

## CHAPTER II

### RINGS OF ALL STRICTLY UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRICES

For a ring  $R$  and a positive integer  $n$ , let  $SU_n(R)$  denote the ring of all strictly upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrices under the usual addition and multiplication of matrices.

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $n$  a positive integer. If  $n \leq 2$ , then  $SU_n(R)$  is a zero ring. It is clearly seen that if  $|R| > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ ,  $SU_n(R)$  has no left identity and no right identity and it is not a regular ring.

Assume  $R$  is not a zero ring and  $n > 2$ . Then there exist  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \neq 0$ . Define the matrices  $A, B \in SU_n(R)$  by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & ab \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq [0]_{n \times n} = BA.$$

Therefore  $SU_n(R)$  is not commutative.

We conclude that

- (1) if  $n \leq 2$ , then  $SU_n(R)$  is a zero ring, and hence  $SU_n(R)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals,
- (2) if  $|R| > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $SU_n(R)$  has no left identity and no right identity,
- (3) if  $|R| > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $SU_n(R)$  is not regular and

(4) if  $R$  is not a zero ring and  $n > 2$ , then  $SU_n(R)$  is not commutative.

It seems worthwhile to study the intersection property of quasi-ideals of  $SU_n(R)$  for certain rings  $R$ . Rings with identity of characteristic  $\neq 2$ , division rings and the rings  $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$  for all primes  $p$  and positive integers  $k$  are rings of our interest in this chapter.

We show in the following theorem that  $SU_n(R)$  does not have the intersection property of quasi-ideals if  $R$  has an identity,  $|R| > 1$ ,  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with identity,  $|R| > 1$  and  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ . If  $n$  is a positive integer such that  $SU_n(R)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals, then  $n \leq 3$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $e$  be the identity of  $R$ . Since  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ ,  $2e \neq 0$  and  $-e \neq e$ .

Assume that  $n \geq 4$ . Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & e & e & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & e & -e & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For  $C, D \in SU_n(R)$ ,

$$CA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & C_{13} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} & \cdots & C_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & e & e & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{12} + C_{13} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{23} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$DB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_{12} & D_{13} & \cdots & D_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & D_{23} & \cdots & D_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & e & -e & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 2D_{12} + D_{13} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{23} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then

$$CA + DB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{12} + C_{13} + 2D_{12} + D_{13} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & C_{23} + D_{23} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $C, D \in SU_n(R)$ ,

$$AC = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & e & e & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & C_{13} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} & \cdots & C_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & C_{n-2,n-1} & C_{n-2,n} + C_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$BD = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & e & -e & e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_{12} & D_{13} & \dots & D_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & D_{23} & \dots & D_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{n-2,n-1} & D_{n-2,n} - D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then

$$AC + BD =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & C_{n-2,n-1} + D_{n-2,n-1} & C_{n-2,n} + C_{n-1,n} + D_{n-2,n} - D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From these equalities, we obtain that

$$SU_n(R)\{A, B\} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & y \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x, y \in R \right\} \dots\dots\dots(a)$$

and

$$\{A, B\}SU_n(R) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \dots & 0 & x' & y' \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x', y' \in R \right\} \dots\dots\dots(b)$$

From (a) and (b),

$$SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap \{A, B\}SU_n(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x \in R \right\}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(c)$$

Since  $Z\{A, B\} = \{nA + n'B \mid n, n' \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ ,

$Z\{A, B\} =$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & (n+n')e & (n-n')e & (n+n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+2n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid n, n' \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(d)$$

Then from (b) and (d),

$Z\{A, B\} + \{A, B\}SU_n(R) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & (n+n')e & x'+(n-n')e & y'+(n+n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+2n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} n, n' \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \text{and} \\ x', y' \in R \end{array} \right\}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(e)$$

From (a) and (e), we have

$SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap (Z\{A, B\} + \{A, B\}SU_n(R)) =$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & z \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & n'e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid n' \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } z \in R \right\} \quad \dots\dots\dots(f)$$

and from (c) and (d),

$$\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + (SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap \{A, B\}SU_n(R)) =$$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & (n+n')e & (n-n')e & (n+n')e+x \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+2n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & (n+n')e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{l} n, n' \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \text{and} \\ x \in R \end{array} \right\} \dots\dots\dots(g)$$

