ALLOCATIVE EFFICIENCY AND EQUITY OF PUBLIC HEALTH BUDGET IN THREE PROVINCES OF CHINA



Ms. Jiang Yuan

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science
Faculty of Economics
Graduate School
Chulalongkorn University
Academic Year 1996
ISBN 974-636-592-4

Thesis Title Allocative Efficiency and Equity of

Public Health Budget in Three

Provinces of China

By : Jiang Yuan

Program : Health Economics

Thesis Advisor : Asst. Prof. Isra Sarntisart, Ph.D

Thesis Co-advisor: Phitsanes Jessadachatr, Ph.D

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Master of Science Degree in Health Economics

..... Dean of Graduate School

(Prof. Supawat Chutivongse, M.D.)

Thesis Committee:

Chairman
(Asst. Prof. Pongsa Pornchaiwiseskul, Ph.D.)

(Asst. Prof. Isra Sarntisart, Ph.D.)

P. Jeradachali . Thesis Co-advisor (Phitsanes Jessadachatr, Ph.D.)

Chulk dan Member

(Prof. Chev Kidson, Ph.D.)

พิมพ์ตันฉบับบทลัดย่อวิทยานิพนธ์ภายในกรอบสีเขียวนี้เพียงแผ่นเดียว

3970333129 : MAJOR HEALTH ECONOMICS

KEY WORD:

PUBLIC HEALTH BUDGET / ALLOCATIVE EFFICIENCY / EQUITY JIANG YUAN: ALLOCATIVE EFFICIENCY AND EQUITY OF PUBLIC HEALTH BUDGET IN THREE PROVINCES OF CHINA. THESIS ADVISOR: ASST. PROF. ISRA SARNTISART, Ph. D. THESIS CO-ADVISOR: PHITSANES JESSADACHATR, Ph. D. 88pp. ISBN 974-636-592-4

The objectives of this study are to describe the trends of government health budget in three provinces (Shanxi, Jiangsu, and Guizhou provinces) of China, to evaluate the allocative efficiency between curative and preventive budget, and the equity of public health budget among 5 counties in the three provinces during 1985-1993.

The study analyzed data from a special survey which was conducted by Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine in 1994. Results show that: in the three provinces, the proportion of government health expenditure to GCP has decreased; the ratio of government recurrent budget for health to total government expenditure also has a decreasing trend; especially for the preventive sector, the proportion of budget to GCP decreased dramatically. They indicates that government gradually paid less attention to the preventive health sector.

A model was established to evaluate the allocative efficiency between preventive and curative budget before and after the economic reform in 1989. The marginal effect of preventive and curative budget were estimated. Results show that, before the economic reform, the marginal effect of preventive budget was smaller than that of the curative one. But after the economic reform, the curative budget marginal effect was only 60% of preventive one. It indicates that before economic reform government allocated too much budget to the preventive sector. But after the reform, local government over allocated budget to the curative sector.

Gini coefficient was used to evaluate the equity of preventive and curative budget among the 5 counties during the 9 years. Results show that, poorer counties got more preventive budget than richer counties, while richer counties got more curative budget than poorer counties from local government. The equity of both type of budget decreased after the economic reform due to decentralization.

ภาควิชา Economics	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต Fiangyuen
สาขาวิชา Health Economics	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา 🔑 🔑 🕻
ปีการศึกษา 1996	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม P Jessadachah.

Acknowledgments

I would like to think Dr. Isra Sarntisart, my thesis advisor, and Dr. Phitsanes Jessadachatr, my thesis co-advisor, for their kind and active guidance, and all their invaluable time devoted to the improvement of my thesis. Without their close supervision I could not finished it in time.

I also wish to thank Dr. Chev Kidson for his enormous help on my thesis and my study in Thailand. His constant encouragement and guidance are important for me to finish my study.

I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Pongsa Pornchaiwiseskul for his continued advice and encouragement as the Chairman in my committee.

Special thanks must go to WHO, which support me the one-year fellowship of my study and living in Bangkok.

Here, I also want to give my deeply thanks to Dr. Liu Huaqing, Dr. Chen Changjuan, Dr. Li Weirong and Miss Liang Jinjin. All of them help me finished the Delphi precess in my study.

Last, but not at all least, I would like to express my thanks to my director, Prof. Jin Shuigao and other colleagues in the Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine. Without their support it will be impossible for me to finish my study.

Jiang Yuan 20 April, 1997

Contents

	Page
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Contents	
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
Abbreviation	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction and objectives	1
1.1 Background	
1.2 Rationales	1
1.3 Research Questions and Objectives	
1.3.1 Research Questions	
1.3.2 General Objectives	6
1.3.3 Specific Objectives	6
1.4 Scope of the Study	
Chapter 2 Literature Review	8
2.1 Policy Issues Concerning Government Funding	of the
Health Sector in China	8
2.2 Allocative Efficiency and Equity of Health H	Resources 11
2.2.1 Efficiency	11
2.2.2 Equity	
Chapter 3 Trends of Public Health Budget in 3	Provinces
3.1 Decline in Government Recurrent Budget in I	
to GCP	
3.2 Decline in Government Recurrent Budget to 5	
Local Government Expenditure	
3.3 Ratio of Budget for Preventive Activities	
3.4 Conclusions	
U.T. CUILLUSTURG	

Chapter 4 Research Methodology and Data Collection	22
4.1 Study Framework	23
4.2 Measurement of Outcome	24
4.2.1 Mortality Rate	25
4.2.2 Morbidity Rate	25
4.2.3 Determination of a Weight for Each Disease	27
4.3 Evaluating Allocative Efficiency of the Public Budget	
Between the Curative and Preventive Health Care	28
4.3.1 Health Status Measures	29
4.3.2 Economic Factors	29
4.3.3 Average Public Health Budget for Treatment	30
4.3.4 Average Public Health Budget for Prevention	30
4.3.5 Immunization	31
4.3.6 Empirical Model of Evaluating Allocative	
Efficiency	31
4.4 The Equity of Public Budget	36
4.5 Data Collection	39
Chapter 5 Results and Discussion	41
5.1 Determination of Disease Weight	41
5.2 Predication of Morbidity Rate	
for 5 Counties in 9 Years	46
5.3 Allocative Efficiency of Public Health Budget	48
5.3.1 Regression Result	49
5.3.2 Regression Result Discussion	53
5.3.3 Marginal Effect of Different Activities	
	53
5.4 Result of Analysis of Equity in the Public Health	
Allocation	62
5.4.1 Equity for the Preventive Budget	63
5.4.2 Equity for the Curative Budget	63
5.4.3 Conclusion	69

5.5 R	Results of Analysis of Equity in Public Health Budget,	
H	Mealth Manpower and Average Hospital Bed Allocation	
i	n China	69
Chapte	er 6 Conclusion and Limitations	7 9
6.1 C	Conclusions	7 9
6.2 R	Recommendation	80
6.3 L	imitations of the Study	81
Refere	ences	83
Append	dix	86
Curric	Curriculum Vitae	

List of Tables

Table		Page
1.1	Basic Socio-economic Indicators in Selected Regions	5
3.1	Proportion of Government Recurrent Budget to GCP in the 5 Counties	18
3.2	Proportion of Government Recurrent to Total Government Expenditure in 5 Counties	19
3.3	Proportion of Preventive Budget Relative to GCP in 5 Counties	20
5.1	First Round Result of Disease Weighting	42
5.2	Second Round Result of Disease Weighting	44
5 . 3	Regression Result of Communicable Disease Model	46
5 . 4	Regression Result of Non-Communicable Disease Model	47
5.5	Predicated Result of Communicable Disease Morbidity Rate	48
5.6	Predicated Result of Non-Communicable Disease Morbidity Rate	48
5.7	Regression Result of Death Model (Before Reform)	50
5.8	Regression Result of Death Model (After Reform)	50
5.9	Regression Result of Communicable Disease Model(Before Reform)	51
5.10	Regression Result of Communicable Disease Model(After Reform)	51
5.11	Regress Result of No-Communicable Disease Model(Before Reform)	52
5.12	Regress Result of Non-Communicable Disease Model(After Reform)	52
5.13a	Three Year Marginal Effect of Prevention and Treatment for Death	53
5.13b	Three Year Marginal Effect of Prevention and Treatment for Communicable Disease	55
5.13c	Three Year Marginal Effect of Prevention and Treatment for Non-communicable Disease	57
5.13d	Sum of Three Year Marginal Effect of Prevention and Treatment	
5.14	Summary of Three Year Marginal Effect Before and After Reform	61
5.15	Measures Related to Equity in the Public Budget	64

5.16	GCP Per Capita (Yuan) and Rank	66
5.17	Gini Coefficient of Preventive and Curative Budget Among 5 Counties	66
5.18	Measures Related to Equity in Budget of Prevention and Treatment, China, 1992	70
5.19	Measures Related to Equity in Average Number of Doctors and Hospital Bed, China, 1992	71

List of Figures

Figur	re	Page
1.1	Life Expectancies and Health Expenditure in Selected Counties: Deviations from Estimates Based on GDP	2
2.1	Standardized Expenditure Concentration Curve	16
4.1	Study Framework	24
4.2	Derivation of Gini coefficient	38
5.1	Distribution of Preventive Budget: 1985-1993	67
5.2	Distribution of Curative Budget: 1985-1993	68
5.3	Distribution of Average Preventive Budget (1992, China)	75
5.4	Distribution of Average Curative Budget (1992, China)	76
5.5	Distribution of Average Health Staffs (1992, China)	7 7
5.6	Distribution of Average Hospital Beds (1992, China)	78

Abbreviation

CAPM: Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine

EPS: Anti- epidemic Pevention Stations

GCP: Gross County Production

GRB: Government Recurrent Budget

MCH: Maternal and Child Care Clinics

MOH: Ministry of Health

SY: Shouyang County, Shanxi Province

Qi : Qi County, Shanxi Province

DY: Danyang County, Jiangsu Province

JR: Jurong County, Jiangsu Province

LP: Lipo County, Guizhou Province