CHAPTER V

LINEAR OPERATORS AND MEASURE THEORY

This chapter extends the results in [9] which were proven for positive measures or complex measures to quaternion measures.

5.1 <u>Definition</u> Let V be a left vector space over **H**. Then a map •: VxV -> **H** is said to be a <u>left sympletic product</u> (LSP) on V if and only if

(i) $x_{\cdot}y = \overline{y_{\cdot}x}$ for all $x_{\cdot}y \in V_{\cdot}$

(ii) $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in V$.

(iii) $(x \times) \cdot y = \alpha(x \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ for all $\alpha \in H$. (iv) $\forall x \in V, x \cdot x \geqslant 0$ and $x \cdot x = 0$ iff x = 0.

The consequences of these axioms are: (a) 0.x = 0 = x.0 for all $x \in V$.

- (b) $\forall y \in V$, the map x x y is a left linear function on V
- (c) $x \cdot (dy) = (x \cdot y)d$ for all $x, y \in V$, $d \in \mathbb{H}$.
- (d) $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in V$.

If \cdot is a left sympletic product space on V, then the pair (V, \cdot) is called a left sympletic product space(LSPS).

5.2 <u>Definition</u> Let V be a right vector space over \mathbb{H} . Then a map $\cdot :VxV \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is said to be a <u>right sympletic product</u> (RSP) on V if and only if

(i) x.y = y.x for all x,y ev.
(ii) x.(y+z) = x.y+x.z for all x,y,z ev.

(iii) $x \cdot (y d) = (x \cdot y) d$ for all $x, y \in V$ and for all $d \in H$.

(iv) For each $x \in V$, $x \cdot x \ge 0$ and $x \cdot x = 0$ if and only if x = 0.

The consequences of these axioms are:

(a) 0.x = 0 = x.0 for all $x \in V$.

(b) For each $y \in V$, the map $x \mapsto y \cdot x$ is a right linear function on V.

(c) $(x \, \alpha) \cdot y = \overline{\alpha} (x \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in V, \alpha \in H$.

(d) $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in V$.

If \cdot is a right sympletic product space on V, then the pair (V, \cdot) is called a right sympletic product space(RSPS).

5.3 Definition Let V be a LSPS(RSPS) and let $x \in V$, define ||x||, the norm of x to be $\sqrt{x \cdot x}$.

5.4 Theorem Let V be a LSPS(RSPS). Then $|x.y| \le ||x|| ||y||$ for all $x, y \in V$.

Proof [U]Let
$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \cdot x = 0, \\ \frac{|x \cdot y|}{y \cdot x} & \text{if } y \cdot x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $|\mathcal{A}| = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}(y.x) = |x.y| \ge 0$, hence $\overline{\mathcal{A}(y.x)} = \mathcal{A}(y.x)$ = |x.y|. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

(1)
$$0 \leq (x - r \leq y) \cdot (x - r \leq y) = x \cdot x - x \cdot (r \leq y) - (r \leq y) \cdot x + r^{2} (\leq y) \cdot (\leq y)$$

$$= x \cdot x - r (x \cdot y) \overline{d} - r \leq (y \cdot x) + r^{2} |d|^{2} (y \cdot y)$$

$$= x \cdot x - r (\overline{y \cdot x}) \overline{d} - r \leq (y \cdot x) + r^{2} (y \cdot y)$$

$$= x \cdot x - r \overline{d(y \cdot x)} - r \leq (y \cdot x) + r^{2} (y \cdot y)$$

$$= ||x||^{2} - 2r |x \cdot y| + r^{2} ||y||^{2} \cdot$$

Case $||y||^2 = 0$ Then y = 0 and so (x.y) = 0. Hence $|x.y| \le ||x|| ||y||$.

Case
$$\|y\|^2 \neq 0$$
 Let $r = \frac{|(x,y)|}{\|y\|^2}$. From (1), we have
 $0 \leq \|x\|^2 - \frac{|x,y|^2}{\|y\|^2}$.
Hence $\frac{|x,y|^2}{\|y\|^2} \leq \|x\|^2$, so $|x,y|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2$. Hence
 $|x,y| \leq \|x\| \|y\| = \#$

5.5 The Triangle inequality Let V be a LSPS(RSPS). Then $||X + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$

for all $x, y \in V$.

Proof Follows from Theorem 5.4 . #

<u>Remark</u>: Let V be a LSPS(RSPS). $\| \|$ is a map $\| \|: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (1) $||x|| \ge 0$ for all $x \in V$ and $||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0$.
- (2) $\| \mathbf{x} \| = \| \mathbf{x} \| \| \mathbf{x} \|$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{V}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{H}$.
- (3) $||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ for all x, y $\in V$.

Let V be a LSPS(RSPS). Define $d: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by d(x,y) = ||x-y||.

Then d is a metric on V, hence V is a topological space. 5.6 <u>Definition</u> Let V be a LSPS(RSPS). Then V is called a <u>left(right)</u> <u>Hilbert space</u> if and only if V is a complete metric space.

Let \mathcal{M} be a δ -finite positive measure on a δ -algebra \mathcal{M} in X. $L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{H} / f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{2} < \infty \}.$ Define $\cdot : L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \times L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $f \cdot g = \int_{X} f \overline{g} d\mathcal{M}$

for all f, $g \in L^{2}(\mu)$. The integrand on the right is in $L^{1}(\mu)$, by Theorem 4.85, so that f.g is well-defined. Claim that $L^{2}(\mu)$ is a LSPS. If $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ and $f = f_{1} + if_{2} + jf_{3} + kf_{4}$ for some real measurable functions f_{1} , $1 \leq 4$, then $\int \overline{f} d\mu =$

$$\int_{X} (f_1 - if_2 - jf_3 - kf_4) d\mu = \int_{X} f_1 d\mu - i \int_{X} f_2 d\mu - j \int_{X} f_3 d\mu - k \int_{X} f_4 d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} f_1 d\mu + i \int_{X} f_2 d\mu + j \int_{X} f_3 d\mu + k \int_{X} f_4 d\mu = \int_{X} f d\mu .$$
(i) $f \cdot g = \int_{X} f \bar{g} d\mu = \int_{X} g \cdot \bar{f} d\mu = \int_{X} g \cdot \bar{f} d\mu = \bar{g} \cdot \bar{f} f d\mu$

all f,
$$g \in L^{2}(\mathcal{M})$$
.

Fo

(ii)
$$(f+g) \cdot h = \int_X (f+g) h d\mu = \int_X (fh+gh) d\mu = \int_X fh d\mu$$

+ $\int_X gh d\mu = f \cdot h + g \cdot h$ for all $f, g, h \in L^2(\mu)$.

(iii)
$$(\alpha f) \cdot g = \int_X (\alpha f) \overline{g} d\mu = \int_X \alpha (f \overline{g}) d\mu = \alpha \int_X f \overline{g} d\mu$$

= $\alpha(f.g)$ for all $f,g \in L^2(\mu)$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$.

(iv)
$$f \cdot f = \int_X f \bar{f} d\mu = \int_X |f|^2 d\mu \ge 0$$
 for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$
r $f \in L^2(\mu)$. If $f \equiv 0$, then $f \cdot f = \int_X |f|^2 d\mu = 0$. If

f.f = 0, then $\int_X |f|^2 d\mu = 0$, so $|f|^2 = 0$ a.e. which implies that f = 0 a.e. Hence we have the claim. Note that

$$\|f\| = (f.f)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \int_{X} |f|^{2} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{2}.$$

Since $(L^{2}(\mathcal{M}), \| \|_{2})$ is complete by Theorem 4.90, hence $(L^{2}(\mathcal{M}), \| \|)$ is complete. Thus $L^{2}(\mathcal{M})$ is a left Hilbert space

Remark: If we define
$$\cdot :L^{2}(\mu) \times L^{2}(\mu) \longrightarrow H$$
 by
f.g = $\int_{V} \overline{f}_{gd\mu}$

for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Then we have $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is a RSPS, so that $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is a right Hilbert space.

5.7 Theorem(Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Space) Let V be a LSPS(RSPS) which is also a left(right) Hilbert space and let $L: V \rightarrow H$ be a continuous left(right) linear function. Then there exists a unique $y \in V$ such that $L(x) = x \cdot y$ ($L(x) = y \cdot x$) for all $x \in V$.

Proof See [11] . #

5.8 Definition Let V be a left vector space over \mathbb{H} . A map $\| \|: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be left norm on V if and only if

(i) ||x|| > 0 for all x ∈ V and ||x|| = 0 ↔ x = 0.
(ii) ||dx|| = |d||x|| for all x ∈ V and for all d∈ H.
(iii) ||x+y|| ≤ ||x|| + ||y|| for all x, y ∈ V.

If $\| \|$ is a left norm on V, then the pair (V, $\| \|$) is called a left normed linear space.

5.9 Definition Let V be a right vector space over H. A map $\| \| : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be right norm on V if and only if

(i) ||x||≥0 for all x ∈ V and ||x|| = 0 ↔ x = 0.
(ii) ||x d|| = ||x|||d| for all x ∈ V and for all d∈ H.
(iii) ||x+y|| ≤ ||x|| + ||y|| for all x, y ∈ V.

If || is a right norm on V, then the pair (V, ||) is called a right normed linear space.

5.10 Definition Let V be a vector space over H. Then $(V, \|\|\|)$ is called a normed linear space if $\|\|\|$ is both a left norm and right norm.

Example Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then $C_0(X)$ with the supremum norm is a left(right) normed linear space. Also, $C_0(X)$ is a normed linear space with respect to the supremum norm.

5.11 Theorem Let $V_{\nu}W$ be left(right) normed linear spaces and $F:V \rightarrow W$ is a left(right) linear map. If F is continuous. at one point, then F is continuous everywhere.

Proof See [11]. #

5.12 <u>Definition</u> Let V,W be left(right) normed linear spaces and $F:V \rightarrow W$ a left(right) linear map. Define the <u>norm</u> of F by

$$||F|| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{||F(x)||}{||x||} \right\}.$$

Observe that $||F(x)|| \leq ||F|| ||x||$ for all $x \in X$. If $||F|| < \infty$, then F is said to be bounded left(right) linear map.

5.13 Theorem Let V, W be left(right) normed linear spaces and $F: V \rightarrow W$ a left(right) linear map. Then F is continuous if and only if F is bounded.

