PREPARATION OF SILK FIBROIN/CELLULOSE WHISKERS BIONANOCOMPOSITE SPONGES FOR YEAST CELL IMMOBILIZATION USED IN CONTINUOUS ETHANOL PRODUCTION BY PACKED BED BIOREACTOR

Sureerat Jampa

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
and Case Western Reserve University

2013

Thesis Title:

Preparation of Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers

Bionanocomposite Sponges for Yeast Cell Immobilization

Used in Continuous Ethanol Production by Packed Bed

Bioreactor

By:

Sureerat Jampa

Program:

Polymer Science

Thesis Advisors:

Assoc. Prof. Ratana Rujiravanit

Prof. Emeritus Seiichi Tokura

Accepted by The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

..... College Dean

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

Thesis Committee:

Ratana Rujiravani t

(Assoc. Prof. Ratana Rujiravanit)

(Prof. Emeritus Seiichi Tokura)

Sumaeth (Curde)

(Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

(Asst. Prof. Manisara Phiriyawirut)

ABSTRACT

5472048063: Polymer Science Program

Sureerat Jampa: Preparation of Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers

Bionanocomposite Sponges for Yeast Cell Immobilization Used in

Continuous Ethanol Production by Packed Bed Bioreactor

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Ratana Rujiravanit and Prof. Emeritus

Seiichi Tokura 150 pp.

Keywords: Silk fibroin/ Cellulose whiskers/ Yeast cell immobilization/

Continuous ethanol fermentation

Silk fibroin (SF)/cellulose whiskers (CLWs) bionanocomposite sponges were prepared as a supporting material for yeast cell immobilization for further using in continuous ethanol production. The SF/CLWs bionanocomposite sponges with high porosity were fabricated by freeze-drying a silk fibroin solution containing cellulose whiskers at different SF/CLWs weight ratios. The freeze-dried SF/CLWs sponges were subjected to methanol treatment to increase water stability. Increasing the CLWs content resulted in higher water stability, less shrinkage, and better mechanical properties of SF/CLWs bionanocomposite sponges. In the continuous ethanol fermentation process, the bionanocomposite sponges with a SF/CLWs weight ratio of 50/50 were used to immobilize Saccharomyces serevisiae burgundy KY11 yeast cells. The bionanocomposite sponges with the immobilized yeast cells were loaded into the glass column of a packed bed bioreactor. Continuous ethanol fermentation was operated by varying D-glucose concentrations (100, 150, 200 g/l), dilution rates (0.15, 0.20, 0.25 hr⁻¹), and hydraulic retention times (6.87, 5.15, 4.12 hr). The continuous ethanol fermentation with a high concentration of D-glucose, low dilution rate, and high hydraulic retention time resulted in the production of a higher ethanol concentration. At 0.15 hr⁻¹ dilution rate and 200 g/l feed glucose concentration, the maximum ethanol production of 51.18 g/l with the volumetric ethanol productivity of 7.68 gl⁻¹hr⁻¹ was obtained under continuous ethanol fermentation.

บทคัดย่อ

สุรีรัตน์ จำปา : การเตรียมวัสดุคอมโพสิตที่มีรูพรุนจากไฟโบรอินจากรังไหมที่ เสริมแรงด้วยเซลลูโลสวิสเกอร์เพื่อใช้ในการตรึงเซลล์ยีสต์สำหรับการหมักเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่อง (Preparation of Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers Bionanocomposite Sponges for Yeast Cell Immobilization Used in Continuous Ethanol Production by Packed Bed Bioreactor) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : รศ. คร. รัตนา รุจิวานิช และ ศ. คร. เซอิชิ โทกุระ 150 หน้า

วัสดุคอมโพสิตที่มีรูพรุนซึ่งเตรียมจากไฟโบรอินจากรังไหมผสมกับเซลลูโลสวิสเกอร์ ถูกใช้เป็นวัสคุตรึงเซลล์ยีสต์ สำหรับการผลิตเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่อง วัสคุรูพรุนถูกเตรียมโคยการ ทำแห้งแบบเยือกแข็งของสารละลายไฟโบรอินจากรังใหมที่ผสมกับเซลลูโลสวิสเกอร์ใน อัตราส่วนต่างๆ โดยน้ำหนัก วัสคุรูพรุนที่เตรียมได้ถูกจุ่มในสารละลายเมทานอลเพื่อเพิ่ม ความสามารถในการคงรูปอยู่ในน้ำของวัสดุ นอกจากนี้การเพิ่มปริมาณเซลลูโลสวิสเกอร์ส่งผลทำ ให้วัสคุสามารถคงรูปอยู่ในน้ำได้ดีขึ้น การหคตัวของวัสคุลคลงและยังช่วยเพิ่มสมบัติเชิงกลของ วัสดุอีกด้วย วัสดุคอมโพสิตที่มีรูพรุนในอัตราส่วนของไฟโบรอินจากรังใหม/เซลลูโลสวิสเกอร์ เท่ากับ 50/50 โดยน้ำหนัก ถูกใช้เพื่อตรึงเซลล์ยีสต์สำหรับกระบวนการหมักเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่อง วัสครพรนที่ตรึงเซลล์ยีสต์ถูกบรรจุในคอลัมน์แก้วเพื่อใช้ในการหมักเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่องซึ่ง ความเข้มข้นน้ำตาลกลูโคส (100, 150, 200 กรัมต่อลิตร) อัตราการเจือจาง (0.15, 0.20, 0.25 ต่อ ชั่วโมง)และระยะเวลาการกักน้ำหมักในระบบ (6.87, 5.15, 4.12 ชั่วโมง) ถูกใช้สำหรับ กระบวนการหมักเอทานอล นอกจากนี้ยังพบว่ากระบวนการหมักเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่องที่มีการใช้ น้ำตาลกลูโคสความเข้มข้นสูง อัตราการเจือจางต่ำและมีระยะเวลาการกักน้ำหมักในระบบนานทำ ให้ปริมาณเอทานอลที่ผลิตได้มีความเข้มข้นสูง การหมักเอทานอลแบบต่อเนื่องที่อัตราการเจือจาง 0.15 ต่อชั่วโมงและความเข้มข้นน้ำตาลกลูโคส 200 กรัมต่อลิตรจะทำให้ได้ปริมาณเอทานอล สูงสุดเท่ากับ 51.18 กรัมต่อลิตรที่ความสามารถในการผลิตเอทานอลเท่ากับ 7.68 กรัมต่อลิตรต่อ หั่วโมง

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis advisors Assoc. Prof. Ratana Rujiravanit and Prof. Emeritus Seiichi Tokura for their sincere assistances, invaluable knowledge, and beneficial techniques. Without their constructive suggestions and knowledgeable guidance in this study, this research would never have successfully been accomplished. I really appreciate in her kindness and would like to sincerely thank here.

Sincere thanks and deep appreciation are also extended to Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej and Asst. Prof. Manisara Phiriyawirut, my thesis examination committee, for their comments and useful suggestions. Moreover, I would like to show my heartfelt thanks to all teachers who have taught me all along.

I am grateful for the scholarship and financial support of Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (DPST), the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, and the Center of Excellence on Petrochemical and Materials Technology, Thailand.

I greatly thank Dr. Chutima Vanichvattanadecha, Dr. Orathai Pornsunthorntawee, my Ratana's seniors, my friends, and all PPC staffs who gave me encouragement and heartfelt assist.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to my family for their love, understanding, help, encouragement and support throughout my life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| Titl | e Page | i |
| Abs | stract (in English) | iii |
| Abs | stract (in Thai) | iv |
| Ack | cnowledgements | v |
| Tab | le of Contents | vi |
| List | of Tables | xi |
| List | of Figures | xii |
| СНАРТІ | ER | |
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II | THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND | 3 |
| | LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| | 2.1 Ethanol | 3 |
| | 2.1.1 Ethanol Production | 4 |
| | 2.2 Fermentation | 4 |
| | 2.2.1 Ethanol Fermentation | 5 |
| | 2.2.2 Continuous Ethanol Fermentation | 8 |
| | 2.3 Cell Immobilization System | 10 |
| | 2.3.1 Adsorption to a Pre-formed Carrier | 11 |
| | 2.3.2 Cell Entrapment | 12 |
| | 2.3.3 Self-aggregation | 12 |
| | 2.3.4 Containment of Cells behind a Barrier | 13 |
| | 2.4 Silk Fibroin (SF) | 16 |
| | 2.4.1 Structure of SF | 19 |
| | 2.4.1.1 Primary Structure | 19 |
| | 2.4.1.2 Secondary Structure | 19 |
| | 2.4.2 SF as a Biomaterial | 22 |

| CHAPTER | 1 | PAGE |
|---------|--|------|
| | 2.5 Cellulose (CL) | 25 |
| | 2.5.1 Cellulose Whiskers (CLWs) | 27 |
| Ш | METHODOLOGY | 32 |
| | 3.1 Materials | 32 |
| | 3.1.1 Silk Cocoon and Banana Rachis | 32 |
| | 3.1.2 Yeast Cells | 32 |
| | 3.1.3 Other Chemicals | 32 |
| | 3.2 Experimental Methods | 33 |
| | 3.2.1 Preparation of SF Solution | 33 |
| | 3.2.2 Preparation of CLWs | 33 |
| | 3.2.3 Preparation of SF/CLWs Bionanocomposite Sponge | 33 |
| | 3.2.4 Methanol Treatment of SF/CLWs Bionanocomposite | |
| | Sponge | 34 |
| | 3.2.5 Inoculums Preparation | 34 |
| | 3.2.6 Yeast Cells Immobilization | 34 |
| | 3.2.7 Continuous Ethanol Fermentation | 34 |
| | 3.3 Equipments and Characterizations | 35 |
| | 3.3.1 Fourier Transformed Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy | 35 |
| | 3.3.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) | 35 |
| | 3.3.3 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy | |
| | (FE-SEM) | 36 |
| | 3.3.4 Lloyd Instrumental | 36 |
| | 3.3.5 Optical Microscopy (OM) | 36 |
| | 3.3.6 Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) Spectroscopy | 36 |
| | 3.3.7 Gas Chromatography (GC) | 36 |
| | 3.4 Analytical measurements | 37 |
| | 3.4.1 Weight Loss of SF/CLWs Bionanocomposite Sponge | 37 |
| | 3.4.2 Shrinkage of SF/CLWs Bionanocomposite Sponge | 37 |
| | 3.4.3 Immobilization and Leakage of Yeast Cells | 37 |

| CHAPTER | 2 | | PAGE |
|---------|----------------|---|-------|
| | 3.4.4 Su | gar Consumption during Fermentation | 38 |
| | 3.4.5 Et | hanol Production during Fermentation | 39 |
| | 3.4 | 3.5.1 Ethanol Production | 39 |
| | 3.4 | 1.5.2 Volumetric Ethanol Productivity (Q _p) | 39 |
| | 3.4 | 1.5.3 Ethanol Yield (Y _{P/S}) | 39 |
| IV | PREPARAT | TION OF SILK FIBROIN/CELLULOSE | 40 |
| | WHISKERS | BIONANOCOMPOSITE SPONGES FOR | |
| | YEAST CEI | LL IMMOBILIZATION USED IN CONTIN | UOUS |
| | ETHANOL | PRODUCTION BY PACKED BED BIORE. | ACTOR |
| | 4.1 Abstract | | 40 |
| | 4.2 Introduct | tion | 41 |
| | 4.3 Experime | ental | 43 |
| | 4.4 Results a | and Discussion | 49 |
| | 4.5 Conclusi | ons | 78 |
| | 4.6 Acknowl | edgements | 79 |
| | 4.7 Referenc | es | 79 |
| V | CONCLUSI | ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 83 |
| | REFERENC | CES | 84 |
| | APPENDICI | ES | 88 |
| | Appendix A | The Bionanocomposite Sponge Codes at | |
| | | Various Weight Ratios of SF to CLWs | 88 |
| | Appendix B | Weight Loss of Silk Fibroin/Cellulose | |
| | | Whiskers Bionanocomposite Sponges at | |
| | | Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLWs | 89 |

CHAPTER PAGE

| Appendix C | Shrinkage of Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers | |
|------------|--|-------|
| | Bionanocomposite Sponges after Methanol | |
| | Treatment at Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLW | s 101 |
| Appendix D | Compression Modulus of Methanol-treated | |
| | Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers Bionanocompos | ite |
| | Sponges at Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLWs | 104 |
| Appendix E | Growth Curve of Saccharomyces Cerevisiae | |
| | Burgundy KY 11 | 105 |
| Appendix F | Yeast Cells in Methanol-treated | |
| | Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers Bionanocompos | ite |
| | Sponges at Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLWs | 108 |
| Appendix G | Leaked Yeast Cells from Methanol-treated | |
| | Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers Bionanocompos | ite |
| | Sponges at Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLWs | |
| | after Immersed in Distilled Water with 150 rpm | |
| | Shaking at 30°C for 24 Hours | 111 |
| Appendix H | % Yeast Cell Immobilization Efficiency | |
| | and % Yeast Cell Leakage of Methanol-treated | |
| | Silk Fibroin/Cellulose Whiskers Bionanocompos | ite |
| | Sponges at Various Weight Ratios of SF/CLWs a | fter |
| | Immersed in Distilled Water with 150 rpm Shaki | ng |
| | at 30°C for 24 Hours | 114 |
| Appendix I | Determination of Ethanol Concentration in | |
| | Continuous Ethanol Fermentation by Immobilize | d |
| | Yeast Cell | 116 |
| Appendix J | Determination of Volumetric Ethanol | |
| | Productivity (Q_p) in Continuous Ethanol | |
| | Fermentation by Immobilized Yeast Cell | 124 |

| CHAPTER | | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| Appendix K | Determination of Sugar Concentration | |
| | in Continuous Ethanol Fermentation by | |
| | Immobilized Yeast Cell | 127 |
| Appendix L | Determination of % Sugar Consumption | |
| | in Continuous Ethanol Fermentation by | |
| | Immobilized Yeast Cell | 141 |
| Appendix M | Determination of Ethanol Yield (Y _{P/S}) | |
| | in Continuous Ethanol Fermentation by | |
| | Immobilized Yeast Cell | 144 |
| Appendix N | Plot Graph between Ethanol Production | |
| | (g/l) and Time (hr) in Continuous Ethanol | |
| | Fermentation by Immobilized Yeast Cell | 147 |
| Appendix O | Photograph of MSF50 Bionanocomposite | |
| | Sponge after Used in Continuous Ethanol | |
| | Fermentation | 149 |
| CURRICUL | UM VITAE | 150 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Composition of silk produced by Bombyx mori silkworm | 17 |
| 2.2 | Amino acid composition of Bombyx mori fibroin | 18 |
| 4.1 | Production yield of silk fibroin solution | 49 |
| 4.2 | Production yield of CLWs suspension | 52 |
| 4.3 | The main functional groups of CLWs | 56 |
| 4.4 | The non-methanol treated bionanocomposite sponge codes | |
| | at various weight ratios of SF to CLWs | 57 |
| 4.5 | The methanol-treated bionanocomposite sponge codes at | |
| | various weight ratios of SF to CLWs | 57 |
| 4.6 | Average pore width of bionanocomposite sponge | 58 |
| 4.7 | A comparison of the fermentation condition and | |
| | ethanol production | 77 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGU | FIGURE | |
|------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Metabolic pathway of ethanol fermentation in | |
| | Saccharomyces serevisiae. | 6 |
| 2.2 | Sources of sugars for ethanol production, 1 Crops; | |
| | 2 lignocellulose. Arrows represent hydrolysis | |
| | (only monomers generated from hydrolysis, represented | |
| | by dark arrows, can be fermented). G Glucose, Gal galactose, | |
| | F fructose, Man mannose, X xylose, Ara arabinose, | |
| | Other L-rhamnose, L-fucose, uronic acids. | 7 |
| 2.3 | Model of continuous fermentation system. | 8 |
| 2.4 | Effect of sugar concentration on ethanol production | |
| | in a continuous immobilized cells reactor with different HRT. | 9 |
| 2.5 | Adsorption to a per-formed carrier. | 11 |
| 2.6 | Cell entrapment within the carrier. | 12 |
| 2.7 | Self-aggregation of cells. | 13 |
| 2.8 | Containment of cells behind carrier. | 13 |
| 2.9 | Scanning electron micrograph of immobilized cells on BC | |
| | after the immobilization culture. | 14 |
| 2.10 | A cross-section of a thin-shell silk cocoon (TSC) | |
| | at the initial time (0 h), (A) and after the five-cycle repeated | |
| | batch fermentation (240 h), (B). | 15 |
| 2.11 | Scanning electron microphotograph (1000x) of the | |
| | (a) hydrated DSBP; Saccharomyces cerevisiae immobilized | |
| | (b) on the surface and (c) inside the cavities of hydrated DSBP. | 16 |
| 2.12 | Physical structure of raw silk fiber. | 17 |
| 2.13 | Primary structure of SF. | 19 |
| 2.14 | (a) Structure of SF composed of amorphous and crystalline | |
| | region and (b) β-sheet conformation of the crystalline region of SF. | 20 |

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|--|--------|
| 2.15 | FT-IR spectra of the as-prepared silk fibroin sponge (A), | |
| | methanol-treated sponges at various C/S ratios (B to E), | |
| | and chitin whisker film (F). Arrows indicate the | |
| | absorption shoulder. | 21 |
| 2.16 | Typical cell growth curves on silk fibroin from (a) Bombyx | |
| | Mori domestic silkworm, (b) Antheraea pernyi wild silkworm. | 22 |
| 2.17 | The growth of cells on non-woven silk fibroin nets. | |
| | Cell name and (type) shown are: (a) 1321N1(glial); (b) A549 | |
| | (epithelial); (c) CACO-2 (epithelial); (d) HaCaT (keratinocyte); | |
| | (e) HPMEC ST1.6R (endothelial); (f) MRC-5 (fibroblast); (g) | |
| | MG63(osteoblast); (h) STML-12 (epithelial); and (i) U373 MG (glia | al).23 |
| 2.18 | SEM micrographs of attached cells on methanol-treated | |
| | silk fibroin sponges for (a) 6 and (b) 24 h of cultivation | |
| | and SEM micrographs of attached cells on methanol-treated | |
| | chitin whisker/silk fibroin sponges at C/S ratio of 4/8 for (c) 6 | |
| | and (d) 24 h of cultivation. | 24 |
| 2.19 | Chemical repeating unit of cellulose. | 25 |
| 2.20 | Cellulose fiber (A), Microfibrillated cellulose (B), | |
| | Cellulose whiskers (C). | 26 |
| 2.21 | Inter and intra hydrogen bonding in CL. | 27 |
| 2.22 | Esterification of cellulose hydroxyl groups during | |
| | sufuric acid hydrolysis. | 28 |
| 2.23 | Suspensions of sugarcane bagasse whiskers. | 28 |
| 2.24 | TEM micrographs of negatively stained preparations of | |
| | cellulose microfibrils isolated after different treatments: (a) peroxide | : |
| | alkaline (PA), (b) peroxide alkaline-hydrochloric acid (PA-HCl), (c |) |
| | potassium hydroxide 5 wt% (KOH-5) and (d) potassium hydroxide | |
| | 18 wt% (KOH-18). | 30 |
| 2.25 | Transmission electron micrograph from diluted suspension | |
| | of CLWs extracted from rice husk fibers. | 31 |

| FIGU | GURE | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Appearance of silk fibroin solution. | 49 |
| 4.2 | FTIR spectrum of SF sponge. | 50 |
| 4.3 | FTIR spectrum of methanol-treated SF sponge. | 51 |
| 4.4 | Chemical structure of silk fibroin. | 51 |
| 4.5 | Appearance of cellulose whiskers suspension. | 52 |
| 4.6 | TEM image of cellulose whiskers suspension. | 53 |
| 4.7 | (a) The diameter distribution and (b) length distribution | |
| | of CLWs derived from banana rachis. | 54 |
| 4.8 | FTIR spectra of native cellulose (CL) and CLWs. | 56 |
| 4.9 | SEM images of surface of (a) methanol-treated SF sponge | |
| | and (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) methanol-treated bionanocomposite | |
| | sponges at SF/CLWs ratio of 90/10, 80/20, 70/30, 60/40 | |
| | and 50/50, respectively. | 59 |
| 4.10 | SEM images of cross sectional part of (a) methanol-treated | |
| | SF sponge and (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) methanol-treated | |
| | bionanocomposite sponges at SF/CLWs ratio of 90/10, 80/20, | |
| | 70/30, 60/40 and 50/50, respectively. | 60 |
| 4.11 | FTIR spectra of SF, CLWs and SF/CLWs bionanocomposite | |
| | sponge at various ratios after methanol treatment. | 62 |
| 4.12 | Weight loss of non-methanol treated bionanocomposite sponges. | 64 |
| 4.13 | Weight loss of methanol-treated bionanocomposite sponges. | 64 |
| 4.14 | Shrinkage (%) of bionanocomposite sponges at various ratios | |
| | after methanol treatment. | 65 |
| 4.15 | Compression modulus of methanol- treated bionanocomposite | |
| | sponges. | 67 |
| 4.16 | Possible intermolecular hydrogen bond between SF and CLWs. | 67 |
| 4.17 | (a) A number of immobilized yeast cells in the methanol-treated | |
| | SF/CLWs bionanocomposite sponge at various weight ratios | |
| | and (b) The % immobilization efficiency in the methanol-treated | |
| | SF/CLWs bionanocomposite sponge at various weight ratios. | 68 |

| FIGUI | FIGURE | |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.18 | SEM images of a large number of yeast cells attached | |
| | inside MSF50 bionanocomposite sponge. | 70 |
| 4.19 | (a) A number of leaked yeast cells and (b) the % cell | |
| | leakage from the methanol-treated SF/CLWs | |
| | bionanocomposite sponge at various weight ratios. | 71 |
| 4.20 | The effect of feed glucose concentration and dilution rate | |
| | on (a) the ethanol production, (b) volumetric ethanol | |
| | productivity (Qp) and (c) ethanol yield (YP/S). | 74 |
| 4.21 | The effect of feed glucose concentration and dilution rate | |
| | on (a) % sugar consumption and (b) residual sugar concentration. | 76 |