THAI TEACHERS AND THE CONCEPT OF ALMS OF KNOWLEDGE



Larry Lee Henderson

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Arts
Thai Studies Program
Graduate School
Chulalongkorn University
1995
ISBN 974-632-557-4
Copyright belongs to the Graduate School,
Chulalongkorn University

ครูไทยกับมโนทัศน์ เรื่องวิทยาทาน



แลรี่ ลี เฮ็นเคอร์สัน

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาอักษรศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชาไทยศึกษา (ภาษาอังกฤษ)

บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

2538

ISBN 974-632-557-4

ลิขสิทธิ์ของบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Thesis Title:

Thai Teachers and the Concept of Alms of Knowledge

By:

Larry Lee Henderson

Program:

Thai Studies

Thesis Advisor:

Assistant Professor Pratoom Angurarohita. Ph.D.

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree/

Santi Thorngsun Dean of Graduate School
(Associate Professor Santi Thoongsuwan, Ph.D.)

Thesis Committee

Associate Professor Amara Prasithrathsint, Ph.D.)

P. Angusarolula Thesis Advisor (Assistant Professor Pratoom Angurarohita, Ph.D.)

(Assistant Professor Suwanna Satha-anand, Ph.D.)

(Prapod Assavavirulhakarn, Ph.D.)

C411394: MAJOR THAI STUDIES
KEY WORD: MEDIT / TEACHING / D

MERIT / TEACHING / DANA / BANGKOK LARRY LEE HENDERSON: THAI TEACHERS AND THE CONCEPT OF ALMS OF KNOWLEDGE. THESIS ADVISOR: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR PRATOOM ANGURAROHITA, Ph.D. 93 pp. ISBN 974-632-557-4

This research has the objective to first, survey the operative beliefs of Bangkok teachers and find out what drew them to their chosen occupation, and secondly, to determine why said motives and opinions are sustained. The specific motivation being sought is the Buddhist concept of witthayadana, Alms of Knowledge.

The results of the interviews indicate that although many become teachers for personal reasons, the longer they remain in education the more altruistic their motives become. Instead of the specific witthayadana, the key motivation identified is the broader Buddhist concept of dana which stresses the act of self-less giving. These two terms prove to have considerable overlap and compliment each other as incentive for Thai teachers. Although this motivation may take the form of nationalistic, philanthropic or religious expression, the common denominator which is found in the lives of most teachers in Bangkok is the concept of dana. The relevancy of this term in Thai culture is examined and stressed.



ศูนยวิทยทรพยากร งาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

| ภาควิชา Thai Studies | ลายมือชื่อนิสิต Yang Hudeum_ |
|------------------------|--|
| | ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา P. Angurarohita |
| ปีการศึกษา <u>2538</u> | ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม & ระชาน คา |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



There have been many individuals who have played an important part in the completion of this research project. Each professor and guest lecturer who taught in the Thai Studies program at Chulalongkorn during my four year tenure; my thesis advisors Dr. Pratoom and Dr. Suwanna, committee members Dr. Amara and Dr. Prapod, who spent many hours reading and re-reading my drafts so as to be able to point me in the right direction; Dr. Mark Tamthai who calmed me during times of crisis; my friend and co-worker Kelly Davidson who covered for me and encouraged me to continue when the task seemed too great; my grandparents, parents and siblings who provided for me a heritage of quality education; my children, Caleb and Rachel, who managed quite well without my help during important periods of their lives; my wife Pam who endured patiently with words of encouragement and no word of complaint--are but a few of the many who deserve my heartfelt thanks. As in all things, however, it is my sincere hope that what I have learned might be used to the glory of God Almighty and the furtherance of His causes in Thailand.

Larry Henderson July 1995



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Acl Tab Lis | stract | iv v vi viii ix |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| | | |
| CHAPTE | P | |
| CHAITL | | |
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| | Objective | 2 |
| | Hypothesis | 3 |
| | Methods of Study | - |
| | Limitations of Study | 6 |
| 2. | Teachers in Thai Society | 8 |
| ۷. | Historical Background | 8 |
| | Teachers During Modernization | 11 |
| | Teachers Today | 14 |
| | Teacher Motivation | 16 |
| | Buddhist Philosophy in Thai Society | 20 |
| 3. | Kamma and Merit | 20 |
| | Dana: Alms | 24 |
| | Dhammadana: Alms of Doctrine | 27 |
| | Witthayadana: Alms of Knowledge | 28 |
| | Objective Analysis | 36 |
| 4. | Age and Experience | 36 |
| | Sex | 38 |
| | Religious Background | 39 |
| | Parental Occupation | 40 |
| | I MI WILLIAM O THE PROPERTY OF | |

| M | farital Status | 41 |
|-------------|---|-----|
| · Ed | ducational Background | 41 |
| Pu | ublic vs. Private Schools | 42 |
| 5. Concept | ual Analysis | 45 |
| Pa | ast Motivation | 46 |
| | "Why were you first interested?" | 47 |
| Pr | resent Feelings and Motivation | 50 |
| | "Do you feel good?" | 50 |
| | "What is best about teaching?" | 51 |
| | "Rank these motives" | 54 |
| Te | eaching and the Future | 56 |
| | "Do you regularly make merit? "Do you think teaching is a | 57 |
| | source of merit for you?" | 59 |
| | "Define witthayadana" | 60 |
| | "Does witthayadana apply?" | 64 |
| S | ummary | 68 |
| 6. Conclus | sion | 70 |
| | Discussion of Results of the Study | 70 |
| | nsights Gained from the Study | 72 |
| | uggestions | 74 |
| | | |
| | นย์วิทยทรัพยากร | 79 |
| | | 84 |
| Appendix A. | Questionnaire | 84 |
| Iı | Questionnaire | 86 |
| | | |
| Appendix B | | 88 |
| | Results of Questionnaire, Interview | 88 |
| | | 0.1 |
| Biography | | 94 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 4.1: | Age | 37 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Table 4.2: | Sex | 38 |
| Table 4.3: | Religion | 39 |
| Table 4.4: | Parent's Occupation | 40 |
| Table 4.5: | Marital Status | 41 |
| Table 4.6: | Educational Background | 42 |
| Table 4.7: | Type of school where teaching | 43 |
| Table 5.1: | Why were you first interested in becoming a teacher? | 47 |
| Table 5.2: | Do you feel good about teaching? | 51 |
| Table 5.3: | Best thing about teaching | 52 |
| Table 5.4: | Rankings | 56 |
| Table 5.5: | Do you regularly make merit? | 57 |
| Table 5.6: | How do you make merit? | 58 |
| Table 5.7: | Is teaching a source of merit? | 60 |
| Table 5.8: | What is your definition of witthayadana | 62 |
| Table 5.9: | Does witthayadana apply to you? | 64 |
| Table 5.10: | How does witthayadana apply? | 68 |

LIST OF CHARTS

| Chart 4.1: | Years of teaching experience | 37 |
|------------|--|----|
| Chart 4.2: | School type vs. age of teacher | 43 |
| Chart 5.1: | Initial Interest vs. age | 49 |
| Chart 5.2: | The best thing about teaching | 53 |
| Chart 5.3: | Witthayadana definition vs. age | 62 |
| Chart 5.4: | Age taught: Is teaching a source of merit for you? Yes | 66 |
| Chart 5.5: | Education: Is teaching a source of merit for you? Yes | 67 |

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร สาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย