

COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF MICROSCOPY AND DIPSTICK FOR
DIAGNOSIS OF MALARIA IN SRI LANKA



P.H.D.Kusumawathie

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

Department of Economics
Graduate School
Chulalongkorn University

1996

ISBN 974-633-998-2

Thesis Title : COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF MICROSCOPY AND
DIPSTICK FOR DIAGNOSIS OF MALARIA IN SRI LANKA
By : P.H.D.Kusumawathie
Department : Economics
Thesis Advisor : Dr.Phitsanes Jessadachatr
Thesis Co-advisor: Dr.Chev kidson

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science in Health Economics.

Santhi Thoongsuwan

..... Dean of Graduate School

(Assoc.Prof.Dr.Santhi Thoongsuwan)

Thesis Committee :

Waranya Patarasuk
..... Chairperson

(Assoc.Prof.Waranya Patarasuk)

..... *P. Jessadachatr*..... Thesis Advisor

(Dr.Phitsanes Jessadachatr)

..... *Chev Kidson*..... Thesis Co-advisor

(Dr.Chev Kidson)

..... *Chanetwallop N. Khumthong*..... Member

(Asst.Prof.Chanetwallop N. Khumthong)

C860555 : MAJOR HEALTH ECONOMICS

KEY WORD: COST-EFFECTIVENESS / MICROSCOPY / DIPSTICK / DIAGNOSIS OF
MALARIA / SRILANKA

P.H.D. KUSUMAWATHIE : COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF MICROSCOPY AND
DIPSTICK IN DIAGNOSIS OF MALARIA IN SRI LANKA. THESIS ADVISOR :
DR.PHITSANES JESSADACHATR, THESIS CO-ADVISOR : DR.CHEV KIDSON,
88 pp. ISBN 974-633-998-2

This study develops a methodology to analyse the cost-effectiveness of microscopy and dipstick in diagnosis of malaria in Sri Lanka, in both public and private provider, and patient perspectives.

The study covers all the public and private health facilities and the patients attending these services in Sri Lanka. The cost of microscopy and dipstick is determined for the provider and to the patient with the help of malaria control cost models developed by Kaewsonthi and others (1996). For the determination of effectiveness of microscopy and dipstick four indicators, i.e. accuracy, percentage of on-site diagnosis, percentage of accurate on-site diagnosis, and coverage were used. The primary and secondary data are used to determine costs and effectiveness of microscopy and dipstick and these data are obtained from surveys, existing records, previous research findings, questionnaires and interviews.

The methodology has been simulated by using actual and estimated data. The results of the study does not give the exact value for the cost-effectiveness, but it shows the general trend of cost-effectiveness of microscopy and dipstick. The results show that when the accuracy of microscopy and dipstick is the same, microscopy is more cost-effective both in public and private provider perspective, except for percentage of on-site diagnosis and percentage of accurate on-site diagnosis in private sector. The cost-effectiveness of microscopy decreases with the decreasing accuracy of microscopy. Furthermore, dipstick will be more cost-effective at the low unit cost of dipstick (Rs.17 or lower) and at lower level of blood slides (22 or lower), and also there is a little difference of the unit cost of providing microscopic diagnosis at the point of service or not at the point of service.

The analysis of treatment seeking pattern of malaria cases shows that 26% of malaria cases visit private health services mainly because of perceived quality of private health services. The patients incur Rs. 267 per patient per visit at private health facilities prior to attending a public health facility.

Therefore, it is recommended to consider extension of on-site diagnostic facilities for malaria to the field by introducing dipstick at the institutions where there are lower than 22 blood slides are collected, and to retain microscopy at the other institutions. The consideration of private sector as an integral part of the National malaria Control Programme, and the provision of dipstick to the private sector at a subsidized price are also recommended.

In addition, the use of dipstick can improve the efficient use of scarce resources, good quality of care and equity. It also involves private sector in using diagnostic facilities for malaria that improves private provision and private financing. Therefore, use of dipstick will help to achieve the objectives of health care financing reform too.

ภาควิชา..... Economics

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต.....

สาขาวิชา..... Health Economics

ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา..... P. Jessadachatr

ปีการศึกษา..... 1995

ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม..... Ch. Kida

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am extremely grateful to the National Health Systems and Policies unit (NHP), World Health Organization for the financial support given to me for the M.Sc.in Health Economics Programme at the Centre for Health Economics, Chulalongkorn University.

I am deeply indebted to my thesis advisor, Dr. Phitsanes Jessadachatr and co-advisor Dr. Chev Kidson for their valuable advice and guidance in the preparation and completion of this thesis. Without their unaccountable guidance and advice, it would have been very much difficult to complete this thesis within the given limited time. Therefore, I am extremely grateful to my advisors.

I am very much grateful to Asst. Prof Dr.Kaemthong Indaratna for her keen interest, guidance and continuous encouragement in the preparation of this thesis.

I am also very much grateful to all the ajarns in the Centre for Health Economics for their valuable ideas and encouragement.

My sincere thanks also goes to Ms Patchanee Rodsumpaow and Chotima Sukapurana and my Thai and International friends for their support.

P.H.D.Kusumawathie

May 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	vii
1. Introduction	1
2. Literature Review	8
2.1 Treatment Seeking Pattern of Malaria Cases	8
2.2 Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	11
2.3 Costs and Cost-effectiveness of Malaria Surveillance Activities	16
3. Research Methodology	20
3.1 The Conceptual Framework	20
3.2 Operational Definitions	22
3.3 Determination of Treatment Seeking Pattern of Malaria Cases	23
3.4 Measurement of Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	24
3.5 Measurement of Costs for Microscopy and Dipstick ..	27
3.6 Measurement of cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	28
3.7 The Scope of This Study	31
3.8 Data Collection	32
3.8.1 Data on Treatment Seeking Pattern	32
3.8.2 Data on Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	33
3.8.3 Data on Costs for Microscopy and Dipstick ..	34
4. Results	35
4.1 Analysis of Treatment Seeking Pattern of Malaria Cases	35
4.2 Analysis of Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	37
4.3 Analysis of Cost of Microscopy and Dipstick	38
4.4 Analysis of Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	40
4.5 Discussion of the results and policy implications .	44
5. Summary and Conclusions	48
References	53

Appendix A	Procedure of Microscopy	57
Appendix B	Procedure of Dipstick for Diagnosis of Malaria .	59
Appendix C	Models for Calculating Cost of Microscopy and Dipstick	61
Appendix D	Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick in Diagnosis of Malaria in Sri Lanka (Questionnaire 1)	69
Appendix E	Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick in Diagnosis of Malaria in Sri Lanka (Questionnaire 2)	74
Appendix F	Calculation of Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick	78
Appendix G	Calculation of Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick Under Scenario 1,2 and 3	85
Curriculum Vitae	89

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1.1 Malarious Zones in Sri Lanka	3
3.1 The Conceptual Framework	21
3.2 Decision Tree for Analysing the Decisions of Using a Particular Health Facility and the Resulting Events, i.e. Accurate On-site Diagnosis at Each Facility	25
4.1 Sensitivity Analysis of Microscopy and Dipstick for Different Levels of Blood Slides Per Year	43
F.1 Decision Tree Analysing the Decisions of Using a Particular Health Facility and the Resulted Accurate On-site Diagnosis by Microscopy	81
F.2 Decision Tree Analysing the Decisions of Using a Particular Health Facility and the Resulted Accurate On-site Diagnosis by Dipstick	82

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Incidence of Malaria in Sri Lanka, 1991-1994	5
1.2 Total Anti Malaria Budget and the Percentage of Total Health Budget Spent on Malaria in Sri Lanka for the Years 1985-1991	5
2.1 Estimated Percentage of Antimalarial Drugs Distributed by Channel	10
2.2 Accuracy of the PFT and QBC Analysis vs QTS Examination	12
2.3 Probability (%) of Missing a Positive Slide When Only a Sample of Microscopic Fields Out of 1000 is Examined	15
2.4 Number of Microscopic Fields to be Examined to Ensure 99% Probability of Detection of Blood Films with Malaria parasites	16
4.1 Treatment Seeking Pattern of Malaria Cases in Traditionally Non-malarious Area in Sri Lanka, 1989-1992	35
4.2 Reasons for Visiting a Particular Health Facility by Malaria Cases in Traditionally Non-malarious Area in Sri Lanka, 1989-1992	36
4.3 Cost to Patients in Seeking Treatment Prior to Attending a Public Service in Traditionally Non-malarious Area in Sri Lanka, 1989-1992	37
4.4 Cost to Patient for Treatment at Public Health Facilities in Traditionally Non-malarious Area in Sri Lanka, 1989-1992	37
4.5 The Effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick in Public and Private Health Sectors in Sri Lanka	38
4.6 Cost for Microscopy and Dipstick to the Provider in Sri Lanka	39
4.7 Cost of Microscopic Diagnosis of Blood Slides for Malaria at the Services Where There is no Microscopy at the Point of Service in Sri Lanka	40

4.8	Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick in Public and Private Health Facilities in Sri Lanka	41
4.9	Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick in Public and Private Sectors in Sri Lanka When the Accuracy of Microscopy is 80%	41
4.10	Cost-effectiveness of Microscopy and Dipstick at Public and Private Health Facilities: Patient Perspective	41
4.11	Sensitivity Analysis of Unit Cost of Provision of Dipstick at Different Prices of Dipstick	42
F.1	Results of Dipstick as Compared to Microscopy with 100 and 400 Oil-immersion Fields	79
F.2	Results of Microscopy of Examination of 100 Oil-immersion Fields as Compared to microscopy with 400 Oil-immersion Fields	79
F.3	Results of microscopy of 100 Oil-immersion fields and dipstick as Compared to Microscopy of 400 Oil-immersion Fields	80