#### CHAPTER III



# HYPERGRAPHS WITH PRESCRIBED NEIGHBOURHOOD STRUCTURES

In this chapter we define neighbourhood hypergraphs and discuss the problem on realizability of a given family of hypergraphs as neighbourhood hypergraphs.

#### 3.1 Neighbourhood Hypergraphs.

Let  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph. For each vertex v in H, we associate a hypergraph  $vH = (vV, v\mathcal{E})$ , where

$$v^{\varepsilon} = \{E - \{v\} / E \varepsilon \mathcal{E}, v \varepsilon E \text{ and } E - \{v\} \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

The hypergraph vH will be called the neighbourhood hypergraph of H at v.

Let u, v be vertices in H. If u is a vertex in vH, then u(vH), the neighbourhood hypergraph of vH at u, will be denoted by uvH.

3.1.1 Proposition Let  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph. Let u, v be distinct vertices in H. Then u is a vertex in vH, if and only if, v is a vertex in uH. Furthermore, when this is the case we have uvH = vuH and  $d_{uH}(v) = d_{vH}(u)$ .

Proof. Let  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a hypergraph. Let u, v be distinct vertices in H. Assume that u is a vertex in vH. Hence u belongs to vV. Therefore u belongs to vE for some edge vE in v $\mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $v \in V$  is in  $\mathcal{E}$  and u belongs to  $v \in V$ . Therefore u, v belongs to  $v \in V$ . Hence  $v \in V$ . Hence  $v \in V$  is in u $\mathcal{E}$  and v belongs to  $v \in V$ . Therefore v belongs to  $v \in V$ . Hence  $v \in V$ . Therefore v belongs to  $v \in V$ . Hence v is a vertex in uH.

Similarly we can show that if v is a vertex in uH then u is a vertex in vH.

Suppose that u is a vertex in vH. Observe that

uvE ∈ u(vE) ⇔ uvE∪{u} ∈ vE,

⇔ (uvE∪{u})∪{v} ε €,

⇔ (uvE∪{v})∪{u} ε €,

⇔ uvE∪{v}ε u,

⇔ uvE ε v(uE).

Hence  $u(v\xi) = v(u\xi)$ , it follows that u(vV) = v(uV). Therefore uvH = vuH. Next, we observe that

 $d_{uH}(v) = |\{uE \in uE / v \in uE\}|,$ 

=  $|\{E \in \mathcal{E} / u \in E, E-\{u\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } v \in E-\{u\}\}|$ ,

=  $|\{E \in \mathcal{E} / u \in E \text{ and } v \in E\}|$ ,

=  $|\{E \in \mathcal{E}/v \in E, E-\{v\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } u \in E-\{v\}\}|$ ,

= |{vE & v & /u & vE}|,

= d<sub>vH</sub>(u).

Therefore  $d_{uH}(v) = d_{vH}(u)$ .

3.1.2 <u>Proposition</u> Let H = (V, E) and H' = (V', E') be hypergraphs. Let  $\alpha$  be an isomorphism from H to H'. Let v be any vertex in H. Then  $vH \geq \alpha(v)H'$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha$  be an isomorphism from H = (V, E) to H = (V, E). Let v be any vertex in H. Let  $\rho$  be the restriction of  $\alpha$  to vV. A straightforward verification shows that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism from vH to  $\alpha(v)H$ . Hence  $vH \ge \alpha(v)H$ .

#### 3.2 Realizations.

Let  $\Gamma = (K_{_{\mbox{$V$}}})_{_{\mbox{$V$}}} \in I$  be a finite family of hypergraphs. A hypergraph  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  will be said to be a <u>realization of  $\Gamma$ </u> if there exists a bijection  $\sigma$  from I to V such that  $K_{_{\mbox{$V$}}} \supseteq \sigma(V)H$  for all V in I. When a realization of the family  $\Gamma$  exists, we say that  $\Gamma$  is <u>realizable</u>.

In the case  $\Gamma$  is the empty family, i.e. when  $I = \emptyset$ , it can be verified that the empty hypergraph  $(\emptyset,\emptyset)$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$ . Hence in the sequal we shall be interested in non-empty families of hypergraphs only.

In general, a family of hypergraphs  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  may or may not have a realization. If  $\Gamma$  is realizable, its realization may or may not be unique. These will be illustrated by examples.

3.2.1 Proposition. Let  $\Gamma = (K_{V})_{V \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. Let

$$J = \{v \in I/K_v \neq (\emptyset,\emptyset)\}.$$

If the family  $\Gamma' = (K_{V})_{V \in J}$  is realizable, then  $\Gamma$  is also realizable.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. Let  $J = \{v \in I/K_v \neq (\emptyset,\emptyset)\}.$ 

and

It can be seen that  $UE^* = V^*$ . Hence  $H^* = (V^*, E^*)$  is a hypergraph.

Define  $\sigma^*: I \to V^*$  by

$$\sigma^*(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \notin J, \\ \\ \sigma(v) & \text{if } v \in J. \end{cases}$$

A straightforward verification shows that  $\sigma^*$  is a bijection from I to V\* such that K  $\underline{\nu}$   $\sigma^*(v)\text{H}^*$  for all v in I. Hence H\* is a realization of  $\Gamma$  , i.e.  $\Gamma$  is realizable.

From Proposition 3.2.1, we see that it suffices to consider only families of non-empty hypergraphs. Hence in the sequal we shall assume that all hypergraphs of any family are non-empty hypergraphs.

Let  $\Gamma = (K_{V})_{V \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. A hypergraph  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  will be said to be a <u>proper realization of  $\Gamma$ </u> if H is a realization of  $\Gamma$  and |E| > 2 for all edges E in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

3.2.2 <u>Proposition</u>. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. Then  $\Gamma$  is realizable if and only if it has a proper realization.

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is realizable. Let  $H = (V, \mathcal{E})$  be a realization of  $\Gamma$ . Let

$$\mathscr{L}^* = \{ \mathbb{E}/\mathbb{E} \in \mathscr{L} \text{ and } |\mathbb{E}| \ge 2 \}.$$

Let u be any element in V. Since uH = (uV, uE) is isomorphic to some  $K_V$ , which is non-empty, hence  $uE \neq \emptyset$ . Choose an element uE in uE. Hence u is not in uE and  $uE \cup \{u\}$  is in E. Therefore  $|uE \cup \{u\}| > 2$ . Hence  $uE \cup \{u\}$  is in E. Therefore u is in UE. Hence  $V \subseteq UE$ . Clearly, from definition of E, we have  $UE \subseteq UE = V$ . Therefore V = UE. Hence V = UE. Hence V = UE is a hypergraph. Observe that

 $u^{\mathcal{E}} = \{E-\{u\}/E \in \mathcal{E}, u \in E \text{ and } E-\{u\} \neq \emptyset \},$   $= \{E-\{u\}/E \in \mathcal{E}, u \in E \text{ and } |E| \geqslant 2 \},$   $= \{E-\{u\}/E \in \mathcal{E}^* \text{ and } u \in E \},$   $= \{E-\{u\}/E \in \mathcal{E}^*, u \in E \text{ and } E-\{u\} \neq \emptyset \},$   $= u^{\mathcal{E}^*}.$ 

Hence  $Uu\mathcal{E} = Uu\mathcal{E}^*$ . Therefore  $uH = uH^*$ . Hence  $uH = uH^*$  for all u in V. Therefore  $H^* = (V, \mathcal{E}^*)$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$ . Since |E| > 2 for all edges E in  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , hence  $H^*$  is a proper realization of  $\Gamma$ . #

3.2.3 <u>Proposition.</u> Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. If  $\Gamma$  is realizable, then there exists a realization  $H = (I, \mathcal{E})$  such that  $K_v \cong vH$  for all v in I.

Proof. Assume that  $\Gamma = (K_{\mathbf{v}})_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{I}}$  be a realizable family of hypergraphs. Let  $H^* = (V^*, \xi^*)$  be a realization of  $\Gamma$ . Hence there exists a bijection  $\sigma$  from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma$  is a bijection from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\sigma^{-1}[E] / E \varepsilon \mathcal{E}^*\}.$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.2.1,  $\sigma^{-1}$  is an isomorphism from H to H = (I, $\Xi$ ), i.e. H  $\cong$  H. By Proposition 3.1.2, we see that for all v in I,

$$K_v \simeq \sigma(v)H^*$$
,  
 $\simeq \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(v))H$ ,  
 $= vH$ .

Hence  $H = (I, \mathcal{E})$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$  such that  $K_v \stackrel{\cdot}{\underline{v}}$  vH for all v in I.

From Proposition 3.2.3, we have

3.2.4. Proposition. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. If  $H^* = (V^*, \mathcal{E}^*)$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$ , then there exists a realization  $H = (I, \mathcal{E})$  isomorphic to H such that  $K_v \subseteq VH$  for all V in I.

## 3.3 $\Gamma$ -injections.

In this section we introduce the concept of  $\Gamma$ -injection and relate realizability of a given family of hypergraphs with the existence of what is called "compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections".

Let  $\Gamma = (K_V)_{V \in I}$ , where  $K_V = (W_V, V)$  for all V in I, be a family of hypergraphs. For each V in I, a one-to-one function  $\alpha_V$  from  $W_V$  into  $I = \{v\}$  will be called **a**n  $(\Gamma, V)$ -injection or simply  $\Gamma$ -injection. Two  $(\Gamma, V)$ -injections  $\alpha_V$  and  $\alpha_V'$  will be said to be equivalent if there exists an automorphism  $\Theta_V$  in  $K_V$  such that  $\alpha_V' = \alpha_V \circ \Theta_V$ . It can be verified that being equivalent is an equivalence relation. For each  $(\Gamma, V)$ -injection  $\alpha_V$  and each U in V, we let

$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha_{v}, u) = \{\{v\} \cup \alpha_{v}[F_{v}]/F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}_{v} \text{ and } u \in \alpha_{v}[F_{v}]\}.$$

Two  $\Gamma$ -injections  $\alpha_{_{_{\hspace{-.05cm}V}}}$  and  $\alpha_{_{_{\hspace{-.05cm}U}}}$  are said to be compatible if

$$\mathcal{S}(\alpha_{v}, u) = \mathcal{S}(\alpha_{u}, v)$$
.

By a <u>family of F-injections</u> we mean any family  $A_J = (\alpha_V)_{V \in J}$ , where  $J \subseteq I$  and  $\alpha_V$  is an  $(\Gamma, V)$ -injection for all V in J. In the case J = I, the family  $A_J$  is said to be a <u>full family of F-injections</u>. A family of F-injections  $A_J = (\alpha_V)_{V \in J}$  is said to be <u>compatible</u> if  $\alpha_V$  and  $\alpha_U$  are compatible for all U, V in J. Two families of F-injections  $A_J = (\alpha_V)_{V \in J}$  and  $A_J = (\alpha_V)_{V \in J}$  are said to be <u>equivalent</u> if  $\alpha_V$  and  $\alpha_V'$  are equivalent for all V in V. For each family of F-injections  $A_J = (\alpha_V)_{V \in J}$ , we let

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_J} = \{\{v\} \cup \alpha_v[F_v] / v \in J \text{ and } F_v \in \mathcal{F}_v\}.$$

Clearly,  $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{A_{i}} A_{i}$ , hence  $H^{i} = (J, \mathcal{E}^{i})$  is a hypergraph. The hypergraph  $H^{i}$  will be called the <u>hypergraph induced by the family of</u>  $\underline{\Gamma \text{-injections }} A_{J}.$ 

3.3.1 Theorem. Let  $\Gamma = (K_V)_{V \in \mathbb{T}}$ , where  $K_V = (W_V, V_V)$  for all V in I, be a realizable family of hypergraphs. Let  $H = (I, V_V)$  be a realization of  $\Gamma$ . For each V in I, let  $\alpha_V$  be any isomorphism from  $K_V$  to V. Then  $A = (\alpha_V)_{V \in I}$  is a compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections and H is the hypergraph induced by the family  $\Lambda$ .

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, V_v)$  for all v in I, be a realizable family of hypergrap. Let H = (I, E) be a realization of  $\Gamma$ . For each v in I, let  $\alpha_v$  be an somorphism from  $K_v$  to vH. For any v in I, it can be seen that  $vI \subseteq I - \{v\}$ , then  $\alpha_v$  is a one-to-one function from  $W_v$  into  $I - \{v\}$ , i.e.  $\alpha_v$  is an  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injection. Then  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  is a full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections.

Let u, v be distinct elements in I. Let E be any set in  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_{i,j},v)$ . Hence

$$E = \{u\} \cup \alpha_{u} [F_{u}]$$

for some  $F_u$  in  $\mathcal{F}_u$  such that v belongs to  $\alpha_u[F_u]$ . Since  $\alpha_u$  is an isomorphism from  $K_u$  to uH, hence  $\alpha_u[F_u]$  belongs to  $u\mathcal{E}$ . Hence, it can be seen that  $\{u\}\cup\alpha_u[F_u]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e. E belongs to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since u, v belong to E and u, v are distinct, we obtain  $\mathbf{E} = \{v\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\mathbf{E} = \{v\}$  belongs to  $v\mathcal{E}$  and u belongs to  $\mathbf{E} = \{v\}$ . Since  $\alpha_v$  is an isomorphism from  $K_v$  to vH,

$$E-\{v\} = \alpha_v[F_v]$$

for some F in F. Hence

$$\{v\} \cup \alpha_{v}[F_{v}] = \{v\} \cup (E-\{v\}),$$

$$= E.$$



Since u is in E-{v}, hence u belongs to  $\alpha_v[F_v]$ . Hence  $\{v\} \cup \alpha_v[F_v]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_v, u)$ , i.e. E belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_v, u)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{S}(\alpha_{u}, v) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\alpha_{v}, u)$$
.

Similarly we can show that

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{v}, u) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{u}, v)$$
.

Hence  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_v, u) = \mathcal{G}(\alpha_u, v)$ , i.e.  $\alpha_v$  and  $\alpha_u$  are compatible. Hence  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  is a compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections.

Next, we show that H is the hypergraph induced by the family
A. Observe that

$$\begin{split} \text{E} \, \epsilon \, \xi^A & \Leftrightarrow \, E = \{v\} \cup \alpha_v \left[ F_v \right] \, \, \text{for some $v$ in $I$ and $F_v$ in $F_v$,} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \, E - \{v\} = \alpha_v \left[ F_v \right] \, \, \text{for some $v$ in $I$ and $F_v$ in $F_v$,} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \, E - \{v\} \epsilon \, v \mathcal{E} \, \, \text{for some $v$ in $I$,} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \, E \epsilon \, \mathcal{E} \, . \end{split}$$

Hence  $\xi^{A} = \xi$ . Therefore  $H = (I, \xi),$   $= (I, \xi^{A}),$   $= H^{A}.$ 

#

Hence H is the hypergraph induced by the family A.

3.3.2 Corollary Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. If H is a realization of  $\Gamma$ , then H is isomorphic to some hypergraph induced by a compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections.

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$  be a family of hypergraphs. Suppose that  $H^*$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$ . By Proposition 3.2.4., there exists a realization  $H = (I, \mathcal{E})$  isomorphic to  $H^*$  such that

## K<sub>v</sub> <u>№</u> vH

for all v in I. For each v in I, let  $\alpha_v$  be an isomorphism from  $K_v$  to vH. By Theorem 3.3.1.,  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  is a compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections and H is the hypergraph induced by the family A. Hence H\* is isomorphic to the hypergraph induced by the compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections A. #

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, V)$  for all v in I, be a family of hypergraphs. Suppose that there exists a compatible full family  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  of  $\Gamma$ -injections. Then the hypergraph induced by the family A is  $H^A = (I, E^A)$ , where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{A} = \{\{u\} \cup \alpha_{u}[F_{u}] / u \in I \text{ and } F_{u} \in \mathcal{T}_{u}\}.$$

Let v be any element in I. We shall show that

Let  $F_v$  be an edge in  $F_v$ . Then  $\{v\} \cup \alpha_v[F_v]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}^A$ . It follows that  $\alpha_v[F_v]$  belongs to  $v\mathcal{E}^A$ . Hence, we have

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{v}}] \subseteq \mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^{\Lambda}.$$
 (2)

Let vE be an edge in v & A. Then {v} U vE belongs to & A. Hence

$$\{v\} \cup vE = \{u\} \cup \alpha_{u}[F_{u}].$$
 (3)

for some u in I and some Fu in Tu.

Case 1. If v = u, then  $vE = \alpha_v[F_v]$ . Hence vE belongs to  $\alpha_v[F_v]$ .

Case 2. If  $v \neq u$ , then v belongs to  $\alpha_u[F_u]$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_u,v)$ , we see that  $\{u\} \cup \alpha_u[F_u]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_u,v)$ . Since the family A is compatible, hence  $\alpha_v$  and  $\alpha_u$  are compatible. Hence

$$\mathcal{S}(\alpha_{u}, v) = \mathcal{S}(\alpha_{v}, u).$$

Hence  $\{u\} \cup \alpha_{u}[F_{u}]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_{v}, u)$ . Hence

$$\{u\} \cup \alpha_{u}[F_{u}] = \{v\} \cup \alpha_{v}[F_{v}] . \dots (4)$$

for some  $F_v$  in  $F_v$  such that u belongs to  $\alpha_v[F_v]$ . From (3) and (4), we have

$$\{v\} \cup vE = \{v\} \cup \alpha_v[F_v]$$
.

Therefore  $vE = \alpha_v[F_v]$ . Hence vE belongs to  $\alpha_v[\widetilde{\psi_v}]$ . Hence, in any case, we have

$$v \mathcal{E}^{A} \subseteq \alpha_{v}[\mathcal{F}_{v}].$$
 (5)

Hence, from (2) and (5), we have (1). Observe that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathbb{W}_{\mathbf{v}}] = \alpha_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}],$$

$$= \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}],$$

$$= \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}],$$

$$= \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}[\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{v}}],$$

Hence  $\alpha_v$  is a function from  $W_v$  onto vI. Since  $\alpha_v$  is an  $(\Gamma,v)$ -injection,  $\alpha_v$  is a one-to-one function from  $W_v$  into I- $\{v\}$ . Since  $vI \subseteq I-\{v\}$ , hence  $\alpha_v$  is a one-to-one function from  $W_v$  onto vI. From  $\alpha_v \subseteq V$   $= v \in A$ , we have

 $F_v \text{ belongs to } F_v \text{ if and only if } \alpha_v[F_v] \text{ belongs to } v \not \stackrel{A}{\sim} .$  Hence  $\alpha_v$  is an isomorphism from  $K_v$  to  $vH^A$ , i.e.  $K_v \supseteq vH^A$ .

$$K_{\mathbf{v}} \stackrel{\cdot}{\simeq} vH^{A}$$

for all v in I. Therefore H  $^A$  is a realization of  $\Gamma$  and each  $\alpha_v$  is an isomorphism from K  $_v$  to vH  $^A$  .

From Theorem 3.3.1 and Theorem 3.3.3 we have

3.3.5 Theorem. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, \widetilde{V}_v)$  for all v in I, be a family of hypergraphs. Let  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  and  $A = (\alpha'_v)_{v \in I}$  be equivalent families of  $\Gamma$ -injections. If A is compatible, then A is also compatible.

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, \mathcal{T}_v)$  for all v in I, be a family of hypergraphs. Let  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  and  $A' = (\alpha'_v)_{v \in I}$  be equivalent families of  $\Gamma$ -injections. Suppose that A is compatible.

Let u, v be any elements in I. We shall show that

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha_{u}, v) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha'_{u}, v).$$
 (1)

Let E be any set in  $\mathcal{S}(\alpha_{11}, v)$ . Hence

$$E = \{u\} \cup \alpha_{u}[F_{u}]$$

for some  $F_u$  in  $F_u$  such that v belongs to  $\alpha_u[F_u]$ . Since A and A are equivalent,  $\alpha_u$  and  $\alpha_u$  are equivalent. Hence there exists  $\theta_u$  an automorphism in  $K_u$  such that  $\alpha_u' = \alpha_u \circ \theta_u$ . Since  $\theta_u$  is an automorphism in  $K_u$ ,  $F_u = \theta_u[F_u]$  for some  $F_u$  in  $F_u$ . Therefore  $\theta_u[F_u]$  is in  $F_u$ . Observe that

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{u}}[\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{u}}] = \alpha_{\mathbf{u}}[\Theta_{\mathbf{u}}[\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{u}}]],$$

$$= (\alpha_{\mathbf{u}} \bullet \Theta_{\mathbf{u}})[\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{u}}],$$

= 
$$\alpha_u [F_u]$$
.

Therefore  $E = \{u\} \cup \alpha_u'[F_u']$ . Since v is in  $\alpha_u[F_u]$ , hence v belongs to  $\alpha_u'[F_u']$ . Hence  $\{u\} \cup \alpha_u'[F_u']$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_u',v)$ . Therefore E belongs to  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha_u',v)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{G}(\alpha_{u}, v) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\alpha'_{u}, v)$$
.

Similarly we can show that

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha'_{u}, v) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\alpha_{u}, v)$$
.

Therefore we have (1). Similarly we can show that

$$\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_{v}, u) = \mathcal{Y}(\alpha'_{v}, u)$$
 (2)

Since A is compatible, hence  $\alpha_{\mbox{\scriptsize V}}$  and  $\alpha_{\mbox{\scriptsize U}}$  are compatible. Therefore

$$\mathcal{Y}(\alpha_{\mathbf{u}},\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{Y}(\alpha_{\mathbf{v}},\mathbf{u})$$
.