5.14 <u>Theorem</u> Let V, W be left(right) normed linear spaces and $F: V \rightarrow W$ a left(right) linear map. Then

$$\|F\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\|F(x)\|}{\|x\|} \right\} = \sup_{\|x\| = 1} \left\{ \|F(x)\| \right\} = \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \left\{ \|F(x)\| \right\}.$$

<u>Proof</u> See [11] . #

5.15 <u>Theorem(Hahn-Banach)</u> Let V be a left(right) normed linear space and W is a left(right) linear subspace of V. Let F: $W \rightarrow H$ be a bounded left(right) linear functional on W. Then there exists a bounded left(right) linear functional F on V such that $F_{1,2} = f$ and ||f|| = ||F||.

Proof See [11] .

5.16 <u>Theorem</u>(Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem for a Quaternion Measure) Let A be a δ -finite positive measure on a δ -algebra \mathfrak{M} in X. Suppose λ is a quaternion measure on \mathfrak{M} . Then

(a) There is a unique pair of quaternion measures $\lambda_{\rm a}, \, \lambda_{\rm s}$ on M such that

Remark: (1) The pair λ_a and λ_s is called the <u>Lebesgue</u> decomposition of λ relative to μ .

(2) Assertion (b) is known as the <u>Radon-Nikodym</u> Theorem.

Proof Uniqueness of λ_{a} and λ_{s} Let λ'_{a} and λ'_{s}

be quaternion measures such that

 $\lambda = \lambda_{a} + \lambda_{s}, \quad \lambda_{a} < \mu, \quad \lambda_{s} \perp \mu.$ Then $\lambda_{a} - \lambda_{a} = \lambda_{s} - \lambda_{s}$. Since $-\lambda_{a} < \mu$ and $\lambda_{a} < \mu$, $\lambda_{a} - \lambda_{a} < \mu$ by Theorem 2.51 (d). Since $\lambda_{s} \perp \mu$ and $-\lambda_{s} \perp \mu$, $\lambda_{s} - \lambda_{s} \perp \mu$ by Theorem 2.51 (c). Hence $\lambda_{a} - \lambda_{a} = 0 = \lambda_{s} - \lambda_{s}$ by Theorem 2.51 (g). Then $\lambda_{a} = \lambda_{a}$ and $\lambda_{s} = \lambda_{s}$.

Uniqueness of h Suppose there exists $h_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $\lambda_a(E) = \int_E h_1 d\mu$ (E $\in M$).

Then $h-h_1 \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$ and $\int_E (h-h_1) d\mathcal{M} = 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $h-h_1 = 0$ a.e. \mathcal{M} on X by Theorem 4.74 (a), so $h_1 = h$ a.e. \mathcal{M} on X.

Step I Assume μ and λ are finite positive measures on \mathcal{M} . Put $\mathcal{Q} = \lambda + \mu$. Then \mathcal{Q} is a finite positive measure on \mathcal{M} . Then for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\int_{X} \chi_{E} d\lambda + \int_{X} \chi_{E} d\mu = \lambda(E) + \mu(E) = \Psi(E) = \int_{X} \chi_{E} d\Psi,$$

Hence $\int_X sd\lambda + \int_X sd\mu = \int_X sd\Psi$ for all simple measurable

functionss. Let f be a non negative measurable function. Then there exists a sequence of simple measurable functions $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on X such that

 $0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \dots$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

By Lebesgue's Momotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\varphi = \int_{X} f d\varphi, \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\lambda = \int_{X} f d\lambda \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\mathcal{A} = \int_{X} f d\mathcal{A} \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$\int_{X} f d\mathcal{Q} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\mathcal{Q} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\int_{X} s_{n} d\lambda + \int_{X} s_{n} d\mathcal{A})$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\lambda + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_{n} d\mathcal{A}$$

$$= \int_{X} f d\lambda + \int_{X} f d\mathcal{A} \quad .$$

Lt follows that $\int_{X} f d\varphi = \int_{X} f d\lambda + \int_{X} f d\mu$ for all $f \in L^{1}(\varphi)$. If $f \in L^{2}(\varphi)$, then $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$ (since $1 \in L^{2}(\varphi)$; $f.1 \in L^{1}(\varphi)$) so $f \in L^{1}(\lambda)$. If $f \in L^{2}(\varphi)$, then $|\int_{X} f d\lambda| \leq \int_{X} |f| d\lambda \leq \int_{X} |f| d\lambda| \leq \int_{X} |f| d\lambda| \leq \int_{X} |f| d\mu| \leq \int_$

(1) $\int_X f d\lambda = \int_X f dx$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathcal{Q})$.

If Q(E) = 0 for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ then let $\lambda_a = \lambda_s = 0$ and $h \equiv 0$ and we have the theorem.

For $E \in M$ such that $\mathcal{Q}(E) > 0$, we have $\mathcal{Q}(E) \ge \lambda(E) = \int_X \mathcal{X}_E d\lambda = \int_X \mathcal{X}_E g d \mathcal{Q} = \int_E g d \mathcal{Q} \ge 0$,

so $0 \le \frac{1}{\varphi(E)} \int_E g d\varphi \le 1$. By Theorem 4.75, we have $g(x) \in [0,1]$

a.e. [4] on X. We may therefore assume that $0 \le g(x) \le 1$ for all x $\in X$, without affecting (1). From (1), we have (2) $\int_X f(1-g) d\lambda = \int_X fg dM$ for all $f \in L^2(\Psi)$. Put $A = \{x \in X/g(x) \in [0,1)\}$, $B = \{x \in X/g(x) = 1\}$, and define $\lambda_a(E) = \lambda(E \cap A)$, $\lambda_s(E) = \lambda(E \cap B)$ for all $E \in M$. Then λ_a and λ_s are finite positive measures, $\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s$ and $\lambda_a \perp \lambda_s$. From (2), $\mathcal{M}(B) = \int_B 1 dM = \int_B g dM$ $= \int_X \chi_B g dM = \int_X \chi_B (1-g) d\lambda = \int_B (1-g) d\lambda = 0$. Thus $\lambda_s \perp \mathcal{M}$ (since λ_s is concentrated on B and \mathcal{M} is concentrated on B^C) Since g is bounded and Ψ is finite, $(1+g+g^2+\ldots+g^n) \chi_E$ $\in L^2(\Psi)$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, $E \in M$; and from (2), we have $\int_E (1+g+g^2+\ldots+g^n)(1-g) d\lambda = \int_E (1+g+g^2+\ldots+g^n) g d\mathcal{M}$

SO

 $\int_{E} (1-g^{n+1}) d\lambda = \int_{E} (1+g+g^{2}+\ldots+g^{n}) g d\mu$ Since E = (E \Lambda A) U(E \Lambda B) and g(x) = 1 for all x \in E, we have. (3) $\int_{E \wedge A} (1-g^{n+1}) d\lambda = \int_{E} (1+g+g^{2}+\ldots+g^{n}) g d\mu$ for all n \in N and for all E \in M. If x \in A, g^{n+1}(x) \rightarrow 0 monotonically, so lim(1-g^{n+1})(x) = 1 and |(1-g^{n+1})(x)| < 1 n \rightarrow 0 for all x \in A and for all n \in N. By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem;

(4) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E \cap A} (1 - g^{n+1}) d\lambda = \int_{E \cap A} 1 d\lambda = \lambda(E \cap A) = \lambda_a(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let
$$h(x) = \lim(1+g+g^2+\ldots+g^n)g(x)$$
 for all $x \in X$.
 $n \to \infty$

Then h is a non negative measurable function and $0 \le g \le (1+g)g \le (1+g+g^2)g \le \dots \le \infty$. By Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem,

(5)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} (1+g+g^2+\ldots+g^n) g d\mu = \int_{E} h d\mu$$

for all $E \in M$. By (3), (4) and (5),

$$\lambda_{a}(E) = \int_{E} h d\mu$$

for all $E \in M$. Hence $\lambda_a \ll \mu$. Since $\int_X |h| d\mu = \int_X h d\mu = \lambda_a(X) \leq \lambda(X) < \infty$, $h \in L^1(\mu)$.

Step II Assume μ is a 6-finite positive measure on \mathbb{M} and λ is a finite positive measure on \mathbb{M} . Then there exists x_1, x_2, \ldots $\in \mathbb{M}$ such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n, \quad \mathcal{M}(X_n) < \infty \quad , n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let $Y_1 = X_1$, $Y_n = X_n (Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup \dots \cup Y_{n-1})$ if $n \ge 2$. Then $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$, $Y_1 \wedge Y_j = \emptyset$ if $i \ne j$, $\mathcal{M}(Y_n) < \mathcal{O}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{M}_n = \{E \cap Y_n / E \in \mathcal{M}\}$ and let $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{M}_n$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda | \mathcal{M}_n$. By Stepl, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist unique positive measures $\lambda_n^{(n)}$, $\lambda_n^{(n)}$ on \mathcal{M}_n such that

$$\lambda_{n} = \lambda_{a}^{(n)} + \lambda_{s}^{(n)}, \quad \lambda_{a}^{(n)} \ll \mu_{n}, \quad \lambda_{s}^{(n)} \perp \mu_{n}$$

and there exist unique $h_n \in L^{\perp}(\mathcal{M}_n)$ such that

$$\lambda_{a}^{(n)}(E) = \int_{E}^{h} n^{d} \mu_{n}$$

for all $E \in M_n$. Note that h_n is positive (by Stepl) for all $n \in N$. Define λ_a , λ_s , h by

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{E}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{E} \cap \mathbf{Y}_{n}), \\ \lambda_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{E}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\mathbf{s}}^{(n)} (\mathbf{E} \cap \mathbf{Y}_{n}), \end{split}$$

$$h(x) = h_n(x) \text{ if } x \in Y_n.$$

Since $\infty > \lambda(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(Y_n) \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda_a^{(n)}(Y_n) \leq \lambda_n(Y_n) = \lambda(Y_n),$
 $\lambda_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a^{(n)}(Y_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(Y_n) < \infty$. Then $\infty > \lambda_a(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a^{(n)}(Y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{Y_n} h_n d_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{Y_n} h d_n = \int_{X} h d_n, \text{ hence}$
 $h \in L^1(\mathcal{M}).$ For each n, $\lambda_a^{(n)}$ and $\lambda_s^{(n)}$ are positive measures, so λ_a and λ_s are positive measures.

Claim that $\lambda(E) = \lambda_{a}(E) + \lambda_{s}(E)$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$. To, prove this, let $E \in \mathbb{M}$. Then $\lambda_{a}(E) + \lambda_{s}(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{a}^{(n)}(E \cap Y_{n}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{s}^{(n)}(E \cap Y_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_{a}^{(n)} + \lambda_{s}^{(n)})(E \cap Y_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}(E \cap Y_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E \cap Y_{n}) = \lambda(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \cap Y_{n})) = \lambda(E \cap X) = \lambda(E \cap X)$ = $\lambda(E)$.

Claim that $\lambda_a \ll M$. To prove this, let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\mathcal{M}(E) = 0$. Then $0 = \mathcal{M}(E) = \mathcal{M}(E \cap (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)) =$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}(E \cap Y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_n(E \cap Y_n)$, hence $\mathcal{M}_n(E \cap Y_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lambda_a^{(n)} \ll \mathcal{M}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_a^{(n)}(E \cap Y_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\lambda_a(E) = 0$. So we have the claim.

Claim that $\lambda_{s} \perp \mu$. We have that for each $n \in N$, $\lambda_{s}^{(n)} \perp \mu_{n}$, so there exist $\lambda_{n}, B_{n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n}$ such that $A_{n} \cap B_{n} = \emptyset$, $\lambda_{s}^{(n)}$ is concentrated on Λ and μ_{n} is concentrated on B_{n} . Let $\Lambda = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ and $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$. Then $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $Y_{i} \cap Y_{j} = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, it follows that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $E \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. Then $E \cap A_{n} = \emptyset$ for all $n \in N$, so $(E \cap Y_{n}) \cap A_{n}$ $= \emptyset$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $\lambda_{s}^{(n)}(E \cap Y_{n}) = 0$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $\lambda_s(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_s^{(n)}(E \cap Y_n) = 0$. Thus λ_s is concentrated on A. Next, let F $\in M$ be such that F $\cap B = \emptyset$, so F $\cap B_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $(E \cap Y_n) \cap B_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\mathcal{M}_n(E \cap Y_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_n(E \cap Y_n)$ = 0. Hence \mathcal{M} is cocentrated on B. This proves that $\lambda_s \perp \mathcal{M}$.

For
$$E \in \mathcal{M}$$
, $\lambda_a(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_a^{(n)}(E \cap Y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E \cap Y_n} h_n d_n$
= $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E \cap Y_n} h d_n = \int_E h d_n$.

Step III Assume μ is a 6-finite positive measure on \mathfrak{M} and λ is a quaternion measure on \mathfrak{M} . Then

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 + i \lambda_2 + j \lambda_3 + k \lambda_4$$

for some real meaures λ_1' , $1 \leq 4$. Then λ_1^+ , λ_1^- are finite positive measures for 1 = 1, 2, 3, 4. By Step II, there exist unique positive measures λ_a^1 , λ_s^1 , λ_a^{-1} , λ_s^{-1} , 1 = 1, 2, 3, 4such that

$$\lambda_{1}^{+} = \lambda_{a}^{1} + \lambda_{s}^{1}, \quad \lambda_{a}^{1} < \mu, \quad \lambda_{s}^{1} \perp \mu,$$

$$\lambda_{1}^{-} = \lambda_{a}^{-1} + \lambda_{s}^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{a}^{-1} < \mu, \quad \lambda_{s}^{-1} \perp \mu,$$

for 1 = 1, 2, 3, 4, and there exist unique $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_8$ positive measurable functions in $L^1(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\lambda_{a}^{1}(E) = \int_{E} h_{1} d\mu, \quad \lambda_{a}^{-1}(E) = \int_{E} h_{2} d\mu, \quad \lambda_{a}^{2}(E) = \int_{E} h_{3} d\mu,$$
$$\lambda_{a}^{-2}(E) = \int_{E} h_{4} d\mu, \quad \lambda_{a}^{3}(E) = \int_{E} h_{5} d\mu, \quad \lambda_{a}^{-3}(E) = \int_{E} h_{6} d\mu,$$
$$\lambda_{a}^{4}(E) = \int_{E} h_{7} d\mu, \quad \lambda_{a}^{-4}(E) = \int_{E} h_{8} d\mu,$$

for all E \in M. Define λ_a , λ_s , h by

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{a}} = (\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{1} - \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{-1}) + \mathrm{i}(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{2} - \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{-2}) + \mathrm{j}(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{3} - \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{-3}) + \mathrm{k}(\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{4} - \lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{-4}),$$

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{s} &= (\lambda_{s}^{1} - \lambda_{s}^{-1}) + i(\lambda_{s}^{2} - \lambda_{s}^{-2}) + j(\lambda_{s}^{3} - \lambda_{s}^{-3}) + k(\lambda_{s}^{4} - \lambda_{s}^{-4}), \\ h &= (h_{1} - h_{2}) + i(h_{3} - h_{4}) + j(h_{5} - h_{6}) + k(h_{7} - h_{8}). \end{split}$$
 Then λ_{a} and λ_{s} are quaternion measures. $\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{s} = (\lambda_{a}^{1} + \lambda_{s}^{1}) - (\lambda_{a}^{-1} + \lambda_{s}^{-1}) + i((\lambda_{a}^{2} + \lambda_{s}^{2}) - (\lambda_{a}^{-2} + \lambda_{s}^{-2})) + i((\lambda_{a}^{3} + \lambda_{s}^{3}) - (\lambda_{a}^{-3} + \lambda_{s}^{-3})) + k((\lambda_{a}^{4} + \lambda_{s}^{4}) - (\lambda_{a}^{-4} + \lambda_{s}^{-4})) = \lambda_{1}^{+} - \lambda_{1}^{-1} \\ + i(\lambda_{2}^{+} - \lambda_{2}^{-}) + j(\lambda_{3}^{+} - \lambda_{3}^{-}) + k(\lambda_{4}^{+} - \lambda_{4}^{-1}) = \lambda + \text{Hence } \lambda_{a} + \lambda_{s} = \lambda. \end{split}$ Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\mathcal{M}(E) = 0$. Then $\lambda_{a}^{1}(E) = \lambda_{a}^{-1}(E) = 0$ for $1 = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Hence $\lambda_{a}(E) = 0$. Thus $\lambda_{a} < \mathcal{M}$. Since $\lambda_{s}^{1} \perp \mathcal{M}, -\lambda_{s}^{-1} \perp \mathcal{M}, i \lambda_{s}^{2} \perp \mathcal{M}, -i \lambda_{s}^{-2} \perp \mathcal{M}, j \lambda_{s}^{3} \perp \mathcal{M}, -j \lambda_{s}^{-3} \perp \mathcal{M}, k \lambda_{s}^{4} \perp \mathcal{M} \text{ and } -k \lambda_{s}^{-4} \perp \mathcal{M}, by$ Theorem 2.51 (c), we have

$$((\lambda_s^1 - \lambda_s^{-1}) + i(\lambda_s^2 - \lambda_s^{-2}) + j(\lambda_s^3 - \lambda_s^{-3}) + k(\lambda_s^4 - \lambda_s^{-4})) \perp \mu$$
,
that is $\lambda_s \perp \mu$. By Theorem 4.70, we have

 $h = (h_1 - h_2) + i(h_3 - h_4) + j(h_5 - h_6) + k(h_7 - h_8) \in L^{1}(\mu)$

and

$$\lambda_{a}(E) = (\lambda_{a}^{1}(E) - \lambda_{a}^{-1}(E)) + i(\lambda_{a}^{2}(E) - \lambda_{a}^{-2}(E)) + i(\lambda_{a}^{3}(E) - \lambda_{a}^{-3}(E)) + k(\lambda_{a}^{4}(E) - \lambda_{a}^{-4}(E)) = \int_{E}^{h} h_{1} d\mu - \int_{E}^{h} h_{2} d\mu + i(\int_{E}^{h} h_{3} d\mu - \int_{E}^{h} h_{4} d\mu) + i(\int_{E}^{h} h_{5} d\mu - \int_{E}^{h} h_{6} d\mu) + k(\int_{E}^{h} h_{7} d\mu - \int_{E}^{h} h_{8} d\mu) = \int_{E}^{h} ((h_{1} - h_{2}) + i(h_{3} - h_{4}) + j(h_{5} - h_{6}) + k(h_{7} - h_{8})) d\mu$$

for all $E \in M$. #



5.17 Theorem Let \mathcal{M} be a quaternion measure on a 6-algebra \mathcal{M} in X. Then there is a quaternion measurable function h such that |h(x)| = 1 for all $x \in X$ and such that

 $\mathcal{M}(E) = \int_{E} h d \mathcal{M} \left(E \in \mathcal{M} \right) .$

<u>Proof</u> Suppose that $\mu \equiv 0$, then $\mu \equiv 0$. Let $h \equiv 1$. Then $\mu(E) = \int_{E} h d\mu for all E \in \mathbb{M}$, so done.

Hence we may assume that $\mu \not\equiv 0$. Clearly, $\mu \leftarrow f\mu$. Hence by the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exists $h \in L^{1}(|\mu|)$ such that

$$\mu(E) = \int_{E} h d\mu I$$

for all EE M.

Let $r \in [0,1)$ and $A_r = \{x \in X/ |h(x)| < r\}$. Let $(E_j)_{j \in N}$ be a partition of A_r . Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{M}(E_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\int_{E_j} hd|\mathcal{M}| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} hd|\mathcal{M}| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r|\mathcal{M}|(E_j)| = r|\mathcal{M}|(A_r)$. Then $|\mathcal{M}|(A_r) \le r|\mathcal{M}|(A_r)| \le r$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{Jul}(E)} \int_{E} \operatorname{hdjul} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\operatorname{Jul}(E)}{\operatorname{Jul}(E)} \right| \leq 1$$
.

By Theorem 4.75, we have

$$\begin{split} \|h\| \leq 1 \text{ a.e. } [|\mu|]. \\ \text{fhen } \mu(\{x \in X/ |h(x)| > 1\}) &= 0. \text{ Let} \\ &= \{x \in X/ |h(x)| \neq 1\} = \{x \in X/ |h(x)| > 1\} \cup \{x \in X/ |h(x)| < 1\}. \end{split}$$

Thus $|\mu|(B) = 0$. Define $h: X \rightarrow H$ by

$$\hat{h}(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in B^{C}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

Then \hat{h} is measurable and $|\hat{h}| = 1$ and for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\mathcal{M}(E) = \int_{E} hdy u = \int_{E \cap B} hdy u + \int_{E \cap B} hdy u = \int_{E} hdy u$$

5.18 <u>Theorem</u> Assume μ is a δ -finite positive measure on a δ -algebra \mathcal{M} in X, $g \in L^{1}(\mu)$ and $\lambda(E) = \int_{E} g d\mu$ ($E \in \mathcal{M}$). Then

 $|\lambda|(E) = \int_{E} |g| d\mu$ ($E \in M$).

<u>Proof</u> By Theorem 4.69, λ is a quaternion measure on \mathbb{M} , hence by Theorem 5.17, there exists a measurable function h such that |h| = 1 on X and $\lambda(E) = \int_E hdl\lambda l$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$. Then $\lambda(E) = \int_E hdl\lambda l = \int_E gd\mu$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$. Hence $\int_E \overline{hd} \lambda = \int_E \overline{hhdl} \lambda l = \int_E \overline{hgd\mu}$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$ (by Theorem 4.69). Since $\overline{hh} = |h|^2 = 1$, $\int_E dl\lambda l = \int_E \overline{h_2d\mu}$, so $|\lambda|(E) = \int_E \overline{hgd\mu}$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$. Claim that $\overline{hg} \ge 0$ a.e. $[\mu]$. To prove this, assume $\overline{hg} = u_1 + iu_2 + ju_3 + ku_4$ for some real measurable functions u_1' , $1 \le 4$. Then $|\lambda|(E) = \int_E \overline{hgd\mu} = \int_E u_1 d\mu + i \int_E u_2 d\mu + j \int_E u_3 d\mu$ $+k \int_E u_4 d\mu$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$. Since $|\lambda|(E) \ge 0$, $\int_E u_2 d\mu = \int_E u_3 d\mu$ $= \int_E u_4 d\mu = 0$ for all $E \in \mathbb{M}$, hence, by Theorem 4.74 (a), $u_2 = u_3 = u_4 = 0$ a.e. [A]. Hence hg is real a.e. [A]. Then there exists $B \in M$ such that hg is real on B and $\mathcal{M}(B^C) = 0$. Let $E = \{x \in B/(hg)(x) < 0\}$. For each n, let $E_n = \{x \in E/hg(x) < -\frac{1}{n}\}$. Then $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E_n$. For each n,

$$0 \leq |\lambda| (E_n) = \int_{E_n} \overline{hgd} \mu = -\int_{E_n} -\overline{hgd} \mu \leq -\int_{E_n} \frac{1}{n} d\mu = -\frac{1}{n} \mu(E_n)$$

 $\leq 0. \text{ Hence } \mathcal{M}(E_n) = 0 \text{ for all } n, \text{ so } \mathcal{M}(E) = 0. \text{ Then } \overline{hg} \ge 0$ on $B \setminus E$ and $\mathcal{M}((B \setminus E)^C) = 0$, so $\overline{hg} \ge 0$ a.e. $[\mathcal{M}]$. Since $|\overline{h}| = 1$, we see that $|g| = |\overline{hg}| = \overline{hg}$ a.e. $[\mathcal{M}]$. Hence $\int_E |g| d\mathcal{M} = \int_E \overline{hg} d\mathcal{M}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$, so $|\mathcal{M}|(E) = \int_E |g| d\mathcal{M}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M} \cdot \#$

Let μ be a δ -finite positive measure on a δ -algebra M in X and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let q be the exponent conjugate to p. Let $g \in L^{q}(\mu)$. Define $\phi_{g}: L^{p}(\mu) \longrightarrow H$ by $\phi_{g}(f) = \int_{X} f_{g} d\mu \quad (\int_{X} gf d\mu)$

Then ϕ_g is a left(right) linear functional on $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ and

$$\begin{split} \| \phi_{g} \| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\| \phi_{g}(f) \|}{\| f \|}_{p} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\int \| f \| g \|}{\| f \|_{p}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\int \| f \| g \| g \|}{\| f \|_{p}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\| f \| g \| g \|}{\| f \|_{p}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\| f \| g \| g \|}{\| f \|_{p}} \right\} \\ &= \| g \|_{q} < \infty \quad . \end{split}$$

Hence ϕ_g is a bounded left(right) linear functional on $L^p(\mu)$

5.19 Theorem Suppose $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let μ be a non trivial finite positive measure on a δ -algebra \mathcal{M} in X, and ϕ a bounded left(right) linear functional on $L^p(\mu)$. Then there exists a unique function $g \in L^q(\mu)$ where q is the exponent conjugate to p, such that

(1) $\phi(f) = \int_X fgdm (\int_X gfdm)$ ($f \in L^p(m)$). Moreover, if ϕ and g are related as in (1), we have (2) $\|\phi\| = \|g\|_q$.

Proof Uniqueness of g Suppose there exists $g_1 \in L^q(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\int_X fgd\mathcal{M} = \int_X fg_1 d\mathcal{M}$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$. Since $\mathcal{M}(X) < \infty$, $1 \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$. Hence $\int_X gd\mathcal{M} = \int_Y g_1 d\mathcal{M}$, so $\int_Y (g-g_1) d\mathcal{M} = 0$ which implies that

 $g = g_1$ a.e. [m]. We have shown that $\|\phi\| \le \|g\|_q$. If $\|\phi\| = 0$, then $\phi \equiv 0$, so (1) and (2) hold with $g \equiv 0$. Assume $\|\phi\| > 0$.

Define $\lambda: \mathfrak{M} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ by

 $\lambda(E) = \phi(X_E).$

If E,F $\in \mathcal{M}$ is such that E \cap F = ϕ , then λ (EUF) = $\phi(\chi_{EUF})$ = $\phi(\chi_{E} + \chi_{F}) = \phi(\chi_{E}) + \phi(\chi_{F}) = \lambda(E) + \lambda(F)$. Let E be the union of countable many disjoint measurable sets E_{i} . For each k, let

 $A_{k} = E_{1} \cup \dots \cup E_{k} \cdot \underset{\infty}{\longrightarrow}$ Then $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq A_{3} \subseteq \dots$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{} A_{k} = E$, so $E \setminus A_{1} \supseteq E \setminus A_{2} \supseteq \dots$, hence for each k, we see that

$$\|\chi_{E}^{-}\chi_{A_{k}}\|_{p} = \left\{\int_{X} |\chi_{E}^{-}\chi_{A_{k}}|^{p} d_{\mathcal{M}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{\int_{X} |\chi_{E^{-}A_{k}}|^{p} d_{\mathcal{M}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left\{\int_{E^{-}A_{k}} 1 d_{\mathcal{M}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\mathcal{M}(E^{-}A_{k})\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

(Because $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{M}(E \setminus A_k) = \mathcal{M}(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty}(E \setminus A_k)) = \mathcal{M}(\phi) = 0).$ Since ϕ is bounded, by Theorem 5.13, ϕ is continuous. Then $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{A_k}) \longrightarrow \phi(\mathcal{A}_E)$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$, so $\lambda(A_k) \longrightarrow \lambda(E)$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ Hence $\lambda(E) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda(A_k) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}E_i) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda(E_i) = \sum_{k\to\infty}^{\infty} \lambda(E_i).$ Therefore λ is a quaternion measure. Claim that $\lambda << \mathcal{M}$. To prove this, let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $\mathcal{M}(E) = 0.$ Then $\|\mathcal{X}_E\|_p = \{\int_X |\mathcal{X}_E|^p d\mathcal{M}\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\mathcal{M}(E))^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0.$ Since $|\phi(\mathcal{X}_E)| \leq \|\phi\| \|\mathcal{X}_E\|_p = 0$, we have $\lambda(E) = 0$. So we the claim. By Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem, there exists $g \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$ such that

 $\lambda(E) = \int_{E} g d\mu$

for all $E \in M$. Then $\phi(\chi_E) = \int_E g d\mu = \int_X \chi_E g d\mu$ for all $E \in M$. By linearity, it follows that

$$\phi(s) = \int_X sgd\mu$$

for every simple measurable function s.

Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Then $|f(x)| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$ for almost all x, so there exists $N \in \mathbb{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(N) = 0$ and $|f(x)| \leq ||f||_{\infty}$ for all $x \in N^{\mathbb{C}}$. Consider $f \geqslant 0$. By the proof of Theorem 3.15 there exists a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple measurable functions such that $s_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $N^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $||f-s_n||_{p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since ϕ is conitinuous, we have

Claim that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{X} s_n g d\mu = \int_{X} f g d\mu$. To prove this, we can choose M>O such that $|s_n| \leq M$ on N^C for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n g(x) = fg(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}^C$, $|s_n g| \leq |Mg|$ on N^C for all $n \to \infty$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Mg \in L^1(\mu)$ (since $g \in L^1(\mu)$), by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem,

(4)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_n g d\mu = \int_{X} f g d\mu ,$$

so we have the claim. By (3) and (4), we see that

$$\phi(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(s_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X s_n g d\mu = \int_X f g d\mu$$

Next, consider f is real. Then $f = f^+ - f^-$, so

$$\delta(f) = \phi(f^{+}-f^{-}) = \phi(f^{+})-\phi(f^{-})$$
$$= \int_{X} f^{+}gd\mu - \int_{X} f^{-}gd\mu = \int_{X} (f^{+}-f^{-})gd\mu = \int_{X} fgd\mu .$$

Finally, consider f is quaternion. Then $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$ for some real measurable functions f_1 , $1 \le 4$. Then

$$\begin{split} \phi(f) &= \phi(f_1) + i\phi(f_2) + j\phi(f_3) + k\phi(f_4) \\ &= \int_X f_1 g d\mu + i \int_X f_2 g d\mu + j \int_X f_3 g d\mu + k \int_X f_4 g d\mu \\ &= \int_X (f_1 + i f_2 + j f_3 + k f_4) g d\mu = \int_X f g d\mu \quad . \end{split}$$

Hence $\phi(f) &= \int_X f g d\mu$ for all $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$.

We want to prove that $g \in L^{q}(\mu)$ and that (2) holds. Case I p = 1. Then for all $E \in M$,

$$\left|\int_{E} g d\mu\right| = \left|\int_{X} \chi_{E} g d\mu\right| = \left|\phi(\chi_{E})\right| \le \left\|\phi\|\|\chi_{E}\|_{1} = \|\phi\|\mu(E).$$

Hence $\left|\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}(E)}\int_{E} gd\mu\right| \leq \|\phi\|$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(E) > 0$. By Theorem 4.75, $|g(x)| \leq \|\phi\|$ a.e. $[\mathcal{M}]$, hence $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \|\phi\| < \infty$. Therefore $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ and $||g||_{\infty} = ||\phi||$.

<u>Case II</u> $1 . Since g is quaternion measurable, similar to Corollary 3.5 (e), there exists a quaternion measurable function <math>\measuredangle$ such that $|\measuredangle| = 1$ and $|g| = \measuredangle g$. For each n, let

 $E_n = \{x \in X / |g(x)| \le n\}$ and put $f_n = \chi_{E_n} |g|^{q-1} \chi$. Since q is the exponent conjugate to p, $|f_n|^p = |g|^q$ on E_n . Since $|f_n|^{-1}(n^{q-1}, \omega) =$ $(\chi_{E_n} |g|^{q-1})^{-1} (n^{q-1}, \infty] = \phi$, we have $||f_n||_{\infty} =$ $\inf \left\{ \beta \in [0, \infty) / \mathcal{M}(|f_n|^{-1}(\beta, \infty]) = 0 \right\} \leq n^{q-1} < \infty, \text{ hence}$ $f_n \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Also, $f_n \in L^p(\mu)$ since f_n is bounded. $\int_{E} |g|^{q} dm = \int_{E} |g|^{q-1} |g| dm = \int_{X} \chi_{E_{n}} |g|^{q-1} dg dm = \int_{X} f_{n} g dm$ $= \phi(f_n) \leq \|\phi\| \|f_n\|_p = \|\phi\| \left\{ \int_E |g|^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ If }$ $\int_{E} |g|^{q} d\mu = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ . Then } |g|^{q} = 0 \text{ a.e. } [\mu] \text{ on } X,$ hence $\int_{V} |g|^{q} d\mu = 0$, hence $g \in L^{q}(\mu)$ and $||g||_{q} = 0 \leq ||\phi||$. Since $\|\phi\| \le \|g\|_q$, we see that $\|g\|_q = \|\phi\|$. If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\int_E |g|^{q} d\mu > 0$. Since $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\int_{E} |g|^{q} d\mu > 0$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Since $\int_{E} |g|^{q} d\mu \le E$ $\|\|\phi\| \left\{\int_{E} \|g\|^{q} d\mu\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left\{\int_{E} \|g\|^{q} d\mu\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|\phi\|$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Hence $\left\{ \int_V \chi_{E_0} |g|^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \le ||\phi||$ for all $n \ge n_0$. Since

all $x \in X$, by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \chi_{E_n} |g|^q d\mu = \int_{X} |g|^q d\mu,$$

hence .

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{X} \chi_{E_n} |g|^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left\{ \int_{X} |g|^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Therefore

$$\left\{\int_{X} |g|^{q} d\mu\right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|\phi\|$$

that is $\|g\|_q \leq \|\phi\| < \infty$. Hence $g \in L^q(\mathcal{M})$ and (2) holds.

For all $f_1, f \in L^p(\mu), \left| \int_X fgd\mu - \int_X f_1gd\mu \right| =$ $\left| \int_X (f - f_1)gd\mu \right| \leq \int_X |f - f_1||g| d\mu \leq ||f - f_1||_p ||g||_q \text{ by Hölder's}$

inequality. Hence the map $f \longrightarrow \int_X fgd\mu$ is continuous on $L^p(\mu)$

Now $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ contains $\mathcal{G} = \{s \text{ is a quaternion simple} \\$ measurable function}. By Theorem 4.92, \mathcal{G} is dense in $L^{p}(\mathcal{M})$, hence $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ is dense in $L^{p}(\mathcal{M})$.

To show that $\phi(f) = \int_X fgd\mathcal{A}$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$, let $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^{\infty}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$. Since ϕ is continuous, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(f_n) = \frac{1}{n \to \infty}$ $\phi(f)$. Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n gd\mathcal{A} = \phi(f)$. Since the map $f \mapsto \int_X fgd\mathcal{A}$ is continuous on $L^p(\mathcal{A})$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n gd\mathcal{A} = \int_X fgd\mathcal{A}$. Hence $\phi(f) = \int_X fgd\mathcal{A} \cdot \#$.

5.20 Theorem Let μ be a quaternion(Borel) measure on a δ -algebra \mathfrak{M} in a topological space X. If $f \in L^{1}(\mu)$, then

$$\int_{X} f d\mu = \int_{X} f h d\mu$$

$$([\int_{X} (d\mu) f] = \int_{X} h f d\mu$$

for some quaternion (Borel) measurable function h such that |h| = 1 on X.

<u>Proof</u> By Theorem 5.17, there is a quaternion(Borel) measurable function h such that |h| = 1 on X and $\mathcal{M}(E) = \int_{E} hd\mu I$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 4.69, we have the theorem.#

5.21 <u>Theorem</u> Let μ and λ be quaternion(Borel) measures on a σ -algebra \mathcal{M} in a topological space X. If $f \in L^{1}(\mu + \lambda)$ then

$$\int_{X} f d(\mu + \lambda) = \int_{X} f d\mu + \int_{X} f d\lambda$$

$$([\int_{X} (d(\mu + \lambda))f] = [\int_{X} (d\mu)f] + [\int_{X} (d\lambda)f]).$$

<u>Proof</u> <u>Case I</u> $f = \chi_E$ for some $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\mu + \lambda$ is a quaternion measure, we have $\int_X \chi_E^d(\mu + \lambda) = (\mu + \lambda)(E)$ $= \mathcal{M}(E) + \lambda(E) = \int_X \chi_E^d \mu + \int_X \chi_E^d \lambda$.

Case II f is simple. Then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are distinct values of f and $E_i = f^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\int_{X} f d(\mu + \lambda) = \int_{X} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \chi_{E_{i}} d(\mu + \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \int_{X} \chi_{E_{i}} d(\mu + \lambda)$$
$$= \int_{X} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \chi_{E_{i}} d\mu + \int_{X} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \chi_{E_{i}} d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{X} f d\mu + \int_{X} f d\lambda$$

Case III $f \ge 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple measurable functions such that $0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \cdots$ and lim $s_n(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By Lebesgue's Monotone $n \rightarrow \infty$ Convergence Theorem, we have

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_n d\mu = \int_{X^4} f d\mu$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} s_n d\lambda = \int_{X} f d\lambda$$

Also, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \int_{n}^{s} d(\mu + \lambda) = \int_{X}^{s} f d(\mu + \lambda)$. But $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X}^{s} \int_{x}^{s} d(\mu + \lambda)$ = $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X}^{s} \int_{x}^{s} d\mu + \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X}^{s} \int_{x}^{s} f d\mu + \int_{X}^{s} f d\lambda$. Hence

$$\int_{X} fd(\mu + \lambda) = \int_{X} fd\mu + \int_{X} fd\lambda .$$

Case IV f is real. Then $f = f^{+}-f^{-}$. By Case III, we see that

$$\int_{X} f d(M + \lambda) = \int_{X} (f^{+} - f^{-}) d(M + \lambda)$$

$$= \int_{X} f^{+} d(M + \lambda) - \int_{X} f^{-} d(M + \lambda)$$

$$= \int_{X} f^{+} dM + \int_{X} f^{+} d\lambda - (\int_{X} f^{-} dM - \int_{X} f^{-} d\lambda)$$

$$= \int_{X} (f^{+} - f^{-}) dM + \int_{X} (f^{+} - f^{-}) d\lambda$$

$$= \int_{X} f dM + \int_{X} f d\lambda$$

<u>Case V</u> f is quaternion. Then $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$ for some real measurable functions f_1' , $1 \le 4$. By Case IV, we see that

$$\begin{split} \int_{X} f d(\mu + \lambda) &= \int_{X} f_{1} d(\mu + \lambda) + i \int_{X} f_{2} d(\mu + \lambda) + j \int_{X} f_{3} d(\mu + \lambda) + i \int_{X} f_{3} d(\mu + \lambda) +$$

5.22 <u>Definition</u> Let M be a quaternion Borel measure on a 6-algebra M in a topological space X. M is called <u>regular</u> if [M] is regular.

The map

$$f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu \left(\left[\int_X (d\mu) f \right] \right)$$

is a bounded left(right) linear functional on $C_0(X)$ whose norm is no larger than $|\mathcal{M}|(X)$.

5.23 The Riesz Representation Theorem Let X be a locally compact, δ -compact Hausdorff space. To each bounded left (right) linear functional ϕ on C₀(X), there corresponds a unique quaternion regular Borel measure \mathcal{M} such that

(1)
$$\phi(f) = \int_X f d\mu \left(\left[\int_X (d\mu) f \right] \right)$$

for all $f \in C_{O}(X)$. Moreover, if ϕ and μ are related as in (1), then

(2) $||\phi|| = |\mu|(X).$

Proof Uniqueness of M Suppose M and M are quaternion regular Borel measures such that

for all
$$f \in C_0(X)$$
. Note that $f \in C_0(X) \Rightarrow f$ is bounded \Rightarrow
 $f \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$. Then
(3) $\int_X f d(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}) = 0$
for all $f \in C_0(X)$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 5.17, there
exists a quaternion Borel function h such that $|h| = 1$ on X and
 $H \in M \int_E d\mathcal{M} = \int_E h d\mathcal{M} ||$. For any sequence $(f_n)_n \in \mathbb{N}$ of $C_0(X)$,
 $\int_{\mathcal{M}} ||(X)| = \int_X f_n d\mathcal{M} ||(X) - \int_X f_n d\mathcal{M} ||(X)| = \int_X f_n d\mathcal{M} ||(X)| = \int_X f_n h d\mathcal{M}$

 $\int f d\mu = \int f d\mu'$

Since $C_{c}(X)$ is dense in $L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\overline{h} \in L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$, there exists a sequence $(f_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_{c}(X)$ such that $f_{n} \rightarrow \overline{h}$ in $L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$, so

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X} \left\| \bar{h} - f_{n} \right\| d\mu n' \right\| = \left\| \bar{h} - f_{n} \right\|_{1} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty \text{ .} \\ &\text{Hence } \left\| \mu'' \right\| (X) = 0 \text{ . Since } \left\| \mu''(E) \right\| \le \left\| \mu'' \right\| (E) \le \left\| \mu'' \right\| (X) = 0 \text{ for} \\ &\text{all } E \in \mathcal{M}, \ \mu'' \equiv 0 \text{ which implies that } \mu = \mu' \text{ .} \end{split}$$

If $\|\phi\| \equiv 0$, then $\phi \equiv 0$, so put $\mathcal{M} \equiv 0$. Assume without loss of generality that $\|\phi\| = 1$ (If $0 < \|\phi\| \neq 1$, then we put $\phi_1 = \frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|}$). Let

 $C_{c}^{+}(X) = \{f \in C_{c}^{-}(X) / f \text{ is non negative real}\}.$ For $f \in C_{c}^{+}(X)$, define

$$\begin{split} & \Lambda f = \sup \left\{ | \phi(h) | / h \in C_c(X), |h| \leq f \right\}. \\ & \text{Then } \Lambda f \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } f \in C_c^+(X), 0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \text{ in } C_c^+(X) \longrightarrow \Lambda f_1 \leq \Lambda f_2, \\ & \text{and for } c \in [0, \infty), \Lambda(cf) = c \Lambda f. \text{ Also, for } f \in C_c(X), \end{split}$$

 $\Lambda(|f|) = \sup \{ |\phi(h)| / h \in C_{c}(X), |h| \le |f| \}$

 $\leq \sup \{ \| \phi \| \| h \| / h \in C_{c}(X), \| h \| \leq \| f \| \}$ = $\sup \{ \| h \| / h \in C_{c}(X), \| h \| \leq \| f \| \} \leq \| f \| ,$

hence

$$(4) \quad |\phi(f)| \leq \Lambda(|f|) \leq ||f|| .$$

Let $f, g \in C_c^*(X)$. To show that $\bigwedge (f+g) = \bigwedge f + \bigwedge g$. To prove this let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exist $h_1, h_2 \in C_c(X)$ such that $\lfloor h_1 \rfloor \leq f, \lfloor h_2 \rfloor \leq g$ and

 $Af \leq |\phi(h_1)| + \varepsilon , \quad Ag \leq |\phi(h_2)| + \varepsilon .$ Let $d_1, d_2 \in \text{H}$ be such that $|d_1| = |d_2| = 1$ and

 $\alpha'_1 \phi(h_1) = [\phi(h_1)], \quad \alpha'_2 \phi(h_2) = [\phi(h_2)].$

Then

Sinc

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda f + \Lambda g \leq |\phi(h_1)| + |\phi(h_2)| + 2\xi \\ &= \alpha_1' \phi(h_1) + \alpha_2' \phi(h_2) + 2\xi \\ &= \phi(\alpha_1' h_1 + \alpha_2' h_2) + 2\xi \\ &\leq \Lambda (|h_1| + |h_2|) + 2\xi \text{ (by the definition of } \Lambda), \\ &\leq \Lambda (f+g) + 2\xi \text{ (since } |h_1| + |h_2| \leq f+g) \end{aligned}$$

Let $h \in C_c(X)$ such that $|h| \leq f+g$. Let $V = \{x \in X / f(x) + g(x) > 0\}$, and define

$$h_{1}(x) = \frac{f(x)h(x)}{f(x)+g(x)}, \quad h_{2}(x) = \frac{g(x)h(x)}{f(x)+g(x)} \quad \text{if } x \in V,$$

$$h_{1}(x) = h_{2}(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } x \notin V.$$

Then h_1 and h_2 are continuous on V. Let $x_0 \notin V$. Then $h(x_0) = 0$ since $|h| \notin f+g$. By the definition of h_1 , $|h_1(x)| \notin |h(x)|$ for all $x \notin X$. Let $\pounds > 0$ be given. Since h is continuous at x_0 , there exists a nbhd N of x_0 such that $|h(x)-h(x_0)| < \pounds$ for all $x \notin N$, so $|h_1(x)-h_1(x_0)| = |h_1(x)| \leqslant$ $|h(x)| = |h(x) - h(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in N$. Thus h_1 is continuous at x_0 . This shows that h_1 is continuous on X. Since $|h_1| \le |h|$ and $h \in C_c(X)$, it follows that $h_1 \in C_c(X)$. Similarly, $h_0 \in C_c(X)$.

Because $h_1 + h_2 = h$ and $|h_1| \leq f$, $|h_2| \leq g$, we have $|\phi(h)| = |\phi(h_1 + h_2)| = |\phi(h_1) + \phi(h_2)| \leq |\phi(h_1)| + |\phi(h_2)| \leq \Lambda f + \Lambda g$ (since $|h_1| \leq f$ and $\Lambda f = \sup \{|\phi(h)| / h \in C_c(X), |h| \leq f\}$). Hence $\Lambda(f+g) \leq \Lambda f + \Lambda g$. Thus $\Lambda(f+g) = \Lambda f + \Lambda g$ for all $f, g \in C_c^+(X)$.

Let f be a real function, $f \in C_c(X)$. Since $2f^+ = |f| + f$ and $2f^- = |f| - f$, we have $f^+, f^- \in C_c^+(X)$. Define

 $\Lambda f = \Lambda f^+ - \Lambda f^-$.

If $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4 \in C_c(X)$ for some real measurable functions f_1' , $1' \leq 4$, we define

 $\Lambda f = \Lambda f_1 + i\Lambda f_2 + j\Lambda f_3 + k\Lambda f_4$.

From the proof of Theorem 4.70, we have Λ is a positive left linear functional on $C_c(X)$. By Theorem 4.76, there exists a 6-finite positive Borel measure λ such that

$$\Lambda f = \int_X f d\lambda$$
.

for all $f \in C_c(X)$ and λ is regular if $\lambda(X) < \infty$. From the proof of Theorem 4.76, since X is open in X,

$$\begin{split} \lambda(\mathbf{X}) &= \sup \left\{ \Lambda \mathbf{f} / \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{X}), \ 0 \leq \mathbf{f} \leq 1 \right\}. \\ \text{If } \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{X}) \text{ is such that } 0 \leq \mathbf{f} \leq 1, \ \Lambda \mathbf{f} &= \Lambda(|\mathbf{f}|) \leq ||\mathbf{f}|| \leq 1 \text{ by} \\ (4), \text{ so } \lambda(\mathbf{X}) \leq 1. \text{ By } (4) \text{ again, } |\phi(\mathbf{f})| \leq \Lambda(|\mathbf{f}|) = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d} \lambda \\ &= \|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} (\|\|\|_{1} \text{ in } \mathbf{L}^{1}(\lambda)) \text{ for all } \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{X}). \text{ Hence} \end{split}$$

 $\phi:C_{c}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is left linear with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$, with respect to $L^{1}(\lambda)$ -norm on $C_{c}(X)$ $(C_{c}(X) \subseteq L^{1}(\lambda))$. By Theorem 5.15 (Hahn-Banach), there is a norm-preserving extension of ϕ to a left linear functional on $L^{1}(\lambda)$. By Theorem 5.19 (the case p = 1), there exists a Borel function $g \in L^{\infty}(\lambda)$. such that

$$\phi(f) = \int_X fgd\lambda$$

for all $f \in C_c(X)$ and $|g| \leq 1$ a.e. $[\lambda]$ on X (because $||g||_{\mathscr{O}} = ||\varphi|| \leq 1 \Longrightarrow |g(x)| \leq 1$ for almost all $x \in X$). By Theorem 1.43, $C_c(X)$ is dense in $C_o(X)$. Let $f \in C_o(X)$. Then there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_c(X)$ such that $f_n \longrightarrow f$ as $n \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}$. Since φ is continuous, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(f_n) = \varphi(f)$, hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n g d\lambda = \varphi(f)$. Since the map $f \mapsto \int_X f g d\lambda$ is continuous on $C_o(X)$, we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n g d\lambda = \int_X f g d\lambda$. Hence $(5) \qquad \varphi(f) = \int_X f g d\lambda$

for all $f \in C_0(X)$. For $E \in \mathcal{M}$, define $\mathcal{M}(E) = \int_E g d\lambda$. Then \mathcal{M} is a quaternion measure on \mathcal{M} , and $\int_X f d\mathcal{M} = \int_X f g d\lambda = \phi(f)$ for all $f \in C_0(X)$. Hence (1) holds.

Since
$$\|\phi\| = 1$$
 and from (5), we have

$$\int_{X} |g| d\lambda \ge \sup \{ |\phi(f)| / f \in C_0(X), \|f\| \le 1 \}$$

$$= \|\phi\| \text{ (by Theorem 5.14)} = 1.$$

Since $|g| \leq 1$ a.e. $[\lambda]$ on X and $\lambda(X) \leq 1$, $\int_X |g| d\lambda \leq \lambda(X) \leq 1$, hence $\lambda(X) = 1$ and $\int_X |g| d\lambda = 1$. Thus $\int_X |g| d\lambda = \lambda(X) = 1$. Since $\mu(E) = \int_{E} g d\lambda$ for all $E \in \mathcal{M}$, by Theorem 5.18, we have

$$\int u(E) = \int g |g| d\lambda$$

for all E∈M. Hence

$$\int \mathcal{M}(X) = \int_X |g| d\lambda = 1 = ||\phi|| \cdot \#$$

5.24 Definition Suppose δ is a δ -algebra in a set X and \mathcal{J} is a δ -algebra in a set Y. A measurable rectangle of XXY is a set of the form AXB where $A \in \delta$, $B \in \mathcal{J}$.

If $Q = R_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_n$ where each R_i is a measurable rectangle and $R_1 \cap R_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, we say that $Q \in \mathcal{C}$, the class of all <u>elementary sets</u>. Then every measurable rectangle is an elementary set.

5.25 Definition $\int x \mathcal{J}$ is defined to be the smallest δ -algebra in XxY containing all measurable rectangles.

5.26 Definition Let $E \subseteq XxY$, and $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, we define

 $E_{x} = \{y \in Y / (x, y) \in E\}, \quad E^{y} = \{x \in X / (x, y) \in E\}.$ $E_{x} \text{ and } E^{y} \text{ are called the } \underline{x-\text{section}} \text{ of } E \text{ and the } \underline{y-\text{section}}$ of E, respectively. Note that $E_{x} \subseteq Y$ and $E^{y} \subseteq X$.

5.27 <u>Theorem</u> If $E \in \delta \times \mathcal{J}$, then $E_x \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $x \in X$ and $E^y \in \delta$ for all $y \in Y$.

Proof See [9]. #

5.28 <u>Theorem</u> If $P,Q \in \mathcal{C}$, then $P \cap Q$, $P \cap Q$ and $P \cup Q$ belong to \mathcal{C} .

Proof See [9]. #

5.29 Theorem $\delta \times \mathcal{J}$ is the smallest monotone class which contains all elementary sets.

Proof See [9]. #

5.30 <u>Definition</u> Let f be a function on XxY. For each $x \in X$, let f_x be the function on Y defined by

$$f_{x}(y) = f(x,y)$$

and for each $y \in Y$, let f^{y} be the function on X defined by

$$f^{y}(x) = f(x,y).$$

5.31 Theorem Let f be an $(S \times T)$ - measurable function on XxY. Then

(a) for all $x \in X$, f_x is a \mathcal{T} -measurable function,

(b) for all $y \in Y$, f^{y} is an S - measurable function.

<u>Proof</u>[9]Let V be an open set in \mathbb{H} . Then $f^{-1}(V) \in \delta \times \mathcal{J}$ ($f^{-1}(V) = \{(x,y) \in XxY/ f(x,y) \in V\}$). Let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Then $(f^{-1}(V))_x \in \mathcal{J}$ and $(f^{-1}(V))^y \in \delta$ by Theorem 5.27. But $(f^{-1}(V))_x = \{y' \in Y/ f(x,y') \in V\} = \{y' \in Y/ f_x(y') \in V\} = f_x^{-1}(V)$ $\in \mathcal{J}$ and $(f^{-1}(V))^y = \{x' \in X/ f(x',y) \in V\} = \{x' \in X/ f^y(x') \in V\} = (f^y)^{-1}(V) \in \delta$. #

5.32 <u>Theorem</u> Suppose that (X, δ, \mathcal{M}) and $(Y, \mathcal{J}, \lambda)$ are quaternion measure spaces, $Q \in \delta \times \mathcal{J}$ and $[\lambda](B) \mathcal{M}(A) =$ $[\mathcal{M}](A) \lambda(B)$ for all $A \in \delta$, $B \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}(x) = [\lambda](Q_x)$, $\mathcal{V}(y) = [\mathcal{M}](Q^y)$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Then \mathcal{C} is δ -measurable, Ψ is \mathcal{J} -measurable and $\int_{X} \psi d\mu = \int_{Y} \psi d\lambda$. Note that if $\delta = [\phi, X]$, $\mathcal{J} = \{\phi, Y\}$, $\mu(X) = i$ and $\lambda(Y) = j$, then $|\lambda|(Y) \mu(X) \neq |\mu|(X) \lambda(Y)$.

<u>Proof</u> The definition of φ and ψ make sense by Theorem 5.27.

Let \triangle be the class of all $Q \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J}$ for which the conclusion of the theorem holds. We claim that \triangle has the following properties:

(a) ~ contains all measurable rectangles.

(b) If $Q_1, Q_2, \dots \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $Q_1 \leq Q_2 \leq \dots$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \in \mathbb{A}$.

(c) If $(Q_i)_{i \in N}$ is a disjoint collection of members of A, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \in A$.

(d) If $A \times B \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{J}$, $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \times B \supseteq Q_1 \supseteq Q_2 \supseteq \mathcal{Q}_1$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

For each QEJxJ, let

 $\begin{aligned} \varphi_Q(x) &= |\lambda|(Q_x), \quad \Psi_Q(y) = \int u|(Q^y) \\ \text{for all } x \in X, \quad y \in Y. \end{aligned}$

To prove (a), let $A \in \delta$, $B \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{A \times B}(x) = |\lambda|((A \times B)_{X}) = |\lambda|(B) \mathcal{X}_{A}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{A \times B}(y) = |\mu|((A \times B)^{y}) = |\mu|(A) \mathcal{X}_{B}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Hence $\mathcal{Y}_{A \times B}$ is δ -measurable, $\mathcal{Y}_{A \times B}$ is \mathcal{J} -measurable, $\int_{X} \mathcal{Y}_{A \times B} d\mathcal{A} = \int_{Y} |\mu|(A) \mathcal{X}_{B} d\lambda$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{A}} I(A) \lambda(B). \quad \text{But } |\lambda|(B) \mu(A) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} I(A) \lambda(B), \text{ so}$$

$$\int_{X} \varphi_{AXB} d\mu = \int_{Y} \varphi_{AXB} d\lambda \quad .$$
To prove (b), for all x \in X for all y \in Y,

$$(Q_{1})_{X} \subseteq (Q_{2})_{X} \subseteq \dots; \quad Q_{1}^{Y} \subseteq Q_{2}^{Y} \subseteq \dots \quad .$$
Then for all x \epsilon X, $\lim |\lambda|((Q_{n})_{X}) = |\lambda|(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (Q_{i})_{X}) =$

$$|\lambda|((\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i})_{X}) \text{ and for all } y \in Y, \lim |\mu|(Q_{n}^{Y}) = |\mu|(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}^{Y})$$

Theorem, we see that (φ_{α}) is δ -measurable, ψ_{α} is $i = 1^{Q_{i}}$

 \mathcal{J} -measurable, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \varphi_n d\mu = \int_{X} \varphi_\infty d\mu$ and $\lim_{i=1} Q_i$

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \Psi_{Q_n} d\lambda = \int \Psi_{Q_n} \psi_{Q_n} d\lambda \quad \text{Since } Q_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$ $\int_{Y} \Psi_{Q_n} d\mu = \int \Psi_{Q_n} d\lambda \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ It follows that}$

$$\int_{X} \psi_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}^{d} \mathcal{H} = \int_{Y} \psi_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}^{d} \mathcal{H}$$

To prove (c), let $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ be such that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$. For $x \in X$, $(\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup Q_2)(x) = |\lambda| (Q_1 \cup Q_2)_X = |\lambda| ((Q_1)_X \cup (Q_2)_X) = |\lambda| (Q_1)_X + |\lambda| (Q_2)_X$. But $|\lambda| (Q_1)_X + |\lambda| (Q_2)_X = |\lambda| (Q_2)_X = |\lambda| (Q_1)_X + |\lambda| (Q_2)_X$.

$$\begin{split} & \Psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{x}) + \Psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}. \quad \text{Then } \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2}(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{x}) + \\ & \Psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}. \quad \text{Since } \Psi_{Q_1} \quad \text{and } \Psi_{Q_2} \quad \text{are } \delta \text{-measurable} \\ & \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}. \quad \text{Similarly}, \quad \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{is } \int \text{-measurable} \\ & \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2} d\mathcal{M} = \int_{\mathbf{x}} (\Psi_{Q_1} + \Psi_{Q_2}) d\mathcal{M} = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{Q_1} d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Psi_{Q_2} d\mathcal{M} = \\ & \int_{\mathbf{y}} \Psi_{Q_1} d\mathcal{A} + \int_{\mathbf{y}} \Psi_{Q_2} d\mathcal{A} \quad = \int_{\mathbf{y}} (\Psi_{Q_1} + \Psi_{Q_2}) d\mathcal{A} \quad = \int_{\mathbf{y}} \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2} d\mathcal{A} \quad (\text{since} \\ & \Psi_{Q_1} + \Psi_{Q_2} = \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2} \quad \text{as proof similar to } \Psi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2} = \Psi_{Q_1} + \\ & \Psi_{Q_2}). \quad \text{Hence } Q_1 \cup Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \text{So if } Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ & \text{Let } P_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{Then } P_n \in \mathbb{A} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ & \text{and } P_1 \subseteq P_2 \subseteq P_3 \subseteq \dots \quad \text{By } (b), \text{ we have } \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i \in \mathbb{R} . \quad \text{But} \\ & \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Q_i, \text{ so } \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \in \mathbb{R} . \end{split}$$

To prove (d), let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Then $(A \times B)_{X} \supseteq (Q_{1})_{X} \supseteq (Q_{2})_{X} \supseteq \cdots$ and $(A \times B)^{Y} \supseteq Q_{1}^{Y} \supseteq Q_{2}^{Y} \supseteq \cdots$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda|((Q_{n})_{X}) = |\lambda|((\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (Q_{i})_{X})) = |\lambda|((\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i})_{X}))$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} |\mu|((Q_{n}^{Y})) = |\mu|(((\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i})^{Y}))$. Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_{n} = (x)_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{i}$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_{Q_{n}}(y) = \Psi_{\infty}(y)$. Since $Q_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x) = |\lambda| (Q_{n})_{X} \leq |\lambda| (A \times B)_{X} = |\lambda|(B) \mathcal{X}_{A}(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $x \in X$, $\int_X |\lambda|(B) \chi_A^{d|\mu|} = |\lambda|(B) |\mu|(\Lambda) < \omega$, so $|\lambda|(B) \chi_A \in L^1(\mu)$. Similarly, $\Psi_{Q_n}(y) \leq |\mu|(\Lambda) \chi_B(y)$ for all $n \in N$ for all $y \in Y$ and $|\mu|(\Lambda) \chi_B \in L^1(\lambda)$. By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \varphi_{Q_n} d\mu = \int Y_{\substack{\alpha \\ i=1}Q_i} d\mu, \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \varphi_{Q_n} d\lambda = \int Y_{\substack{\alpha \\ i=1}Q_i} \varphi_{\alpha} d\lambda.$$

Since for all $n \in N$, $Q_n \in A$, $\int_X \varphi_{Q_n} d\mu = \int_Y \varphi_{Q_n} d\lambda$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $\int_X \varphi_{Q_n} d\mu = \int_Y \varphi_{Q_n} d\lambda$. So we have (d).

Now, let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ and $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Y_j$ be disjoint unions. where $X_i \in S$ for all $i \in N$ and $Y_j \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $j \in N$. For m, $n \in N$, $Q \subseteq X_X Y$, define

 $Q_{mn} = Q \cap (X_n \times Y_m)$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{ Q \in S \times \mathcal{J} | Q_{mn} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Then (b) and (d) shows that \mathcal{M} is a monotone class.

To show $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{M}$, let $A \in \mathscr{S}$, $B \in \mathscr{I}$. Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(A \times B) \land (X_n \times Y_m) = (A \land X_n) \times (B \land Y_m) \in \mathbb{A}$ by (a). Then $A \times B \in \mathbb{M}$. Hence \mathbb{M} contains all measurable rectangle. By (c), $\mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathbb{M}$. Since $\delta \times \mathscr{I}$ is the smallest monotone class which contains \mathscr{B} , $\delta \times \mathscr{I} \subseteq \mathbb{M}$. By the definition of \mathbb{M} , $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \delta \times \mathscr{I}$. Hence $\mathbb{M} = \delta \times \mathscr{I}$.

Let $Q \in S \times J$, so $Q \in M$. Then $Q_{mn} \in \mathfrak{A}$ for all m, n $\in N$. $Q = Q \cap (X \times Y) = Q \cap (\underset{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}{\bigcup} (X_n \times Y_m)) = \underset{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}{\bigcup} (Q \cap (X_n \times Y_m))$ $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \mathbb{Q}_{mn}$ which is a disjoint union. By (c), we have $Q \in \mathbb{A}$. #

5.33 <u>Definition</u> Let (X, δ, M) and $(Y, \mathcal{J}, \lambda)$ be quaternion measure spaces such that $|\lambda|(B)M(A) = M|(A)\lambda(B)$ for all $A \in \delta, B \in \mathcal{J}$. If $Q \in \delta \times \mathcal{J}$, we define

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(Q) = \int_X |\lambda|(Q_X) d\mu(x) = \int_Y |\mu|(Q^Y) d\lambda(y)$$

We call $\mu \times \lambda$ the product of quaternion measures μ and λ . $\mu \times \lambda$ is really a quaternion measure on $\delta \times \mathcal{T}$ follows immediately from Theorem 4.67.

5.34 The Fubini Theorem Let (X, δ, \mathcal{M}) and $(Y, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$ be quaternion measure spaces such that $I\lambda I(B)\mathcal{M}(A) = I\mathcal{M}I(A)\lambda(B)$ for all $A \in \delta$, $B \in \mathcal{I}$. Suppose f is an $\delta \times \mathcal{I}$ -measurable function on $X \times Y$,

(a) If $0 \le f \le \infty$, and if (1) $\Psi(x) = \int_{Y} f_x d|\lambda|$, $\Psi(y) = \int_{X} f^y d|\mu|$ (x $\in X, y \in Y$), then Ψ is δ -measurable and Ψ is \mathcal{I} -measurable, and (2) $\int_{X} \psi d|\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \psi d\lambda$. (b) If f is quaternion and $|f| < \infty$, then the functions Ψ and Ψ , defined by (1), are in $L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$ and $L^{1}(\lambda)$, respectively, and (2) holds.

Proof of (a) Let $0 \le f \le \infty$, and let $\Psi(x) = \int_{Y} f_x dIdI$ $\Psi(y) = \int_{X} f^y dJ dI$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. By Theorem 5.31, f_x is \mathcal{I} -measurable and f^y is δ -measurable. Then the definition of Ψ and Ψ make sense.

Suppose $Q \in \delta \times \mathcal{J}$ and $f = \chi_Q$. Then $\Psi(x) = \int_Y (\chi_Q)_X di\lambda i$ $= \int_Y (\chi_Q)_X di\lambda i = i\lambda i(Q_X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\Psi(y) = \int_X (\chi_Q)^Y dy i$ $= \int_X (\chi_Q y) dy i = j\mu i(Q^Y)$ for all $y \in Y$. By Theorem 5.32, Ψ is δ -measurable, Ψ is \mathcal{J} -measurable and $\int_Y \varphi d\mu = \int_Y \psi d\lambda$. But $\int_Y \varphi d\mu = \int_X i\lambda i(Q_X) d\mu = (\mu \times \lambda)(Q) = \int_{X \times Y} \chi_Q d(\mu \times \lambda)$ and $\int_Y \psi d\lambda = \int_Y j\mu i(Q^Y) d\lambda = (\mu \times \lambda)(Q) = \int_{X \times Y} \chi_Q d(\mu \times \lambda)$. Hence-(a) holds for all non negative simple $\delta \times \mathcal{J}$ -measurable functions.

Let f be such that $0 \le f \le \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of simple measurable functions $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $0 \le s_1 \le s_2 \le \cdots$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x,y) = f(x,y)$ for all $x \le X$ for all $n \to \infty$ $y \le Y$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathcal{Y}_n(x) = \int_Y (s_n)_X dl\lambda)$, $\mathcal{Y}_n(y) = \int_X (s_n)^y dl\mu$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Then we have (\mathcal{Y}_n) is

5-measurable, Ψ_n is 7-measurable and $\int_X \Psi_n dM =$ $\int_{v=v} s_n d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_v \psi_n d\lambda \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ By Lebesgue's}$ Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X \times Y} s_n d(\mu \times \lambda) =$ $\int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{x}} \mathrm{fd}(\mu \times \lambda). \text{ Since for all } x \in \mathbf{X}, \ 0 \leq (s_1)_{\mathbf{x}} \leq (s_2)_{\mathbf{x}} \leq \cdots$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} (y) = f_{x}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$, by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{Y} (s_n)_x d\lambda = \int_{Y} f_x d\lambda and$ $0 \leq \int_{V} (s_1)_x di \lambda l \leq \int_{V} (s_2)_x di \lambda l \leq \dots$ Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$ and $0 \leq \varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2(x) \leq \ldots$ By Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem, Ψ is δ -measurable and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{Y} \Psi_n d\mu =$ $\int \Psi d\mu$. Similarly, Ψ is \mathcal{J} -measurable and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \Psi_n d\lambda =$ $\int_{Y} \psi d\lambda$. But for all $n \in N$, $\int_{Y} \psi_n d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} s_n d(\mu \times \lambda) =$ $\int_{V} \Psi_n d\lambda$. Hence

$$\int_{X} \varphi d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \psi d\lambda .$$

<u>Proof of (b)</u> Let f be a quaternion measurable function and $|f| < \infty$. Since $|\mu \times \lambda| (X \times Y) < \infty$, $\int_{X \times Y} f d[\mu \times \lambda] < \infty$, so $f \in L^{1}(\mu \times \lambda)$.

Step I f is real. Then
$$f = f^+ - f^-$$
. Let
 $\Psi_1(x) = \int_Y (f^+)_X dl\lambda l$, $\Psi_2(x) = \int_Y (f^-)_X dl\lambda l$,
 $\Psi_1(y) = \int_X (f^+)^y dl\mu l$, $\Psi_2(y) = \int_X (f^-)^y dl\mu l$,

for all $x \in X$ for all $y \in Y$. By (a), we have

$$\int_{X} \varphi_{1} d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f^{\dagger} d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \varphi_{1} d\lambda ,$$

$$\int_{X} \varphi_{2} d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f^{\dagger} d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \varphi_{2} d\lambda .$$

Since $(f^{+})_{x} = |(f^{+})_{x}| \leq |f| < \infty$ and $|\lambda| (Y) < \infty$. $\mathcal{P}_{1}(x) < \infty$ for all x $\in X$. Similarly, $\mathcal{P}_{2}(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{P}_{1}(y) < \infty$ for all $y \notin Y$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}(y) < \infty$ for all $y \notin Y$. Since $|\lambda|(Y) < \infty$ and $|\mathcal{M}|(X) < \infty$, \mathcal{P}_{1} , $\mathcal{P}_{2} \in L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$ and \mathcal{P}_{1} , $\mathcal{P}_{2} \in L^{1}(\lambda)$. Since $f_{x} = (f^{+})_{x} - (f^{-})_{x}$ and $f^{y} = (f^{+})^{y} - (f^{-})^{y}$, $\mathcal{P}(x) = \int_{Y} f_{x} d|\lambda|$ $= \int_{Y} (f^{+})_{x} d|\lambda| - \int_{Y} (f^{-})_{x} d|\lambda| = \mathcal{P}_{1}(x) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(x)$ and $\mathcal{P}(y) =$ $\int_{X} f^{y} d|\mathcal{M}| = \int_{X} (f^{+})^{y} d|\mathcal{M}| - \int_{X} (f^{-})^{y} d|\mathcal{M}| = \mathcal{P}_{1}(y) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(y)$. Hence $\mathcal{P} \in L^{1}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{P} \in L^{1}(\lambda)$. Thus $\int_{X} \mathcal{P}_{d}\mathcal{M} = \int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{1} d\mathcal{M} - \int_{X} \mathcal{P}_{2} d\mathcal{M} = \int_{X \times Y} f^{+} d(\mathcal{M} \times \lambda) - \int_{X \times Y} f^{-} d(\mathcal{M} \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{1} d\lambda - \int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{2} d\lambda = \int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{d}\lambda$. Hence $\int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{2} d\lambda = \int_{Y} \mathcal{P}_{d} d\lambda$. Hence

Step II f is quaternion. Then $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$ for some real measurable functions f_1' , $1 \le 4$. Since $f_x = (f_1)_x + i(f_2)_x$ $+ j(f_3)_x + k(f_4)_x$, $\Psi(x) = \int_Y f_x dl\lambda = \int_Y (f_1)_x dl\lambda + i \int_Y (f_2)_x dl\lambda + j \int_Y (f_3)_x dl\lambda + k \int_Y (f_4)_x dl\lambda = \int_Y (f_1)_y + i(f_2)_y + j(f_3)_y + k(f_4)_y$, $\Psi(y) = \int_X f^y dl\mu =$

$$\begin{split} \int_{X} (f_{1})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + i \int_{X} (f_{2})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + j \int_{X} (f_{3})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + k \int_{X} (f_{4})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + i \int_{X} (f_{2})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + k \int_{X} (f_{4})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} + i \int_{X} (f_{1})^{y} d\mathcal{J}\mathcal{J} + i \int_{X} (f_{1})^{y} d\mathcal{J} + i \int_{X} (f_{1})^$$

Hence $\int_{X} \psi d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{Y} \psi d\lambda \cdot \#$