

ความเป็นปรกติของการส่งพี-ฮาร์โมนิกอย่างอ่อนบางประเภทไปยังทรงกลมเทียม

นายวสนนท์ พงษ์สวัสดิ์

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต

สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์ ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์และวิทยาการคอมพิวเตอร์

คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ปีการศึกษา 2556

บทคัดย่อและแฟ้มข้อมูลฉบับเต็มของวิทยานิพนธ์ตั้งแต่ปีการศึกษา 2553 ที่ให้บริการในคลังปัญญาจุฬาฯ (CUIR)

เป็นแฟ้มข้อมูลของนิสิตเจ้าของวิทยานิพนธ์ที่ส่งผ่านทางบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย

The abstract and full text of theses from the academic year 2011 in Chulalongkorn University Intellectual Repository (CUIR) are the thesis authors' files submitted through the Graduate School.

REGULARITY OF CERTAIN WEAKLY P -HARMONIC MAPS INTO PSEUDOSPHERES

Mr. Wasanont Pongsawat

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Mathematics

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Faculty of Science

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2013

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title REGULARITY OF CERTAIN WEAKLY P -HARMONIC MAPS
 INTO PSEUDOSPHERES

By Mr. Wasanont Pongsawat

Field of Study Mathematics

Thesis Advisor Sujin Khomrutai, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Master's Degree

..... Dean of the Faculty of Science
(Professor Supot Hannongbua, Dr.rer.nat.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

..... Chairman
(Associate Professor Wicharn Lewkeeratiyutkul, Ph.D.)

..... Thesis Advisor
(Sujin Khomrutai, Ph.D.)

..... Examiner
(Assistant Professor Nataphan Kitisin, Ph.D.)

..... External Examiner
(Assistant Professor Tawikan Treeyaprasert, Ph.D.)

วสนนท์ พงษ์สวัสดิ์: ความเป็นปกติของการส่งพี-ฮาร์มอนิกอย่างอ่อนบางประเภทไปยังทรงกลมเทียม. (REGULARITY OF CERTAIN WEAKLY P -HARMONIC MAPS INTO PSEUDO-SPHERES) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: ดร.สุจินต์ คมฤทัย, 20 หน้า.

เราได้นำเสนอแนวคิดของพลังงาน-พี ของการส่ง $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_r^n)$ จากจากวงกลมหนึ่งหน่วยในปริภูมิยูคลิด \mathbb{R}^m ไปยังทรงกลมเทียม \mathbb{S}_r^n การส่งวิกฤตสำหรับพลังงาน-พีจะนิยามเป็นการส่งพี-ฮาร์มอนิกอย่างอ่อนไปยังทรงกลมเทียม ซึ่งในกรณี $p = 2$ นั้นได้มีการศึกษาเมื่อเร็ว ๆ นี้ โดยเริ่มต้นเราจะสร้างเอกลักษณ์ที่สำคัญซึ่งคล้ายคลึงกับเอกลักษณ์ของเวเนเต้ จากนั้นจะใช้เอกลักษณ์ดังกล่าวร่วมกับเทคนิคทางด้านทฤษฎีระบบสมการอิลลิปติก เราจะสามารถพิสูจน์ ϵ -สมำเสมอบางประการของการส่งพี-ฮาร์มอนิกอย่างอ่อนได้

ภาควิชา คณิตศาสตร์และวิทยาการคอมพิวเตอร์ ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
 สาขาวิชา คณิตศาสตร์ ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก
 ปีการศึกษา 2556

5572106623: MAJOR MATHEMATICS

KEYWORDS: WEAKLY P -HARMONIC MAPS / PSEUDOSPHERES / REGULARITY

WASANONT PONGSAWAT: REGULARITY OF CERTAIN WEAKLY P -HARMONIC MAPS
INTO PSEUDOSPHERES.

ADVISOR: SUJIN KHOMRUTAI, PH.D., 20 pp.

We propose the notion of p -energy for maps $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ from a Euclidean disk B into pseudospheres \mathbb{S}_ν^n . Critical maps for this functional is defined to be weakly p -harmonic maps into pseudospheres generalizing the weakly harmonic maps, i.e. $p = 2$, into pseudospheres studied recently by some authors. We first derive an important identity, analogous to the Wente identity. Then, using this identity together with techniques from theory of elliptic systems, we can prove some ε -regularity results for the weakly p -harmonic maps.

Department	Mathematics and Computer Science	Student's Signature
Field of Study	... Mathematics	Advisor's Signature
Academic Year	... 2013	

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my thesis advisor, Dr. Sujin Khomrutai for insightful suggestions at all stages of my work. He encouraged and advised me through the thesis process. I also would like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Wicharn Lewkeeratiyutkul, Assistant Professor Dr. Nataphan Kitisin, and Assistant Professor Dr. Tawikan Treeyaprasert, my thesis committee, for their useful comments and suggestions. I am very grateful to the 72nd Birthday Anniversary Scholarship to His Majesty King Bhumibol Adulyadej for the financial support and good opportunity throughout my graduate studying. Moreover, I would like to thank all teachers who have instructed and taught me for valuable knowledges.

Finally, I am very grateful to my parents, my brother, and my cousins for their love and for standing by me all the time. I would like to thank my friends for their friendship.

Contents

	Page
Abstract (Thai)	iv
Abstract (English)	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Contents	vii
Chapter	
I INTRODUCTION	1
II PRELIMINARIES	3
2.1 Geometry and Analysis	3
2.2 Energy of Maps and Harmonic Maps	5
2.3 Elliptic PDEs	6
III MAIN RESULTS	8
3.1 Wente type identity	8
3.2 Divergence Equation	9
3.3 Morrey's norm Estimate	10
3.4 Regularity Results	11
3.5 Main Theorem	15
IV CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION	18
References	19
Vita	20

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, there are numerous studies of p -harmonic maps motivated by their importance in physics and geometry. A p -harmonic map is a generalization of harmonic functions in classical analysis. From the point of view of the Calculus of Variation, p -harmonic maps, unlike harmonic functions which are real- (or complex-) functions, are maps that take values in a differential geometric space or even a metric space and are stationary points of some Dirichlet-like energy integral. Thus p -harmonic maps are solutions of certain nonlinear elliptic PDE systems (the Euler-Lagrange equation) of p -Laplace type. From classical complex analysis, it is well-known that if a function is harmonic except at some singular points and it satisfies a pointwise bound, then it can be extended to a harmonic function across the singularities. This fact does not carry over to p -harmonic maps however. For harmonic maps (i.e. when $p = 2$), it was proved that a certain ϵ -regularity condition has to be satisfied so that such an extension will be true.

Many previous studies until recently of p -harmonic maps concentrated on maps into a Riemannian manifold. The results in this direction can be seen from an inspiring paper by F. Duzaar and M. Fuchs[1] where the authors proved a removable singularity theorem for p -harmonic maps into a Riemannian Manifold. In this work, we consider p -harmonic maps into a pseudosphere which is the standard sphere endowed with the pseudo-Riemannian metric from its ambient Lorentz manifold. Motivated by the study of Riemannian-valued p -harmonic maps, we are expecting some similarities in the properties of p -harmonic maps into Riemannian Manifolds and pseudospheres. Because of the distinct geometric structures of pseudospheres and Riemannian manifolds, we are also expect some dissimilarities as well.

In F. Duzaar and M. Fuchs[1], they investigated the regularity problem of p -harmonic maps in higher dimensions. More precisely, they consider the following situation; the parameter domain is the unit ball $B_1(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^m , $m \geq 2$ (equipped with the flat metric) and the target space M is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$ which is isometrically embedded in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^k , $k \geq n$. We are then interested in mappings $u: B_1(0) \rightarrow M$ of Sobolev class $W^{1,p}(B_1(0), M)$ being defined as the set of function u from the linear Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^k)$ such that $u(x) \in M$ a.e. on $B_1(0)$. The p -energy of u is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}_p(u) := \int_{B_1(0)} |Du|^p dx,$$

and u is said to be a weakly p -harmonic map if u is a weak solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations associated with the p -energy, i.e. u satisfies for all $\varphi \in C_0^1(B_1, \mathbb{R}^k)$ the equation

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |Du|^{p-2} (D_\alpha u \cdot D_\varphi u + \varphi \cdot A(u)(D_\alpha u, D_\alpha u)) dx = 0,$$

where $A(q)(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the second fundamental form of M at q . The purpose of this paper is to prove some ε -regularity theorem for p -harmonic maps. they prove that the point singularity at the origin is removable provided the p -energy $\mathbb{E}_1(u)$ is sufficiently small. There are no a priori assumption on the image of u in M .

In N. Hungerbühler[3], the author defined a mapping $f : M \rightarrow N \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ to be weakly p -harmonic if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations for p -harmonic mappings in the sense of distributions, i.e. for each coordinate chart Ω on M there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\beta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^\beta} \sqrt{\gamma} \, dx = 0$$

for all smooth mapping φ with compact support in Ω and satisfying $\varphi(x) \in T_{f(x)}N$ for all $x \in M$ where M is a smooth Riemannian manifolds equipped with metric $(\gamma^{\alpha\beta})$. This equation is linked to the p -energy of f

$$E(f) := \int_M e(f)$$

such that the p -energy density of f is

$$e(f)(x) := \frac{1}{p} |df_x|^p.$$

But the main topic of this paper is p -harmonic flow. He consider the p -harmonic flow into spheres and a priori estimate. He slightly attend to the weakly p -harmonic maps.

The definition of weakly p -harmonic map into pseudospheres for case $p = 2$ is defined in the recent paper of M. Zhu[8] by the energy of u given by

$$\mathbb{E}(u) := \int_{B_1(0)} (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} \nabla u,$$

where \mathcal{E} is index matrix and u is said to be a weakly harmonic map if it is a critical point of the energy. In this paper, they prove regularity for weakly harmonic maps from the unit ball into certain pseudo-Riemannian manifolds from different points of view. Analytically, it is interesting to know how the structure of the harmonic map system is affected when the target manifolds become pseudo-Riemannian. The target pseudo-Riemannian in this paper are pseudospheres and standard stationary Lorentzian manifolds. We focus on the pseudospheres

In this study, we consider weakly p -harmonic map into pseudospheres for all $p \geq 2$ by extending idea from Zhu[8]. Theorems and Lemmas in this work are similar to the result from that paper. In Chapter II, all basic knowledges are given. In Chapter III, we prove the main result. In Chapter IV, we present conclusion and discussion.

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARIES

We collect, in this chapter, some basic definitions from both geometry and analysis. The notion of p -energy for maps from a ball into pseudospheres is also introduced. Basic known results such as the Hodge decomposition theorem and the existence theorem of uniformly elliptic systems are also provided.

2.1 Geometry and Analysis

Throughout this work, we shall fix positive integers m, n and an integer $0 \leq \nu \leq n$. Also, we denote $B = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to be the unit ball.

Notation.

1. Let \mathcal{E} be the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix given by

$$\mathcal{E} = (\varepsilon_{ij}) := \begin{pmatrix} -I_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n+1-\nu} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_k , for each k , denotes the identity $k \times k$ matrix.

2. The *pseudo-Euclidean space of signature (n, ν)* , denoted \mathbb{R}_ν^{n+1} , is the set \mathbb{R}^{n+1} equipped with the *pseudo-Riemannian metric*

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_\nu^{n+1}} := x^T \mathcal{E} y = - \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} x^j y^j + \sum_{\alpha=\nu+1}^{n+1} x^\alpha y^\alpha$$

for all $x = (x^1, \dots, x^{n+1})^T, y = (y^1, \dots, y^{n+1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

In this work, unless specified otherwise, \mathbb{R}_ν^{n+1} always denotes the pseudo-Euclidean space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_\nu^{n+1}}$ is the above pseudo-Riemannian metric.

3. The n -dimensional *pseudosphere* is the subset $\mathbb{S}_\nu^n \subset \mathbb{R}_\nu^{n+1}$ given by

$$\mathbb{S}_\nu^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_\nu^{n+1} \mid \langle x, x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_\nu^{n+1}} = x^T \mathcal{E} x = 1\}.$$

4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an open set and (X, d) a metric space. A map $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be Hölder continuous with exponent $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ provided there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq C|x - y|^\gamma$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$. We denote by $C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega, X)$ the space of all Hölder continuous maps.

5. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The usual *Sobolev space* is denoted by $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.
6. The vector-valued Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $u^j \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$ where $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$.
7. Let M be a compact smooth manifold and $i : M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a smooth embedding (Whitney's theorem). The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$ is defined by $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, M)$ if and only if $\tilde{u} = i \circ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$. \square

Next, we introduce some matrix groups.

Definition 2.1. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $GL(k)$ is the group of all invertible $k \times k$ matrices with real entries. We also consider the subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} O(\nu, n+1-\nu) &= \{A \in GL(n+1) \mid A^T = \mathcal{E}A^{-1}\mathcal{E}\} \\ SO(\nu, n+1-\nu) &= \{A \in O(\nu, n+1-\nu) \mid \det A = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us recall the exponential of matrices. This will be used in our study of Wentz type identity. For each $n \times n$ matrix A , the exponential of A is the $n \times n$ matrix defined by the power series

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k.$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the matrix exponential satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $e^0 = I$, $e^{aX}e^{bX} = e^{(a+b)X}$, $e^Xe^{-X} = I$.
- (b) If $XY = YX$ then $e^Xe^Y = e^Ye^X = e^{(X+Y)}$.
- (c) If Y is invertible then $e^{YXY^{-1}} = Ye^XY^{-1}$.
- (d) $e^{(X^T)} = (e^X)^T$.

We close this section with some elementary inequalities which are used in this work.

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an open set.

- (i) (*Minkowski Inequality*). If $f, g \in L^p(\Omega)$, then

$$\|f + g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}.$$

- (ii) (*Friedrichs Inequality*). If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ in the sense of trace, then

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \text{diam}(\Omega) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

2.2 Energy of Maps and Harmonic Maps

First we recall the classical Dirichlet principle: On an open subset Ω of a Euclidean space, every solution $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the Laplace equation

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

is a critical point of the (Dirichlet) energy

$$E_2[w] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx.$$

From the point of view of the Calculus of Variations, the Laplace equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional E_2 . This consideration has the following generalization. Let $1 < p < \infty$. We introduce the p -energy to be

$$E_p[w] = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^p dx,$$

where $w : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of E_p is the so-called p -Laplace equation:

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0,$$

whose solutions are called p -harmonic functions. We remark that this informal discussion should be made formal by specifying the space of functions forming the domain of E_p . Sobolev spaces are often the suitable choice in the preliminary study when there is no a priori regularity.

In the most general form, mathematicians and physicists are interested in the p -harmonic maps. Let M, N be smooth Riemannian manifolds. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. A map $u : M \rightarrow N$ is called a p -harmonic map if it is a critical point of the p -energy defined by

$$E_p[u] = \frac{1}{p} \int_M |\nabla u|^p d\operatorname{vol}_M.$$

Here ∇u , at each point, is the dual tangent vector to the differential du and $|\cdot|$ is the norm of tangent vectors to N . Thus u is p -harmonic if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with $E_p[\cdot]$ which turns out to be the p -Laplace system of equations:

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0.$$

We note that to be a harmonic map, a map $u : M \rightarrow N$ is required to be a smooth map. But we have learned from above that finding harmonic maps is a sub-problem of finding solutions to the p -Laplace system. So, alternatively, one is interested in finding the *weakly p -harmonic maps* which are weak solutions, of course in some Sobolev spaces, to this p -Laplace system. An immediate advantage of considering weakly p -harmonic maps is that the function space in this case is a Banach space comparing with the non-Banach space $C^\infty(M, N)$ for plain harmonic maps. Thus, there are plenty of tools from functional analysis to get weakly p -harmonic maps.

In this work, inspired partly by the work of Zhu [see[8]], we introduce the p -energy for maps into pseudospheres and then study the weakly p -harmonic maps. Since in this work we will prove some regularity results, it is no loss of generality to assume $M = B$, the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^m .

Definition 2.3. For a map $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$, we define the p -energy by

$$\mathbb{E}_p[u] := \frac{1}{p} \int_B |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u).$$

A map $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ is called a *weakly p -harmonic map* from B into \mathbb{S}_ν^n , if it is a critical point of the p -energy $\mathbb{E}_p[\cdot]$.

2.3 Elliptic PDEs

In this section, we quote some results from the theory of elliptic PDEs. For studying the regularity aspects, the Morrey norm plays a very important role. Recall $B = B_1(0)$ is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^m .

Definition 2.4. Let $1 \leq q < \infty$. The *Morrey norm* of a function $f \in L^q_{loc}(B)$ is

$$\|f\|_{M^q_q(B)} = \sup_{B_R(x) \subset B} \left(R^{q-m} \int_{B_R(x)} |f|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Remark. $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector bundle of all alternating 2-tensors in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e. its elements are

$$\omega = \sum_{i < j} \omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \quad (\omega_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $m \geq 2$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, and $1 < q < \infty$. Let $q' \in (1, \infty)$ be the Hölder conjugate of q , i.e. $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Let $B_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Assume $f \in W^{1,q}(B_R(x))$ and $g \in W^{1,q'}(B_R(x), \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy

$$f|_{\partial B_R(x)} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad g|_{\partial B_R(x)} = 0$$

and $h \in W^{1,s}(B_{2R}(x))$ satisfies

$$\|\nabla h\|_{M^s_s(B_{2R}(x))} < \infty.$$

Then, there is a uniform constant $C > 0$ independent of R such that

$$\int_{B_R(x)} (\nabla f \cdot \text{curl } g) h \leq C \|\nabla f\|_{L^q(B_R(x))} \|\text{curl } g\|_{L^q(B_R(x))} \|\nabla h\|_{M^s_s(B_{2R}(x))}.$$

Theorem 2.6 (Hodge Decomposition). If $\Phi \in L^q(B_R(x_0), \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$, then there exists unique $\alpha \in W^{1,q}_0(B_R(x_0))$, $\beta \in W^{1,q}_0(B_R(x_0), \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m)$, and a harmonic $h \in C^\infty(B_R(x_0), \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\Phi = d\alpha + \text{curl } \beta + h.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|d\alpha\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))} + \|\operatorname{curl} \beta\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))} \leq C\|\Phi\|_{L^q(B_R(x_0))}.$$

Theorem 2.7 (Morrey's Dirichlet growth theorem). *Let $u \in W^{1,q}(B)$, $1 < q < m$. Suppose that there exist constants $0 < C < \infty$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that for all balls $B_R(x_0) \subset B$*

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q \leq CR^{m-q+\gamma q},$$

then $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(B)$.

Theorem 2.8 (Existence theorem). *Consider a linear system of PDEs with variable coefficients of the form*

$$-D_\alpha \left(A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\beta u^j \right) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose the leading coefficients $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, for all i, j, α, β , and are uniformly elliptic. Then the system (2.1) has a solution.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume the linear system (2.1) has the leading coefficients $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and is uniformly elliptic. If, in addition, $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \in C^0(\Omega)$, then*

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q + C(\omega_q(R))^q \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q,$$

where

$$\omega_q(R) = \sup_{x \in B_R(x_0)} \left(\sum |A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) - A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x_0)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of $R > 0$.

CHAPTER III

MAIN RESULTS

3.1 Wentz type identity

The following proposition is Wentz type identity for p -harmonic maps into pseudospheres.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ be a weakly p -harmonic map. Then u satisfies the identity*

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B)$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

Proof. Fix $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$. Let E_{ij} be an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix whose all entries are zero except the (i, j) -, and (j, i) -components which are 1 and -1 , respectively. Since $(E_{ij})^T = -E_{ij}$, we have $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{so}(n+1)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}^2 = I$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^T$.

Since $-\mathcal{E} \cdot (E_{ij} \mathcal{E}) \mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E} E_{ij} = -\mathcal{E} E_{ij} = \mathcal{E}^T E_{ij}^T = (E_{ij} \mathcal{E})^T$, $E_{ij} \mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{so}(\nu, n+1-\nu)$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} (e^{E_{ij} \mathcal{E}})^{-1} \mathcal{E} &= \mathcal{E} e^{-E_{ij} \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} e^{-E_{ij} \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}^{-1} \\ &= e^{-\mathcal{E} (E_{ij} \mathcal{E}) \mathcal{E}^{-1}} = e^{-\mathcal{E} (E_{ij} \mathcal{E}) \mathcal{E}} \\ &= e^{(E_{ij} \mathcal{E})^T} = (e^{E_{ij} \mathcal{E}})^T. \end{aligned}$$

So $e^{E_{ij} \mathcal{E}} \in O(\nu, n+1-\nu)$. For any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$, we introduce a variation

$$R_t := e^{t\varphi E_{ij} \mathcal{E}} \in C_0^\infty(B, O(\nu, n+1-\nu)).$$

Using the property of the group $O(\nu, n+1-\nu)$, we have

$$\langle R_t u, R_t u \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} = (R_t u)^T \mathcal{E} R_t u = u^T R_t^T \mathcal{E} R_t u = u^T \mathcal{E} u = 1,$$

almost everywhere in B . Since u is weakly p -harmonic, we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E_p(R_t u) = \varphi E_{ij} \mathcal{E} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E_p(u).$$

Additionally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E_p(R_t u) &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{p} \int_B \left| (\nabla R_t u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla R_t u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla R_t u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla R_t u) \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \int_B \left\{ \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left((\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} E_{ij} \mathcal{E} u \nabla \varphi + (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} E_{ij} \mathcal{E} \nabla u \varphi \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-6}{2}} \left(\frac{p-2}{4} (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) \right) \left(2 \left((\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left((\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} E_{ij} \mathcal{E} u \nabla \varphi + (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} E_{ij} \mathcal{E} \nabla u \varphi \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} E_{ij} \mathcal{E} u = \varepsilon_{ii} \varepsilon_{jj} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i)$ and $(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u) = 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E_p(R_t u) &= \frac{2}{p} \int_B \left\{ |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \varepsilon_{ii} \varepsilon_{jj} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p-2) |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \varepsilon_{ii} \varepsilon_{jj} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right\} \\ &= \varepsilon_{ii} \varepsilon_{jj} \int_B |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \cdot \nabla \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon_{ii} \varepsilon_{jj}$ is either 1 or -1 , we have

$$\int_B |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \cdot \nabla \varphi = 0.$$

Since $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{div} \left(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B),$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ with $i \neq j$. The case $i = j$ is trivial hence the proof of this lemma is complete. \square

3.2 Divergence Equation

From the preceding lemma, we introduce for convenience the following matrix-valued (of rank $n+1$) vector field: Let $\Theta = (\Theta^{ij})$ where

$$\Theta^{ij} = |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i)$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ where $1 \leq \nu \leq n$. Then u satisfies*

$$|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u + \Theta \mathcal{E} u = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B.$$

Consequently, u satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} \left(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u + \Theta \mathcal{E} u \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B).$$

Proof. By the definition of $W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$, we have $u^j \varepsilon_{jk} u^k = 1$ for almost every point in B .

Taking ∇ both sides of the equation, we get $\nabla u^j \varepsilon_{jk} u^k = 0$ a.e. in B . So

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^i + \Theta^{ij} \varepsilon_{jk} u^k \\ &= |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^i + |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \varepsilon_{jk} u^k \\ &= |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u^i + (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \varepsilon_{jk} u^k) \\ &= |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (\nabla u^i (1 - u^j \varepsilon_{jk} u^k) + u^i (u^j \varepsilon_{jk} u^k)) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } B. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$, we have $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u^i + \Theta^{ij} \varepsilon_{jk} u^k \in L^1(B)$ for each i . Taking $-\operatorname{div}$ on both sides of the above equation we get $-\operatorname{div}(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E} (\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u + \Theta \mathcal{E} u) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$. \square

3.3 Morrey's norm Estimate

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$, $1 \leq \nu \leq n$. Assume that u is a weakly p -harmonic map such that for any fixed $1 < q < \frac{m}{m-1}$ there holds*

$$\left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^2 < \infty.$$

Then we have the following estimate:

$$\|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} \leq C \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^2,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of u .

Proof. Let $s = \frac{q}{q-1} > m$ be the conjugate exponent of q and let

$$B_R := B_R(x_0) \subset B_{1/2}.$$

For any $\Phi \in L^s(B_R, \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\|\Phi\|_{L^s(B_R)} \leq 1$ and $0 < \rho < R$, let $\tau \in C_0^\infty(B_R, [0, 1])$ be a cut-off function satisfying $\tau \equiv 1$ on $B_\rho(x_0)$. Then $\tau\Phi$ is supported in $B_R(x_0)$ and vanishes on $\partial B_R(x_0)$.

By Hodge decomposition, there exists $\alpha \in W_0^{1,s}(B_R)$, $\beta \in W_0^{1,s}(B_R, \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m)$ and a harmonic function $h \in C^\infty(B_R, \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\tau\Phi = \nabla\alpha + \text{curl}\beta + h$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\alpha\|_{L^s(B_R)} + \|\text{curl}\beta\|_{L^s(B_R)} &\leq C\|\tau\Phi\|_{L^s(B_R)} \\ &\leq C_1\|\Phi\|_{L^s(B_R)} \leq C_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of ρ and R . Since $\nabla\alpha|_{\partial B_R} = \text{curl}\beta|_{\partial B_R} = 0$, we get $h|_{\partial B_R} = (\tau\Phi)|_{\partial B_R} = 0$. Since h is harmonic, it follows that $h \equiv 0$ in $B_R(x_0)$.

Now using that u is a weakly p -harmonic map, we obtain that

$$\Theta = \left(\left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \right)$$

is divergence free. So $\int_{B_R(x_0)} \Theta^{ij} \cdot (\nabla\alpha) = 0$. Then, we estimate for fixed $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\tau\Theta^{ij}) \cdot \Phi &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Theta^{ij} \cdot (\tau\Phi) = \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Theta^{ij} \cdot (\nabla\alpha + \text{curl}\beta) = \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Theta^{ij} \cdot \text{curl}\beta \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (u^i \nabla u^j - u^j \nabla u^i) \cdot \text{curl}\beta \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\nabla u^j \cdot \text{curl}\beta) \left(\left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u^i \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\nabla u^i \cdot \text{curl}\beta) \left(\left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} u^j \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\text{curl}\beta\|_{L^s(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is a constant independent of R and $B_{2R} = B_{2R}(x_0)$. By the duality characterization of L^p functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau\Theta^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} &= \sup_{\|\Phi\|_{L^q(B_R)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\tau\Theta^{ij}) \cdot \Phi \right| \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_\rho)} &\leq \|\tau\Theta^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho \in (0, R)$ is arbitrary, letting $\rho \nearrow R$, we get

$$\|\Theta^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} \leq C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}.$$

Then by the definition of the Morrey norm $\|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}$ and the fact that $B_{2R} \subset B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} &= \left(\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \left(R^{q-m} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta\|_{L^q(B_R)} &= \sum_{i,j} \|\Theta^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})} \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 C R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the ball $B_R(x_0)$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} &= \sup_{B_R(x_0) \subset B_{1/2}} \left(R^{q-m} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\Theta|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (n+1)^2 C_1 C_2 \left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have completed the proof of the lemma. \square

3.4 Regularity Results

The following Lemma is the main key to prove Theorem 3.6. The important idea of the proof of this lemma is an elliptic system estimate from M. Giaquinta[2].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m \geq 2$, $1 < q < \frac{m}{m-1}$, and $\delta > 0$. There exists a constant $\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta} > 0$ such that if $\Omega \in L^p \left(B, M_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m \right)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} \Omega = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$, $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$ weakly solves $\operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u) + \Omega u \right) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$ with $|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in B$, and*

$$\left\| (|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} + \|\Omega\|_{M_q^q(B)} < \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta},$$

then u is Hölder continuous in B .

Proof. Fix any $1 < q < \frac{m}{m-1}$ and $\delta > 0$. Since $\operatorname{div} \Omega = 0$, by Hodge decomposition there exists $\xi \in W^{1,q} \left(B, M_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^m \right)$ such that

$$\omega = \operatorname{curl} \xi.$$

Let $B_{2R} = B_{2R}(x_0) \subset B$ and as before $B_R := B_R(x_0)$. The assumption $|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta$ on B implies that the following equation is uniformly elliptic:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla w) \right) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\mathbb{R}}(x_0), \\ w = u & \text{in } \partial B_{\mathbb{R}}(x_0). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Let w be the unique weak solution to the above problem.

Then $v = u - w \in W^{1,p}(B_R(x_0), \mathbb{R}^n)$ weakly solves

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v) + \Omega v \right) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\mathbb{R}}(x_0) \\ v = 0 & \text{in } \partial B_{\mathbb{R}}(x_0) \end{cases}$$

Let $s = \frac{q}{q-1} > m$. For any $\varphi \in W_0^{1,s}(B_R(x_0))$ with $\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,s}(B_R(x_0))} \leq 1$, since

$$-\operatorname{div} \left((|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v) + \Omega v \right) = 0,$$

we estimate for each i that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} \left((|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v^i) \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\Omega^{ij} u^j) \cdot \nabla \varphi \\ &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} (\operatorname{curl} \xi^{ij} \cdot \nabla \varphi) u^j \\ &\leq C_1 \|\operatorname{curl} \xi^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^s(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})} \\ &\leq C_1 \|\Omega^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is constant independent of R .

By the definition of Morrey norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Omega^{ij}\|_{L^q(B_R)} &= \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\Omega^{ij}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \left(R^{q-m} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\Omega^{ij}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \|\Omega^{ij}\|_{M_q^q(B)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} \left(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v^i \right) \cdot \nabla \varphi &\leq C_1 R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \|\Omega^{ij}\|_{M_q^q(B)} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})} \\ &\leq C_1 R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \varepsilon_{m,q} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v|_{\partial B_R(x_0)} = 0$, we have by Friedrich's inequality and the L^p -duality that

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^q(B_R)} \leq C_2 \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,s}(B_R)} \leq 1} \int_{B_R} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi,$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is constant independent of R . Since $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in B$, we have

$$C_2 \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,s}(B_R)} \leq 1} \int_{B_R} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi \leq \frac{C_2}{\delta^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,s}(B_R)} \leq 1} \int_{B_R} |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi.$$

So

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^q(B_R)} \leq \frac{C_1 C_2 (n+1)}{\delta^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} R^{\frac{m}{q}-1} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}.$$

Since w solves (3.1), we have that for any $r < R$ that the following estimates hold:

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla w|^q \leq C_3 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla w|^q + C_3 (\omega_q(R))^q \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla w|^q,$$

where

$$\omega_p(R) = \sup_{x \in B_R(x_0)} \left| |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(x) - |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(x_0) \right|$$

and $C_3 > 0$ is a constant independent of R .

Since $u = v + w$, applying the Minkowski and the Power Mean inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q &\leq \left(\left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla w|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla w|^q + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla w|^q \leq 2^{q-1} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_R} |\nabla v|^q.$$

So, for $B_r = B_r(x_0)$ with $0 < r < R$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^q &\leq 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |\nabla w|^q + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\leq C_3 2^{q-1} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R} |\nabla w|^q + C_3 2^{q-1} (\omega_p(R))^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla w|^q + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\leq C_3 2^{q-1} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + C_3 2^{2(q-1)} (\omega_p(R))^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\quad + C_3 2^{2(q-1)} (\omega_p(R))^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla v|^q + 2^{2(q-1)} \int_{B_R} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\leq C_3 2^{q-1} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + C_3 2^{3q-2} \| |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|_{L^\infty(B)}^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q \\
&\quad + 2^{q-1} \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^q + C_3 2^{3q-2} \| |(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|_{L^\infty(B)}^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\quad + 2^{2(q-1)} \int_{B_R} |\nabla v|^q \\
&\leq C_3 2^{q-1} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + C_3 2^{3q-2} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C_1 C_2 (n+1)}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2} q} 2^{2q-1} R^{m-q} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C_1 C_2 (n+1)}{\delta^{\frac{p-2}{2}}} \right)^q C_3 2^{3q-2} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^{2q} R^{m-q} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Divide by r^{m-q} to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r^{m-q}} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^q &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^q \frac{1}{R^{m-q}} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q + C \frac{1}{R^{m-q}} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^q \\
&\quad + C \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{m-q} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q + C \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{m-q} \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^{2q} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = \max \left\{ C_3 2^{3q-2}, (C_1 C_2 (n+1) / \delta^{\frac{p-2}{2}})^q C_3 2^{3q-2} \right\}$.

Let

$$\Psi(R) = \sup_{B_r(x_0) \subset B, 0 < r \leq R} \left(r^{q-m} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^q \right).$$

Choose $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $C\gamma^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and set $\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q := \gamma^m$. Then for $r := \gamma R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{r^{m-q}} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^q &\leq C\gamma^q \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q + C\gamma^m \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q \\
&\quad + C\gamma^q \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q + C\gamma^{2q} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q \\
&\leq 4C\gamma^q \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q \\
&\leq \gamma^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{2R})}^q \\
&\leq \gamma^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \Psi(2R)
\end{aligned}$$

so by taking the supremum, we find that

$$\Psi(\gamma R) \leq \gamma^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \Psi(2R).$$

Finally, for any $r \in [0, \frac{\gamma}{2}]$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(\frac{\gamma}{2})^{k+1} < r \leq (\frac{\gamma}{2})^k$ and $x_0 \in B$ be such that $B_r(x_0) \subset B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(r) &\leq \Psi\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^k\right) \\ &\leq \left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\right)^k \Psi(1) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{k+1} \Psi(1) \\ &\leq r \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^q \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}^q r. \end{aligned}$$

By Morrey's Dirichlet growth theorem, we now conclude that $u \in C^{0,1/q}(B)$. \square

Similarly to lemma 3.4, we can prove the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $m \geq 2$, $1 < q < \frac{m}{m-1}$, and $\delta > 0$. There exists $\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta} > 0$ such that if $\Omega \in L^p\left(B, M_n(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m\right)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} \Omega = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$, $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{R}^n)$ weakly solves $\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u\right) + \Omega u\right) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$ with $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta > 0$ for all $x \in B$, and*

$$\left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\Omega\|_{M_q^q(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} < \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta},$$

then u is Hölder continuous in B .

3.5 Main Theorem

Finally, we prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $m \geq 2$, $1 < q < \frac{m}{m-1}$, and $\delta > 0$. There exists a constant $\varepsilon'_{m,q,\delta} > 0$ such that if $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ is a weakly p -harmonic map satisfying $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta > 0$ for all $x \in B$ and*

$$\left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} < \varepsilon'_{m,q,\delta},$$

then u is Hölder continuous in B .

Proof. By lemma 3.2, we have

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\left(|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u + \Theta \mathcal{E}u\right)\right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B).$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$. By lemma 3.1, $\operatorname{div} \Theta = 0$. Since \mathcal{E} is a constant matrix, $\operatorname{div}(\Theta \mathcal{E}) = 0$. Note that $\|\Theta \mathcal{E}\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} = \|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})}$. By lemma 3.5, there exists $\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta} > 0$ with for any weakly p -harmonic map $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ such that $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in B$ we have

$$\left\| \left| (\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} < \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}.$$

By lemma 3.3, we have the estimate

$$\|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} \leq C \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^2,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. We choose $\varepsilon'_{m,q,\delta} = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}/3, \sqrt{27/4C} \right\}$. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}_\nu^n)$ be a weakly p -harmonic map such that $|\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in B$ and

$$\left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} < \varepsilon'_{m,q,\delta}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2})}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} + \|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} \\ & \leq \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2})}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} + C \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the arithmetic-geometric means inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)}}{2} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{27} \left(\left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} \right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2})}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} + \|\Theta\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} \\ & \leq \left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2})}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B_{1/2})} \\ & \quad + \frac{4C}{27} \left(\left\| |\nabla u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} + \|\nabla u\|_{M_q^q(B)} \right)^3 \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}}{3} + \frac{4C}{27} \cdot \frac{27}{4C} \frac{\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}}{3} \\ & = \frac{2\varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}}{3} < \varepsilon_{m,q,\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus u is Hölder continuous on $B_{1/2}(0)$.

Finally, we use a rescaling argument to prove that u is Hölder continuous on the whole B . Fix $x_0 \in B$. Choose $0 < r < 1$ such that $B_r(x_0) \subset B$. Define $\tilde{u} = u|_{B_r(x_0)} : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\nu^n$ and define $\tilde{\tilde{u}} : B \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\nu^n$ by $\tilde{\tilde{u}}(x) = \tilde{u}(\frac{x-x_0}{r})$. Let $y = \frac{x-x_0}{r}$. So that

$$\|\nabla_x \tilde{\tilde{u}}\|_{M_q^q(B)} = r \|\nabla_y u\|_{M_q^q(B_r(x_0))}$$

and

$$\left\| |\nabla_x \tilde{\tilde{u}}|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla_x \tilde{\tilde{u}}) \right\|_{L^\infty(B)}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} = r^{p-2} \left\| |\nabla_y u|^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla_y u) \right\|_{L^\infty(B_r(x_0))}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla_x \tilde{u}\|_{M_q^q(B)} + \left\| \left| (\nabla_x \tilde{u})^T \varepsilon(\nabla_x \tilde{u}) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B)} \\
&= r \|\nabla_y u\|_{M_q^q(B_r(x_0))} + r^{p-2} \left\| \left| (\nabla_y u)^T \varepsilon(\nabla_y u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_r(x_0))} \\
&\leq \|\nabla_y u\|_{M_q^q(B_r(x_0))} + \left\| \left| (\nabla_y u)^T \varepsilon(\nabla_y u) \right|^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \right\|_{L^\infty(B_r(x_0))} \\
&\leq \varepsilon'_{m,q,\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus \tilde{u} is Hölder continuous on $B_{1/2}$. This implies that u is Hölder continuous in $B_{r/2}(x_0)$ for all $x_0 \in B$. We therefore conclude that u is locally Hölder continuous on B . \square

CHAPTER IV

CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The results of this work generalize some results from the paper of M. Zhu [8]. We can prove some ε -regularity for weakly p -harmonic maps into pseudospheres under assumption on a strictly positive lower bound for the term $|(\nabla u)^T \mathcal{E}(\nabla u)|$. So ε of the main result depends on p , the dimension of the domain of the Euclidean Disk m , and a lower bound δ . However, in [8], epsilon of the main result depends only on p and m . The author does not know whether the strict δ -lower bound is a necessary condition or it can be derived a priori, so that our main ε -regularity result is true. It is therefore very interesting to settle this open question.

REFERENCES

1. Duzaar, F. and Fuchs, M.: *On removable singularities of p -harmonic maps*, *Analyse non linéaire* Vol. 7, No. 5, 385–405 (1990).
2. Giaquinta, M.: *Multiple integrals in the calculus variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1983).
3. Hungerbühler, N.: *p -harmonic flow*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* Vol. 24, No. 4 , 593–631 (1997).
4. Iwaniec, T. and Martin, G.: *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (2001).
5. Rivière, T. and Struwe, M.: *Partial regularity for harmonic maps and related problems*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* Vol. 61, No. 4 ,451–463(2008).
6. Schikorra, A.: *A Remark on gauge transformations and the moving frame method*, *Inst. H. Poincaré* Vol. 27, No. 2 ,503–515(2010).
7. Struwe, M.: *Partial regularity for biharmonic maps, revisited*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* Vol. 33, 249–262(2008).
8. Zhu, M.: *Regularity for harmonic maps into certain pseudo-riemannian manifolds*, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* Vol. 99, 106–123 (2013).

VITA

Name : Mr. Wasanont Pongsawat
Date of Birth : 17 September 1990.
Place of Birth : Bangkok, Thailand.
Education : B.Sc. (Mathematics), (First Class Honors),
Chulalongkorn University, 2011.