## CHEPTER V

## RESULTS

+

<u>Results.</u> The following results was based on analysis of 84 laparoscopic cholecystectomy, 42 patients in each group. The analysis was based on intention to treat basis

5.1 Baseline data

## Table 5.1 Baseline data.

	_		
		TPC (n =42)	AWL (n=42)
Age	mean , SD	53.45,13.61	55.93,10.84
Sex	М	9	9
	F	33	33
Weight	mean, SD(kg)	57.61,9.90	62.1,11.36
Associated	Disease	22	20
Hx. of Ac. Cholecystitis		5	4
Previous abdominal operation		9	8

The baseline characteristics of the two groups were comparable. Mean age of the TPC and AWL groups were 53.5 and 55.9 kg. respectively. Weight in the AWL group was higher than the TPC group. The presenting symptoms of the two groups were similar as shown in Table 5.2

	Group I (TPC)	Group II (AWL)
Asymptomatic	3	3
Dyspepsia	2	5
Biliary colic	4	5
H x % biliary colic	25	25
Hx of acute cholecystitis	5	4
Cholangitis	2	

## Table 5.2 Presenting symptoms

## 5.2 Success of the operations

Table 5.3 Two by two table of success of the operations.

		GRO		
i		TPC	AWL	Total
Success	yes	40	28	68
	no	2	14	16
Total		42	42	84

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11.118 <sup>b</sup>	1	.001		
Continuity Correction	9.342	1	.002		

Table 5.4 Chi-square tests for Success of the Operations.

The success rate of the TPC group was 95.2 per cent and the success rate of the AWL group was 66.7 percent. There was a statistical significant difference between the 2 groups, p = 0.001.

# 5.3 Operative time:

.

.

Table 5.5 Descriptive Statistics of Operative Time

	GROUP	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Total	TPC	40	64.60	24.11	3.81
operative	AWL	38	104.03	32.19	5.22
Prepare	TPC	35	12.23	6.71	1.13
time	AWL	31	23.00	7.85	1.41
Dissection	TPC	35	39.94	21.33	3.61
time	AWL	31	61.94	34.46	6.19
Closure	TPC	35	10.37	3.03	.51
time	AWL	31	14.52	4.15	.75

Operative time was divided into 3 phases

1. preparation time was counted at beginning of the incision until starting dissection of the gallbladder

2. dissection time was counted from starting dissection of the gallbladder to the gallbladder was extracted out of the abdominal cavity

3. closure time was time used for closure the puncture sites

The total operative time and time in each phase were show in Table 5.5.

Time used in the open cholecystectomy was not included in the calculation.

After evaluation with a non-parametric statistics (Mann-Whitney U test), the operative times in all 3 phases of the TPC group were shorter than the AWL group, p = 0.001 or p < 0.001, as shown in Table 5.6

0	Total operative time	Prepare time	Dissection time	Closure time
Mann-Whitney U	233.000	87.500	292.500	241.000
Wilcoxon W	1053.000	717.500	922.500	871.000
Z	-5.277	-6.032	-3.229	-4.222
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.001	.000

Table 5.6 Mann-Whitney U test and Wilcoxon rank sum test for operation time

#### 5.4 Complication rate

The complication rate in both groups is equal. Two patients in TPC group (4.8 per cent) had intraoperative complications. A patient had a sidewall injury of the CBD during clipping a short cystic duct. Primary repair of the sidewall injury was performed by open surgery. The other patient had bronchospasm during the operation and was treated successfully with bronchodilator.

There were 2 postoperative complications in AWL group (4.8 percent). One patient had a wound infection after open cholecystectomy for a failed LC. The other patient had pneumonia and was treated by antibiotics. She recovered well and was discharged within 5 postoperative days.

There was no difference in complication rate between the 2 groups.

## 5.5 End tidal CO<sub>2</sub> and cardiac arrhythmia rate

<u>Table 5.7</u> Descriptive statistics of end tidal CO2.

				Std.	Std. Error
	GROUP	N	Mean	Deviation	Mean
End tidal	TPC	37	31.692	2.804	461
CO2	AWL	34	29.185	3.247	.557

٠

			Test for Variances	t-test for Equality of Means						
						Sig.	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the Mean	
		F	Sig.	t	df	(2-tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
End tidal CO2	Equal variances assumed	.598	.442	3.489	69	.001	2.507	.718	1.073	3.940
	Equal variances not assumed		÷.	3.467	65.525	.001	2.507	.723	1.063	3.950

ET CO<sub>2</sub> levels of the TPC and AWL groups were  $31.7 \pm 2.8$  and  $29.2 \pm 3.3$  mm. Hg. respectively. There was a statistically significant difference between the two groups, p = 0.001. (Table 5.7and 5.8)

However there was no difference in cardiac arrhythmia rate between the two groups. Four patients in each group (9.5 percent) had PVC and were treated by intravenous administration of Cardipine or Xylocard. Surprisingly, at the time of PVC occurrences the ETCO<sub>2</sub> levels were not higher than a normal range.

