## CHAPTER III

## RESULTS

## 1. Preliminary Investigation on Suitable Coating Conditions

Suitable coating conditions were investigated by coating theophylline granules with aqueous polymeric coating which had composition as previously presented in Table 3 and 4. The suitable coating conditions using top spray method was previously described in Table 5.

The theophylline granules of various sizes $(16 / 18,18 / 20 \mathrm{~m} 20 / 25$ and $25 / 30$ mesh) were coated with various levels of Surelease ${ }^{\otimes}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{\otimes}$ NE 30D. The levels of coating were calculated on the basis of Surelease ${ }^{\infty}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D content in aqueous polymeric coating used and were shown as percent of polymer coated based on weight of granules. The percent coating levels of Surelease ${ }^{\otimes}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D are presented in Table 8 and 9.

Table 8 The Percent Coating Levels of Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ on Granules of Different Sizes.

| Size of Granules <br> (mesh) | \% Coating Levels of Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ | 1.92 | 3.05 | 3.87 | 6.29 |
| $18 / 20$ | 2.04 | 3.29 | 7.61 | 9.00 |
| $20 / 25$ | 4.48 | 3.86 | 8.39 | 12.12 |
| $25 / 30$ | 7.84 | 12.18 | 13.40 | 17.05 |

Table 9 The Percent Coating Levels of Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D on Granules of Different Sizes.

| Size of Granules <br> (mesh) | \% Coating Levels of Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }} \mathrm{NE}$ 30D |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ | 2.51 | 5.96 | 8.53 | 14.76 |
| $18 / 20$ | 4.04 | 6.75 | 10.93 | 19.12 |
| $20 / 25$ | 5.56 | 11.46 | 15.00 | 20.76 |
| $25 / 30$ | 8.83 | 14.57 | 17.68 | 22.37 |

## 2. Physical Properties of Theophylline Granules :

### 2.1 Morphology of Theophylline Granules

The theophylline granules were examined using scanning electron microscope (SEM) at different magnifications (x35 and x500). The crosssection of theophylline granules was also observed for the film morphology at x2000 magnification.

### 2.1.1 Uncoated Granules

The surface and cross-sectioned morphology of uncoated granules of various sizes are shown in Figures 9-12 representing $16 / 18$ mesh size, $18 / 20$ mesh size, $20 / 25$ mesh size and $25 / 30$ mesh size respectively. All uncoated granules of various sizes exhibited rough surface and irregular shape

### 2.1.2 Surelease ${ }^{\left({ }^{( }\right)}$Coated Granules

The surface and cross-sectioned morphology of theophylline granules of various sizes coated with various percent coating levels of


Figure 9. The photomicrographs of uncoated theophylline granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A. theophylline granules $x 35$, B. theophylline surface $\times 500$ )


Figure 10 The photomicrographs of uncoated theophylline granules of 18/20 mesh size (Key : A. theophylline granules x35, B. theophylline surface $\times 500$ )


Figure 11 The photomicrographs of uncoated theophylline granules of 20/25 mesh size (Key : A. theophylline granules x35, B. theophylline surface $\times 500$ )


Figure 12 The photomicrographs of uncoated thcophylline granules of 25/30 mesh size (Key : A. theophylline granules x35, B. theophylline surface $\times 500$ )

Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ are shown in Figures 13-28. Figures 13-16 illustrate granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size which were coated with $1.92 \%, 3.05 \%, 3.87 \%$ and $6.29 \%$ coating levels of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ respectively. Figures 17-20 shows granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size which were coated with $2.04 \%, 3.29 \%, 7.61$ and $9.00 \%$ coating levels respectively. Figures 21-24 are granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size which were coated with $2.48 \%, 3.86 \%, 8.39 \%$ and $12.12 \%$ coating levels respectively whereas Figures $25-28$ are granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size which were coated with $7.84 \%, 12.18 \%, 13.40 \%$ and $17.05 \%$ coating levels respectively.

The photomicrographs of all Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules were notable that granules coated with lower percent coating level exhibited thinner film than those with higher percent coating level of Surelease ${ }^{*}$. Edge and corner of theophylline granules were decreased with the increasing of the percent coating level of Surelease ${ }^{*}$.

### 2.1.3 Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

The surface and cross-sectioned morphology of theophylline granules of various sizes coated with various percent coating levels of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D are shown in Figures 29-44. Figures 29-32 are granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size which were coated with $2.51 \%, 5.96 \%, 8.53 \%$ and $14.76 \%$ coating levels of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30 (respectively. Figures $33-36$ are granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size which were coated with $4.04 \%, 6.75 \%, 10.93 \%$ and $19.12 \%$ coating levels respectively. Figures $37-40$ are granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size which were coated wilh $5.56 \%, 11.46 \%, 15.00 \%$ and $20.76 \%$ coating levels respectively whereas Figures $41-44$ are granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size which were coated with $8.83 \%, 14.57 \%, 17.68 \%$ and $22.37 \%$ coating levels respectively.


Figure 13 The photomicrographs of $1.92 \%$ Surelease $^{*}$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A.coated granules $x 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )



Figure 14 The photomicrographs of $3.05 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules $x 35$, B. coated surface x500, C. cross-section $\mathbf{x} 2000$ )


Figure 15 The photomicrographs of $3.87 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\text {a }}$ coated granules of 16/18 mesh size (Key : A coated granules $\times 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 16 The photomicrographs of $6.29 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{(1)}$ coated granules of 16/18 mesh size (Key : A coated granules $x 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 17 The photomicrographs of $2.04 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of 18/20 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 18 The photomicrographs of $3.29 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\text {cosed }}$ coated granules of 18/20 mesh size (Key : A coated granules $x 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


- Tigure 19 The photomicrographs of $7.61 \%$ Surelcase ${ }^{\text {co }}$ coated granules of 18/20 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x 35 , B. coated surface x500, C. cross-section $\mathbf{x 2 0 0 0}$ )


Figure 20 The photomicrographs of $9.00 \%$ Sureleasc ${ }^{\text {no }}$ coated granules of 18/20 mesh size (Key : A coated granules $\times 35$, B. coated surface $\mathbf{x 5 0 0}$, C. cross-section $\mathbf{x} 2000$ )
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Figure 21 The photomicrographs of $2.48 \%$ Surelease coated granules of 20/25 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\mathbf{x} 2000$ )
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Figure 22 The photomicrographs of $3.86 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{(1)}$ coated granules of 20/25 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 23 The photomicrographs of $8.39 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{(1)}$ coated granules of 20/25 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 24 The photomicrographs of $12.12 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ coated granules of 20/25 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface x 500, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 25 The photomicrographs of 7.84\% Surelease ${ }^{\text {B }}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 26 The photomicrographs of $12.18 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{(16)}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh size (Key : A coated granules $\times 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 27 The photomicrographs of $13.40 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{(1)}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$. C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 28 The photomicrographs of $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 5 \%}$ Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 29 The photomicrographs of $2.51 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{(8)}$ NE 30D coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 30 The photomicrographs of $5.96 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{(*}$ NE 30D coilted granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 31 The photomicrographs of 8.53\% Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coatcd granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )



B


Figure 32 The photomicrographs of $14.76 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\mathbf{x} 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 33 The photomicrographs of $4.04 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules $\times 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 34 The photomicrographs of 6.75\% Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 35 The photomicrographs of $10.93 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 36 The photomicrographs of $19.12 \%$ Eudragit $^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 37 The photomicrographs of 5.56\% Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface x 500, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 38 The photomicrographs of $11.46 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )
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Figure 39 The photomicrographs of $15.00 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\circledR}$ NE 30D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules $\times 35$, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 40 The photomicrographs of $20.76 \%$ Eudragit $^{\left({ }^{( }\right)}$NE -30 D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 41 The photomicrographs of $8.83 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 42 The photomicrographs of $14.57 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 43 The photomicrographs of $17.68 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30 D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C. cross-section $\times 2000$ )


Figure 44 The photomicrographs of 22.37\% Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size (Key : A coated granules x35, B. coated surface $\times 500$, C cross-section $\times 2000$ )

The photomicrographs of all Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules were notable that granules coated with higher percent coating level exhibited thicker film than those with lower percent coating level. Edge and corner of theophylline granules were decreased with the increasing of the percent coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\circledR}$ NE 30D. Some formulations of them had fine particles of theophylline granules embedding into the layers of the films.

### 2.2 Mean Sizes of Theophylline Granules

The mean sizes of uncoated granules are shown in Table 10. The mean sizes of each uncoated granules ( $16 / 18,18 / 20,20 / 25$ and $25 / 30$ mesh) were $922.57,866.56,762.08$ and $650.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively.

The mean sizes of all Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules are shown in Table 11. Form the obtained data the mean sizes of all Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules obviously increased when increasing the percent coating level of Surelease ${ }^{*}$. The mean sizes of theophylline granules of various sizes $(16 / 18,18 / 20,20 / 25$ and $25 / 30 \mathrm{mesh}$ ) which were coated with various levels of Surelease dispersion were within the range of $930-960,880-900,780-800$ and $670-700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively and they were slightly larger than the mean sizes of each uncoated granules ( $922.57,866.56,762.08$ and $650.13 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) respectively.

Similarly, the mean sizes of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules obviously increased when increasing the percent coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D and their mean sizes were also larger than the mean sizes of uncoated granules as shown in Table 12.

### 2.3 Bulk Densities, Tapped Densities and Carr's Compressibilities of Theophylline Granules

For uncoated granules, the bulk densities of all uncoated granules were about $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ as shown in Table 10 . When coated with different levels of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ or Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D, their bulk densities were not much affected as shown in Tables 13 and 14.

The Tapped densities of all uncoated and coated granules shown in Tables 10,15 and 16 were also not apparently different.

For uncoated granules, higher percentage of compressibility was "obtained from larger granules as shown in Table 10. When coated with various levels of Surelease ${ }^{(8}$ or Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D, their compressibility could not be concluded. The F-Ratio of them are shown in Tables 96 and 97.

### 2.4 Flow Rates and Angles of Repose of Theophylline Granules

The larger size of uncoated granules showed slower flow rate than smaller granules as shown in Table 10 and the F-Ratio of uncoated granules are shown in Table 79.

The flow rates of all Surelease ${ }^{\infty}$ coated granules are shown in Table 19. It is notable that coating level had no effect on flow rate.- The F-Ratio of them are shown in Table 98.

The flow rates of all Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules were not much different as shown in Table 20. The F-Ratio of them are shown in Table 99.

The angles of repose of uncoated and coated granules are shown in Tables10, 21 and 22. They were not much different and were within the range of $30-38^{\circ}$ which indicated good flow as shown in Table 25.

### 2.5 Moisture Contents of Theophylline Granules

The moisture contents of uncoated and coated granules are reported in Tables 10, 23 and 24. They were not much different and were in the range of $0: 74-0.86 \%$

### 2.6 Specific surface area of Theophylline Granules

### 2.6.1 Uncoated Granules

Specific surface area of uncoated granules was different among each size as shown in Table 26. Smaller granules exhibited specific surface area.

### 2.6.2 Surelease ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Coated Granules

The satisfactory formulations of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules which showed drug release profiles within the range at various time intervals as required by the USP XXIII standard were selected to determine their specific surface area as shown in Table 27. The specific surface area of satisfactory formulations were not very different except the specific surface area of $6.29 \%$ Surelease $^{\infty}$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh. Comparison to the uncoated granules of each size, the specific surface area of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules were lower than that of the uncoated granules except $6.29 \%$ coated granules
which exhibited higher specific surface area than its corresponding uncoated granules.

### 2.6.3 Eudragit $^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

The satisfactory formulations of Eudragit ${ }^{\star}$ NE 30D coated granules which showed drug release profiles within the range at various time intervals as required by the USP XXIII standard were selected to determine their specific surface area as shown in Table 28. The specific surface area of satisfactory formulations were not very different except the specific surface area of $14.76 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30 D coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh. Comparison to the uncoated granules of each sizes, the specific surface area of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules were higher than that of the uncoated granules.

## 3. Physical Properties of Aqueous Polymeric Films.

For preparation of the Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ film, it was found that addition of dibutyl phthalate as plasticizer in the polymeric film was necressary otherwise the Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ film could not be peeled off from the glass plate. However for preparation of the Eudragit ${ }^{\otimes}$ NE 30D film, plasticizer was not needed.
3.1 Percent Elongation at Break and Tensile Strength of Aqueous Polymeric Films.

### 3.1.1 Surelease $^{\star}{ }^{\text {Films }}$

From the data in Table 29, the profiles could be plotted between the percentage of dibutyl phthalate against percent elongation at break
and between the percentage of dibutyl phthalate against tensile strength as shown in Figures 45 and 46 , respectively. Extrapolation to the Y -axis, the ultimate percent elongation at break and the tensile strength of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ film without dibutyl phthalate could be obtained.

The percent elongation at break was increased while the tensile strength was decreased when increasing of the percentage of dibutyl phthalate. The amount of dibutyl phthalate between 10,15 and $20 \%$ had no effect on the tensile strength.

### 3.1.2 Eudragit $^{\circ}$ NE 30D Film

The percent elongation at break and tensile strength of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D film with $30 \%$ of cab-o-sil are shown in Table 29. It could be seen that the percent elongation at break and the tensile strength of Eudragit ${ }^{\bullet}$ NE 30D film were much higher than those of the Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ film.

### 3.2 Water Sorption of Aqueous Polymeric Films

### 3.2.1 Surelease Films <br> 

The percent water sorption of Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ film are shown in Table 30. From the data in Table 30, the profile could be plotted between percentage of dibutyl phthalate against percent water sorption as shown in Figure 47. The result indicated that the percent water sorption was decreased then increased with the decreasing of the amount of dibutyl phthalate. The lowest water sorption was from Surelease film containing $10 \%$ dibutyl phthalate

### 3.2.2 Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D Film

The percentage water sorption of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D film containing cab-o-sil are shown in Table 30. They were higher than those of the Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ film except at $25 \%$ of dibutyl phthalate which exhibited equal water sorption. The t -Values of them are shown in Table 73 (Appendix).

Table 10 Physical Properties of Uncoated Theophylline Granules

| Size of <br> Granules | Mean <br> Size <br> ( $\mu$ ) | Bulk Density ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Tapped Density (g/ml) | Carr's <br> Index <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiow } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{sec} .) \end{aligned}$ | Angle of <br> Repose $\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$ | Moisture Content (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 | 922.57 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 11.66 | 7.01 | 32.01 | 0.80 |
| 18/20 | 866.56 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 6.93 | 8.50 | 32.01 | 0.78 |
| 20/25 | 762.08 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 5.84 | 8.67 | 30.47 | 0.80 |
| 25/30 | 650.13 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 5.08 | 8.98 | 30.47 | 0.85 |

Table 11 Mean Sizes of Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$ Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size |
| Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ |
| 1.92 | 939.72 | 2.04 | 881.05 | 2.48 | 788.86 | 7.84 | 672.98 |
| 3.05 | 944.06 | 3.29 | 885.12 | 3.86 | 790.68 | 12.18 | 687.07 |
| 3.87 | 950.60 | 7.61 | 887.16 | 8.39 | 794.33 | 13.40 | 691.83 |
| 6.29 | 954.99 | 9.00 | 891.25 | 12.12 | 796.16 | 17.05 | 699.84 |

Table 12 Mean Sizes of Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size | Percent | Mean Size |
| Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ | Coated | $(\mu)$ |
| 2.51 | 954.99 | 4.04 | 885.12 | 5.56 | 792.50 | 8.83 | 687.07 |
| 5.96 | 959.40 | 6.75 | 887.16 | 11.46 | 799.83 | 14.57 | 693.43 |
| 8.53 | 966.05 | 10.93 | 891.25 | 15.00 | 805.38 | 17.68 | 696.63 |
| 14.76 | 97.7 .24 | 19.12 | 912.01 | 20.76 | 810.96 | 22.37 | 701.46 |

Table 13 Bulk Densities of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Bulk <br> Density | Percent | Bulk <br> Density | Percent | Bulk <br> Density | Percent | Bulk <br> Density |
| Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| 1.92 | 0.50 | 2.04 | 0.50 | 2.48 | 0.53 | 7.84 | 0.50 |
| 3.05 | 0.48 | 3.29 | 0.52 | 3.86 | 0.52 | 12.18 | 0.48 |
| 3.87 | 0.50 | 7.61 | 0.52 | 8.39 | 0.50 | 13.40 | 0.49 |
| 6.29 | 0.48 | 9.00 | 0.52 | 12.12 | 0.51 | 17.05 | 0.50 |

Table 14 Bulk Densities of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D Coated Granules


Table 15 Tapped Densities of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Tapped <br> Density | Percent | Tapped <br> Density | Percent | Tapped <br> Density | Percent | Tapped <br> Density |
| Coated | (g/ml) | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| 1.92 | 0.53 | 2.04 | 0.53 | 2.48 | 0.55 | 7.84 | 0.52 |
| 3.05 | 0.51 | 3.29 | 0.55 | 3.86 | 0.55 | 12.18 | 0.51 |
| 3.87 | 0.53 | 7.61 | 0.55 | 8.39 | 0.53 | 13.40 | 0.52 |
| 6.29 | 0.52 | 9.00 | 0.54 | 12.12 | $0.54 ;$ | 17.05 | 0.53 |

Table 16 Tapped Densities of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Tapped <br> Density | Percent | Tapped | Percent | Tapped <br> Density <br> Density | Percent | Tapped <br> Density |
| Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| 2.51 | 0.54 | 4.04 | 0.52 | 5.56 | 0.56 | 8.83 | 0.55 |
| 5.96 | 0.56 | 6.75 | 0.52 | 11.46 | 0.53 | 14.57 | 0.53 |
| 8.53 | 0.53 | 10.93 | 0.50 | 15.00 | 0.54 | 17.68 | 0.55 |
| 14.76 | 0.49 | 19.12 | 0.55 | 20.76 | 0.50 | 22.37 | 0.52 |

Table 17 Carr's Compressibilities of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ Coated Granules

| 616 |  | Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 |  | 18/20 |  | 20/25 |  | 25/30 |  |
| Percent Coated | Carr's Index <br> (\%) | Percent Coated | Carr's Index <br> (\%) | Percent | Carr's Index <br> (\%) | Percent | Carr's Index |
|  | (\%) | Coated | (\%) | Coated | (\%) | Coated | (\%) |
| 1.92 | 5.00 | 2.04 | 5.00 | 2.48 | 4.41 | 7.84 | 4.96 |
| 3.05 | 6.35 | 3.29 | 5.19 | 3.86 | 5.19 | 12.18 | 5.88 |
| 3.87 | 5.84 | 7.61 | 5.19 | 8.39 | 5.00 | 13.40 | 5.67 |
| 6.29 | 6.46 | 9.00 | 4.33 | 12.12 | 5.08 | 17.05 | 5.84 |

Table 18 Carr's Compressibilities of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 |  | 18/20 |  | 20/25 |  | 25/30 |  |
| Percent <br> Coated | Carr's <br> Index <br> (\%) | Percent <br> Coated | Carr's Index <br> (\%) | Percent <br> Coated | Carr's <br> Index <br> (\%) | Percent <br> Coated | Carr's Index <br> (\%) |
| 2.51 | 7.66 | 4.04 | 8.90 | 5.56 | 7.69 | 8.83 | 8.41 |
| 5.96 | 8.48 | 6.75 | 7.21 | 11.46 | 7.93 | 14.57 | 8.06 |
| 8.53 | 7.93 | 10.93 | 7.68 | 15.00 | 8.19 | 17.68 | 7.67 |
| 14.76 | 9.45 | 19.12 | 8.41 | 20.76 | 7.68: | 22.37 | 8.90 |

Table 19 Flow Rates of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |  |
| Percent | Flow Rate | Percent | Flow Rate | Percent | Flow Rate | Percent | Flow Rate |  |
| Coated | $(\mathrm{g} /$ sec. $)$ | Coated | (g/sec.) | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} /$ sec. $)$ | Coated | $(\mathrm{g} /$ sec. $)$ |  |
| 1.92 | 6.67 | 2.04 | 7.30 | 2.48 | 7.50 | 7.84 | 8.50 |  |
| 3.05 | 6.50 | 3.29 | 7.47 | 3.86 | 7.67 | 12.18 | 8.36 |  |
| 3.87 | 6.40 | 7.61 | 6.70 | 8.39 | 7.30 | 13.40 | 8.88 |  |
| 6.29 | 6.24 | 9.00 | 6.42 | 12.12 | 7.70 | 17.05 | 8.43 |  |

Table 20 Flow Rates of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

|  |  | 9 | Size of Gran | les (mest | ) 75 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 |  | 18/20 |  | 20/25 |  | 25/30 |  |
| Percent Coated | Flow Rate ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{sec}$.) | Percent Coated | Flow Rate ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{sec}$.) | Percent <br> Coated | Flow Rate ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{sec}$.) | Percent <br> Coated | Flow Rate (g/sec.) |
| 2.51 | 6.19 | 4.04 | 6.85 | 5.56 | 6.74 | 8.83 | 6.71 |
| 5.96 | 6.67 | 6.75 | 6.67 | 11.46 | 6.36 | 14.57 | 6.44 |
| 8.53 | 6.24 | 10.93 | 6.42 | 15.00 | 6.67 | 17.68 | 6.85 |
| 14.76 | 6.44 | 19.12 | 6.49 | 20.76 | 6.32 | 22.37 | 6.74 |

Table 21 Angles of Repose of Surelease ${ }^{\otimes}$ Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |  |
| Percent | Angle of <br> Repose | Percent | Angle of <br> Repose | Percent | Angle of <br> Repose | Percent | Angle of <br> Repose |  |
| 1.92 | 32.01 | 2.04 | 32.01 | 2.48 | 32.01 | 7.84 | 32.01 |  |
| 3.05 | 33.69 | 3.29 | 33.69 | 3.86 | 32.01 | 12.18 | 30.47 |  |
| 3.87 | 32.01 | 7.61 | 32.85 | 8.39 | 35.22 | 13.40 | 32.01 |  |
| 6.29 | 35.22 | 9.00 | 32.01 | 12.12 | 32.85 | 17.05 | 32.85 |  |

Table 22 Angles of Repose of Eudragit ${ }^{\star}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 |  | 18/20 |  | 20/25 |  | 25/30 |  |
| Percent <br> Coated | Angle of Repose $\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$ | Percent <br> Coated | Angle of Repose $\left(x^{0}\right)$ | Percent <br> Coated | Angle of Repose $\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$ | Percent <br> Coated | Angle of Repose ( $x^{\circ}$ ) |
| 2.51; | 30.47 | 4.04 | 33.69 | 5.56 | 32.01 | 8.83 | 30.47 |
| 5.96 | 32.01 | 6.75 | 30.47 | 11.46 | 33.69 | 14.57 | 32.85 |
| 8.53 | 33.69 | 10.93 | 32.01 | 15.00 | 32.01 | 17.68 | 32.01 |
| 14.76 | 30.47 | 19.12 | 32.01 | 20.76 | 30.47 | 22.37 | 32.01 |

Table 23 Moisture Contents of Surelease © Coated Granules

| 16/18 |  | 9, 18/20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Q Q 20/25 $\rho$ \\| |  | Q $25 / 30$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Coated | Moisture Content (\%) | Percent Coated | Moisture Content <br> (\%) | Percent Coated | Moisture Content (\%) | Percent <br> Coated | Moisture Content <br> (\%) |
| 1.92 | 0.84 | 2.04 | 0.80 | 2.48 | 0.78 | 7.84 | 0.79 |
| 3.05 | 0.80 | 3.29 | 0.82 | 3.86 | 0.81 | 12.18 | 0.81 |
| 3.87 | 0.85 | 7.61 | 0.79 | 8.39 | 0.82 | 13.40 | 0.85 |
| 6.29 | 0.74 | 9.00 | 0.86 | 12.12 | 0.80 | 17.05 | 0.84 |

Table 24 Moisture Contents of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ |  | $18 / 20$ |  | $20 / 25$ |  | $25 / 30$ |  |
| Percent | Moisture <br> Content <br> $(\%)$ | Percent | Moisture <br> Content <br> Coated | Percent | Moisture <br> Content | Percent | Moisture <br> Content <br> Coated |
| 2.51 | 0.82 | 4.04 | 0.81 | 5.56 | 0.74 | 8.83 | 0.81 |
| 5.96 | 0.80 | 6.75 | 0.78 | 11.46 | 0.79 | 14.57 | 0.78 |
| 8.53 | 0.81 | 10.93 | 0.78 | 15.00 | 0.82 | 17.68 | 0.82 |
| 14.76 | 0.78 | 19.12 | 0.74 | 20.76 | 0.84 | 22.37 | 0.83 |

Table 25 The Angle of Repose of the Granules

| Angle of Repose <br> $\left(x^{\circ}\right)$ | Flowability |
| :---: | :--- |
| $25-30$ | excellent flow |
| $30-38$ | good flow |
| $38-45$ | fair flow |
| $45-55$ | poor flow |
| $55-70$ | very poor flow |
| $>70$ | very very poor flow |

Table 26 Specific Surface Area of Uncoated Granules

| Size of Granules | Specific Surface Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16-18$ | 0.00112 |
| $18-20$ | 0.00117 |
| $20-25$ | 0.00129 |
| $25-30$ | 0.00185 |

Table 27 Specific Surface Area of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ Coated Granules

| Percent <br> Coated | Size of Granules | Specific Surface Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.29 | $16 / 18$ | 0.00154 |
| 7.61 | $18 / 20$ | 0.00084 |
| 8.39 | $20 / 25$ | 0.00071 |
| 12.18 | $25 / 30$ | 0.00082 |
| 13.40 | $25 / 30$ | 0.00089 |

Table 28 Specific Surface Area of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30 D Coated Granules

| Percent <br> Coated | Size of Granules | Specific Surface Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.76 | $16 / 18$ | 0.00173 |
| 19.12 | $18 / 20$ | 0.00230 |
| 20.76 | $20 / 25$ | 0.00222 |
| 22.37 | $25 / 30$ | 0.00242 |

Table 29 Percent Elongation at Break and Tensile Strength of Aqueous Polymeric Films

| Type of Polymer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent Dibutyl } \\ \text { Phthalate } 9 \Omega \end{gathered}$ | Percent Elongation at Break | Tensile Strength ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{Cm}^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\square_{0.00}$ | $11.00 *$ | (13.55 * |
| จำ | $\text { MT }{ }_{10.00}^{5.00}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.00 \pm 5.00 \\ 20.00 \pm 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.09 \pm 0.69 \\ 9.12 \pm 0.98 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 15.00 | $21.67 \pm 2.89$ | -9.76 $\pm 1.95$ |
|  | 20.00 | $26.67 \pm 2.89$ | $9.14 \pm 1.94$ |
|  | 25.00 | $31.67 \pm 2.89$ | $4.30 \pm 0.70$ |
| Eudragit ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ NE 30D | - | $370 \pm 5.00$ | $67.5 \pm 2.11$ |


\% dibutyl phthelate

Figure 45 Influence of dibutyl phthalate concentration on percent elongation at break of Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ films


Figure 46 Influence of dibutyl phthalate concentration on tensile strength of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ films


Figure 47 Influence of dibutyl phthalate concentration on percentage of water sorption of Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ films
สถ่าบันวิทยบฺริการ:
จุฬาลงกรรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table 30 Percent Water of Aqueous Polymeric Films

| Type of Polymer | Percent Dibutyl <br> Phthalate | Percent Water <br> Sorption |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Surelease $^{*}$ | 5.00 | $29.58 \pm 2.76$ |
|  | 10.00 | $27.98 \pm 2.82$ |
|  | 15.00 | $28.28 \pm 2.60$ |
|  | 20.00 | $28.48 \pm 3.68$ |
|  | 25.00 | $32.37 \pm 3.00$ |
| Eudragit ${ }^{\otimes}$ NE 30D | - | $35.31 \pm 2.24$ |

## 4. Dissolution Study

### 4.1 Uncoated Granules

The uncoated granules of various sizes investigated included $16 / 18$, $18 / 20,20 / 25$ and $25 / 30$ mesh. The dissolution data of theophylline from the uncoated granules are tabulated in Table 42 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figure 48A.The release rate profiles of all uncoated granules are tabulated in Table 52. (Appendix) and the release rate of these uncoated granules decreased with the time increased as shown in Figure 48B. For the first 10 minutes, the highest release rate was obtained from $25 / 30$ mesh size followed by $20 / 25$ mesh size, $18 / 20$ mesh size and $16 / 18$ mesh size. Then the granules of $16 / 18$ mesh size exhibited the highest release rate.

Influence of Various Sizes on the Dissolution Profiles of Uncoated Granules

The release of drug from uncoated granules was different among each size of granules. In the first 30 minutes, higher release of drug was obtained
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Figure 48 A . The release profiles of uncoated theophylline granules of various sizes
B. The release rate profiles of uncoated theophylline granules of various sizes
from uncoated granules of smaller sizes. The uncoated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh size released the drug approximately $96 \%$ in the first 30 minutes while only $89 \%$ of theophylline was released from uncoated granules having a particle size range of $16 / 18$ mesh. After 30 minutes, more than $90 \%$ of the dose was released from every size of uncoated granules.

### 4.2 Surelease $^{\text {® }}$ Coated Granules

The dissolution data of theophylline granules of various sizes coated with various levels of Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$ are described in Tables 43-46 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figures 49A-52A. The release rate profiles of all Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ coated granules are tabulated in Tables 53-56 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figures 49B-52B. These release rate profiles decreased as the time increased.

## - A. Influence of Coating Level on the Drug Release Profiles of Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Coated Granules

From the obtained data increasing the percent coating level of Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ resulted in a corresponding decrease of the release of drug. The dissolution profiles between $3.05 \%$ and $3.87 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh, $2.48 \%$ and $3.86 \%$ coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh and $12.18 \%$ and $13.40 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh showed no statistical significance difference as shown in Table 74 (Appendix).
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Figure 49 A. The release profiles of Surelease coated graniules of $16 / 18$ mesh sizes

- B. The release rate profiles of Surelease ${ }^{\bullet}$ coated granules of 16/18 mesh sizes



Figure 50 A. The release profiles of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Surelease coated granules of 18/20 mesh sizes


Figure 51 A. The release profiles of Surelease ${ }^{\text {© }}$. coated graniles of 20/25 mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Surelease coated granules of 20/25 mesh sizes


Higure 52 A. The release profiles of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules of 25/30 mesh sizes

Several size of theophylline granules (16/18, 18/20, 20/25 and $25 / 30$ mesh) were evaluated for their influence on release profiles of the same level of Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ coated granules. The comparative release profiles for various sizes at the same level are shown graphically in Figures 58-61. Figure 58 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $18 / 20$ and 20/25 mesh coated with approximately $2 \%$ coating level ( $2.04 \%$ and $2.48 \%$ ), Figure 59 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $16 / 18,18 / 20$ and $20 / 25$ mesh at approximately $3 \%$ coating level ( $3.87 \%, 3.29 \%$ and $3.86 \%$ ), Figure 60 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $18 / 20$ and $25 / 30$ mesh at approximately $7 \%$ coating level ( $7.61 \%$ and $7.84 \%$ ) and Figure 61 for about $12 \%$ coating level ( $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 2 \%}$ and $12.18 \%$ ) of $20 / 25$ and $25 / 30$ mesh.

From the obtained results, slower release profile was obtained from theophylline granules of larger size. These results were similar to the results obtained from uncoated granules except the coating level about $3 \%$, the granules of $16 / 18$ mesh exhibited higher release profile than the $18 / 20$ mesh as shown in Figure 59.

### 4.3 Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

The dissolution data of theophylline granules of various sizes which were coated with various levels of Eudragit ${ }^{\bullet}$ NE 30D are listed in Tables 47-50 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figures 53A-56A. The release rate profiles of all Eudragit ${ }^{\star}$ NE 30D coated granules are tabulated in Tables 57-60 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figures 53B-56B.


Figure 53 A. The release profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D coated granules of 16/18 mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh sizes


Figure 54 A. The release profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh sizes


B


Figure 55 A. The release profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules of 20/25 mesh sizes

- B. The release rate profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh sizes


Figure 56 A. The release profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules of 25/30 mesh sizes
B. The release rate profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh sizes


Figure 57 A. The release profiles of Theo- $24^{\circ}$
B. The release rate profiles of Theo- $24^{\circ}$


Figure 58 Influence of various sizes ( $18 / 20$ and $20 / 25$ mesh) in about $2 \%$. coating level of Surelease on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules


Figure 59. Influence of various sizes ( $16 / 18,18 / 20$ and $20 / 25$ mesh) in about $3 \%$ coating level of Surelease on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules


Figurè 60 Influence of various sizes (18/20 and 25/30 mesh) in about 7\% coating level of Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$ on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules


Figure 61. Influence of various sizes (20/25 and 25/30 mesh) in about $12 \%$ coating level of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules

Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules exhibited release profiles similar to the Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ coated granules that the release rate profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules decreased as the time increased.

## A. Influence of Coating Level on the Drug Release Profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

The obtained results from Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$. NE 30D coated granules were similar to the results from Surelease coated grannules. Increasing the percent coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30 D resulted in corresponding decrease of the release of drug (Figures 53A-56A).

## B. Influence of Various Sizes on the Dissolution Profiles of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

Several size of theophylline granules (16/18, 18/20, 20/25 and $25 / 30$ mesh) were evaluated for their influence on release profiles of the same level of Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules. The comparative release profiles for various sizes at the same level are shown graphically in Figures 62-64. Figure 62 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $16 / 18$ and 20/25 mesh coated with approximately $5 \%$ coating level ( $5.96 \%$ and $5.56 \%$ ), Figure 63 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $16 / 18$ and $25 / 30$ mesh at about $8 \%$ coating level ( $8.53 \%$ and $8.83 \%$ ) and Figure 64 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $16 / 18$ and $25 / 30$ mesh at about $14 \%$ coating level ( $14.76 \%$ and $14.57 \%$ ).


Figure 62 Influence of various sizes ( $16 / 18$ and $\cdot 20 / 25$ mesh) in about $5 \%$ coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules


Figure 63 . Influence of various sizes ( $16 / 18$ and $25 / 30$ mesh) in about $8 \%$ coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\ominus}$ NE 30D on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules


Figure 64 Influence of various sizes ( $16 / 18$ and 25/30 mesh) in about $14 \%$ coating level of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D on the theophylline release profiles from coated granules สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณมมาวทยาลย

The Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules exhibited results similarly to the Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ coated granules. Lower release profile was obtained from larger size of granules. These results were similar to the results of uncoated granules.

### 4.4 Theo-24 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ (Commercial Product)

The dissolution data of Theo- $24^{\circ}$ are tabulated in Table 51 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figure 57A. The convex curves were turned to the x -axis and had three step.

The release rate profile of Theo- $24^{\circ}$ are tabulated in Table 61 (Appendix) and are shown graphically in Figure 57B. The release rate of this product decreased as the time increased but the decrease of release rate was fluctuated.

## 5. Comparison of the Percent Coating Level between Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D

-For each size of theophylline granules, the equal drug release profiles of Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules were selected and their percent coating levels were compared. The comparative percent coating levels between Surelease ${ }^{*}$ and Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D are shown graphically in Figures 65-68. Figure 65 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $16 / 18$ mesh coated with $6.29 \%$ of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $14.76 \%$ of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D, Figure 66 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $18 / 20$ mesh coated with $7.61 \%$ of Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ and $19.12 \%$ of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D, Figure 67 shows release profiles of theophylline granules of $20 / 25$ mesh coated with $3.86 \%$ of Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$ and $5.56 \%$ of Eudragit ${ }^{\star}$ NE $30 \bigoplus$ and Figure 68 shows release


Figure 65 The release profiles between $6.29 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$ coated granules and $14.76 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coatedgranules for granules of 16/18 mesh


Figure 66 The release profiles between $7.61 \%$ Surelease $^{\circ}$ coated granules and $19.12 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D coated granulesfor granules of 18/20 mesh


Figure 67 The release profiles between 3.86\% Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules and $5.56 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules for granules of 20/25 mesh


Figure 68 -The release profiles between $7.84 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules and $14.57 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D coated granulesfor granules of 25/30 mesh
profiles of theophylline granules of $25 / 30$ mesh coated with $7.84 \%$ of Surelease ${ }^{\star}$ and $14.57 \%$ of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D.

Each pair of drug release profiles showed no statistical significance difference as shown in Table 75 (Appendix). From the obtained results, for equal drug release the amount of Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D used was higher than that of the Surelease ${ }^{*}$.

## 6. Dissolution Profiles of Selected Formulations Compared with

 Commercial ProductThe satisfactory formulation were selected and compared their dissolution profiles with available commercial product, Theo- $24^{\circ}$. The selections of formulations were based on the drug release at 24 hours interval of not less than $80 \%$ with small standard deviation and cumulative percent release of drug conforming to the USP XXIII requirement for the theophylline extended release capsules as shown in Tables 31 and 32.

Figure 69 shows the profiles of all selected formulations of Surelease ${ }^{\text {© }}$ coated granules were $6.29 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh, $7.61 \%$ coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh, $8.39 \%$ coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh, $12.18 \%$ and $13.40 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh. Figures $70-73$ show the release profiles of them when compared with Theo- $24^{\circ}$, respectively.

Figure 74 shows the profiles of all selected formulations of Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules and commercial product. The selected formulation of Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules were $14.76 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh, $19.12 \%$ coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh, $20.76 \%$ coated granules of 20/25


Figure 69 The release profiles from all selected formulations of Surelease ${ }^{*}$ coated granules compared with Theo-24 ${ }^{\circ}$ สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกฺรณ์มหาวิที่าลัย


Figure 70 The release profiles from $6.29 \%$ Surelease $^{\bullet}$ coated granules of 16/18 mesh compared with Theo-24 ${ }^{\circ}$


Figure 71 The release profiles from 7.61\% Surelease ${ }^{\bullet}$ coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh compared with Theo- $24^{\circ}$


Figure 72 The release profiles from $8.39 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$ coated granules of 20/25 mesh compared with Theo- $24^{\circ}$


Figure 73 The release profiles from $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 8 \%}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 0 \%}$ Surelease ${ }^{\bullet}$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh compared with Theo- $24^{\circ}$


Figurè 74 The release profiles from all selected formulations of Eudragit ${ }^{\circ}$ NE 30D coated granules compared with Theo-24 (1) •• • •

## สถาบนนิ่ทยบริการ

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 75 The release profiles from $14.76 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh compared with Theo-24


Figure 76. The release profiles from $19.12 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\circledR}$ NE 30D coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh compared with Theo- $24^{\circ}$


Figure 77 The release profiles from 20.76\% Eudragit ${ }^{*}$ NE 30D coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh compared with Theo- $24^{*}$
*


Figure $7 \mathbf{8}^{\circ}$ The release profiles from $22.37 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {© }}$ NE 30D coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh compared with Theo- $24^{*}$
mesh and $22.37 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh. Their release profiles are shown in Figures 75-78.

All selected formulations exhibited higher release profiles than Theo$24^{\oplus}$. Their drug release at 24 hours interval were not less than $80 \%$. The release profiles which were closed to Theo $-24^{\oplus}$ were $13.40 \%$ Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ coated granules and $19.12 \%$ Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30 D coated granules. The statistical significance difference between each selected formulation and Theo- $24^{*}$ showed no statistical significance difference (Table 76, Appendix)

## 7. The Elucidation of Drug Release Model

In order to determine the effect of type of polymer and formulation difference on the model of drug release. Therefore, analysis of all dissolution data were carried out to elucidate the drug release model. The plots between percentage of drug against time (zero-order), log percent of drug remained versus time (first-order), and percentage of drug versus square root of time (Higuchi model) were constructed. The most linear plot was accepted as the model of drug release.

### 7.1 Uncoated Granules



The correleation coefficient of uncoated granules of various sizes are tabulated in Table 33.

For uncoated granules of various sizes (16/18, 18/20, 20/25 and 25/30 mesh), the highest correlation coefficient were $0.8664,0.7563,0.8046$ and 0.8721 from the plot of log percent of drug remained versus time respectively.

These results indicated that the theophylline release from all uncoated granules were likely to be first order model.

### 7.2 Surelease $^{\oplus}$ Coated Granules

The correlation coefficient of Surelease coated granules are tabulated in Table 34. The highest correlation coefficient of $1.92 \%$ and $3.05 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh and $7.84 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh were obtained from first-order model. High correlation coefficients of other granules were indifferentiated between first-order and Higuchi model. Then, it was necessary to distinguish between the models. Further treatment was based upon use of the differential forms of the first order and Higuchi equations (data in Tables 62-65, Appendix). The correlation coefficients of release rate versus Q. were higher than those of rates versus $1 / Q$ as exhibited in Table 37. Therefore, the theophylline release from these granules were likely to be firstorder model.

### 7.3 Eudragit ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

The correlation coefficient of Eudragit ${ }^{\circledR}$ NE 30D coated granules are tabulated in Table 35. The highest correlation coefficient of $5.96 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh and $14.57 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh were obtained from Higuchi model. For $2.51 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh, $4.04 \%$ and $6.75 \%$ coated granules of $18 / 20$ mesh, $5.56 \%$ and $11.46 \%$ coated granules of $20 / 25$ mesh and $8.83 \%$ coated granules of $25 / 30$ mesh, the highest correlation coefficient were obtained from first-order model. Other coated granules exhibited similarly high correlation coefficient in both first-order and Higuchi model. The further treatment reveraled that the correlation coefficient of release rate versus Q were higher than those of rates versus $1 / \mathrm{Q}$ except the
result of $8.53 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh which were contrary to the above mentioned as exhibited in Table 38 (data in Tables 66-69, Appendix). It was indicated that theophylline release from these coated granules were firstorfer model except $8.53 \%$ coated granules of $16 / 18$ mesh which was Higuchi model.

### 7.4 Theo-24 ${ }^{\text {® }}$ (Commercial Product)

The correlation coefficient of Theo- $24^{\circledR}$ was tabulated in Table 36. The correlation coefficient of the relationship for first-order and Higuchi model were interested. Further treatment as exhibited in Table 39 indicated that theophylline release from Theo- $24^{\circledR}$ was first-order model.

Table 31 USP XXII Requirement and Cumulative Percent Release of Drug from Selected Formulations of Surelease ${ }^{\circledR}$ Coated Granules

| Time <br> (hr.) | \% Release (Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USP <br> range |  |  |  | Size of Granules (mesh) |  |
|  |  | Percent Co | ated |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $8.39$ | 12.18 | $25 / 30$ |
| 1 | 5-15 | 95.89 | 9/5.50 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.72 | 6.50 | 5.46 |
| 2 | 12-30 | 17.32 | 15.86 | 27.83 | - 15.99 | 13.95 |
| $4$ | $25-50$ | $38.15$ | $35.51$ | $47.31$ | $39.48$ | 34.14 |
| 59 | 30-60 | 50.81 | $46.36$ | $53.33$ | 44.48 | 37.30 |
| 8 | 55-75 | 65.91 | 68.73 | 61.73 | 61.11 | 60.16 |
| 10 | - | 72.38 | 75.38 | 68.75 | 66.78 | 71.45 |
| 14 | - | 83.59 | 85.29 | 75.80 | 80.99 | 88.87 |
| 20 | - | 95.73 | 89.13 | 88.75 | 95.26 | 93.43 |
| 24 | - | 100.54 | 90.75 | 96.43 | 96.60 | 93.50 |

Table 32 USP XXIII Requirement and Cumulative Percent Release of Drug from Selected Formulations of Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D Coated Granules

| Time <br> (hr.) | \% Release (Surelease ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USP } \\ & \text { range } \end{aligned}$ | Percent Coated Size of Granules (mesh) |  |  |  |
|  |  | $14.76$ |  | $20.76$ | $225 / 30$ |
| 1 | 5-15 | 10.46 | $5.89{ }^{\text { }}$ | 9.25 | 9.17 |
| 2 | 12-30 | 18.68 | 13.30 | 20.61 | 21.80 |
| 4 | 25-50 | 40.49 | 31.65 | 39.37 | 42.84 |
| 5 | 30-60 | 52.44 | 42.54 | 53.29 | 56.74 |
| 8 | 55-75 | 70.23 | 65.08 | 71.47 | 73.18 |
| 10 |  | 87.29 | 78.26 | 86.17 | 90.59 |
| $\because 14$ | - | 96.99 | 81.74 | 87.96 | 97.44 |
| 20 | - | 99.65 | 95.86 | 90.37 | 99.48 |
| 24 | - | 102.84 | 102.67 | 95.14 | 103.20 |

Table 33 Correlation Coefficient of the Relationships between Percent Drug Released versus Time (A), Percent Drug Released versus Square Root Time (B), and Log Percent Drug Remained versus Time (C) from Uncoated Granules

| Size of Granules <br> (mesh) | $\sigma \mathrm{A}$ | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 18$ | 0.6384 | 0.2464 | 0.8664 |
| $18 / 20$ | 0.5328 | 0.2109 | 0.7563 |
| $20 / 25$ | 0.4594 | 0.4594 | 0.8046 |
| $25 / 30$ | 0.4233 | 0.1475 | 0.8721 |

Table 34 Correlation Coefficient of the Relationships between Percent Drug Released versus Time (A), Percent Drug Released versus Square Root Time (B), and Log Percent Drug Remained versus Time (C) from Theophylline Granules Coating with Surelease ${ }^{\oplus}$.

| Size of Granules (mesh) | Percen <br> Coated | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 | 1.92 | 0.4667 | 0.7337 | 0.8178 |
|  | 3.05 | 0.6560 | 0.8746 | 0.9837 |
|  | 3.87 | $0.6240{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.8442 | 0.8714 |
|  | 6.29 | 0.8708 | 0.9666 | 0.9840 |
| 18/20 | 2.04 | 0.6407 | 0.8514 | 0.9259 |
|  | 3.29 | 0.7317 | 0.9095 | 0.9575 |
|  | 7.61 | 0.8117 | 0.9334 | 0.9534 |
|  | 9.00 | 0.9516 | 0.9727 | 0.9912 |
| 20/25 | 2.48 | 0.2990 | 0.5458 | 0.8124 |
|  | 3.86 | 0.3793 | 0.6416 | 0.9356 |
|  | 8.39 | 0.8516 | 0.9788 | 0.9615 |
|  | 12.12 | 0.9032 | 0.9633 | 0.9136 |
| 25/30 | 7.84 | 0.5988 | 0.8388 | 0.9509 |
|  | 12.18 | 0.8907 | 0.9736 | 0.9619 |
|  | 13.40 | 0.8704 | 0.9503 | 0.9676 |
|  | $17.05^{\circ}$ | 0.9169 | 0.9638 | 0.9839 |
| T/1 61 | 06 | T 0 | 16 |  |

Table 35 Correlation Coefficient of the Relationships between Percent Drug Released versus Time (A), Percent Drug Released versus Square Root Time (B), and Log Percent Drug Remained versus Time (C) from Theophylline Granules Coating with Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D.

| Size of Granules (mesh) | Percent <br> Coated | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/18 | 2.51 | 0.2972 | 0.5486 | 0.8906 |
|  | 5.96 | 0.4605 | 0.7276 | 0.5218 |
|  | 8.53 | 0.7137 | 0.8995 | 0.9186 |
|  | 14.76 | 0.8260 | 0.9435 | 0.9649 |
| 18/20 | 4.04 | 0.2831 | 0.5362 | 0.9042 |
|  | 6.75 | 0.5536 | 0.7999 | 0.9258 |
|  | 10.93 | 0.7210 | 0.9151 | 0.9030 |
|  | 19.12 | 0.8853 | 0.9576 | 0.9763 |
| 20/25 | 5.56 | 0.3779 | 0.7131 | 0.9633 |
|  | 11.46 | 0.6033 | 0.8493 | 0.9419 |
|  | 15.00 | 0.7457 | 0.9150 | 0.9809 |
|  | 20.76 | 0.7798 | 0.9227 | 0.9940 |
| $25 / 30$ | 8.83 | 0.4857 | 0.7491 | 0.9427 |
|  | . 57 | 0.5720 | 0.8073 | 0.7561 |
| จダคลท | 7.68 | 0.7239 | 0.9086 | 0.9850 |
|  | 22.37 | 0.8007 | 0.9343 | 0.9792 |

Table 36 Correlation Coefficient of the Relationships between Percent Drug Released versus Time (A), Percent Drug Released versus Square Root Time (B), and Log Percent Drug Remained versus Time (C) from Theo- $24^{\oplus}$ (Commercial Product)

| Formulation | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theo-24 $^{\oplus}$ | 0.9251 | 0.9648 | 0.9222 |

Table 37 Comparison of Linearity between Plots of Rate of Release Against Reciprocal Amount ( $1 / \mathrm{Q}$ ) and Amount (Q) of Theophylline Release from Theophylline Granules Coating with Surelease ${ }^{\circ}$

| Size of Granules (mesh) | Percent Coated | Correlation Coefficient of Rate dQ/dt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Versus Q | Versus 1/Q |
| 16/18 | 3.87 | 0.7394 | 0.4388 |
|  | 6.29 | 0.5150 | 0.0352 |
| $18 / 20$ | 3.29 | 0.7758 | 0.4383 |
|  | 7.61 | 0.5271 | 0.0349 |
|  | 9.00 | 0.6769 | 0.5712 |
| $20 / 25$ | $19 \begin{gathered}8.39 \\ 12.12\end{gathered}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8631 \\ & 0.3784 \end{aligned}$ | $\tilde{\sigma}^{0.6754} 0.0686$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} 25 / 30 \\ 6 \end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.18 \\ 13.40 \\ 17.05 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5903 \\ & 0.4094 \\ & 0.0112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1271 \\ & 0.0531 \\ & 0.0053 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 38 Comparison of Linearity between Plots of Rate of Release Against Reciprocal Amount ( $1 / \mathrm{Q}$ ) and Amount ( Q ) of Theophylline Release from Theophylline Granules Coating with Eudragit ${ }^{\oplus}$ NE 30D

| Size of <br> Granules <br> (mesh) | Percent <br> Coated |  | Correlation Coefficient of <br> Rate dQ/dt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Versus Q | Versus 1/Q |  |
| $16 / 18$ | 8.53 | 0.2415 | 0.3856 |  |
|  | 14.76 | 0.6113 | 0.2095 |  |
| $18 / 20$ | 10.93 | 0.7500 | 0.6740 |  |
|  | 19.12 | 0.4296 | 0.0489 |  |
| $20 / 25$ | 15.00 | 0.8503 | 0.6815 |  |
|  | 20.76 | 0.5666 | 0.1761 |  |
| $25 / 30$ | 17.68 | 0.7053 | 0.3405 |  |
|  | 22.37 | 0.5782 | 0.1441 |  |

Table 39 Comparison of Linearity between Plots of Rate of Release Against Reciprocal Amount ( $1 / \mathrm{Q}$ ) and Amount (Q) of Theophylline Release from Theo- $24^{\oplus}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$

| Product | Correlation Coefficient of Rate dQ/dt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O Versus Q | DIV Versus 1/Q |
| Theo- $24{ }^{\text {® }}$ | 0.1444 | O90,0489 |


[^0]:    B. Influence of Various Sizes on the Dissolution Profiles of Surelease ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Coated Granules