From (f), we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -e \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots\dots\dots(*)$$

is an element of  $SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap (\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + \{A, B\}SU_n(R))$ . We shall show that the matrix (\*) is not an element of  $\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + (SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap \{A, B\}SU_n(R))$ . Suppose on the contrary that it is. From (g), there exist integers  $n, n' \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $x \in R$  such that

$$(n+n')e = 0 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$(n-n')e = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

$$(n+2n')e = -e \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

$$(n+n')e+x = e \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

By (1) and (3),

$$n'e = -e \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

By (1) and (5),

$$ne = e \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

By (2), (5) and (6), we have  $e = -e$  which is a contradiction since  $char(R) \neq 2$ . Therefore the matrix (\*) is an element of  $SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap (\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + \{A, B\}SU_n(R))$  but not of  $\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + (SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap \{A, B\}SU_n(R))$ . Hence  $SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap (\mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + \{A, B\}SU_n(R)) \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}\{A, B\} + (SU_n(R)\{A, B\} \cap \{A, B\}SU_n(R))$

$\{A, B\}SU_n(R)$ ). By Theorem 1.4,  $SU_n(R)$  does not have the intersection property of quasi-ideals. Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

We know that for any positive integer  $m$ , the characteristic of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $m$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, we have

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers,  $m > 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ , then  $SU_n(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  does not have the intersection property of quasi-ideals.*

We shall prove in the next theorem that if  $R$  is a division ring, then every quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(R)$  is an ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . The following lemma is required and it is true for any ring.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $Q$  a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{12} = 0$ , then  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ .*
- (2) *If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{23} = 0$ , then  $Q$  is a left ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ .*

**Proof.** First, we note that for  $A, B \in SU_3(R)$ ,

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{12}B_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dots\dots\dots(*)$$

(1) Assume that for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{12} = 0$ . Then for  $A \in Q$  and  $B \in SU_3(R)$ , by (\*),  $AB = [0]_{3 \times 3} \in Q$ . Hence  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ .

(2) Assume that for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{23} = 0$ . Then by (\*),  $BA = [0]_{3 \times 3}$  for all  $A \in Q$  and  $B \in SU_3(R)$ . Hence  $Q$  is a left ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $R$  is a division ring, then every quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(R)$  is a left ideal or a right ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . Hence for any division ring  $R$ ,  $SU_3(R)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be a division ring and  $Q$  a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{12} = 0$ , then by Lemma 2.3(1),  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{23} = 0$ , then by Lemma 2.3(2),  $Q$  is a left ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ . These both cases imply that  $Q$  has the intersection property.

Next, assume that there exist  $A, B \in Q$  such that  $A_{12} \neq 0$  and  $B_{23} \neq 0$ .

Then

$$SU_3(R)B = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & C_{12}B_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid C \in SU_3(R) \right\}$$

and

$$ASU_3(R) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & A_{12}C_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid C \in SU_3(R) \right\}.$$

Since for every  $x \in R$ ,  $\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$  is an element of  $SU_3(R)$ ,

$$SU_3(R)B = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & xB_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x \in R \right\}$$

and

$$ASU_3(R) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & A_{12}x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x \in R \right\}.$$

Since  $A_{12} \neq 0$ ,  $B_{23} \neq 0$  and  $R$  is a division ring, it follows that  $A_{12}R = R$  and  $RB_{23} = R$ . Consequently,



$$SU_3(R)B = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| x \in R \right\}$$

and

$$ASU_3(R) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| x \in R \right\}.$$

We have that each element of  $SU_3(R)Q$  and each element of  $QSU_3(R)$  is of

the form  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $a \in R$ . This implies that

$$SU_3(R)Q \subseteq \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| x \in R \right\} = SU_3(R)B$$

and

$$QSU_3(R) \subseteq \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| x \in R \right\} = ASU_3(R).$$

Hence

$$SU_3(R)Q = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| x \in R \right\} = QSU_3(R).$$

Since  $Q$  is a quasi-ideal,  $SU_3(R)Q \cap QSU_3(R) \subseteq Q$ . It follows that  $SU_3(R)Q \subseteq Q$  and  $QSU_3(R) \subseteq Q$ . Therefore  $Q$  is an ideal of  $SU_3(R)$  and hence  $Q$  has the intersection property.  $\square$

Observe from the proof of Theorem 2.4 that if  $R$  is a division ring and  $Q$  is a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(R)$  such that  $A_{12} \neq 0$  and  $B_{23} \neq 0$  for some  $A, B \in Q$ , respectively, then  $Q$  is an ideal of  $SU_3(R)$ .

Since the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is a field if  $m$  is a prime, by Theorem 2.4, we have

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $p$  is a prime, then every quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is a left ideal or a right ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Hence for every prime  $p$ ,  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals.*

From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.4, the two following corollaries are obtained.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Then for a positive integer  $n$ ,  $SU_n(F)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals if and only if  $n \leq 3$ .*

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p > 2$ . Then for a positive integer  $n$ ,  $SU_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals if and only if  $n \leq 3$ .*

We have from Corollary 2.5 that every quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  is a left ideal or a right ideal if  $m$  is a prime. It is natural to ask whether or not this property holds if  $m$  is not a prime. The negative answer is given by  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ . We shall show that there exists a quasi-ideal in  $SU_3(\mathbb{Z}_6)$  which is neither a left nor a right ideal.

First, we give a general fact of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  as follows: If  $m$  and  $n$  are integers such that  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime, then in  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}\bar{m} \cap \mathbb{Z}\bar{n} = \{\bar{0}\}$ . To prove this, let  $x\bar{m} = y\bar{n}$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $mn \mid (xm - yn)$ . Then there exists  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mnz = xm - yn$ , so  $yn = xm - mnz = m(x - nz)$ . Since  $x - nz \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \mid yn$ . Since  $m$  and  $n$  are relative prime,  $m \nmid n$ , so we have  $m \mid y$ . Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $y = mk$ . Thus in  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn}$ ,  $x\bar{m} = y\bar{n} = (mk)\bar{n} = k(\overline{mn}) = \bar{0}$ .

**Example.** Let  $Q$  be the subset of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$  defined by

$$Q = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & m\bar{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| m, n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Then  $Q$  is an additive subgroup of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$ . Since for  $A, B \in SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$A \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & m\bar{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & nA_{12}\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & m\bar{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] B = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & mB_{23}\bar{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

it follows that

$$SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)Q = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & n\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

and

$$QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6) = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & n\bar{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \middle| n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Then  $\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & \bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$  and  $\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & \bar{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$  are elements of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)Q$  and  $QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$ ,

respectively. But these matrices do not belong to  $Q$ , so  $Q$  is neither a left nor a right ideal of  $QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$ .

Let  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & m\bar{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & n\bar{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $m\bar{3} = n\bar{2} \in \mathbf{Z}\bar{2} \cap \mathbf{Z}\bar{3}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_{2 \times 3}$ . Since 2 and 3 are relatively prime,  $\mathbf{Z}\bar{2} \cap \mathbf{Z}\bar{3} = \{\bar{0}\}$  which implies that  $m\bar{3} = n\bar{2} = \bar{0}$ . Hence  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)Q \cap QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6) = \{\bar{0}\} \subseteq Q$ . Therefore  $Q$  is a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_6)$ .  $\square$

Observe that 6 is not a prime power. In the next theorem, we shall show that if  $p$  is a prime and  $n$  is a positive integer, then  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^n})$  has the property that each of its quasi-ideals is a left or a right ideal. The proof of theorem requires the fact that the ideals of  $\mathbf{Z}_{p^n}$  form a chain under set inclusion.

We note that in a ring  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  where  $m$  is a positive integer, the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}_m$ , then  $I = a\mathbf{Z}_m$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ .
- (2) If  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  is such that  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime, then  $a\mathbf{Z}_m = \mathbf{Z}_m$ .

To prove (1), let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  and  $I \neq \{\bar{0}\}$ . We have that  $\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \bar{x} \in I \text{ and } x > 0\} \neq \emptyset$  since for every  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\bar{x} \in I$  implies  $\overline{-x} \in I$ .

Let

$$a = \min \{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \bar{x} \in I \text{ and } x > 0\}.$$

Then  $\bar{a} \in I$ , so  $a\mathbf{Z}_m = \bar{a}\mathbf{Z}_m \subseteq I$ . Let  $b \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that  $\bar{b} \in I$ . Then there exist  $q$  and  $r$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $b = qa + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < a$ . Therefore  $\bar{b} = q\bar{a} + \bar{r}$ . It follows that  $\bar{r} = \bar{b} - q\bar{a} \in I$ . By the property of  $a$ ,  $r = 0$ . Then  $\bar{b} = q\bar{a} = a\bar{q} \in a\mathbf{Z}_m$ .

Next, we shall prove (2). Since  $a$  and  $m$  are relatively prime,  $ax + my = 1$  for some  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $\bar{1} = \overline{ax + my} = a\bar{x} \in a\mathbf{Z}_m$ . Hence  $a\mathbf{Z}_m = \mathbf{Z}_m$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $p$  is a prime and  $k$  is a positive integer, then  $\{p^k \mathbf{Z}_p \mid k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}\}$  is the set of all ideals of the ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $p^k \mathbf{Z}_p \supseteq p^{k+1} \mathbf{Z}_p$  for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $I \neq \{\bar{0}\}$ . Then  $I = a\mathbf{Z}_p$  for some  $a \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $a > 0$ . Then  $a = p^\ell b$  for some  $\ell, b \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $p \nmid b$ . Therefore  $p$  and  $b$  are relatively prime since  $p$  is a prime. Consequently,  $I = p^\ell b \mathbf{Z}_p = p^\ell (b \mathbf{Z}_p) = p^\ell \mathbf{Z}_p$ . If  $\ell \geq n$ , then  $I = \{\bar{0}\}$ , a contradiction. Then  $\ell < n$ , and so we are done. If  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then  $p^{k+1} \mathbf{Z}_p = p^k (p \mathbf{Z}_p) \subseteq p^k \mathbf{Z}_p$ . □

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and  $p$  a prime. Then every quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^k)$  is a left ideal or a right ideal. Hence  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^k)$  has the intersection property of quasi-ideals.*

**Proof.** Let  $Q$  be a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^k)$ . If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{12} = \bar{0}$ , then by Lemma 2.3(1),  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^k)$ . If for every  $A \in Q$ ,  $A_{23} = \bar{0}$ , then by Lemma 2.3(2),  $Q$  is a left ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^k)$ .

Next, assume that there exist  $A, B \in Q$  such that  $A_{12} \neq \bar{0}$  and  $B_{23} \neq \bar{0}$ . Then  $\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid x > 0 \text{ and } \bar{x} = C_{12} \text{ for some } C \in Q\} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid x > 0 \text{ and } \bar{x} = C_{23} \text{ for some } C \in Q\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let

$$a = \min\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid x > 0 \text{ and } \bar{x} = C_{12} \text{ for some } C \in Q\}$$

and

$$b = \min\{x \in \mathbf{Z} \mid x > 0 \text{ and } \bar{x} = C_{23} \text{ for some } C \in Q\}.$$

Then there exist  $\hat{A}, \hat{B} \in Q$  such that  $\hat{A}_{12} = \bar{a}$  and  $\hat{B}_{23} = \bar{b}$ . Let  $C \in Q$  and let  $c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that  $C_{12} = \bar{c}$  and  $C_{23} = \bar{d}$ . Since  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , there exist  $q, r, s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$c = qa + r \text{ where } 0 \leq r < a \text{ and } d = sb + t \text{ where } 0 \leq t < b.$$

Then  $r = c - qa$  and  $t = d - sb$  which imply that  $\bar{r} = \bar{c} - q\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{t} = \bar{d} - s\bar{b}$ .

Since  $\hat{A}, \hat{B}, C \in Q$  and  $Q$  is an additive subgroup of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^*)$ , it follows that  $C - q\hat{A}, C - s\hat{B} \in Q$ . But  $(C - q\hat{A})_{12} = C_{12} - q\hat{A}_{12} = \bar{c} - q\bar{a} = \bar{r}$  and  $(C - s\hat{B})_{23} = C_{23} - s\hat{B}_{23} = \bar{d} - s\bar{b} = \bar{t}$ , so by the properties of  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $r = 0$  and  $t = 0$ .

Consequently,  $C_{12} = q\hat{A}_{12} = q\bar{a}$  and  $C_{23} = s\hat{B}_{23} = s\bar{b}$ . Hence the following statement is proved.

(\*) For every  $C \in Q$ , there exist  $q, s \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that

$$C_{12} = q\bar{a} \text{ and } C_{23} = s\bar{b}.$$

Since for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{n} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \in SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^*),$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{n} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{n}\hat{B}_{23} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{b} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\hat{A} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{n} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \hat{A}_{12}\bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{a} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that

$$SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^*)Q \supseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{b} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

and

$$QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t) \supseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{a} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

If  $D \in Q$ , then by (\*),  $D_{12} = k\bar{a}$  and  $D_{23} = \ell\bar{b}$  for some  $k, \ell \in \mathbf{Z}$  and hence for every  $E \in SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t)$ ,

$$ED = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \ell E_{12}\bar{b} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad DE = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & kE_{23}\bar{a} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t)Q \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{b} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

and

$$QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t) \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{a} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}. \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

From (1) and (3), we have

$$SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t)Q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{b} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

and (2) and (4) give

$$QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_p^t) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & n\bar{a} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$



Hence

$$SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & b\bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \middle| n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{x} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \bar{x} \in b\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \right\}$$

.....(5)

and

$$QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & a\bar{n} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \middle| n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{x} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & \bar{0} & \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \bar{x} \in a\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \right\}.$$

.....(6)

By Lemma 2.8,  $b\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \subseteq a\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$  or  $a\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \subseteq b\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$ . Since  $Q$  is a quasi-ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})$ ,  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q \cap QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) \subseteq Q$ .

**Case 1 :**  $b\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \subseteq a\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$ . By (5) and (6),  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q \subseteq QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})$ . Then  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q = SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q \cap QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) \subseteq Q$ . Therefore  $Q$  is a left ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})$ .

**Case 2 :**  $a\mathbf{Z}_{p^k} \subseteq b\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$ . By (5) and (6),  $QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) \subseteq SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q$ , so  $QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) = SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})Q \cap QSU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k}) \subseteq Q$ . Therefore  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $SU_3(\mathbf{Z}_{p^k})$ .  $\square$

สถาบันวิทยบริการ  
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย