCH <sub>2</sub>	general case	1.0396	0.660	Ethylbenzene: 5ACH, 1ACCH <sub>2</sub> , 1CH <sub>3</sub>
	4B	ACCH <sub>3</sub>	toluene group	1.2663	0.968	Toluene:5ACH, 1ACCH <sub>3</sub>
S	СОН	alcohol group, includes r	nearest CH <sub>2</sub>			
	Subgrc	:sdno				
	5A	СОН	general case	1.2044	1.124	Ethanol: 1CH <sub>3</sub> , 1COH
	5B	MCOH	methanol	1.4311	1.432	Methanol: 1MCOH
	5C	СНОН	secondary alcohol	0.9769	0.812	Isopropanol: 2CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CHOH
9	$\rm H_2O$	water		0.9200	1.400	Water: IH2O
2	ACOH	l aromatic carbon-alcohol	group	0.8952	0.680	Phenol: 5ACH 1ACOH
$\infty$	CO	cabonyl group		0.7713	0.640	Acetone: 2CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CO
6	CHO	aldehyde group		0.9980	0.948	Propionaldehyde: 1CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CH <sub>2</sub> , 1CHO

(tinue)																				
urface area parameters (con				up,includes nearest CH <sub>2</sub>		general case	methylamine	roup	iine group	es nearest CH <sub>2</sub>		acetonitrile	general case			Cl on end carbon	Cl on middle carbon	d group only	oride group	
Group volume and su	r and name	ester group	ether group	primary amine grou	sdnc	CNH <sub>2</sub>	MCNH <sub>2</sub>	secondary amine g	l <sub>2</sub> aromatic carbon-am	nitrile group, include	sdnc	MCCN	CCN	chloride group	:sdnc	CI-1	CI-2	dichloride group, en	aromatic carbon-chl	
B.1 (	numbe	C00	0	$CNH_2$	Subgro	12A	12B	ΗN	ACNH	CCN	Subgro	15A	15B	CI	Subgro	16A	16B	CHCl <sub>2</sub>	ACCI	
Table	Group	10	11	12				13	14	15				16				17	18	

Sample group assignment	Methyl acetate: 2CH3, ICOO	Diethyl either: 2CH <sub>3</sub> , 2CH <sub>2</sub> , 10	
Qk	0.880	0.240	
Rk	1.0020	0.2439	

n-propylamine: 1CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CH <sub>2</sub> , 1CNH <sub>2</sub>	Methylamine: 1MCNH <sub>3</sub>	Diethylamine: 2CH <sub>3</sub> , 2CH <sub>2</sub> , 1NH	Aniline: 5ACH, 1ACNH <sub>2</sub>	Acetonitrile: 1MCCN Propionitrile: 1CCN, 1CH <sub>3</sub>
1.236	1.544	0.396	0.816	1.724 1.416
1.3692	1.5959	0.5326	1.0600	1.8701 1.6434

1,2 dichloroethane: $2CH_2$ , $2CI-1$	1,2,3 trichloropropane: 2CH <sub>2</sub> , 1CH, 2CI-1, 2CI-2	1,1 dichloroethane: 1CH <sub>3</sub> , 1CHCl <sub>2</sub>	Chlorobenzene: 5ACH, 1ACCI
0.720	0.728	1.684	0.344
0.766	0.8069	2.0672	1.1562

## APPENDIX C

# **REFRACTIVE INDEX CALIBRATION** OF 1,4-BUTYLENE GLYCOL IN WATER

СНО	685.90	x	x	x	480.00	234.50	Х	-49.24	0.00	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	6
CO	1565.00	1400.00	851.10	3000.00	462.30	470.80	х	0.00	39.47	333.60	-39.81	x	X	x	447.70	62.00	37.63	х	8
ACOH	1860.00	x	1310.00	740.00	x	462.60	0.00	x	X	-254.10	X	X	x	х	х	х	Х	Х	7
H2O	1452.00	578.30	360.70	3000.00	-320.80	0.00	-558.20	-532.60	-226.40	X	Х	-527.70	-882.70	236.80	227.00	618.20	467.00	1472.00	9
СОН	931.20	943.30	705.90	856.20	0.00	287.50	x	-106.50	3000.00	167.50	-13.44	-109.80	-700.00	Х	337.90	357.00	Х	586.30	5
ACCH2	26.78	1490.00	167.00	0.00	92.61	385.00	3000.00	75.00	X	3000.00	3000.00	Х	Х	3000.00	-150.00	52.69	Х	х	4
ACH	32.08	651.60	0.00	-146.80	83.50	361.50	3000.00	101.80	Х	325.50	-75.50	-38.64	37.94	3000.00	-88.44	-90.43	Х	1000.00	ю
C=C	-200.00	0.00	-144.30	-309.20	254.20	485.40	x	3000.00	х	х	х	90.37	8.92	Х	43.03	242.10	-72.88	x	5
CH2	0.00	2520.00	15.26	-15.84	169.70	657.70	3000.00	3000.00	343.20	348.00	2160.00	-16.74	3000.00	3000.00	27.31	-119.60	31.06	121.10	-
u/u	CH2	C=C	ACH	ACCH2	COH	H20	ACOH	CO	CHO	C00	0	<b>CNH2</b>	HN	ACNH2	CCN	CI	CHC12	ACCI	

Table B.2 Group interaction parameters,  $a_{nn}{}^{\circ}K$ 

ACCI		194.20	х	06.66-	X	69.97	190.60	X	X	x	X	x	-10	-60	3000	25	Х	Х	0.00	18
CHCID		60.45	259.50	Х	Х	х	247.20	X	874.50	X	X	Х	Х	Х	x	X	-308.50	0.00	х	17
Ę	5	523.20	253.80	124.00	33.84	194.60	158.40	X	628.00	X	X	X	X	Х	х	-100.00	0.00	790.00	х	16
NUC		601.60	691.30	290.10	3000.00	79.85	118.50	x	-307.40	X	X	X	X	Х	x	0.00	100.00	Х	3000.00	15
ACNH7		1330.00	X	680.00	640.00	X	-314.60	x	x	x	X	Х	Х	Х	0.00	Х	Х	Х	1100.00	14
HN		800.00	515.20	487.20	Х	3000.00	743.80	x	x	Х	X	Х	Х	0.00	X	x	Х	Х	3000.00	13
CNH2		422.10	349.90	179.70	x	-166.80	385.30	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	0.00	Х	X	x	Х	Х	3000.00	12
C	6	472.60	x	37.24	680.00	-204.60	x	X	475.50	х	-26.15	0.00	x	X	Х	x	x	x	×	11
		687.50	x	159.10	110.00	174.30	x	-470.20	-180.10	x	0.00	-290.00	x	X	Х	X	x	X	×	10
	*/ 1	CH2	C=C	ACH	ACCH2	СОН	H20	ACOH	CO	СНО	C00	0	CNH2	HN	ACNH2	CCN	CI	CHC12	ACCI	

Table B.2 Group interaction parameters,  $a_{mn}$  <sup>o</sup>K (continue)

Mass of H <sub>2</sub> O	Mass (g) of supply	Mass (g) of pure	Mole fraction
(g)	1,4-butylene glycol	1,4-butylene glycol	(z)
5.5140	3.0950	3.0641	0.1000
3.5654	4.4371	4.3927	0.1976
2.5054	5.4188	5.3646	0.2997
1.8537	6.0808	6.0200	0.3936
4.2672	21.2449	21.0325	0.4963
1.5238	11.3661	11.2524	0.5961
0.7436	8.2630	8.1804	0.6874
0.4521	8.0799	7.9991	0.7796
0.2031	9.1002	9.0092	0.8987

 Table C.1
 Gravimetrically standard preparation of 1-4, butylene glycol in aqueous solution

 Table C.2
 Calibration results of refractive index of 1,4-butahylene glycol in aqueou solution

	Mole fraction (x)	Refractive index (RI)	
	0.0000	1.3304	
	0.1000	1.3734	
	0.1976	1.3962	
	0.2997	1.4110	
301	0.3936	1.4198	
6161	0.4963	1.4262	
	0.5961	1.4308	
าลง	0.6874	1.4342	
	0.7796	1.4362	
	0.8987	1.4393	
	1.0000	1.4403	



Figure C.1 Refractive index calibration curve of 1,4-buthylene glycol in water



Figure C.2 Refractometer

## APPENDIX D

# GRAVIMETRICALLY PREPARATION OF CHARGE MIXTURES

Mole fraction	(z)	0.2832	0.4835	0.6843	0.8579	
Mass (g) of pure	ethylene glycol	14.4988	19.2642	22.3059	14.4988	
Mass (g) of supply	ethylene glycol	14.5717	19.3610	22.4180	14.5717	
Mass of H <sub>2</sub> O	(g)	10.6534	5.9739	2.9869	0.6970	
Approximated	mole fraction $(z_{app})$	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.9	

Table D.1 Gravimetrically charge preparation of ethylene glycol in water

 Table D.2
 Gravimetrically charge preparation of 1-4, butylene glycol in was

	Mole fraction	(z)	0.0999	0.3001	0.4928	0.7001	0.9006
lycol in water	Mass (g) of pure	1,4-butylene glycol	12.5374	24.0863	28.7786	32.7189	34.7701
ation of 1-4, butylene g	Mass (g) of supply	1,4-butylene glycol	12.6640	24.3296	29.0693	33.0494	35.1213
rically charge prepara	Mass of H <sub>2</sub> O	(g)	22.5693	11.2273	5.9215	2.8020	0.7668
I able D.2 Gravimer	Approximated	mole fraction $(z_{app})$	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.9

ລັຍ

## APPENDIX E

# **COMPUTER PROGRAMS**

### E.1 Program for UNIQUAC Interaction Parameters Evaluating

DECLARE SUB uniquac (acc!(), ncom%, x!(), comconst() AS ANY, comvalue!(), T!()) DECLARE FUNCTION rconst! (k\$) DECLARE FUNCTION qconst! (k\$) TYPE comgroup group AS STRING \* 3 number AS INTEGER

END TYPE

CLS

ncomponent% = 2

r = 8.314

DIM acc(ncomponent%) AS SINGLE

DIM comvalue(ncomponent%)

DIM T(ncomponent%, ncomponent%) AS SINGLE

DIM comconst(ncomponent%, 26) AS comgroup

DIM Pcal(20), O(ncomponent%) AS DOUBLE

'Select system

PRINT " Select System"

PRINT " 1. 1,2-EthyleneGlycol-Water"

PRINT " 2. 1,3-PropyleneGlycol-Water"

PRINT " 3. 1,4-ButyleneGlycol-Water"

INPUT " Type System (1,2,3) : ", sysno SELECT CASE sysno

CASE 1

```
seca(1) = -.11361

secb(1) = 311.11

secc(1) = -1.6684E+08

secd(1) = 5.918E+21

sece(1) = -2.474E+24
```

```
vpa(1) = 194.96
vpb(1) = -14615
vpc(1) = -25.433
vpd(1) = 2.014E-05
vpe(1) = 2
```
comvalue(1) = 1
comconst(1, 1).group = "05A"
comconst(1, 1).number = 2

#### CASE 2

seca(1) = -.076351 secb(1) = 259.85 secc(1) = -1.6097E+08 secd(1) = 4.2654E+21sece(1) = -1.7792E+24

vpa(1) = 212.8 vpb(1) = -15420! vpc(1) = -28.109 vpd(1) = 2.1564E-05 vpe(1) = 2

```
comvalue(1) = 3
comconst(1, 1).group = "01A"
comconst(1, 1).number = 1
comconst(1, 2).group = "05A"
comconst(1, 2).number = 1
comconst(1, 3).group = "05B"
comconst(1, 3).number = 1
```

## CASE 3

```
seca(1) = -.050576
secb(1) = 230.69
secc(1) = -2.0923E+08
secd(1) = 7.6049E+21
sece(1) = -3.3116E+24
```

vpa(1) = 56.597 vpb(1) = -9676.2 vpc(1) = -4.2015 vpd(1) = 1.4221E-06 vpe(1) = 2

comvalue(1) = 2
comconst(1, 1).group = "05A"

comconst(1, 1).number = 2
comconst(1, 2).group = "01B"
comconst(1, 2).number = 2

#### END SELECT

#### 'Set value for water

#### 'Bi

seca(2) = -.02304 secb(2) = 26.86 secc(2) = -2.817E+07 secd(2) = 3.3408E+20sece(2) = -1.3837E+23

#### 'vaper pressure

vpa(2) = 73.649 vpb(2) = -7258.2 vpc(2) = -7.3037 vpd(2) = 4.1653E-06 vpe(2) = 2

comvalue(2) = 1 comconst(2, 1).group = "06A" comconst(2, 1).number = 1

#### PRINT

'Select temperature

PRINT " Select Temperature"

- PRINT " 1. 371 K"
- PRINT " 2. 383 K"
- PRINT " 3. 395 K"

```
INPUT " Type Temperature (1,2,3) : ", systempno
```

```
SELECT CASE systempno
CASE 1
```

```
temp = 371
```

```
CASE 2
```

temp = 383

CASE 3

temp = 395

END SELECT

```
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
```

```
\begin{split} B(i\%) &= (\sec(i\%) + \sec(i\%) / temp + \sec(i\%) / temp ^ 3 + \sec(i\%) / temp ^ 8 + \sec(i\%) / temp ^ 9) / 1000 \\ Psat(i\%) &= EXP(vpa(i\%) + vpb(i\%) / temp + vpc(i\%) * LOG(temp) + vpd(i\%) * temp ^ vpe(i\%)) \end{split}
```

NEXT i%

T(1, 1) = 1T(2, 2) = 1

```
INPUT "number of exp. point"; npoint%
```

DIM Xexp(npoint%)

DIM Pexp(npoint%) T12min = 0 T12max = 3 T21min = 0 T21max = 3 istep% = 10

errormin = 100000000

# DO

dT12 = (T12max - T12min) / istep% dT21 = (T21max - T21min) / istep%

```
FOR iii% = 0 TO istep%

T(1, 2) = T12min + iii% * dT12

FOR jjj% = 0 TO istep%

T(2, 1) = T21min + jjj% * dT21
```

FOR ii% = 1 TO npoint% x(2) = Xexp(ii%) x(1) = 1 - x(2)

CALL uniquac(acc(), ncomponent%, x(), comconst(), comvalue(), T())

```
iteri\% = 0
DO
        iteri\% = iteri\% + 1
        Bm = 0
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
        FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                Bij(i\%, j\%) = SQR(ABS(B(i\%) * B(j\%))) * (B(i\%) + B(j\%)) / ABS(B(i\%) + B(j\%))
                Bm = Bm + y(i\%) * y(j\%) * Bij(i\%, j\%)
        NEXT j%
        NEXT i%
        Pcalb = Pcal(ii%)
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                sumyiBij = 0
                FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                         sumyiBij = sumyiBij + y(i\%) * Bij(i\%, j\%)
                NEXT j%
                O(i\%) = EXP((2 * sumyiBij - Bm) * Pcal(ii\%) / r / temp)
        NEXT i%
        Pcal(ii\%) = 0
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                yP(i\%) = acc(i\%) * x(i\%) * Psat(i\%) / O(i\%)
                Pcal(ii\%) = Pcal(ii\%) + yP(i\%)
        NEXT i%
sumy = 0
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
        y(i\%) = yP(i\%) / Pexp(ii\%)
        sumy = sumy + y(i\%)
NEXT i%
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
       y(i\%) = y(i\%) / sumy
NEXT i%
'PRINT Pcal(ii%), Psat(1), Psat(2)
LOOP UNTIL Pcal(ii%) = Pcalb OR iteri% > 1000
```

NEXT ii%

sumerror = 0 FOR ii% = 1 TO npoint% sumerror = sumerror + SQR((Pcal(ii%) - Pexp(ii%)) ^ 2) NEXT ii% sumerror = sumerror / npoint% IF sumerror < errormin THEN errormin = sumerror T12b = T(1, 2) T21b = T(2, 1) END IF

PRINT "T12"; T(1, 2), ; "T21"; T(2, 1), errormin 'PRINT hat12b, hat21b, errormin NEXT jjj% NEXT iii%

T12min = T12b - dT12 T12max = T12b + dT12 T21min = T21b - dT21T21max = T21b + dT21

```
IF T12min < 0 THEN T12min = 0
IF T21min < 0 THEN T21min = 0
```

LOOP UNTIL T12max = T12min AND T21max = T21min

```
PRINT T12b, T21b, errormin
```

```
FUNCTION qconst (k$)
SELECT CASE k$
CASE "01A"
qconst = .848
CASE "01B"
qconst = .54
CASE "01C"
qconst = .228
CASE "05A"
qconst = 1.124
CASE "05B"
qconst = .812
```

```
CASE "06A"
       qconst = 1.4
CASE "08A"
       qconst = .64
END SELECT
END FUNCTION
FUNCTION rconst (k$)
SELECT CASE k$
CASE "01A"
       rconst = .9011
CASE "01B"
       rconst = .6744
CASE "01C"
       rconst = .4469
CASE "05A"
       rconst = 1.2044
CASE "05B"
       rconst = .9769
CASE "06A"
       rconst = .92
CASE "08A"
       rconst = .7713
END SELECT
END FUNCTION
```

```
SUB uniquac (acc(), ncom%, x(), comconst() AS comgroup, comvalue(), T())

DIM q(ncom%), r(ncom%), l(ncom%) AS SINGLE

DIM sa(ncom%), fe(ncom%) AS SINGLE

z = 10

sumsa = 0

sumsa = 0

FOR i% = 1 TO ncom%

q(i\%) = 0

r(i\%) = 0

FOR k% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)

q(i\%) = q(i\%) + comconst(i\%, k\%).number * qconst(comconst(i\%, k\%).group)

r(i\%) = r(i\%) + comconst(i\%, k\%).number * rconst(comconst(i\%, k\%).group)

NEXT k%
```

```
sumsa = sumsa + q(i%) * x(i%)
sumrx = sumrx + r(i%) * x(i%)
```

## NEXT i%

FOR i% = 1 TO ncom% l(i%) = z / 2 \* (r(i%) - q(i%)) - (r(i%) - 1) sa(i%) = q(i%) \* x(i%) / sumsafe(i%) = r(i%) \* x(i%) / sumrx

NEXT i%

FOR i% = 1 TO ncom%

```
'cal lnaccc(i%)
```

sumxl = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO ncom%

sumxl = sumxl + x(j%) \* l(j%)

NEXT j%

lnaccc(i%) = LOG(fe(i%) / x(i%)) + z / 2 \* q(i%) \* LOG(sa(i%) / fe(i%)) + l(i%) - fe(i%) / x(i%) \* sumxl

```
'cal lnaccr(i%)
```

sumsaTij = 0

```
FOR j% = 1 TO ncom%
```

```
sumsaTij = sumsaTij + sa(j\%) * T(j\%, i\%)
```

```
NEXT j%
```

```
sumfracsaTij = 0
```

```
FOR j% = 1 TO ncom%
```

```
sumsaTkj = 0
```

```
FOR k\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

```
sumsaTkj = sumsaTkj + sa(k\%) * T(k\%, j\%)
```

```
NEXT k%
```

```
sumfracsaTij = sumfracsaTij + sa(j%) * T(i%, j%) / sumsaTkj
NEXT j%
```

```
lnaccr(i%) = q(i%) * (1 - LOG(sumsaTij) - sumfracsaTij)
acc(i%) = EXP(lnaccc(i%) + lnaccr(i%))
NEXT i%
END SUB
```

# E.2 <u>Program for Phase Compositions & Activity Coefficients Estimating by</u> <u>Correlated Wilson Model</u>

DECLARE SUB wilson (acc!(), ncomponent%, x!(), hat!())

=====""

"\_\_\_\_

'Select system

PRINT " Select System"

PRINT " 1. 1,2-EthyleneGlcol(1) -Water(2)"

PRINT " 2. 1,2-PropyleneGlcol(1)-Water(2)"

PRINT " 3. 1,4-ButyleneGlcol(1) -Water(2)"

INPUT " Type System No. (1,2,3) : ", sysno

```
SELECT CASE sysno

CASE 1

seca(1) = -.11361

secb(1) = 311.11

secc(1) = -1.6684E+08

secd(1) = 5.918E+21

sece(1) = -2.474E+24

vpa(1) = 194.96

vpb(1) = -14615

vpc(1) = -25.433

vpd(1) = 2.014E-05

vpe(1) = 2

CASE 2

seca(1) = -.076351

secb(1) = 259.85
```

```
secc(1) = -1.6097E+08
secd(1) = 4.2654E+21
sece(1) = -1.7792E+24
vpa(1) = 212.8
vpb(1) = -15420!
vpc(1) = -28.109
vpd(1) = 2.1564E-05
```

vpe(1) = 2

```
CASE 3
```

```
seca(1) = -.050576
secb(1) = 230.69
secc(1) = -2.0923E+08
secc(1) = -3.049E+21
sece(1) = -3.3116E+24
vpa(1) = 56.597
vpb(1) = -9676.2
vpc(1) = -4.2015
vpd(1) = 1.4221E-06
vpe(1) = 2
CASE ELSE
END SELECT
```

```
'Set value for water
```

#### 'Bi

```
seca(2) = -.02304
secb(2) = 26.86
secc(2) = -2.817E+07
secd(2) = 3.3408E+20
sece(2) = -1.3837E+23
'vaper pressure
vpa(2) = 73.649
vpb(2) = -7258.2
vpc(2) = -7.3037
vpd(2) = 4.1653E-06
vpe(2) = 2
```

#### PRINT

'Select temperature PRINT " Select Temperature" PRINT " 1. 371.15 K (98 C)" PRINT " 2. 383.15 K (110 C)" PRINT " 3. 395.15 K (122 C)" INPUT " Type Temperature No. (1,2,3) : ", systempno SELECT CASE systempno CASE 1 systemp = 371.15 SELECT CASE sysno CASE 1 hat(1, 1) = 1hat(1, 2) = .8910639hat(2, 1) = 1.392897 hat(2, 2) = 1CASE 2 hat(1, 1) = 1hat(1, 2) = .679598hat(2, 1) = 1.568164 hat(2, 2) = 1CASE 3 hat(1, 1) = 1hat(1, 2) = .5272951hat(2, 1) = 1.90539hat(2, 2) = 1END SELECT CASE 2 systemp = 383.15 SELECT CASE sysno CASE 1 hat(1, 1) = 1hat(1, 2) = .7761081 hat(2, 1) = 1.583332 hat(2, 2) = 1CASE 2 hat(1, 1) = 1hat(1, 2) = .5726682hat(2, 1) = 1.6730035# hat(2, 2) = 1

## CASE 3

```
systemp = 395.15
SELECT CASE sysno
CASE 1
        hat(1, 1) = 1
        hat(1, 2) = .6683057
        hat(2, 1) = 1.781017
        hat(2, 2) = 1
CASE 2
        hat(1, 1) = 1
        hat(1, 2) = .4524984
        hat(2, 1) = 1.864681
        hat(2, 2) = 1
CASE 3
        hat(1, 1) = 1
        hat(1, 2) = .3557532
        hat(2, 1) = 3.220192
        hat(2, 2) = 1
END SELECT
```

# CASE ELSE END SELECT

R = 8.314

ncomponent% = 2

```
DIM acc(ncomponent%), x(ncomponent%) AS SINGLE
```

FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%

 $B(i\%) = (seca(i\%) + secb(i\%) / systemp + secc(i\%) / systemp ^ 3 + secd(i\%) / systemp ^ 8 + sece(i\%) / systemp ^ 9) / 1000$ 

Psat(i%) = EXP(vpa(i%) + vpb(i%) / systemp + vpc(i%) \* LOG(systemp) + vpd(i%) \*

systemp ^ vpe(i%))

#### NEXT i%

PRINT

PRINT " Input Liquid Phase Mole Fraction of Glycol (x1)" PRINT "  $\{ \text{ for exit type } x1 > 1 \}$ "

## DO

INPUT " x1"; x(i) x(1) = x(i) x(2) = 1 - x(1)

CALL wilson(acc(), ncomponent%, x(), hat())

#### DO

```
Bm = 0

FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%

FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%

Bij(i%, j%) = SQR(ABS(B(i%) * B(j%))) * (B(i%) + B(j%)) / ABS(B(i%) + B(j%))

Bm = Bm + y(i%) * y(j%) * Bij(i%, j%)

NEXT j%

NEXT i%
```

# Pcalb = Pcal

```
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
```

sumyiBij = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%

sumyiBij = sumyiBij + y(i%) \* Bij(i%, j%)

# NEXT j%

```
O(i%) = 1
```

```
'EXP((2 * sumyiBij - Bm) * Pcal / R / systemp)
```

#### NEXT i%

```
\begin{aligned} Pcal &= 0 \\ FOR i\% &= 1 \text{ TO ncomponent\%} \\ & yp(i\%) = acc(i\%) * x(i\%) * Psat(i\%) / O(i\%) \\ & Pcal &= Pcal + yp(i\%) \\ \end{aligned}
\begin{aligned} NEXT i\% \end{aligned}
```

```
sumy = 0
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
y(i\%) = yp(i\%) / Pcal
sumy = sumy + y(i\%)
NEXT i%
```

```
LOOP UNTIL Pcal = Pcalb
```

```
PRINT " Pcal(kPa)", " i "; " x", " y", " acc"
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
y(i%) = y(i%) / sumy
PRINT " "; Pcal / 1000, i%; " "; x(i%), y(i%), acc(i%)
NEXT i%
```

PRINT " -----"

```
LOOP UNTIL x(1) < 0 OR x(1) > 1
PRINT
PRINT "== EXIT =="
```

```
SUB wilson (acc(), ncomponent%, x(), hat())
```

```
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%

sumxhatij = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%

sumxhatij = sumxhatij + x(j\%) * hat(i%, j%)

NEXT j%

sumfrac = 0

FOR k% = 1 TO ncomponent%

sumxhatkj = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%

sumxhatkj = sumxhatkj + x(j\%) * hat(k%, j%)

NEXT j%

sumfrac = sumfrac + x(k\%) * hat(k%, i%) / sumxhatkj

NEXT k%

acc(i%) = EXP(1 - LOG(sumxhatij) - sumfrac)

NEXT i%
```

# E.3 <u>Program for Liquid Phase Composition & Activity Coefficients Estimating</u> <u>from Experimental Measured Pressures Using UNIFAC Method</u>

```
DECLARE SUB setcom (ncom%, comvalue!(), comconst() AS ANY)
DECLARE SUB unifac (acc!(), ncom%, x!(), comconst() AS ANY, comvalue!(), temp!)
DECLARE FUNCTION rconst! (k$)
DECLARE FUNCTION qconst! (k$)
DECLARE FUNCTION amn! (m$, n$)
TYPE comgroup
       group AS STRING * 3
       number AS INTEGER
       x AS SINGLE
END TYPE
ncomponent\% = 2
R = 8.314
DIM acc(ncomponent%), x(ncomponent%) AS SINGLE
DIM comvalue(ncomponent%)
DIM T(ncomponent%, ncomponent%) AS SINGLE
DIM comconst(ncomponent%, 26) AS comgroup
CLS
PRINT " ======
PRINT " CALCULATING SIMULATION FOR ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT OF AQUEOUS
SOLUTION OF GLYCOL"
PRINT " =======
PRINT
'Select system
PRINT " Select System"
PRINT " 1. 1,2-EthyleneGlycol-Water"
PRINT " 2. 1,2-PropyleneGlycol-Water"
PRINT " 3. 1,4-ButyleneGlycol-Water"
INPUT " Type System (1,2) : ", sysno
       SELECT CASE sysno
       CASE 1
               seca(1) = -.11361
               secb(1) = 311.11
               secc(1) = -1.6684E + 08
               secd(1) = 5.918E+21
               sece(1) = -2.474E + 24
               vpa(1) = 194.96
```

vpb(1) = -14615 vpc(1) = -25.433 vpd(1) = 2.014E-05 vpe(1) = 2 comvalue(1) = 1 comconst(1, 1).group = "05A" comconst(1, 1).number = 2

#### CASE 2

seca(1) = -.076351 secb(1) = 259.85 secc(1) = -1.6097E+08 secd(1) = 4.2654E+21 sece(1) = -1.7792E+24 vpa(1) = 212.8 vpb(1) = -15420! vpc(1) = -28.109 vpd(1) = 2.1564E-05 vpe(1) = 2

```
comvalue(1) = 3
comconst(1, 1).group = "01A"
comconst(1, 1).number = 1
comconst(1, 2).group = "05A"
comconst(1, 2).number = 1
comconst(1, 3).group = "05B"
comconst(1, 3).number = 1
```

## CASE 3

seca(1) = -.050576 secb(1) = 230.69 secc(1) = -2.0923E+08 secd(1) = 7.6049E+21 sece(1) = -3.3116E+24

```
vpa(1) = 56.597
vpb(1) = -9676.2
vpc(1) = -4.2015
vpd(1) = 1.4221E-06
vpe(1) = 2
comvalue(1) = 2
comconst(1, 1).group = "05A"
```

วิทยบริการ <sup>8</sup> มีมหาวิทยาลัย

```
comconst(1, 1).number = 2
comconst(1, 2).group = "01B"
comconst(1, 2).number = 2
```

#### CASE ELSE

END SELECT

'Set value for water

#### 'Bi

seca(2) = -.02304 secb(2) = 26.86 secc(2) = -2.817E+07 secd(2) = 3.3408E+20 sece(2) = -1.3837E+23

'vaper pressure

vpa(2) = 73.649 vpb(2) = -7258.2 vpc(2) = -7.3037 vpd(2) = 4.1653E-06 vpe(2) = 2

comvalue(2) = 1 comconst(2, 1).group = "06A" comconst(2, 1).number = 1

# PRINT

'Select temperature

PRINT " Select Temperature"

PRINT " 1. 371.15 K"

PRINT " 2. 383.15 K"

```
PRINT " 3. 395.15 K"
```

INPUT " Type Temperature (1,2,3) : ", systempno

SELECT CASE systempno

```
CASE 1
```

```
temp = 371.15
```

CASE 2

```
temp = 383.15
```

CASE 3

temp = 395.15

END SELECT

FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%

 $B(i\%) = (seca(i\%) + secb(i\%) / temp + secc(i\%) / temp ^3 + secd(i\%) / temp ^8 + sece(i\%) / temp ^9) / 1000$ 

```
Psat(i\%) = EXP(vpa(i\%) + vpb(i\%) / temp + vpc(i\%) * LOG(temp) + vpd(i\%) * temp ^ vpe(i\%))
NEXT i%
DO
INPUT "X1 "; x(1)
x(2) = 1 - x(1)
CALL setcom(ncomponent%, comvalue(), comconst())
CALL unifac(acc(), ncomponent%, x(), comconst(), comvalue(), temp)
  DO
        Bm = 0
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
        FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                Bij(i\%, j\%) = SQR(ABS(B(i\%) * B(j\%))) * (B(i\%) + B(j\%)) / ABS(B(i\%) + B(j\%))
                Bm = Bm + y(i\%) * y(j\%) * Bij(i\%, j\%)
        NEXT j%
        NEXT i%
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                sumyiBij = 0
                FOR j% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                        sumyiBij = sumyiBij + y(i\%) * Bij(i\%, j\%)
                NEXT j%
                O(i\%) = 1
                        'EXP((2 * sumyiBij - Bm) * Pcal / R / temp)
        NEXT i%
        Pcalb = Pcal
        Pcal = 0
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                yP(i\%) = acc(i\%) * x(i\%) * Psat(i\%) / O(i\%)
                Pcal = Pcal + yP(i\%)
        NEXT i%
        FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
                y(i\%) = yP(i\%) / Pcal
        NEXT i%
  LOOP UNTIL Pcal = Pcalb
PRINT "Pcal ", " i ", "acc ", "x ", "y
FOR i% = 1 TO ncomponent%
PRINT Pcal, i%, acc(i%), x(i%), y(i%)
NEXT i%
PRINT "-----
LOOP UNTIL x(1) = 0
FUNCTION amn (m$, n$)
```

mm% = VAL(LEFT\$(m\$, 2))nn% = VAL(LEFT\$(n\$, 2))a(1, 1) = 0a(1, 5) = 931.2 a(1, 6) = 1452a(1, 8) = 1565 a(5, 1) = 169.7a(5, 5) = 0a(5, 6) = -320.8 a(5, 8) = 462.3 a(6, 1) = 657.7 a(6, 5) = 287.5 a(6, 6) = 0a(8, 1) = 3000a(8, 8) = 0amn = a(mm%, nn%)END FUNCTION FUNCTION qconst (k\$) SELECT CASE k\$ CASE "01A" qconst = .848CASE "01B" qconst = .54CASE "01C" qconst = .228CASE "05A" qconst = 1.124CASE "05B" qconst = .812CASE "06A" qconst = 1.4CASE "08A" qconst = .64END SELECT END FUNCTION FUNCTION rconst (k\$) SELECT CASE k\$ CASE "01A"

DIM a(26, 26)

```
CASE "01B"

rconst = .6744

CASE "01C"

rconst = .4469

CASE "05A"

rconst = 1.2044

CASE "05B"

rconst = .9769

CASE "06A"

rconst = .92

CASE "08A"

rconst = .7713

END SELECT
```

```
END FUNCTION
```

SUB setcom (ncom%, comvalue(), comconst() AS comgroup)

```
FOR i\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

rconst = .9011

SELECT CASE i%

CASE 1

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)

comconst(0, j%).group = comconst(1, j%).group

comconst(0, j%).number = comconst(1, j%).number

```
NEXT j%
```

comvalue(0) = comvalue(1)

#### CASE ELSE

FOR j% = 0 TO comvalue(i%)

IF comconst(0, j%).group = comconst(i%, j%).group THEN

comconst(0, j%).number = comconst(i%, j%).number + comconst(i%, j%).number

```
ELSE
```

```
comvalue(0) = comvalue(0) + 1
```

comconst(0, comvalue(0)).group = comconst(i%, j%).group

```
comconst(0, comvalue(0)).number = comconst(i%, j%).number
```

```
END IF
```

```
NEXT j%
```

END SELECT

#### NEXT i%

FOR i% = 1 TO ncom%

```
sumn = 0
```

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)

sumn = sumn + comconst(i%, j%).number

```
NEXT j%
```

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%) comconst(i%, j%).x = comconst(i%, j%).number / sumn NEXT j% NEXT i% END SUB SUB unifac (acc(), ncom%, x(), comconst() AS comgroup, comvalue(), temp) DIM q(ncom%), R(ncom%), l(ncom%) AS SINGLE DIM sa(ncom%), fe(ncom%), sap(26), sam(comvalue(0)) AS SINGLE DIM lnaccc(ncom%), lnaccr(ncom%), lnTm(comvalue(0)), lnTp(ncom%, 26) AS SINGLE z = 10sumqx = 0sumrx = 0FOR i% = 1 TO ncom% q(i%) = 0R(i%) = 0FOR k% = 1 TO comvalue(i%) q(i%) = q(i%) + comconst(i%, k%).number \* qconst(comconst(i%, k%).group)R(i%) = R(i%) + comconst(i%, k%).number \* rconst(comconst(i%, k%).group)NEXT k% sumqx = sumqx + q(i%) \* x(i%)sumrx = sumrx + R(i%) \* x(i%)

```
NEXT i%
```

```
FOR i\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

l(i%) = z / 2 \* (R(i%) - q(i%)) - (R(i%) - 1)

sa(i%) = q(i%) \* x(i%) / sumqx

fe(i%) = R(i%) \* x(i%) / sumrx

#### NEXT i%

```
'cal lnaccc(i%)
```

```
FOR i\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

sumxl = 0

```
FOR j\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

sumxl = sumxl + x(j%) \* l(j%)

```
NEXT j%
```

```
lnaccc(i\%) = LOG(fe(i\%) / x(i\%)) + z / 2 * q(i\%) * LOG(sa(i\%) / fe(i\%)) + l(i\%) - fe(i\%) / x(i\%) * local conditions and the set of the set of
sumxl
NEXT i%
  'cal lnaccr(i%)
  'in mixture
```

```
sumsumvx = 0
```

```
FOR i\% = 1 TO ncom%
```

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)

```
sumsumvx = sumsumvx + comconst(i\%, j\%).number * x(i\%)
```

NEXT j%

## NEXT i%

FOR i% = 1 TO comvalue(0)

sumvx = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO ncom%

FOR k% = 1 TO comvalue(j%)

IF comconst(j%, k%).group = comconst(0, i%).group THEN sumvx = sumvx +

comconst(j%, k%).number \* x(j%)

NEXT k%

NEXT j%

comconst(0, i%).x = sumvx / sumsumvx

#### NEXT i%

sum xqm = 0

FOR i% = 1 TO comvalue(0)

sumxqm = sumxqm + comconst(0, i%).x \* qconst(comconst(0, i%).group)

#### NEXT i%

```
FOR i% = 1 TO comvalue(0)
```

sam(i%) = comconst(0, i%).x \* qconst(comconst(0, i%).group) / sumxqm

#### NEXT i%

```
FOR i% = 1 TO comvalue(0)
```

sumsamY = 0

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(0)

sumsamY = sumsamY + sam(j%) \* EXP(-amn(comconst(0, j%).group, comconst(0,

```
i%).group) / temp)
```

NEXT j%

```
sum frac sum sam Y = 0
```

FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(0)

fracsumsamY = 0

FOR k% = 1 TO comvalue(0)

fracsumsamY = fracsumsamY + sam(k%) \* EXP(-amn(comconst(0,

k%).group, comconst(0, j%).group) / temp)

```
NEXT k%
```

sumfracsumsamY = sumfracsumsamY + sam(j%) \* EXP(-amn(comconst(0,

i%).group, comconst(0, j%).group) / temp) / fracsumsamY

NEXT j%

lnTm(i%) = qconst(comconst(0, i%).group) \* (1 - LOG(sumsamY) - sumfracsumsamY) NEXT i%

```
'in molacule
FOR i\% = 1 TO ncom%
        sumsap = 0
        FOR j\% = 1 TO comvalue(i\%)
                sumsap = sumsap + comconst(i%, j%).x * qconst(comconst(i%, j%).group)
        NEXT j%
        FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)
                sap(j%) = comconst(i%, j%).x * qconst(comconst(i%, j%).group) / sumsap
        NEXT j%
        FOR j\% = 1 TO comvalue(i\%)
                sumsaY = 0
                FOR k\% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)
                        sumsaY = sumsaY + sap(k%) * EXP(-amn(comconst(i%, k%).group,
comconst(i%, j%).group) / temp)
                NEXT k%
                sum fracsaY = 0
                FOR k\% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)
                        fracsaY = 0
                        FOR kk% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)
                                fracsaY = fracsaY + sap(kk%) * EXP(-amn(comconst(i%,
kk%).group, comconst(i%, k%).group) / temp)
                        NEXT kk%
                        sumfracsaY = sumfracsaY + sap(i\%) * EXP(-amn(comconst(i\%, k\%)).group,
comconst(i%, j%).group) / temp) / fracsaY
                NEXT k%
                lnTp(i%, j%) = qconst(comconst(i%, j%).group) * (1 - LOG(sumsaY) - sumfracsaY)
        NEXT j%
        FOR j% = 1 TO comvalue(i%)
                FOR k% = 1 TO comvalue(0)
                IF comconst(i%, j%).group = comconst(0, k%).group THEN lnaccr(i%) = lnaccr(i%)
+ comconst(i%, j%).number * (\ln Tm(k\%) - \ln Tp(i\%, j\%))
                NEXT k%
```

NEXT i%

FOR i% = 1 TO ncom%

NEXT j%

acc(i%) = EXP(lnaccc(i%) + lnaccr(i%))

NEXT i%

END SUB

# APPENDIX F

# PROGRAM ESTIMATED DATA

สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

 Table F.1
 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycowater

÷	_			
$Z_{1,app}$	$\mathbf{P}_{exp}$	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.3	47.5133	0.4767	0.0248	47.5133
0.5	39.6740	0.5581	0.0356	39.6740
0.7	23.3720	0.7381	0.0823	23.3720
0.9	14.0869	0.8498	0.1587	14.0869
0.9	14.0869	0.8498	0.1587	14.0869

at 98°C by UNIQUAC

Table F.2 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycowater

	at 110°C by UNIQUAC						
Z <sub>1,app</sub>		P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>		
	0.3	79.9634	0.4091	0.0232	79.9634		
	0.5	70.5669	0.4750	0.0312	70.5669		
	0.7	49.6754	0.6294	0.0603	49.6754		
	0.9	21.2037	0.8583	0.1957	21.2037		

Table F.3 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycowater

Z <sub>1,app</sub> P <sub>exp</sub>		X <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>		
0.3	140.6032	0.2771	0.0143	140.6032		
0.5	69.7180	0.6296	0.0755	69.7180		
0.7	39.4750	0.8062	0.1731	39.4750		
0.9	23.7832	0.9028	0.3225	23.7832		

at 122°C by UNIOUAC

Z <sub>1,app</sub>	1,app P <sub>exp</sub> X <sub>1,cal</sub> Y <sub>1,cal</sub>		y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.3	47.5133	0.4739	0.0246	47.5133
0.5	39.6740	0.5565	0.0353	39.6740
0.7	23.3720	0.7380	0.0817	23.3720
0.9	14.0869	0.8499	0.1575	14.0869

Table F.4 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycolwater

at 98°C by Wilson equation

Table F.5 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycowater

at 110°C by Wilson equation						
Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>		
0.3	79.9634	0.4181	0.0232	79.9634		
0.5	70.5669	0.4822	0.0309	70.5669		
0.7	49.6754	0.6312	0.0594	49.6754		
0.9	21.2037	0.8551	0.1933	21.2037		

Table F.6 Calculated liquid phase composition of ethylene glycowater

	5	1		
$Z_{1,app}$	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.3	140.6032	0.3140	0.0166	140.6032
0.5	69.7180	0.6498	0.0767	69.7180
0.7	39.4750	0.8130	0.1728	39.4750
0.9	23.7832	0.9047	0.3208	23.7832

at 122°C by Wilson equation

Table F.7Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model of

x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	γ1	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.6612	1.00000	0.77931
0.974	0.026	0.5752	0.4248	4.5067	0.99996	0.78186
0.961	0.039	0.4703	0.5297	5.4378	0.99990	0.78315
0.911	0.089	0.2668	0.7332	9.0726	0.99946	0.78824
0.863	0.137	0.1810	0.8190	12.6464	0.99863	0.79335
0.623	0.377	0.0506	0.9494	32.0135	0.98483	0.82387
0.406	0.594	0.0190	0.9810	52.7104	0.93776	0.86512
0.290	0.710	0.0101	0.9899	65.9200	0.87413	0.89734
0.267	0.733	0.0087	0.9913	68.7977	0.85529	0.90491
0.246	0.754	0.0075	0.9925	71.5132	0.83560	0.91220
0.159	0.841	0.0036	0.9964	83.7521	0.72102	0.94612
0.088	0.912	0.0014	0.9986	94.8861	0.57361	0.97619
0.030	0.970	0.0003	0.9997	104.1169	0.40964	0.99610
1E-07	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	108.3144	0.31269	1.00000

ethylene glycol-water at 98°C

Table F.8Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model of<br/>ethylene glycol-water at 110°C

x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	γ1	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	4.8917	1.00000	0.73989
0.988	0.012	0.7921	0.2079	6.1192	0.99999	0.74118
0.971	0.029	0.6066	0.3934	7.8296	0.99994	0.74303
0.945	0.055	0.4399	0.5601	10.5027	0.99977	0.74592
0.937	0.063	0.4041	0.5959	11.3329	0.99969	0.74682
0.917	0.083	0.3336	0.6664	13.4246	0.99945	0.74911
0.905	0.095	0.3007	0.6993	14.6911	0.99927	0.75051
0.841	0.159	0.1895	0.8105	21.5969	0.99776	0.75827
0.801	0.199	0.1492	0.8508	26.0517	0.99629	0.76342
0.745	0.255	0.1108	0.8892	32.4865	0.99335	0.77112
0.700	0.300	0.0889	0.9111	37.8419	0.99006	0.77778
0.438	0.562	0.0269	0.9731	73.5362	0.93878	0.82975
0.387	0.613	0.0208	0.9792	81.7707	0.91640	0.84386
0.174	0.826	0.0047	0.9953	124.4420	0.70080	0.92688
1E-07	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	169.3157	0.23992	1.00000

 Table F.9
 Program estimated data using Virial EOS & UNIQUAC model of

<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.6090	1.00000	0.67933
0.994	0.006	0.9090	0.0910	9.4141	1.00000	0.68006
0.950	0.050	0.5303	0.4697	15.4117	0.99977	0.68549
0.945	0.055	0.5047	0.4953	16.1040	0.99971	0.68612
0.931	0.069	0.4433	0.5567	18.0544	0.99954	0.68791
0.911	0.089	0.3749	0.6251	20.8714	0.99922	0.69053
0.907	0.093	0.3633	0.6367	21.4406	0.99914	0.69106
0.881	0.119	0.3001	0.6999	25.1717	0.99854	0.69456
0.856	0.144	0.2543	0.7457	28.8240	0.99778	0.69805
0.785	0.215	0.1689	0.8311	39.5717	0.99448	0.70861
0.775	0.225	0.1602	0.8398	41.1335	0.99386	0.71019
0.765	0.235	0.1522	0.8478	42.7080	0.99319	0.71179
0.533	0.467	0.0517	0.9483	83.7200	0.95775	0.75820
0.414	0.586	0.0290	0.9710	109.6281	0.91070	0.79263
0.316	<mark>0.684</mark>	0.0165	0.9835	135.1339	0.83937	0.83002
0.247	0.7 <mark>5</mark> 3	0.0100	0.9900	156.3847	0.75911	0.86298
1E-07	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	258.6884	0.15174	1.00000

ethylene glycol-water at 110°C



 Table F.10
 Calculated liquid phase composition of1,4-butylene glycol-water

•					
$Z_{1,app}$	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>	
0.1	83.1730	0.1157	0.0004	83.1730	
0.3	53.0190	0.4229	0.0027	53.0190	
0.5	41.1994	0.5437	0.0045	41.1993	
0.7	26.8870	0.6947	0.0090	26.8870	
0.9	21.3928	0.7548	0.0123	21.3928	

at 98°C by UNIQUAC

 Table F.11
 Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water

at 110°C by UNIQUAC						
Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub> y <sub>1,cal</sub>			
0.1	120.3351	0.1330	0.0005	120.3351		
0.3	81.8575	0.3716	0.0029	81.8574		
0.5	66.8729	0.4733	0.0047	66.8729		
0.7	62.9266	0.5010	0.0053	62.9266		
0.9	37.8082	0.6867	0.0126	37.8082		
		A Landa				

 Table F.12
 Calculated liquid phase composition of1,4-butylene glycol-water

Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.1	169.1953	0.1300	0.0005	169.1953
0.3	111.0171	0.3496	0.0036	111.0170
0.5	85.3092	0.4726	0.0069	85.3091
0.7	76.1910	0.5201	0.0086	76.1909
0.9	48.0590	0.6806	0.0287	48.0589

at 122°C by UNIQUAC

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

<u>สถาบน</u>วทยบรการ

at 98°C by Wilson equation								
Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>				
0.1	83.1730	0.1092	0.0004	83.1730				
0.3	53.0190	0.4095	0.0025	53.0191				
0.5	41.1994	0.5311	0.0043	41.1994				
0.7	26.8870	0.6853	0.0088	26.8870				
0.9	21.3928	0.7471	0.0121	21.3928				

 Table F.13
 Calculated liquid phase composition of1,4-butylene glycol-water

 Table F.14
 Calculated liquid phase composition of 1,4-butylene glycol-water

Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.1	120.3351	0.1424	0.0006	120.3351
0.3	81.8575	0.3810	0.0029	81.8575
0.5	66.8729	0.4792	0.0047	66.8730
0.7	62.9266	0.5060	0.0053	62.9266
0.9	37.8082	0.6867	0.0124	37.8082

at 110°C by Wilson equation

Table F.15 Calculated liquid phase composition of1,4-butylene glycol-water

		•		
Z <sub>1,app</sub>	P <sub>exp</sub>	x <sub>1,cal</sub>	y <sub>1,cal</sub>	P <sub>cal</sub>
0.1	169.1953	0.1573	0.0007	169.1953
0.3	111.0171	0.3758	0.0037	111.0171
0.5	85.3092	0.4881	0.0068	85.3093
0.7	76.1910	0.5315	0.0085	76.1910
0.9	48.0590	0.6806	0.0183	48.0590

at 122°C by Wilson equation



 Table F.16
 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glyc@ll)

W	ater(2) at	. 90 C				
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.6430	1.00000	0.91922
0.974	0.026	0.5330	0.4670	4.8298	0.99998	0.92046
0.961	0.039	0.4286	0.5714	5.9254	0.99996	0.92108
0.911	0.089	0.2371	0.7629	10.1531	0.99979	0.92343
0.863	0.137	0.1602	0.8398	14.2319	0.99948	0.92566
0.623	0.377	0.0469	0.9531	34.9374	0.99502	0.93716
0.406	0.594	0.0194	0.9806	54.3005	0.98033	0.95115
0.290	0.710	0.0113	0.9887	65.1365	0.95821	0.96242
0.267	0.733	0.0100	0.9900	67.3472	0.95133	0.96514
0.246	0.754	0.0088	0.9912	69.3871	0.94383	0.96778
0.159	0.841	0.0048	0.9952	78.0722	0.89624	0.98023
0.088	0.912	0.0022	0.9978	85.4024	0.82528	0.99134
0.030	0.970	0.0006	0.9994	91.3514	0.73076	0.99861
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.66380	1.00000

water (2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

 Table F.17
 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glyc@l) 

W						
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	4.8463	1.00000	0.93971
0.988	0.012	0.7478	0.2522	6.0288	1.00000	0.94018
0.971	0.029	0.5465	0.4535	8.6107	0.99998	0.94083
0.945	0.055	0.3818	0.6182	11.9932	0.99994	0.94181
0.937	0.063	0.3483	0.6517	13.0354	0.99992	0.94210
0.917	0.083	0.2840	0.7160	15.6436	0.99986	0.94283
0.905	0.095	0.2548	0.7452	17.2105	0.99981	0.94327
0.841	0.159	0.1592	0.8408	25.5904	0.99946	0.94551
0.801	0.199	0.1257	0.8743	30.8472	0.99915	0.94688
0.745	0.255	0.0943	0.9057	38.2316	0.99857	0.94875
0.700	0.300	0.0766	0.9234	44.1869	0.99796	0.95026
0.438	0.562	0.0264	0.9736	79.3749	0.98903	0.96071
0.387	0.613	0.0214	0.9786	86.3888	0.98478	0.96360
0.333	0.667	0.0168	0.9832	93.9143	0.97818	0.96723
0.174	0.826	0.0067	0.9933	116.9291	0.93079	0.98244
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.72483	1.00000

Table F.18 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of ethylene glyco(1)-

water	(2)	at	$122^{\circ}$	$\Gamma$
water		aı	144 1	L

x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	$\mathbf{y}_2$	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.5025	1.00000	0.95904
0.994	0.006	0.8743	0.1257	9.6669	1.00000	0.95922
0.950	0.050	0.4434	0.5566	18.2182	0.99996	0.96047
0.945	0.055	0.4187	0.5813	19.1913	0.99996	0.96061
0.931	0.069	0.3611	0.6389	21.9174	0.99993	0.96098
0.911	0.089	0.3000	0.7000	25.8155	0.99988	0.96151
0.907	0.093	0.2899	0.7101	26.5955	0.99987	0.96161
0.881	0.119	0.2365	0.7635	31.6698	0.99979	0.96226
0.856	0.1 <mark>44</mark>	0.1990	0.8010	36.5546	0.99970	0.96285
0.785	0.215	0.1322	0.8678	50.4559	0.99934	0.96442
0.775	0.225	0.1256	0.8744	52.4169	0.99928	0.96463
0.765	0.235	0.2295	0.7705	54.3787	0.99922	0.96483
0.614	<mark>0.38</mark> 6	0.0619	0.9381	84.0913	0.99785	0.96775
0.533	0.467	0.0451	0.9549	100.1152	0.99657	0.96941
0.414	0.586	0.0282	0.9718	123.8524	0.99280	0.97263
0.316	0.6 <mark>8</mark> 4	0.0184	0.9816	143.7230	0.98542	0.97671
0.247	0.753	0.0130	0.9870	158.0060	0.97477	0.98081
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.78453	1.00000

สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

 Table F.19
 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol

(	, (	,				
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	$\mathbf{y}_2$	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.7637	1.01031	1.31236
0.996	0.004	0.8477	0.1523	3.2473	1.01031	1.31223
0.984	0.016	0.5789	0.4211	4.6977	1.01031	1.31181
0.967	0.033	0.3324	0.6676	7.9566	1.01034	1.31066
0.960	0.040	0.3493	0.6507	7.5949	1.01034	1.31080
0.935	0.065	0.2437	0.7563	10.6064	1.01039	1.30949
0.818	0.182	0.0922	0.9078	24.5555	1.01155	1.29958
0.605	0.395	0.0349	0.9651	48.6691	1.02492	1.26176
0.423	0.5 <mark>77</mark>	0.0187	0.9813	66.6734	1.07465	1.20317
0.384	0.616	0.0164	0.9836	70.0604	1.09653	1.18691
0.214	0.786	0.0094	0.9906	82.3320	1.32287	1.10095
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	4.17600	1.00000

(1)-water(2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

Table F.20Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol

(	(1)-water(2) at 110°C								
x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$			
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	5.0579	1.01276	1.33736			
0.995	0.005	0.84024	0.1598	5.9895	1.01276	1.33711			
0.990	0.010	0.72353	0.2765	6.9207	1.01276	1.33685			
0.957	0.043	0.37076	0.6292	13.0559	1.01281	1.33487			
0.946	0.054	0.31698	0.6830	15.0960	1.01284	1.33410			
0.923	0.077	0.24128	0.7587	19.3522	1.01293	1.33233			
0.887	0.113	0.17274	0.8273	25.9829	1.01319	1.32904			
0.789	0.211	0.0914	0.9086	43.7634	1.01508	1.31671			
0.688	0.312	0.05703	0.9430	61.4718	1.02033	1.29810			
0.437	0.563	0.02335	0.9766	100.6009	1.07655	1.21932			
0.428	0.572	0.02269	0.9773	101.8204	1.08098	1.21551			
0.205	0.795	0.01113	0.9889	126.4527	1.38524	1.09897			
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	4.35312	1.00000			

122

 Table F.21
 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of propylene glycol

(	1)	-) at 122	<u> </u>			
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$y_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.8456	1.0150	1.3607
0.970	0.030	0.4993	0.5007	17.1864	1.0151	1.3585
0.920	0.080	0.2625	0.7375	31.0118	1.0153	1.3539
0.853	0.147	0.1531	0.8469	49.3292	1.0161	1.3458
0.746	0.254	0.0852	0.9148	77.8389	1.0196	1.3276
0.700	0.300	0.0654	0.9346	89.7084	1.0226	1.3176
0.679	0.321	0.0638	0.9362	95.0309	1.0244	1.3125
0.667	0.333	0.0608	0.9392	98.0425	1.0255	1.3095
0.486	0.514	0.0321	0.9679	140.1626	1.0631	1.2499
0.170	0.830	0.0118	0.9882	191.5078	1.5306	1.0797
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	4.5134	1.0000

(1)-water(2) at  $122^{\circ}$ C



# สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table F.22 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of1,4-butylene glycol

	-)	-) / 0 0				
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$y_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.2829	0.80395	1.24710
0.990	0.010	0.1923	0.8077	1.4566	0.80395	1.24826
0.950	0.050	0.0435	0.9565	6.1715	0.80386	1.25258
0.930	0.070	0.0308	0.9692	8.5397	0.80378	1.25454
0.900	0.100	0.0210	0.9790	12.1034	0.80362	1.25719
0.850	0.150	0.0133	0.9867	18.0645	0.80330	1.26079
0.800	0.200	0.0094	0.9906	24.0376	0.80297	1.26323
0.750	0.250	0.0071	0.9929	30.0022	0.80278	1.26433
0.700	0.300	0.0055	0.9945	35.9339	0.80289	1.26390
0.500	0.500	0.0024	0.9976	58.6771	0.81359	1.24212
0.400	0.600	0.0017	0.9983	68.8384	0.83619	1.21524
0.300	0.700	0.0012	0.9988	77.5751	0.89242	1.17442
0.200	0.800	0.0001	0.9999	84.3783	1.03913	1.11812
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2841	4.81184	1.00000

(1)-water(2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

 Table F.23
 Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of1,4-butylene glycol

 (1)-water(2) at 110°C

(	-)	-,	-			
$\mathbf{x}_1$	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.5702	0.80809	1.27066
0.990	0.010	0.2367	0.7633	2.3846	0.80809	1.27170
0.950	0.050	0.0560	0.9440	9.6694	0.80794	1.27551
0.930	0.070	0.0398	0.9602	13.3260	0.80781	1.27719
0.900	0.100	0.0273	0.9727	18.8245	0.80776	1.27415
0.850	0.150	0.0173	0.9827	28.0123	0.80756	1.28224
0.800	0.200	0.0123	0.9877	37.2051	0.80736	1.28383
0.750	0.250	0.0092	0.9908	46.3698	0.80733	1.28399
0.700	0.300	0.0072	0.9928	55.4674	0.80769	1.28253
0.500	0.500	0.0032	0.9968	90.1489	0.82061	1.25569
0.400	0.600	0.0023	0.9977	105.4929	0.84581	1.22568
0.300	0.700	0.0016	0.9984	118.5552	0.90712	1.18143
0.200	0.800	0.0012	0.9988	128.5820	1.06524	1.12168
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	5.03867	1.00000

Table F.24Program estimated data by UNIFAC method of1,4-butylene glycol

(	,	,	-			
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	$y_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.0986	0.81225	1.29258
0.990	0.010	0.2848	0.7152	3.8191	0.81224	1.29350
0.950	0.050	0.0708	0.9292	14.7359	0.81218	1.29681
0.930	0.070	0.0505	0.9495	20.2117	0.81212	1.29823
0.900	0.100	0.0348	0.9652	28.4410	0.81202	1.30003
0.850	0.150	0.0222	0.9778	42.1776	0.81183	1.30210
0.800	0.200	0.0157	0.9843	55.9026	0.81175	1.30285
0.750	0.250	0.0118	0.9882	69.5646	0.81189	1.30211
0.700	0.3 <mark>0</mark> 0	0.0093	0.9907	83.1033	0.81248	1.29966
0.500	0.500	0.0042	0.9958	134.4375	0.82750	1.26797
0.400	0.600	0.0029	0.9971	156.9420	0.85517	1.23503
0.300	0.700	0.0021	0.9979	175.9243	0.92127	1.18761
0.200	0.800	0.0015	0.9985	190.3033	1.09014	1.12475
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	5.24594	1.00000

(1)-water(2) at  $122^{\circ}$ C



x <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$			
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.6430	1.0000	0.7944			
0.974	0.026	0.5671	0.4329	4.5386	0.9999	0.8017			
0.961	0.039	0.4617	0.5383	5.4968	0.9997	0.8054			
0.911	0.089	0.2591	0.7409	9.2792	0.9985	0.8196			
0.863	0.137	0.1744	0.8256	13.0327	0.9964	0.8333			
0.623	0.377	0.0475	0.9525	33.6200	0.9692	0.9013			
0.406	0.594	0.0181	0.9820	54.4895	0.9165	0.9557			
0.290	0.710	0.0102	0.9898	66.1274	0.8775	0.9782			
0.267	0.733	0.0090	0.9910	68.4394	0.8691	0.9818			
0.246	0.754	0.0079	0.9921	70.5457	0.8613	0.9848			
0.159	0.841	0.0044	0.9956	79.1751	0.8290	0.9945			
0.088	0.912	0.0022	0.9978	86.0293	0.8048	0.9987			
0.030	0.970	0.0007	0.9993	91.4748	0.7900	0.9999			
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.7856	1.0000			

Table F.25 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycoll)-

water(2) at 98°C


$\mathbf{X}_2$  $y_1$ P (kPa)  $\mathbf{X}_1$ **y**<sub>2</sub>  $\gamma_1$  $\gamma_2$ 1.000 1.0000 0.0000 1E-09 4.8463 1.00000 0.81716 0.988 6.1947 0.99999 0.81900 0.012 0.7729 0.2271 0.971 0.029 0.5798 8.1156 0.99992 0.82163 0.4202 11.0780 0.99970 0.82568 0.945 0.055 0.4133 0.5867 0.937 0.3784 0.6216 11.9954 0.99961 0.82694 0.063 0.917 0.083 0.3105 0.6895 14.3020 0.99931 0.83010 0.905 0.095 0.2792 0.7208 15.6946 0.99908 0.83202 23.2350 0.99727 0.84241 0.841 0.159 0.1749 0.8251 0.801 0.199 0.1378 0.8622 28.0476 0.99556 0.84907 0.745 0.255 0.1026 0.8974 34.9200 0.99228 0.85864 0.700 0.300 0.0827 0.9173 40.5612 0.98879 0.86654 0.438 0.562 0.0265 0.9735 75.7670 0.94517 0.91702 0.387 0.0210 0.9790 83.1453 0.92950 0.92782 0.613 0.323 0.677 0.0153 0.9847 92.6701 0.90449 0.94179 0.174 0.0059 0.9941 115.9324 0.81166 0.97486 0.826 1E-09 1.000 0.0000 1.0000 143.1239 0.59120 1.00000

Table F.26 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycoll)-

water (2) at  $110^{\circ}$ C



W	ater(2) at	122°C				
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$y_1$	$\mathbf{y}_2$	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.50255	1.00000	0.77408
0.994	0.006	0.8959	0.1041	9.4331	1.00000	0.77476
0.950	0.050	0.4952	0.5048	16.3091	0.99981	0.77978
0.945	0.055	0.4699	0.5301	17.0963	0.99977	0.78036
0.931	0.069	0.4098	0.5902	19.3071	0.99963	0.78200
0.911	0.089	0.3443	0.6557	22.4825	0.99937	0.78438
0.907	0.093	0.3333	0.6667	23.1201	0.99931	0.78486
0.881	0.119	0.2742	0.7258	27.2844	0.99883	0.78803
0.856	0.144	0.2319	0.7681	31.3228	0.99823	0.79115
0.785	0.215	0.1546	0.8454	42.9878	0.99566	0.80047
0.775	0.225	0.1469	0.8531	44.6555	0.99518	0.80184
0.765	0.23 <mark>5</mark>	0.1396	0.8604	46.3398	0.99467	0.80323
0.614	0 <mark>.38</mark> 6	0.0707	0.9293	72.5038	0.98158	0.82663
0.533	0.46 <mark>7</mark>	0.0502	0.9498	87.3865	0.96845	0.84163
0.414	0.586	0.0297	0.9703	110.7227	0.93500	0.86817
0.316	0.684	0.0181	0.9819	131.7153	0.88526	0.89544
0.247	0.753	0.0118	0.9882	147.7535	0.82966	0.91825
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.34742	1.00000

Table F.27 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of ethylene glycoll)-

water(2) at 122°C

x <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	y <sub>1</sub>	¥2	P (kPa)	γ1	γ2
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.7355	1.00000	0.79442
0.996	0.004	0.8804	0.1196	3.0948	1.00000	0.98199
0.984	0.016	0.6448	0.3552	4.1747	0.99998	0.98343
0.967	0.033	0.4632	0.5368	5.7100	0.99993	0.98545
0.960	0.040	0.4139	0.5861	6.3440	0.99990	0.98628
0.935	0.065	0.2967	0.7033	8.6168	0.99974	0.98917
0.818	0.182	0.1150	0.8850	19.4141	0.99798	1.00162
0.605	0.3 <mark>95</mark>	0.0415	0.9585	39.5393	0.99162	1.01800
0.423	0.577	0.0201	0.9799	56.7576	0.98782	1.02268
0.384	0.616	0.0172	0.9828	60.3880	0.98841	1.02227
0.214	0.786	0.0078	0.9922	75.7292	1.00906	1.01430
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	1.18584	1.00000

 Table F.28
 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol

 Table F.29
 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol

x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	4.9942	1.00000	0.95112
0.995	0.005	0.8795	0.1205	5.6503	1.00000	0.95171
0.990	0.010	0.7839	0.2161	6.3072	0.99999	0.95229
0.957	0.043	0.4482	0.5518	10.6631	0.99989	0.95611
0.946	0.054	0.3897	0.6103	12.1228	0.99982	0.95736
0.923	0.077	0.3034	0.6966	15.1870	0.99963	0.95994
0.887	0.113	0.2212	0.7788	20.0148	0.99920	0.96386
0.789	0.211	0.1179	0.8821	33.3368	0.99723	0.97378
0.688	0.312	0.0722	0.9278	47.2936	0.99405	0.98261
0.437	0.563	0.0260	0.9740	82.4512	0.98362	0.99660
0.428	0.572	0.0251	0.9749	83.7114	0.98328	0.99686
0.205	0.795	0.0088	0.9912	114.6558	0.98018	0.99885
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.94721	1.00000

(1)-water(2) at 110°C

(		.) at 122	-	r		
$\mathbf{x}_1$	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.7146	1.00000	0.92560
0.970	0.030	0.5894	0.4106	14.3412	0.99994	0.92952
0.920	0.080	0.3364	0.6636	23.8248	0.99954	0.93593
0.853	0.147	0.2021	0.7979	36.7320	0.99841	0.94422
0.746	0.254	0.1120	0.8880	57.7799	0.99514	0.95663
0.700	0.300	0.0905	0.9095	66.9754	0.99317	0.96158
0.679	0.321	0.0825	0.9175	71.1995	0.99216	0.96376
0.669	0.3 <mark>3</mark> 1	0.0790	0.9210	73.2163	0.99165	0.96478
0.667	0.333	0.0783	0.9217	73.6200	0.99155	0.96498
0.486	0.514	0.0375	0.9625	110.6129	0.97974	0.98086
0.170	0.830	0.0080	0.9920	176.0797	0.94786	0.99661
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.91177	1.00000

 Table F.30
 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of propylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at 122°C

		,				
$\mathbf{x}_1$	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.8419	1.0000
0.1327	0.8673	0.0005	0.9995	81.5345	0.8913	0.9970
0.3686	0.6314	0.0021	0.9979	58.3913	0.9392	0.9792
0.5587	0.4413	0.0048	0.9952	39.7457	0.9715	0.9510
0.7162	0.2838	0.0101	0.9899	24.9081	0.9889	0.9219
0.7548	0.2452	0.0123	0.9877	21.3928	0.9919	0.9143
0.8500	0.1500	0.0230	0.9770	12.9528	0.9971	0.8951
0.9000	0.1000	0.0365	0.9635	8.6562	0.9987	0.8849
0.9500	0.0500	0.0750	0.9250	4.4557	0.9997	0.8746
0.9800	0.0200	0.1740	0.8260	1.9818	1.0000	0.8684
1.0000	1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.3519	1.0000	0.8643

 Table F.31
 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of1,4-butylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

Table F.32 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of1,4-butylene glycol (1)water(2) at 110°C

		, 110 C		$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}})$		~
X1	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.4028	1.0000
0.1213	0.8787	0.0005	0.9995	122.4242	0.7099	0.9726
0.3982	0.6018	0.0033	0.9967	77.8921	0.9162	0.9010
0.4447	0.5553	0.0041	0.9959	70.9670	0.9321	0.8898
0.5281	0.4719	0.0060	0.9940	59.1213	0.9547	0.8699
0.6867	0.3133	0.0126	0.9874	37.8082	0.9826	0.8325
0.7500	0.2500	0.0176	0.9824	29.7895	0.9894	0.8179
0.8500	0.1500	0.0338	0.9662	17.6698	0.9965	0.7952
0.9000	0.1000	0.0535	0.9465	11.8562	0.9985	0.7841
0.9500	0.0500	0.1080	0.8920	6.2026	0.9996	0.7731
0.9800	0.0200	0.2396	0.7604	2.8859	0.9999	0.7666
1.0000	1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.7056	1.0000	0.7623

(	(-,							
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$y_1$	$\mathbf{y}_2$	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$		
1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.0988	1.0000		
0.1202	0.8798	0.0005	0.9995	172.3642	0.4907	0.9273		
0.3206	0.6794	0.0030	0.9970	117.6260	0.8181	0.8174		
0.5199	0.4801	0.0086	0.9914	76.2344	0.9348	0.7455		
0.6266	0.3734	0.0143	0.9857	57.1143	0.9656	0.7140		
0.6806	0.3194	0.0187	0.9813	48.0590	0.9764	0.6992		
0.7500	0.2500	0.0271	0.9729	36.9650	0.9866	0.6812		
0.8500	0.1500	0.0521	0.9479	21.9549	0.9956	0.6570		
0.9000	0.1000	0.0818	0.9182	14.8461	0.9981	0.6455		
0.9500	0.0500	0.1609	0.8391	7.9827	0.9996	0.6344		
0.9800	0.0200	0.3332	0.6668	3.9774	0.9999	0.6279		
1.0000	1.0E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.3526	1.0000	0.6237		

 Table F.33
 Program estimated data by UNIQUAC method of1,4-butylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at 122°C

	()	1				1
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.6430	1.0000	0.8006
0.974	0.026	0.5652	0.4348	4.5540	0.9999	0.8088
0.961	0.039	0.4598	0.5402	5.5229	0.9997	0.8117
0.911	0.089	0.2576	0.7424	9.3325	0.9985	0.8260
0.863	0.137	0.1733	0.8267	13.1128	0.9965	0.8395
0.623	0.377	0.0474	0.9526	33.7255	0.9708	0.9042
0.406	0.594	0.0182	0.9818	54.3984	0.9224	0.9540
0.290	0.710	0.0103	0.9897	65.9200	0.8855	0.9750
0.267	0.733	0.0091	0.9909	68.2185	0.8772	0.9785
0.246	0.754	0.0080	0.9920	70.3171	0.8615	0.9919
0.159	0.841	0.0044	0.9956	78.9727	0.8340	0.9919
0.088	<mark>0.912</mark>	0.0022	0.9978	85.9199	0.8018	0.9974
0.030	0.970	0.0007	0.9993	91.4541	0.7732	0.9997
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.7576	1.0000

Table F.34 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glyco(1)-

water(2) at 98°C



water (2) at 110°C							
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	$y_2$	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	4.8463	1.00000	0.79007	
0.988	0.012	0.7785	0.2215	6.1505	0.99998	0.79328	
0.971	0.029	0.5869	0.4131	8.0166	0.99986	0.79785	
0.945	0.055	0.4194	0.5806	10.9131	0.99947	0.80486	
0.937	0.063	0.3841	0.6159	11.8146	0.99930	0.80703	
0.917	0.083	0.3150	0.6850	14.0898	0.99878	0.81244	
0.905	0.095	0.2836	0.7164	15.4696	0.99839	0.81569	
0.841	0.1 <mark>5</mark> 9	0.1763	0.8237	23.0146	0.99532	0.83307	
0.801	0.199	0.1381	0.8619	27.8897	0.99251	0.84394	
0.745	<mark>0.255</mark>	0.1021	0.8979	34.9200	0.98732	0.85913	
0.700	0.300	0.0818	0.9182	40.7407	0.98201	0.87126	
0.438	0.562	0.0254	0.9746	77.4155	0.92745	0.93798	
0.387	0.613	0.0201	0.9799	85.0163	0.91141	0.94953	
0.333	0.66 <mark>7</mark>	0.0147	0.9853	94.6955	0.88843	0.96295	
0.174	0.826	0.0059	0.9941	117.4748	0.82176	0.98783	
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.71902	1.00000	

Table F.35Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glyc@l)-

. 0



water (2) at  $122^{\circ}C$  $\mathbf{X}_2$  $y_1$ P (kPa)  $\mathbf{X}_1$ **y**<sub>2</sub>  $\gamma_1$  $\gamma_2$ 1.0000 0.0000 1.000 1E-09 8.5025 1.0000 0.78232 0.994 0.8948 0.006 0.1052 9.4446 1.0000 0.7838 0.950 0.4903 0.5097 0.9996 0.050 16.4688 0.7951 0.945 0.055 0.4647 0.5353 17.2800 0.9995 0.7964 0.931 0.4043 0.5957 0.069 19.5656 0.9992 0.8000 0.6617 0.911 0.089 0.3383 22.8673 0.9986 0.8052 0.907 0.093 0.3272 0.6728 23.5329 0.9985 0.8062 0.9975 0.881 0.119 0.2678 0.7322 27.9014 0.8130 0.856 0.144 0.2254 0.7746 32.1718 0.9963 0.8195 0.785 0.215 0.1484 0.8516 44.6779 0.9914 0.8383 0.775 0.225 0.1404 0.8596 46.4846 0.9905 0.8410 0.765 0.235 0.1333 0.8667 48.3026 0.9895 0.8437 0.614 0.386 0.0656 0.9344 77.1187 0.9687 0.8841 0.533 0.467 0.0461 0.9539 93.6086 0.9516 0.9055 0.414 0.0271 0.9729 119.0222 0.9172 0.9357 0.586 0.316 0.684 0.0168 0.9832 140.8136 0.8794 0.9585 0.247 0.753 0.0114 0.9886 156.4517 0.8470 0.9727 1.0E-09 1.000 0.0000 1.0000 211.1682 0.6852 1.0000

Table F.36 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of ethylene glycoll)-

	- /					
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	x <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	2.7355	1.00000	0.87853
0.996	0.004	0.8915	0.1085	3.0560	1.00000	0.87919
0.984	0.016	0.6695	0.3305	4.0204	0.99998	0.88115
0.967	0.033	0.4903	0.5097	5.3942	0.99990	0.88394
0.960	0.040	0.4404	0.5596	<b>5.9624</b>	0.99985	0.88508
0.935	0.065	0.3194	0.6806	8.0039	0.99959	0.88917
0.818	0.182	0.1252	0.8748	17.8087	0.99659	0.90821
0.605	0.3 <mark>95</mark>	0.0443	0.9557	36.6800	0.98185	0.94163
0.423	0.577	0.0206	0.9794	53.7104	0.95692	0.96730
0.384	<mark>0.616</mark>	0.0174	0.9826	57.4423	0.94976	0.97223
0.214	0. <mark>786</mark>	0.0072	0.9928	73.8801	0.90950	0.99012
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.83368	1.00000

 Table F.37
 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

 Table F.38
 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol

x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	4.9942	1.00000	0.91641
0.995	0.005	0.8833	0.1167	5.6254	1.00000	0.91702
0.990	0.010	0.7901	0.2099	6.2576	0.99999	0.91763
0.957	0.043	0.4573	0.5427	10.4508	0.99988	0.92161
0.946	0.054	0.3984	0.6016	11.8567	0.99980	0.92294
0.923	0.077	0.3111	0.6889	14.8094	0.99959	0.92570
0.887	0.113	0.2274	0.7726	19.4665	0.99911	0.92998
0.789	0.211	0.1214	0.8786	32.3580	0.99674	0.94143
0.688	0.312	0.0743	0.9258	45.9563	0.99250	0.95278
0.437	0.563	0.0262	0.9738	80.9154	0.97231	0.97784
0.428	0.572	0.0253	0.9748	82.1933	0.97129	0.97863
0.205	0.795	0.0084	0.9916	114.1017	0.93786	0.99436
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.89087	1.00000

(1)-water(2) at 110°C

<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	8.7146	1.00000	0.92720
0.970	0.030	0.5890	0.4110	14.3497	0.99994	0.93086
0.920	0.080	0.3361	0.6639	23.8409	0.99956	0.93687
0.853	0.147	0.2020	0.7980	36.7485	0.99849	0.94473
0.746	0.254	0.1120	0.8880	57.7851	0.99534	0.95670
0.700	0.300	0.0905	0.9095	66.9764	0.99340	0.96158
0.679	0.321	0.0825	0.9175	71.1995	0.99239	0.96374
0.669	0.3 <mark>3</mark> 1	0.0790	0.9210	73.2162	0.99189	0.96476
0.667	0.333	0.0780	0.9220	73.6200	0.99178	0.96496
0.486	0.514	0.0375	0.9625	110.6704	0.97951	0.98140
0.170	0.830	0.0080	0.9921	.176.3616	0.94647	0.99823
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.93080	1.00000

Table F.39 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of propylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at 122°C



$\mathbf{x}_1$	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	94.2484	0.7669	1.0000
0.133	0.867	0.0005	0.9995	81.2656	0.8415	0.9937
0.369	0.631	0.0021	0.9979	57.3850	0.9296	0.9623
0.559	0.441	0.0049	0.9951	38.7902	0.9701	0.9281
0.716	0.284	0.0103	0.9897	24.2550	0.9889	0.8975
0.755	0.245	0.0127	0.9873	20.8288	0.9919	0.8899
0.850	0.150	0.0236	0.9764	12.6143	0.9972	0.8712
0.900	0.100	0.0375	0.9625	8.4347	0.9988	0.8614
0.950	0.050	0.0769	0.9231	4.3476	0.9997	0.8517
0.980	0.020	0.1778	0.8222	1.9393	1.0000	0.8458
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.3519	1.0000	0.8420

 Table F.40
 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of1,4-butylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at  $98^{\circ}$ C

Table F.41Program estimated data by Wilson equation of1,4-butylene glycol(1)-water(2) at 110°C

(1)-watch(2) at 110 C							
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>y</b> 1	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	143.1239	0.6030	1.0000	
0.121	0.879	0.0005	0.9995	124.4250	0.7243	0.9889	
0.398	0.602	0.0032	0.9968	79.5868	0.8996	0.9211	
0.445	0.555	0.0040	0.9960	72.4197	0.9180	0.9076	
0.528	0.472	0.0059	0.9941	59.9955	0.9450	0.8831	
0.687	0.313	0.0125	0.9875	38.0008	0.9789	0.8369	
0.750	0.250	0.0175	0.9825	29.8253	0.9872	0.8189	
0.850	0.150	0.0340	0.9660	17.5886	0.9958	0.7915	
0.900	0.100	0.0539	0.9461	11.7708	0.9982	0.7781	
0.950	0.050	0.1090	0.8910	6.1453	0.9996	0.7651	
0.980	0.020	0.2418	0.7582	2.8596	0.9999	0.7574	
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	0.7056	1.0000	0.7524	

(1) mull(2) ul 122 C							
x <sub>1</sub>	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{y}_1$	<b>y</b> <sub>2</sub>	P (kPa)	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	
1E-09	1.000	0.0000	1.0000	211.1683	0.3052	1.0000	
0.120	0.880	0.0005	0.9995	180.4266	0.5080	0.9707	
0.321	0.679	0.0026	0.9974	125.3959	0.7615	0.8717	
0.520	0.480	0.0081	0.9919	78.9557	0.9046	0.7725	
0.627	0.373	0.0140	0.9860	57.9770	0.9486	0.7251	
0.681	0.319	0.0184	0.9816	48.2861	0.9450	0.7027	
0.750	0.250	0.0271	0.9729	36.6622	0.9798	0.6756	
0.850	0.150	0.0534	0.9466	21.4005	0.9934	0.6396	
0.900	0.100	0.0845	0.9155	14.3648	0.9975	0.6228	
0.950	0.050	0.1670	0.8330	7.6903	0.9993	0.6067	
0.980	0.020	0.3444	0.6556	3.8487	0.9999	0.5975	
1.000	1E-09	1.0000	0.0000	1.3526	1.0000	0.5914	

 Table F.42
 Program estimated data by Wilson equation of1,4-butylene glycol

(1)-water(2) at  $122^{\circ}C$ 



## VITA

Miss Parnkamol Prucksunand was born in Bangkok, Thailand, on September 11, 1975, the last daughter of Mr. Phanit and Mrs. Chaweewan Prucksunand. She entered Rajani School, Bangkok, in 1979. After completing her high-school study in March, 1993, she entered Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, in June, 1993. After earning the degree of Bachelor of Science in Material Science in Polymer & Fabric in March, 1997, she gained admission to Graduate School of Chulalongkorn University in June, 1997 for the degree of Master of Engineering in Chemical Engineering.

