# CHAPTER VI Results and Discussion

In the pressure decay experiment,  $CO_2$  pressure from 500 psi to 900 psi was applied to condensate (API 63.9), oil sample and n-decane. The pressure decay curves and total pressure drop curves were plotted to determine MMP. The MMP is maximum total pressure drop from total pressure drop curve. The pressure decay curve is the curve which is a plot of pressure against time as shown in Figure 4.1 – Figure 4.3 to determine equilibrium time of each samples.



Figure 4.1 Pressure decay curve of condensate API 63.9 at 20 °C.



Figure 4.2 Pressure decay curve of oil sample at 20 °C.



Figure 4.3 Pressure decay curve of n-decane at 20 °C.

34

Ø

After injecting CO<sub>2</sub> gas into the reactor, CO<sub>2</sub> diffuses into the oil. It can be observed by the pressure inside the reactor decreasing at the initial time and slightly decreasing to the equilibrium. Equilibrium time of condensate (API 63.9)<sup>4</sup> is around 30 minutes at 20 °C temperature. In the case of oil sample and n-decane, the equilibrium time are 20 min and 45 min, respectively.

## 4.1 MMP Determination From Pressure Decay Curve

The pressure drop curve is plotted between total pressure drop against initial pressure. At below the MMP, the total pressure drop is slightly increased with increasing the initial pressure and it increases to the ultimate point. After that it will decrease. The MMP point is the ultimate point of the total pressure drop curve. The results of MMP measured by the pressure decay technique of condensate, oil sample and n-decane are shown in Figure 4.4- Figure 4.6. At 20 °C, the MMP point of condensate API 63.9 is 775 psi. The MMP point of oil sample is 725 psi and MMP point of n-decane is 825 psi. At below the MMP point, the pressure drop of the system is increase with increasing initial pressure. At above the miscible condition, the total pressure drop is increase again with initial pressure that shows in Figure 4.65 for oil sample at 20 °C

## I2836.8617

σ



Figure 4.4. Pressure drop curve of condensate at 20 °C.



Figure 4.5 Pressure drop curve of oil sample at 20 °C.

Ø



Figure 4.6 Pressure drop curve of n-decane at 20 °C.

## 4.2 Effect of Molecular Weight on MMP

Effect of molecular weight on MMP is determined in three different samples (condensate, oil sample and n-decane) at temperature 20 °C. The molecular weight on MMP are shown in Table 4.1. Figure 4.7 shows the MMP of the three different samples.

**Table. 4.1** Effect of molecular weight on MMP in condensate, oil sample and n-decane at 20 °C

Sample	Molecular Weight	MMP from Experiment
Condensate API 63.9	113.64	775 psi
Oil sample	107.15	725 psi
n-decane	142.28	825 psi



Figure 4.7 Effect of molecular weight on MMP in condensate, oil sample and n-decane at 20 °C.

Figure 4.7 shows the results showed that increase of molecular weight increases the MMP between  $CO_2$  and samples (Rao and Lee, 2003) because viscosity of the sample is increase and it has low amount of light hydrocarbon component. Thus, it is difficult for  $CO_2$  to diffuse in the samples. Hence, it requires higher pressure to achieve the miscibility. At 20 °C, n-decane has the highest MMP, because n-decane has highest molecular weight (144). Oil sample has the lowest MMP because oil sample has the lowest molecular weight (107.32).

## 4.3 Effect of Temperature on MMP

Effect of temperature on equilibrium time and MMP at two different temperature (20 °C and 30 °C) was determined shown in Table 4.2 and Table 4.3, respectively. The pressure decay curves are shown in Figure 4.8 - 4.10. The total pressure drop curves are shown in Figure 4.10 for crude oil and in Figure 4.11 for the oil sample.

	Sample	Temperature (°C)	Equilibrium Time	
	Condonasta	20.1 ± 0.12	30 minute	
	Condensate	30.16 ± 0.09	20 minute	
	Oil sample	20.15 ± 0.13	20 minute	
		30.32 ± 0.34	15 minute	

Table. 4.2 Effect of temperature on equilibrium time in condensate and oil sample

**Table. 4.3** Effect of temperature on MMP in crude oil and oil sample

Sample	Temperature (°C)	MMP from Experiment		
Condensate	20.1 ± 0.12	775 psi		
	$30.16 \pm 0.09$	850 psi		
Oil sample	$20.15 \pm 0.13$	725 psi		
	$30.32 \pm 0.34$	800 psi		



Figure 4.8 Pressure decay curve of condensate API 63.9 at 30 °C.



Figure 4.9 Pressure decay curve of oil sample at 20 °C.



σ

**Figure 4.10** Effect of temperature on MMP on  $CO_2$  and condensate system at 20 °C and 30 °C.



Figure 4.11 Effect of temperature on MMP on  $CO_2$  and oil sample system at 20 °C and 30 °C.

The effect of temperature on equilibrium time which high temperature (30  $^{\circ}$ C) has lower equilibrium time than low temperature (20  $^{\circ}$ C) in Table 4.2 because oil viscosity is decreased at high temperature. It is faster for CO<sub>2</sub> to diffuse into condensate than low temperature. The MMP of condensate (API 63.9) is 775 psi at 20  $^{\circ}$ C and 850 psi at 30  $^{\circ}$ C (Figure 4.10) and 725 psi at 20  $^{\circ}$ C and 800 psi at 30  $^{\circ}$ C (Figure 4.10) and 725 psi at 20  $^{\circ}$ C and 800 psi at 30  $^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 4.11) for oil sample system. The results of MMP at two different temperatures are shown; the MMP at 30  $^{\circ}$ C is higher than the MMP at 20  $^{\circ}$ C. The increase of temperature of the system increases the MMP because the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in the samples is decreased with increasing the system temperature which required higher pressure to achieve the miscibility (Cao and Gu, 2013).

0

### 4.4 Effect of Impurity Gas on MMP

σ

Effect of impurity gas (Nitrogen gas) on MMP at two different percent of nitrogen gas (1 % and 3 %) in CO<sub>2</sub> was determined at 20 °C as shown in Table 4.4 and the total pressure drop curve in Figure 4.12. The MMP of CO<sub>2</sub>- °oil sample system is 725 psi for pure CO<sub>2</sub> injection, 750 psi for the 1 % N<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> injection, and 850 psi for the 3 % N<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> injection. For comparison of the pure CO<sub>2</sub> injection with CO<sub>2</sub> injection containing 1 % N<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen gas has lower critical temperature than CO<sub>2</sub> which is more difficult to achieve miscibility than pure CO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the CO<sub>2</sub> injection containing 1 % N<sub>2</sub> in the oil sample required higher pressure to achieve miscibility (Belhaj et al., 2013). The effect of percent N<sub>2</sub> impurity gas was varied between 1% and 3 % N<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> stream with oil sample at 20 °C. The MMP of the 3 % N<sub>2</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> stream is higher than that of 1 % N<sub>2</sub> present in the CO<sub>2</sub> stream to achieve miscibility (Dong et al., 2001).

Table. 4.4	Effect o	f gas impurity	on MMP of	CO <sub>2</sub> -oil sam	ple system at 20 °C
------------	----------	----------------	-----------	--------------------------	---------------------

Sample	MMP from Experiment		
Pure $CO_2$ injection $\boldsymbol{\rho}$	725 psi		
1% of N <sub>2</sub> in CO <sub>2</sub> injection	750 psi		
3% of N <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> injection	850 psi		



Figure 4.12 Effect of gas impurity on MMP of  $CO_2$ - oil sample at 20 °C, pure  $CO_2$  injection, with 1 % of N<sub>2</sub>, 3 % of N<sub>2</sub> in  $CO_2$  stream.

### 4.5 MMP Calculation

To evaluate the results of MMP measured from the pressure decay technique, the MMP values was also calculated using Li *et al.* correlation (2013) in Equation 2.18. It requires  $MW_{C7+}$ ,  $X_{VOL}$ ,  $X_{INT}$  and  $T_R$  as shown in Table 4.5 which  $MW_{C7+}$ ,  $X_{VOL}$ ,  $X_{INT}$  were found from GC-TOFMS in Table 12.1. However it could not be obtained in this work due to the limitation of the experimental capacity. This prediction was far from the experimental value because in the literature, Li *et al.* correlation was testing at the high temperature (around 100 °C). This correlation wasn't accuracy enough for the prediction at low temperature. This equation should improve the accuracy for calculation in low temperature. The correlation factor for impure CO<sub>2</sub> streams ( $F_{imp}$ ) was used to find MMP in the effect of impurity gas which it was determined from Alston *et al.* correlation in Equation 2.4-2.6. Nevertheless,  $F_{imp}$  is calculated from the critical temperature of the stream. The pseudo-critical temperature of the stream is calculated using the weight-fraction mixing rule as follows in Equation 2.4, and used Equation 2.5 to find MMP.

	T.				MMP (psi)		
Sample	$(^{\circ}C)$	MW <sub>C7+</sub>	X <sub>VOL</sub>	X <sub>INT</sub>	This	Li et al.	%AD (%)
					Work		
Pure CO <sub>2</sub>	20.10	113.64	0	0.275	775	594	23.36
in							
condensate	30.16	113.64	0	0.275	850	763	10.24
API 63.9				l		-	
Pure CO <sub>2</sub>	20.15	107.15	0	0.383	725	580	20
in oil	30.32	107.15	0	0 383	800	776.24	2 97
sample	50.52	107.15		0.505	000	770.24	2.97
CO <sub>2</sub> with 1	20.17	107.15	0	0.383	750	625.66	16.58
$\% N_2$ in oil							
sample	30.24	107.15	0	0.383	850	835.44	1.7
$(F_{imp}=1.08)$							
CO <sub>2</sub> with 3					-		
$\% N_2$ in oil	20.18	107.15	0	0 383	850	747 22	12.1
sample	20.10	107.15		0.505	050		12.1
(F <sub>imp</sub> =1.29)							
Pure CO <sub>2</sub>	19.07	142.28	0	0	825	651.12	21.08
in n-decane	17.77	172.20		U	025	031.12	21.00

**Table 4.5** Comparison of MMPs from this work with Li et al. correlation