



CHAPTER II

PARANORMED AND SEMINORMED SPACES OVER THE QUATERNIONS

Definition 2.1 Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{H} . A map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a paranorm on X if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the following properties :

$$(PN1) \quad \|0\| = 0$$

$$(PN2) \quad \text{For all } x \in X, \quad \|-x\| = \|x\|$$

$$(PN3) \quad \text{For all } x, y \in X, \quad \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

(PN4) If $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $t_n \rightarrow t$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements in X such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|t_n x_n - tx\| \rightarrow 0$ (Continuity of multiplication).

A paranorm is called total if and only if we have

$$(PN5) \quad \|x\| = 0 \text{ implies that } x = 0.$$

Definition 2.2 Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{H} . A map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a seminorm on X if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the following properties :

$$(SN1) \quad \text{For all } x \in X \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \|tx\| = |t| \|x\|$$

$$(SN2) \quad \text{For all } x, y \in X, \quad \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

A seminorm is called a norm if and only if it is total.

Example 2.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $p_n : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $p_n(x) = |x|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Then p_n is a paranorm on \mathbb{H} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; but p_n is not a seminorm on \mathbb{H} for $n \geq 2$.

Proof : Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that p_n satisfies (PN1) and

(PN2). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. Then $p_n(x+y) = |x+y|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq |x|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |y|^{\frac{1}{n}} = p_n(x) + p_n(y)$

Otherwise, $|x+y| > |x| + |y| + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} (|x|)^{\frac{n-r}{n}} (|y|)^{\frac{r}{n}}$, a contradiction.

So p_n satisfies (PN3).

Let $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $t_k \rightarrow t$

for some $t \in \mathbb{H}$ and let $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $p_n(x_k - x) \rightarrow 0$

for some $x \in \mathbb{H}$. Then $p_n(t_k x_k - tx) = |t_k x_k - tx|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |(t_k - t)(x_k - x) + (t_k - t)x + t(x_k - x)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq |t_k - t|^{\frac{1}{n}} |x_k - x|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |t_k - t|^{\frac{1}{n}} |x|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |t|^{\frac{1}{n}} |x_k - x|^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence p_n satisfies (PN4). Thus p_n is

a paranorm on \mathbb{H} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that p_n is not a seminorm on \mathbb{H} for each $n \geq 2$. #

Remark Every seminorm is a paranorm.

Proof : Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a seminorm w.r.t a vector space X over \mathbb{H} .

Then (PN1), (PN2) and (PN3) are obviously true. Let $x, y \in X$ and let $\epsilon, t \in \mathbb{H}$. Then $\|(t+\epsilon)(x+y)-tx\| = \|ty+\epsilon x+\epsilon y\| \leq |t| \|y\| + |\epsilon| \|x\| + |\epsilon| \|y\|$. If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|(t+\epsilon)(x+y)-tx\| \rightarrow 0$. Let

$(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $t_n \rightarrow t$ for some

$t \in \mathbb{H}$ and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since $t_n - t \rightarrow 0$ and $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\|t_n x_n - tx\| =$

$\|(t_n - t)(x_n - x) + (t_n - t)x + t(x_n - x) + tx - tx\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\|\cdot\|$ is a paranorm on X . #

Definition 2.4 A paranormed space over \mathbb{H} is a pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ where X is a vector space over \mathbb{H} and $\|\cdot\|$ is a paranorm on X .

A seminormed space over \mathbb{H} is a pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ where X is a vector space over \mathbb{H} and $\|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm on X .

Theorem 2.5 Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of paranorms on a vector space X over \mathbb{H} . Then there exists a paranorm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that for any net $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ in X , $\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof : Define $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\|x\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(x)}{1+p_n(x)}$, $x \in X$.

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies (PN1) and (PN2).

Let $x, y \in X$. We must show that $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$. Consider $f(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$

$= 1 - \frac{t}{1+t}$, $t \geq 0$. Then $f(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Then f is

an increasing function; so $\frac{p_n(x+y)}{1+p_n(x+y)} \leq \frac{p_n(x)+p_n(y)}{1+p_n(x)+p_n(y)}$ for each

$n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{p_n(x)+p_n(y)}{1+p_n(x)+p_n(y)} - \frac{p_n(x)}{1+p_n(x)} = \frac{p_n(y)}{(1+p_n(x))(1+p_n(x)+p_n(y))}$

$\leq \frac{p_n(y)}{1+p_n(y)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{p_n(x)+p_n(y)}{1+p_n(x)+p_n(y)} \leq \frac{p_n(x)}{1+p_n(x)} + \frac{p_n(y)}{1+p_n(y)}$ for each

$n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|x+y\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(x+y)}{1+p_n(x+y)} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)+p_n(y)}{1+p_n(x)+p_n(y)} \cdot 2^{-n}$

$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x) \cdot 2^{-n}}{1+p_n(x)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(y) \cdot 2^{-n}}{1+p_n(y)} = \|x\| + \|y\|$. Hence $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies

(PN3).

Claim that for any net $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ in X , $\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in X . Suppose $\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in D$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\delta\| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{p_k(x_\delta)}{1+p_k(x_\delta)} \geq 2^{-n} \frac{p_n(x_\delta)}{1+p_n(x_\delta)}, \text{ therefore } p_n(x_\delta) \\ &\leq \frac{2^n \|x_\delta\|}{1-2^n \|x_\delta\|}. \text{ Since } \|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } p_n(x_\delta) \leq \frac{2^n \|x_\delta\|}{1-2^n \|x_\delta\|} \text{ for all } \delta \in D, \end{aligned}$$

$p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was arbitrary, $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, suppose that $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We must show that

$\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ that is for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta \in D$ such that $\delta > \delta_0$ implies that $\|x_\delta\| < \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since

$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > M$ implies that

$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < \epsilon/2$. Since $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\delta_i \in D$

such that $\delta > \delta_i$ implies that $p_i(x_\delta) < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Let $\delta_0 \geq$

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_M\}. \text{ Let } \delta > \delta_0. \text{ Then } \|x_\delta\| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{p_n(x_\delta)}{1+p_n(x_\delta)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{p_n(x_\delta)}{1+p_n(x_\delta)} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{p_n(x_\delta)}{1+p_n(x_\delta)} < \frac{\epsilon/2M + \epsilon/2M + \dots + \epsilon/2M}{M \text{ times}} \end{aligned}$$

$+ \epsilon/2 = \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$. Hence $\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$. So we have the claim. Let

$(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{H} such that $t_n \rightarrow t$ and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. We must show that $\|t_n x_n - tx\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$, by the claim, $p_k(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

p_k is a paranorm for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_n \rightarrow t$ and $p_k(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

we get that $p_k(t_n x_n - tx) \rightarrow 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\|t_n x_n - tx\|$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{p_k(t_n x_n - tx)}{1+p_k(t_n x_n - tx)} \rightarrow 0. \text{ So } \|\cdot\| \text{ satisfies (PN4). Thus } \|\cdot\| \text{ is}$$

a paranorm on X such that for any net $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ in X , $\|x_\delta\| \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $p_n(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.6 Let A be a balanced convex absorbing set in a vector space X over \mathbb{H} . Then there exists a unique seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that $\{x \mid \|x\| < 1\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$. The seminorm is a norm if and only if A does not contain a one dimensional vector subspace.

Proof : Define $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\|x\| = \inf \{t > 0 \mid x \in tA\}$. Since A is absorbing, for each $x \in X$, there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that $x \in t_0 A$; so $\{t > 0 \mid x \in tA\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\|\cdot\|$ is well-defined. We must show that $\|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm on X . Let $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{H}$. Must show that $\|tx\| = |t| \|x\|$.

Case 1 $t = 0$. The result is obvious.

Case 2 $t \neq 0$. Let $s > 0$ be such that $\|x\| < s$. Then there exists a $t_0 \in \{t > 0 \mid x \in tA\}$ such that $\|x\| < t_0 < s$. Since $\frac{x}{t_0} \in A$, $\frac{t_0}{s} < 1$ and A is balanced, $\frac{x}{s} = \left(\frac{t_0}{s}\right) \left(\frac{x}{t_0}\right) \in A$. Then $x \in sA$. So $tx \in stA$. Claim that $\ell A = |\ell|A$. If $\ell = 0$. The result is obvious. Assume $\ell \neq 0$. Let $z \in \ell A$. Since A is balanced and $\left|\frac{\ell}{|\ell|}\right| = 1$, $\frac{z}{|\ell|} = \left(\frac{\ell}{|\ell|}\right) \left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right) \in A$. Hence $z \in |\ell|A$. So $\ell A \subseteq |\ell|A$. Similarly, we can show that $|\ell|A \subseteq \ell A$, so we have the claim. Thus $tx \in stA = s|t|A$. So $|tx| \leq s|t|$ for all $s > 0$ such that $\|x\| < s$. Hence $\|tx\| \leq |t| \|x\|$. Suppose that $\|tx\| < |t| \|x\|$. Then there exists an $s > 0$ such that $\|tx\| < s < |t| \|x\|$. As a result, $tx \in sA$, hence $x \in \frac{s}{t} A$. Since $s < |t| \|x\|$, $\frac{s}{|t|} < \|x\|$, so $x \notin \frac{s}{|t|} A$, a contradiction. Hence $\|tx\| = |t| \|x\|$. So $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies (SN1).

Let $x, y \in X$. We must show that $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$. Let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be such that $\|x\| < s$ and $\|y\| < t$. Then $x \in sA$ and $y \in tA$. So $x+y \in sA+tA$. Claim that $sA+tA = (s+t)A$. Clearly $(s+t)A \subseteq sA+tA$. Then $z = sa_1 + ta_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in A$.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } z &= sa_1 + ta_2 = \left(\frac{s}{s+t}\right)(s+t)a_1 + \left(\frac{t}{s+t}\right)(s+t)a_2 \\ &= (s+t)\left[\frac{sa_1}{s+t} + \frac{ta_2}{s+t}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Since A is convex, $\frac{sa_1}{s+t} + \frac{ta_2}{s+t} \in A$. Thus $z \in (s+t)A$. So we have the claim. Thus $x+y \in sA + tA = (s+t)A$, so $\|x+y\| \leq s+t$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\|x\| < s$ and $\|y\| < t$. Hence $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ and so $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies (SN2), therefore $\|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm on X .

We shall now show that $\{x \mid \|x\| < 1\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

Let $x \in \{x \mid \|x\| < 1\}$. Then $\|x\| < 1$. We must show that $x \in A$. Since $\|x\| < 1$, $\inf\{t > 0 \mid x \in tA\} < 1$. Then there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|x\| < t_0 < 1$ and $x \in t_0A$. As a result $\frac{x}{t_0} \in A$. Since $|t_0| = t_0 < 1$ and A is balanced, $x = t_0\left(\frac{x}{t_0}\right) \in A$. Hence $\{x \mid \|x\| < 1\} \subseteq A$. Let $x \in A$. Then $x \in 1 \cdot A$, so $1 \in \{t > 0 \mid x \in tA\}$. Hence $\|x\| \leq 1$. So $A \subseteq \{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

To show uniqueness, suppose that p is a seminorm on X such that

$$\{x \mid p(x) < 1\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{x \mid p(x) \leq 1\}.$$

We must show that $p = \|\cdot\|$ on X . Suppose that there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $p(x_0) \neq \|x_0\|$.

Case 1 $p(x_0) > \|x_0\|$. Then there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that $p(x_0) > t_0 > \|x_0\|$. Let $y = \frac{x_0}{t_0}$. Then $p\left(\frac{x_0}{t_0}\right) = \frac{1}{t_0} p(x_0) > 1$ and $\left\|\frac{x_0}{t_0}\right\| = \frac{1}{t_0} \|x_0\| < 1$, a contradiction. (because $\left\|\frac{x_0}{t_0}\right\| < 1$, so $\frac{x_0}{t_0} \in \{x \mid \|x\| < 1\}$). So $\frac{x_0}{t_0} \in A$. Hence $\frac{x_0}{t_0} \in \{x \mid p(x) \leq 1\}$, therefore $p\left(\frac{x_0}{t_0}\right) \leq 1$, a contradiction)

Case 2 $p(x_0) < \|x_0\|$. The proof is similar to the proof of case 1.

Hence $p = \|\cdot\|$ on X . We must show that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm if and only if A does not contain a one-dimensional vector subspace. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is not a norm. Then there is an $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \neq 0$ and $\|x_0\| = 0$. Hence $\langle x_0 \rangle$ is a vector subspace of A . Suppose that A has a one dimensional subspace, say B . Let $\{x_0\}$ be a base of B . Then $tx_0 \in A$ for all $t \in \mathbb{H}$. Now $|t| \|x_0\| = \|tx_0\| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{H}$. Hence $\|x_0\| \leq \frac{1}{|t|}$ for all $t \neq 0$, so $\|x_0\| = 0$, therefore $\|\cdot\|$ is not a norm.

Notation : Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{H} and $A \subseteq X$. Then $A^\#$ denote the set of all linear functionals on A .

Theorem 2.7 (Hahn-Banach theorem) Let (X, p) be a seminormed space over \mathbb{H} . Let f be a linear functional defined only on a vector subspace S of X and such that $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in S$. Then f can be extended to $F \in X^\#$ with $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof : First we shall consider X as a vector space over the field \mathbb{R} , S is then a real vector subspace of X and f is a real linear functional defined on S . Let $P = \{g \mid g \in T_g^\# \text{ for some vector subspace of } X \text{ such that } T_g \supseteq S, g|_S = f \text{ and } |g(x)| \leq p(x) \text{ for all } x \in T_g\}$. Since $f \in P$, $P \neq \emptyset$. Partially order P by the relation that $g_1 \leq g_2$ if and only if g_2 is an extension of g_1 .

Let $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a chain in P . Then $g_\alpha \in T_{g_\alpha}^\#$ for all $\alpha \in I$, where

T_{g_α} is a vector subspace of X , $T_{g_\alpha} \supseteq S$ for all $\alpha \in I$, $g_\alpha|_S = f$ and

$|g_\alpha(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in T_{g_\alpha}$. Define $g : \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} T_{g_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows :

$x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} T_{g_\alpha}$. Then $x \in T_{g_\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in I$, let $g(x) = g_\alpha(x)$. Then g

is well-defined and $g_\alpha \leq g$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Hence the chain has an upperbound. By Zorn's lemma, P contains a maximal chain. Let M be a maximal chain in P . Let $D = \bigcup_{g \in M} T_g$. We must show that D is a vector

subspace of X . Let $x, y \in D$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x \in T_g, y \in T_{g'}$ for some $g, g' \in M$. Since M is a chain, $g \leq g'$ or $g' \leq g$, say $g' \leq g$. Then $x, y \in T_g$. Since T_g is a vector subspace of X , $\alpha x + \beta y \in T_g \subseteq D$.

Define $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows : Let $x \in D$. Then $x \in T_g$ for some $g \in M$.

Define $F(x) = g(x)$. We must show that F is \mathbb{R} -linear. Let $x, y \in D$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Since M is chain, x, y and $\alpha x + \beta y \in T_g$ for some $g \in M$. Hence $F(\alpha x + \beta y) = g(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha g(x) + \beta g(y) = \alpha F(x) + \beta F(y)$, therefore.

F is \mathbb{R} -linear. Let $x \in D$. Then $x \in T_g$ for some $g \in M$. Then $|F(x)| \leq |g(x)| \leq p(x)$. So we have that $F \in D^\#$, $F|_S = g|_S = f$ and $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in D$. We must show that $D = X$. Let $y_0 \in X \setminus D$. Let $u = \sup \{ F(x) - p(y_0 - x) \mid x \in D \}$ and $v = \inf \{ F(x) + p(y_0 - x) \mid x \in D \}$.

Claim that $u \leq v$. Let $a, b \in D$. Then $F(b) - F(a) = F(b - a) \leq p(b - a) \leq p(b - y_0) + p(y_0 - a)$. Thus $F(b) - p(y_0 - b) \leq F(a) + p(y_0 - a)$. Since a, b were arbitrary, $\sup \{ F(b) - p(y_0 - b) \mid b \in D \}$ is a lower bound of $\{ F(a) + p(y_0 - a) \mid a \in D \}$. Hence $u = \sup \{ F(b) - p(y_0 - b) \mid b \in D \} \leq \inf \{ F(a) + p(y_0 - a) \mid a \in D \} = v$, so we have the claim.

Let $D_1 = D + \langle y_0 \rangle$. Define $F_1 \in D_1^\#$ as follows : Let $x \in D_1$. Then $x = d + ty_0$ for some $d \in D$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define $F_1(d + ty_0) = F(d) + tu$. We must show that $F_1 \in P$. Since $F_1|_D = F$, $f \leq F \leq F_1$. Let $x \in D_1$. Then $x = d + ty_0$ for some $d \in D$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Case 1 $t = 0$. Then $|F_1(x)| = |F(d)| \leq p(d) = p(x)$.

Case 2 $t \neq 0$. Since $d \in D$ and D a vector subspace of X and $t \neq 0$ then $\frac{-d}{t} \in D$. Hence $F(\frac{-d}{t}) - p(y_0 + \frac{d}{t}) \leq u \leq v \leq F(\frac{-d}{t}) + p(y_0 + \frac{d}{t})$ (*).

If $t > 0$, multiply the inequality (*) by t . Then we have the inequality $-F(d) - p(ty_0 + d) \leq tu \leq -F(d) + p(ty_0 + d)$. Then $-p(x) = -p(ty_0 + d)$

$\leq F(d) + tu = F_1(x) \leq p(x)$, so $|F_1(x)| \leq p(x)$ in the case where $t > 0$.

If $t < 0$, multiply the inequality (*) by $-t$. Then we get that

$F(d) - p(-ty_0 - d) \leq -tu \leq F(d) + p(-ty_0 - d)$. Hence $-p(-x) \leq -F(d) - tu$

$= -F_1(x) \leq p(-x)$. Since p is a seminorm on X , $-p(x) = -p(-x) \leq -F_1(x)$

$\leq p(-x) = p(x)$. Hence $|F_1(x)| \leq p(x)$ in the case where $t < 0$. As a

result, we have that $|F_1(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in D_1$, so $F_1 \in P$. Hence $F_1 \notin M$, so $M \cup \{F_1\} \not\subseteq M$, a contradiction (because M is maximal). Hence

$D = X$. So we have that $F \in X^\#$ is such that $F|_S = f$ and $|F(x)|$

$\leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We now consider X as a vector space over

\mathbb{H} , S an \mathbb{H} -vector subspace of X and f an \mathbb{H} -linear functional

defined on S . Let g be the real part of f . Since $|g(x)| \leq |f(x)|$

$\leq p(x)$ for all $x \in S$, we can extend g to an \mathbb{R} -linear functional G on

X with $|G(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Define $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ as follows : For $x \in X$, let $F(x) = G(x) + iI(x) + jJ(x) + kK(x)$ where $I(x) = -G(ix)$, $J(x) = -G(jx)$, $K(x) = -G(kx)$.

We must show that F is \mathbb{H} -linear. Clearly, $F(x+y) = F(x) + F(y)$ for all

$x, y \in X$. Let $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$. We must show that $F(\lambda x) = \lambda F(x)$. Since

$\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$, there exist $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda = a + bi + cj + dk$.

Then $F(\lambda x) = F((a + bi + cj + dk)x)$

$$= F(ax + bix + c jx + d kx)$$

$$= G(ax + bix + c jx + d kx) + iI(ax + bix + c jx + d kx)$$

$$+ jJ(ax + bix + c jx + d kx) + kK(ax + bix + c jx + d kx)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= aG(x) + bG(ix) + cG(jx) + dG(kx) + i(-G(i(ax + bix + cix + dkx))) + j(-G(j(ax + bix + cix + dkx))) \\
&\quad + k(-G(k(ax + bix + cix + dkx))). \\
&= aG(x) + bG(ix) + cG(jx) + dG(kx) - aiG(ix) + ibG(x) \\
&\quad - ciG(kx) + diG(jx) + ja(-G(jx)) + bjG(kx) + cjG(x) \\
&\quad + dj(-G(ix) + ak(-G(kx)) + bk(-G(jx)) + ckG(ix) + dkG(x)). \\
&= (a + bi + cj + dk)G(x) + (a + bi + cj + dk)i(-G(ix)) \\
&\quad + (a + bi + cj + dk)j(-G(jx)) + (a + bi + cj + dk)k(-G(kx)). \\
&= (a + bi + cj + dk)(G(x) + iI(x) + jJ(x) + kK(x)) \\
&= \lambda F(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F is \mathbb{H} -linear on X .

We now show that F is unique determined by its real part.

Suppose there exists on $F' \in X$ such that

the real part of $F' = G$. Suppose $F' = G + iI' + jJ' + kK'$. Since F' is \mathbb{H} -linear, $G(ix) + iI'(ix) + jJ'(ix) + kK'(ix) = F'(ix) = iG(x) - I'(x) + kJ'(x) - jK'(x)$. Then $-I'(x) = G(ix)$; so $I'(x) = -G(ix)$.

Similarly, $J'(x) = -G(jx)$, $K'(x) = -G(kx)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } F'(x) &= G(x) + iI'(x) + jJ'(x) + kK'(x) \\
&= G(x) + i(-G(ix)) + j(-G(jx)) + k(-G(kx)). \\
&= G(x) + iI(x) + jJ(x) + kK(x) \\
&= F(x).
\end{aligned}$$

On S , the real part of $F = G = g =$ the real part of f . Since F is uniquely determined by its real part and the real part of $F =$ the real part of f (on S) then $F|_S = f$. We must show that $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $x \in X$. Since $F(x) \in \mathbb{H}$, there exists a $u_x \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|u_x| = 1$ and $F(x) = |F(x)| u_x$. Since $\{x \in \mathbb{H} \mid |x| = 1\}$ is a group, u_x^{-1} exist and $|u_x^{-1}| = 1$.

Let $y = u_x^{-1} x$. Then $F(y) = F(u_x^{-1} x) = u_x^{-1} F(x) = u_x^{-1} |F(x)| u_x = F(x)$.
 So $|F(x)| = F(y) = \text{Real part of } F(y) = G(y) = |G(y)| \leq p(y) = p(u_x^{-1} x)$
 $= |u_x^{-1}| p(x) = 1 \cdot p(x) = p(x)$. Hence $|F(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.8 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be seminormed spaces over \mathbb{H} . Let T be a mapping between X and Y . Define $\|T\| = \sup \{ \|T(x)\|_2 \mid \|x\|_1 \leq 1 \}$

Theorem 2.9 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be seminormed spaces over \mathbb{H} and let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map. Then the following are equivalent :

- T is continuous at some $a \in X$.
- T is continuous on X .
- T is bounded on the unit disc, i.e. $\|T\| < \infty$.
- There exists an $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|T(x)\|_2 \leq M \|x\|_1$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof : (a) \Rightarrow (b). Assume that T is continuous at a point $a \in X$. We must show that T is continuous on X . Let $x \in X$ and let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $a + x_n - x \rightarrow a$. Since T is continuous at a , $T(a + x_n - x) \rightarrow T(a)$. Since T is \mathbb{H} -linear, $T(a) + T(x_n) - T(x) = T(a + x_n - x) \rightarrow T(a) \rightarrow T(a)$. Thus $T(x_n) \rightarrow T(x)$, so T is continuous at the point x . But x was arbitrary, so T is continuous on X .

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Claim that if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are such that $\|x\|_1 < a$ implies that $\|T(x)\|_2 < b$, then a $\|T(x)\|_2 \leq b \|x\|_1$ for all $x \in X$. To prove this suppose not. Then there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that a $\|T(x_0)\|_2 > b \|x_0\|_1$. Now there is a $t > 0$ such that a $\|T(x_0)\|_2 > t > b \|x_0\|_1$. Let $x = \left(\frac{ab}{t}\right)x_0$. Then $\|x\|_1 = \left\| \left(\frac{ab}{t}\right)x_0 \right\|_1 = a \left(\frac{b \|x_0\|_1}{t}\right) < a \cdot 1 = a$

But $\|T(x)\|_2 = \|T(\frac{ab}{t}x_0)\|_2 = \frac{ab}{t} \|T(x_0)\|_2 = b \cdot \frac{a\|t(x_0)\|_2}{t} > 1 \cdot b = b$, a contradiction.

So we have the claim. Assume that T is continuous on X . We must show

that $\|T\| < \infty$. By assumption, T is continuous at $x = 0$. Thus

$T^{-1}(B(0, 1)) = \{x \mid \|Tx\|_2 < 1\}$ is a neighborhood of 0. Then there exists

an $\epsilon > 0$ and open set $U = \{x \mid \|x\|_1 < \epsilon\}$ such that $U \subseteq \{x \mid \|Tx\|_2 < 1\}$. By the

claim $\epsilon \|Tx\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ for all $x \in X$. Hence $\|Tx\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|x\|_1$ for all $x \in X$.

So $\|T\| = \sup \{ \|Tx\|_2 \mid \|x\|_1 \leq 1 \} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, therefore $\|T\| < \infty$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Assume that $\|T\| < \infty$. Choose $M = \|T\|$.

Must show that $\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$. If $\|x\| < 1$

then $\|Tx\| \leq \|T\| = M$. By the claim, $\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$, for all $x \in X$

(consider $a = 1$ and $b = \|T\|$).

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Assume that there is an $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. Must show that T is continuous at $x = 0$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By assumption, there exists an $M \in \mathbb{R}$

such that $\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$. Choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{1+|M|}$. Then $\delta > 0$. Let $x \in B(0, \delta)$.

Then $\|T(x) - T(0)\| = \|T(x)\| \leq M \|x\| < M \frac{\epsilon}{1+|M|} < \epsilon$, so T is continuous

at $x = 0$.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย