เสถียรภาพไฮเออร์-อูแลม-แรสเซียสนัยทั่วไปของสมการเชิงฟังก์ชันกำลังห้า

นางสาวมนทกานติ เพชรอภิรักษ์

สูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์ ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2551 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Miss Montakarn Petapirak

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Mathematics Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2008 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

510039

Thesis Title	GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS
	STABILITY OF A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION
By	Miss Montakarn Petapirak
Field of Study	Mathematics
Advisor	Associate Professor Paisan Nakmahachalasint, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

Harmanghan Dean of the Faculty of Science

(Professor Supot Hannongbua, Dr.rer.nat.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

ajchana Hanchrowmy Chairman (Associate Professor Ajchara Harnchoowong, Ph.D.)

Pain Nolu Advisor

(Associate Professor Paisan Nakmahachalasint, Ph.D.)

Amorn Wasanawichit Examiner

(Associate Professor Amorn Wasanawichit, Ph.D.)

(Assistant Professor Pattira Ruengsinsub, Ph.D.)

มนทกานติ เพชรอภิรักษ์ : เสถียรภาพไฮเออร์-อูแลม-แรสเซียสนัยทั่วไปของสมการเชิงฟังก์ชัน กำลังห้า. (GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก : รศ. คร. ไพศาล นาคมหาชลาสินธุ์ , 26 หน้า.

ในช่วงหลายทศวรรษที่ผ่านมา ได้มีการศึกษาปัญหาเสถียรภาพของสมการเชิงฟังก์ชันหลากหลาย ประเภทกันอย่างกว้างขวาง วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เราเริ่มด้วยการศึกษาผลเฉลยทั่วไปและเสถียรภาพไฮเออร์-อู แลม-แรสเซียสนัยทั่วไปของสมการเชิงฟังก์ชันกำลังสื่

f(3x+y) + f(x+3y) = 64f(x) + 64f(y) + 24f(x+y) - 6f(x-y)แล้วขยายแนวคิดไปสู่การศึกษาผลเฉลยทั่วไปและเสถียรภาพไฮเออร์-อูแลม-แรสเซียสนัยทั่วไปของ สมการเชิงฟังก์ชันกำลังห้า

f(x+5y) - 5f(x+4y) + 10f(x+3y) - 10f(x+2y) + 5f(x+y) - f(x) = 120f(y)

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ภาควิชา	คณิตศาสตร์
สาขาวิชา	คณิตศาสตร์
ปีการศึกษา	

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต	Runnud	increasing	
ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึก	าษาวิทยานิพน	ธ์หลัก	Z

5072421823 : MAJOR MATHEMATICS KEYWORDS : QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION / PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION / STABILITY / HYERS-ULAM- RASSIAS STABILITY

MONTAKARN PETAPIRAK : GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION. ADVISOR : ASSOC. PROF. PAISAN NAKMAHACHALASINT, Ph.D., 26 pp.

During the last decades, the stability problems of several functional equations have been widely studied. In this thesis we first study the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quartic functional equation

$$f(3x+y) + f(x+3y) = 64f(x) + 64f(y) + 24f(x+y) - 6f(x-y)$$

and then extend the idea to investigate the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the pentic functional equation

f(x+5y) - 5f(x+4y) + 10f(x+3y) - 10f(x+2y) + 5f(x+y) - f(x) = 120f(y).

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Department	:Mathematics	Student's Signature : Montaham Staperah
Field of Study	:Mathematics	Advisor's Signature : Pain Noli
Academic Year	:	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very sincerely grateful to Associate Professor Dr. Paisan Nakmahachalasint, my thesis advisor, for his kindness, helpful suggestions, and compassionate guidance. His assistance and careful reading are of great value to me in the preparation and completion of this thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis committee: Associate Professor Ajchara Harnchoowong, Associate Professor Amorn Wasanawichit, and Assistant Professor Pattira Ruengsinsub, for their valuable comments, and to all of my teachers and lecturers.

Finally, I feel very grateful to my family for their compassion and encouragement throughout my life.



CONTENTS

page
ABSTRACT IN THAIiv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
CONTENTS
CHAPTER
I INTRODUCTION
II PRELIMINARIES
III A QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION9
IV A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION16
REFERENCES
VITA



CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

Functional equations are equations in which the unknowns (or unknown) are functions. A function satisfying a functional equation on a given domain is called a solution of the equation on that domain. The set of all such solutions is called the general solution of the equation [2]. Functional equations have substantially grown to become an important branch of mathematics. Particularly during the last two decades, with its special methods, there are a number of interesting results and several applications [6].

One of the most famous functional equations is the *additive equation*, or the *Cauchy equation*, defined as follows:

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y).$$
 (1.1)

A function that satisfies the equation (1.1) will be called an *additive function* [2]. First, we will consider some properties of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the equation (1.1) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Putting x = y = 0 in the equation (1.1), we have f(0) = 0. Substituting y by -x in the equation (1.1), we obtain f(-x) = -f(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. f is an odd function. By a mathematical induction, we can extend the equation (1.1) to the equation

$$f(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \ge 2$, and then substitute x_i by x for all i = 1, ..., n, it follows directly that f(nx) = nf(x) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x = \frac{m}{n}t$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then nx = mt. We have f(nx) = f(mt), and also nf(x) = mf(t). That is $f(\frac{m}{n}t) = f(x) = \frac{m}{n}f(t)$. Since f is an odd function, we obtain f(rt) = rf(t)for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let t = 1 and f(1) = c. Then f(r) = cr for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, it was proved [1] that if f is continuous everywhere, then f(x) = cxfor all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The so-called quadratic functional equation is the equation of the form

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$
(1.2)

We have that the continuous solution to the equation (1.2) is of the form $f(x) = cx^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, every solution of the equation (1.2) is called a *quadratic* function [6].

The problem of stability originated from the question of S.M. Ulam [15] in 1940. He gave a wide ranging talk before the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of homomorphism: Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $h: G_1 \to G_2$ satisfies the

inequality

$$d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$?

In other words, we are looking for situation when the homomorphisms are stable. If we turn our attention to the case of functional equations, we can ask the question: When the solutions of an equation differing slightly from a given one must be close to the true solution of the given equation [5]. In the next year, D.H. Hyers [7] has excellently answered the question of Ulam for the case of approximately additive mapping $f: E \to E'$ where E and E' are Banach spaces: Let $f: E \to E'$ be a mapping between Banach spaces E, E' such that

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \le \varepsilon$$
 for all $x, y \in E$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists exactly one additive mapping $L : E \to E'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \le \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in E$ given by the formula $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x), x \in E$.

In 1978, a generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mapping was given by Th.M. Rassias [14]:

Let $f: E \to E'$ be a mapping between Banach spaces E, E' such that

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \le \varepsilon (||x||^p + ||y||^p)$$
 for all $x, y \in E$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $0 \le p < 1$. Then there exists exactly one additive mapping $L: E \to E'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \le \frac{2\varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in E$ given by the formula $L(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x), x \in E$.

Th.M. Rassias Theorem stimulated several mathematicians working in functional equations to investigated this kind of stability for many important functional equations [6]. Finally, there exists the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability which considers the inequality controlled by the function of variables x and y instead of the term $\varepsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$ in the theorem of Rassias.

During the last decades, the stability problems of several functional equations have been proved by several reseachers (see further [3],[4],[9],[10],[13]). In 2002, I-S Chang, H-M Kim [5] studied the quadratic functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = f(x + y) + f(x - y) + 6f(x),$$

which is somewhat different from (1.2), and proved its generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. In this year, K-W Jun, H-M Kim [8] studied the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the cubic functional equation

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 12f(x).$$

In 2005, S.H. Lee, S.M. Im and I.S. Hwang [11] studied the general solution of the quartic functional equation

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y)$$
(1.3)

and proved its stability in the sense of Hyers-Ulam. After that, the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quartic functional equation (1.3) was proved by A. Najati [12] in 2008.

In this thesis, we start by using a different approach from S.H. Lee, S.M. Im and I.S. Hwang to study the general solution of the new quartic functional equation

$$f(3x+y) + f(x+3y) = 64f(x) + 64f(y) + 24f(x+y) - 6f(x-y)$$
(1.4)

and prove its generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. Our main result deals with the following new functional equation

$$f(x+5y)-5f(x+4y)+10f(x+3y)-10f(x+2y)+5f(x+y)-f(x) = 120f(y) \quad (1.5)$$

which is a pentic functional equation. Also, we study the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the equation (1.5) which are more complicated than those of (1.4).

์ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER II PRELIMINARIES

In this chapter, we collect some relevant definitions and theorems from the book *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables* by S. Czerwik [6]. The difference operator and its related theorems are given in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2, we give a connection between a polynomial function and an n-additive symmetric function which plays a role in studying the general solution.

2.1 The difference operator

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be two linear spaces over \mathbb{R} , and let $f: X \to Y$ be an arbitrary function. The difference operator Δ_x with the span x is defined by

$$\Delta_x f(y) := f(y+x) - f(y) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The iterates Δ_x^s , s = 0, 1, 2, ..., are defined by the natural recurrence

$$\Delta_x^0 f = f, \ \Delta_x^{s+1} f = \Delta_x \left(\Delta_x^s f \right).$$
(2.2)

The superposition of several difference operators will be written shortly

$$\Delta_{x_1\cdots x_s} f = \Delta_{x_1}\cdots \Delta_{x_s} f, \ s \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2.3)

Theorem 2.2. For arbitrary functions $f_1, f_2 : X \to Y$ and for arbitrary constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Delta_x(\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2) = \alpha \Delta_x f_1 + \beta \Delta_x f_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that the set of all above functions $f : X \to Y$ is a real vector space under the operations of ordinary addition and scalar multiplication of functions. Furthermore, Theorem 2.2 tells us that the difference operator is a linear operator on this set.

Theorem 2.3. For arbitrary $x_1, x_2 \in X$ the operators $\Delta_{x_1}, \Delta_{x_2}$ commute:

$$\Delta_{x_1} \Delta_{x_2} f = \Delta_{x_2} \Delta_{x_1} f. \qquad (2.5)$$

By Theorem 2.3, we can see that operator (2.3) is symmetric under the permutation of $x_1, ..., x_s$.

Theorem 2.4. For arbitrary $x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$\Delta_{x_1+x_2}f - \Delta_{x_1}f - \Delta_{x_2}f = \Delta_{x_1x_2}f.$$
(2.6)

Theorem 2.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\Delta_x^s f(y) = \sum_{n=0}^s (-1)^{s-n} \binom{s}{n} f(y+nx).$$
(2.7)

2.2 Polynomial functions

In this thesis we classify some functional equations by using the following definition.

Definition 2.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $f: X \to Y$ fulfilling the condition

$$\Delta_x^{s+1} f(y) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ is called a polynomial function of order s.

Theorem 2.7. If $f: X \to Y$ is a polynomial function of order s, then

$$\Delta_{x_1 \cdots x_{s+1}} f(y) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x_1, ..., x_{s+1}, y \in X$.

In order to investigate the general solution of functional equations of polynomial types, we need some properties of n -additive symmetric functions. **Definition 2.8.** Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A function $A_n : X^n \to Y$ is called *n*additive if for every $r, 1 \leq r \leq n$, and for every $x_1, ..., x_n, y_r \in X$,

$$A_n(x_1, ..., x_{r-1}, x_r + y_r, x_{r+1}, ..., x_n) = A_n(x_1, ..., x_n) + A_n(x_1, ..., x_{r-1}, y_r, x_{r+1}, ..., x_n).$$

That is, A_n is additive with respect to each of its variable $x_r \in X$, r = 1, ..., n.

A function A_n is called *symmetric* if

$$A_n(x_1, ..., x_n) = A_n(x_{\pi(1)}, ..., x_{\pi(n)})$$

for every permutation $\{\pi(1), ..., \pi(n)\}$ of 1, 2, ..., n.

Given a function $A_n: X^n \to Y$, by the diagonalization of A_n we understand the function $A^n: X \to Y$ given by the formula

$$A^n(x) := A_n(x, \dots, x), \quad x \in X.$$

For convenience, any constant function will be called a 0-additive function.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A_n : X^n \to Y$ be a symmetric *n*-additive function and $A^n : X \to Y$ be the diagonalization of A_n . Then, for every $m \ge n$ and for every $x_1, ..., x_m, y \in X$, we have

$$\Delta_{x_1...x_m} A^n(y) = \begin{cases} n! A_n(x_1, ..., x_n) & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

Example 2.10. Let $A_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $A_2(x, y) = xy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is easy to see that A_2 is symmetric and biadditive. By Theorem 2.9, we have $\Delta_{x_1x_2}A^2(y) = 2A_2(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2, y \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $A^2(y+2x) - 2A^2(y+x) + A^2(y) = \Delta_x^2 A^2(y) = 2x^2$. Moreover, $\Delta_{x_1x_2x_3}A^2(y) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a polynomial function of order s. Then there exist n-additive symmetric mappings $A_n: X^n \to Y$, n = 0, ..., s, such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{s} A^n(x), \ x \in X$$

where $A^n: X \to Y$ is the diagonalization of A_n , for each n = 0, ..., s.

Example 2.12. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the equation (1.2).

If we substitute x by x + 2y and x + y, respectively, in the equation (1.2), then we obtain the new equations, respectively,

$$f(x+3y) - 2f(x+2y) + f(x+y) = 2f(y)$$
(2.11)

$$f(x+2y) - 2f(x+y) + f(x) = 2f(y).$$
(2.12)

Subtracting (2.12) from (2.11), we obtain the following equation

$$f(x+3y) - 3f(x+2y) + 3f(x+y) - f(x) = 0$$

This shows that $\Delta_y^3 f(x) = 0$, i.e. f is a polynomial function of order 2. Then, by Theorem 2.11, there exist *n*-additive symmetric mappings $A_n : X^n \to Y$, n = 0, 1, 2, such that

$$f(x) = A^0 + A^1(x) + A^2(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$
(2.13)

where $A^n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the diagonalization of A_n , for each n = 0, 1, 2.

Actually, we can verify that terms in the right hand side of the above equation may vanish. Putting x = y = 0 in the equation (1.2), we have f(0) = 0. Replacing y by -y in the equation (1.2), we can see that f(y) = f(-y) for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, f is an even function. We observe that A^0 and A^2 are even, so the evenness of f forces that $A^1(x) = 0$. Since f(0) = 0, we also obtain $A^0 = 0$. Hence, the equation (2.13) becomes

$$f(x) = A^2(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(2.14)

CHAPTER III

A QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this chapter, we study the general solution of the quartic functional equation

$$f(3x+y) + f(x+3y) = 64f(x) + 64f(y) + 24f(x+y) - 6f(x-y)$$
(3.1)

and prove its generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

3.1 The general solution

In this section, we establish the general solution of the equation (3.1). Throughout this section X and Y will be real vector spaces.

Theorem 3.1. A function $f : X \to Y$ satisfies the functional equation (3.1) if and only if there exists a 4-additive symmetric function $A_4 : X^4 \to Y$ such that $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in X$ where A^4 is the diagonalization of A_4 .

Proof. Assume that f satisfies the functional equation (3.1). Putting x = y = 0 in the equation (3.1), we have f(0) = 0. Replacing x and y by x + y and x - y, respectively, in the equation (3.1), we obtain

$$f(4x+2y) + f(4x-2y) = 64f(x+y) + 64f(x-y) + 24f(2x) - 6f(2y).$$
(3.2)

Replacing y by -y in the equation (3.2), we can see that

$$f(y) = f(-y)$$

for all $y \in X$. That is f is an even function. Replacing y by -x in the equation (3.1) and using the evenness of f, we get

$$f(2x) = 16f(x)$$
(3.3)

for all $x \in X$. Applying the equation (3.3) to the equation (3.2), we obtain

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y).$$
(3.4)

Replacing y by y+3x and y+2x, respectively, in the equation (3.4), then taking the difference of the two newly obtained equations, we get

$$f(5x + y) - 5f(4x + y) + 10f(3x + y) - 10f(2x + y) + 5f(x + y) - f(y) = 0$$

Hence, f satisfies the condition $\Delta_x^5 f(y) = 0$. Consequently, f is a polynomial function of order 4. Then, by Theorem 2.11, there exist *n*-additive symmetric functions $A_n: X^n \to Y$, n = 0, ..., 4, such that

$$f(x) = A^{0} + A^{1}(x) + A^{2}(x) + A^{3}(x) + A^{4}(x)$$
(3.5)

where $A^n: X \to Y$ is the diagonalization of A_n , for each n = 0, ..., 4. We observe that A^n , n = 0, 2, 4, are even. Since f is an even function, $A^1(x)$ and $A^3(x)$ must vanish. Moreover, since f(0) = 0, we have $A^0 = 0$. Then the equation (3.5) is reduced to

$$f(x) = A^{2}(x) + A^{4}(x).$$
(3.6)

By using the symmetry and the additivity, one can verify that

$$A^n(mx) = m^n A^n(x) \tag{3.7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting the equation (3.6) into the equation (3.3) and using the property (3.7), we obtain $A^2(x) = 0$. Hence, we conclude that $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a 4-additive symmetric function

 $A_4: X^4 \to Y$ such that $f(x) = A^4(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By Theorem 2.9, we have $\Delta_x^4 A^4(y) = 4! A^4(x)$. Thus, we obtain

$$A^{4}(4x+y) - 4A^{4}(3x+y) + 6A^{4}(2x+y) - 4A^{4}(x+y) + A^{4}(y) = 24A^{4}(x).$$
(3.8)

Replacing y by y - x in the equation (3.8), we get

$$A^{4}(3x+y) - 4A^{4}(2x+y) + 6A^{4}(x+y) - 4A^{4}(y) + A^{4}(y-x) = 24A^{4}(x).$$
(3.9)

Replacing x and y by x + y and -2y, respectively, in the equation (3.9), we obtain

$$A^{4}(3x+y) - 4A^{4}(2x) + 6A^{4}(x-y) - 4A^{4}(-2y) + A^{4}(-3y-x) = 24A^{4}(x+y).$$
(3.10)

Since $A^4(nx) = n^4 A^4(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$A^{4}(3x+y) + A^{4}(3y+x) = 64A^{4}(x) + 64A^{4}(y) + 24A^{4}(x+y) - 6A^{4}(x-y).$$
(3.11)

By the assumption, we arrive at the functional equation (3.1).

3.2 The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability

Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function $f: X \to Y$, we set

$$Df(x,y) := f(3x+y) + f(x+3y) - 64f(x) - 64f(y) - 24f(x+y) + 6f(x-y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi: X^2 \to [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{i}x,0)}{81^{i}} \text{ converges and} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi(3^{n}x,3^{n}y)}{81^{n}} = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \end{cases}$$
(3.12)

от

or

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 81^{i} \phi(\frac{x}{3^{i}}, 0) \text{ converges and} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} 81^{n} \phi(\frac{x}{3^{n}}, \frac{y}{3^{n}}) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \end{cases}$$
If a function $f: X \to Y$ satisfies
$$(3.13)$$

$$\|Df(x,y)\| \le \phi(x,y) \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and f(0) = 0, then there exists a unique function $T: X \to Y$ which satisfies the equation (3.1) and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{i}x, 0)}{81^{i}} & \text{if } (3.12) \text{ holds} \\ \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 81^{i} \phi(\frac{x}{3^{i}}, 0) & \text{if } (3.13) \text{ holds} \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

for all $x \in X$. The function T is given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{81^n} & \text{if } (3.12) \text{ holds} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} 81^n f(\frac{x}{3^n}) & \text{if } (3.13) \text{ holds} \end{cases}$$
(3.16)

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. First, we assume that the conditon (3.12) holds.

Putting y = 0 in the inequality (3.14) and then dividing by 81, we have

$$\left\|\frac{f(3x)}{81} - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{1}{81}\phi(x,0) \tag{3.17}$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by 3x in the inequality (3.17) and dividing by 81, we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(3^2x)}{81^2} - \frac{f(3x)}{81}\right\| \le \frac{1}{81^2}\phi(3x,0) \tag{3.18}$$

for all $x \in X$. From inequalitys (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\left\|\frac{f(3^2x)}{81^2} - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{1}{81} \left(\phi(x,0) + \frac{\phi(3x,0)}{81}\right)$$
(3.19)

for all $x \in X$. Using a mathematical induction, we can extend the inequality (3.19) to

$$\left\|\frac{f(3^{n}x)}{81^{n}} - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^{i}x,0)}{81^{i}} \le \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{i}x,0)}{81^{i}}$$
(3.20)

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For integers m, n > 0, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{81^{n+m}} - \frac{f(3^m x)}{81^m}\| &= \frac{1}{81^m} \|\frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{81^n} - f(3^m x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81^m} \cdot \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^i 3^m x, 0)}{81^i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i 3^m x, 0)}{81^{i+m}}. \end{split}$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$, the sequence $\{81^{-n}f(3^nx)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Y is complete, there exists the limit function $T(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 81^{-n}f(3^nx)$ for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in the

inequality (3.20), we arrive at the formula (3.15). To show that T satisfies the equation (3.1), replace x and y by $3^n x$ and $3^n y$, respectively, in (3.14) and divide by 81^n , then it follows that

$$\begin{split} 81^{-n} \|f(3^n(3x+y)) + f(3^n(x+3y)) - 64f(3^nx) - 64f(3^ny) - 24f(3^n(x+y)) \\ + 6f(3^n(x-y))\| &\leq 81^{-n}\phi(3^nx,3^ny). \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we find that T satisfies the equation (3.1) for all $x, y \in X$.

To prove the uniqueness of quartic function T subject to the inequality (3.15), assume that there exists a function $S: X \to Y$ which satisfies the equation (3.1) and the inequality (3.15) with T replaced by S. Note that Theorem 3.1 gives us $T(3^n x) = 81^n T(x)$ and $S(3^n x) = 81^n S(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - S(x)\| &= \frac{1}{81^n} \|T(3^n x) - S(3^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81^n} \left(\|T(3^n x) - f(3^n x)\| + \|f(3^n x) - S(3^n x)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{81^n} \left(\frac{2}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i 3^n x, 0)}{81^i} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i 3^n x, 0)}{81^{i+n}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in the preceding inequality, we immediately find the uniqueness of T.

For the case when the condition (3.13) holds, we replace x by $3^{-1}x$ in the inequality (3.17) and then consider the sequence $\{81^n f(3^{-n}x)\}$. We can see that the limit $T(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 81^n f(3^{-n}x)$ exists for all $x \in X$ which is the unique function satisfying the equation (3.1) and the inequality (3.15). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 3.3. In case of condition (3.12) a function f which satisfies the inequality (3.14) needs not to be zero at x = 0. By using the same argument, we can find a unique quartic function $T: X \to Y$ defined by $T(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 81^{-n} f(3^n x)$

which satisfies the equation (3.1) and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - T(x) - \frac{4}{5}f(0)\| \le \frac{1}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{i}x, 0)}{81^{i}}$$
(3.21)

for all $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.4. If a function $f: X \to Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x,y)\| \le \varepsilon \tag{3.22}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for some real number $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique function $T: X \to Y$ such that T satisfies the equation (3.1) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x) - \frac{4}{5}f(0)\| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{80}$$

for all $x \in X$. The function T is given by $T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 81^{-n} f(3^n x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Taking $\phi(x, y) = \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in X$. Being in accordance with (3.12) in Remark 3.3, we obtain

$$||f(x) - T(x) - \frac{4}{5}f(0)|| \le \frac{1}{81}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon}{81^i} = \frac{\varepsilon}{80}$$

for all $x \in X$, as desired.

Corollary 3.5. Given positive real numbers ε and p with $p \neq 4$. If a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$||Df(x,y)|| \le \varepsilon (||x||^p + ||y||^p)$$
 (3.23)

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique function $T : X \to Y$ such that T satisfies the equation (3.1) and

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|3^4 - 3^p|} ||x||^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Taking $\phi(x, y) = \varepsilon (||x||^p + ||y||^p)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Putting x = y = 0 in the inequality (3.23), we obtain $||f(0)|| \le 0$. Hence, we have f(0) = 0.

If 0 , then the condition (3.12) in Theorem 3.2 holds. It follows that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{81} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3^{ip} \|x\|^p)}{81^i}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{3^4 - 3^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. If p > 4, then the condition (3.13) in Theorem 3.2 holds. It follows that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{81} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 81^i \cdot \frac{\|x\|^p}{3^{ip}}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{3^p - 3^4} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER IV

A PENTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this chapter, we study the general solution of the pentic functional equation

$$\Delta_{y}^{5}f(x) = 120f(y) \tag{4.1}$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(x+5y)-5f(x+4y)+10f(x+3y)-10f(x+2y)+5f(x+y)-f(x) = 120f(y) \quad (4.2)$$

and prove its generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

4.1 The general solution

In this section, we establish the general solution of the equation (4.1). Throughout this section X and Y will be real vector spaces.

Theorem 4.1. A function $f : X \to Y$ satisfies the functional equation (4.1) if and only if there exists a 5-additive symmetric function $A_5 : X^5 \to Y$ such that $f(x) = A^5(x)$ for all $x \in X$ where A^5 is the diagonalization of A_5 .

Proof. Assume that f satisfies the functional equation (4.1). Note that

$$\Delta_y^6 f(x) = \Delta_y^5 \left(\Delta_y f(x) \right) = \Delta_y^5 f(x+y) - \Delta_y^5 f(x).$$

By assumption, we have $\Delta_y^5 f(x) = 120f(y) = \Delta_y^5 f(x+y)$, so f fulfills the condition $\Delta_y^6 f(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. Consequently, f is a polynomial function of order 5.

Define the function $A_5: X^5 \to Y$ by

$$A_5(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_{x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5} f(0)$$
(4.3)

for all $x_i \in X$, i = 1, ..., 5. We have that A_5 is symmetric since the operator is symmetric under the permutation of $x_1, ..., x_5$. We have for each i = 1, ..., 5 and $x_1, ..., x_5, y_i \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_5(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_5) &- A_5(x_1, \dots, x_5) - A_5(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i-1}, \dots, x_5) \\ &= \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_{x_1 \dots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \dots x_5} (\Delta_{x_i + y_i} f(0) - \Delta_{x_i} f(0) - \Delta_{y_i} f(0)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4, we obtain $\Delta_{x_i+y_i}f(0) - \Delta_{x_i}f(0) - \Delta_{y_i}f(0) = \Delta_{x_iy_i}f(0)$, so

$$A_{5}(x_{1},...,x_{i-1},x_{i}+y_{i},x_{i+1},...,x_{5}) - A_{5}(x_{1},...,x_{5}) - A_{5}(x_{1},...,x_{i-1},y_{i},x_{i-1},...,x_{5})$$

$$= \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_{x_{1}...x_{5}y_{i}} f(0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_{x_{1}...x_{5}y_{i}} f(0).$$

Since f is a polynomial function of order 5, by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\frac{1}{5!}\Delta_{x_1\ldots x_5y_i}f(0)=0.$$

This shows that A_5 is 5-additive.

Next, interchanging y and x in the equation (4.1) and then putting y = 0, we obtain

$$\Delta_x^5 f(0) = 120 f(x). \tag{4.4}$$

Then

$$A^{5}(x) = \Delta_{x}^{5} f(0)/5! = f(x).$$

Conversely, assume that there exists a 5-additive symmetric function $A_5: X^5 \to Y$ such that $f(x) = A^5(x)$ for all $x \in X$. By Theorem 2.9, we have $\Delta_y^5 A^5(x) = 5! A^5(y)$. By assumption, we evidently arrive at the functional equation (4.1).

4.2 The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability

Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function $f: X \to Y$, we set

$$Df(x,y) := \Delta_y^5 f(x) - 120f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $x \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m_0, m_1, ..., m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^n m_i = 0$. Then for any function $f: X \to Y$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} m_i f((n-i)x) = \Delta_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i f((n-i)x) \right),$$
(4.5)

where $M_i = m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_{i-1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. By the definition of Δ_x and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \Delta_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_i f((n-i)x) \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta_x f((n-i)x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i f((n-i+1)x) - \sum_{i=1}^n M_i f((n-i)x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} M_{i+1} f((n-i)x) - \sum_{i=1}^n M_i f((n-i)x) \\ &= M_1 f(nx) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (M_{i+1} - M_i) f((n-i)x) - M_n f(0) \end{split}$$

Since $M_1 = m_0$ and $M_n = m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_{n-1} = -m_n$, we can conclude that

$$\Delta_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n M_i f((n-i)x) \right) = m_0 f(nx) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i f((n-i)x) + m_n f(0)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^n m_i f((n-i)x).$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $f: X \to Y$ and $\phi: X^2 \to [0, \infty)$. Assume $\|\Delta_y^5 f(x) - 5! f(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{5}(2x) - 32A^{5}(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5!} \left[\phi(5x, x) + 5\phi(4x, x) + 10\phi(3x, x) + 10\phi(2x, x) + 5\phi(x, x) + 31\phi(0, x)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

where A^5 is the diagonalization of $A_5: X^5 \to Y$ defined in the equation (4.3).

Proof. Define

$$\begin{split} B^{m,n}(x) &= A_5(\underbrace{x,...,x}_m,\underbrace{2x,...,2x}_n) \quad \text{and} \\ \nabla^{m,n}_x f(0) &= \Delta^m_x \Delta^n_{2x} f(0) \quad \text{where } m,n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \end{split}$$

Actually, there is no meaning if both m and n are zero and, in this work, we use m and n such that m + n equals 5 or 6.

Note that, for all m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,

$$B^{4-m,m+1}(x) - 2B^{5-m,m}(x) = A_5(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{4-m}, \underbrace{2x, \dots, 2x}_{m+1}) - 2A_5(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{5-m}, \underbrace{2x, \dots, 2x}_{m})$$
$$= \frac{1}{5!} \left(\Delta_x^{4-m} \Delta_{2x}^{m+1} f(0) - 2\Delta_x^{5-m} \Delta_{2x}^m f(0) \right).$$

By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$B^{4-m,m+1}(x) - 2B^{5-m,m}(x) = \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_x^{4-m} \Delta_{2x}^m \left(\Delta_{2x} f(0) - 2\Delta_x f(0) \right).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.4, the above equation becomes

$$B^{4-m,m+1}(x) - 2B^{5-m,m}(x) = \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_x^{4-m} \Delta_{2x}^m \left(\Delta_x^2 f(0) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_x^{6-m} \Delta_{2x}^m f(0)$$
$$= \frac{1}{5!} \nabla_x^{6-m,m} f(0)$$
(4.6)

for all $x \in X$. Then

$$\frac{1}{5!} \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{4-m} \nabla_x^{6-m,m} f(0) = \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{4-m} \left(B^{4-m,m+1}(x) - 2B^{5-m,m}(x) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{4-m} B^{4-m,m+1}(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{5-m} B^{5-m,m}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{5} 2^{5-m} B^{5-m,m}(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{5-m} B^{5-m,m}(x)$$
$$= B^{0,5}(x) - 32B^{5,0}(x).$$

By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x^{2,4} f(0) &= \Delta_x^2 \Delta_{2x}^4 f(0) \\ &= \Delta_x^2 (f(8x) - 4f(6x) + 6f(4x) - 4f(2x) + f(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.2 three times, we obtain

$$\nabla_x^{2,4} f(0) = \Delta_x^5 (f(5x) + 3f(4x) + 2f(3x) - 2f(2x) - 3f(x) - f(0)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x^{3,3} f(0) &= \Delta_x^5 (f(4x) + 2f(3x) - 2f(x) - f(0)), \\ \nabla_x^{4,2} f(0) &= \Delta_x^5 (f(3x) + f(2x) - f(x) - f(0)), \\ \nabla_x^{5,1} f(0) &= \Delta_x^5 (f(2x) - f(0)) \text{ and} \\ \nabla_x^{6,0} f(0) &= \Delta_x^5 (f(x) - f(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} B^{0,5}(x) &- 32B^{5,0}(x) = \frac{1}{5!} \sum_{m=0}^{4} 2^{4-m} \nabla_x^{6-m,m} f(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{5!} \Delta_x^5 \left(f(5x) + 5f(4x) + 10f(3x) + 10f(2x) + 5f(x) - 31f(0) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{5!} \left[\left(\Delta_x^5 f(5x) - 5!f(x) \right) + 5\left(\Delta_x^5 f(4x) - 5!f(x) \right) + 10\left(\Delta_x^5 f(3x) - 5!f(x) \right) \\ &+ 10\left(\Delta_x^5 f(2x) - 5!f(x) \right) + 5\left(\Delta_x^5 f(x) - 5!f(x) \right) - 31\left(\Delta_x^5 f(0) - 5!f(x) \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

Since $\|\Delta_y^5 f(x) - 5! f(y)\| \le \phi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, we thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{5}(2x) - 32A^{5}(x)\| &= \|A_{5}(2x, ..., 2x) - 32A_{5}(x, ..., x)\| \\ &= \|B^{0,5}(x) - 32B^{5,0}(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5!} \left[\phi(5x, x) + 5\phi(4x, x) + 10\phi(3x, x) + 10\phi(2x, x) + 5\phi(x, x) + 31\phi(0, x)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $\phi: X^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(2^{i}x)}{32^{i}} \text{ converges and} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\phi(2^{n}x, 2^{n}y)}{32^{n}} = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 32^{i}\tau(\frac{x}{2^{i}}) \text{ converges and} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} 32^{n}\phi(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{y}{2^{n}}) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x) &= \left[\phi(0,2x) + 2^5\phi(0,x)\right] + \\ &\left[\phi(5x,x) + 5\phi(4x,x) + 10\phi(3x,x) + 10\phi(2x,x) + 5\phi(x,x) + 31\phi(0,x)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. If a function $f : X \to Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x,y)\| \le \phi(x,y) \tag{4.9}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique function $T : X \to Y$ which satisfies the equation (4.1) and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(2^{i}x)}{32^{i}} & \text{if (4.7) holds} \\ \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 32^{i}\tau(\frac{x}{2^{i}}) & \text{if (4.8) holds} \end{cases}$$
(4.10)

for all $x \in X$. The function T is given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{32^n} & \text{if (4.7) holds} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} 32^n f(\frac{x}{2^n}) & \text{if (4.8) holds} \end{cases}$$
(4.11)

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. First, we assume that the conditon (4.7) holds.

For all $x \in X$, we have

$$\|f(x) - A^{5}(x)\| = \|f(x) - \frac{1}{5!}\Delta_{x}^{5}f(0)\|$$
$$= \frac{1}{5!}\|5!f(x) - \Delta_{x}^{5}f(0)\|$$

where $A_5: X^5 \to Y$ is a function defined in the equation (4.3). Then, by assumption, we obtain

$$\|f(x) - A^{5}(x)\| \le \frac{1}{5!}\phi(0, x)$$
(4.12)

for all $x \in X$. Note that

$$\|f(2x) - 2^{5}f(x)\| = \| \left[f(2x) - A^{5}(2x) \right] - 2^{5} \left[f(x) - A^{5}(x) \right] + \left[A^{5}(2x) - 2^{5}A^{5}(x) \right] |$$

$$\leq \|f(2x) - A^{5}(2x)\| + 2^{5}\|f(x) - A^{5}(x)\| + \|A^{5}(2x) - 2^{5}A^{5}(x)\|.$$
(4.13)

By the inequality (4.12) and Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(2x) - 2^{5}f(x)\| &\leq \|f(2x) - A^{5}(2x)\| + 2^{5}\|f(x) - A^{5}(x)\| + \|A^{5}(2x) - 2^{5}A^{5}(x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5!} \left[\phi(0, 2x) + 2^{5}\phi(0, x)\right] + \\ &\frac{1}{5!} \left[\phi(5x, x) + 5\phi(4x, x) + 10\phi(3x, x) + 10\phi(2x, x) + 5\phi(x, x) + 31\phi(0, x)\right] \\ &= \frac{\tau(x)}{5!} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.14)$$

where

$$\tau(x) = \left[\phi(0, 2x) + 2^5\phi(0, x)\right] + \left[\phi(5x, x) + 5\phi(4x, x) + 10\phi(3x, x) + 10\phi(2x, x) + 5\phi(x, x) + 31\phi(0, x)\right]$$

for all $x \in X$. Dividing the inequality (4.14) by 32, we have

$$\left\|\frac{f(2x)}{32} - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{\tau(x)}{32 \cdot 5!} \tag{4.15}$$

We can show the following relation by induction on n together with the inequality (4.15)

$$\left\|\frac{f(2^{n}x)}{32^{n}} - f(x)\right\| \le \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tau(2^{i}x)}{32^{i}} \le \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(2^{i}x)}{32^{i}}$$
(4.16)

for all $x \in X$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For integers m, n > 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{f(2^n 2^m x)}{32^{n+m}} - \frac{f(2^m x)}{32^m}\| &= \frac{1}{32^m} \|\frac{f(2^n 2^m x)}{32^n} - f(2^m x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(2^{i+m} x)}{32^{i+m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side of the inequality tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$, the sequence $\{32^{-n}f(2^nx)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Y is complete, there exists the limit function $T(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 32^{-n}f(2^nx)$ for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in the inequality (4.16), we arrive at the formula (4.10). To show that T satisfies the equation (4.1), replace x and y by 2^nx and 2^ny , respectively, in the inequality (4.9) and divide by 32^n , then it follows that

$$32^{-n} \|f(2^n(x+5y)) - 5f(2^n(x+4y)) + 10f(2^n(x+3y)) - 10f(2^n(x+2y)) + 5f(2^n(x+y)) - f(2^nx) - 120f(2^ny)\| \le 32^{-n}\phi(2^nx, 2^ny)$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we find that T satisfies the equation (4.1) for all $x, y \in X$.

To prove the uniqueness of pentic function T subject to the inequality (4.10), assume that there exists a function $S: X \to Y$ which satisfies the equation (4.1) and the inequality (4.10) with T replaced by S. Note that Theorem 4.1 gives us $T(2^nx) = 32^nT(x)$ and $S(2^nx) = 32^nS(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - S(x)\| &= \frac{1}{32^n} \|T(2^n x) - S(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32^n} \left(\|T(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|f(2^n x) - S(2^n x)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{32^n} \left(\frac{1}{16 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(2^{i+n} x)}{32^{i+n}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. By letting $n \to \infty$ in the preceding inequality, we immediately find the uniqueness of T.

For the case when the condition (4.8) holds, we replace x by $2^{-1}x$ in the inequality (4.14) and then show the following relation

$$\|f(x) - 32^{n} f(\frac{x}{2^{n}})\| \le \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 32^{i} \tau(\frac{x}{2^{i}}).$$
(4.17)

Using the same argument in the case when the condition (4.7) holds, we have that the limit $T(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 32^n f(2^{-n}x)$ exists for all $x \in X$ which is the unique function satisfying the equation (4.1) and the inequality (4.10). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 4.5. If a function $f: X \to Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x,y)\| \le \varepsilon \tag{4.18}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for some real number $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a unique function $T: X \to Y$ such that T satisfies the equation (4.1) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{95\varepsilon}{31 \cdot 5!}$$

for all $x \in X$. The function T is given by $T(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 32^{-n} f(2^n x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Taking $\phi(x,y) = \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in X$. Being in accordance with (4.7) in Theorem 4.4, we obtain

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{1}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{95\varepsilon}{32^i} = \frac{95\varepsilon}{31 \cdot 5!}$$

for all $x \in X$, as desired.

Corollary 4.6. Given positive real numbers ε and p with $p \neq 5$. If a function $f: X \to Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x,y)\| \le \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$
(4.19)

for all $x, y \in X$, then there exists a unique function $T : X \to Y$ such that T satisfies the equation (4.1) and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \le \frac{\varepsilon(5^p + 5 \cdot 4^p + 10 \cdot 3^p + 11 \cdot 2^p + 99)\|x\|^p}{5! \cdot |2^5 - 2^p|}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Taking $\phi(x, y) = \varepsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

If 0 , then the condition (4.7) in Theorem 4.4 holds. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - T(x)\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(5^p + 5 \cdot 4^p + 10 \cdot p + 11 \cdot 2^p + 99) \, 2^{ip} \|x\|^p}{32^i} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon (5^p + 5 \cdot 4^p + 10 \cdot 3^p + 11 \cdot 2^p + 99) \|x\|^p}{5! \cdot (2^5 - 2^p)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. If p > 5, then the condition (4.8) in Theorem 4.4 holds. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \|f(x) - T(x)\| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{32 \cdot 5!} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(5^p + 5 \cdot 4^p + 10 \cdot 3^p + 11 \cdot 2^p + 99) \, 32^i \|x\|^p}{2^{ip}} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon (5^p + 5 \cdot 4^p + 10 \cdot 3^p + 11 \cdot 2^p + 99) \|x\|^p}{5! \cdot (2^p - 2^5)} \end{split}$$

for all $x \in X$.

REFERENCES

- Aczel, J.: Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications, Dover Publications, 2006.
- [2] Aczel, J., Dhombres, J.: Functional Equations in Several Variables, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [3] Baker, J. A.: The stability of the cosine function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80, 411-416 (1980).
- [4] Batko, B.: Stability of an alternative functional equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339, 303-311 (2008).
- [5] Chang, I-S, Kim, H-M.: On the Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 33, 1-12 (2002).
- [6] Czerwik, S.: Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables, World Sciencific, 2002.
- [7] Hyers, D. H.: On the stability of the linear functional equations, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 27, 222-224 (1941).
- [8] Jun, K-W, Kim, H-M.: The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 274, 867-878 (2002).
- [9] Jung, Y.: On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of module left derivations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339, 108-114 (2008).
- [10] Kim, H-M.: On the stability problem for a mixed type of quartic and quadratic functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 324, 358-372 (2006).
- [11] Lee, S. H., Im, S. M., Hwang, I. S.: Quartic functional equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307, 387-394 (2005).
- [12] Najati, A.: On the stability of a quartic functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340, 569-574 (2008).
- [13] Park, C.: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, Bull. Sci. math. 132, 87-96 (2008).
- [14] Rassias, Th. M.: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, 297-300 (1978).
- [15] Ulam, S. M.: Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, 1964.

VITA

Name	Miss Montakarn Petapirak
Date of Birth	12 June 1982
Place of Birth	Songkhla, Thailand
Education	B.Sc. (Mathematics)(First Class Honors), Prince of Songkla
	University, 2005
Scholarship	Graduate Study Scholarship of Prince of Songkla University
Place of Work	Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla
	University, Songkhla 90110
Position	Instructor

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย