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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

In 1940, Ulam, [15], proposed the following problem, which has since been referred to as a stability problem: let $f$ be a mapping from a group $\left(G_{1},+\right)$ to a metric group $\left(G_{2},+\right)$ with metric $d(,$,$) such that$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f(x+y), f(x)+f(y)) \leq \epsilon \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Do there exist a group homomorphism $L: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ and a constant $\delta_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

for all $\left.x \in G_{1}\right\} \beta^{\text {This }}$ problem was affimatively sotyed one year later by Hyers, [7], under the assumption that $G_{2}$ is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. In 1978, a generalized aersion 64 Hyers result was proyed by Rassiâs, $\left.{ }^{[14}\right]$ where $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies, instead of (1.1), the inequality

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \quad\left(x, y \in G_{1}\right),
$$

where $\theta \neq 0$ and $0 \leq p<1$. In 1979, Baker, Lawrence, and Zorzitto, [4], showed that if $f$ is a function from a vector space to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
|f(x+y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \epsilon,
$$

for some fixed $\epsilon>0$, then either $f$ is bounded or satisfies the exponential Cauchy functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x) f(y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a result is referred to as the superstability of the functional equation (1.2).
In this dissertation, the stability question about a generalized trigonometric functional equation is investigated. To be systematic, we first list all the functional equations that are of interest here using the terminology of Kim, [13].

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}=f(x) f(y) \text { (sine functional equation), }  \tag{S}\\
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y) \quad \text { (d'Alembertfunctional equation), } \quad(\mathrm{A})  \tag{A}\\
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y) \quad \text { (Wilson functional equation), }  \tag{fg}\\
&(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))  \tag{gf}\\
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 g(x) f(y), \\
& f(x+y)+f(x-y)=2 g(x) g(y),  \tag{T}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 f(x) f(y), \\
&(\mathrm{Af}))  \tag{gg}\\
& f(x+y)  \tag{gh}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 g(x) f(y),  \tag{Jy}\\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 g(x) g(y), \\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 g(x) h(y), \\
& f(x+y)-f(x-y)=2 f(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us briefly review some relevant earlier works.
Here and throughout, $(G,+)$ always denotes an abelian group. In certain cases, it may satisfy additional hypothesis of being a abelian 2-divisible group. By an additive (respectively, exponential) function $A$ (respectively, $E$ ) we refer to a function $A$ (respectively, E) satisfying the additive (respectively, exponential) Cauchy functional equation

$$
A(x+y)=A(\bar{x})+A(y) \quad(\text { respectively, } E(x+y)=E(x) E(y))
$$

for all $x, y$ belonging to the domain of $A$ (respectively, $E$ ).
The superstability of the cosine functional equation (A), was investigated by Baker, [3], in 1980 with the following result: let $\delta>0$. If $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies
then either

or $f$ is a solution of the equation (A). $\mathrm{In}_{1} 1983$, Cholewa, [6], investigated the superstability of the sine functional equation (S), with the following result: let $\delta>0$ and let $(G,+)$ be a 2-divisible abeliân group. If an unbounded function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$


$$
\left|f(x+y) f(x-y)-f(x)^{2}+f(y)^{2}\right| \leq \delta,
$$

then it satisfies (S). The superstability of the generalized sine functional equation was treated by Kim, [11], with the following result: let $\epsilon>0$. If the functions
$f, g, h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\left|g(x) h(y)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}\right| \leq \epsilon,
$$

then either $g$ is bounded or $h$ satisfies (S); moreover, if $g$ satisfies $g(0)=0$ or if $f$ satisfies $f(x)^{2}=f(-x)^{2}$, then either $h$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies (S). Later in 2007, Kim, [12], investigated the superstability related to the d'Alembert and the Wilson functional equations, with the following results:

Theorem 1.1.1. Let $\varphi$
I. If $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy
$|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 g(x) f(y)| \leq \varphi(x)$,
then either $f$ is bounded or $g$ satisfies.(A).
II. If $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy
 and if $g$ is unbounded theng satisfies (A) on fand satisfy ( $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{gf})$ ), or $f$ and $g$ satisfy $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$.

In the same work, Kim also considered the superstability of the functional equation $(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{fg}))$ with the following results:

Theorem 1.1.2. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
I. Suppose $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq \varphi(y) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is unbounded, then
(i) $g$ satisfies $(\mathrm{S})$ when $G$ is a 2-divisible group;
(ii) $f$ satisfies (A) and $f, g$ are solutions of $g(x+y)-g(x-y)=2 f(x) g(y)$.
II. Suppose $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g$ is unbounded, then
(i) $f, g$ are solutions of $(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{fg}))$; $7,5 \mathrm{~F}$
(ii) when $G$ is a 2-divisible group and either $f(0)=0$ or $f(x)=f(-x)$, we have $f$ satisfies (S);
(iii) $g$ satisfies $(\mathrm{A})$ or $(\mathrm{T})$, and $f, g$ are solutions of $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$.

Recently, Kim, [13], investigated the superstability of the pexiderized trigonometric functional equation $(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{gh}))$ and proved the next theorem.

Theorem 1.1.3. Let $\varphi: G_{\rightrightarrows} \mathbb{R}$.

จุหาลงกรณ์มหหมจิมิยเลลัย

If $g$ is unbounded, then
(i) $h$ satisfies $(\mathrm{S})$;
(ii) $g$ satisfies (A) and $g, h$ are solutions of ( $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{gh})$ ).
II. Suppose $f, g, h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 g(x) h(y)| \leq \varphi(x) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ is unbounded, then
(i) $g$ satisfies (S) when $g(0)=0$ or $f(x)=f(-x)$;
(ii) $h$ satisfies $(\mathrm{A})$ or $(\mathrm{T})$ and $g, h$ are solutions of $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$.

Our first objective is to investigate the stability of a generalized trigonometric functional equation

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are nonzerofunctions from an abelian group $(G,+)$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, which encompass all the functional equations elaborated in the above list.


In another direction, Jung, [8], in 2000 established the stability of the quadratic functional equation of pexider type
where $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ are functions from a norm space E E $E_{1}$ to a Banach space $E_{2}$.
In [10], Kannappan gave the general solution of (1.8) which states that:

Theorem 1.1.4. Let $G$ be a 2-divisible group and $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic different from 2. The general solution of (1.8) with $f_{i}(x+y+z)=f_{i}(x+z+y)(i=1,2)$
for any $x, y, z \in G$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(x)=B(x, x)-\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)(x)+b_{1}, \\
& f_{2}(x)=B(x, x)-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)(x)+b_{2}, \\
& f_{3}(x)=2 B(x, x)-2 A_{1}(x)+b_{3}, \\
& f_{4}(x)=2 B(x, x)+2 A_{2}(x)+b_{4}, \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

with $b_{1}+b_{2}=b_{3}+b_{4}$, where $B: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a symmetric biadditive function and $A_{i}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(i=1,2)$

Our second objective is to investigate the stability of a mixed-type trigonometric and quadratic functional equation of the form

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)+\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)+\mathcal{L}(x)+\mathcal{M}(y),
$$

where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are nonzero functions from an abelian group $(G,+)$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.


### 1.2 Objectives and structure of the dissertation 

The two objectives of this dissertaion are:

1. to inyestigate the stability of ageneralized trigonometric functional equation

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are nonzero functions from an abelian group $(G,+)$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$;
2. to investigate the stability of a mixed-type trigonometric and quadratic functional equation

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)+\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)+\mathcal{L}(x)+\mathcal{M}(y)
$$

where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are nonzero functions from an abelian group $(G,+)$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.


There are two principal tools used in this dissertation. The stability of both types of functional equations (generalized frigonometric funtional equation and mixed-type trigonometric and quadratic functional equation) is dealt with by a method due originally to Kim, [12]. The finding of differentiable solution functions of a mixed-type trigonometric and quadpatic functional equation is based on a classical method due to Levi-Civita, [1].

We now outline the structure of this dissertation. The stability of the equation

which encompass all the functional equations elaborated in the above list is established in Chapter II. After stating and proving themain theorem in the first section, several consequences are elaborated and applications to operators in Banach algebra are derived in the lạs section. In Cbapter Id, we solvefordifferentiable solution functions of the mixed-type trigonometric and quadratic functional equation

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)+\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)+\mathcal{L}(x)+\mathcal{M}(y),
$$

in the first section, while its stability is investigated in the last section.

## CHAPTER II

## GENERALIZED TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONAL

## EQUATIONS

We fix the following terminology throughout Chapter II:

- $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, f, g, h$ nonzero functions from an abelian group $(G,+)$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$;
- $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, the set of positive real numbers.


### 2.1 The main theorem and its proof

The following theoremis our main result.

Theorem 2.1.1. Suppose $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ satisfy


## mom anหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

(i) $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

exists for each $y \in G$, and $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) \ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \quad(x, y \in G)
$$

Assume (ii) holds.
(a) If $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation $(\mathrm{A})$, then $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ and are given by

$$
\mathcal{K}(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}, \mathcal{H}(x)=\frac{k\left(E(x)+E^{*}(x)\right)}{2}+c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$;
(b) If $\mathcal{H}(0)=0$ and $G$ is a-divisible group, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{S})$ and is of the form

$$
\mathcal{H}(x)=\mathcal{A}(x) \text { or } \mathcal{H}(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $c, E$ and $E^{*}(x)$ are as in (a).
Remark 2.1.2. Let us make the following important remarks.

$$
62
$$

- The resulf of Theorem. 2.1 involvesonty informationabout the functions $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, but is independent of the functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. The possibility (i) where the function $\mathcal{T}$ (on K) is constant has been coreviously treated by Jung, [8], in 2000, and this explains why our main result deals mostly with possibility (ii).
- Theorem 2.1.1 continues to hold when $\varphi(x)=\epsilon$, a positive constant, which includes a number of earlier known results.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is unbounded. Then there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $G$ such that

$$
0 \neq\left|\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Substituting $y_{n}$ for $y$ in (2.1) we have

$$
\left|\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x+y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}-\mathcal{H}(x)\right|=\frac{\varphi(x)}{2\left|\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x+y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{H}(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y_{n}+y$ and $y_{n}-y$ in the equation (2.1), we respectively get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left\{y_{n}+y\right)\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right| \leq \varphi(x), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and


Using (2.3), (2.4) and the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2.3), (2.4) and the triangle inequality, we have } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
2 \varphi(x) \geq\left|\mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right| \\
\geq \\
\quad \mid \mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right) \\
\quad+\mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right) \mid .
\end{array} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right.+\frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x+\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)-\mathcal{G}\left(x-\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
& \left.\quad-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \frac{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{2 \varphi(x)}{2\left|\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)-\mathcal{H}(x) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (ii).
Assume now that (ii) holds.
(a) If $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation ( A ), then


This relation together with (2.6) show that $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ satisfy

which is $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ ? The
(b) From its definition, we see thăt $\ell_{k}$ satisfies $9 \% \frac{1}{9} 9 \%$ ?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) \ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(0)=0$, we have $\mathcal{H}(y)+\mathcal{H}(-y)=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}$ is an odd function. Observe also
that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)^{2} & -\mathcal{H}(x-y)^{2}=\{\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)\}\{\mathcal{H}(x+y)-\mathcal{H}(x-y)\} \\
& =\mathcal{H}(x)\left\{\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \mathcal{H}(x+y)-\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \mathcal{H}(x-y)\right\}=\mathcal{H}(x)\{\mathcal{H}(x+2 y)-\mathcal{H}(x-2 y)\} \\
& =\mathcal{H}(x)\{\mathcal{H}(2 y+x)+\mathcal{H}(2 y-x)\}=\mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{H}(2 y) \ell_{\mathcal{K}}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $x$ in (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)^{2}-\mathcal{H}(x-y)^{2}=\mathcal{H}(2 x) \mathcal{H}(2 y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation $(S)$ when $G$ is 2-divisible. Appealing to the solutions of (S) in [10, p. 153], explicit shapes of $\mathcal{H}$ are as stated in the statement of the theorem.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.1.

Remark 2.1.3. For later usage, let us mention that in the step of the proof after the equation (2.2), if wessubstifute $y$ by $y \leftrightarrows y_{n}$ and $y-\hat{y}_{n}$ in the equation (2.1) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { proceed as before, we end up with } \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}\left((x+y)+y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{F}\left((x+y)-y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right.+\frac{\mathcal{G}\left((x-y)+y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{G}\left((x-y)-y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
&\left.-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \frac{\mathcal{K}\left(y+y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{K}\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Corollaries

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1.1 to derive almost all of the above-mentioned previous results. Since the functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ do not appear in the conclusion of Theorem 2.1.1, interchanging $x$ with $y$ and re-defining the functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ accordingly, we have:

Corollary 2.2.1. If $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \mathcal{K}$ satisfy

then
(i) $\mathcal{H}$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that
exists for each $\bar{y} \in G$, and $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies
 4.mem (ึพคลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย
(a) If $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation (A), then $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ and are given by

$$
\mathcal{H}(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}(x)=\frac{k\left(E(x)+E^{*}(x)\right)}{2}+c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$;
(b) If $\mathcal{K}(0)=0$, and $G$ is a 2 -divisible group, then $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation ( S ) and is given by

$$
\mathcal{K}(x)=A(x) \text { or } \mathcal{K}(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $c, E$ and $E^{*}(x)$ are as in (a).

Our next corollary is an extension of Kim's result, [13], corresponding to (1.7).

Corollary 2.2.2. If $f, g, h$ satisfy

then
(i) $h$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset$ such that
exists for each $y \in G$, and $g$ satisfies


## จหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Assume (ii) holds.
(a) If $h$ satisfies the equation (A) or (T), then $g$, $h$ are solutions of the equation ( $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ and are given by

$$
h(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}, \quad g(x)=\frac{k\left(E(x)+E^{*}(x)\right)}{2}+c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$;
(b) If $g(0)=0$ or $f(x)=f(-x)$ and $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $g$ satisfies the equation (S) and is given by

$$
g(x)=A(x) \text { or } g(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right)
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additwe function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=\overline{1 / E(x)}$.

Proof. All the resuts, except two places, follow from Theorem 2.1.1 by taking

$$
\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{F}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{H}(x) \neq g(x), \mathcal{K}(y)=h(y)
$$

The first of the two new assertions is in (a) where $h$ is supposed to satisfy (T). Applying Remark 2.1.3, we get $g(x+y)+g(x-y)=2 g(x) h(y)$, so that $g, h$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$. The second of the two new assertions is in (b) where we assume $f(x) \rightrightarrows f(-x)$. To get the desired result, it suffices to show that $g(0)=0$. This is achieved be first putting $x=0$ in $(2,10)$ to get

Then replacing $y$ by $y_{n}$, dividing by $\left|2 h\left(y_{n}\right)\right|$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Taking

$$
\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{F}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{H}(x)=g(x), \mathcal{K}(y)=h(y),
$$

Corollary 2.2.1 yields the following result, which is an extension of Kim's result, [13],
corresponding to (1.6).

Corollary 2.2.3. If $f, g, h$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 g(x) h(y)| \leq \varphi(y) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
(i) $g$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that
$\ell_{g}(y):=\lim _{n=\infty} \frac{g\left(y_{n}+y\right)+g\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{g\left(y_{n}\right)}$
exists for each $y \in G$, and $h$ satisfies

Assume (ii) holds.

(a) If $g$ satisfies the equation (A), then h.g are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$

where $k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$;
(b) If $h(0)=0$ and $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $h$ satisfies the equation $(\mathrm{S})$ and is given by

$$
h(x)=A(x) \text { or } h(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$.

The next corollary is an extension of Kim's result, [12], corresponding to (1.5).

Corollary 2.2.4. If $f, g$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) $g$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ suet that


## Assume (ii) holds. <br> 

(a) If $g$ şatisfies the equation (A) or $(\mathrm{T})$, then $f, g$ are solutions of the equation ( $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ given by

$$
g(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}, \quad f(x)=\frac{k\left(E(x)+E^{*}(x)\right)}{2}+c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$;
(b) If $f(0)=0$ or $f(x)=f(-x)$ and $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $f$ satisfies the equation ( S ) and is given by

$$
f(x)=A(x) \text { or } f(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$.
(iii) $f, g$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{fg}))$.

Proof. All the resuts for Parts (i) and (ii), except one place, follow from Theorem

### 2.1.1 by taking

$$
\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{F}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{H}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{K}(y)=g(y) .
$$

The only new assertion is in (a) where $g$ is assumed to satisfy $(T)$, which is dealt with by making use of Remark 2.1.3 as in the proof of Corollary 2.2.2.

There remains to prove Part (iii). Taking


$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{F}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{H}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{K}(y)=g(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

in Theorem 2.1.1 and using Remark 2.1.3, we deduce that $f\left(x_{\infty} y\right)-f(x-y)=$ $2 g(y) f(x)$, i.e., $f, g$ are solptions of the equation ( (f) $(\mathrm{f}))$ as desived $f$

Taking $\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{H}(x)=f(x), \mathcal{K}(y)=g(y)$, Corollary 2.2.1 yields an extension of Kim's result, [12], corresponding to (1.4).

Corollary 2.2.5. Let $f, g$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 f(x) g(y)| \leq \varphi(y) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
(i) $f$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{f}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(y_{n}+y\right)+f\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
& 7, \text { and g satisfies }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume (ii) holds.
(a) If $f$ satisfies the equation $(\mathrm{A})$ then $f, g$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad f(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}, g(x)=\frac{k\left(E(x)+E^{*}(x)\right.}{2}+c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right) \text {, } \\
& \text { where } k, c \in \mathbb{C}, E^{*}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \text { is a homomorphism and } E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then 9 gatisfies the equation $\widetilde{(S)}$ and is given by
where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$.

Regarding Baker's result, [3], corresponding to (1.3), we have:

Corollary 2.2.6. A. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x-y)-2 f(x) f(y)| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
(i) $f$ is bounded, or
(ii) there is a sequence $\left\{\overline{y_{n}}\right\} \subset G$ such that

exists for each $y \in G$, and $f$ satisfies
$f(x+y) \approx f(x-y)=f(x) \ell_{f}(y)$.

Assume (ii) holds. If $f(0)=\theta$ and $G$ is a 2 -divisible group, then $f$ satisfies the equation (S) given by

$f(x)=A(x)$ or $f(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right)$,

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is, a homomorphism

B. Let $\epsilon>0$. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2 f(x) f(y)| \leq \epsilon \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then either $f$ is bounded or $f$ satisfies the equation (A) and is given by

$$
f(x)=\frac{E(x)+E^{*}(x)}{2}
$$

where $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$.
Proof. For the part A, put $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{K}=f$ in Theorem 2.1.1. For the part B, put $\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathcal{H}(x)=\mathcal{K}(x)=f(x)=-\mathcal{G}(x)$ and $\varphi(x)=\epsilon$ in Theorem 2.1.1.

Taking $\mathcal{F}(x)=\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{H}(x)=\mathcal{K}(x)=f(x)$, Corollary 2.2.1 yields another extension of Baker's result, [3], corresponding to (1.3), which is

Corollary 2.2.7. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid f(x+y)-(f(x-y)-2 f(x) f(y) \mid \leq \varphi(y) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then
(i) $f$ is bounded, or


exists for each $y \in G$, and $f$ satisfies

$$
f(x+y)+f(x-y)=f(x) \ell_{f}(y) .
$$

Assume (ii) holds. If $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $f$ satisfies the equation ( S ) and
is given by

$$
f(x)=A(x) \text { or } f(x)=c\left(E(x)-E^{*}(x)\right),
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function, $E: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a homomorphism and $E^{*}(x)=1 / E(x)$.

### 2.3 Application to Banach algebra

Applying Theorem 2.1.1 and its corollaries to semisimple commutative Banach algebra, interesting results about operators can be obtained. We illustrate here just one instance.

Theorem 2.3.1. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra.
A. Assume that $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}: G \rightarrow X=$ and $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)\| \leq \varphi(x) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x^{*} \in X^{*}: X \rightarrow$ Cbe an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional. Suppose that the $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}$ is not bound $\overline{e d}$.
(i) If $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation (A), then $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are solutions of the equation $(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{fg}))$. Pी
(ii) If $x_{0}^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}(0)=0$ and $G$ is a 2-divisiblegroup, then $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation (S).
B. Assume that $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}: G \rightarrow X$ and $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)\| \leq \varphi(y) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x^{*} \in X^{*}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional. Suppose that the $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}$ is not bounded.
(i) If $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation (A), then $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are solutions of the equation (A(fg)).
(ii) If $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}(0)=0$ and $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation (S).

Proof. We give only the proof of Part A, as that of Part B is similar. For case (i) of Part A, fix an arbitrary linear multiplicative functional $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Since $\left\|x^{*}\right\|=1$, we claim that

$$
\left|\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{F}\right)(x+y)-\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{G}\right)(x-y)-2\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}\right)(x)\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}\right)(y)\right| \leq \varphi(x)
$$

This follows easily from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x) & \geq\|\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y)<2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)\| \\
& =\sup _{\left\|x^{*}\right\|=1}\left|x^{*}(\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x \leq y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y))\right| \\
& \geq\left|x^{*}\left(\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y) \mathcal{F}^{2 \mathcal{H}}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)\right)\right| \\
& \left.=\mid\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{F}\right)(x+y)-\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{G}\right)(x-y)-2\left(x^{*} \bigcirc \mathcal{H}\right)(x)\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}\right)(y)\right) \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Supposing $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}$ is unbounded, Theorem 2.1.1 shows that if $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation (A), then $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}$ and $x \neq 20$ are solutionsof $\bigcap \tau$
i.e., $\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y) \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(x^{*}\right)$. Since $X$ is semisimple, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y) \in \bigcap_{x^{*} \in X^{*}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(x^{*}\right)=\{0\},
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)=0$. Since $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the equation (A) and $X$ is semisimple, $\mathcal{K}$ also satifies the equation (A).

For case (ii) of Part A, Theorem 2.1.1 shows that if $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}(0)=0$ and $G$ is a 2-divisible group, then $x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the equation (S), i.e.,

$$
0=\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}\right)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}\right)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}\right)(x)\left(x^{*} \circ \mathcal{H}\right)(y) .
$$

Since $x^{*}$ is a linear multiplicative functional we get

$$
x^{*}\left(\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{H}(y)\right)=0
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{H}(y) \overrightarrow{\operatorname{Ker}}\left(x^{*}\right)$. Since $X$ is semisimple, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2} \leq \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{H}(y) \in \bigcap_{x^{*} \in X^{*}} \operatorname{Ker}\left(x^{*}\right)=\{0\}
$$

i.e., $\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{H}(y)=0$, showing that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies (S).


## ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

## CHAPTER III

## MIXED-TYPE TRIGONOMETRIC AND QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL/EQUATIONS

In this chapter, we deal with a mixed-type trigonometric and quadratic functional equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}(x-y) \overrightarrow{f 2 \mathcal{H}}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)+\mathcal{L}(x)+\mathcal{M}(y) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to ascertain the existence of Solution, we start by determining its general set of differentiable solution functions using a method of Levi-Civita as expounded in [1] in the next section and proceed to consider its stability in the subsequent section.

Observe that solutions of (3.1) are plentiful as seen from the following examples.

## Example 3.0.2.

1) If $\mathcal{G}(x)=0, \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y) \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{L}(x) \neq \underset{\mathcal{M}(x)}{\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}}=\mathcal{F}(x)$, the general solution of (3.1) is $\mathcal{F}(x)=A(x)$, additive function.
2) If $\mathcal{G}(x)=\mathcal{L}(x)=\mathcal{M}(y)=0, \mathcal{H}(x)=\mathcal{K}(x)=\frac{\mathcal{F}(x)}{\sqrt{2}}$, the general sotution of $(3.1)$ is $\mathcal{F}(x)=E(x)$, exponential function.
3) $\mathcal{H}(x)=\mathcal{K}(x)=e^{x}, \mathcal{F}(x)=2 e^{x}, \mathcal{L}(x)=-\mathcal{M}(x)=x, G(x)=x$ is a solution of (3.1).

From such observation, to find a general solution of (3.1) is quite a tedious matter.

### 3.1 Levi-Civita's method

We are content have to use a method due to Levi-Civita in solving a simple case of (3.1) with additional hypothesis. It is to be noted that solutions of (3.1) are mostly exponential polynomials.

Theorem 3.1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}: \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be differentiable functions satisfying (3.1). If $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-multiple of a non-constant function $\mathcal{H}$, then

where $c_{1}(\neq 0), c_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \alpha, \beta_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Differentiating (3.1) with repect to x, we obtain

Differentiating (3.1) with repect to $y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+y)-\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(x-y)=2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(y)+\mathcal{M}^{\prime}(y) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding and substracting (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+y) & =2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)+2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(y)+\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(x)+\mathcal{M}^{\prime}(y)  \tag{3.4}\\
2 \mathcal{G}^{\prime}(x-y) & =2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(y)+\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(x)-\mathcal{M}^{\prime}(y) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x)=c_{1} \mathcal{H}(x)$ where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathcal{H}(x)=e^{c_{1} x}+\sigma$ where $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a non-constant function, we must have $c_{1} \neq 0$ and $\sigma=0$. So
and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(x)=c_{2} e^{c_{1} x}$ where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence
$\mathcal{L}(x)=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} c^{c_{1} x}+\alpha$
for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ in (3.4), we obtain


Differentiating (3.6) with yepect to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ศนยวิทยทรัพยากร } \\
& 2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(x+y)=2 c_{1}^{2} e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}(y)+2 c_{1} e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(y)+c_{2} c_{1} e^{c_{1} x} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (3.6) with repect to $y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime \prime}(x+y)=2 c_{1} e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(y)+2 e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}(y)+\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}(y) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c_{1}^{2} e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}(y)+c_{2} c_{1} e^{c_{1} x}=2 e^{c_{1} x} \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}(y)+\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}(y) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $x=0$ and $x=1$ into (3.9), we obtain


Substracting (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$
2\left(e^{c_{1}}-1\right) \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}(y)-2 c_{1}^{2}\left(e^{c_{1}}-1\right) \mathcal{K}(y)=c_{2} c_{1}\left(e^{c_{1}}-1\right) .
$$

Since $c_{1} \neq 0$,


By solving a second order differential equation, we obtain
where $\gamma_{1} \overbrace{2} \notin \mathbb{C}$. Puting $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}(y)$ from 8.12$)$ into $(3.10)$, we obtain $\mathcal{M}^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$. Then

$$
\mathcal{M}(y)=\delta_{1} y+\delta_{2}
$$

for some $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x+y)=4 c_{1} \gamma_{1} e^{c_{1} x} e^{c_{1} y}+\delta_{1} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{F}(x+y)=2 \gamma_{1} e^{c_{1}(x+y)}+\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}(x+y)+\beta_{1}
$$

where $\beta_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ into (3.5), we have

$$
\mathcal{G}(x-y)=2 \gamma_{2} e^{(x)}(x-y)-\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}(x-y)+\beta_{2}
$$

Thus
where $\beta_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ into (3.1), we obtain $\beta_{2}=\alpha+\delta_{2}-$ $\beta_{1}$.

In solving the equation (3.1), we now consider (3.4) by setting $f(x):=2 \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(x)$. Then it becomes a equation of the form

After that wefollow here the adeaf T. Levi-Civita mith some modification. It may obviously be assumed that the functions $f_{k}(x)$ on the one hand and the functions $g_{k}(y)$ on the other hand are linearly independent. Otherwise, we replace in (3.15) the linearly dependent functions with their representations as linear combinations relative to a basis of linearly independent functions, the result of which is an equation of similar form. If (3.15) is partially differentiated with respect first to $x$ and then to
$y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}^{\prime}(x) g_{k}(y)=f^{\prime}(x+y)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}(x) g_{k}^{\prime}(y) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $g_{k}(y)$ being linearly independent, there exist constants $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ such that the determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{k}(m)\right) \neq 0 \quad(k, m=1, \ldots, n)$. substituting these into (3.16), we can express the $f_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ with aid of the $f_{j}(x)(j, k=1, \ldots, n)$ :

On the other hand, it follows from (3.15), again with $y$ held constant at 0 , that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} f_{k}(x), \tag{d0}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by successive differentiation of this equation, taking (3.17) into consideration, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{1 k} f_{k}(x)  \tag{d1}\\
& \text { ศูนย์วิพยขทั๊ษยากร } \tag{d2}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the $f_{k}(x)$ can be eliminated. This yield for $f(x)$ a homogeneous linear differential equation of $n$th order with constant coefficients. In fact, if $\operatorname{det}\left(c_{m k}\right)=$
$0(m, k=1, \ldots, n)$, then from (d1), $\ldots,(\mathrm{dn})$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{m} f^{(m)}(x)=0
$$

follows with at least one $a_{k} \neq 0$, while if det $c_{m k} \neq 0$, then the $f_{k}(x)(k=1, \ldots, n)$ can be expressed from these equations as linear combinations of the $f^{(m)}(x)$ ( $m=$ $1, \ldots, n$ ), which substituted in (d0) give again

Thus, as a general $n$-times differrentiable solution of (3.15), we obtain

where the $P_{k}(x)$ are polynomials of $\left(n_{k}-1\right)$ st degree

and the $\omega_{k}$ are, in general, complex constants, namely, the roots of the characteristic equation. All these functions do satisfy equations of form (3.15), with suitably chosen $f_{k}(x), g_{k}(y)(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Therefore we obtain that $\mathcal{F}(x)$, is an exponential polynomal. In a similar manner, if wedonsider (3.5), then we alsoobtain that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ is an exponential polynomial.

### 3.2 Stability by Kim's method

In this section, we use Kim's method in [12] to study the stability of the mixedtype trigonometric and quadratic functional equations (3.1). We start with some
preliminaries.

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $\mathcal{H}, K, \ell: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be differentiable functions with $K$ being nonconstant. If $K^{\prime}(0) \neq 1$ and $\mathcal{H}, K, \ell$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) K(y)+\ell(y) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{H}(x) \equiv h \in \mathbb{C}$.
Moreover,
(i) if $h=0$, then $\ell(y) \equiv 0$ and $K$ is an arbitrary function;
(ii) if $h \neq 0$, then $\ell(y)=h(2-K(y)) \overrightarrow{\text { and }} K$ is an arbitrary function.

Proof. Differentiating (3.18) with repect to $x$ and to $y$, we obtain respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) K(y)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x+y)-\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) K^{\prime}(y)+\ell^{\prime}(y) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding and subtracting (3.19) and (3.20), we get respectively


Putting $y=0$ in (3.21) and (3.22), we respectively get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x)=\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) K(0)+\mathcal{H}(x) K^{\prime}(0)+\ell^{\prime}(0) .  \tag{3.23}\\
& 2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x)=\mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) K(0)-\mathcal{H}(x) K^{\prime}(0)-\ell^{\prime}(0) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Adding (3.23) and (3.24) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x)=2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) K(0) . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider two separate cases. If $K(0) \neq 2$, then, by $(3.25), \mathcal{H}^{\prime}(x) \equiv 0$. If $K(0)=2$, then, by (3.23), $\mathcal{H}(x) K^{\prime}(0)=-\ell^{\prime}(0)$. The both cases of $K(0)$ imply that $\mathcal{H}$ is a constant function, namely $h \in \mathbb{C}$.By (3.18), if $h=0$, then $\ell(y) \equiv 0$ and $K$ is an arbitrarily function. If $h \neq 0$, then $\ell(y)=h(2-K(y))$ and $K$ is an arbitrary function.


We shall need the following corollary of Theorem 1.1.4.

Corollary 3.2.2. Let $\mathcal{H}, \ell$ be functions from a 2 -divisible abelian group $G$ to a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic different from 2 and $c \in \mathbb{N}$.

If


 function and $r \in \mathbb{F}$;
(ii) for $c \neq 2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}(x) \equiv r, \quad \ell(x) \equiv r(2-c)
$$

are constant functions, where $r \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Substituing $f_{1}(x)=f_{2}(x)=\mathcal{H}(x), f_{3}(x)=c \mathcal{H}(x), f_{4}(x)=\ell(y)$ in Theorem

### 1.1.4, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}(x) & =B(x, x)-\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)(x)+b_{1},  \tag{3.26}\\
\mathcal{H}(x) & =B(x, x)-\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)(x)+b_{2},  \tag{3.27}\\
c \mathcal{H}(x) & =2 B(x, x)-2 A_{1}(x)+b_{3},  \tag{3.28}\\
\ell(x) & =2 B(x, x)+2 A_{2}(x)+b_{4} \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

with $b_{1}+b_{2}=b_{3}+b_{4}$, where $B \quad G \times G \leadsto \mathbb{F}$ is a symmetric biadditive function and $A_{1}, A_{2}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ are an additive function. Equating (3.26) and (3.27), we get $2 A_{2}(x)=b_{2}-b_{1}$, a contant function, Since $A_{2}$ is additive, we must have $A_{2}(x) \equiv 0$ and so $b_{1}=b_{2}$. Putting this information back into (3.26), we get
and multiplying by $c$ to get



$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Q } 9 x\left(B(x-x) \in A_{1}(x) d \frac{6}{6} b_{1}\right)=12 B,(x, x) \hat{\sigma}^{2} A_{1}(x)+2 b^{2}-b_{4} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now two distinct cases.
Case 1: $c=2$. By (3.31), we have $b_{4}=0$ and so

$$
\ell(x)=2 B(x, x) .
$$

Case 2: $c \neq 2$. By (3.31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, x)-A_{1}(x)=\frac{b_{4}}{2-c}-b_{1} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x+y, x+y)-A_{1}(x+y)=\frac{b_{4}}{2-c}-b_{1} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B$ is symmetric biadditive and $A$ is additve, (3.33) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{b_{4}}{2-c}-b_{1} & =B(x+y, x-y)-A_{1}(x+y) \\
& =B(x, x)+2 B(x, y)+B(y, y)-A_{1}(x)-A_{1}(y) \\
& =\left(B(x, x)-A_{1}(x)\right)+\left(B(y, y)-A_{1}(y)\right)+2 B(x, y) \\
& =\frac{b_{4}}{2-c}-\frac{12 b_{4}}{2-c}-b_{1}+2 B(x, y) . \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

The relation (3.34) shows that $B$ is a constant function and so $B \equiv 0$ because $B$ is symmetric biadditive. Thus, (3.32) shows that $A_{1}$ is a constant function and so $A_{1} \equiv 0$ because $A_{1}$ is additive. Consequently, $b_{4}=b_{1}(2-c)$ and $\mathcal{H}(x)=b_{1}, \quad \ell(x)=$



Theorem 3.2.3. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Assume that $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}(x+y)+\mathcal{G}(x-y)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}(y)-\mathcal{L}(x)-\mathcal{M}(y)| \leq \varphi(x) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

If $\mathcal{K}$ is unbounded, then there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Im_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}}(x, y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \mathcal{H}(x)\left(\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)+\left(\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists for all $x, y \in G$, and the function $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\Im_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}}(x, y) . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or the limit

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{N}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) \ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y)+\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$


I. When $G \bigoplus=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{H}, \ell_{\mathcal{K}}, \ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}$ are differentiable, if $\ell_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a non-constant function and $\ell_{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{H}(x) \equiv h \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant function. Furthermore,
(Ia) if $h=0$, then $\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y) \equiv 0$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an arbitrary function;
(Ib) if $h \neq 0$, then $\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y)=h\left(2-\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y)\right)$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an arbitrary function.
II. When $G$ is a 2-divisible abelian group, if $\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \equiv c \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant function,
then
(IIa) for $c=2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}(x)=B(x, x)+A(x)+r, \quad \ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(x)=2 B(x, x),
$$

where $B: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric biddditive function, $A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function and $r \in \mathbb{C}$;
(IIb) for $c \neq 2$, we have
$\mathcal{H}(x)=r, \quad \ell \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}(x)=r(2-c)$
are constant functions, where $r \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is unbounded. Then there is a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G$ such that


Substituing $y=y_{n}$ in (3.35) and dividing by $\left|\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|$ we get


$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}\left(x+y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{G}\left(x-y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}=\mathcal{H}(x) . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $y=y_{n} \pm y$ in (3.35), we respectively obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left((x+y)+y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{G}\left((x-y)-y_{n}\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)-\mathcal{L}(x)-\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}+y\right)\right| \leq \varphi(x) . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left((x-y)+y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{G}\left((x+y)-y_{n}\right)-2 \mathcal{H}(x) \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-\mathcal{L}(x)-\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right| \leq \varphi(x) . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.40) and (3.41), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{\mathcal{F}\left((x+y)+y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{G}\left((x+y)-\left(y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)\right.}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right. \\
& +\frac{\left.\mathcal{F}\left((x-y)+y_{n}\right)+\mathcal{G}(x-y)-y_{n}\right)-\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \overline{\log \left(\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)} \\
& \left.-\frac{2 \mathcal{H}(x)\left(\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)+\left(\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right.\right.}{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{\left|\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)\right|}
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Im_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}}(x, y):= \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \mathcal{H}(x)\left(\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)\right)+\left(\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{\text { คq\& }}
\end{array}
$$

exists, and by (3.39) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Im_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}}(x, y)=\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y) . \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\Im_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}}(x, y)$ exists, we note that if the existence of either

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{\mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{M}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{K}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

implies that of the other and yields at once

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(x+y)+\mathcal{H}(x-y)=\mathcal{H}(x) \ell_{\mathcal{K}}(y)+\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}(y) . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $G=\mathbb{R}, K=\ell_{\mathcal{K}}, \ell=\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}$ in Lemma 3.2.1, we obtain Part I. Similarly, Part II follows from taking $\ell=\ell_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}}, K \equiv c$ and $G$ a 2 -divisible abelian group in Corollary 3.2.2.

Since the functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ do net appear in the conclusion of Theorem 3.2.3, interchanging $x$ with $y$ and re-defiming the functions $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ accordingly, we have:

Corollary 3.2.4. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Assume that $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

for all $x, y \in G$ and where $\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
If $\mathcal{H}$ is unbownded, then thereligd Sequencily ind a such that

exists for all $x, y \in G$, and the function $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(x+y)+\mathcal{K}(x-y)=\Im_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}}(x, y) . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if either the limit

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{H}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{H}\left(y_{n}-y\right)}{\mathcal{H}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

or the limit

$$
\ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}(y):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}\left(y_{n}+y\right)+\mathcal{L}\left(y_{n}-y\right)-2 \mathcal{L}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 \mathcal{H}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

exists for all $y \in G$, then the functional equation (3.47) simplifies to

for all $x, y \in G$.
Assume that (3.48) holds.
I. When $G=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{K}, \ell_{\mathcal{H}}, \ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}$ are differentiable, if $\ell_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a non-constant function and $\ell_{\mathcal{H}}^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{K}(x) \equiv k \in \mathbb{C}$ isconstant function. Furthermore,
(Ia) if $k=0$, then $\ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}(y) \equiv 0$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an arbitrary function;
(Ib) if $k \neq 0$, then $\ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}(y)=k\left(2-\ell_{\mathcal{H}}(y)\right)$ and $\ell_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an arbitary function.
II. When $G$ is a 2-divisible abelian group, if $\ell_{\mathcal{H}}(y) \equiv c \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant function, then
(IIa) for $c=2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}(x)=B(x, x)+A(x)+r, \quad \ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}(x)=2 B(x, x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric biadditive function, $A: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function and $r \in \mathbb{C}$,
(IIb) for $c \neq 2$, we have

$$
\mathcal{K}(x) \equiv r, \quad \ell_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}}(x) \equiv r(2-c)
$$

are constant functions, where $r \in \mathbb{C}$.
Remark 3.2.5. Theorem 3.2.3 and Corollary 3.2.4 continue to hold when $\varphi(x)$, respectively $\varphi(y)$, are replaced by a positive constant.
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