

CHAPTER III



STAR-CONGRUENCES ON STAR-SEMIGROUPS

The purpose of this chapter is to introduce well-known congruences on $*$ -semigroups which are $*$ -congruences.

If ρ is a congruence on a $*$ -semigroup S such that for all $a, b \in S$, apb implies $a*\rho b*$, then ρ is said to be a $*$ -congruence on S or preserve $*$ on S .

If ρ is a $*$ -congruence on a $*$ -semigroup, then S/ρ is a $*$ -semigroup with an involution $*$ on S/ρ defined by $(a\rho)^* = a*\rho$. Moreover, if ρ is a $*$ -congruence on a regular- $*$ semigroup, then the quotient semigroup S/ρ is also regular- $*$ because for all $a \in S$, $(a\rho)(a\rho)^*(a\rho) = (a\rho)(a*\rho)(a\rho) = (aa*a)\rho = a\rho$. But for a $*$ -congruence ρ on a $*$ -semigroup S which is $*$ -regular, the semigroup S/ρ is not necessarily $*$ -regular under the involution defined by $(a\rho)^* = a*\rho$.

Example. Let I be a set such that $|I| = 2$, \mathbf{Z} the set of all integers and $S = I \times \mathbf{Z} \times I$. Let $P : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be the map such that

$$(a, b)P = p_{ab} \quad \text{where} \quad p_{ab} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \neq b. \end{cases}$$

Define a multiplication on S by

$$(a, n, b)(c, m, d) = (a, n+p_{bc}+m, d).$$

Then S is a semigroup. Define the map $*$ on S by $(a, n, b)^* = (b, n, a)$. We have shown in Chapter I that the map $*$ is an involution

on S and under this involution, S is a $*$ -regular semigroup.

Define a relation ρ on S by

$$(a, n, b)\rho(c, m, d) \iff a = c \text{ and } b = d.$$

Obviously, ρ is a $*$ -congruence on S and hence S/ρ is a $*$ -semigroup under the map $*$ defined by $(a\rho)^* = a^*\rho$. Next, we show that under the involution defined by $(a\rho)^* = a^*\rho$, S/ρ is not a $*$ -regular semigroup. Let x, y be two distinct elements in I . From $((x, n, y)\rho)^*(x, n, y)\rho = ((x, n, y)\rho)^*(y, m, y)\rho = ((y, m, y)\rho)^*(x, n, y)\rho = ((y, m, y)\rho)^*(y, m, y)\rho$ but $(x, n, y)\rho \neq (y, m, y)\rho$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, so S/ρ is not a $*$ -regular semigroup with $((a, n, b)\rho)^* = (a, n, b)^*\rho$. #

The first theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a Rees congruence on a $*$ -semigroup S to be a $*$ -congruence on S .

3.1 Theorem. Let A be an ideal of a $*$ -semigroup S . Then the Rees congruence ρ_A is a $*$ -congruence on S if and only if $A^* \subseteq A$.

Proof : Assume $A^* \subseteq A$. If $a, b \in S$ such that $a\rho_A b$, then $a, b \in A$ or $a = b$, so $a^*, b^* \in A^* \subseteq A$ or $a^* = b^*$, hence $a^*\rho_A b^*$.

Conversely, assume ρ_A is a $*$ -congruence on S . Suppose $A^* \not\subseteq A$. Then there exists $x \in A^*$ but $x \notin A$. Thus $x^* \in A$. Let a be an element of A . Then $a^* \in A^*$. Since A is an ideal of S , $aa^* \in A$. Thus $x^*\rho_A aa^*$. Since ρ_A is a $*$ -congruence on S , $x\rho_A aa^*$ which implies $x, aa^* \in A$ or $x = aa^*$. Hence $x \in A$, a contradiction. #

A congruence ρ on a semigroup S is a semilattice congruence on S (that is, S/ρ is a semilattice) if and only if apa^2 and $abpa$ for

all $a, b \in S$. Then, for any congruence ρ on a semilattice S , the semigroup S/ρ is a semilattice.

A semilattice congruence on a $*$ -semigroup need not be a $*$ -congruence. A counter example is given as follows :

Example. Let $S = \{0, a, b\}$, define the operation on S by

$$xy = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Then S is a semilattice. Define the map $*$: $S \rightarrow S$ by $0^* = 0$, $a^* = b$, $b^* = a$. Then S is a $*$ -semigroup. Let $A = \{0, a\}$. Then A is an ideal of S , and so the Rees congruence ρ_A induced by A is a semilattice congruence on S . Because $A^* \not\subseteq A$, it follows by Theorem 3.1 that ρ_A is not a $*$ -congruence on S . #

Every semigroup S has a minimum semilattice congruence which is the intersection of all semilattice congruences on S .

Let S be a semigroup. A subsemigroup F of S is a filter of S if for all $a, b \in S$, $ab \in F$ implies $a, b \in F$. For $x \in S$, let $N(x)$ be the smallest filter of S containing x , that is, $N(x)$ is the intersection of all filters of S containing x . For $x \in S$, let

$$N_x = \{y \in S \mid N(x) = N(y)\}.$$

It is proved in [11, Proposition II.2.9] that if η is the minimum semilattice congruence on S , then

$$\eta = \{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid N_x = N_y\}.$$

In the next theorem, we show that the minimum semilattice congruence, η , on a $*$ -semigroup S is always a $*$ -congruence. The following lemma is required first :

3.2 Lemma. Let S be a $*$ -semigroup. Then the following hold :

- (i) If F is a filter of S , then so is F^* .
- (ii) $(N(x))^* = N(x^*)$ for all $x \in S$.
- (iii) $(N_x)^* = N_{x^*}$ for all $x \in S$.

Proof : (i) Let F be a filter of S . Since F is a subsemigroup of S , F^* is a subsemigroup of S . Suppose $a, b \in S$ such that $ab \in F^*$. Then $b^*a^* = (ab)^* \in F$, so $b^* \in F$ and $a^* \in F$ because F is a filter of S . Hence $a \in F^*$ and $b \in F^*$. This proves that F^* is a filter of S .

(ii) Let $x \in S$. Because $N(x)$ is the smallest filter of S containing x , it follows by (i) that $(N(x))^*$ is a filter of S containing x^* . To show that $(N(x))^*$ is the smallest filter of S which contains x^* , let F be a filter of S containing x^* such that $F \subseteq (N(x))^*$. Then $F^* \subseteq N(x)$. By (i), F^* is a filter of S containing x , so $F^* = N(x)$. Hence $F = (N(x))^*$. This proves that $(N(x))^*$ is the smallest filter of S containing x^* . Hence $(N(x))^* = N(x^*)$.

(iii) Let $x \in S$ and $y \in (N_x)^*$. Then $y^* \in N_x$, so $N(y^*) = N(x)$. By (ii), $N(y^*) = (N(y))^*$ and $N(x^*) = (N(x))^*$, so we have that $N(x^*) = (N(x))^* = (N(y^*))^* = ((N(y))^*)^* = N(y)$. Thus $y \in N_{x^*}$. Hence $(N_x)^* \subseteq N_{x^*}$. This proves that $(N_a)^* \subseteq N_{a^*}$ for all $a \in S$. Therefore $(N_{x^*})^* \subseteq N_{(x^*)^*} = N_x$. But $N_x = ((N_x)^*)^* \subseteq (N_{x^*})^*$,

it follows that $(N_{x^*})^* = N_x$, so $N_{x^*} = (N_x)^*$. #

3.3 Theorem. The minimum semilattice congruence η , on any $*$ -semigroup is a $*$ -congruence.

Proof : By [11, Proposition II.2.9], $\eta = \{(x, y) \in S \times S \mid N_x = N_y\}$. By Lemma 3.2(iii), $(N_x)^* = N_{x^*}$ for all $x \in S$. It then follows that η is a $*$ -congruence on S . #

A congruence ρ on a semigroup S is an idempotent-separating congruence on S if every ρ -class contains at most one idempotent. Howie has shown in [6] that the maximum idempotent-separating congruence μ on an inverse semigroup exists and

$$\mu = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid a^{-1}ea = b^{-1}eb \text{ for all } e \in E(S)\};$$

or equivalently,

$$\mu = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid aea^{-1} = beb^{-1} \text{ for all } e \in E(S)\}.$$

Let S be an inverse semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup. From $(E(S))^* = E(S)$ and $(a^{-1})^* = (a^*)^{-1}$ for all $a \in S$, it follows that if $a\mu b$ in S , then $a^*\mu b^*$. Hence μ is a $*$ -congruence.

Every inverse semigroup is an orthodox semigroup. Orthodox semigroups need not be inverse. Meakin has proved in [8] that the maximum idempotent-separating congruence μ on an orthodox semigroup S exists and

$$\mu = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid \text{there are } a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b) \text{ such that } a'ea = b'eb \text{ and } aea' = beb' \text{ for all } e \in E(S)\}.$$

For a $*$ -semigroup S , $(E(S))^* = E(S)$ and $(V(a))^* = V(a^*)$ for all $a \in S$, it follows that the maximum idempotent-separating congruence μ on an orthodox semigroup S which is a $*$ -semigroup preserves $*$ on S .

3.4 Theorem. The maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an orthodox semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup is a $*$ -congruence. In particular, the maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an inverse semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup is a $*$ -congruence.

Is it true that an idempotent-separating congruence on a $*$ -semigroup is $*$ -congruence? To answer this question, the following example is given.

Example. Let $S = \{0, a, b\}$ be a zero semigroup with zero 0 . Define the map $*$ on S by $0^* = 0$, $a^* = b$, $b^* = a$. The map $*$ is an involution on S , so S is a $*$ -semigroup. Let $A = \{0, a\}$. Then A is an ideal of S and the Rees congruence ρ_A is an idempotent-separating congruence on S . Because $A^* \not\subseteq A$, by Theorem 3.1, ρ_A is not a $*$ -congruence.

This proves that an idempotent-separating congruence on a $*$ -semigroup is not necessary to preserve $*$. #

Next, we study an inverse congruence on a $*$ -semigroup. Every semilattice is an inverse semigroup. Then every semilattice congruence on a semigroup S is an inverse congruence on S . The first example of this chapter shows that a semilattice congruence on a $*$ -semigroup need not be a $*$ -congruence. Thus that example also shows that an inverse congruence on a $*$ -semigroup need not be a $*$ -congruence.

It has been shown by Hall in [4, Theorem 3] that the maximum inverse congruence \mathcal{V} on an orthodox semigroup S exists and

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid V(a) = V(b)\}.$$

In any semigroup S , $(V(a))^* = V(a^*)$ for all $a \in S$. Hence the minimum inverse congruence on an inverse semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup preserves $*$.

3.5 Theorem. The minimum inverse congruence on an orthodox semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup is a $*$ -congruence.

It has been proved by Munn in [9] that the minimum group congruence σ on an inverse semigroup S always exists and

$$\sigma = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid ea = eb \text{ for some } e \in E(S)\};$$

or equivalently,

$$\sigma = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid ae = be \text{ for some } e \in E(S)\}.$$

Let S be an inverse semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup. If $a, b \in S$ such that aob , then $ae = be$ for some $e \in E(S)$, so $e^*a^* = e^*b^*$ and $e^* \in E(S)$ which implies a^*ob^* . Hence we have the following theorem :

3.6 Theorem. The minimum group congruence on an inverse semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup is a $*$ -congruence.

The Green's relation \mathcal{R} on a semigroup S need not be a congruence on S .

Let S be a regular semigroup, $a, b \in S$. Suppose that there are $a' \in V(a)$, $b' \in V(b)$ such that $aa' = bb'$ and $a'a = b'b$. Since $a \mathcal{R} aa'$, $b \mathcal{R} bb'$, $a'a \mathcal{L} a$ and $b'b \mathcal{L} b$, it follows that $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $a \mathcal{L} b$,

and hence $a \mathcal{H} b$.

Assume $a \mathcal{H} b$ in a regular semigroup S . Then by [2, Section 2.3] there exist $a' \in V(a)$, $b' \in V(b)$ such that $a \mathcal{H} b'$. From $a \mathcal{H} b$, we have that $aa' \mathcal{R} bb'$ and $a'a \mathcal{L} b'b$. From $a \mathcal{H} b'$, we have that $aa' \mathcal{L} bb'$ and $a'a \mathcal{R} b'b$. Hence $aa' \mathcal{H} bb'$ and $a'a \mathcal{H} b'b$ which implies $aa' = bb'$ and $a'a = b'b$ since aa' , bb' , $a'a$, $b'b \in E(S)$.

Therefore, in a regular semigroup S ,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid aa' = bb' \text{ and } a'a = b'b \text{ for some } a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b)\}.$$

For any $*$ -semigroup S , $(v(a))^* = V(a^*)$ for all $a \in S$. Then if S is a regular semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup, then $a \mathcal{H} b$ in S implies $a^* \mathcal{H} b^*$.

For idempotents e, f in a semigroup S , we define $e \leq f$ if $e = ef = fe$. If S is a $*$ -semigroup, then for $e, f \in E(S)$, $e \leq f$ implies $e^* \leq f^*$.

It has been proved by Hall in [5] that the maximum congruence contained in \mathcal{H} on any regular semigroup S , δ say, is given by

$$\delta = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid \text{for some } a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b), aa' = bb', a'a = b'b \text{ and } a'ea = b'eb \text{ for each idempotent } e \leq aa'\}.$$

3.7 Lemma. Let S be a regular semigroup, $a, b \in S$. Assume that $a' \in V(a)$, $b' \in V(b)$ such that $aa' = bb'$ and $a'a = b'b$. Then $a'ea = b'eb$ for each idempotent $e \leq aa'$ if and only if $afa' = bfb'$ for each idempotent $f \leq a'a$.

Proof : From Result 3 of [5], we have that

$$aa'xaa' = x \quad (*)$$

for all $x \in aa'Saa'$, and

$$a'aya'a = y \quad (**)$$

for all $y \in a'aSa'a$. Assume that $a'ea = b'eb$ for all $e \in E(S)$ such that $e \leq aa'$. Let $f \in E(S)$ such that $f \leq a'a = b'b$. Then $f = fa'a = a'af = fb'b = b'bf$, so $b'bfb'b = f$. Since $bfb' = bb'bfb'bb' \in bb'Sbb' = aa'Saa'$, from (*), we obtain

$$aa'bfb'aa' = bfb' \quad (I)$$

Because $(bfb')(bfb') = bf(b'bf)b' = bffb' = bfb'$, $bfb' \in E(S)$. Also, $(bfb')(bb') = bfb' = (bb')(bfb')$, hence $bfb' \leq bb' = aa'$. By assumption, $a'bfb'a = b'bfb'b$ and thus $a'bfb'a = f$. From (I), we get $afa' = bfb'$.

A proof of the converse is given similarly by using (**). #

By Hall in [5] and Lemma 3.7, we have that for a regular semigroup S , the maximum congruence δ of S contained in \mathcal{H} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid \text{for some } a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b), \\ &\quad aa' = bb', a'a = b'b \text{ and } a'ea = b'eb \text{ for each} \\ &\quad \text{idempotent } e \leq aa'\}, \\ &= \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid \text{for some } a' \in V(a), b' \in V(b), \\ &\quad aa' = bb', a'a = b'b \text{ and } aea' = beb' \text{ for each} \\ &\quad \text{idempotent } e \leq a'a\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.8 Theorem. If S is a regular semigroup which is a $*$ -semigroup, then the maximum congruence δ of S contained in \mathcal{K} is a $*$ -congruence on S .

Proof : Let $(a, b) \in \delta$. Then there are $a' \in V(a)$, $b' \in V(b)$ such that $aa' = bb'$, $a'a = b'b$ and $a'ea = b'eb$ for each idempotent $e \leq aa'$. Thus $(a')^* \in V(a^*)$, $(b')^* \in V(b^*)$, $a^*(a')^* = b^*(b')^*$, $(a')^*a^* = (b')^*b^*$ and $a^*e^*(a')^* = b^*e^*(b')^*$ for each idempotent $e \leq aa'$. If $e \in E(S)$ such that $e \leq (a')^*a^*$, then $e^* \leq aa'$, so $a^*(e^*)^*(a')^* = b^*(e^*)^*(b')^*$ which implies $a^*e(a')^* = b^*e(b')^*$. This proves that $a^*e(a')^* = b^*e(b')^*$ for all $e \in E(S)$ such that $e \leq (a')^*a^*$. Hence $a^*\delta b^*$. #

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย