

CHAPTER V

DECOMPOSITION THEORY OF SKEW RATIO SEMIRINGS AND SKEW RINGS

Necessary and sufficient conditions that groups and rings are decomposable are well-known. In this chapter, we study necessary and sufficient conditions that skew ratio semirings and skew rings are decomposable.

Notation Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a skew ratio semiring with 1 as its multiplicative identity and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Denote $1 + 1 + \dots + 1$ n times by n . Clearly $nx = xn$ and $n^{-1}x = xn^{-1}$ for all $x \in D$.

Definition 5.1. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a skew ratio semiring and E a multiplicative normal subgroup of D . Then E is said to be a P-set of D iff there exists an $\alpha \in D$ such that

$$(i) \quad \alpha x = x\alpha \text{ for all } x \in E,$$

$$(ii) \quad (x + y)\alpha \in E \text{ for all } x, y \in E$$

and $(iii) \quad (x + y)\alpha + z = x + (y + z)\alpha$ for all $x, y, z \in E$.

α is called a good element of the P-set E .

Example 5.2.

1) Let D be a skew ratio semiring. Then D and $\{1\}$ are P-sets of D with good elements 1 and 2^{-1} , respectively. D and $\{1\}$ are called the trivial P-sets of D .

2) Let $(C, +, \cdot)$ and $(D, +, \cdot)$ be skew ratio semirings. Define $(x, y) \oplus (z, w) = (x + z, y + w)$ and $(x, y) \odot (z, w) = (x \cdot z, y \cdot w)$ for all $(x, y), (z, w) \in C \times D$. Then $(C \times D, \oplus, \odot)$ is a skew ratio semiring. Let $E = C \times \{1\}$ and $F = \{1\} \times D$. Then E and F are P-sets of $C \times D$ with good elements $(1, 2^{-1})$ and $(2^{-1}, 1)$, respectively.

Theorem 5.3. Let D be a skew ratio semiring and E a P-set of D . Then a good element of E is unique.

Proof. Let α, β be good elements of E . We must show that $\alpha = \beta$. Let $a = 2\alpha$ and $b = 2\beta$. Then $a, b \in E$ since $1 \in E$. Thus $2\alpha\beta = \beta 2\alpha = 2\beta\alpha$, hence $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ which implies that $ab = ba$. Let $x, y, z \in E$. Then $(x + y)\alpha + z = x + (y + z)\alpha$ so $(x + y)a + 2z = 2x + (y + z)a$ (*) Similarly, $(x + y)b + 2z = 2x + (y + z)b$ for all $x, y, z \in E$. Consider a . Let $x = y = z = 1$ in (*). We get that $2a + 2 = 2 + 2a$, so

$$a + 1 = 1 + a. \quad \text{..... (1)}$$

Let $x = y = 1$ and $z = a$ in (*). We get that

$$4a = 2 + a + a^2. \quad \text{..... (2)}$$

Let $x = y = 1$ and $z = b$ in (*). We get that

$$2a + 2b = 2 + a + ba. \quad \text{..... (3)}$$

Let $x = z = 1$ and $y = b$ in (*). We get that

$$a + ba + 2 = 2 + ba + a. \quad \text{..... (4)}$$

Let $y = z = 1$ and $x = b$ in (*). We get that

$$ba + a + 2 = 2b + 2a. \quad \text{..... (5)}$$

Similarly, for b we get that

$$b + 1 = 1 + b. \quad \text{..... (6)}$$

$$4b = 2 + b + b^2. \quad \text{..... (7)}$$

$$2b + 2a = 2 + b + ab. \quad \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

$$b + ab + 2 = 2 + ab + b. \quad \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

$$ab + b + 2 = 2a + 2b. \quad \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2a + 2b &= 2 + ba + a && \text{(from (3),(6))} \\ &= ba + a + 2 && \text{(from (4),(6))} \\ &= 2b + 2a. && \text{(from (5))} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$a + b = b + a. \quad \dots\dots\dots (11)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2b(2a + 1) &= (4b)a + 2b \\ &= 2a + ba + b^2a + 2b && \text{(from (7))} \\ &= 2a + b(a + ba + 2) \\ &= 2a + b(2b + 2a) && \text{(from (6),(5))} \\ &= (2a + 2b) + b^2 + bab && \text{(from (8))} \\ &= 2 + b + ab + b^2 + bab && \text{(from (11),(8))} \\ &= 2 + b + (ba + b^2) + b^2a && \text{(ab = ba)} \\ &= (2 + b + b^2) + ba + b^2a && \text{(from (11))} \\ &= 4b + ba + b^2a && \text{(from (7))} \\ &= b(2 + 2 + a + ba) \\ &= b(2 + 2a + 2b) && \text{(from (3))} \\ &= 2b(1 + a + b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $2a + 1 = 1 + a + b$. Similarly, $2b + 1 = 1 + b + a$. Hence

$$2a + 1 = 2b + 1. \quad \dots\dots\dots (12)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 16(a + 1) &= 4(4a) + 16 \\ &= 8 + 4a + 4a^2 + 16 && \text{(from (2))} \\ &= 8 + (4a^2 + 4a + 1) + 15 && \text{(from (1))} \\ &= 8 + (4b^2 + 4b + 1) + 15 && \text{(from (12))} \\ &= 8 + 4b + 4b^2 + 16 && \text{(from (6))} \\ &= 4(4b) + 16 && \text{(from (7))} \\ &= 16(b + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a + 1 = b + 1$ which implies that $1 + a = 1 + b$ (13)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore} \quad 4a &= 2 + a + a^2 && \text{(from (2))} \\
 &= 2 + a + ba && \text{(from (13))} \\
 &= 2 + b + ab && \text{(from (3),(11),(8))} \\
 &= 2 + b + b^2 && \text{(from (13))} \\
 &= 4b. && \text{(from (7))}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a = b$ which implies that $\alpha = \beta$ and thus the good element of E is unique. #

Theorem 5.4. Let D be a skew ratio semiring and E a P-set of D with good element α . Then the following are equivalent :

- (1) $(E,+)$ is a subsemigroup of $(D,+)$.
- (2) $\alpha = 1$.
- (3) $\alpha \in E$.

Proof. (1) implies (2). Assume that (1) holds. Then 1 is the good element of E . By the uniqueness, $\alpha = 1$.

Clearly (2) implies (3).

(3) implies (1). Assume that (3) holds. Let $x,y \in E$. Then $(x + y)\alpha \in E$ which implies that $x + y = (x + y)\alpha\alpha^{-1} \in E$. Thus (1) holds. #

Example 5.5. Give \mathbb{Q}^+ with the usual addition and multiplication. Give \mathbb{R}^+ with the usual multiplication and define $x + y = \min \{x,y\}$ for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $D = \mathbb{Q}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ with addition and multiplication defined by $(x,y) + (z,w) = (x + z, y + w)$ and $(x,y) \cdot (z,w) = (xz, yw)$ for all $(x,y), (z,w) \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $E = \{(x,1) \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$. Then E is a P-set with good element $\alpha = (1,1)$. Here $(1,1) + (1,1) = (2,1) \neq (1,1)$ so the three conditions above do not imply that $1 + 1 = 1$. However, $1 + 1 = 1$ implies

the three conditions above as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 5.6. Let D be a skew ratio semiring with $1 + 1 = 1$ and E a P -set of D with good element α . Then the following hold :

- (1) $(E, +)$ is a subsemigroup of $(D, +)$.
- (2) $\alpha = 1$.
- (3) $\alpha \in E$.

Proof. Since $(1 + 1)\alpha \in E$, $\alpha \in E$, so (3) holds. By Theorem 5.4, (1) and (2) hold.

#

Definition 5.7. A skew ratio semiring D is said to be decomposable iff there exist skew ratio semirings D_1, D_2 such that $|D_1| > 1, |D_2| > 1$ and $D \cong D_1 \times D_2$.

Example 5.8. $(C \times D, \theta, \theta)$ in Example 5.2(2) is a decomposable skew ratio semiring.

Theorem 5.9. Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be a skew ratio semiring. Then D is decomposable iff there exist nontrivial P -sets E, F of D with good elements α and β , respectively, such that

- 1) $E \cap F = \{1\}$,
- 2) $D = EF$

and 3) $ef + gh = (e + g)\alpha(f + h)\beta$ for all $e, g \in E, f, h \in F$.

Proof. Assume that there exist nontrivial P -sets E, F of D with good elements α and β , respectively, such that 1) - 3) hold. Define $x \theta y = (x + y)\alpha$ for all $x, y \in E$. To show that (E, θ, \cdot) is

a skew ratio semiring. We must show that \oplus is associative and \cdot distributes over \oplus . Let $x, y, z \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \oplus y) \oplus z &= ((x \oplus y) + z)\alpha \\ &= ((x + y)\alpha + z)\alpha \\ &= (x + (y + z)\alpha)\alpha \\ &= (x + (y \oplus z))\alpha \\ &= x \oplus (y \oplus z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence \oplus is associative. Since $x(y \oplus z) = x(y + z)\alpha = (xy + xz)\alpha = xy \oplus xz$ and $(y \oplus z)x = (y + z)\alpha x = (y + z)x\alpha = (yx + zx)\alpha = yx \oplus zx$, \cdot distributes over \oplus . Hence (E, \oplus, \cdot) is a skew ratio semiring of order greater than 1. Similarly, defining $a \oplus b = (a + b)\beta$ for all $a, b \in F$ we get that (F, \oplus, \cdot) is a skew ratio semiring of order greater than 1. On $E \times F$ define $(x, a) \oplus (y, b) = (x \oplus y, a \oplus b)$ and $(x, a) \cdot (y, b) = (xy, ab)$ for all $(x, a), (y, b) \in E \times F$. Then $(E \times F, \oplus, \cdot)$ is a skew ratio semiring.

Define $i : E \times F \rightarrow D$ by $i(e, f) = ef$ for all $(e, f) \in E \times F$.

To show that i is a surjection, let $d \in D$. By 2), there exist $e \in E$, $f \in F$ such that $d = ef = i(e, f)$. To show that i is an injection, let $(e, f), (g, h) \in E \times F$ be such that $i(e, f) = i(g, h)$. Then $ef = gh$, so $g^{-1}e = hf^{-1} \in E \cap F = \{1\}$ which implies that $e = g$ and $f = h$. Hence i is a bijection. To show that i is a homomorphism, let $(e, f), (g, h) \in E \times F$. Since $i((e, f)(g, h)) = i(eg, fh) = egfh$, by Proposition 1.63, $egfh = efgh$, so $i((e, f)(g, h)) = i(e, f)i(g, h)$. Since $i((e, f) \oplus (g, h)) = i(e \oplus g, f \oplus h) = (e \oplus g)(f \oplus h) = (e + g)\alpha(f + h)\beta$ and $i(e, f) + i(g, h) = ef + gh$, by 3) $i((e, f) \oplus (g, h)) = i(e, f) + i(g, h)$. Thus i is a homomorphism. Hence $D \cong E \times F$.

Conversely, assume that D is decomposable. Then there exist skew ratio semirings D_1, D_2 of orders greater than 1 and an isomorphism

$i : D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow D$. Let $E = i(D_1 \times \{1\})$ and $F = i(\{1\} \times D_2)$. Since $D_1 \times \{1\}$ and $\{1\} \times D_2$ are multiplicative normal subgroups of $D_1 \times D_2$, E and F are multiplicative normal subgroups of D . Let $\alpha = i(1, 2^{-1})$. Then $\alpha \in D$. Let $x = i(a, 1), y = i(b, 1)$ and $z = i(c, 1) \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha x &= i(1, 2^{-1})i(a, 1) \\ &= i(a, 2^{-1}) \\ &= i(a, 1)i(1, 2^{-1}) \\ &= x\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(x + y)\alpha &= (i(a, 1) + i(b, 1))i(1, 2^{-1}) \\ &= i(a + b, 2)i(1, 2^{-1}) \\ &= i(a + b, 1) \in E\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{and } (x + y)\alpha + z &= (i(a, 1) + i(b, 1))i(1, 2^{-1}) + i(c, 1) \\ &= i(a + b, 1) + i(c, 1) \\ &= i(a + b + c, 2) \\ &= i(a, 1) + i(b + c, 1) \\ &= i(a, 1) + (i(b, 1) + i(c, 1))i(1, 2^{-1}) \\ &= x + (y + z)\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Hence E is a nontrivial P-set of D . Similarly, F is a nontrivial P-set of D with good element $\beta = i(2^{-1}, 1)$.

Clearly $E \cap F = \{i(1, 1)\}$ and $EF \subseteq D$. Let $d \in D$. Then there exist $e \in D_1$ and $f \in D_2$ such that $d = i(e, f)$. Since $i(e, 1) \in E$, $i(1, f) \in F$ and $i(e, f) = i(e, 1)i(1, f)$, $d \in EF$, so $D \subseteq EF$. Thus $D = EF$.

Let $x = i(e, 1), y = i(g, 1) \in E$ and $a = i(1, f), b = i(1, h) \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}xa + yb &= i(e, 1)i(1, f) + i(g, 1)i(1, h) \\ &= i(e, f) + i(g, h) \\ &= i(e + g, f + h) \\ &= i(e + g, 1)i(1, f + h) \\ &= [i(e, 1) + i(g, 1)]i(1, 2^{-1})[i(1, f) + i(1, h)]i(2^{-1}, 1)\end{aligned}$$

$$= (x + y)\alpha(a + b)\beta.$$

Hence we have the theorem. #

Remark 5.10. Setting $e = f = g = h = 1$ in 3) we get that $\alpha\beta = 2^{-1}$.

Definition 5.11. Let R be a skew ring and $I \subseteq R$. Then I is said to be an ideal of R iff

- 1) I is an additive normal subgroup of R
and 2) $ri \in I$ and $ir \in I$ for all $i \in I, r \in R$.

Example 5.12.

1) Let R be a skew ring. Then R and $\{0\}$ are ideals of R .
 R and $\{0\}$ are called the trivial ideals of R .

2) Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ and $(T, +, \cdot)$ be skew rings. Define
 $(x, y) \oplus (z, w) = (x + z, y + w)$ and $(x, y) \otimes (z, w) = (x \cdot z, y \cdot w)$ for all
 $(x, y), (z, w) \in R \times T$. Then $(R \times T, \oplus, \otimes)$ is a skew ring. Let $I = R \times \{0\}$
and $J = \{0\} \times T$. Then I and J are ideals of $R \times T$.

Definition 5.13. A skew ring R is said to be decomposable iff there
exist skew rings R_1, R_2 such that $|R_1| > 1, |R_2| > 1$ and $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$.

Example 5.14. $(R \times T, \oplus, \otimes)$ in Example 5.12(2) is a decomposable skew ring.

Theorem 5.15. Let R be a skew ring. Then R is decomposable iff there
exist nontrivial ideals I, J of R such that

- 1) $I \cap J = \{0\}$
and 2) $R = I + J$.

Proof. Assume that there exist nontrivial ideals I, J of R such that 1) and 2) hold. Clearly I and J are skew rings of orders greater than 1. On $I \times J$ define $(i, j) + (p, q) = (i + p, j + q)$ and $(i, j) \cdot (p, q) = (i \cdot p, j \cdot q)$ for all $(i, j), (p, q) \in I \times J$. Then $(I \times J, +, \cdot)$ is a skew ring. Define $f : I \times J \rightarrow R$ by $f(i, j) = i + j$ for all $(i, j) \in I \times J$. To show that f is a surjection, let $r \in R$. By 2), there exist $i \in I, j \in J$ such that $r = i + j = f(i, j)$. To show that f is an injection, let $(i, j), (p, q) \in I \times J$ be such that $f(i, j) = f(p, q)$. Then $i + j = p + q$, so $-p + i = q - j \in I \cap J = \{0\}$ which implies that $i = p$ and $j = q$. Therefore f is a bijection. Claim that $ij = ji = 0$ for all $i \in I, j \in J$. To prove this, let $i \in I$ and $j \in J$. Since I and J are ideals, $ij, ji \in I \cap J = \{0\}$, so $ij = ji = 0$. Hence we have the claim. To show that f is a homomorphism, let $(i, j), (p, q) \in I \times J$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((i, j) + (p, q)) &= f(ip, jq) \\
 &= ip + jq \\
 &= ip + 0 + 0 + jq \\
 &= ip + iq + jp + jq \\
 &= (i + j)(p + q) \\
 &= f(i, j)f(p, q).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now $f((i, j) + (p, q)) = f(i + p, j + q) = i + p + j + q$. By Proposition 1.63, $i + p + j + q = i + j + p + q$. Hence $f((i, j) + (p, q)) = f(i, j) + f(p, q)$. Thus f is a homomorphism. Hence $R \cong I \times J$.

Conversely, assume that R is decomposable. Then there exist skew rings R_1, R_2 of orders greater than 1 and an isomorphism $f : R_1 \times R_2 \rightarrow R$. Let $I = f(R_1 \times \{0\})$ and $J = f(\{0\} \times R_2)$. Clearly I and J are additive subgroups of R . Let $i = f(x, 0) \in I$ and $r = f(y, z) \in R$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} r + i - r &= f(y,z) + f(x,0) - f(y,z) \\ &= f(y + x - y, z + 0 - z) \\ &= f(y + x - y, 0) \in I, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ir &= f(x,0)f(y,z) \\ &= f(xy,0) \in I \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ri &= f(y,z)f(x,0) \\ &= f(yx,0) \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus I is a nontrivial ideal of R . Similarly, J is a nontrivial ideal of R . Clearly $I \cap J = \{f(0,0)\}$ and $I + J \subseteq R$. Let $r \in R$. Then there exist $i \in R_1$ and $j \in R_2$ such that $r = f(i,j)$. Since $f(i,0) \in I$, $f(0,j) \in J$ and $f(i,j) = f(i,0) + f(0,j)$, $r \in I + J$. So $R \subseteq I + J$. Thus $R = I + J$. Hence we have the theorem. #

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย