## Chapter IV

## Results and Discussion

## Characteristics of the patients and dosage regimen

The patients taking lithium who met the criteria of this study were evaluated. Forty-two patients who were admitted in the hospital were analyzed. Table VI showed the characteristics of the patients, table VII showed the dosage regimen administered to each patients. Maintenance dose of lithium was administered to the patients in three different patterns to maintain lithium blood. level, the dosage regimen usually prescribed by physicians were 600 mg per day, 900 mg per day and 1200 mg per day. The percentage of patients taking various dosages of lithium were concluded in table VIII. The forty-two patients studied could be divided into two groups, one group of patients used lithium to control the manic episode while the other group of patients used lithium as adjunctive therapy with other drugs such as antipsychotics, antidepressants and anti-anxiety agents. Physicians in Srithunya Hospital tend to use conventional dosage regimen and have not applied pharmacokinetics to the lithium dosage regimen calculation.

Patients with psychiatric disorders usually use many groups of drugs for the best clinical improvement. Antipsychotics, antidepressants, anti-anxiety agents and other groups of drugs are always used concomittantly with lithium. In this study, all patients had taken lithium with antipsychotic drugs,
six out of forty-two patients had taken lithium with antidepressant drugs, and seven out of forty-two patients had taken lithium with anti-anxiety agents.


Table VI Demographic Data of Patients Taking Lithium carbonate.

| Patient Number | Sex | Age (years) | Weight (kg.) | Height (cm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | F | 58 | 53 | 163.5 |
| 2 | M | 42 | 45 | 163 |
| 3 | F | 23 | 31.5 | 160 |
| 4 | F | 31 | 60 | 154 |
| 5 | M | 28 | 78 | 171 |
| 6 | F | 32 | 55 | 155 |
| 7 | F | 62 | 49 | 161 |
| 8 | F | 43 | 38 | 157 |
| 9 | M | 32 | 65 | 170 |
| 10 | F | 21 | 35 | 156 |
| 11 | F | 24 | 42 | 156 |
| 12 | F | 32 | 65 | 170 |
| 13 | F | 39 | 39 | 149 |
| 14 | F | 37 | 65 | 152 |
| 15 | F | $62$ | 9.49 | 148 |
| 16 | M | 30 | 74 | 169 |
| $9917$ | 9 F | Ch62 | 854 / | 160 |
| 918 | F | 68 | 47 | 154 |
| 19 | M | 18 | 55 | 167 |
| 20 | M | 53 | 57 | 165 |
| 21 | M | 44 | 62 | 174 |
| 22 | M | 34 | 60 | 170 |
| 23 | M | 36 | 71 | 165 |

continued.

| Patient Number | Sex | Age (years) | Weight (kg.) | Height (cm.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | M | 59 | 56.5 | 166 |
| 25 | F | 45 | 70 | 158 |
| 26 | F | 32 | 40 | 162 |
| 27 | F | 32 | 54 | 155 |
| 28 | M | 17 | 49 | 159 |
| 29 | F. | 37 | 76 | 156 |
| 30 | M | 44 | 48 | 174 |
| 31 | F | 62 | 63 | 154 |
| 32 | F | 27 | 72 | 161 |
| 33 | M | 43 | 52 | 170 |
| 34 | M | 28 | 45 | 149 |
| 35 | F | 53 | 70 | 158 |
| 36 | M | 27 | 68 S | 173 |
| 37 | M | 36 | $67=$ | 160 |
| 38 | F | 54 | 33 | 155 |
| 39 | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | $67$ | $29$ | 146 |
| 40 bl | F | 19 19 | - 45 | ] 162 |
| ( 41 ¢ | 9 F | ) 329 | $8 \bigcirc 559$ | 158 |
| 942 | F | 31 | 44 | 149 |

Males $=16 \quad$ Females $=26$
Age mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}=39.4286 \pm 14.3580$, range $=17-68$ years
Weight mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}=54.4286 \pm 12.8811$, range $=29-76 \mathrm{~kg}$.
Height mean $\pm S D=160.3452 \pm 7.5615$, range $=146-174 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table VII Dose of Lithium carbonate Administered to Each Patients.

| Patient <br> Number | Dose (mg/day) | Patient <br> Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 600 | 22 | 900 |
| 2 | 900 | 23 | 900 |
| 3 | 900 | 24 | 900 |
| 4 | 900 | 25 | 900/1200 |
| 5 | 900 | 26 | 1200 |
| 6 | 900 | 27 | 900 |
| 7 | 900 | 28 | 900 |
| 8 | 600/900 | 29 | 900 |
| 9 | 900 | 30 | 900 |
| 10 | 600 | 31 | 900/0FF |
| 11 | 600 | 32 | 900 |
| 12 | 900 | 33 | 1200 |
| 13 | 1200 | 34 | 900 |
| 14 | 900 | 35 | 900 |
| 15 | 600 | 36 | 900 |
| 16 | $900$ | - 37 | 900 |
| 176 | 900 | 38 | 900 |
| 18 | 900 | $39$ | $900,0 F F$ |
| 19 | 900/1200 | - 40 | 6 900 |
| 20 | 900/1200 | 41 | 900 |
| 21 | 900 | 42 | 900 |

/ before and after adjustment of lithium carbonate dosage

Table VIII Percentage of Patients Taking Different Dosage of Lithium carbonate.

| Dosage of Lithium carbonate | Total number of patients <br> (percent) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $600 \mathrm{mg} /$ day | $5(11.91)$ |
| $900 \mathrm{mg} /$ day | $34(80.95)$ |
| $1200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}$ | $3(7.14)$ |

## 1. The blood lithium levels versus the dosage regimen

From this study, the dosage regimens were classified into three subgroups. The dosage regimen and the corresponding measured lithium concentration were recorded. Administered 600 mg lithium carbonate per day resulted in the measured lithium levels ranged from $0.42-0.90 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ shown in table IX. The 900 mg lithium carbonate per day dose gave the measured lithium level to be $0.30-2.25 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ shown in table X which was a very wide range indicated high interindividual variations among patients. After the 1200 mg lithium carbonate per day dose ,the measured lithium level was $0.70-1.10 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ as shown in table XI.

Table IX Measured lithium steady state level of patients taking 600 mg lithium carbonate per day.

| Patient Number | Age (years) | Dose (mg/kg/day) | Measured lithium level (mEq/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 58 | 11.32 | 0.90 |
| 8 | 43 | 15.79 | 0.42 |
| 10 | 21 | 17.14 | 0.69 |
| 11 | 24 | 14.29 | 0.73 |
| 15 | 62 | 12.24 | 0.70 |
| Mean | 41.60 | 14.17 | 0.6880 |
| SD | 18.85 | 2.40 | 0.1722 |
| Maximum | 62 | 17.14 | 0.90 |
| Minimum | 21 | 11.32 | 0.42 |

Table X Measured lithium steady state level of patients taking 900 mg lithium carbonate per day.

| Patient Number | Age (years) | Dose (mg/kg/day) | Measured lithium level (mEq/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 42 | 20.00 | 0.62 |
| 3 | 23 | 28.57 |  |
| 4 | 31 | 15.00 | 1.56 |
| 5 | 28 |  | 11.54 |
| 9 | 32 | 16.36 | 0.86 |
| 6 | 62 | 18.37 | 0.58 |
| 7 | 32 | 13.85 | 0.59 |
| 9 | 32 | 13.85 | 1.03 |
| 12 | 37 | 13.85 | 0.69 |
| 14 | 30 | 12.16 | 0.54 |
| 16 |  |  | 0.46 |

continued.

| Patient Number | Age (years) | Dose (mg/kg/day) | Measured lithium level (mEq/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 62 | 16.67 | 0.63 |
| 18 | 68 | 19.15 | 1.07 |
| 19 | 18 | 16.36 | 0.58 |
| 20 | 53 | 15.79 | 0.30 |
| 21 | 44 | 14.52 | 0.39 |
| 22 | 34 | - 15.00 | 0.94 |
| 23 | 36 | 12.68 | 0.53 |
| 24 | 59 | 15.93 | 0.76 |
| 25 | 45 | 12.86 | 0.47 |
| 27 | 32 | 16.67 | 0.57 |
| 28 | 17 | 18.37 | 0.96 |
| 29 | 37 | $11.84$ | 0.70 |
| 30 | 44 | 18.75 | 0.76 |
| 31 | 62 | \%. 14.29 | 1.00 |
| 32 | 27 | 12.50 | 0.59 |
| 34 | 28 | 20.00 | 0.68 |
| 35 | 53 | 12.87 | 0.67 |
| 36 | 27 | 13.23 | $\square 0.92$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $6{ }_{54}^{36}$ |  |  |
| $39$ <br> 40 | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | $\int 6 \underset{20.00}{31.03}$ |  |
| 41 | 32 | 16.36 | 0.71 |
| 42 | 31 | 20.45 | 0.53 |
| Mean | 39.91 | 16.75 | 0.7982 |
| SD | 14.02 | 4.67 | 0.3984 |
| Maximum | 68 | 31.03 | 2.25 |
| Minimum | 17 | 11.54 | 0.30 |

Table XI Measured lithium steady state level of patients taking 1200 mg lithium carbonate per day.

| Patient Number | Age (years) | Dose (mg/kg/day) | Measured lithium level (mEq/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 39 | 30.77 | 1.04 |
| 26 | 32 | 30.00 | 1.10 |
| 33 | 43 | 27.91 | 0.70 |
| Mean | 38.00 | 29.56 | 0.9467 |
| SD | 5.57 | 1.48 | 0.2157 |
| Maximum | 43 | 30.77 | 1.10 |
| Minimum | 32 | 27.91 | 0.70 |

## 2. Blood lithium levels and the therapeutic range

The lithium concentrations which were required for the treatment to attain therapeutic levels were $0.5-1.2 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (Ward, Musa and Bailey, 1994). Most patients' lithium levels were within the desired range. Among the 42 patients included in this study, 34 patients ( $80.95 \%$ ) had measured blood lithium levels within therapeutic range, 5 patients (11.91\%) had blood lithium levels in the subtherapeutic range and 3 patients (7.14\%) had blood lithium levels which were overtherapeutic range as shown in table XII. Majority of the patients had their lithium concentrations within $0.51-1.00$ $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ as shown in table XIII. Table XIV, XV and XVI showed dosage regimen, lithium levels and clinical responses of the patients whose blood
lithium levels were classified as subtherapeutic, within therapeutic and overtherapeutic ranges respectively.

Table XII Percentage of patients whose blood lithium concentrations were in the subtherapeutic, therapeutic or overtherapeutic ranges.

| Blood lithium level <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Number of patients | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subtherapeutic level <br> $(<0.5)$ | 5 | 11.91 |
| therapeutic level <br> $(0.5-1.2)$ | 34 | 80.95 |
| overtherapeutic level <br> $(>1.2)$ | 3 | 7.14 |

Table XIII Number of patients whose measured lithium level were within various ranges.

| Measured lithium level (mEq/L) | Number of patients |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 0.50$ | 5 |
| $1.01-1.50$ | 29 |
| $1.51-2.00$ | 5 |
| $2.01-2.50$ | 2 |
| $>2.50$ | 1.00 |

Table XIV Dosage regimen, blood lithium level and clinical response of patients whose lithium level were classified as subtherapeutic.

| Patient <br> Number | Dose <br> $(\mathrm{mg} /$ day $)$ | Blood lithium level <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Efficacy | Toxicity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 8 | 600 | 0.42 | NO | NO |
| 14 | 900 | 0.46 | YES | NO |
| 20 | 900 | 0.30 | NO | NO |
| 21 | 900 | 0.39 | YES | NO |
| 25 | 900 | 0.47 | NO | NO |



## สถาบนวิทยบริการ

Table XV Dosage regimen, blood lithium level and clinical response of patients whose lithium levels were within therapeutic range.

continued $\qquad$

| Patient <br> Number | Dose <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day})$ | Blood lithium level <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Efficacy | Toxicity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 900 | 0.68 | YES | NO |
| .35 | 900 | 0.67 | YES | NO |
| 36 | 900 | 0.92 | NO | NO |
| 38 | 900 | 1.18 | YES | NO |
| 40 | 900 | 0.70 | YES | NO |
| 41 | 900 | 0.71 | NO | NO |
| 42 | 900 | 0.53 | YES | NO |
| 13 | 1200 | 1.04 | NO | NO |
| 26 | 1200 | 1.10 | YES | NO |
| 33 | 1200 | 0.70 | NO | NO |

Table XVI Dosage regimen, blood lithium level and clinical response of patients whose lithium levels were classified as overtherapeutic.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Patient } \\ \text { Number }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Dose } \\ \text { (mg/day) }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Blood lithium level } \\ \text { (mEq/L) }\end{array}\right)$

All of the 42 patients were followed up for their clinical responses. The patients were indicated as showing improvement if the undesired symptoms of the present disease was disappeared or decrease. Twenty-two
patients showed signs of improvement while sixteen patients showed no improvement and four patients had the undesired effects from the drug. Nineteen out of 34 patients ( $55.88 \%$ ) whose lithium levels were within therapeutic range showed improvement while two patients (5.88\%) showed toxicity. Two out of five patients ( $40 \%$ ) with subtherapeutic lithium levels showed improvement and none showed toxicity. One out of three patients (33.33 \%) with overtherapeutic lithium levels showed improvement while two patients ( $66.67 \%$ ) showed toxicity.

All of the improvement signs of patients were analyzed and the side effects were monitored. Most of them were treated with the traditional dosage regimen normally prescribed by the physician. During this study, the patients had taken the drugs for some period of time before the blood lithium levels were drawn. The side effects of lithium were observed in patients No. 17, 24, 37, and 39. The patient No. 17 with measured lithium level 0.63 $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ had tremor. The patient No. 24 with measured lithium level 0.76 $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ had ataxia. The patient No. 37 with measured lithium level 1.75 $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ had imbalance movement of the body. The patient No. 39 with measured lithium level $2.25 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ had diarrhea and tiredness.

## จฬาลงกรณมหาวทยาลย

3. Comparison between the measured and the predicted blood lithium concentrations.

Lithium is distributed in the body as a two-compartment model. It distributed initially into the plasma compartment and other rapidly
equilibrating tissues, and then pass into a more slowly equilibrating tissue compartment where it exerts its pharmacological effects in the neurons. The way which lithium distributed in the body must be considered when interpreted the serum or plasma or whole blood level because lithium is not bound to plasma protein. In this study, whole blood were collected at the proper time for meaningful indication of the clinical effects, 12 hours after the administration of the last dose of lithium in the evening of the day before collecting the sample. Every patients must take lithium at the same dosage regimen everyday for at least seven days to get steady state concentration before the blood sample was drawn. The mean measured lithium level was $0.7957 \pm 0.3688 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ) and the range was $0.30-2.25 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ while the mean predicted blood lithium concentration was $0.8833 \pm 0.3841$ $\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ (mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ ) and the range was $0.35-2.42 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$.

Table XVII presented the comparison between the measured and predicted blood lithium level.

The predicted lithium concentrations calculated by using patient serum creatinine and some physical characteristics. When the measured and predicted were compared by the paired t-test statistical method, indicated significant difference between the mean measured and predicted concentrations at the $95 \%$ level of confidence. Figure III presented the measured and predicted concentrations of the forty-two patients.

Table XVII Measured and Predicted blood lithium concentrations of each patients.

| Patient Number | Measured (mEq/L) | Predicted (mEq/L) | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.62 | 0.99 | -0.37 |
| 3 | - 1.56 | 1.09 | 0.47 |
| 4 | 0.86 | 0.61 | 0.25 |
| 5 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.02 |
| 6 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.01 |
| 7 | 1.03 | 1.35 | -0.32 |
| 8 | 0.42 | 0.62 | -0.20 |
| 9 | 0.69 | 0.77 | -0.08 |
| 10 | 0.69 | 0.82 | -0.13 |
| 11 | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.10 |
| 12 | 0.54 | 0.57 | -0.03 |
| 13 | 1.04 | 1.55 | -0.51 |
| 14 | $0.46$ | $0.51$ | -0.05 |
| 15 616 | - 0.70 d/ | $\square 0.90$ | -0.20 |
| 16 <br> 17 | $9 \overparen{\frac{0.57}{0.63}} \begin{aligned} & \sigma \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.48 \\ & 0.96 \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 -0.33 |
| 18 | 1.07 | 1.52 | -0.45 |
| 19 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.07 |
| 20 | 0.30 | 0.98 | -0.68 |
| 21 | 0.39 | 0.65 | -0.26 |
| 22 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 0.33 |

continued.

| Patient Number | Measured (mEq/L) | Predicted (mEq/L) | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 0.53 | 0.79 | -0.26 |
| 24 | 0.76 | 1.39 | -0.63 |
| 25 | 0.47 | 0.77 | -0.30 |
| 26 | 1.10 | 1.24 | -0.14 |
| 27 | 0.57 | 0.78 | -0.21 |
| 28 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 0.15 |
| 29 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.05 |
| 30 | 0.76 | 1.06 | -0.30 |
| 31 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.07 |
| 32 | 0.59 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| 33 | 0.70 | 0.90 | -0.20 |
| 34 | 0.68 | 1.25 | -0.57 |
| 35 | 0.67 | 0.75 | -0.08 |
| 36 | 0.92 | 0.57 | 0.35 |
| 37 | 1.75 | 0.77 | 0.98 |
| 38 | c1.18 | $\triangle 1.42$ | -0.24 |
| 39616 | 1 2.25 d/ | $\square 2.42$ d | -0.17 |
| 40 <br> 41 | $\stackrel{\overbrace{0.71}^{0.70}}{\sigma}{ }_{0}^{\sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.74 \\ & 0.77 \end{aligned}$ | $C_{-0.06}^{-0.04}$ |
| 42 | 0.53 | 0.60 | -0.07 |
| Mean | 0.7957 | 0.8833 | -0.08762 |
| SD | 0.3688 | 0.3841 |  |
| Maximum | 2.25 | 2.42 |  |
| Minimum | 0.30 | 0.35 |  |

## Paired T-test

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Pooled } \mathrm{t}= & \overline{\mathrm{d}} \\
& \mathrm{~S} \overline{\mathrm{~d}} \\
= & -0.08762 \\
& 0.04751 \\
= & -1.84
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P=0.05, \text { degree of freedom }=41, t=1.6832
$$

$$
P=0.10, \text { degree of freedom }=41, t=1.3026
$$



## สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



## 4. The Adjustment of the dose using pharmacokinetic parameters

There were six patients out of the forty-two patients included in this study which dosage regimen had been adjusted to improve clinical responses.

The first patient ,patient No. 8, was diagnosed to be schizophrenia, had subtherapeutic lithium level of $0.42 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and showed no signs of improvement in her psychiatric disorder after administration of 600 mg per day of lithium carbonate for four months. She was still aggressive, agitated and anxiety. Her dosage regimen was recommended to be adjusted to $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ mg per day using pharmacokinetic theory, her psychiatric disorder were improved.

The second patient, patient No 19, was diagnosed to be mental and behavioral disorder which might cause by long term consumption of alcohol, his lithium level was $0.58 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ which was within therapeutic range but showed no signs of improvement in his psychiatric disorder after treatment with 900 mg per day of lithium carbonate. He was still anxiety, agitated and irritable mood. He also got the antidepressants, fluoxetine 20 mg , in the morning and at noon together with antipsychotics and lithium. When the dosage regimen of lithium was adjusted to 1200 mg per day, the patient was partially improved but still had irritable mood and agitation. However, the physician continued this pattern of treatment and observe the patient's clinical response for one more week. The signs of anxiety, agitation and irritable mood had disappeared after that period of time.

The third patient, patient No.20, was diagnosed to be manic episode, had subtherapeutic lithium level of $0.31 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and showed no signs of improvement after taking lithium carbonate 900 mg per day for 15 days. He still had irritable mood and agitation. After his dosage regimen had been adjusted to be 1200 mg per day using pharmacokinetic theory, these signs were disappeared and the patient showed good clinical response.

The forth patient, patient No.25, was diagnosed to be bipolar affective disorder, had subtherapeutic lithium level of $0.47 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ and showed no signs of improvement after taking lithium carbonate 900 mg per day for ten days. She still had confusion, agitation and aggression. She also had been treated with antipsychotics, haloperidol 10 mg three times a day and chlorpromazine 100 at bed time, and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). When the dosage regimen was adjusted to be 1200 mg per day, the patient showed improvement that signs of agitation, aggression and confusion had disappeared.

The other two patients had been given lithium to control their psychiatric disorders, mood (affective) disorder, and had taken antipsychotics together with lithium carbonate. One patient, patient No.31, the lithium level was $1.00 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ which was within the therapeutic range but showed signs of toxicity ,i.e., twitching, after taking lithium carbonate for 13 days, this patient also had fever which was a risk factor of causing more intoxication from lithium. After these information was informed to the physician, lithium carbonate was discontinued at once. The other patient, patient No.39, her lithium level was $2.25 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$ which was much higher than the therapeutic
range and she showed signs of toxicity from lithium ,i.e., diarrhea, drowsiness, after taking lithium carbonate for 9 days. Her weight was 29 kilograms. This very low weight resulted in low creatinine clearance and lithium clearance. Therefore lithium excretion rate was very slow and the patient showed lithium intoxication. The physician was informed and lithium carbonate was discontinued at once.

Table XVIII showed the details in dosage regimen and the measured blood lithium level before and after dosage adjustment in these patients.

Table XVIII Dosage regimen and the corresponding measured blood lithium levels before and after dosage adjustment in six patients.

| Patient Number | Dose(1) <br> (mg/day) | Measured(1) <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Dose(2) <br> (mg/day) | Measured(2) <br> (mEq/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 600 | 0.42 | 900 | 0.59 |
| 19 | 900 | 0.58 | 1200 | 0.77 |
| 20 | 900 | 0.30 | 1200 | 0.66 |
| 25 | 900 | 0.47 | 1200 | 0.63 |
| 31 | 900 | 1.00 | OFF | - |
| 39 | 900 | 2.25 | OFF | - |

(1) = before the adjustment
(2) $=$ after the adjustment

Although the drugs of choices of lithium are not schizophrenia ,there were many patients which were diagnosed to be schizophrenia in this study had taken lithium as adjunctive therapy if antipsychotic drugs alone could not control their psychiatric disorders.

Lithium was used in patient No. 8 which was diagnosed to be schizophrenia as adjunctive therapy with antipsychotic drugs. However, her psychiatric disorders were not improved after added lithium for four months. Her blood lithium level was too low to control her psychiatric disorders. Therefore her lithium dosage adjustment was needed.

The patient No. 19 had taken lithium carbonate to control the positive symptoms of his psychiatric disorders. He was diagnosed to be mental and behavior disorders due to alcohol. Although his blood lithium level was within therapeutic range, his psychiatric symptoms were not fully cured. Therefore dosage adjustment was needed in this case.

Lithium was used to control bipolar affective disorder in patient No. 20 and patient No.25. The blood lithium level before adjusting the dosage regimen in these patients was too low to control psychiatric disorders, therefore the adjustment of dosage regimen was needed.

The blood lithium level obtained from the patient No. 31 and patient No. 39 were evaluated along with their clinical responses which indicated intoxication of lithium. Although the blood lithium level obtained from the patient No. 31 was within therapeutic range, she had showed signs of intoxication. The blood lithium level obtained from patient No. 39 was overtherapeutic level and she showed sign of toxicity concurrently. Her
creatinine clearance was $27.77 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. and her lithium clearance was 7.5 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. which was very low. This could be the cause of lithium intoxication because lithium was excreted from the body very slowly. However, when the weight of the patient was taken into consideration, it showed that the patient was very thin, she weighs only 29 kilograms. Her dosage calculated as milligram per kilogram per day was 31.03 which was very high and should be the cause of intoxication. The physician was informed about blood lithium level and signs of toxicity then she discontinued lithium carbonate at once. The dosage adjustment was needed in these two cases to get lower blood lithium level but the physician wanted to make sure about patient's safety, so she discontinued lithium carbonate in stead of reducing the dosage of lithium carbonate.

## 5. Prediction of Creatinine Clearance from Serum Creatinine.

The senum creatinine of forty-two patients were obtained from the patient' data charts. Table XIX showed the ranges of serum creatinine, the ranges of creatinine clearance calculated from equation 1-2 in appendix A and the number and percentage of patients with various ranges of serum creatinine and creatinine clearances.

Table XIX The range of serum creatinine and creatinine clearance along , with the number of patients and the percentage.

| Serum creatinine ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) | Creatinine clearance ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Number of patients (percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<0.5$ |  | - |
| 0.5-1.0 | $<50.00$ | 3(7.14) |
|  | 50.01-70.00 | 9 (21.43) |
|  | 70.01-90.00 | 10 (23.81) |
|  | 90.01-110.00 | 4 (9.52) |
|  | 110.01-130.00 | 7 (16.67) |
|  | $>130.00$ | ( 4 (9.52) |
| จุหาลง | $<50.00$ | - 1 (2.38) |
|  | 50.01-70.00 | 1 (2.38) |
|  | $1970.01-90.00$ $90.01-110.00$ | $\frac{2(7.14)}{2}$ |
|  | 110.01-130.00 | $9 / C^{2} \square$ |
|  | > 130.00 | - |

## 6. Lithium Clearances versus Creatinine Clearances

In this study, the mean serum creatinine, creatinine clearance were $0.8428 \pm 0.1876 \mathrm{mg}$ per dL and $86.0229 \pm 32.3356 \mathrm{ml}$ per min . respectively. The estimation of lithium clearance as approximately 25 percent of creatinine clearance according to equation 3 in Appendix $A$ along with the lithium clearance calculated from lithium blood levels were shown in table XX.

## 7. Lithium Clearances calculated from Creatinine Clearances and Lithium Clearances calculated from Measured Blood Lithium Levels.

Using paired t -test to compare values of lithium clearances calculated from creatinine clearances and from measured blood lithium levels showed significant difference between these two mean values at the $95 \%$ level of confidence indicated that lithium clearances obtained from different methods in this study could not be used substantially. Table XX and figure IV showed comparison between lithium clearances calculated from serum creatinine and those from measured blood lithium levels.

From this study, the serum creatinine of the patients who showed lithium adverse effects were 0.7 (patient No.17), 1.3 (patient No.24), 1.1 (patient No.37), 0.9 (patient No.39), and 0.8 (patient No.41) mg per dL
which were all in the normal range . The relationship between lithium toxicity and the patients' serum creatinine could not be observed from this study, much greater number of patients should be studied before any conclusion could be made.


Table XX Serum creatinine, creatinine clearance, Lithium clearance calculated from serum creatinine and Lithium clearance calculated from measured blood lithium level of each patients.

| Patient <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scr } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}) \end{gathered}$ | Clcr ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ClLi}(1) \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CLLi}(2) \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} .) \end{aligned}$ | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 | 51.3069 | 12.8267 | 12.5300 | 0.2967 |
| 2 | 0.9 | 68.0555 | 17.0139 | 27.2850 | -10.2711 |
| 3 | 0.7 | 62.1563 | 15.5391 | 10.8433 | 4.6958 |
| 4 | 0.7 | 110.2976 | 27.5744 | 19.6700 | 7.9044 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 121.3333 | 30.3333 | 29.1667 | 1.1666 |
| 6 | 0.6 | 116.8750 | 29.2187 | 28.6717 | 0.5470 |
| 7 | 0.9 | 50.1343 | 12.5336 | 16.4233 | -3.8897 |
| 8 | 0.6 | 72.5255 | 18.1314 | 26.8517 | -8.7203 |
| 9 | 1.1 | 88.6364 | 22.1591 | 24.5167 | -2.3576 |
| 10 | 0.9 | 54.6335 | 13.6584 | 16.3450 | -2.6866 |
| 11 | 0.8 | 71.8958 | 17.9739 | 15.4483 | 2.5256 |
| 12 | 0.7 | 118.3928 | 29.5982 | 31.3267 | -1.7285 |
| 13 | 0.8 | $58.1276$ | 14.5319 | 21.6883 | C-7.1564 |
| 14 | 0.6 | 131.7303 | 32.9326 | 36.7750 | -3.8424 |
| 15 | 0.9 | 50.1342 | 12.5335 | 16.1283 | -3.5943 |
| 16 | 0.8 | 141.3194 | 35.3297 | 29.6783 | 5.6514 |
| 17 | 0.7 | 71.0357 | 17.7589 | 26.8517 | -9.0928 |
| 18 | 0.9 | 44.3889 | 11.0972 | 15.8100 | -4.7128 |

continued

| Patient <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scr } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clcr } \\ (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} .) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ClLi}(1) \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ClLi}(2) \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} .) \end{aligned}$ | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.7 | 133.1349 | 33.2837 | 29.1667 | 4.1170 |
| 20 | 1.0 | 68.8750 | 17.2187 | 34.1750 | -16.9563 |
| 21 | 0.8 | 103.3333 | 25.8333 | 43.3767 | -17.5734 |
| 22 | 0.8 | 110.4167 | 27.6042 | 17.9967 | 9.6076 |
| 23 | 1.2 | 85.4629 | 21.3657 | 31.9183 | -10.5526 |
| 24 | 1.3 | 48.8942 | 12.2235 | 23.1733 | -10.9488 |
| 25 | 0.9 | 87.2299 | 21.8075 | 35.9933 | -14.1858 |
| 26 | 0.7 | 72.8571 | 18.2143 | 20.5050 | -2.2907 |
| 27 | 0.8 | 86.0625 | 21.5156 | 29.6783 | -8.1627 |
| 28 | 1.0 | 83.7083 | 20.9271 | 17.6217 | 3.3054 |
| 29 | 0.9 | 102.6821 | 25.6705 | 24.1667 | 1.5038 |
| 30 | 1.0 | 64.0000 | 16.0000 | 22.2583 | -6.2583 |
| 31 | 0.8 | 72.5156 | 18.1289 | 16.9167 | 1.2122 |
| 32 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192.1000 \\ & 100.0794 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.0250 \\ 25.0198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.6717 \\ 32.2217 \end{gathered}$ | 19.3533 -7.2019 |
| 34 9/ | 1.39 | 53.8461 | 13.4615 | 24.8767 | -11.4152 |
| 35 | 0.8 | 89.8698 | 22.4674 | 25.2483 | -2.7809 |
| 36 | 0.9 | 118.5802 | 29.6451 | 18.3883 | 11.2568 |
| 37 | 1.1 | 87.9798 | 21.9949 | 9.6667 | 12.3282 |
| 38 | 0.7 | 47.8631 | 11.9658 | 14.3367 | -2.3709 |
| 39 | 0.9 | 27.7693 | 6.9423 | 7.5183 | -0.5760 |

continued $\qquad$

| Patient | Scr | Clcr | ClLi(1) | ClLi(2) | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$ | $(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$ | $(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})$ |  |
| 40 | 0.7 | 91.8303 | 22.9576 | 24.1667 | -1.2091 |
| 41 | 0.8 | 87.6563 | 21.9141 | 23.8267 | -1.9126 |
| 42 | 0.5 | 113.2389 | 28.3097 | 31.9167 | -3.6070 |
| Mean | 0.8428 | 86.0229 | 21.3342 | 23.6618 | -2.1560 |
| SD | 0.1876 | 32.3356 | 8.0743 | 7.9799 |  |
| Maximum | 1.3 | 192.1000 | 48.0250 | 43.3767 |  |
| Minimum | 0.5 | 27.7693 | 6.9423 | 7.5183 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) = calculated from serum creatinine
(2) = calculated from measured blood lithium level

## Paired T-test

$$
\text { Pooled } \mathrm{t}=\overline{\mathbf{d}}
$$


$P=0.05$, degree of freedom $=41, t=1.6832$
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$, degree of freedom $=41, t=1.3026$



#### Abstract

8. The Accuracy of the Prediction of Blood Lithium Levels calculated from serum creatinine and those calculated from lithium clearances.


In patient No 8., there was little difference between measured blood lithium level and blood lithium level predicted from lithium clearance calculated from measured blood lithium level while there were some difference between measured blood lithium level and blood lithium level predicted from serum creatinine.

In patient No. 19, 20, and 25 there was no difference between measured blood lithium level and blood lithium level predicted from lithium clearance calculated from measured blood lithium level while there were some difference between measured blood lithium level and blood lithium level predicted from serum creatinine.

These indicated that blood lithium levels predicted from lithium clearances were more accurate than those predicted from serum creatinine. Therefore dosage adjustment shold be calculated from pharmacokinetic parameters derived from measured blood lithium levels in stead of those calculated from patients' serum creatinine. However, we can use serum creatinine and other general datas of the patients for approximate dosage adjustment calculation in clinical practice.

Table XXI showed the difference between the measured blood lithium levels and predicted blood lithium levels calculated from serum creatinine and those calculated from lithium clearances.

Table XXI The difference between the measured blood lithium levels and the blood lithium levels predicted from serum creatinine and those predicted from lithium clearances.

| Patient <br> Number | Measured <br> Level <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Predicted <br> Level (1) <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | Predicted <br> Level (2) <br> $(\mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\mathrm{d}(1)$ | $\mathrm{d}(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 0.59 | 0.93 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 0.04 |
| 19 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.77 | -0.09 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 0.66 | 1.31 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0.63 | 1.03 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.00 |

(1) $=$ predicted from serum creatinine
(2) $=$ predicted from lithium clearance
$d(1)=$ Difference between measured level and predicted level (1)
$d(2)=$ Difference between measured level and predicted level (2)
สถาบันวิทยบริการ

Besides the forty-two patients included in this study, there were two patients who were admitted and had taken lithium to control their illness but their data and results were not included since their lithium dosing interval was once daily which was not matched to the criteria of the standard 12 hours after last dose blood lithium level determination.

One patient was a woman aged 18, weigh 48 kilograms, she was diagnosed to be unspecified mental retardation. Her lithium dosage regimen was 300 mg once daily. Her blood lithium level 24 hours after last dose at trough level was $0.26 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$. She had taken antipsychotics together with lithium. After she had taken lithium for 2 weeks, she showed signs of improvement but not cured. Her serum creatinine, creatinine clearance and lithium clearance were 0.7 mg per $\mathrm{dL}, 98.76 \mathrm{ml}$ per min . and 24.69 ml per min. respectively.

The other patient was a woman aged 67 , weigh 50 kilograms, she was diagnosed to be schizophrenia. Her lithium dosage regimen was 300 mg once daily. Her blood lithium level 24 hours after last dose at trough level was $0.24 \mathrm{mEq} / \mathrm{L}$. She had taken antipsychotic drug as well. She developed signs of toxicity after taking lithium for 10 days. She had severe nausea so the physician discontinued all psychotropic drugs. One day later, she felt better and had no nausea or vomiting. Two days later, the physician tried psychotropic drugs and lithium again. At this time no, signs of intoxication had occurred. Her serum creatinine, creatinine clearance and lithium clearance were 1.0 mg per $\mathrm{dL}, 43.0903 \mathrm{ml}$ per min., and 10.77 ml per min. mpextiveyㄱาาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

