การเพาะเลี้ยงสาหร่าย Scenedesmus armatus สภาวะกลางแจ้งด้วยถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสง แบบอากาศยกขนาดใหญ่



บทคัดย่อและแฟ้มข้อมูลฉบับเต็มของวิทยานิพนธ์ตั้งแต่ปีการศึกษา 2554 ที่ให้บริการในคลังปัญญาจุฬาฯ (CUIR) เป็นแฟ้มข้อมูลของนิสิตเจ้าของวิทยานิพนธ์ ที่ส่งผ่านทางบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย

The abstract and full text of theses from the academic year 2011 in Chulalongkorn University Intellectual Repository (CUIR) are the thesis authors' files submitted through the University Graduate School.

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรดุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี คณะวิศวกรรมศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2557 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย LARGE SCALE OUTDOOR CULTIVATION OF MICROALGA SCENEDESMUS ARMATUS WITH AIRLIFT PHOTOBIOREACTOR

Miss Watadta Ritcharoen

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Engineering Program in Chemical Engineering Department of Chemical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2014 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	LARGE	SCAL	E	OUTDOOR	CULTIVATION	N OF
	MICROA	LGA	SC	ENEDESMUS	ARMATUS	WITH
	AIRLIFT	PHOTO	OBI	OREACTOR		
Ву	Miss Wa	tadta	Rito	charoen		
Field of Study	Chemical Engineering					
Thesis Advisor	Associate ProfessorPrasert Pavasant, Ph.D.					
Thesis Co-Advisor	Sorawit	Powto	ong	sook, Ph.D.		

Accepted by the Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctoral Degree

_____Dean of the Faculty of Engineering

(ProfessorBundhit Eua-arporn, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE Chairman (ProfessorSuttichai Assabumrungrat, Ph.D.) Thesis Advisor (Associate ProfessorPrasert Pavasant, Ph.D.) (Sorawit Powtongsook, Ph.D.) Examiner (Associate ProfessorArtiwan Shotipruk, Ph.D.) (Associate ProfessorArtiwan Shotipruk, Ph.D.) Examiner (Assistant ProfessorKasidit Nootong, Ph.D.) (Wipawan Siangdung, Ph.D.) ้วทัตตา ฤทธิ์เจริญ : การเพาะเลี้ยงสาหร่าย Scenedesmus armatus สภาวะกลางแจ้งด้วยถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพ เชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกขนาดใหญ่ (LARGE SCALE OUTDOOR CULTIVATION OF MICROALGA SCENEDESMUS ARMATUS WITH AIRLIFT PHOTOBIOREACTOR) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: รศ. ดร. ประเสริฐ ภวสันต์, อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม: ดร.สรวิศ เผ่าทองศุข, 115 หน้า.

้วัตถุประสงค์ของงานวิจัยนี้คือ การศึกษาผลของรูปแบบถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยก และการป้อน ก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ต่อการเจริญเติบโตของจุลสาหร่ายสีเขียวชนิด Scenedesmus armatus รวมถึงผลของการขยาย ้ขนาดการเพาะเลี้ยงจากระบบขนาดเล็กในร่มเป็นระบบขนาดใหญ่กลางแจ้ง สภาวะการเพาะเลี้ยงที่เหมาะสมสำหรับระบบ ขนาดเล็กในร่ม คือ อุณหภูมิ 35องศาเซลเซียส ความเข้มแสง 10 กิโลลักซ์ และความเร็วของอากาศ 1 เซนติเมตรต่อวินาที ซึ่งได้อัตราการผลิตชีวมวลจำเพาะเท่ากับ 106±6.6 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตรต่อวัน ปริมาณไขมัน โปรตีน และคาร์โบไฮเดรตเท่ากับ 21.7±1.0, 32.9±2.6, 45.4±1.6 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดยน้ำหนัก ตามลำดับ การเพาะเลี้ยงขนาดใหญ่กลางแจ้งถูกดำเนินการแบบกะ ด้วยถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกแบบแบนปริมาตร 100 ลิตร ความเร็วของอากาศ 0.35 เซนติเมตรต่อวินาที หรืออัตราการให้อากาศ 0.2 โดยปริมาตรอากาศต่อปริมาตรน้ำเลี้ยงต่อนาที ภายใต้สภาวะอากาศของประเทศไทย ผลการ เพาะเลี้ยงแสดงให้เห็นว่าเซลล์สามารถเจริญเติบโตและมีความต้านทานต่อความเข้มแสงและอุณหภูมิสูง โดยที่อัตราการ เจริญเติบโตสูงสุดแท้จริงเกิดขึ้นในช่วงเวลา 9.00น.ถึง15.00น. กับค่า pH ในช่วงกว้าง 6.4 ถึง 11 ซึ่งมีอัตราการผลิตชีวมวล เฉลี่ยจำเพาะเท่ากับ 39.2 ถึง 55.5 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตรต่อวัน การป้อนก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์เข้าในระบบการเพาะเลี้ยง กลางแจ้งระหว่างวัน ส่งผลเพียงเล็กน้อยต่อการเจริญเติบโต แต่มีอิทธิพลต่อการสะสมสารชีวเคมี เมื่อป้อนก๊าซ คาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ในช่วงความเข้มข้น 2 ถึง 15 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดยปริมาตรของอัตราการให้อากาศ (0.2 โดยปริมาตรอากาศต่อ ปริมาตรน้ำเลี้ยงต่อนาที) พบว่า ปริมาณไขมันและโปรตีน เพิ่มขึ้นจาก 19.8 เป็น 25.6 และ 37.8 เป็น 48.2 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดย ้น้ำหนัก ตามลำดับ ในขณะที่ ปริมาณคาร์โบไฮเดรต ลดลงจาก 42.5 เป็น 26.2 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดยน้ำหนัก การเพาะเลี้ยง *S.* armatus ด้วยการป้อนก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ 2 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดยปริมาตร ทำให้ได้อัตราการผลิตชีวมวลเฉลี่ยจำเพาะสูงสุด 91.3 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตรต่อวัน อัตราการตรึงก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์สูงสุด 165 มิลลิกรัมคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ต่อลิตรต่อวัน และอัตราการผลิตไขมัน โปรตีนและคาร์โบไฮเดรตเฉลี่ยสูงสุดเท่ากับ 22.2 38.3 และ 30.8 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตรต่อวัน ้นอกจากนี้ก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ยังถูกใช้เป็นตัวควบคุมค่า pH โดยที่ ค่า pH 7 ถึง 7.7 ให้ผลการเจริญเติบโตคล้ายกับการ ้ป้อนก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์เข้มข้น 2 เปอร์เซ็นต์โดยปริมาตร การออกแบบถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกแบบ ใหม่ชนิดไร้แผ่นกั้น 2 รูปแบบคือ ถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกชนิดไร้แผ่นกั้นแบบกรวย และถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพ เชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกชนิดไร้แผ่นกั้นแบบแบน แสดงให้เห็นว่าสามารถนำมาเพาะเลี้ยงจุลสาหร่ายได้ โดยที่อัตราการ เจริญเติบโตของเซลล์ไม่ขึ้นกับองศาของมุมที่ก้นถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกชนิดไร้แผ่นกั้นแบบกรวย (30 45 และ 53 องศา) โดยที่ถังกรวยมุมก้นถัง30 องศา เหมาะกับการเพาะเลี้ยง S. armatus กลางแจ้ง เนื่องจากมีปริมาตรสูงสุด เมื่อพื้นที่การเพาะเลี้ยงเท่ากัน ในขณะที่การเพาะเลี้ยง S. armatus ด้วยถังปฏิกรณ์ชีวภาพเชิงแสงแบบอากาศยกชนิดไร้ แผ่นกั้นแบบแบนที่ความกว้างของถังคือ 20 30 40 และ 50 เซนติเมตร และความสูงของน้ำเลี้ยง 40 50 และ 60 เซนติเมตร พบว่า ความสงของน้ำเลี้ยงที่เหมาะสม คือ 40 และ 50 เซนติเมตร และความกว้างของถัง 50 เซนติเมตร โดยที่อัตราการผลิต ชีวมวลจำเพาะเฉลี่ยอยู่ในช่วง 44.6 ถึง 45.5 มิลลิกรัมต่อลิตรต่อวัน

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
สาขาวิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาหลัก
ปีการศึกษา	2557	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

ภาควิชา

5271823421 : MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

KEYWORDS: SCENEDESMUS / AIRLIFT PHOTOBIOREACTOR / OUTDOOR / CARBON DIOXIDE / PRODUCTIVITY WATADTA RITCHAROEN: LARGE SCALE OUTDOOR CULTIVATION OF MICROALGA *SCENEDESMUS ARMATUS* WITH AIRLIFT PHOTOBIOREACTOR. ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF.PRASERT PAVASANT, Ph.D., CO-ADVISOR: SORAWIT POWTONGSOOK, Ph.D., 115 pp.

The aim of this work was to investigate the effect of the airlift photobioreactor configurations and CO₂ supplement on the cultivation of the green microalga Scenedesmus armatus. The scale-up from small scale indoor to large scale outdoor cultivations was also examined. The optimal condition for small scale indoor cultivation was 35°C, 10 kLux and superficial gas velocity (u_{co}) 1 cm s⁻¹, this was equivalent to the specific biomass productivity of 106 ± 6.6 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$. The associate biochemical compositions of lipid, protein and carbohydrate were 21.7±1.0, 32.9±2.6, 45.4±1.6 %w/w, respectively. The outdoor large scale operation was conducted in 100 L flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAPs) with u_{se} 0.35 cm s⁻¹ or aeration rate of 0.2 vvm in the batch mode under Thailand climate. The results indicated that cells could tolerate high light intensity and relatively high temperature where the highest actual growth rate occurred at around 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM with a wide pH range of 6.4 to 11. The average specific biomass productivity was around 39.2 to 55.5 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$. The supply of CO₂ had a slight influence on growth characteristics but did exert some observable effects on biochemical accumulations. Adding CO₂ from 2 to 15% by volume in the aeration (0.2 vvm) during daytime caused an increase in lipid and protein from 19.8 to 25.6 and 37.8 to 48.2% w/w, respectively, whereas carbohydrate decreased from 42.5 to 26.2% w/w. S. armatus cultivated with 2% CO2 enriched air provided the highest the average of the average biomass productivity of 91.3 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ which corresponded to a CO₂ fixation of 165 mg CO₂ $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ with the average lipid, protein and carbohydrate productivities of 22.2, 38.3 and 30.8 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$. CO₂ was also used to control pH in the range of 7-7.7 and similar results with the addition of 2%CO₂ were obtained. A novel large scale airlift systems without the physical gas separating baffle, i.e Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs) and Non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs), could be successfully used to cultivate the microalgal culture. Growth was independent of the slope of the cone bottom NB-CAPs (30° , 45° and 53°) where the 30° cone bottom NB-CAP was suggested for S. armatus outdoor cultivation as it provided the highest volume using the same area. S. armatus was cultivated in NB-FPAPs with various the widths of the reactor from 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm and the unaerated medium heights from 40, 50 and 60 cm. The optimal medium height of NB-FPAPs was 40-50 cm whereas the width was 50 cm. The specific biomass productivities were around 44.6-45.5 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$.

Department: Chemical Engineering Field of Study: Chemical Engineering Academic Year: 2014

Student's Signature	
Advisor's Signature	
Co-Advisor's Signatu	ıre

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis will never have been fulfilled without the help and support of many people and organizers who are gratefully acknowledged here.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Prasert Pavasant, my the best advisor for his great suggestions, motivation, patient, nice guidance, warm encouragement and generous supervision throughout my Ph.D. program. His expertise in many fields improved my research skills and prepared me to be a good researcher. I am also grateful to Dr. Sorwit Powtongsook, co-advisor for his valuable suggestion and warm encouragement.

I would like to acknowledge Prof. Dr. Suttichai Assabumrungrat, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Artiwan Shotipruk, Asst. Prof. Dr. Kasidit Nootong and Dr. Wipawan Siangdung for their helpful and many valuable comments.

I would like to acknowledge the Thailand Research Fund (TRF) Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D for the financial support, PTT Research and Technology Institute for funding and instruments and Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research for providing microalga strain.

Special thanks to Mr. Puchong Sri-uam for his helping all unconditionally. And I would like to thanks Mr. Kijchai Kanjanapaparkul for kind assistance. I also thank Ms. Preawpakun Sintharm, Ms. Sudarat Phuklang, Ms. Hathaichanok Rodrakhee, Mr. Eakkachai khongkasem, Ms. Titimat Suksawatsak and Ms. Patthama Sang for their supporting, assistance and good team work.

Of course, I wish to express my thankfulness to all members in The Biochemical Engineering Research Laboratory and Environmental Chemical Engineering & Safety Research Laboratory, the Center of Excellence for Marine Biotechnology, Dr. Maliwan Kutako for their friendship and assistance. Especially, I cannot forget to express my thankfulness to Supersert's research group: Dr. Woradej Poonkum, Dr. Panu Panitchakarn, Dr. Chattip Prommuak, Dr. Keriti Issarapayup, Mr. Chenwit Linthong Ms. Katsuda Sukkrom and Dr. Porntip Wongsuchoto for friendship, assistance and support.

Most of all, I would like to express my sincere indebtedness to my parents, everyone in my family and my friends for their inspiration and worthy support at all times.

CONTENTS

Page
THAI ABSTRACTiv
ENGLISH ABSTRACTv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSvi
CONTENTS
LIST OF TABLESxii
LIST OF FIGURES
Chapter I Introduction
1.1 Motivations
1.2 Objectives
1.3 Scopes
Chapter II Backgrounds and literature review5
2.1 Microalgae
2.1.1 Green microalgae
2.1.2 Scenedesmus sp
2.2 Photosynthesis
2.2.1 Pigment groups10
2.2.2 Photosynthesis process11
2.2.3 Photosynthetic efficiency13
2.3 Main parameters for microalgal cultivation
2.4 Photobioreactors for microalgae cultivation
2.4.1 Open pond
2.4.2 Flat plate photobioreactors

viii

Page

2.4.3 Tubular photobioreactors	21
2.4.4 Bubble column photobioreactors	21
2.4.5 Airlift photobioreactors	21
2.5 Large scale outdoor cultivation	22
2.5.1 Effect of type of photobioreactors to cell growth	22
2.5.2 Effect of environmental condition to growth and biochemical	
products	23
2.6 Online monitoring system for microalgae cultivation	31
2.7 Cost of microalgae cultivation	31
Chapter III Materials and methods	34
3.1 Flow chart of work	34
3.2 Culture medium preparation (Chapter IV, V, and VI)	35
3.3 Experimental setup	35
3.3.1 Design for indoor cultivation (Chapter IV)	35
3.3.1.1 Light intensity and temperature control chamber	35
3.3.1.2 Indoor cultivation	36
3.3.2 Photobioreactor design for outdoor cultivation (Chapter IV, V, and V	I) 38
3.4 Microalgae cultivation	42
3.4.1 Effect of temperature (Chapter IV)	42
3.4.2 Effect of light intensity (Chapter IV)	42
3.4.3 Effect of superficial gas velocity (Chapter IV)	43
3.4.4 Batch indoor culture (Chapter IV)	43
3.4.5 Outdoor cultivation (Chapter IV, V, VI)	44

3.4.5.1 Batch culture (Chapter IV, V, and VI)	.44
3.4.5.2 Cultivation with air and carbon dioxide mixture (Chapter V)	. 45
3.4.5.3 Cultivation with controlled pH (Chapter V)	. 45
3.4.5.4 Effect of width and height of reactor (Chapter VI)	. 45
3.5 Online growth monitoring of <i>S. armatus</i>	. 46
3.6 Determination of virtual riser and downcomer cross-section area in NB-CAP and NB-FPAP	. 47
3.7 Determination of downcomer liquid velocity in NB-CAP and NB-FPAP	. 48
3.8 Analyses and Calculations	. 49
3.8.1 Determination of cell concentration	. 49
3.8.2 Determination of specific growth rate	. 50
3.8.3 Determination of specific biomass productivity	. 50
3.8.4 Determination of carbon dioxide fixation	. 51
3.8.5 Determination of nitrate concentration	. 51
3.8.6 Biochemical compositions analysis	. 52
3.8.7 Determination of sugar content	. 52
3.8.8 Light unit conversion (Thimijan and Heins, 1983)	. 52
3.8.9 Determination of the average daily medium temperature and surface	
energy	. 53
3.8.10 Unit of aeration	. 53
3.9 Statistical analysis	. 54
Chapter IV Growth characteristics of indoor and outdoor cultures of	
Scenedesmus armatus	. 55

Page

Х

4.1 Effect of light intensity, temperature and aeration rate on biomass	
production	55
4.2 Indoor cultivation	58
4.3 Large scale outdoor cultivation	60
4.3.1 Effect of seasons on <i>S. armatus</i> growth characteristic	64
4.3.2 Typical biochemical compositions	67
4.4 Concluding remarks	68
4.5 Application of FPAP for outdoor cultivation of other algal species	70
Chapter V Effect of carbon dioxide and pH on growth rate of <i>S. armatus</i>	72
5.1 Effect of CO ₂	72
5.1.1 Growth characteristics	72
5.1.2 CO ₂ fixation rate	78
5.1.3 Biochemical compositions	79
5.2 Effect of pH control system with CO_2	83
5.2.1 Growth rate	84
5.2.2 Biochemical compositions with controlled pH	86
5.3 Concluding remarks	87
Chapter VI Effect of non-baffle airlift configurations on <i>S. armatus</i> growth	88
6.1 Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs)	88
6.1.1 Effect of aeration rate	89
6.1.2 Effect of medium height	93
6.2 Non-baffle flat panel airlift photobioreactor (NB-FPAPs)	94
6.3 Concluding remarks	98

	Page
Chapter VII Conclusions and Contributions	. 99
7.1 Conclusions	. 99
7.2 Contributions	101
REFERENCES	103
APPENDIX	114
VITA	115



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

LIST OF TABLES

Table2.1 Chemical compositions of green microalgae (Singh et al., 2011)	6
Table2.2 Scientific classification of Scenedesmus sp.	7
Table2.3 Reviews of Scenedesmus cultivation	8
Table2.4 Characteristics of pigment (Carvalho et al., 2011)	. 10
Table2.5 Performance of carbon dioxide removal by microalgae	. 16
Table2.6 Literature reviews	. 18
Table2.7 Literature reviews of outdoor cultivation	. 24
Table2.8 Advantages and disadvantages of monitoring methods (Meireles et al.,	
2002)	. 33

Table3.1 Composition of BG11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971)	
--	--

ู่หาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table4.1 Final biomass concentration, specific biomass productivity and specific	
growth rate of <i>S. armatus</i> indoor cultivation for 7 days	57
Table4.2 Biomass productivity of Scenedesmus culture and its biochemical	
contents	58
Table4.3 Average daily surface energy imposed on the FPAPs and the associate	
medium temperature during the cultivation in various seasons	66
Table4.4 Specific biomass and biochemical productivities from S.armatus	
cultivated in this work	68
Table4.5 Costs estimation for cultivation of <i>S. armatus</i> in indoor and outdoor	
(basis 1kg dry biomass per batch)	69

Table5.1 Carbon content and carbon fixation rate (Daytime CO_2 supply only for	
the first 4 days)	. 78
Table5.2 Specific biomass productivity and CO ₂ fixation rate from <i>Scenedesmus</i>	. 79
Table5.3 Biochemical productivity from S.armatus cultivated in this work	. 82
Table5.4 Carbon content, carbon fixation rate, biomass and biochemical	
productivities from <i>S.armatus</i> cultivated with controlled pH	. 87

จุพาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Schematic overview of photosynthetic process	11
Figure 2.2 Light response curve of photosynthesis (Richmond, 2007)	12

Figure3.1 Connection between the various stages of experiments carried out in	
this work	4
Figure 3.2 Experimental set up in temperature and light intensity controlled	
chamber	7
Figure 3.3 Schematic of 17 L flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP)	7
Figure3.4 Schematic of flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP)	9
Figure 3.5 Schematic of non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs) 4	0
Figure 3.6 Schematic of non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs): (A)	
30°, (B) 45°, and (C) 53° with x-plane	1
Figure3.7 Experiment setup with non-invasive turbidity cell measurement device 4	6
Figure 3.8 Experimental setup of finding A_d , A_r and downcomer liquid velocity	.9

Figure 4.1 S. armatus cultivation in temperature and light intensity controlled	
chamber	56
Figure4.2 S. armatus indoor cultultivation in 17 L of FPAP	59
Figure4.3 Growth characteristics of <i>S. armatus</i> from indoor cultivation with 17 L FPAP	60
Figure4.4 S. armatus outdoor cultivation in 100 L of FPAP	61

Figure4.5 Light intensity and medium temperature profiles of three outdoor
experiments in FPAP
Figure4.6 Growth characteristic of S. armutus under outdoor cultivation in FPAP
with various u _{sg}
Figure 4.7 Biochemical compositions with various cultured scales
Figure4.8 Light intensity and medium temperature profiles: Summer (A-B); Rainy
season (C-D); Winter (E-F) (triplicate experiment)
Figure 4.9 Growth characteristics of <i>S. armatus</i> from outdoor cultivation
Figure4.10 Biochemical compositions in <i>S.armatus</i>
Figure4.11 Profile of light intensity and temperature
Figure 4.12 Growth of <i>C. gracilis</i> in semi-continuous 100 L FPAP71

Figure5.1 Fracti	ions of inorganic carbon in aqueous (Wetzel, 2001)	72
Figure5.2 pH pr	rofiles of <i>S. armatus</i> cultivated under outdoor condition: CO ₂	
supply only du	ring the daytime for 12 h with average light energy and medium	
temperature of	$^{-2}$ 23.8±6.1 MJ m ⁻² d ⁻¹ and of 34.5±1.4°C	74
Figure5.3 Diurn	al variation profiles in medium culture: (A) Light intensity and	
medium tempe	erature; (B) pH; (C) biomass concentration	75
Figure5.4 Grow	th characteristics of <i>S. armatus</i> with various CO_2 concentrations	76
Figure5.5 Speci	ific biomass productivity of <i>S. armatus</i> from outdoor cultivation	77
Figure5.6 Scher	matic model for inorganic carbon transport and accumulation in	
Scenedesmus c	obliquus: Diffusion (Df); Active Transport (AT); Carbonic Anhydrase	
(CA); ribulose b	isphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco); transporter (🤍 ,	
ATPase) ((Markou et al., 2014, Thielmann et al., 1990)	77

Figure 5.7 Biochemical composition in <i>S.armatus</i> cultivated in the system with	
CO ₂ enriched air	80
Figure 5.8 Fatty acid contents in dry biomass without CO_2 (0.03%) and with 2% CO_2 enriched air	81
Figure 5.9 C:N ratio in biomass of <i>S. armatus</i> as a function of CO ₂ concentration in the provided aeration	82
Figure5.10 sugar content with various cultivated CO ₂ concentrations	83
Figure 5.11 pH profiles of S. armatus cultivated under outdoor condition: CO_2	
supply to control pH set point value (7and 7.7)	84
Figure 5.12 Growth characteristics of <i>S. armatus</i> with controlled pH	85
Figure 5.13 Biochemical compositions with uncontrolled and controlled pH	86

Figure6.1 Experiments: (A) flow pattern; (B) constant volume of 100 L; (C)	
constant unaerated medium height of 75 cm	89
Figure6.2 Growth rate of S. armatus in 100 L NB-CAPs	91
Figure6.3 Liquid velocity in downcomer (A); downcomer cross sectional area, A_d	
(B); riser cross sectional area, A_r (C); ratio A_d/A_r (D) with various aeration rate at	
100 L of 30°, 45° and 53° NB-CAPs	92
Figure6.4 growth rate of <i>S. armatus</i> with various unaerated medium height (H)	94
Figure6.5 Flow direction in NB-FPAP and experimental setup	95
Figure6.6 Flow directions diagram in NB-FPAP at width of contactor 50 cm with	
unaerated liquid height 50 cm	96
Figure6.7 Specific biomass productivity of <i>S. armatus</i> with various widths of NB-	
FPAPs (W) and unaerated medium heights (H)	96

Figure6.8 Surface area per volume ratios (S/V) with various unaerated medium	
height (H) and width of reactor (W)	97
Figure6.9 A_d/A_r ratios with various unaerated medium height (H) and width of	
reactor (W)	98



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

Chapter I

Introduction

1.1 Motivations

It has been widely accepted that the content of greenhouse gases especially carbon dioxide has continuously increased over the years. This problem has undoubtedly been created anthropogenically particularly fuel burning in industries and urban transportation. Although this chronic problem will require long term mitigating measures, an enormous number of research works have now been devoted to lessening this problem by reducing the amount of greenhouse gases emission. One of the potential approaches is to make use of photosynthetic activities, either in large scale plants or in more effective microorganisms like microalgae. There are evidences that many types of microalgae could uptake carbon dioxide as their carbon source and many of them could withstand high carbon dioxide condition, e.g. in the range of 5 to 20% (Ho et al., 2010b, Jacob-Lopes et al., 2010, Sydney et al., 2010, Tang et al., 2011). It was reported that CO₂ has affected biochemical composition in algae, for example, lipid and carbohydrate accumulation were enhanced when Chlorella sorokiniana and Dunaliella salina were cultured in air enriched-CO₂ (Muradyan et al., 2004, Tanadul et al., 2014). Polyunsaturated fatty acid in Scenedesmus obliquus and Chlorella pyrenoidosa increased with increasing feeding CO₂ concentrations (Tang et al., 2011). Carbohydrate accumulation in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii decreased but protein increased with high CO₂ condition (Thyssen et al., 2001).

Literature reveals that stains of *Scenedesmus* exhibited a possibility to remove carbon dioxide and effectively produced useful substances like carbohydrates, lipid and proteins. Examples are *Scenedesmus obliquus* CNW-N (Ho et al., 2010b), *Scenedesmus* sp. (Yoo et al., 2010), *Scenedesmus caribeanus* (Westerhoff et al., 2010), *Scenedesmus obliquus* SJTU-3 (Tang et al., 2011).

Large scale cultivation of microalgae poses one of the most intriguing research aspects as the future development of all microalgal applications will need to have a reliable and economical industrial scale cultivation process with reasonably high biomass yield. There are always trade-offs between using an open pond that utilizes sunlight and a well controlled photobioreactor, as the former requires lesser resources but a reliable operation is doubtful whereas the latter operates with high level of confidentiality, flexibility and reliability, but with a cost of more expensive controlling systems. For example, dry weight per one kilogram of Chaetoceros muelleri under indoor condition was 2.5 times more expensive than that obtained from outdoor condition (López-Elías et al., 2005). This high cost is compensated by high productivity inherited from the well controlled photobioreactor, e.g. the cultivation of microalgae in large scale airlift photobioreactor gave 1.5-4 times better productivity than the open pond systems (Issarapayup et al., 2009, Krichnavaruk et al., 2007).

Airlift systems have been proven to be an effective alternative for the cultivation of microalgae as reported by Krichnavaruk et al. (2007) for *Chaetoceros*, Kaewpintong et al. (2007) and Issarapayup et al. (2009) for *Haematococcus*. This research focuses on the design of large scale airlift photobioreactor with adequate, low cost environmental control for the culture of *Scenedesmus amartus* TISTR 8591. This algal species is well acclimatized to Thai environmental conditions, and can be cultured outdoor with small contamination risk. The harvest is also simple as the cells can be separated from the medium just by gravity sedimentation. In addition, its relatively high protein and carbohydrate contents render it suitable as supplementary animal feed and bio-energy. For this work, the effect of the configurations of reactor and the supply of carbon dioxide on growth, productivity and biochemical accumulation were investigated.

1.2 Objectives

- To develop a large scale airlift cultivation system of green alga (*Scenedesmus amartus*) under outdoor condition

- To examine airlift photobioreactor configurations for large scale algal culture

1.3 Scopes

- The large scale cultivation system under outdoor condition with sunlight and atmospheric temperature.

- Green alga (*Scenedesmus armatus*) was chosen for this study.
- The alga was cultivated in a batch cultivation system with flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP).
- Working volume of system was 100 L.
- The superficial gas velocity (u_{sg}) and air flow rate were in the range of 0.18-0.52 cm s⁻¹ and 0.1-0.3 vvm (volume gas per working volume per minute) of FPAP.
- Carbon dioxide fixation was examined by supplying carbon dioxide concentration in the range of 2-15% by volume of aeration rate.
- Carbon dioxide was supplied to culture systems for a light: dark period of 12:12.
- pH was controlled using supplying CO₂.
- The effect of scale up from scale indoor to outdoor was investigated.

- To examine airlift photobioreactor configurations for large scale algal culture

• Two types of non-baffled airlift photobioreactors were employed, i.e.

Non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactor (NB-FPAP)

Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAP) with variable bottom slopes (30, 45 and 53 degrees with xplane)

- Air flow rate was in the range of 0.1-0.3 vvm of NB-CAP.
- Unaerated medium height in NB-CAP was 34-75 cm.
- Widths of NB-FPAPs were 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm.
- Unaerated medium heights in NB-FPAPs were 30, 40 and 50 cm.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

Chapter II

Backgrounds and literature review

2.1 Microalgae

Microalgae are a source of valuable nutrients for human and animals such as protein, fatty acids, carbohydrate and vitamin as well as antioxidants. In addition, algae extracts can be used in various applications such as biodiesel, fertilizer, supplementary food and animal feed. This chapter provides necessary detail on the algae and their cultivating systems.

2.1.1 Green microalgae

Green microalgae are among protists in the protista kingdom and Chlorophyta phylum. Green microalgae can take unicellular, colony or filamentous forms with chloroplast and pigment groups (chlorophylls, carothenoids, and Phycobilins) used for photosynthesis process. Microalgae are found in both freshwater and marine systems, examples include *Chlorella*, *Scenedesmus*, *Chlorococcoum*, and *Tetraselmis*. Chemical compositions of the algae are different from species to species as illustrated in Table 2.1. Utilizations of these chemical compositions are variables, e.g. used as raw material for biodiesel or bioethanol productions, or as protein supplement in animal feed.

2.1.2 Scenedesmus sp.

Scenedesmus sp. is a green alga under the scientific classification as detailed in Table 2.2. The cell can be either immobile or staying together as a colony. The colonies mostly have two or four cells but may occasionally have 8, 16 or 32 cells attached side by side. The shapes of cell are various, i.e. crescent, spindle-shaped or ovoid. Utilization of *Scenedesmus* includes the use as animal feed due to its relatively high protein content. *Scenedesmus* sp. and *Scenedesmus obliquus* have been studied as

a carbon dioxide mitigation means with a lipid production pathway. Yoo et al. (2010) and Tang et al. (2011) reported that *Scenedesmus* sp. could grow as a dense culture using carbon dioxide in the range of 5-20%. *Scenedesmus almeriensis* can produce high value antioxidant, i.e. lutein at approximately 0.53% of its dry weight which could reach a high productivity of 3.8 mg of lutien L⁻¹day⁻¹ (Sánchez et al., 2008). This makes *S. almeriensis* a potential candidate for commercial lutein production. Other reviews are summarized in Table 2.3. For outdoor cultivation, the selecting strain should grow reasonably well under normal environmental conditions. For Thailand, *Scenedesmus armatus* TISTR 8591 exhibits interesting properties as it is a rapid growing local species with minimum requirement for system maintenance.

Strain	Protein (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Lipid (%)
Scenedesmus obliquus 🛛	50-56	10-17	12-14
Scenedesmus quadricauda	47		1.9
Scenedesmus dimorphus	8-18	21-52	16-40
Chlamydomonas rheinhardii	48	17	21
Chlorella vulgaris	51-58	12-17	14-22
Chlorella pyrenoidosa	57	26	2
<i>Spirogyra</i> sp.	6-20	33-64	11-21
Dunaliella bioculata	49	4	8
Dunaliella salina	57	32	6
Tetraselmis maculata	53	15	3

Table2.1 Chemical compositions of green microalgae (Singh et al., 2011)

Table2.2 Scientific classification of *Scenedesmus* sp.

Domain	Eukaryota
Kingdom	Protista
Division	Chlorophyta
Class	Chlorophyceae
Order	Chlorococcales
Family	Scenedesmaceae
Genus	Scenedesmus

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

Author (year)	Strains	reactor	medium	Vol.	F	Light	Hđ	Aeratio	in rate	CO ₂	Time	biomass	productivity	д	Chemical
												concentration			composition
					-	intensity									
				(Г)	(°C)	(Lux)		шлл	Lmin ⁻¹	(% of air)	(p)	(g L ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(d ⁻¹)	
Tukaj et al. (2003)	S. armartus	plate-	Bristol's	0.6	30	21.82				2					
		parallel	medium												
		vessel													
Rodjaroen et al.	S.amartus		BG11	0.3	28±1	4,440	¥.				20	0.05			Starch = 15.4%
(2007)	TISTR 8591														
Sánchez et al.	S.almeriensis	bubble	Mann and	2	34	120,250	2	0.5	1		BILLe		0.73		Lipid = 12%
(2008)		column	Myer's												Carbohydrate=
			medium												24.6%
															Protein = 49.4%
40 et al. (2010b)	S.obliquus	stirr tank	Detmer's	1	28	4,440	9	0.003		10	12	3.51	0.29	1.19	Lipid = 12.3%
	CNW-N		medium												
Ho et al. (2010a)	S.obliquus	stirr tank	BG11	1	28	4,440	6.2		2	20	12	2.63	0.2	1.019	
	CNW-N														
	S. obliquus											1.9	0.15	1.065	
	AS-6-1														
Yoo et al. (2010)	Scenedesmus.		BG11		25±1	11,100				10	14		0.2175		
	sp.														
	Chlorella		BG11		25±1	11,100				10	14		0.10476		
	vulgaris														
	Botryococcus		modified		25±1	11,100				10	14		0.02655		
	hraunii		Chu 13												

Table2.3 Reviews of Scenedesmus cultivation

Time	
CO ₂	
Aeration rate	
Hq	
Light	intensity
F	
Vol.	
medium	
reactor	
Strains	
Author, year	

Author, year	Strains	reactor	medium	Vol.	F	Light	Hđ	Aeratio	on rate	S CO	Time	biomass	productivity	д	Chemical
						intensity						concentration			composition
				(T)	(°C)	(Lux)		E	Lmin ⁻¹	(% of	(P)	(g L ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(d ⁻¹)	
Westerhoff et al.	mixed	helical	BG11	6	31	3.256			1.25	air) 10 to 12	14		0.056 eC/L-d		
(2010)	Scenedesmus	tubular											2		
	caribeanus	flat-plate	BG11	10		17,760				10 to 12	16		0.42 gC/L-d		
	and <i>Chlorella</i>														
	vulgaris	flat-plate	BG11	10	s	unlight (<1,350				10 to 12	64		0.14 gC/L-d		
	I					to 135,000)									
Kim et al. (2011)	Scenedesmus	stirr tank	BG11	0.2	25±1	11100	7.5		Land Land))))	16				
	sp.						(in.)								
Tang et al. (2011)	S. obliquus	bubble	modified	0.8	25±1	13,320	7.0	0.25	0.2	10	14	1.84 ± 0.01	0.155 ± 0.004	0.037	Lipid = 19.3%
	SJTU-3		BG11				(in.)							(Max)	
	Chlorella	bubble	modified	0.8	25±1	13,320	0.7	0.25	0.2	10	14	1.55 ± 0.01	0.144 ± 0.011	0.041	Lipid = 24.3%
	pyrenoidosa		BG11				(in.)							(Max)	
	SJTU-2														
(Ketheesan and	Scenedesmus	airlift-	Bols's	20	24-26	4,000			0.6		24		0.16 ± 0.03		
Nirmalakhandan,	sp.	raceway	Basal												
2011)															

Table 2.3 (Cont.) Reviews of Scenedesmus cultivation

2.2 Photosynthesis

2.2.1 Pigment groups

Microalgae consist of three main pigment groups; chlorophylls, phycobilins and carotenoids which can absorb light at different wavelengths as demonstrated in Table 2.4. The type of Chlorophyll are typically various as *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* which absorb light in the range 450-475 and 630-675 nm. Structure of chlorophyll contains a central magnesium atom and long chain terpenoid alcohol. Chlorophyll *a* has a major role in photosynthesis process as it is responsible for light absorption and the transfer of energy to the photosynthetic pathway. Carotenoids are two hexacarbon rings joined with long chain hydrocarbon. The molecule of caroteneids is either long chain hydrocarbon called carotenes (α -carotene, β -carotene) or oxygenated hydrocarbons called xanthophylls (lutein, violaxanthin). Carotenoids absorb light in the range 400-550 nm which has a role as accessory light-harvesting pigments transfer to chlorophyll *a*. Phycobilins are water-soluble which differ from chlorophyll and carotenoids and absorb light in the range 500-650 nm.



Pigment group	Color	Range of absorption bands (nm)
Chlorophylls	Green	450-475
(e.g. Chlorophyll <i>a</i> , Chlorophyll <i>b</i>)		630-675
Phycobilins	Blue, Red	500-650
(e.g. Phycocyanin, Phycoerythrin)		
Carotenoids	Yellow,	400-550
(e.g. $oldsymbol{eta}$ -Carotene, Lutein)	Orange	

2.2.2 Photosynthesis process

Photosynthesis is the process that uses light energy with carbon dioxide and water to produce carbohydrate (sugar) and oxygen for growth. The chemical equation of reaction is shown below:

$$6CO_2 + 6 H_2O + light energy \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$$
 (2.1)

The photosynthesis process occurs in chloroplast as a two step mechanism, viz. light reaction and dark reaction. Light reaction occurs in thylakoid membrane where Chlorophylls absorb light energy and split water to electron, proton and oxygen. Electron transfers through Photosystem I (PS I, 700 nm) and Photosystem II (PS II, 680 nm) to yield chemical energy accumulated in forms of ATP and NADPH; these accumulated energy forms are used in the dark reaction. The dark reaction or known as the Calvin cycle is the process of carbon dioxide fixation which occurs in the stoma outside the thylakoid before being further converted to other useful chemicals such as carbohydrate (sugar). The schematic of overview photosynthesis is illustrated in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1 Schematic overview of photosynthetic process

The correlation between the rate of photosynthesis (P) and light intensity (I) is illustrated in Figure 2.2. At low light intensity (light-limited region), the photosynthetic rate is proportional with light intensity where the initial slope (\mathbf{C}) represents light utilization efficiency. Note that the rate of photosynthesis equals zero as light intensity falls below the compensation point (Ic). When increasing light intensity, the rate of photosynthetic gradually increases and reaches the at the light saturation intensity (Is). Finally, the photosynthetic rate declines due to photo-inhibition at the intensity of Ih.



Figure 2.2 Light response curve of photosynthesis (Richmond, 2007)

Photo-inhibition occurs when the culture receives excess light intensity for a long time. Indicators for photo-inhibition phenomena include slow oxygen evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence. Actually, oxygen evolution from photosynthesis is expressed in terms of μ mol or mg O₂ per mg⁻¹ (Chl) h⁻¹ or per cell h⁻¹. Excess dissolved oxygen in the culture can also generate inhibition effect that leads to cell death. Chlorophyll fluorescence is a convenient method that indicates the performance of photochemical process in PS II. The difference between the maximum fluorescence (Fm) and the minimum fluorescence (Fo) is the variable fluorescence (Fv). The photochemical yield of PS II is estimated by the ratio of

Fv/Fm. For active green algae, Fv/Fm is in the range of 0.65-0.80 (Richmond, 2007). Fv/Fm below 0.65 could indicate photo-inhibition.

2.2.3 Photosynthetic efficiency

The photosynthetic efficiency (PE) is the effectiveness of light energy converted into chemical energy during photosynthesis which defines as the energy stored in biomass per unit of light energy impinging on the culture. PE is calculated from areal productivity (P_a) multiplied with energy content in biomass (E_b) and divided by solar irradiance input (I_i) as follows:

$$PE = \frac{P_a (g m^{-2} d^{-1}) \times E_b (kJ g^{-1})}{I_i (kJ m^{-2} d^{-1})}$$
(2.2)

PE is a function of average irradiance, Fv/Fm ratio and air flow rate (Fernández et al., 2003). The PE increases when Fv/Fm and air flow rate increase. In the contrast, the PE decreases when the average irradiance increase which PE of the outdoor cultivation was lower than indoor cultivation due to high light intensity (Van Bergeijk et al., 2010).

จุฬาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย

Chulalongkorn University

2.3 Main parameters for microalgal cultivation

Many parameters are important to growth of microalgae, these include nutrients, CO₂ or carbon sources, temperature, light intensity, pH, aeration and etc.

- Nutrients

Primary nutrients which are important to growth of microalgae are carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus as well as silica for diatom. Autotrophic culture system use carbon source from inorganic sources viz. carbon dioxide (CO₂), bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) and carbonate (Na₂CO₃). Some reported that both bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and carbonate (CO₃²⁻) ions could be more easily consumed than carbon dioxide as they were more

soluble in water (Yeh and Chang, 2010). Nitrogen is associated with the primary metabolism of microalgae and also constitutes cell protein. Phosphorus is essential as it constitutes energy storage compounds. Typical nitrogen and phosphorus sources are nitrate (NO_3^{-}) and phosphate ($PO_4^{-2^{-}}$). Furthermore, trace metal elements and vitamin are added for effective cultivation.

- Carbon dioxide concentration

Carbon dioxide is a carbon source for the growth of algae. It is a precursor in the photosynthesis. Carbon dioxide sources include air (approximately 0.03% CO₂), industrial exhaust gas (e.g. flue gas about 15-20%CO₂) and soluble carbonates (e.g. NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃). The carbon dioxide fixation efficiency of microalgae is about 10-50 times faster than terrestrial plants (Costa et al., 2000) and the cultivation system is environmentally friendly. Hence, most literatures search for strains which can tolerant high carbon dioxide and effective CO₂ fixation through high biomass productivity. Literature reviews are summarized in Table 2.5.

- Temperature

Temperature has major effects to cell growth and biochemical composition such as fatty acid types and accumulated quantity (Hu et al., 2008). The optimal temperature of each species is various and occasionally based on other environmental parameters such as light intensity (Kumar et al., 2010). The optimal temperature for most microalgae is around 22 to 28 $^{\circ}$ C (Ong et al., 2010). Note that photo-inhibition can occur providing that the temperature is lower or higher than the optimal level (Richmond, 2007).

- Light intensity

Microalgae need light as energy source for photosynthesis. Each type of microalgae has its own optimal light intensity above or below which photo-oxidation

and photo-inhibition or growth limiting could take place (Carvalho et al., 2011). Furthermore, light has effect on the lipid content since light affects the ultrastructure of cell organelles where lipid is an important component of their membrane composition (Hu et al., 2008).

- Photoperiod

Light/Dark cycle can affect photosynthesis. This consists of two stages as light dependent and dark phase (light independent). A long lighting period can increase biomass concentration including the amount of proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids in cell (Fábregas et al., 2002, Khoeyi et al., 2012).

- pH

Generally, microalgae grow well at neutral pH but some species can grow at higher pH such as *Spirulina platensis* (pH 9) (Sydney et al., 2010) or lower pH such as *Chlorococcum littorale* (pH 5.5) (Iwasaki et al., 1996). In order to ensure high growth rate, many cultivation systems are controlled at optimal pH by carbon dioxide or acid and base. Microalgae are effective as natural carbon dioxide removal mechanism as they use CO_2 as their carbon source, but increasing carbon dioxide concentration could lower the pH of the culture and alter the growth behavior. Nevertheless, carbon dioxide tolerant microalgae are still very interesting and attract research attention in a wide scale.

- Aeration rate

Aeration is necessary to induce mixing in microalgal culture and to prevent cell sedimentation. The air is supplied through device such as porous gas sparger for bubble and airlift photobioreactors, or through paddle wheel for race way pond. Increasing aeration rate generally leads to a higher liquid velocity and a more turbulent-like flow (Fernández et al., 2001) which improves mass transfer between gas and liquid and effective light utilization resulting in a better growth (Krichnavaruk et al., 2005). However, inducing aeration rate could cause cell damage and also implies high electricity cost.

The summary of the cultivation systems and their operating conditions along with the growth parameters is illustrated in Table 2.6.

Author	Strains	Reactors	Vol	CO ₂	Biomass concentration	Productivity	Specific growth rate	Maximum CO ₂ consumption rate	CO ₂ fixed rate in biomass
			(L)	(% of air)	(g L ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(d ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	
Jacob-	Aphanothece	Bubble		15	5.1 (24:0)	0.770 (24:0)		1.44(24:0)	99.7%
Lopes	microscopic	column							(24:0)
et al.	Nägeli								
(2009)									
	(cyanobacteria)				2.06 (12:12)	0.301		0.56 (12:12)	39%
			_/	///b99		(12:12)			(12:12)
Widjaja	Chlorella	Stirr tank		0.03-3.33	0.86				
et al.	vulgaris								
(2009)									
Chiu et	Chlorella sp.	Bubble	4	5	2.37		0.18		
al.	NCTU-2	column							
(2009)		8	g	_					
		Airlift with	4	5	2.53		0.23		
		draft tube	4		2.44		0.05		
		Airurt with	4		3.46		0.25		
		draft tubo							
Opg et	Chlorella sp	Bubble	LALU	5	UNIVERS		0.4-0.1		11 8-15 52
al	chioretta sp.	column		5			(25-40		mg/min
(2010)		cotanin					0 ₍₎		(0.25-0.5
()							0		vvm)
	Chlorella sp.						0.58-		12.45-
	mutant MT-7						0.36		18.24
							(25-40		mg/min
							o _{C)}		(0.25-0.5
									vvm)
	Chlorella sp.						0.74-		10.92-
	mutant MT-15						0.69		17.13
							(25-40		mg/min
							o _{C)}		(0.25-0.5
									vvm)

Table2.5 Performance of carbon dioxide removal by microalgae

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Author	Strains	Reactors	Vol.	CO ₂	Biomass	Productivity	Specific	Maximum	CO ₂
Inter Constraint Image of the second secon					concentration	concentration		growth	CO ₂	fixed
(L) (b) (g L ¹) (g L								rate	consumption	rate in
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				(1)	(04 of sir)	$(a + 1)^{-1}$	$(a + {}^{-1}a^{-1})$	(d ⁻¹)	rate	DIOMASS
Linge et a plantinum e bolone is 200 (440) 640, gs al. (2010) misorcopic column Nägeli 18 (12:12) 246 (240, tgai phase) Sydney et Chlorefla Bubble 5 194 0.31 0.29 0.250 al. (2010) vulgaris LEB column 104 Botryococcus 3.11 0.24 0.500 brainii SAG- 3.081 Spirulina John 22 Dundella 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis LEB-52 Dundella 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis LEB-52 Dundella 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis LEB-52 Dundella 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis LEB-52 Dundella 5 194 0.31 0.29 1.19 0.55 CNW-N Westeholf mixed helical 10 to 12 0.056 CNW-N Westeholf mixed helical 10 to 12 0.056 CNW-N Westeholf mixed helical 10 to 12 0.056 CNW-N Scenedesmus stir tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 CNW-N Scenedesmus stir tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 CNW-N Scenedesmus stir tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 CNW-N Scenedesmus stir tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 CNW-N Scenedesmus stir tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 CNW-N Sc	Lánan at	Anhanathaaa	Dubble	(L)	(% of air)	(g L)	(gLd)	(a)	(g L a)	20/
a (2010) Induscipie Column Nigeli U 240, gas 18 (1212) 246 (224, liquid phase) 5ydney et Chiorella Bubble 5 194 0.31 0.29 0.250 al. (2010) vulgaris LEB- column 104 8 60ryococcus brauni S46- 30.81 Spirulina phase) 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis LEB-52 Dunalella 4 be stal. Scenedesmus Stirr tank 2.15 0.42 0.21 0.270 5.55 CMWAN Scenedesmus Stirr tank 2.15 0.42 0.21 0.270 5.55 CMWAN Scenedesmus Stirr tank 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010) CMWAN Scenedesmus Stirr tank 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2011) obliquus Column 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column Strut ank 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.10 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column Strut ank 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.10 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column Strut ank 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.10 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column Strut ank 2.10 1.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.10 0.10 1.19 0.15 1.065 0.29 2.10 0.10 1.10 0.12 0.155±0.001 0.037 3.11 0.24 3.11 0.24 3.11 0.24 3.11 0.24 3.11 0.22 0.320 3.11 0.22 0.320 3.12 0.28 3.11 0.24 0.26 3.12 0.29 3.12 0.28 3.11 0.24 0.26 3.12 0.29 3.12 0.28 3.12	Lopez et	microscopic	solumn		15	2.02 (24:0)			(20.9	5%0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ac (2010)	Nagoli	coturnin						(24.0, gas	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Nagett				18(12.12)			24.6	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						1.0 (12.12)			(24:0 liquid	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									(24.0, tiquiu	
a) (2) (1) vulgaris EB column 0.00 0.00 a) (2) (10) vulgaris EB column 3.11 0.24 0.500 braunil SAG- 30.81 30.81 3.11 0.24 0.500 braunil SAG- 30.81 30.81 2.18 0.73 0.22 0.320 platensis EB-52 Dunaliello 2.15 0.42 0.21 0.270 terholector SAG-13.86 2.15 0.42 0.21 0.270 Ho et al. Scenedesmus Stirr tank 10 3.51 0.29 1.19 0.55 (2010b) obliguus column 10 to 12 0.056 (gc L ¹ d ¹) 2 to 3% (2010a) carbeanus and Chorello vulgaris 10 to 12 0.042 8 to (2010a) colleuus flat- 10 to 12 0.042 8 to 10% (2010a) colleuus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) colleuus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29	Svdnev et	Chlorella	Bubble		5	1 94	0.31	0.29	0.250	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	al (2010)	vulgaris LEB-	column		5	1.01	0.51	0.27	0.230	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} & & 3.11 & & 0.24 & & 0.500 \\ \hline braunii SAG- \\ & 30.81 & \\ & Spirulina & \\ & platensis & \\ & LB-52 & \\ & Dunaliella & \\ & tetriolecta & \\ & SAG-13.86 & \\ \hline Ho et al. & Scenedesmus & Stirr tank & 10 & & 3.51 & & 0.29 & & 0.15 \\ \hline Ho et al. & Scenedesmus & Stirr tank & 10 & & & 3.51 & & 0.29 & & 1.19 & & 0.55 \\ \hline c & clubula & clubula & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Westerhoff & mixed & helical & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ et al. & Scenedesmus & tubular & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Westerhoff & mixed & helical & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	un (2010)	104	cotarini							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Botrvococcus				3.11		0.24	0.500	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		braunii SAG-								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		30.81								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Spirulina				2.18	0.73	0.22	0.320	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		platensis								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		LEB-52								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Dunaliella				2.15	0.42	0.21	0.270	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		tertiolecta								
Ho et al. Scenedesmus Stirr tank 10 3.51 0.29 1.19 0.55 (2010b) obliquus CNW-N 0		SAG-13.86								
(2010b) obliquus CNW-N Westerhoff mixed helical 10 to 12 0.056 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 2 to 3% et al. Scenedesmus tubular (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 2 to 3% (2010) caribeanus and Chlorella vulgaris 6 to plate 0 to 12 0.42 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 8 to Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1 - - - - - - - Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus SJTU-3 column (Max) - - - SJTU-2 SJTU-2 - - - - - -	Ho et al.	Scenedesmus	Stirr tank	j.	10	3.51	0.29	1.19	0.55	
CNW-N Nixed helical 10 to 12 0.056 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 2 to 3% et al. Scenedesmus tubular 10 to 12 0.42 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 8 to 10% (2010) caribeanus and Chlorella vulgaris flat- plate 10 to 12 0.42 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 8 to 10% Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1 - - - - - - - Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus SJTU-3 column (Max) - - - SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) - - - - -	(2010b)	obliquus								
Westerhoffmixedhelical tubular10 to 120.056 $(gC L^1d^1)$ 2 to 3%et al.Scenedesmustubular $(gC L^1d^1)$ $(gC L^1d^1)$ 2 to 3%(2010)caribeanus and Chlorella vulgarisflat- plate10 to 120.42 $(gC L^1d^1)$ 8 to 10%Ho et al.Scenedesmus obliquus CNW-N Scenedesmusstirr tank201.90.151.0650.39(2010a)obliquus obliquus AS- 6-1CNW-N Scenedesmusstirr tank201.90.151.0650.29Tang et al.Scenedesmus obliquus cluunbubble101.84±0.010.155±0.0040.0370.288(2011)obliquus obliquus cluuncolumn(Max)(Max)SITU-3(Max)SITU-2SITU-2SITU-20.0100.144±0.0110.0410.26		CNW-N			- ANNON	Sugar Salar				
et al. Scenedesmus tubular $(gC L^{2}d^{2})$ (2010) caribeanus and Chlorella vulgaris flat- 10 to 12 0.42 flat- plate 10 to 12 0.42 (gC L^{1}d^{1}) 10% Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 0.29 0.15 0.28 (2011) obliquus SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.14±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column SJTU-2	Westerhoff	mixed	helical		10 to 12		0.056			2 to 3%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline (2010) & caribeanus \\ and Chlorella \\ & vulgaris \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ ho et al. \\ Scenedesmus \\ CNW-N \\ \hline \\ \\ CNW-N \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \\$	et al.	Scenedesmus	tubular				(gC L d)			
and Chlorella vulgaris flat-10 to 12 0.42 0.42 0.42 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	(2010)	caribeanus								
Vulgaris flat- plate 10 to 12 0.42 (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 8 to 10% Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N CNW-N 5 0.15 1.065 0.29 6-1 5 0.15 1.065 0.29 0.15 1.065 0.29 Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) (Max) 0.154±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2 0.041 0.26		and Chlorella								
Hat- 10 to 12 0.42 8 to plate (gC L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) 10% Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1		vulgaris								
Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N CNW-N CNW-N CNW-N CNUSA			flat-		10 to 12		0.42			8 to
Ho et al. Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.39 (2010a) obliquus CNW-N CNW-N CNW-N Comparing the stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1 - - - - - - Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) - - SJTU-3		C	plate		20	1.0	(gCLd)	1.075	0.20	10%
(2010a) obliquis CNW-N Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquis AS- 6-1 Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) 0.155±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26	Ho et al.	Scenedesmus	stirr tank		20	1.9	0.15	1.065	0.39	
Scenedesmus stirr tank 20 1.9 0.15 1.065 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1 Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) SJTU-3 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2	(2010a)	CNIM N								
Scenedesmus Sult tank 20 1.9 0.13 1.003 0.29 obliquus AS- 6-1 6-1 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26		Conversion Sconodosmus	ctirr tank		20	1.0	0.15	1.065	0.20	
6-1 Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26		obliquus AS-	SUIT LOTIK		20	1.9	0.15	1.005	0.29	
Tang et al. Scenedesmus bubble 10 1.84±0.01 0.155±0.004 0.037 0.288 (2011) obliquus column (Max) (Max) SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2 0.26 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		6-1								
(2011) obliquus column (Max) SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max)	Tang et al	Scenedesmus	bubble		10	1 84+0 01	0 155+0 004	0.037	0 288	
SJTU-3 Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2	(2011)	obliquus	column		10	1.04±0.01	0.135±0.004	(Max)	0.200	
Chlorella bubble 1.55±0.01 0.144±0.011 0.041 0.26 pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2	(_/1)	SJTU-3						(
pyrenoidosa column (Max) SJTU-2		Chlorella	bubble			1.55±0.01	0.144±0.011	0.041	0.26	
SJTU-2		pyrenoidosa	column					(Max)		
		SJTU-2								

Table 2.5 (Cont.) Performance of carbon dioxide removal by microalgae

Table2.6 Literature reviews

Author	Strains	Reactors	Vol.	T	Light	рН	Cell	Biomass	Productivity	Specific
(Year)							concentration	concentration		growth rate
			(L)	(°C)	(Lux)		(x10 ⁶ cells mL ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(d ⁻¹)
Merchuk et al. (1998)	Porphyridium sp.	Airlift	35	25	22,200		36			0.528
		Bubble column	35	25	22,200		36			0.528
Renaud et al. (2002)	Rhodomonas sp.	Flask	1.5	25-27	5920	8.3	0.44-0.59			0.3-0.35
	Prymnesiophyte NT19			27-30			4.51-4.97			0.54- 0.56
	Cryptomonas sp.			27-30			0.68-1.05			0.27- 0.33
	Chaetoceros sp.			27-35			1.95-3.98			0.74- 0.87
	<i>Isochrysysis</i> sp.			27-30			3.55-4.94			0.89- 0.97
Xu et al. (2002)	Undaria pinnatifida	Airlift	2.5	25	2,960		-	0.71	0.12	
		Bubble column	2.5	25	2,960		2	0.59	0.08	
Yim et al. (2003)	Gyrodinium impudicum strain	Airlift	2	22.5	11,100	8	í í	1.35		0.69
	KGU3									
Krichnavaruk et al. (2005)	Chaetoceros calcitrans	Airlift	17	30	29,600	Jniv	8.88 ERSITY			1.78
		Bubble column	17	30	29,600		5.80			0.91
Vega-Estrada et al. (2005)	Haematococcus pluvialis	Airlift	2.2	26	12,580		1.1			0.23

Author (Year)	Strains	Reactors	Vol.	т	Light	рН	Cell concentration	Biomass concentration	Productivity	Specific growth rate
			(L)	(°C)	(Lux)		(x10 ⁶ cells mL ⁻¹)	(g L⁻¹)	(g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(d ⁻¹)
Converti et al. (2006)	Spirulina platensis	Open pond	65	30	4,070	9.5			0.005	0.12
		Tubular	5.5	30	8,880			10.6	0.062	0.19
Kaewpintong et al. (2007)	Haematococcus pluvialis	Airlift	3	27	1,480	7.1 (in.)	0.795			0.45
		Bubble column	3	27	1,480		0.420			0.36
Krichnavaruk et al. (2007)	Chaetoceros calcitrans	Airlift	17	30	25,900	2	8.88		4.8 × 10 ⁹ cells L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	1.78
		Airlift	170	30	25,900		2.96		7.5×10^{8} cells L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	0.62
		Bubble	17	30	25,900		4.96		1.9 x 10 ⁹	0.69
		Bubble column	170	30	25,900		2.51		6.2×10^{8} cells L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹	0.59
Oncel and Sukan (2008)	Spirulina platensis	Airlift	1.5	25	4,000	9.3		2.21		0.45
		Bubble column	1.5	25	4,000	9.3	A	1.87		0.33
Issarapayup et al. (2009)	Haematococcus pluvialis	Flat panel airlift	17 ALC	25	1,480	o7 UNI	0.41			0.52
			90	25	1,480	7	0.40			0.39
Yeh and Chang (2010)	Chlorella vugaris	Stirred	1	25		6.2		0.55		1.41

Table 2.6 (Cont.) Literature reviews
2.4 Photobioreactors for microalgae cultivation

Microalgal culture systems have been optimized in order to obtain maximum growth. Typical culture systems can be separated into two types, i.e. open systems (pond, tank and race way) and close systems (flat plate, tubular, bubble and airlift photobioreactors). Close photobioreactors have attracted more than open systems due to the ease of control and the attainment of high biomass productivity (Ugwu et al., 2008). Both open and close systems are explained as follows.

2.4.1 Open pond

Microalgal culture in open ponds is good for mass cultivation. The most commonly used systems include shallow big ponds, tanks, circular ponds and raceway ponds (Ugwu et al., 2008). The major advantage of open pond systems is its simplicity for both construction and operation. However, the disadvantage of this system is low productivity, low light utilization and easy contamination. Thin-layer technology can overcome drawback of open pond due to decreasing microalgal suspension layer, nevertheless this system suffers high energy consumption for operation (Doucha and Lívanský, 2006).

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSIT

2.4.2 Flat plate photobioreactors

Flat plate or flat panel photobioreactor is interesting for photosynthetic of microorganism due to their large illumination surface area that is suitable for outdoor culture (Ugwu et al., 2008). The flat panel photobioreactors are normally made from transparent materials for maximum utilization of solar light energy. The accumulation of dissolved oxygen in this reactor is relatively low compared to horizontal tubular photobioreactor but this might not have notable effects on algal growth. Sierra et al. (2008) characterized fluid-dynamic and mass transfer of the flat panel photobioreactor and concluded that the main advantages of this reactor were low power consumption (53W m⁻³) and high mass transfer capacity (0.007 L s⁻¹), but on the other hand, the major disadvantage is high stress damage from aeration.

2.4.3 Tubular photobioreactors

Tubular photobioreactor is one of the suitable types of bioreactors for outdoor cultivation as it gives a large illumination surface area. Normally, tubular photobioreactor combines with pump or airlift system for circulation. The airlift system is preferable as it helps avoid cell damage from mechanical pumping, and also provides efficient oxygen removal (Fernández et al., 2001). The limitations of this reactor are poor mass transfer and difficult to control temperature including gradient of pH, dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide along the tubes. The scale up of tubular photobioreactor requires large area and many problems can occur for example increasing diameter of tube, the illumination surface area to volume ratio would decrease (Ugwu et al., 2008).

2.4.4 Bubble column photobioreactors

Bubble column photobioreactor is a pneumatic type which provides good mixing by air bubbling, easy to operate, low shear stress and high mass transfer. However, the scale up of this reactor has some limitation for example the photobioreactor is scaled up by increasing the diameter of reactor, and this lowers the surface area for light illumination. In addition, increasing column height could mean a higher energy consumption for mixing.

2.4.5 Airlift photobioreactors

Airlift photobioreactor is one type of pneumatic reactors that is similar to bubble column reactors. The major difference is that they contain a draft tube which improves circulation and oxygen transfer in the reactor. Airlift systems consist of two sections; riser and downcomer, and the aeration only takes place in riser. Airlift photobioreactors can be classified into two major types as the internal loop, which the circulation between riser and downcomer arise in the same cylindrical tube, and external loop which has two separated columns operating as riser and downcomer with conduit arms connecting the two columns together near the top and the bottom. Some problems may occur during the scale up particularly for the cylindertype column as an increase in the diameter of the column might not have linear relationships with other reactor characteristics such as area-to-volume ratio. Issarapayup et al. (2009) found that novel flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FP-ALPBR) can overcome this problem as the scale up could be achieved without losing growth behavior just by enhancing the length of the tube.

2.5 Large scale outdoor cultivation

Outdoor large scale microalgae cultivation systems have been studied extensively as the use of sunlight renders this the most economical method for mass cultivation. The scale up of photobioreactors was often achieved by multiplying the number of single units including multi-step of volume expansion. Several evidences of similar work both uncontrolled and well controlled conditions and this will be summarized as shown below.

2.5.1 Effect of type of photobioreactors to cell growth

The main design parameters for the algal cultivation system are the design to allow effective light utilization and to give good mixing/mass transfer. Tubular photobioreactors are typically used for outdoor microalgae production as they provide better light utilization and good mixing(Carlozzi, 2003, Carlozzi & Sacchi, 2001, Fernández et al., 2001, Hu et al., 2008, López et al., 2006, Sato et al., 2006). Fernández et al. (2001) illustrated that the diameter of tube should be suitable in order to achieve high productivity, and the volumetric productivity decreased whereas the areal productivity increased with an increase in diameter. Airlift systems are often combined with tubular to increase effectiveness of cell production as the airlift section helps eliminate dead zone and dark zone, not mentioning its oxygen removal promotion potential (Carlozzi, 2003, Fernández et al., 2001). Examples include the work of López et al. (2006) who reported that the growth rate and cell productivity of *Haematococcus pluvialis* in tubular photobioreactor were better than

bubble column because the diameter of horizontal tubular photobioreactor was small which enhanced the irradiance on surface. In this work, as high as 37 folds of high value astaxanthin, could be produced in the tubular system when compared with the bubble column. Several other types of bioreactors have been proposed with a larger working volume. For instance, a very high productivity of *Chlorella sp.* (4.3 g DW $L^{-1}d^{-1}$) and cell density (40 gDW L^{-1}) was obtained from thin-layer photobioreactor 2,000 L (Doucha and Lívanský, 2009).

2.5.2 Effect of environmental condition to growth and biochemical products

Literature has widely reported the effects of various parameters on growth of microalgae such as temperature, light intensity and season. For instance, *Chaetoceros muelleri* gave a lower cell concentration in winter than in spring and summer seasons and suggested that spring provided a more suitable temperature and light conditions than winter (Becerra-Dórame et al., 2010, López-Elías et al., 2005, Voltolina et al., 2008). On the other hand, lipid seems to increase at low temperature and irradiance, i.e. the lipid composition is the highest to lowest in winter, spring and summer, respectively. Similarly, carbohydrate is higher in winter and lower in summer (López-Elías et al., 2005, Voltolina et al., 2008). Note that the occurrence of protein exhibits an inverse trend to that of lipid. *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*, is a bacteria cultured in the tubular photobioreactor where the temperature was controlled by submerge tubular in water (Carlozzi & Sacchi, 2001). The experiments showed that protein, carbohydrate, and poly-beta-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) obtained during January to July varied where carbohydrate and PHB slightly increased over time, whereas protein took the reverse trend.

The summary of the outdoor cultivation systems and their operating conditions along with the growth parameters is summarized in Table 2.7.

			Conditions	Results
	סממרואומונו מורסונומומנו	Airlift and tubular solar receiver	Continuous system Volume = 200 L	<u>Spring season</u> Biomass concentration = 2.38 g L ⁻¹
			Nutrient = Mann and Myers medium	Biomass productivity = 1.19 gL ^{-1} d ⁻¹
			Temp. controlled in a water pond =	Areal productivity of biomass =
			20±2 ČC	19.8 g m ² d ¹
			Avg. light intensity = $1289 \ \mu \text{Em}^{-2}$	
			pH controlled = 7.7 (adjust by CO ₂)	
			Liquid velocity = 0.50 ms^{-1}	
			Superficial gas velocity = 0.3 ms^{-1}	
			Dilution rate = 0.05 h^{-1}	
zzi and Sacchi (2001) Rhodo	opseudomanas palustris	Tubular photobioreactor	Batch system	Biomass productivity = 1.42 gL ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
			Volume = 53 L	Reactor productivity =
			Nutrient = modified van Niel medium	75 g reactor ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
			Temp. controlled at $30{\pm}1~^\circ{ m C}$	
			Avg. light intensity = 1243 μEm^{-2-1} s	
			Initial cell concentration = 1 g L^{-1}	
			pH controlled = 7.0±0.05 (adjust by 1 N HCl)	
n et al. (2002) Phaeo	odactylum tricornutum	- Bubble column	Fed-bauch	August
		- airlift bioreactors (a split-	Volume = 60 L	Biomass concentration = ~ 4 g L ⁻¹
		cylinder	Nutrient = modified Ukeles medium	Specific growth rate (avg.) = 0.021 h ^{-1}
		and a draft-tube airlift	Temp. controlled at 22±1 $^{\circ}$ C	Carbohydrate accumulation
		device)	Avg. light intensity = 1150 \pm 52 µEm s	(up to \sim 13% w/w)
			pH controlled = 7.6 (adjust by CO_2)	

Author (Year)	Strains	Reactor	Conditions	Results
Fernández et al. (2003)	Phaeodactylum tricomutum	Helical photobioreactor	Batch system	Biomass concentration = 3.0 g L ⁻¹
			Volume = 75 L	Biomass productivity = 1.3 gL ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
			Nutrient = Mann and Myers medium	Specific growth rate = $0.05 h^{-1}$
			Temp. controlled at 28 $^\circ extsf{C}$	
			Avg. light intensity = $1100 \ \mu Em^{-2} s^{-1}$	
			pH controlled = 7.7 (adjust by CO_2)	
			Air flow rate in the riser = 11 $Lmin^{-1}$	
			Cultivation time =7 d	
Carlozzi (2003)	Arthrospira platensis	Airlift and tubular	Continuous system	Biomass concentration (sunrise) = 3.1 g L^{-1}
		photobioreactor	Volume = 11 L	Biomass productivity = $1.59 \text{ gL}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$
			Nutrient = Zarrouk's medium	
			Temp. controlled in a water pond =	
			31±1.0 °C	
			Avg. light intensity = 717 μEm^{-2} s	
			pH controlled = 9.4 \pm 0.1 (adjust by CO ₂)	
			Dilution rate = 0.013 h ⁻¹	
Moreno et al. (2003)	Anabaena sp. ATCC33047	Raceway pond	Semi-continuous system	Biomass concentration = $0.18 \text{ g } \text{L}^{-1}$ (spring), 0.23 g L ⁻¹
			Volume = 100 L	(summer), 0.14 g L $^{-1}$ (autumn), 0.11 g L $^{-1}$ (winter)
			Temp. controlled at 30 $^{\circ}$ C	Productivity= 18.5 g m ⁻² d ⁻¹ (spring), 23.5 g m ⁻² d ⁻¹
			pH controlled = $8.5-9$ (adjust by CO_2)	(summer), 10.6 g m 2 d $^{-1}$ (autumn), 9.4 g m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$ (winter)
			Solar irradiance= 18.7 MJ m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$ (spring),	Photosynthetic efficiency= 2.22% (spring), 2.38%(summer),
			22.1 MJ m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$ (summer), 9.7 MJ m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$	2.45% (autumn), 2.45% (winter)
			(autumn), 8.6 MJ m ⁻² d ⁻¹ (winter)	

Results	<i>Spring season</i> <u>300 L</u> : Cell concentration = 2.94x10 ⁶ cell mL ⁻¹ <u>3000 L</u> : Cell concentration = 1.75x10 ⁶ cell mL ⁻¹ Lipid content = 16.6-36.196	airlift- tubular: Biomass concentration of green cell= 7.0 g L ⁻¹ Biomass productivity = 0.41 gL ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ Cell density of green cell= 5.5 x 10 ⁶ cell mL ⁻¹ Specific growth rate = 0.040 h ⁻¹ Astaxanthin content = 1.1 %wt Astaxanthin productivity= 4.4 mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ Biomass concentration of green cell = 1.4 g L ⁻¹ Biomass concentration of green cell = 1.4 g L ⁻¹ Cell density of green cell = 3.8 x 10 ⁶ cell mL ⁻¹ Biomass productivity = 0.06 gL ⁻¹ d ⁻¹ Specific growth rate = 0.021 h ⁻¹ Astaxanthin content = 0.25 %wt Astaxanthin productivity= 0.12 mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
Conditions	Batch system Volume = 300 and 3000 L Nutrient = f/2 medium Temp. = 22.1-29.4 °C and 20.3-26.4 °C PH = 7.3-8.3 and 9.0-9.5	Batch system East characterization method Volume = 55 L Temp. controlled at 20 °C Avg. light intensity = 1600 µEm $s^{2,1}$ (airlift-tubular) and 425 µEm $s^{2,1}$ (bubble column) pH controlled = 8.0 (adjust by CO ₂) Air flow rate= 55 Lmin ⁻¹ (1 vvm) Cultivation time = 16 d
Reactor	Bubble column	Airlift- tubular and bubble column photobioreactor
Strains	Chaetoceros muelleri	Haematococcus pluvialis
Author (Year)	López-Ellas et al. (2005)	López et al. (2006)

Author (Year)	Strains	Reactor	Conditions	Results
Sato et al. (2006)	Chlorococum littorale	Pipe photobioreactor	Batch system	Biomass concentration = 1.75 g L ⁻¹
			Volume = 70 L	Biomass productivity = 0.146 gL ^{-1} d ^{-1}
			Temp. controlled at 25 $^{\circ}$ C	Growth rate per land area =
			pH controlled = 7.5	20.5 gm ⁻² d ⁻¹
			Air flow rate=31 Lmin ⁻¹	
			Cultivation time =12 d	
Zittelli et al. (2006)	Tetraselmis suecica	Bubble column	Batch system	Biomass productivity = 0.46 gL ^{-1^{-1}}
			Volume = 120 L	Growth rate per land area =
			Nutrient = f medium	$36.3 \text{ gm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$
			Temp. controlled at 27 °C	
			pH controlled = 8.0 ± 0.2 (adjust by CO_2)	
			Avg. light intensity = 1129 μEm^{-2} s	
			Air flow rate= 27.6 Lmin ⁻¹ (0.23 vvm)	
			Cultivation time = $16 d$	
Hu et al. (2008)	Pavlova viridis	Tubular photobioreactor	Batch system	Cell concentration =
			Autumn and early winter 2005	$9.95 imes 10^{6}$ cell mL $^{-1}$
			Volume = $60 L$	Total lipid =10% dry wt.
			Avg.temp. = 14.6-30.2 [°] C	
			pH = 7.2-9.0	
			Avg. light intensity = 48.2-327.8 μEm^{-2} s	
			Cultivation time = 10 d	

Author (Year)	Strains	Reactor	Conditions	Results
Voltolina et al. (2008)	Chaetoceros muelleri	Bubble column	Batch system	Winter:
			Volume = 300 L	Cell concentration = 0.95×10^{6} cells mL ⁻¹
			Nutrient = $f/2$ medium	Biomass concentration =0.038 g L ^{-1}
			Initial cell concentration = 0.2×10^{6} cells mL ⁻¹	Specific growth rate = 0.04 h^{-1}
			pH controlled = 8.0 ± 0.5 (adjust by CO_2)	Lipid = 30.3%, Protein = 49.3%
			Cultivation time = $3 d$	Carbohydrate = 18.0%
				Spring:
				Cell concentration = 4.15×10^{6} cells mL ⁻¹
				Biomass concentration =0.195 g L ⁻¹
				Specific growth rate = 0.007 h^{-1}
				Lipid = 28.2%, Protein = 56.3%
				Carbohydrate = 15.2%
				Summer:
				Cell concentration = 3.59×10^{6} cells mL ⁻¹
				Biomass concentration =0.145 g L ⁻¹
				Specific growth rate = 0.0025 h^{-1}
				Lipid = 22.1%, Protein = 64.4%
				Carbohydrate = 12.7%
Doucha and Livanský (2009)	Chlorella sp.	Thin layer photobioreactor	Fed-batch system	Biomass concentration =40 g L ⁻¹
			Volume = 2000 L	Max. specific growth rate = 0.09 h^{-1}
			pH controlled = 6.8-8.0	
			Avg. light intensity = 62.74 μEm^{-2} s	
			Nutrient = $f/2$ medium	

28

			Conditions	Results
Van Bergeijk et al. (2010)	lsochrysis aff. Galbana	Airlift and tubular	Batch system	Specific growth rate = 0.39 d^{-1}
	(T-iso)	photobioreactor	Volume = 400 L	Productivity= 0.075 g L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹
			Initial cell concentration:	Areal productivity= 7.91 g m ⁻² d ⁻¹
			$5 ext{ x10}^{6} ext{ cell mL}^{-1}$	Photosynthetic efficiency=2.51%
			Cultivation time = 14 d	
Becerra-Dórame et al. (2010) (Chaetoceros muelleri and	Tank with recirculation	Batch system	<u>C. muelleri</u>
7	Dunaliella sp.	cascade	Volume = 200 L	Cell concentration =
			Initial cell concentration:	0.85 $ imes$ 10 ⁶ cell mL ⁻¹ (Winter), 2.8 $ imes$ 10 ⁶ cell
			0.2×10^6 cell mL ⁻¹ (<i>C. muelleri</i>)	mL ⁻¹ (Spring)
			0.1×10^6 cell mL ⁻¹ (Dunaliella sp.)	Biomass concentration = 0.12 g L^{-1} (Winter),
			Nutrient = f medium	0.35 g L ⁻¹ (Spring)
			Cultivation time = 4 d	Organic matter = 0.069 g L^{-1} (Winter), 0.085
			Winter season	g L ⁻¹ (Spring)
			Light intensity= 383-1405 μ mol m ²²⁻¹ (C. <i>muelleri</i>),	Ash = 0.045 g L^{-1} (Winter), 0.26 g L^{-1} (Spring)
			779-1532 µmol m ⁻² - ¹ (<i>Dunaliella</i> sp.)	Dunaliella sp.
			Temp.= 9.8-22.3 [°] C	Cell concentration =
			pH= 7.9-8.8 (C. muelleri), 7.5-8.7 (Dunaliella sp.)	$0.23 imes 10^{6}$ cell mL $^{-1}$ (Winter), 0.78 $ imes 10^{6}$ cell
			Spring season	mL ⁻¹ (Spring)
			Light intensity= 1213-1405 µmol m ⁻² .1 (C. <i>muelleri</i>),	Biomass concentration = $0.17 \text{ g } \text{L}^{-1}$ (Winter),
			996-1405 µmol m ⁻²⁻¹ (<i>Dunaliella</i> sp.)	0.27 g L ⁻¹ (Spring)
			Temp.= 18.8-34.8 [°] C	Organic matter = 0.064 g $L^{-1.1}$ (Winter), 0.12
			pH= 8.2-10.0 (C. muelleri), 8.8-9.9 (Dunaliella sp.)	g L ⁻¹ (Spring)
				Ash = 0.096 g L^{-1} (Winter), 0. g L^{-1} (Spring)

29

Author	Strains	Reactor	Conditions	Results
Chinnasamy et al. (2010)	Mixotrophic algal strains (1:1):	Raceway (RW),	Batch system	Biomass productivity = $0.057 \text{ gL}^{-1} d^{-1}$
	Chlamydomanas globosa,	Vertical tank reactor (VTR)	Volume = 500 L (RW), 100 L (VTR) and 20 L (PB)	(RW), 0.044 gL ^{$^{-1}$d^{$^{-1}$} (VTR) and 0.07 gL^{$^{-1}$d^{$^{-1}$}}}
	Scenedesmus bijaga	and polybags (PB)	Nutrient = untreated carpet industry effluent	(PB)
			Avg.temp. = 23.4° C (RW), 27° C (VTR) and $32.^{\circ}$ C	Lipid content = 5.3%
			(PB), Avg. light intensity = 883 $\mu Em^{-2}s^{-1}$ (RW), 1068	
			µEm ^{-2,-1} (VTR) and 947 µEm ^{-2,-1} (PB), Air flow	
			rate= 10 Lmin ⁻¹ (RW), 2 Lmin ⁻¹ (VTR), 0.4-0.8 Lmin ⁻¹	
			¹ (PB), CO_2 flow rate= 500-600 mLmin ⁻¹ (RW), 100-	
			120 mLmin ⁻¹ (VTR) and 20-48 mLmin ⁻¹ (PB)	
			Cultivation time = 8 d	
Oh et al. (2010)	Chlorella minutissina	и-1 N	Perfusion system	Biomass concentration= 3.4 g L ⁻¹
			Volume = 200 L , Nutrient = thermal plume from	Specific growth rate = 2.7×10^{-4} h ⁻¹
			nuclear power plants, Initial cell concentration =	Lipid content = 17% wt.
			0.5 g L^{-1} , Avg.temp. = 30°C , Avg. light intensity =	
			2.19×10^{15} µEm ⁻² s ⁻¹ , CO ₂ flow rate= 300 mLmin ⁻¹	
			Cultivation time = $80 d$	
Ong et al. (2010)	Chlorella sp. MT-15	Bubble column	Batch system	Biomass concentration= 0.35 g L^{-1}
			Volume = 40 L , Avg.temp. = $41\pm1^{\circ}$ C	Specific growth rate = 0.01 h^{-1}
			Avg. light intensity = $81000 \ \mu Em^{-2} s^{-1}$ (day time)	
			and 300 μEm^{-2} ⁻¹ (night time)	
			Air flow rate = 10 L min ⁻¹ , CO_2 flow rate = 500	
			mLmin ^{-1} , Cultivation time = 8 d	

2.6 Online monitoring system for microalgae cultivation

Growth of microalga under outdoor cultivation is affected significantly by environmental conditions. A well controlled system is therefore necessary to maintain the growth. Typical growth monitoring technique includes the cell number and dry weight, but this technique suffers disadvantages of tedious and time consuming which may not be suitable for large cultivation system. The online monitoring of growth should be developed to allow a more effective measure of the algal cells. An example of continuous biomass monitoring method includes the oxygen evolution technique, for example, Cogne et al. (2001) measured and correlated the pressure inside a closed photobioreactor and the oxygen evolution rate for the onlined measure of the growth of Spirulina platensis. Another method for the measurement of cell density is to use the optical density (OD) measured with turbidumetric technique. For instance, the biomass of Pavlova lutheri was monitored by continuously drawing the cells from the photobioreactor and analyzed for its optical density/turbidity which was then converted to the cell number or cell mass (Meireles et al., 2002). The same author later proposed the use of optical density in automatic monitoring system for Pavlova lutheri where the signal was employed in the feedback control for the lighting of the system (Meireles et al., 2008). Similar technique was employed by Sandnes et al. (2006) who investigated the effectiveness of the near infrared (NIR) optical density sensor for online and controlled cell density under large scale cultivation of Nannochloropsis oceanic (200 L tubular photobioreactor). The summary of advantages and disadvantages of both methods are shown in Table 2.8.

2.7 Cost of microalgae cultivation

Cost of cultivation is a very important factor determining the potential of the cultivation system in large scale production. Grima et al. (2003) illustrated the economics of recovery of eicosapentaeoic acid (EPA), which is an omega-3 fatty acid, from *Porphyridium cruentum*. The biomass culture was an outdoor tubular

photobioreactor. The annual costs of biomass production were mostly distributed to the fixed capital investment at about 39%, raw material and labor at about 13% and 17%, respectively. Two downstream processes are the extraction-esterification of crude microalgal oil and EPA purification had raw material as major cost about 85% and 59%. The final cost of EPA recovery from processes i.e. biomass production, the extraction-esterification and purification shared 43%, 53% and 4%, respectively. It can be seen that the biomass productivity stage always contributed significantly to the overall cost and it was suggested that one option to reduce cost was to enhance biomass productivity with a better design of photobioreactor. The determination of cultivation system types, either open or close could dictate the production cost. Chisti (2007) evaluated the annual production cost of 100 tons of algal biomass from the raceway pond and photobioreactor systems where it was shown that one kilogram of biomass cost 2.95 \$ kg⁻¹ in the photobioreactor and 3.80 \$ kg⁻¹ in the raceway pond. This was because volumetric productivity of photobioreactor could be as high as 13 folds when compared with the race way system. The size of the culture system can also affect the production cost. Issarapayup et al. (2009) demonstrated that the cultivation of Haematococcus pluvialis in the 90 L flat panel airlift photobioreactor under indoor condition (for 18 g of alga) could save 21\$ when compared with the similar 17 L system. There are options for minimizing production cost, such as using outdoor culture, reused medium, wastewater medium and choosing suitable strain and so on.

Electricity cost could be significant if the culture system is indoor. López-Elías et al. (2005) cultured *Chaetoceros muelleri* under outdoor condition using sunlight as light source and reported that the outdoor cultivation for the production of biomass 1 kg of DW cost 2.5 folds less than the indoor cultivation. Using reused medium could reduce nutrients cost but often is reported to lower the growth. For instance, the indoor cultivation of *Scenedesmus* sp. grown with the reused BG 11 medium after one cycle saw an 8% reduction in the growth yield compared with the growth using the fresh medium. However, adding some fresh medium could help maintain high the growth where 20% or 50% supplementation of fresh medium could lead to

an increase in the growth yield of about 10-11%. This could be due to the remaining of some effective substances like glucose and ferrous ions (Kim et al., 2011). In addition, using wastewater as a nutrient source under outdoor condition could be more economical, particularly for mixotrophic algal strains. *Chlamydomonas globosa, Chlorella minutissima* and *Scenedesmus bijuga* have been reported to be able to grow in untreated carpet industry (Chinnasamy et al., 2010), and *Chlorella minutissima* grown in spent sea water from nuclear power plant (Oh et al., 2010). If the location of outdoor cultivation is on subtropical zone, the selection thermal tolerant cell could save cost of cooling system. (Ong et al., 2010) found that mutant of *Chlorella* sp. MT-15 grown under high temperature over 40°C better than *Chlorella* sp. (wide type).

Table2.8 Advantages and disadvantages of monitoring methods (Meireles et al.,2002)

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages
Oxygen evolution	- Measuring directly from	- Probes are vulnerable in long term
(Dissolved oxygen)	the photosynthesis reaction	operation.
		- Relationship between DO and biomass
		concentration can be complicating.
		- Sterilization of biosensor is difficult.
Optical density	- non-invasive biomass	- OD does not correlate linearly with
	production	biomass in the whole growth range.
	- easy to set up	- fouling by dead cell could pose a
		problem.

Chapter III

Materials and methods

3.1 Flow chart of work



Figure 3.1 Connection between the various stages of experiments carried out in this work

Figure 3.1 delineates the connections between the various stages of experiments designed for this work and the various designs and operating conditions were to be optimized. Principally, the work was divided into 3 parts. The first part was to cultivate the alga in indoor and scale up to the 100L outdoor flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAPs). The second part was to study effect of CO_2 and pH on growth of *S. armatus* with 100 L of FPAPs where CO_2 concentrations and pH level were examined. The third part was to propose a novel large scale airlift system without the separator with two models as non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs) and non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs).

3.2 Culture medium preparation (Chapter IV, V, and VI)

Green microalga, *Scenedesmus armatus* TISTR 8591, obtained from Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research (TISTR), was cultivated with BG11 medium (see compositions in Table 3.1). The incubation was carried out in 2 L clear glass bottles at room temperature, surface light intensity of about 10 kLux (light was supplied with four compact 20W fluorescent lamps), for 4 days. This culture was used an inoculum for indoor cultivation.

The 2 L inoculum was then up-scaled in a 30 L indoor flat panel airlift photobioreactor made from clear acrylic plastic with the dimension of $35\times40\times40$ (WxLxH) cm³. The illumination was given by eight 20W compact fluorescent lamps with the surface light intensity of about 10 kLux. The aeration was supplied using an air compressor (LP 100, Resun®, China) with the aeration rate fixed at 0.2 vvm (6 L min⁻¹). Finally, the inoculum was transferred to large scale airlift photobioreactors.

3.3 Experimental setup

3.3.1 Design for indoor cultivation (Chapter IV)

3.3.1.1 Light intensity and temperature control chamber

The experiments were carried out using 6 bottles of 2 L glass bubble column where were placed in a temperature and light intensity controlled chamber. The control chamber includes twelve compact fluorescence light bulbs (20 Watts) as a light source and a temperature control devices. The temperature was controlled using an evaporative cooling system and heater system (Figure 3.2). The surface light intensity was adjusted by moving light bulb on acrylic sheet into or out from the surface of the photobioreactor. Air was supplied through calibration rotameters to a porous sparger in the range of velocity from 0.5-1.5 cm s⁻¹ at the bottom of the bubble columns. Light intensity and temperature were in the range from 28-40°C and 10-30 klux.

Compositions	Concentration
	(gram per liter of deionized water, g $L^{^{-1}}$)
NaNO ₃	15
Add 100 mL of stock (1)	solution per liter of fresh water
K ₂ HPO ₄	4
MgSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	7.5
CaCl ₂ .2H ₂ O	3.6
Citric acid	0.6
Ammonium ferric citrate	0.6
EDTANa ₂	0.1
Na ₂ CO ₃	2.0
Add 10 mL each of stock (1)-	(9) solution per liter of fresh water
Trace metal solution:	
H ₃ BO ₃	2.86
MnCl ₂ .4H ₂ O	1.81
ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	0.22
Na ₂ MoO ₄ .2H ₂ O	0.39
CuSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	0.08
Co(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O	0.05
Add 1 mL of stock (9) s	olution per liter of fresh water
	NaNO3 $Add 100 mL of stock (1)$ K_2HPO_4 $MgSO_4.7H_2O$ $CaCl_2.2H_2O$ $CaCl_2.2H_2O$ $Citric acid$ Ammonium ferric citrate $EDTANa_2$ Na_2CO_3 $Add 10 mL each of stock (1)$ -Trace metal solution: H_3BO_3 $MnCl_2.4H_2O$ $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$ $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ $Co(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$ $Add 1 mL of stock (9) stock (1)$

Table3.1 Composition of BG11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971)

3.3.1.2 Indoor cultivation

A 17 L flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP) was made from clear acrylic plastic with the dimension of 20x20x50 (WxLxH) cm³ as shown in Figure 3.3. The separator plate, located 10 cm from the column bottom, was used to separate the reactor to riser and downcomer. The ratio of downcomer cross sectional area (A_d) and riser cross sectional area (A_r) was set at 1.5. The illumination was given by four 20W compact fluorescent lamps with the surface light intensity of about 10,000 Lux. The aeration was supplied using an air compressor (LP 100, Resun®, China) through three porous spargers with velocity of 1 cm s⁻¹.



Figure 3.2 Experimental set up in temperature and light intensity controlled chamber



Figure 3.3 Schematic of 17 L flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP)

3.3.2 Photobioreactor design for outdoor cultivation (Chapter IV, V, and VI)

Three configurations of airlift photobioreactor were chosen for microalgal cultivation, i.e. flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAPs), non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs), and non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs). The components of FPAP were made from clear acrylic with the dimension as shown in Figure 3.4, i.e. wall thickness of 8 mm, 100 cm height, 120 cm length, and 20 cm width. The separator plate, located 8 cm from the column bottom, was used to separate the reactor to riser and downcomer. The ratio of area of downcomer (A_d) and riser (A_r) was set at 1.5. NB-FPAPs were made from fiber glass with the following dimension: 80 cm height, 100 cm length, and different widths, i.e. 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm, where the bottom is sloped 30° with horizontal plane (Figure 3.5). The NB-CAPs were made from clear acrylic sheet. The configurations of NB-CAPs were shown in Figure 3.6, having the diameter of 100 cm, the height of 80 cm, and the wall thickness of 8 mm where the slope of the bottom sheet varies from 30, 45 and 53° . Air flow was supplied through rotameter (Dwyer series RMA RATE-MASTER $^{
m extsf{B}}$ Flowmeter) to a porous gas sparger. The light intensity and temperature were measured and recorded (HOBO® Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger, United States).

> จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University



Figure 3.4 Schematic of flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP)

CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY



Figure 3.5 Schematic of non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs)





3.4 Microalgae cultivation

3.4.1 Effect of temperature (Chapter IV)

- Fill 2 L freshwater into all glass bubble columns and sterilize with steam (Autoclave) at 121°C for 15 min
- 2. Add the nutrients and inoculum at initial cells concentration 10×10^4 cells mL⁻¹
- 3. Control air temperature constant at 30±0.5 °C with a temperature controller
- 4. Adjust light intensity to approximately 10 kLux
- 5. Supply sterilized compressed air (treat with the air filter size 0.2 μ m) through a porous gas sparger and control superficial gas velocity at 1 cm s⁻¹
- 6. Take samples once a day (add fresh water into the photobioreactor by the water level was beginning before taking samples) and count for the cell density using haemacytometer
- 7. Harvest cells from all bubble columns when the culture reaches its stationary phase
- 8. Separate cells from water by centrifuge at a speed of 4,000 rpm for 15 min
- 9. Remove residual medium in the algal slurries by freeze and dryer machine $(0.024 \text{ bar and } -40^{\circ}\text{C})$ for a period of 2-3 days
- 10. Analyze for lipid, protein, carbohydrates, moisture and ash in the dry biomass
- 11. Calculate the specific growth rate using Equation 3.8 and the specific productivity using Equation 3.9
- 12. Repeat Steps 1 to 11, but change the temperature in Step 3 to 35 °C and 40°C, respectively

3.4.2 Effect of light intensity (Chapter IV)

- 1. Repeat Experiments in Section 3.4.1 with the optimal temperature
- 2. Change the light intensity from 10 to 15-30 klux

3.4.3 Effect of superficial gas velocity (Chapter IV)

- 1. Repeat Experiments in Section 3.4.1 with the optimal temperature and light intensity
- 2. Change the superficial velocity from 1 to 0.5 and 1.5 cm s⁻¹

3.4.4 Batch indoor culture (Chapter IV)

- 1. Fill 17 L freshwater into FPAPs
- 2. Sterilize freshwater with sodium dichloroisocyanurate (1.7 g/tablet)
- 3. Supply air through the porous sparger at the bottom of reactor for 24 h at u_{sg} 1 cm s⁻¹
- 4. Check for residual chlorine with potassium iodide, and if chlorine is exhausted, the water sample is clear, otherwise a yellow solution is formed.
- 5. Add the nutrients and inoculum at initial cells concentration 40×10^4 cells mL⁻¹
- 6. Take sample 10 mL of culture and filter through GF/C filter (47 mm of diameter, Whatman), wash with distilled water, and dry to the final weight at 80°C for two days at a once a day frequency until the stationary growth was reached.
- 7. Harvest cells when the culture reaches its stationary phase
- Separate cells from residual medium by centrifugal machine at a speed of 4,000 rpm for 15 min
- 9. Dewater from algal cake by freeze dryer machine (0.024 bar and -40 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$ for 2-3 days
- 10. Analyze for lipid, protein, carbohydrates, moisture and ash in the dry biomass
- 11. Calculate the specific growth rate using Equation 3.8 and the specific productivity using Equation 3.9

3.4.5 Outdoor cultivation (Chapter IV, V, VI)

3.4.5.1 Batch culture (Chapter IV, V, and VI)

- 1. Fill 100 L freshwater into FPAPs
- 2. Sterilize freshwater with sodium dichloroisocyanurate (1.7 g/tablet)
- 3. Supply air through the porous sparger at the bottom of reactor for 24 h
- 4. Check for residual chlorine with potassium iodide, and if chlorine is exhausted, the water sample is clear, otherwise a yellow solution is formed.
- 5. Add the nutrients and inoculum at initial concentration 40×10^4 cells mL⁻¹
- 6. Supply air at aeration rate in the range of 0.1-0.3 vvm (0.18-0.52 cm s⁻¹) and record light intensity and temperature
- 7. Take sample 40 mL of culture and filter through GF/C filter (47 mm of diameter, Whatman), wash with distilled water, and dry to the final weight at 80°C for two days at a once a day frequency until the stationary growth was reached.
- 8. Harvest cells when the culture reaches its stationary phase
- 9. Separate cells from residual medium by continuous centrifugation (7,392xg) (Alfa Laval DX203B-34, Spain)
- 10. Remove residual water from algal cake by freeze dryer machine (0.024 bar and -40° C) for 2-3 days
- 11. Analyze for lipid, protein, carbohydrates, moisture and ash in the dry biomass
- 12. Calculate the specific growth rate using Equation 3.8, the specific productivity using Equation 3.9 and nitrogen uptake using Equation 3.12
- 13. Repeat Steps 1–12 with NB-CAPs configurations with air flow rate 0.1-0.3 vvm

3.4.5.2 Cultivation with air and carbon dioxide mixture (Chapter V)

- 1. Repeat steps 1-5 in Section 3.4.5.1
- 2. Sparge a mixture of air flow rate of 0.2 vvm and CO_2 in the concentrations of 2, 5 and 15% by volume of aeration rate (20 L min-1) for the dark:light period of 12:12 (Light:dark)
- 3. Repeat steps 7-11 in Section 3.4.5.1
- Calculate the specific growth rate using Equation 3.8, the specific productivity using Equation 3.9, carbon dioxide fixation using Equation 3.10, carbon dioxide utilization efficiency using Equation 3.11 and nitrogen uptake using Equation 3.12

3.4.5.3 Cultivation with controlled pH (Chapter V)

- 1. Repeat steps 1-5 in Section 3.4.5.1
- 2. Supply air at aeration rate of 0.2 vvm
- 3. Control pH in medium at the set point (pH_{sp}) of 7±0.5 and 7.7±0.5 with pH controller
- 4. Supply pure CO₂ at the flow rate of 400 mL min⁻¹ (2%CO₂ by vol.) mixed with air when pH was higher than pH_{sp} .
- 5. Repeat steps 7-11 in Section 3.4.5.1
- 6. Repeat steps 4 in Section 3.4.5.2

3.4.5.4 Effect of width and height of reactor (Chapter VI)

- 1. Fill freshwater into the NB-FPAP with width of reactor 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm at the medium height range of 40, 50 and 60 cm
- 2. Repeat steps 2-5 in Section 3.4.5.1
- 3. Supply air at aeration rate of 0.2 vvm

- 4. Repeat steps 7-12 in Section 3.4.5.1
- 5. Repeat steps 1-4 with NB-CAPs at the medium height 75 cm

3.5 Online growth monitoring of S. armatus

Biomass concentration was measured by an optical density technique. The culture was continuously drawn out of the photobioreactor using centrifugal pump (3-MD-SC, Little Gaint Pump Company, United States) and flown through the sensor before recirculated back to the bioreactor (Figure 3.7). The light sensor consisted of the near-infrared LED and photo-transmitter. The output voltage signals were recorded at every 10 min interval with data logger and this voltage was then inversely proportional to the pre-calibrated biomass concentration.



Figure 3.7 Experiment setup with non-invasive turbidity cell measurement device

3.6 Determination of virtual riser and downcomer cross-section area in NB-CAP and NB-FPAP

Sintharm (2013) proposed a method to determine the 'virtual' cross sectional area of riser and downcomer of NB-FPAP and NB-CAP by observing directions of light rope. The experimental setup is displayed in Figure 3.8. Air was supplied through rotameter to a porous gas sparger at a desired flow rate and a light rope bar was put into the water at varying positions. If the rope flow up show that, this point is defined in riser zone if the rope flow down, this point is downcomer.

The estimate of the riser and downcomer cross section area depended on the size of riser obtained from experiment. The shape of riser was assumed to be ring cylinder for the circle column NB-CAPs and rectangular cylinder for NB-FPAPs.

For circular column with NB-CAPs, the riser cross section area (A_r) and downcomer cross sectional area (A_d) are

$$A_r = \pi R_r^2 \tag{3.1}$$

 A_d = Area of column – Area of riser (3.2)

where R_r was obtained from the experiment.

For NB-FPAPs, the riser cross section area (A_r) and downcomer cross sectional area (A_d) are

$$A_r = W_r \times L \tag{3.3}$$

$$A_{d} = W_{d} \times L \tag{3.4}$$

where the width of riser (W_r) was known from the experiment and the width of downcomer (W_d) is estimated from:

$$W_d = \text{width of NB-FPAP} - W_r - W_{rd} - W_{dr}$$
(3.5)

where

Α	=	cross section area (cm^2)
R	=	radius (cm)
W	=	width of section (cm)
L	=	length of contactor (cm)

and the subscript

r	=	riser
d	=	downcomer
rd	=	rd cross flow ($ ightarrow$)
dr	=	dr cross flow (\leftarrow)

3.7 Determination of downcomer liquid velocity in NB-CAP and NB-FPAP

The liquid velocity in downcomer was estimated by the color tracer injection method where the time in which the tracer used to move at fixed vertical distances was used for the calculation:

$$v_d = \frac{L_d}{t_d} \tag{3.6}$$

where

- v = downcomer liquid velocity (cm s⁻¹)
- L = fixed vertical distances in downcomer (cm)

t = time for the tracer used for moving in downcomer (s)



Figure 3.8 Experimental setup of finding A_d , A_r and downcomer liquid velocity

3.8 Analyses and Calculations

3.8.1 Determination of cell concentration

The cell concentration can be determined using a normal blood cell counting slide, Haemacytometer. The depth of the counting grid and the medium area are 0.1 mm and 0.04 mm^2 , respectively. The cell concentration can be determined as follows:

- 1. Clean the counting slide and cover glass
- 2. Fill the slide with sample
- 3. Cover the slide with cover glass, avoid of bubbles
- 4. Count the cell in 25 medium squares on the grid (per 1 large square)
- 5. Calculate the cells number, using Equation 3.7:

$$N = \frac{1}{4} x \frac{n}{25} x 10^6 \tag{3.7}$$

where

N = cells concentration (cells mL⁻¹)

3.8.2 Determination of specific growth rate

The specific growth rate for batch cultivation is obtained from the slope of plot between the natural logarithm of biomass concentration during the exponential phase and cultivation time as follows:

$$\mu = \frac{\ln(X_2) - \ln(X_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\mu = \text{specific growth rate } (d^{-1})$$

$$X_1 = \text{biomass concentration at } t_1 (g L^{-1})$$

$$X_2 = \text{biomass concentration at } t_2 (g L^{-1})$$

$$t_1 = \text{first sampling time } (d)$$

$$t_2 = \text{second sampling time } (d)$$

3.8.3 Determination of specific biomass productivity

The specific biomass productivity is calculated from the overall growth period, which represents the average growth of the culture as follows:

$$SP = \frac{X_f - X_i}{t_f - t_i} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$SP$$
 = specific biomass productivity (g L⁻¹d⁻¹)

 X_i = initial biomass concentration (g L⁻¹)

$$X_f$$
 = final biomass concentration (g L⁻¹)

 t_i = initial time cultivation (d)

$$t_f$$
 = final time cultivation (d)

3.8.4 Determination of carbon dioxide fixation

Carbon dioxide fixation is calculated from the relationship between the carbon content of the cells and the specific biomass productivity as follows:

$$R_{CO_2} = C_C * SP * \frac{MW_{CO_2}}{MW_C}$$
(3.10)

and, carbon dioxide utilization efficiency can be calculated from

%CO₂ untilization efficiency =
$$\frac{R_{CO_2}}{CO_2}$$
 * 100 (3.11)

where

 R_{CO2} = carbon dioxide fixation (g L⁻¹ day⁻¹) Cc = percent of carbon in biomass (%) MW_{CO2} = molecular weight of CO₂ MW_{C} = molecular weight of Carbon

3.8.5 Determination of nitrate concentration

The remaining nitrate concentration (mg NO_3 -N L⁻¹) in the medium after the removal of algal cells was measured daily with Ultraviolet spectrophometric screening method (Greenberg et al., 1992) using spectrophotometer (Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, Australia) at wavelength of 220 and 275 nm. The methods are shown in Appendix.

Specific nitrogen uptake was calculated from:

$$N_{uptake} = \frac{N_i - N_f}{X_f - X_i}$$
(3.12)

where

 N_{uptake} = the nitrogen uptake (mg N g cell⁻¹)

 N_i = the initial nitrate concentration in medium (mg NO₃-N L⁻¹)

 N_f = the final nitrate concentration in medium (mg NO₃-N L⁻¹)

3.8.6 Biochemical compositions analysis

The carbon content including nitrogen and hydrogen of *Scenedesmus armatus* was measured using CHNS/O Analyzer (Perkin Elmer PE2400 Series II). Lipid was extracted by chloroform and methanol (2:1 by volume) with soxhlet apparatus. Fatty acids contents were carried out by AOAC 996.06 method (AOAC, 2010) with GC analysis (6890 Algilent). Protein was determined by multiply nitrogen content (from the ultimate test) with the conversion factor of 4.44 (López et al., 2010). The moisture content in biomass was determined by heating at temperature at 105 °C for 2 h and then ash content was measured at 750°C for 6 h (ASTM D 1762-84). Carbohydrate was calculated from the remaining weight after the subtraction of lipid, protein, ash and moisture.

3.8.7 Determination of sugar content

Biomass (0.5 g) was hydrolyzed with 0.75% H_2SO_4 (25 mL). Suspension was heated at 180 °C for 180 min (Phuklang, 2013). Sugar content was measured by HPLC analysis (Shimadzu, LC-20AD, Japan) and compared with standard solutions. HPLC was operated with a Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H column (7.8 mm I.D. x 300 mm Length) and a refractive index detector (Shimadzu, RID-10A, Japan) at temperature of 45°C and 0.6 mL min⁻¹ flow of 5 mM sulfuric acid.

3.8.8 Light unit conversion (Thimijan and Heins, 1983)

- Cool-white fluorescent

 $[\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}] = [Lux] / 74$

 $[W m^{-2}] = [\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}]/4.59$ - Sunlight $[\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}] = [Lux]/54$ $[W m^{-2}] = [\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}]/4.57$

3.8.9 Determination of the average daily medium temperature and surface energy

The average daily medium temperature was calculated from the average of the sum of the maximum and minimum temperatures as follows:

$$T_m = \frac{T_{max} + T_{min}}{2}$$
(3.13)

and the daily average surface energy of one square metre (MJ $m^{-2}d^{-1}$) was obtained from the integration over time of solar irradiance as follows:

$$I_{w,m} = \int_{0}^{24} I_{w} dt$$
 (3.14)

where T_m is the average medium temperature (°C), T_{max} the maximum medium temperature (°C), T_{min} the minimum medium temperature (°C), $I_{w,m}$ the daily average surface energy (MJ m⁻²d⁻¹) and I_w the solar irradiance (w m⁻²).

3.8.10 Unit of aeration

The unit of aeration in this work was reported in both aeration rate (vvm) and superficial gas velocity, u_{sg} (cm s⁻¹) as follows:

- vvm (gas volume per liquid volume per minute)

$$[vvm] = Air flow rate (L min-1)/ Volume of liquid (L)$$

$$- u_{sg}$$

[cm s⁻¹] = Air flow rate (L min⁻¹) *1000/area of riser (cm²)/60

3.9 Statistical analysis

All results were reported as mean values \pm SD of triplicate tests. Each of effect was compared using one-way ANOVA at level of p<0.05 followed by Tukey's test.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

Chapter IV

Growth characteristics of indoor and outdoor cultures of *Scenedesmus armatus*

Scenedesmus armatus biomass contains high protein and carbohydrate contents that are suitable for aquatic fishery and bio-ethanol fuel industries. Feasibility of the system scale up needs to be evaluated based on indoor and outdoor growth characteristics. This includes the information on growth rate, biochemical compositions, and seasonal variations on outdoor cultures, all of which are discussed in this chapter.

4.1 Effect of light intensity, temperature and aeration rate on biomass production

S. armatus was cultivated under controlled light intensity and temperature chamber with 2L bubble column made of clear glass bottles, for 7 days (Figurer 4.1). The temperature, light intensity and superficial gas velocity were examined in the range of $28-40^{\circ}$ C, 10-40 kLux and 0.5-1.5 cm s⁻¹, respectively with initial inoculum cell concentration of 10×10^{4} cells mL⁻¹ (~0.03 g L⁻¹). Final cell concentration, specific biomass productivity and specific growth rate obtained from all culture conditions are presented in Table 4.1.

The average final cell concentration, specific biomass productivity and specific growth rate increased significantly with temperature from 28 to $30-35^{\circ}$ C. Cell died immediately from the thermal effect at temperature above 40° C. Optimal cultivation temperature for *Scenedesmus* species such as *Scenedesmus obtusiusculus* was reported at 35° C (Cabello et al., 2015).

The average final biomass concentration and specific biomass productivity decreased clearly when light intensity increased from 10 to 40 kLux whereas specific growth rates did not significantly differ from each other. Increasing of light intensity above 10 kLux could be photoinhibiting. Gris et al. (2014) suggested that the
maximum growth rate of *Scenedesmus obliquus* occurred at 150 μ mole m⁻² s⁻¹ (11,100 Lux) whereas cell concentration and specific growth rate decreased with increasing light intensity of 200-1,000 μ mole m⁻² s⁻¹ due to reach saturation point of photosynthesis.

Biomass production increased when superficial gas velocity (u_{sg}) increased from 0.5 to 1.0 cm s⁻¹ and dropped significantly with increasing u_{sg} to 1.5 cm s⁻¹. This might be due to bubble shading where a large swam of bubbles could obstruct light penetration and prevent effective light utilization of the cell culture.



Figure 4.1 *S. armatus* cultivation in temperature and light intensity controlled chamber

Parameter	u _{sg}	Light	Temperature	Final biomass	specific biomass	Specific
	(cm s ⁻¹)	intensity	(°C)	concentration	productivity	growth rate
		(kLux)		(g L ⁻¹)	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$	(d ⁻¹)
Temperature						
	1	10	28	0.42±0.05 ^b	57.9±8.1 ^b	0.32±0.02 ^b
	1	10	30	0.70±0.08 ^a	97.7±10.7 ^a	0.45±0.05 ^b
	1	10	35	0.75±0.07 ^a	106±6.6 ^a	0.63±0.07 ^a
	1	10	40	dead	-	-
Light intensity	/			122		
	1	10	35	0.75±0.07 ^a	106±6.6 ^a	0.63±0.07 ^a
	1	20	35	0.48±0.05 ^b	66.9±4.8 ^b	0.69±0.05 ^a
	1	30	35	0.49±0.05 ^b	68.5±1.0 ^b	0.61±0.07 ^a
	1	40	35	0.46±0.04 ^b	64.4±3.5 ^b	0.59±0.04 ^a
U _{sg}				No.		
	0.5	10	35	0.63±0.03 ^a	87.8±4.0 ^b	0.58±0.04 ^{a,b}
	1	10	35	0.75±0.07 ^a	106±6.6 ^a	0.63±0.07 ^a
	1.5	10	35	0.54±0.09 ^a	75.6±2.0 ^b	0.44±0.02 ^b

Table4.1 Final biomass concentration, specific biomass productivity and specific growth rate of *S. armatus* indoor cultivation for 7 days

a, b are the significant level of differences (for each parameter) of the results obtained from ANOVA and Tukey Method (p<0.05)

All these results suggested that the optimum of temperature, light intensity and u_{sg} were 35°C, 10 kLux and 1 cm s⁻¹, respectively, to provide the highest *S*. *armatus* biomass production. Biochemical compositions of *S*. *armatus* under optimal condition are illustrated in Table 4.2 compared with other species. Due to the relatively high biomass productivity and also biochemical values, the productivities of the various nutrients are relatively high. It is the aim of this section to investigate whether the cultivation technique could further improve the productivity of such biomass and these nutrients and the results are illustrated in the following subsections.

Species	Light	Temperature	Incubation	Volume	specific	Lipid	Protein	Carbohydrate	Reference
	intensity	(°C)	time (d)	(L)	biomass	content	content	content	(Year)
	(Lux)				productivity	(%w/w)	(%w/w)	(%w/w)	
					$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$				
S.cenedesmus	23,680	35	6.7	2	970	14.2	52.4	21.3	Cabello et
obtusiusculus									al. (2015)
Scenedesmus	6,000	25±1	28	5	18.54±0.11	20.83±2.3	10.22±1.76	37.09±1.48	Basu et al.
obliquus SA1									(2014)
Scenedesmus	13,320	25±1	14	0.8	60.7	15.15	-	-	Tang et al.
obliquus									(2011)
SJTU-3									
S. armatus	10,000	35±0.5	7	2	106±6.8	21.7±1.0	32.9±2.6	45.4±1.6	This work
			11 11 11		anna an				

Table4.2 Biomass productivity of Scenedesmus culture and its biochemical contents

4.2 Indoor cultivation

Flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAP) was selected for a large scale cultivation of S. armatus due to easy setup, maintenance, and scale up. The 17 L FPAP cultivation was conducted with the conditions as reported in Section 4.1, i.e. u_{sg} 1 cm s⁻¹ and surface light intensity 10 kLux. Temperature was not controlled, but the indoor temperature (air conditioned) only varied within a small range of $28-30^{\circ}$ C (Figure 4.2). Figure 4.3 illustrates growth characteristics of S. armatus where biomass rose twofold in one day, after that, cells entered the exponential phase until Day 6 where the stationary phase was reached. The average final biomass concentration, specific biomass productivity and specific growth rate were 0.59 ± 0.03 g L⁻¹ 70.4±9.6 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ and 0.21 ± 0.01 d⁻¹, respectively. The growth characteristics under this condition were quite similar to those with controlled temperature at in the range of 28-30°C as reported in Section 4.1, however, with a lower specific growth. This was probably due to the higher initial cell density that was employed in the culture. This was inevitable as the culture was not properly sterilized as a higher initial cell density was required to minimize the contamination. However, this high initial cell density (~ 0.1 g L^{-1}) could lead to the self-shading effect where a dense cell blocked the light penetration. All biochemical contents were also similar to those obtained from smaller scale cultivations (2 L), i.e. lipid, protein and carbohydrate contents were 22.1 ± 0.3 , 32.0 ± 0.5 and $45.9\pm0.8\%$ w/w, respectively. These results confirmed that *S. armatus* could grow reasonably well in a larger cultivation system, and that the configuration of FPAP was effective for the growth of such alga.

In the next section, the outdoor cultivation of microalgae was investigated to see if this FPAP system could be further extended to a large-scale system that could reduce the operating cost and electricity consumption. The effect of environmental uncertainties would be examined.



Figure 4.2 S. armatus indoor cultultivation in 17 L of FPAP



Figure 4.3 Growth characteristics of S. armatus from indoor cultivation with 17 L FPAP

4.3 Large scale outdoor cultivation

4.3.1 Flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAP)

Issarapayup et al. (2009) proved that the extending of length of flat panel airlift photobioreactor did not affect the growth behavior and hydrodynamic properties of the FPAP system. This led to a special design in this work where FPAPs were expanded in length from 20 cm to 120 cm to obtain the volume as required (the total working volume of 100L in this case). The aeration rate or aerating superficial velocity, u_{sg} , was examined again in order to evaluate the effect of aeration in the large scale reactor (Figure 4.4). Light intensity and medium temperature profiles of three experiments are illustrated in Figure 4.5. It is noted that in one of the experiments, the weather condition was disturbed by rainy and clouds throughout the cultivation period (Round dot) with an average maximum light intensity of only 105,840 Lux and the average maximum/minimum medium temperature of $32/26^{\circ}$ C, whereas all other experiments were conducted with the average maximum light intensity and average maximum/minimum medium temperature of 189,000 Lux and $41/29^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The fluctuating weather condition could cause high variety in growth characteristics. Figure 4.6 illustrates the growth under various u_{sp} , where the initial biomass concentration was 0.03 g L⁻¹. Cells gradually grew and became constant at Day 7. The results reveal that growth rates at u_{sg} of 0.35 cm s⁻¹ were similar to that at u_{sg} of 0.52 cm s⁻¹ where the specific biomass productivity was around 41.7±6.6 to 48.3±8.4 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹. However, the growth rate decreased significantly when u_{sg} decreased to 0.18 cm s⁻¹ where the specific biomass productivity was productivity went down to 25.6±6.6 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹. At this condition, cell precipitation and surface adhesion were observed. Therefore, aeration with u_{sg} at 0.35 cm s⁻¹ was concluded to be most suitable that could induce adequate cell circulation.



Figure4.4 S. armatus outdoor cultivation in 100 L of FPAP



Figure4.5 Light intensity and medium temperature profiles of three outdoor experiments in FPAP



Figure 4.6 Growth characteristic of *S. armutus* under outdoor cultivation in FPAP with various u_{sg}

In addition, biochemical contents in biomass under outdoor cultivation were not different from those obtained from the small scale indoor cultivation (Figure 4.7). This could be due to that fact that the medium temperature of outdoor culture was similar to the indoor temperature (30-35°C). Although the outdoor culture was exposed to high light intensity, this took place for a short period of time, and this was compensated by the 24 hour constant indoor temperature. The outdoor culture, however, suffered from the low productivity which might be due to the varying environmental conditions. Similar finding on poor outdoor growth is also reported by Wang et al. (2014) who compared the growth, fatty acid and protein contents of *Chlorella ellipsoidea* from indoor to outdoor cultures, and found that growth rate and biochemical contents under large scale outdoor cultivation were lower than small scale indoor cultivation due to lower temperature, poor irradiance and short photoperiod.



Figure 4.7 Biochemical compositions with various cultured scales

4.3.1 Effect of seasons on S. armatus growth characteristic

Due to uncontrolled the environment of outdoor condition, Thailand has official three seasons, i.e. summer (mid- February to mid- May), rainy season (mid- May to mid-October) and winter (mid- October to mid-February) (<u>http://www.tmd.go.th</u>). In this section, the effect of seasonal change was studied on growth of *S. armatus* and biochemical contents.

Figure 4.8 illustrates light intensity and medium temperature profiles where, in summer (Figure 4.8 A-B), the maximum light intensity and medium temperature were approximately 216,000 Lux and 38-42°C and the minimum medium temperature was 29-31°C. In rainy season (Figure 4.8 C-D), the maximum light intensity could be as high as 216,000 Lux but mostly in the range of 54,000-81,000 Lux. Due to cloud shades and rain, the maximum and minimum medium temperatures were in the range of 34-39°C and 28-29°C. In winter (Figure 4.8 E-F), the maximum light intensity was around 162,000 Lux where the maximum and minimum medium temperatures were 34-37°C and 25-29°C. Daily average surface energy and medium temperature are depicted in Table 4.3. In general, summer provided the highest average surface energy and medium temperature while rainy season and winter exhibited similar weather conditions.

Figure 4.9 displays the growth rates of *S. armatus* with batch culture cultivated through the three seasons where the initial biomass density was set at a higher level, 0.08 g L⁻¹, in order to shorten the cultivation time. Similar growth characteristics were obtained from the cultivations in rainy season and winter where the microalga spent one day of lag phase and reached stationary phase within the fifth day. The growth performance in the rainy season was slightly better than in winter where the final biomass concentration, specific growth rate and specific biomass productivity in the rainy season were 0.29±0.03 g L⁻¹, 0.29±0.07 d⁻¹ and 45.1±10.8 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹, and in winter, 0.27±0.03 g L⁻¹, 0.28±0.04 d⁻¹ and 39.2±8.5 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹. In summer, cells seem to grow better with very short lag phase and a faster growth rate where the final biomass concentration, specific growth rate and specific biomass productivity were 0.37±0.04 g L⁻¹, 0.29±0.02 d⁻¹ and 59.3±6.7 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹.



Figure4.8 Light intensity and medium temperature profiles: Summer (A-B); Rainy season (C-D); Winter (E-F) (triplicate experiment)

Season	Surface energy	Medium temperature
	(MJ m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	(°C)
Summer	25.2±7.8	35.0±2.4
Rainy season	17.7±6.1	32.6±1.7
Winter	18.8±4.1	31.8±2.1

 Table4.3 Average daily surface energy imposed on the FPAPs and the associate

 medium temperature during the cultivation in various seasons



Figure 4.9 Growth characteristics of *S. armatus* from outdoor cultivation

4.3.2 Typical biochemical compositions

Figure 4.10 illustrates biochemical compositions, i.e. lipid, protein and carbohydrate, in the dry cell of *S. armatus* obtained from the growth at various seasons. The average lipid, protein and carbohydrate were 19.8-22.9%, 36.4-37.8% and 39.6-42.5% w/w where the average specific biochemical productivities are reported in Table 4.4, i.e. lipid 11-14 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$, protein 19-21 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ and carbohydrate 20-23 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$. These results suggest that both biochemical contents and productivities did not statistically vary with changes in ambient conditions examined in this work, unlike previous reports where microalgal biochemical components varied significantly with seasons (Carlozzi and Sacchi, 2001, Olofsson et al., 2012). This was possibly because the local annual changes in weather condition as summarized in Table 4.3 were not adequate to cause notable changes in the cell culture characteristics.



Figure 4.10 Biochemical compositions in S.armatus

Season	Biomass	Lipid	Protein	Carbohydrate
	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$
Summer	59.3±6.7 ^a	11.1±2.2 ^a	21.1±4.9 ^a	23.4±2.5 [°]
Rainy	45.1±10.8 ^a	11.5±2.1 [°]	19.0±6.0 [°]	21.1±5.8 [°]
season				
Winter	39.2±8.5 [°]	13.9±6.0 [°]	19.5±8.9 [°]	20.1±7.5 [°]

Table4.4 Specific biomass and biochemical productivities from *S.armatus* cultivatedin this work

a is the insignificant level of differences (for each parameter) of the results obtained from ANOVA and Tukey Method (p>0.05)

4.4 Concluding remarks

S. armatus was proven to be able to grow in large scale outdoor systems at all tropical seasons which indicated that cells could tolerate high light intensity and relatively high temperature. The resulting biomass productivity was not as good as the indoor culture but the outdoor system benefits from the lower operating costs and the economy of scale. Table 4.5 illustrates the total cost of the production of 1 kg dry biomass. The total costs of FPAP (100 L) outdoor cultivation were around 215.77 $US \text{ kg}^{-1}$ (6,904 THB kg⁻¹) whereas the small scale indoor cultivation cost about 867-1,064 $US \text{ kg}^{-1}$ (27,744 - 34,048 THB kg⁻¹). The major cost of indoor cultivation was electricity cost (lighting and air compressor) which was around 90% of the overall cost, whereas 80% of the outdoor cost was from nutrient. In the next chapter, the enhancement of biomass production by providing additional carbon sources such as CO₂ is investigated.

Character		Cost (\$ kg ⁻¹)	
	2 L (indoor)	17 L (indoor)	100 L (outdoor)
Operating cost			
Nutrient charge	52.92 (5.38%)	67.27 (8.56%)	124.02 (82.87%)
Lighting	756.00 (77.00%)	678.36 (86.00%)	0 (0%)
Air compressor	175.0 (17.78%)	39.26 (5.00%)	26.61 (16.44%)
Tap water	0.44 (0.04%)	0.56 (0.07%)	1.03 (0.69%)
Total operating cost	984.35 (100%)	785.45 (100%)	149.66
Fixed cost*			
Reactor	19.98 (25.08%)	59.75 (73.26%)	63.68 (96.32%)
Compressor	17.31 (21.74%)	3.88 (4.76%)	2.43 (3.68%)
Lamp	39.95 (50.17%)	17.93 (21.98%)	0 (0%)
Temperature controller	2.40 (3.01%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Total fixed cost	79.64 (100%)	81.56 (100%)	66.11 (100%)
Total cost	1,064.00	867.01	215.77

Table4.5 Costs estimation for cultivation of *S. armatus* in indoor and outdoor (basis1kg dry biomass per batch)

Remark: current Thailand rates: 1\$ = 32 THB.

* assume life time of 10 years for glass reactor and compressor, 5 years for acrylic reactor and temperature control system, 1 year for lamp

4.5 Application of FPAP for outdoor cultivation of other algal species

Chaetoceros gracilis is one of the most popular diatoms in Thailand and is used in feeding shrimp larvae. Semi-continuous cultures were selected to examine the effect of initial cell concentration. This experiment was conducted in the 100L FPAPs of C. gracilis in the modified F/2 medium at u_{sg} 3 cm s⁻¹ under the summer condition as specified in Figure 4.11. The maximum cell concentration was found to increase with an increase in initial cell concentration as illustrated in Figure 4.12. The maximum cell concentration of 4.5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ was obtained during the first round of cultivation with an initial cell concentration of 0.1×10^{6} cells mL⁻¹. This was equivalent to a specific productivity of 3.76 $\times 10^4$ cells mL⁻¹ h⁻¹ (2.93 $\times 10^9$ cells h⁻¹) at 117 h. Then the culture was partially harvested at the seventh day and replenished with fresh medium in the predefined volume such that the initial cell concentration for the second round became 1×10^{6} cells mL⁻¹. In this consecutive batch, the maximum cell concentration increased to 7.1 $\times 10^{6}$ cells mL⁻¹ after 96 h of cultivation, with the specific productivity of 6.50×10^4 cells mL⁻¹h⁻¹ (5.59 $\times 10^9$ cells h⁻¹). The culture was thereafter harvested in a similar technique with the same initial cell concentration for the next batch. The third batch could be harvested after three days at the same maximum cell concentration (7.2 $\times 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹), but with a much higher specific productivity of 8.86×10^4 cells mL⁻¹h⁻¹ (8.86×10^9 cells h⁻¹). These results lead to the conclusion that the culture grew better if started with higher cell density as the low density culture could be subjected to light inhibition during the initial stage. This result corresponded well to the reported cultivation of Arthrospira platensis (Carlozzi, 2003) and Tetraselmis chuii (López-Elías et al., 2011).



Figure4.11 Profile of light intensity and temperature



Figure4.12 Growth of C. gracilis in semi-continuous 100 L FPAP

Chapter V

Effect of carbon dioxide and pH on growth rate of S. armatus

This chapter presented how CO_2 and pH could affect the growth of *S. armatus* with 100 L of FPAPs. The algal growth was tested by varying CO_2 in the concentration range of 2 to 15% by volume which was equivalent to CO_2 loading rate of 0.44 to 3.32 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹. The pH was controlled to examine its influence on growth when compared with the cultivation without pH control.

5.1 Effect of CO₂

5.1.1 Growth characteristics

S. armatus cultivations with CO_2 feeding were cultivated for 4 days with an average daily light energy and medium temperature of 23.8±6.1 MJ m⁻² and of 34.5±1.4 °C. It was observed that, in average, *S.armatus* enters its stationary phase after the fourth day of the operation and therefore the results displayed in this work were extracted from the first four days of the cultivation. Figure 5.1 illustrates inorganic species in aqueous as a function of pH. The equilibrium inorganic carbon follows eq. (5.1) where CO_2 and bicarbonate (HCO₃) forms could be up-taken into cells (Markou *et al.,* 2014).



Figure 5.1 Fractions of inorganic carbon in aqueous (Wetzel, 2001)

Figure 5.2 shows daily pH profiles of cultures with various CO_2 concentrations. pH value of the culture without CO_2 feeding (around 0.03% by vol. CO_2) increased steadily from 8.4-8.5 to 10-10.5 during 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM. This is due to the generation of OH⁻ group from the equilibrium and uptake of HCO_3^- and CO_2

(HCO₃ $\stackrel{CA}{\leftrightarrow}$ CO₂+OH) where HCO₃ was enzymatically converted to CO₂ (via carbonic anhydrase, CA) (Markou et al., 2014). pH remained constant after that which corresponded to the period where the growth slowed down, and started to decrease as sunset due to the release of CO₂ from cell respiration. A drop in pH was observed when CO₂ was supplied to system where pH decreased from 7.3-7.5 to 6.40 with an increase in CO₂ concentration from 2 to 15% vol. of the air supply (only during the daytime, 12h duration). This is because the extra CO₂ could not be totally consumed from cell growth and therefore the remaining CO₂ caused the drop in the pH level. In this case, the supply of CO₂ was ceased after 6.00 PM where pH bounced back to 8.5-8.6 due to the stripping of CO₂ to maintain the equilibrium within the system (Valdés et al., 2012). It is noted that, cells release CO₂ during the night time from respiration activity, however, pH value during this time period remained reasonably unchanged at around 8.5-8.6 both without CO₂ and with enriched CO₂. This was due to chemical buffering capacity of the inorganic carbons bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and carbonate (CO₃⁻²) according to the following equations (Wetzel, 2001):

LALONGKORN UNIVERSITY

$$HCO_{3}+H_{2}O \leftrightarrow H_{2}CO_{3}+OH^{-}$$
(5.2)

$$CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \leftrightarrow HCO_3^{-} + OH^{-}$$
 (5.3)

Figure 5.3 illustrates an example of diurnal variation profiles (extracted from Day 2 of the operation) in the medium culture both with and without CO_2 supply to demonstrate how environmental parameters such as light intensity, temperature and pH influenced the algal growth. Cells grew only slightly during 6:00 to 9:00 AM where light intensity was still low. During this period, light intensity only slowly increased from 10,300 to 71,600 Lux, whereas the temperature went up slightly from 29.1 to 30.3° C. The highest growth rate occurred during 9:00 to 3:00 PM where light intensity reached a more proper range and increased up to 240,000 Lux. During this period,

the medium temperature increased from 30.3 to 38.6°C and the biomass concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.20 g L^{-1} with an actual specific growth rate of 0.0757 h^{-1} . The pH value was observed to rise from 9.14 to as high as 11.05 (without CO_2 feeding). When pH is more than pKa₂ (10.33), carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) predominates which cannot be utilized by cells. A better growth was obtained when the culture was aerated with air plus 2% by vol. CO_2 where the biomass reached up to 0.24 g L⁻¹ with an actual growth rate of 0.0839 h^{-1} . Due to the supply of CO₂, the pH value of the culture could be maintained in the range of 7.3 to 7.5 in which HCO_3^{-1} predominates. Biomass concentration gently declined during the night time due to the effect of night loss where percentage of night biomass loss was 20-30%. Figure 5.4 demonstrates the cultivation at a longer period of time (4 days) where similar results were obtained, i.e. the growth rates of S. armatus with 2% CO₂ enriched air substantially increased when compared with those without CO₂. This corresponds to the average final biomass concentration of 0.41 ± 0.04 g L⁻¹ (2% CO₂) and 0.32 ± 0.01 g L^{-1} without CO₂. The difference in cell growth became visible more clearly when the growth rate was further converted to biomass productivity.



Figure 5.2 pH profiles of *S. armatus* cultivated under outdoor condition: CO_2 supply only during the daytime for 12 h with average light energy and medium temperature of 23.8±6.1 MJ m⁻²d⁻¹ and of 34.5±1.4°C



Figure5.3 Diurnal variation profiles in medium culture: (A) Light intensity and medium temperature; (B) pH; (C) biomass concentration



Figure 5.4 Growth characteristics of S. armatus with various CO₂ concentrations

Figure 5.5 illustrates that the average productivities increased from 65.8 (without adding CO₂) to 91.3 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ (with 2% CO₂) with an observed growth rate of 0.0124 to 0.0169 h^{-1} , accordingly. Above 2% CO₂, the average final biomass concentration and the observed specific growth rate slightly declined to 0.356±0.039 g L^{-1} , 0.0143 h^{-1} (at 5% CO₂) and 0.335±0.007 g L^{-1} , 0.0129 h^{-1} (at 15% CO₂), and the average final biomass productivity dropped to 78.0 and 74.5 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$, respectively. At this elevated CO₂ supply level, the uptake rate for CO₂ could not match the supply resulting in a dramatic drop in pH and this could be the main reason for the slight decline in the growth. However, the results still indicated that *S. armatus* could grow reasonably well regardless of CO₂ level and pH condition. A report from Thielmann et al. (1990) who stated that Scenedesmus could take inorganic carbon via two mechanisms, i.e. dissolved CO_2 at pH 5 to 8, and bicarbonate (HCO₃) accumulation at pH 7 to 11 (See Figure 5.2) could well describe this observation as the dissolved CO₂ might take place at low pH (high CO₂ supply) whereas bicarbonate accumulation prevailed at low CO₂ supply. The proposed model for inorganic carbon transport and accumulation in Scenedesmus obliguus can be expressed as illustrated in Figure 5.6. CO₂ is being taken up via two mechanisms, i.e. passive diffusion across

membrane, and active transport inside cells. On the other hand, HCO_3^- can be only taken up by active transport (ATPase) and is converted to CO_2 using carbonic anhydrase (CA) enzyme.

Note that the observed specific growth rate was lower than the actual specific growth rate as this accounted for the cell death and maintenance during the night time where photosynthesis did not take place. The observed specific growth rate was determined from the average daily biomass concentration.



Figure 5.5 Specific biomass productivity of S. armatus from outdoor cultivation



Figure5.6 Schematic model for inorganic carbon transport and accumulation in Scenedesmus obliquus: Diffusion (Df); Active Transport (AT); Carbonic Anhydrase (CA); ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco); transporter (, ■ ATPase) (Markou et al., 2014, Thielmann et al., 1990)

5.1.2 CO₂ fixation rate

 CO_2 is fixed inside cell through the first step of the Calvin cycle where CO_2 is catalyzed by Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (Rubisco). Therefore the growth rate should theoretically depend on the rate of CO_2 fixation. In a typical air fed culture, the average carbon content in dry cell and CO_2 fixation rate were 39.0% and 101 mg CO_2 L⁻¹d⁻¹ (Table 5.1). This average carbon content went up significantly to 49.3% when *S. armatus* was aerated with 2%CO₂ enriched air. The average CO₂ fixation rate increased significantly to 165 mg CO_2 L⁻¹d⁻¹ when *S. armatus* was cultivated with 2 %CO₂ enriched air, however, at 5-15%, the average carbon content and CO_2 fixation rate dropped to 45.6-48.5% and 124-129 mg CO_2 L⁻¹d⁻¹, respectively. This was due to the limited CO_2 uptake capacity of the alga, and therefore the extra CO_2 just escaped the reactor at the top surface resulting in a low CO_2 utilization efficiency with increasing of CO_2 concentration. Moreover, there was a drastic drop in the pH value at this condition (see Figure 5.2) which could lead to an observed drop in cell growth.

Table 5.2 illustrates biomass productivity and CO_2 fixation rate of *S. armatus* compared with other *Scenedesmus* species. The results illustrate that the outdoor culture provided a mostly similar level of biomass production and CO_2 utilization with those indoor cultures. The outdoor cultivation is therefore considered an economical investment due to a reduced cost for illumination and a larger scale culture was also considered economically feasible.

Table5.1 Carbon	content and	carbon fixati	on rate (D	aytime CO ₂	supply on	ly for the
first 4 days)						

CO ₂	%C	CO ₂ fixation	%CO ₂ utilization
(%v/v)	in biomass	rate (mg $CO_2 L^{-1} d^{-1}$)	efficiency
0.03	39.0±3.1	101±13	63.4±8.1
2	49.3± 0.4	165±15	1.55±0.14
5	48.5 ±0.9	129±18	0.48±0.07
15	45.6 ±4.1	124±2	0.16±0.01

Species	Condition	CO ₂	Specific	CO ₂	Reference
		(%)	biomass	fixation rate	
			productivity	$(mg CO_2 L^{-1} d^{-1})$	
			$(mg L^{-1} d^{-1})$		
S. obliquus	Indoor	12	76.0	-	Ferriols et
					al. (2013)
S. obliquus CNW-N	Indoor	20	201	390	Sydney et
					al. (2010)
S. obliquus As-6-1	Indoor	20	151	290	Sydney et
					al. (2010)
S. dimorphus	Indoor	15	80.0	174	Izumo et al.
					(2007)
Scenedesms sp.	Indoor	10	71.7	135	Nayak et al.
IMMTCC-6					(2013)
S. obliquus SA1	Indoor	35	51.9	97.7	Basu et al.
					(2014)
S. obtusus XJ-15	Outdoor	1	86.5	170	Xia et al.
					(2013)
S. armatus	Outdoor	2	91.3	165	This work

Table5.2 Specific biomass productivity and CO_2 fixation rate from Scenedesmus

หาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

5.1.3 Biochemical compositions

Figure 5.7 illustrates the total biochemical compositions with various CO_2 concentrations. Lipid and protein increased with CO_2 feeding. On the other hand, carbohydrate decreased with increasing CO_2 concentrations.



Figure5.7 Biochemical composition in *S.armatus* cultivated in the system with CO₂ enriched air

Lipid composition slightly increased from 20.2 %w/w without CO₂ to 22.6-25.6 %w/w when CO₂ concentration increased from 2 to 15 %. This indicates that the additional carbon from CO₂ could be converted to long chain carbon lipid. This agreed with the previous finding of Tang et al. (2011) who reported that total lipid content of Scenedesmus obliguus increased from 15.15 to 24.4% w/w with increasing of CO₂ concentration from 0.03 to 50%. Figure 5.8 shows the free fatty acid profiles in dry biomass obtained from the cultures with 2% CO₂ and 0.03% CO₂ supply concentrations. Both unsaturated and saturated fatty acids increased significantly from 1.31% to 2.43% and 0.71% to 2.01% of dry algal weight when additional CO_2 was given. Among the various species of fatty acids, Palmitic acid (C16:0), Oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2) and linolenic acid (C18:3) were observed to increase remarkably. These results agree with the finding of Muradyan et al., 2004 who reported that C16:0 was enhanced when Dunaliella salina was cultivated under high CO₂ concentration and the poly unsaturated fatty acid contents of Scenedesmus obliquus tended to increase with increasing of CO₂ (Tang et al., 2011). The reason for this enhancement in polyunsaturated fatty acids still could not be drawn from this work, but it is likely that CO₂ facilitates the dehydrogenation of the fatty acids within the cells leading to fatty acids with a larger number of double bonds and longer

chain. However, the average lipid productivity only increased when CO_2 was enriched up to 2% (from 13.05 to 22.24 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹) (Table 5.3) after which lipid productivity decreased to 17.94 (5% CO_2) and 19.26 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ (15% CO_2). This was mainly due to the reduction in the biomass productivity at high CO_2 throughput.



Figure 5.8 Fatty acid contents in dry biomass without CO₂ (0.03%) and with 2%CO₂ enriched air

Protein composition also changed with the level of CO₂ supply, i.e. protein contents were 37.2 %w/w (without CO₂) and 48.2 %w/w (with 15%CO₂ enriched air) (see Table 5.3). This corresponded to a reduced C:N ratio in the biomass as illustrated in Figure 5.9. Similar to the case of lipid, however, the average protein productivity only increased with CO₂ supply up to 2% (where protein productivity went up to 38.34 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹) after which the poor biomass productivity significantly lowered the protein productivity. The increase in protein productivity was observed to be consistent with the average nitrogen uptakes from medium which directly varied with increasing CO₂ concentrations from 82.5 to 107.7 mg N g cell⁻¹ where about 92-99% of total protein was produced from this nitrogen source. This result agreed with that of Ferriols et al. (2013) who illustrated that nitrogen uptake increased with increasing CO₂ enriched air. Past reports for many other algal species like *Dunaliella tertiolecta, Botryococcus branunii, Chlorella vulgaris* and *Spirulina platensis* stated that approximate 56-91% of protein productions were converted from nitrogen uptake (Sydney et al., 2010).

Carbohydrate dramatically decreased with increasing CO_2 concentrations whereas average carbohydrate decreased from 42.6 % without CO_2 to 26.2-33.7

%w/w with 2 and 15 %CO₂ enriched air. The average carbohydrate productivity consequently decreased significantly from 27.93 (without CO₂) to 19.43 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ with 15 %CO₂ enriched air. This could be due to the carbon dioxide concentrating mechanism (CCM) which is basically the fixation mechanism for CO₂ to biomass, and this CCM generally takes place more effectively at low CO₂ concentration. Hence, a low CO₂ system typically leads to a higher accumulation of carbohydrate (Izumo et al., 2007, Markou et al., 2012, Thyssen et al., 2001). At higher CO₂ concentration as a consequence, carbon was preferentially stored in other forms, e.g. protein or lipid, as observed in the findings stated previously.

 Table 5.3 Biochemical productivity from S.armatus cultivated in this work

CO ₂	Lipid	Protein	Carbohydrate
(%v/v)	$(mg L^{-1} d^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1} d^{-1})$	$(mg L^{-1} d^{-1})$
0.03	13.05±2.87	24.86±3.41	27.93±3.45
2	22.24±0.57	38.34±5.90	30.67±2.37
5	17.94±1.21	34.18±1.56	25.85±1.42
15	19.26±4.99	35.84±1.80	19.43±0.95
	0.000.000	20101000000000000	



Figure 5.9 C:N ratio in biomass of *S. armatus* as a function of CO_2 concentration in the provided aeration

In order to confirm that carbohydrate or polysaccharide contents decreased with increasing of CO₂, biomass was hydrolyzed with sulfuric acid to obtain sugar contents (glucose and xylose). Total sugar contents exhibited similar tend with total carbohydrate, i.e. sugar contents decreased with increasing of CO₂ concentration. In other words, the total sugar contents (glucose+xylose) decreased from 30.4% to 18.1% of cell dry weight with increasing CO₂ from 0.03% to 5%CO₂ (Figure 5.10). Glucose decreased significantly from 29.8% to 10.3% of dry weight whereas xylose greatly increased from 0.6 % to 7.8 % of dry weight. It is noted that the total sugar content was around 12-16% lower than the total carbohydrate which could be due to loss of content during acid hydrolysis.



Figure 5.10 sugar content with various cultivated CO₂ concentrations

5.2 Effect of pH control system with CO₂

pH of medium is important for inorganic carbon soluble form (Figure 5.1). pH should be adjusted less than pKa₂ (~10.3) to obtain CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ that cell can utilize. From results in Section 5.1, CO₂ concentration 2% by vol. was the optimum for carbon fixation. Therefore pure CO₂ was supplied at the flow rate of 400 mL min⁻¹ (2%CO₂ by vol.) to control pH at the set point (pH_{sp}) of 7±0.2 and 7.7±0.2.

5.2.1 Growth rate

The experiment was carried out with the average light energy and medium temperature of $19.3\pm1 \text{ MJ m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ and $33.9 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$. Figure 5.11 illustrates an example of daily pH profiles of the medium with pH control compared with that without pH control. CO₂ was regularly supplied during the day time to replenish the CO₂ consumption by the microalgae for photosynthesis. After sunset, CO₂ was not consumed and reached the set point, and generally there was no need for CO₂ at the night time. It is noted that pH remained at the set point during the night due to the properties of the bicarbonate buffer.



Figure5.11 pH profiles of *S. armatus* cultivated under outdoor condition: CO₂ supply to control pH set point value (7and 7.7)

Figure 5.12 illustrates the growth rate of *S. armatus*. During the first two days, the growth in the uncontrolled pH condition was higher than controlled pH conditions which were due to the acclimatization of the cells to the new environments (where CO₂ was intermittently supplied). After that growth rate under pH control system was higher than uncontrolled pH. This is because, in this pH control system, the pH was maintained between 7-7.7 where the inorganic carbon dissolved in the medium was in the form of CO_2 and HCO_3 , both of which could be uptaken directly by the alga. On the other hand, the pH of the uncontrolled system raised to more than 10.3 during the day. At this pH range, the dissolved inorganic carbon is in carbonate form (CO_3^{2-}) which could not be utilized by the alga. This was reflected in the results where the medium with pH7 provided the highest average final biomass concentration of 0.37 ± 0.01 g L⁻¹ followed by that at pH 7.7 (0.34±0.01 g L^{-1}) and uncontrolled pH (0.30±0.02 g L^{-1}), respectively. The biomass productivities obtained from the system with pH7 and 7.7 were quite similar at 75.73±1.98 and 75.48 \pm 1.25 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ whereas the uncontrolled pH condition provided the lowest productivity of 62.77 ± 1.92 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$. In addition, the average carbon content in biomass and CO₂ fixation rate under controlled pH7 and 7.7 were higher than uncontrolled pH (see Table 5.4). Therefore, it was concluded at this point that the highest growth and carbon utilization were achieved by controlling the pH of the medium in the range of 7-7.7.



Figure 5.12 Growth characteristics of S. armatus with controlled pH

5.2.2 Biochemical compositions with controlled pH

Biochemical compositions in biomass from the controlled pH condition were similar to those with the day time supply CO_2 only during daytime (Section 5.1.3) where lipid and protein increased but carbohydrate decreased (Figure 5.13). However, the productivities of such nutrients differed slightly from the concentration, where the productivity of lipid and protein increased significantly when pH was controlled, but the productivity of carbohydrate did not vary substantially due to the effect of biomass productivity (see results in Table 5.4). These results indicate that alkaline medium (uncontrolled pH) provided high carbohydrate whereas protein and lipid increased with pH was shifted to neutral. There was also a relationship between nitrogen uptake and pH level, i.e. more nitrogen was uptaken at neutral condition (86.12 mg N g cell⁻¹) compared with the basic condition obtained when the pH of the medium was not controlled (73.7 mg N g $cell^{-1}$). However, it is important to note that the biochemical compositions of the algal biomass depend significantly also on algal species. For example, the highest biomass composition, carbohydrate and protein contents of Chlorella ellipsoidea were obtained at pH10, pH9 and pH4, respectively, whereas biomass concentration, lipid, protein and carbohydrate contents of Dunaliella bardawil could be enhanced when microalgae were cultivated at pH7.5 (Khalil et al., 2010) and pH 7 for biomass concentration and lipid production of Chlorella pyrenoidosa (Han et al., 2013).



Figure 5.13 Biochemical compositions with uncontrolled and controlled pH

Condition	% C	CO_2 fixation rate (mg $CO_2 L^{-1}d^{-1}$)	Biomass productivity (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	Lipid productivity (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	Protein productivity (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	Carbohydrate productivity (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)
Uncontrolled	46.9±0.7	108±5	62.8±1.9	12.4±0.1	25.1±2.3	25.2±0.5
рН						
pH7	50.8±0.7	141±2	75.7±2.0	18.6±0.9	32.8±1.5	24.4±2.6
pH7.7	50.9±0.4	140±1	75.5±1.3	17.1±0.1	30.7±0.7	24.7±1

Table5.4 Carbon content, carbon fixation rate, biomass and biochemical

productivities from *S.armatus* cultivated with controlled pH

5.3 Concluding remarks

S. armatus was proven to be able to grow with a wide pH range of 6.4 to 11. CO_2 was used in two functions namely, CO_2 feeding during daytime and controlled pH. *S. armatus* cultivated with 2% CO_2 enriched air in flat panel airlift photobioreactor (FPAP) provided the highest the average of biomass productivity, CO_2 fixation rate and all biochemical productivities. Controlled pH between 7-7.7 provided similar tend with uncontrolled pH by CO_2 feeding during daytime.



Chapter VI

Effect of non-baffle airlift configurations on S. armatus growth

Typical airlift photobioreactors are equipped with draft tubes (for cylindrical geometry) or separator plates (for flat panel) to separate riser from downcomer areas. However, the need to have this separator leads to some operational disadvantages such as installation difficulty, cleaning, and maintenance. This work proposed a novel large scale airlift system without the separator called "Non-baffled airlift photobioreactor". This system was designed such that a pattern cyclic movement of fluid can be naturally induced without having to install physical separators. In this chapter, the two basic typical reactor configurations, i.e. cylindrical cone and flat panel, are selected as the model non-baffled airlift systems (as non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs) and non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs)), and the growth of *Scenedesmus* in such non-baffled systems was examined.

6.1 Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs)

Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs) have a cylindrical shape with cone bottom as shown in Figure 6.1. The cylinder has the diameter of 100 cm and the height of 80 cm. The bottom cone inclined at the angle of 30, 45 and 53° with the x-plane, which is the design to minimize cell sedimentation. This conical design is beneficial for cell harvest particularly when the algal cells could be self-precipitated when stop aerating. The experiment was set out to investigate the effects of aeration rate and unaerated medium height on *S. armatus* cell growth.



Figure6.1 Experiments: (A) flow pattern; (B) constant volume of 100 L; (C) constant unaerated medium height of 75 cm

6.1.1 Effect of aeration rate

Air was supplied through porous sparger at the center of cone bottom which acted as a riser. A large quantity of bubbles disengaged at the liquid surface whilst some small bubbles re-entered and moving downward on the side surface of reactor which resembled a downcomer area (Figure 6.1). The air flow rate in the range of 0.1-0.3 vvm was investigated for the cultivation of *S.armatus* in a 100 L NB-CAPs. The maximum surface light intensity and maximum/minimum medium temperature were around 920-1500 µmole m⁻²s⁻¹ and 31/27°C in cloudy and rainy days whereas these could be as high as 3200 -4800 µmole m⁻²s⁻¹ and 38/27°C, respectively in sunny days. Daily average surface energy and medium temperature were 12.9±5.4 MJ m⁻² and 31.7±1.8°C Figure 6.2 illustrates growth rate with various angle of the cone bottom affected the growth. All of three angles bottom NB-CAPs (30, 45 and 45°) provided similar growth which could be that the ratio between the illuminated surface area and working volume (S/V) was in a similar range (Table 6.1). The inoculum started with the initial biomass of 0.03 g L⁻¹, and it took 1 day of lag phase before entering the exponential phase for another 6-7 days. The average final biomass concentration

and specific biomass productivity were found to vary with aeration rate as demonstrated in Table 6.2. The average final biomass concentration increased from 0.35 ± 0.02 to 0.49 ± 0.02 g L⁻¹ when air flow rate increased from 0.1 to 0.2 vvm, this was due to an increasing liquid velocity that prevented cell precipitation. In contrast, the final concentration decreased to 0.44 ± 0.01 g L⁻¹ with increasing air flow rate to 0.3 vvm which could be due to the excessive shear stress induced at higher aeration rate that was damaging to the cell (Camacho et al., 2000). The highest average specific biomass productivities were in the range of 67.5-71.8 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ with the highest occurred at 0.2 vvm of aeration (1-1.5 fold greater than those at 0.1 and 0.3 vvm). The results in this experiment indicate that the growth of *S. armatus* did not depend significantly with the changing of slope of the cone bottom, but rather, depended on the aeration rate where the optimum aeration rate was 0.2 vvm.

Liquid velocity in downcome ($v_{L,d}$) was highest in 53° NB-CAP followed by 45° and 30° NB-CAPs, respectively (Figure 6.3A) whereas $v_{L,d}$ was inverse with downcomer cross sectional area (A_d) (Figure 6.3B). A_d was independent of aeration rate, however, riser cross sectional area (A_r) increased obviously with increasing aeration rate from 0.1 to 0.2vvm and reached a constant level when aeration rate reached 0.3 vvm (Figure 6.3C). Due to an increasing amount of gas in the system, bubbles distributed more evenly in radial direction leading to a larger A_r . The highest A_r occurred in 30° NB-CAP followed by 45° and 53°, respectively. In other words, the ratio between A_d and A_r (A_d/A_r) became the highest in the 53° NB-CAP and was the lowest in the 30° NB-CAP. A_d/A_r decreased with increasing aeration rate (Figure 6.3D) and this seems to have negative influence on cell growth due to the bubble shading effect. For this case, the most suitable range of A_d/A_r for the growth of *S. armatus* in NB-CAPs of all configurations was in the range of 10-20 and $v_{L,d}$ of 5-15 cm s⁻¹ with aeration rate of 0.2 vvm.



Figure6.2 Growth rate of S. armatus in 100 L NB-CAPs

Table6.1 Illuminated surface area per volume (S/V), liquid velocity in downcomer $(v_{L,d})$, A_d/A_r at aeration rate of 0.2 vvm with different unaerated medium height

Parameter	30 ⁰ NB-CAP		45 [°] N	B-CAP	53 [°] NB-CAP	
	H32 cm	H75cm	H46cm	H75cm	H56cm	H75cm
S/V (m ⁻¹)	17.7	7.3	15.9	8.3	14.5	9.9
<i>v</i> _{L,d} (cm s ⁻¹)	8.6	19.0	11.6	14.1	16.6	17.6
A_d/A_r	9.8	1.2	13.4	6.5	20.8	15.9
5						
------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------		
Bottom angle	Constant	Constant				
(^o)	(30 ⁰ H32cm,	medium height				
				$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$		
				(H 75 cm)		
-	0.1 vvm	0.2 vvm	0.3 vvm	0.2 vvm		
30	43.9±1.1 ^ª	71.8±4.1 ^b	58.7±3.0 ^c	32.8±2.9 ^d		
45	48.0±2.5 ^ª	67.5±4.6 ^b	56.8±2.2 ^c	34.0±2.2 ^d		
53	49.0±3.1 ^a	70.2±3.9 ^b	61.4±1.0 ^c	38.9±3.3 ^d		

Table6.2 Specific biomass productivity with various aeration rate and unaeratedmedium height

a, b, c, d are the significant level of differences of the results obtained from ANOVA and Tukey Method (p<0.05)



Figure6.3 Liquid velocity in downcomer (A); downcomer cross sectional area, A_d (B); riser cross sectional area, A_r (C); ratio A_d/A_r (D) with various aeration rate at 100 L of 30°, 45° and 53° NB-CAPs

6.1.2 Effect of medium height

To examine the effect of medium height, the experiments were carried out with a constant aeration rate of 0.2 vvm in all NB-CAPs. The average final biomass concentration (Figure 6.4, filled symbol) and average specific biomass productivity (Table 6.2) were 0.27±0.03 to 0.32±0.04 g L^{-1} and 32.8±2.9 to 38.9±3.3 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$, respectively. When compared with the results from the system with lower unaerated medium height (Figure 6.4, transparent symbol), it was found that the growth rate obtained from the system with higher unaerated medium height was only half of those obtained from the system with lower unaerated medium height. This could be due to several reasons. First, light could penetrate better into the system with lower liquid height especially when there was a growth of algae inside the system as described by Wang et al. (2015). Second, S/V decreased with increasing of unaerated height meaning that there was less light for the growth when the liquid level was high (Table 6.1). Third, Ad/Ar decreased with liquid height (Table 6.1) which could reduce the light utilization efficiency, as in a typical airlift system, there would exist a large swarm of bubbles in riser where cells could not utilize the light as effectively as in the downcomer (Kaewpintong et al., 2007). And finally, cells might die with higher distance of riser because cell could be captured by bubble and broke at the liquid surface (Camacho et al., 2000).

Even though the specific biomass productivity was low with higher unaerated medium height, a large scale operation was still preferable to enlarge the productivity. Therefore, the 30° NB-CAP was suggested for *S. armatus* outdoor cultivation as this configuration provided the largest working volume with the same liquid height when compared with the systems with other cone angles.



Figure 6.4 growth rate of *S. armatus* with various unaerated medium height (H)

6.2 Non-baffle flat panel airlift photobioreactor (NB-FPAPs)

Non-baffle flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs) were designed for easy operation particularly for large scale system where the installation of separator could exert some operational difficulty. In this case, air was supplied through a porous sparger located near the wall along the length of NB-FPAP. This enables the liquid to move up near one wall (as a riser) and moves down near the opposite wall (as downcomer) (Figure 6.5). The example of the flow-direction profile of the fluid in this reactor is illustrated in Figure 6.6. The optimum aeration rate for *S. armatus* was reported at 0.2 vvm by Rodrakhee (2013) which agreed well with that for NB-CAP as aforementioned.

To investigate the performance of NB-FPAP, the reactors of various widths and liquid heights were employed followed the method suggested by Khongkasem (2013), i.e. the width of the reactor (W) varied from 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm, whereas the unaerated medium height (H) varied from 40, 50 and 60 cm. NB-FPAPs were made from fiber glass which can reduce light intensity about 50% of transparent material (Figure 6.5). This material was chosen due primarily to economical and ease of fabrication/maintenance reasons. However, it was proven that, for some certain algal

species like Haematococcus pluvialis, the light shading could help enhance the productivity (Poonkum et al., 2015). Although this might not be the case for S. armatus, this reactor configuration still poses a distinct advantage due to its simplicity and ease of operation, and it can be employed to examine the effect of other operating parameters. Figure 6.7 illustrates the specific biomass productivity in this system. The reactor width particularly from 20 to 40 cm did not seem to pose notable effect on the specific biomass productivity and the growth did not vary significantly with reactor width. However, at 50 cm reactor width, the growth seemed to be enhanced significantly. On the other hand, the unaerated medium height clearly showed negative influence as a decrease in productivity was observed with an increasing medium height from 40 to 60cm. The highest average specific biomass productivities were around 34.2-45.5 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ with 40 cm medium height of W20, W30 and W50, except at 40 cm (W40), the highest specific biomass productivity was around 38 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ at 50 cm medium height. These values significantly decreased to 20-28 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ when medium height increased to 60 cm of all reactors (W20 to W50). Several possible reasons might explain these results as follows:



Figure 6.5 Flow direction in NB-FPAP and experimental setup

w h	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30	33	36	39	42	45	48	50
3	1	→	→	→	→	+	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	Ļ	Ļ
6	1	1	→	→	→	↑	↑	→	→	→	↑	→	→	→	t	Ļ	Ţ
9	1	1	1	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	Ļ	t	Ļ	Ļ
12	1	1	1	↑	Ť	↑	↑	→	→	↑	↑	t	Ļ	Ļ	t	Ļ	Ļ
15	1	1	1	1	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	→	Ļ	Ļ	ţ	Ļ	Ļ	t	Ļ	Ţ
18	1	1	1	1	Ļ	÷	÷	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	ţ	Ļ	Ļ	t	Ļ	Ļ
21	t	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	Ţ	Ļ	Ļ	Ţ	Ļ	Ļ
24	1	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	↓	↓	↓	↓	Ļ	Ļ	↓	↓
27	1	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ļ	ţ	Ļ	ţ	t	Ļ	Ļ
30	1	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ţ	ţ	ţ	Ţ	Ť	Ţ
33	1	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ţ	↓	↓
36	1	1	1	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ļ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ļ	ţ	t	↓	Ļ
39	1	1	1	Ļ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	ţ	Ţ	Ļ	Ţ
42	1	1	1	+	Ļ	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	Ļ		
45	Ť	1	1	←	+	+	Ļ	+	←	←	+	Ļ	+				
48	1	1	1	←	+	+	+	←	+	←	+						
50	1	1	1	+	+	+	+	←	←								

Figure6.6 Flow directions diagram in NB-FPAP at width of contactor 50 cm with unaerated liquid height 50 cm





An analysis of the specific surface area (S/V) (Figure 6.8) showed that the reactor of different width exhibited a large range of specific surface area, where S/V did not markedly change for the widths of 40 and 50 cm. This indicates that S/V did not exert significant effect on cell growth and the reason for a different growth characters at 50 cm must be due to some other factor.

Figure 6.9 displays the results from the analysis of A_d/A_r as reported by Sintharm (2013). This demonstrates that the airlift with different width/liquid height exhibited different flow pattern characteristics. At 20 cm reactor width, the width of the reactor was not large enough to induce the natural separation between riser and downcomer (as visually observed). A_d/A_r of approximately one indicates that the downcomer and riser areas were approximately in the same range, the behavior similar to that of bubble columns. This unclear flow pattern did allow cell precipitation which negatively influenced cell growth. Increasing reactor width enhanced the cyclic flow pattern in the system as observed from the large deviation in A_d/A_r . The results in Figure 6.7 demonstrate that the width of 50 cm and 40 cm liquid height provided the best growth behavior. Figure 6.9 shows that at this condition, A_d/A_r was close to unity meaning that riser and downcomer areas were almost equal. All other configurations would lead to a higher downcomer area. Unfortunately, this finding in A_d/A_r did not support the finding of better cell growth. However, it was observed from Figure 6.7 that cell growth seemed to be best when the reactor width was fixed at 50 cm regardless of the liquid height. It could mean that at this width, which was the maximum width employed in this experiment, the reactor obtained the highest sunlight from the liquid top surface (as it had the largest top surface) and this induced the growth in a much greater extent than other factors.



Figure6.8 Surface area per volume ratios (S/V) with various unaerated medium height (H) and width of reactor (W)



Figure 6.9 A_d/A_r ratios with various unaerated medium height (H) and width of reactor (W)

6.3 Concluding remarks

Large scale of NB-CAPs and NB-FPAPs were effective for *S. armatus* cultivation. Growth of *S. armatus* was independent with the slope of the cone bottom NB-CAPs $(30^{\circ}, 45^{\circ} \text{ and } 53^{\circ})$. The widths of NB-FPAPs in the range of experiment (20 to 50 cm) were insignificant on the specific biomass productivity. It is noted that the width of 50 cm seemed to provide better growth due to the highest top surface for light exposure. While medium height did have significantly on growth both of all configurations. The optimum medium height of NB-FPAPs was 40-50 cm and NB-CAPs was 32 cm, 46 cm and 56 cm for 30° , 45° , 53° NB-CAPs, respectively.

Chapter VII

Conclusions and Contributions

7.1 Conclusions

Major findings obtained from this work can be summarized as follows:

1. The optimum of temperature, light intensity and u_{sg} in 2 L glass bubble column for *Scenedesmus armatus* indoor cultivation were 35°C, 10 kLux and 1 cm s⁻¹, respectively. The biomass production and biochemical compositions from this condition for 7 days cultivation are summarized below:

Parameter	Value	Unit
Final biomass concentration	0.75±0.07	g L ⁻¹
Specific biomass productivity	106±6.6	mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$
specific growth rate	0.63±0.07	d^{-1}
Lipid	21.7±1.0	%w/w
Protein	32.9± 2.6	%w/w
Carbohydrate	45.4±1.6	%w/w

2. Flat panel airlift photobioreactors (FPAP) was employed for a large scale cultivation where sizing of FPAP was 17 L for indoor and scaled up to 100 L for outdoor. The specific biomass productivity decreased from 70.4±9.6 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ in the 17L to 41.7±6.6 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$ in the 100 L systems. The size did not have significant influence on biochemical contents of the biomass.

3. The optimal condition of superficial gas velocity for 100 L FPAP outdoor culture was 0.35 cm s⁻¹ in batch bode. The highest actual growth rate occurred at around 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM. The alga seemed to be able to grow under a wide pH range of 6.4 to 11.

4. S. *armatus* could well grow in summer when compared with the growth in rainy season and in winter. However, both specific biomass productivities and biochemical contents did not statistically change with seasons (p>0.05) as follows:

Season	Surface	Medium	Biomass	Lipid	Protein	Carbohydrate
	energy	temperature	productivity	content	content	content
	$(MJ m^{-2}d^{-1})$	(°C)	$(mg L^{-1}d^{-1})$	(%w/w)	(%w/w)	(%w/w)
Summer	25.2±7.8	35.0±2.4	59.3±6.7 ^a	19.8±1.2 ^a	37.8±5.1 [°]	42.5±5.5 [°]
Rainy	17.7±6.1	32.6±1.7	45.1±10.8 [°]	22.6±2.1 ^a	36.4±2.7 [°]	40.9±2.4 ^a
season						
Winter	18.8±4.1	31.8±2.1	39.2±8.5 [°]	22.9±1.3 ^a	37.5±1.3 [°]	39.6±2.1 [°]

5. Growth of *S. armatus* was tested with varying CO₂ concentration in the range of 2 to 15% by volume of aeration rate with 100 L FPAP at daily light energy and medium temperature of 23.8±6.1 MJ m⁻² and of 34.5±1.4 °C for 4 days. The aeration with 2% enriched CO₂ provided the highest average specific biomass productivity of 91.3 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹, where the CO₂ fixation rate was 165±15 mg CO₂ L⁻¹d⁻¹. Lipid and protein contents increased with CO₂ supply, but carbohydrate decreased where specific biochemical productivities at 2% CO₂ were 22.24±0.57 mg lipid L⁻¹d⁻¹, 38.34±5.90 mg protein L⁻¹d⁻¹ and 30.67±2.37 mg carbohydrate L⁻¹d⁻¹.

6. The experiments with pH control at 7-7.7 by adding 2% CO₂ under the average light energy and medium temperature of 19.3 ± 1 MJ m⁻²d⁻¹ and $33.9\pm1^{\circ}$ C for 4 days yielded the highest biomass production and carbon utilization of 75.5-75.7 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ and 140-141 mg CO₂ L⁻¹d⁻¹. Similar to the case with CO₂ supplement, the experiment with pH control resulted in a higher accumulation of lipid and protein, but a lower carbohydrate content.

7. The optimal aeration rate for *S. armatus* in Non-baffled cone airlift photobioreactors (NB-CAPs) was 0.2 vvm. The cultures grew under the average light energy and medium temperature of 12.9 ± 5.4 MJ m⁻²d⁻¹ and $31.7\pm1.8^{\circ}$ C. Growth was independent of the slope of the cone bottom NB-CAPs (30°, 45° and 53°). Specific biomass productivity was in the range of 32.8 ± 2.9 to 38.9 ± 3.3 mg L⁻¹d⁻¹ at medium

height 75 cm. The 30[°] NB-CAP was suggested for *S. armatus* outdoor cultivation due to the highest biomass productivity with the largest working volume.

8. *S. armatus* was cultivated using Non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactors (NB-FPAPs) made from fiberglass with various the widths of the reactor from 20, 30, 40 and 50 cm and the unaerated medium heights from 40, 50 and 60 cm. The optimal medium height of NB-FPAPs was 40-50 cm whereas the width of 50 cm seems to provide better growth due to the highest top surface for light exposure. The specific biomass productivities were around 44.6-45.5 mg $L^{-1}d^{-1}$.

microalgal cultivation as follows: Reactor Advantages Disadvantages

9. The advantages and disadvantages of all reactor configurations for

Reactor	Advantages	Disadvantages
FPAP	certain flow direction	• prone to Dead zone
	• easy to scale up	• difficult to install and
		maintain
NB-CAP	less area	• difficult to scale up
	• easy to install and maintain	
NB-FPAP	• easy to scale up and up not a set of the scale up and t	 limit of medium height
	• easy install and maintain	

7.2 Contributions

This research shows that *Scenedesmus armatus* is a potential green alga for largescale outdoor cultivation system. The alga could grow well with a very small problem of contamination in varying tropical environmental conditions with a simple reactor configuration. This means it can be cultivated economically in a large scale culture without the need for a complicating sterilizing system. This allows a large scale culture with lower capital investment and lower maintenance costs. It can also be used to effectively fix CO_2 as a means to capture the greenhouse gas. The alga also contains reasonable amounts of nutrients which can be further used in various applications especially food additives. This can be important considering the problem of food security in the present increasing global population. A relatively high lipid content also suggests that the algal biomass can also be used as a raw material for biofuel.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

REFERENCES

- Basu, S., A. S. Roy, K. Mohanty and A. K. Ghoshal, 2014. CO₂ biofixation and carbonic anhydrase activity in *Scenedesmus obliquus* SA1 cultivated in large scale open system. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 164, 323-330.
- Becerra-Dórame, M., J. A. López-Elías and L. R. Martínez-Córdova, 2010. An alternative outdoor production system for the microalgae *Chaetoceros muelleri* and *Dunaliella* sp. during winter and spring in Northwest Mexico. <u>Aquacultural</u> <u>engineering</u>, 43, 24-28.
- Cabello, J., A. Toledo-Cervantes, L. Sánchez, S. Revah and M. Morales, 2015. Effect of the temperature, pH and irradiance on the photosynthetic activity by *Scenedesmus obtusiusculus* under nitrogen replete and deplete conditions. <u>Bioresource Technology</u>, 181, 128-135.
- Camacho, F. G. a., A. C. Gomez, T. M. Sobczuk and E. M. Grima, 2000. Effects of mechanical and hydrodynamic stress in agitated, sparged cultures of Porphyridium cruentum. <u>Process Biochemistry</u>, 35, 1045-1050.
- Carlozzi, P., 2003. Dilution of solar radiation through "culture" lamination in photobioreactor rows facing south–north: a way to improve the efficiency of light utilization by cyanobacteria (*Arthrospira platensis*). <u>Biotechnology and</u> <u>bioengineering</u>, 81, 305-315.
- Carlozzi, P. and A. Sacchi, 2001. Biomass production and studies on *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* grown in an outdoor, temperature controlled, underwater tubular photobioreactor. <u>Journal of Biotechnology</u>, 88, 239-249.
- Carvalho, A. P., S. O. Silva, J. M. Baptista and F. X. Malcata, 2011. Light requirements in microalgal photobioreactors: an overview of biophotonic aspects. <u>Applied</u> <u>microbiology and biotechnology</u>, 89, 1275-1288.
- Chinnasamy, S., A. Bhatnagar, R. Claxton and K. Das, 2010. Biomass and bioenergy production potential of microalgae consortium in open and closed bioreactors using untreated carpet industry effluent as growth medium. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 101, 6751-6760.

Chisti, Y., 2007. Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnology advances, 25, 294-306.

- Chiu, S. Y., M. T. Tsai, C. Y. Kao, S. C. Ong and C. S. Lin, 2009. The air-lift photobioreactors with flow patterning for high-density cultures of microalgae and carbon dioxide removal. <u>Engineering in life sciences</u>, 9, 254-260.
- Cogne, G., C. Lasseur, J.-F. Cornet, C.-G. Dussap and J.-B. Gros, 2001. Growth monitoring of a photosynthetic micro-organism (*Spirulina platensis*) by pressure measurement. <u>Biotechnology letters</u>, 23, 1309-1314.
- Converti, A., A. Lodi, A. Del Borghi and C. Solisio, 2006. Cultivation of Spirulina platensis in a combined airlift-tubular reactor system. <u>Biochemical Engineering</u> <u>Journal</u>, 32, 13-18.
- Costa, J. A. V., G. A. Linde, D. I. P. Atala, G. M. Mibielli and R. T. Krüger, 2000. Modelling of growth conditions for cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis* in microcosms. <u>World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology</u>, 16, 15-18.
- Doucha, J. and K. Lívanský, 2006. Productivity, CO₂/O₂ exchange and hydraulics in outdoor open high density microalgal (*Chlorella* sp.) photobioreactors operated in a Middle and Southern European climate. Journal of Applied Phycology, 18, 811-826.
- Doucha, J. and K. Lívanský, 2009. Outdoor open thin-layer microalgal photobioreactor: potential productivity. <u>Journal of applied phycology</u>, 21, 111-117.
- Fábregas, J., A. Maseda, A. Domínguez, M. Ferreira and A. Otero, 2002. Changes in the cell composition of the marine microalga, *Nannochloropsis gaditana*, during a light: dark cycle. <u>Biotechnology letters</u>, 24, 1699-1703.
- Fernández, F. A., D. O. Hall, E. C. Guerrero, K. K. Rao and E. M. Grima, 2003. Outdoor production of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* biomass in a helical reactor. <u>Journal of biotechnology</u>, 103, 137-152.
- Fernández, F. A., J. F. Sevilla, J. S. Pérez, E. M. Grima and Y. Chisti, 2001. Airlift-driven external-loop tubular photobioreactors for outdoor production of microalgae: assessment of design and performance. <u>Chemical Engineering Science</u>, 56, 2721-2732.

- Ferriols, V. M. E. N., C. A. Saclauso, N. R. Fortes, N. A. Toledo and I. G. Pahila, 2013. Effect of elevated carbon dioxide and phosphorus levels on nitrogen uptake, lipid content and growth of *Tetraselmis* sp. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 8, 659-672.
- Greenberg, A. E., L. S. Clesceri and A. D. Eaton, 1992. <u>Standard methods for the</u> <u>examination of water and wastewater.</u> American Public Health Association Water Environment Federation.
- Grima, E. M., E.-H. Belarbi, F. A. Fernández, A. R. Medina and Y. Chisti, 2003. Recovery of microalgal biomass and metabolites: process options and economics. <u>Biotechnology advances</u>, 20, 491-515.
- Gris, B., T. Morosinotto, G. M. Giacometti, A. Bertucco and E. Sforza, 2014. Cultivation of *Scenedesmus obliquus* in photobioreactors: effects of light intensities and light–dark cycles on growth, productivity, and biochemical composition. <u>Applied biochemistry and biotechnology</u>, 172, 2377-2389.
- Han, F., J. Huang, Y. Li, W. Wang, M. Wan, G. Shen and J. Wang, 2013. Enhanced lipid productivity of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* through the culture strategy of semi-continuous cultivation with nitrogen limitation and pH control by CO₂.
 <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 136, 418-424.
- Ho, S.-H., C.-Y. Chen, K.-L. Yeh, W.-M. Chen, C.-Y. Lin and J.-S. Chang, 2010a.
 Characterization of photosynthetic carbon dioxide fixation ability of indigenous *Scenedesmus obliquus* isolates. <u>Biochemical Engineering Journal</u>, 53, 57-62.
- Ho, S.-H., W.-M. Chen and J.-S. Chang, 2010b. *Scenedesmus obliquus* CNW-N as a potential candidate for CO₂ mitigation and biodiesel production. <u>Bioresource Technology</u>, 101, 8725-8730.
- Hu, C., M. Li, J. Li, Q. Zhu and Z. Liu, 2008. Variation of lipid and fatty acid compositions of the marine microalga *Pavlova viridis* (Prymnesiophyceae) under laboratory and outdoor culture conditions. <u>World Journal of</u> <u>Microbiology and Biotechnology</u>, 24, 1209-1214.

Issarapayup, K., S. Powtongsook and P. Pavasant, 2009. Flat panel airlift photobioreactors for cultivation of vegetative cells of microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis*. Journal of biotechnology, 142, 227-232.

- Iwasaki, I., N. Kurano and S. Miyachi, 1996. Effects of high-CO₂ stress on photosystem II in a green alga, *Chlorococcum littorale*, which has a tolerance to high CO₂. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, 36, 327-332.
- Izumo, A., S. Fujiwara, Y. Oyama, A. Satoh, N. Fujita, Y. Nakamura and M. Tsuzuki, 2007. Physicochemical properties of starch in *Chlorella* change depending on the CO₂ concentration during growth: comparison of structure and properties of pyrenoid and stroma starch. <u>Plant science</u>, 172, 1138-1147.
- Jacob-Lopes, E., C. H. G. Scoparo, L. M. C. F. Lacerda and T. T. Franco, 2009. Effect of light cycles (night/day) on CO₂ fixation and biomass production by microalgae in photobioreactors. <u>chemical engineering and Processing: Process</u> <u>Intensification</u>, 48, 306-310.
- Jacob-Lopes, E., C. H. G. Scoparo, M. I. Queiroz and T. T. Franco, 2010. Biotransformations of carbon dioxide in photobioreactors. <u>Energy Conversion</u> <u>and Management</u>, 51, 894-900.
- Kaewpintong, K., A. Shotipruk, S. Powtongsook and P. Pavasant, 2007.
 Photoautotrophic high-density cultivation of vegetative cells of *Haematococcus pluvialis* in airlift bioreactor. <u>Bioresource Technology</u>, 98, 288-295.
- Ketheesan, B. and N. Nirmalakhandan, 2011. Development of a new airlift-driven raceway reactor for algal cultivation. <u>Applied Energy</u>, 88, 3370-3376.
- Khalil, Z. I., M. M. Asker, S. El-Sayed and I. A. Kobbia, 2010. Effect of pH on growth and biochemical responses of *Dunaliella bardawil* and *Chlorella ellipsoidea*. <u>World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology</u>, 26, 1225-1231.
- Khoeyi, Z. A., J. Seyfabadi and Z. Ramezanpour, 2012. Effect of light intensity and photoperiod on biomass and fatty acid composition of the microalgae, *Chlorella vulgaris*. <u>Aquaculture International</u>, 20, 41-49.

- Khongkasem, E., 2013. <u>Novel non-baffled flat panel airlift photobioreactor for</u> <u>outdoor cultures of *Ankistrodesmus* sp. and *Scenedesmus* sp., Chemical Engineering. Chulalongkorn University.</u>
- Kim, D.-G., H.-J. La, C.-Y. Ahn, Y.-H. Park and H.-M. Oh, 2011. Harvest of *Scenedesmus* sp. with bioflocculant and reuse of culture medium for subsequent highdensity cultures. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 102, 3163-3168.
- Krichnavaruk, S., W. Loataweesup, S. Powtongsook and P. Pavasant, 2005. Optimal growth conditions and the cultivation of *Chaetoceros calcitrans* in airlift photobioreactor. <u>Chemical Engineering Journal</u>, 105, 91-98.
- Krichnavaruk, S., S. Powtongsook and P. Pavasant, 2007. Enhanced productivity of *Chaetoceros calcitrans* in airlift photobioreactors. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 98, 2123-2130.
- Kumar, A., S. Ergas, X. Yuan, A. Sahu, Q. Zhang, J. Dewulf, F. X. Malcata and H. Van Langenhove, 2010. Enhanced CO₂ fixation and biofuel production via microalgae: recent developments and future directions. <u>Trends in</u> <u>biotechnology</u>, 28, 371-380.
- López-Elías, J. A., E. Esquer-Miranda, M. Martínez-Porchas, M. C. Garza-Aguirre, M. Rivas-Vega and N. Huerta-Aldaz, 2011. The effect of inoculation time and inoculum concentration on the productive response of *Tetraselmis chuii* (Butcher, 1958) mass cultured in F/2 and 2-F media. <u>Archives of Biological</u> <u>Sciences</u>, 63, 557-562.
- López-Elías, J. A., D. Voltolina, F. Enríquez-Ocaña and G. Gallegos-Simental, 2005. Indoor and outdoor mass production of the diatom *Chaetoceros muelleri* in a mexican commercial hatchery. <u>Aquacultural engineering</u>, 33, 181-191.
- López, C. V. G., M. d. C. C. García, F. G. A. Fernández, C. S. Bustos, Y. Chisti and J. M. F. Sevilla, 2010. Protein measurements of microalgal and cyanobacterial biomass. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 101, 7587-7591.
- López, M. G.-M., E. D. R. Sánchez, J. C. López, F. A. Fernández, J. F. Sevilla, J. Rivas, M. Guerrero and E. M. Grima, 2006. Comparative analysis of the outdoor culture of *Haematococcus pluvialis* in tubular and bubble column photobioreactors. Journal of biotechnology, 123, 329-342.

- Markou, G., I. Angelidaki and D. Georgakakis, 2012. Microalgal carbohydrates: an overview of the factors influencing carbohydrates production, and of main bioconversion technologies for production of biofuels. <u>Applied microbiology</u> <u>and biotechnology</u>, 96, 631-645.
- Markou, G., D. Vandamme and K. Muylaert, 2014. Microalgal and cyanobacterial cultivation: The supply of nutrients. <u>Water research</u>, 65, 186-202.
- Meireles, L. A., J. L. Azevedo, J. P. Cunha and F. X. Malcata, 2002. On-line determination of biomass in a microalga bioreactor using a novel computerized flow injection analysis system. <u>Biotechnology progress</u>, 18, 1387-1391.
- Meireles, L. A., A. C. Guedes, C. R. Barbosa, J. L. Azevedo, J. P. Cunha and F. X. Malcata, 2008. On-line control of light intensity in a microalgal bioreactor using a novel automatic system. <u>Enzyme and Microbial Technology</u>, 42, 554-559.
- Merchuk, J., M. Ronen, S. Giris and S. M. Arad, 1998. Light/dark cycles in the growth of the red microalga *Porphyridium* sp. <u>Biotechnology and bioengineering</u>, 59, 705-713.
- Mirón, A. S., M.-C. C. Garcia, F. G. Camacho, E. M. Grima and Y. Chisti, 2002. Growth and biochemical characterization of microalgal biomass produced in bubble column and airlift photobioreactors: studies in fed-batch culture. <u>Enzyme and</u> <u>Microbial Technology</u>, 31, 1015-1023.
- Moreno, J., M. Á. Vargas and M. G. Guerrero, 2003. Outdoor cultivation of a nitrogenfixing marine cyanobacterium, *Anabaena* sp. ATCC 33047. <u>Biomolecular</u> <u>Engineering</u>, 20, 191-197.
- Muradyan, E., G. Klyachko-Gurvich, L. Tsoglin, T. Sergeyenko and N. Pronina, 2004. Changes in lipid metabolism during adaptation of the *Dunaliella salina* photosynthetic apparatus to high CO₂ concentration. <u>Russian Journal of Plant</u> <u>Physiology</u>, 51, 53-62.
- Nayak, M., M. Thirunavoukkarasu and B. K. Mishra, 2013. Maximizing biomass productivity and CO₂ biofixation of microalga, *Scenedesmus* sp. by using sodium hydroxide. <u>Journal of microbiology and biotechnology</u>, 23, 1260-1268.

- Oh, S. H., M. C. Kwon, W. Y. Choi, Y. C. Seo, G. B. Kim, D. H. Kang, S. Y. Lee and H. Y. Lee, 2010. Long-term outdoor cultivation by perfusing spent medium for biodiesel production from *Chlorella minutissima*. Journal of bioscience and <u>bioengineering</u>, 110, 194-200.
- Olofsson, M., T. Lamela, E. Nilsson, J. P. Bergé, V. Del Pino, P. Uronen and C. Legrand, 2012. Seasonal variation of lipids and fatty acids of the microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata* grown in outdoor large-scale photobioreactors<u>.</u> <u>Energies</u>, 5, 1577-1592.
- Oncel, S. and F. V. Sukan, 2008. Comparison of two different pneumatically mixed column photobioreactors for the cultivation of *Artrospira platensis* (*Spirulina platensis*). <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 99, 4755-4760.
- Ong, S.-C., C.-Y. Kao, S.-Y. Chiu, M.-T. Tsai and C.-S. Lin, 2010. Characterization of the thermal-tolerant mutants of *Chlorella* sp. with high growth rate and application in outdoor photobioreactor cultivation. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 101, 2880-2883.
- Phuklang, S., 2013. <u>Hydrolysis reaction of nutrients from microalgae</u>. Chemical Engineering. Chulalongkorn University.
- Poonkum, W., S. Powtongsook and P. Pavasant, 2015. Astaxanthin Induction in Microalga *H. pluvialis* With Flat Panel Airlift Photobioreactors Under Indoor and Outdoor Conditions. <u>Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology</u>, 45, 1-17.
- Renaud, S. M., L.-V. Thinh, G. Lambrinidis and D. L. Parry, 2002. Effect of temperature on growth, chemical composition and fatty acid composition of tropical Australian microalgae grown in batch cultures. <u>Aquaculture</u>, 211, 195-214.
- Richmond, A., 2007: <u>Handbook of microalgal culture: biotechnology and applied</u> <u>phycology</u>. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Rodjaroen, S., N. Juntawong, A. Mahakhant and K. Miyamoto, 2007. High biomass production and starch accumulation in native green algal strains and cyanobacterial strains of Thailand. <u>Kasetsart J (Nat Sci)</u>, 41, 570-575.

- Rodrakhee, H., 2013. <u>Reuse of medium for Ankistrodesmus sp. and Scenedesmus sp.</u> <u>culture in airlift photobioreator.</u> Chemical Engineering. Chulalongkorn University.
- Sánchez, J., J. Fernández, F. Acién, A. Rueda, J. Pérez-Parra and E. Molina, 2008. Influence of culture conditions on the productivity and lutein content of the new strain *Scenedesmus almeriensis*. <u>Process Biochemistry</u>, 43, 398-405.
- Sandnes, J., T. Ringstad, D. Wenner, P. Heyerdahl, T. Källqvist and H. Gislerød, 2006. Real-time monitoring and automatic density control of large-scale microalgal cultures using near infrared (NIR) optical density sensors. <u>Journal of</u> <u>biotechnology</u>, 122, 209-215.
- Sato, T., S. Usui, Y. Tsuchiya and Y. Kondo, 2006. Invention of outdoor closed type photobioreactor for microalgae. <u>Energy conversion and management</u>, 47, 791-799.
- Sierra, E., F. Acien, J. Fernández, J. García, C. González and E. Molina, 2008. Characterization of a flat plate photobioreactor for the production of microalgae. <u>Chemical Engineering Journal</u>, 138, 136-147.
- Singh, A., P. S. Nigam and J. D. Murphy, 2011. Mechanism and challenges in commercialisation of algal biofuels. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 102, 26-34.
- Sintharm, P., 2013. <u>Hydrodynamic behavior and gas-liquid mass transfer of novel non-baffled airlift contactor</u>. Chemical Engineering. Chulalongkorn University.
- Stanier, R., R. Kunisawa, M. Mandel and G. Cohen-Bazire, 1971. Purification and properties of unicellular blue-green algae (order Chroococcales). <u>Bacteriological reviews</u>, 35, 171.
- Sydney, E. B., W. Sturm, J. C. de Carvalho, V. Thomaz-Soccol, C. Larroche, A. Pandey and C. R. Soccol, 2010. Potential carbon dioxide fixation by industrially important microalgae. <u>Bioresource Technology</u>, 101, 5892-5896.
- Tanadul, O., J. VanderGheynst, D. Beckles, A. Powell and J. Labavitch, 2014. The impact of elevated CO₂ concentration on the quality of algal starch as a potential biofuel feedstock. <u>Biotechnology and bioengineering</u>, 111, 1323.

- Tang, D., W. Han, P. Li, X. Miao and J. Zhong, 2011. CO₂ biofixation and fatty acid composition of *Scenedesmus obliquus* and *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* in response to different CO₂ levels. <u>Bioresource Technology</u>, 102, 3071-3076.
- Thielmann, J., N. E. Tolbert, A. Goyal and H. Senger, 1990. Two systems for concentrating CO₂ and bicarbonate during photosynthesis by *Scenedesmus*. <u>Plant physiology</u>, 92, 622-629.
- Thimijan, R. W. and R. D. Heins, 1983. Photometric, radiometric, and quantum light units of measure: a review of procedures for interconversion. <u>HortScience</u>, 18, 818-822.
- Thyssen, C., R. Schlichting and C. Giersch, 2001: The CO₂-concentrating mechanism in the physiological context: lowering the CO₂ supply diminishes culture growth and economises starch utilisation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. <u>Planta</u>, 213, 629-639.
- Tukaj, Z., K. Matusiak-Mikulin, J. Lewandowska and J. Szurkowski, 2003. Changes in the pigment patterns and the photosynthetic activity during a light-induced cell cycle of the green alga *Scenedesmus armatus*. <u>Plant Physiology and</u> <u>Biochemistry</u>, 41, 337-344.
- Ugwu, C., H. Aoyagi and H. Uchiyama, 2008. Photobioreactors for mass cultivation of algae. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 99, 4021-4028.
- Valdés, F., M. Hernández, L. Catalá and A. Marcilla, 2012. Estimation of CO₂ stripping/CO₂ microalgae consumption ratios in a bubble column photobioreactor using the analysis of the pH profiles. Application to *Nannochloropsis oculata* microalgae culture. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 119, 1-6.
- Van Bergeijk, S., E. Salas-Leiton and J. Cañavate, 2010. Low and variable productivity and low efficiency of mass cultures of the haptophyte *Isochrysis aff. galbana* (T-iso) in outdoor tubular photobioreactors. <u>Aquacultural engineering</u>, 43, 14-23.
- Vega-Estrada, J., M. Montes-Horcasitas, A. Domínguez-Bocanegra and R. Canizares-Villanueva, 2005. *Haematococcus pluvialis* cultivation in split-cylinder

internal-loop airlift photobioreactor under aeration conditions avoiding cell damage. <u>Applied microbiology and biotechnology</u>, 68, 31-35.

- Voltolina, D., M. del Pilar Sánchez-Saavedra and L. M. Torres-Rodríguez, 2008. Outdoor mass microalgae production in Bahia Kino, Sonora, NW Mexico. <u>Aquacultural engineering</u>, 38, 93-96.
- Wang, J., J. Liu and T. Liu, 2015 The difference in effective light penetration may explain the superiority in photosynthetic efficiency of attached cultivation over the conventional open pond for microalgae. <u>Biotechnology for biofuels</u>, 8, 49.
- Wang, S.-K., Y.-R. Hu, F. Wang, A. R. Stiles and C.-Z. Liu, 2014. Scale-up cultivation of *Chlorella ellipsoidea* from indoor to outdoor in bubble column bioreactors. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 156, 117-122.
- Westerhoff, P., Q. Hu, M. Esparza-Soto and W. Vermaas, 2010. Growth parameters of microalgae tolerant to high levels of carbon dioxide in batch and continuous-flow photobioreactors. <u>Environmental technology</u>, 31, 523-532.
- Wetzel, R., 2001. Limnology Lake and River Ecosystems. Academic Press, UK.
- Widjaja, A., C.-C. Chien and Y.-H. Ju, 2009. Study of increasing lipid production from fresh water microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris*. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of <u>Chemical Engineers</u>, 40, 13-20.
- Xia, L., H. Ge, X. Zhou, D. Zhang and C. Hu, 2013. Photoautotrophic outdoor twostage cultivation for oleaginous microalgae *Scenedesmus obtusus* XJ-15. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 144, 261-267.
- Xu, Z., L. Dapeng, Z. Yiping, Z. Xiaoyan, C. Zhaoling, C. Wei and O. Fan, 2002.
 Comparison of photobioreactors for cultivation of *Undaria pinnatifida* gametophytes. <u>Biotechnology letters</u>, 24, 1499-1503.
- Yeh, K. L. and J. S. Chang, 2010. Effect of light supply and carbon source on cell growth and cellular composition of a newly isolated microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* ESP-31. Engineering in Life Sciences, 10, 201-208.

- Yim, J. H., S. J. Kim, S. H. Ahn and H. K. Lee, 2003. Optimal conditions for the production of sulfated polysaccharide by marine microalga *Gyrodinium impudicum* strain KG03. <u>Biomolecular engineering</u>, 20, 273-280.
- Yoo, C., S.-Y. Jun, J.-Y. Lee, C.-Y. Ahn and H.-M. Oh, 2010. Selection of microalgae for lipid production under high levels carbon dioxide. <u>Bioresource technology</u>, 101, S71-S74.
- Zittelli, G. C., L. Rodolfi, N. Biondi and M. R. Tredici, 2006. Productivity and photosynthetic efficiency of outdoor cultures of *Tetraselmis suecica* in annular columns. <u>Aquaculture</u>, 261, 932-943.



จุฬาลงกรณิมหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

APPENDIX

Measurement of nitrogen concentration by spectrophotometer

(Greenberg et al., 1992)

<u>Blank</u>

1 mL of distilled water is measured by spectrophotometer at wavelength of 220 and 275 nm and blank is set to zero.

<u>Calibration</u>

 KNO_3 stock solution 100 mg NO_3 -N/L is prepared by dissolved 0.7128 g of KNO_3 in 1000 mL of distilled water and keep with 1 mL of chloroform in dark glass.

 $\rm KNO_3$ stock is diluted using distilled water as 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, and 4.0 mg $\rm NO_3\textsc{-}$ N/L.

The solution is measured by spectrophotometer at wavelength of 220 and 275 nm. The correlation between Absorbance and nitrate concentration is

Absorbance = 0.25142^* [Nitrate-N concentration], $R^2 = 0.9950$

where Absorbance = Absorbance at 220nm-Absorbance at 275nm (Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer)

Procedure

Samples are measured by wavelength of 220 nm to obtain NO_3^- reading and wavelength of 275 nm to determine interference due to dissolved organic matter.

and blank is set to zero.

VITA

Miss Watadta Ritcharoen was born on 3rd November, 1983 in Chachoengsao. She finished her secondary course from Benchamaradcharungsarit School in March, 2001. After that, she studied in the major of Industrial Chemistry in Faculty of Science at King Monkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang. She continued her further study for Master's degree in Chemical Engineering at Chulalongkorn University. She participated in the Biochemical Engineering Research Group and achieved her Master's degree in April, 2007. She continued studying Ph.D. in chemical engineering in Environmental Chemical Engineering Research Group since 2009. She was granted a Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. scholarship (PHD/0066/2551) by Thailand Research Fund and took this opportunity to be exchange student at Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan for 5 months. She achieved her Ph.D. degree in 2014.