#### 5.6 Postoperative pain score

Table 5.9	Descriptive	statistics o	on posto	perative	pain score
	-		1	1	1

	GROUP	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
pain at 6	TPC	40	51.38	27.48	4.34
hour	AWL	37	53.78	25.29	4.16
pain at 24	TPC	40	29.38	22.74	3.59
hour	AWL	37	33.24	21.83	3.59

Source	Type III Sum of	df	Mean Square	E		Noncent. Parameter	2
Source PAIN	Squares '391.899		7391.899	89.868	.000	89.868	1.000
PAIN * RANDOM	20.470	1	20.470	.106	.746	.106	.062
Error(PAIN	514.595	75	193.528				

Table 5.10 Tests of Within-Subjects Effects of Postoperative Pain

Table 5.11 Test of Between-Subjects Effects on Postoperative Pain

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Noncent. Parameter	Observed Power <sup>a</sup>
Intercept	270524.1	1	270524.1	269.535	.000	269.535	1.000
RANDOM	378.660	1	378.660	.377	.541	.377	.093
Error ·	5275.236	75	1003.670				

Postoperative pain score were evaluated by repeated measure ANOVA statistics. The mean pain score at 6 hour were  $51.83 \pm 27.48$  in TPC group and 53.78  $\pm 25.29$  in AWL group. The mean pain score at 24 hours postoperative period were  $29.38 \pm 22.74$  and  $33.24 \pm 21.83$  respectively. There was a significant difference of the pain score at 6 hour and at 24-hour postoperative period (within subject factor) p < 0.001. But there was no significant difference of the pain score between TPC and AWL patients (Between subject), p = 0.541

#### 5.7 Shoulder Pain

× .

			GROUP		
			TPC	AWL	Total
Shoulder	yes		13	9	22
pain	no	÷	27	26	53
Total			40	35	75

Table 5.13 Chi-square test for shoulder pain

- Y -

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.415 <sup>b</sup>	1	.520		
Continuity <sub>a</sub> Correction	.152	1	.697		

The shoulder pain was recorded in proportion and was compared by Chi-square test. Thirteen out of 40 patients in TPC group and 9 out of 35 patients in the AWL group had shoulder pain. The patients who were converted to open cholecystectomy were not included in the calculation. There was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups.

## 5.8 Post operative hospital stay

`

Table 5.14 postoperative hospital stay.

			Std.	Std. Error
GROUP	N	Mean	Deviation	Mean
Postoperative TPC	40	2.63	.90	.14
stay AWL	37	3.14	1.23	.20

Post operative hospital stay of the TPC group was  $2.63 \pm 0.9$  days, and the AWL group was  $3.14 \pm 1.23$  days. (open cases were excluded). There was no statistical ly significant difference as compare by Mann-Whitney-U test, p= 0.057. The total hospital stay was not evaluated because there were many factors, which affected the pre-operative period.

5.9 Costs.

.

1. Patient's perspective	Group I (TPC)	Group II (AWL)	
1. Direct cost			
Medical			
Operation fee	210,000	210,000	
Anesthesia fee	126,000	126,000	
Medication	118,000	150,000	
OPD medication	8,400	8,400	
Non medical			
Room fee	243,300	195,400	
Diet fee	53,750	47,050	
2. Indirect cost			
Taxi	12,600	12,600	
Accompanying person	49,000	53,400	
3. Intangible cost	-	-	
Total	821,050	802,850	

Cost /1 success case = 20,526.25 28,673.21

For the patient's perspective the expense per one successful case of TPC group is less than that-of AWL group.

2. Provider's Perspective

- 6

×

Costs of performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy were evaluated. The detailed calculation for operative room expenses (Provider's perspective) is in the Appendix III.

1. Capital cost Land and Equipment.	Baht/hr/bed
Anesthesia	96.6
Operative room (Land)	803.8
OR Equipments + Instruments	798.99
2. Labour cost	

anesthesiologists + team	909.08
surgeons + team	803.81
OR nurses	952.65

1+2 4,364.93

3. Maternal cost

- /	Anesthetics	690.00	Baht/hr/bed
-	Material cost for LC (TPC)	8770	Baht/case
-	Material cost for LC (AWL)	5020	Baht/case
-	Estimated material cost for conversion (c	open) = 5000	Baht/case
÷	Estimated material cost for conversion to	gas = 6000	Baht/case

	TPC group	AWL group
Operative time (hr)	47.8	79.0
1. Capital +Labour costs	208643.65	344829.47
2. Material cost: OR	368340.00	210840.00
Anesthetics	32982.00	54510.00
3. Cost for conversion	10000.00	79000.00
Total costs	619965.65	689179.47
Cost/1 successful case	15,499.14	24,613.55

.

÷,

.

.

For the provider's perspective the expense of the hospital per one successful case of TPC group is less than that-of AWL group.

# 5.10 A summary of the results.

.

28/42 104.0 <u>+</u> 32.2 4.8% 29.2 <u>+</u> 3.3 9.5%	p = 0.001 p < 0.001 NS. p = 0.001
4.8% 29.2 <u>+</u> 3.3	NS. $p = 0.001$
29.2 <u>+</u> 3.3	p = 0.001
	-
9.5%	
	NS
53.8 <u>+</u> 25.3	NS
33.2 <u>+</u> 21.8	NS
9/35	NS
3.14+1.2	NS
28,673.21	
24,613.55	
	33.2 <u>+</u> 21.8 9/35 3.14 <u>+</u> 1.2 28,673.21

Table 5.15 A summary of the results.

\* statistical significant difference

\*\* difference