Hence, by (1) and (2), we have

$$S(\alpha'_{u}, v) = S(\alpha'_{v}, u)$$
.

Therefore  $\alpha_u'$  and  $\alpha_v'$  are compatible. Hence A' is compatible. #

3.3.6 Theorem. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, \overline{\psi})$  for all v in I, be a family of hypergraphs. Let  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  and  $A' = (\alpha'_v)_{v \in I}$  be compatible full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections. Then A and A' are equivalent if and only if  $H^A = H^A$ .

Proof. Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, \mathcal{T})$  for all v in I, be a family of hypergraphs. Let  $A = (\alpha_v)_{v \in I}$  and  $A' = (\alpha'_v)_{v \in I}$  be compatible full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections.

Assume that A and A are equivalent. Hence for each v in I, there exists an automorphism  $\theta_v$  in  $K_v$  such that  $\alpha_v' = \alpha_v \cdot \theta_v$ . Observe that

$$\mathcal{E}^{A} = \{\{v\} \cup \alpha_{v}[F_{v}] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

$$= \{\{v\} \cup \alpha_{v}[\Theta_{v}[F_{v}]] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

$$= \{\{v\} \cup (\alpha_{v} \bullet \Theta_{v})[F_{v}] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

$$= \{\{v\} \cup \alpha'_{v}[F_{v}] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

$$= \{\{v\} \cup \alpha'_{v}[F_{v}] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

$$= \{\{v\} \cup \alpha'_{v}[F_{v}] / v \in I \text{ and } F_{v} \in \mathcal{F}^{e}\},$$

Hence, we have  $H^A = H^A$ .

Assume that  $H^A = H^A$ . Let v be any element in I. By Theorem 3.3.3,  $\alpha_v$  and  $\alpha_v'$  are isomorphisms from  $K_v$  to  $vH^A$  and  $vH^A'$  respectively. Since  $H^A = H^A'$ , hence  $vH^A = vH^A'$ . A straightforward verification shows that  $\alpha_v^{-1} \circ \alpha_v'$  is an automorphism in  $K_v$ . Since  $\alpha_v' = \alpha_v \circ (\alpha_v^{-1} \circ \alpha_v')$  and  $\alpha_v^{-1} \circ \alpha_v'$  is an automorphism in  $K_v$ , hence  $\alpha_v$  and  $\alpha_v' = \alpha_v' \circ (\alpha_v' \circ \alpha_v')$  and  $\alpha_v' \circ \alpha_v'$  is an automorphism in  $K_v'$ , hence  $\alpha_v' \circ \alpha_v' \circ \alpha_v' \circ \alpha_v'$  are equivalent. Hence A and A' are equivalent.

#### 3.4 Examples.

In this section we illustrate how we can apply our results to obtain all non-isomorphic realizations of a given family of hypergraphs. For a given family  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, V_v)$  and  $|W_v| \le |I|$  for all v in I we do the followings:

- (1) For each v in I, we determine all the  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injections, then pick out exactly one representative from each equivalence class of these  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injections. These representatives form a set of  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injections with the property that any  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injection is equivalent to exactly one in the set. Such a set will be referred to as a complete set of inequivalent  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injections.
- (2) From the complete set of inequivalent  $(\Gamma, v)$ -injections obtains in (1), we form inequivalent full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections, in all possible ways. Then determine whether each is compatible. In doing so, we obtain all inequivalent compatible full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections.
- (3) For each inequivalent compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections A obtained in (2), we obtain, by Theorem 3.3.3, the hypergraph  $^{A}$  which is a realization of  $\Gamma$ . These  $H^{A}$ 's include, up to isomorphism, all realization of  $\Gamma$ . It may happen that some or all of the  $H^{A}$  obtains are isomorphic.
- 3.4.1 Example. Let  $I = \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ . For each v in I, let  $V_v = (W_v, \mathcal{O}_v^{\mathcal{I}})$ , where

$$W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = \{1,2\},$$
 $\Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = \{\{1\},\{2\}\};$ 
 $W_4 = W_5 = \{1\},$ 
 $\Psi_4 = \Psi_5 = \{\{1\}\}.$ 

Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ . We shall determine all the realization of  $\Gamma$  , if any exists.

First, we determine all  $(\Gamma,1)$ -injections. Since  $W_1=\{1,2\}$  and  $I-\{1\}=\{2,3,4,5\}$ , hence there are exactly 12 such  $(\Gamma,1)$ -injections. We shall denote these 12  $(\Gamma,1)$ -injections by  $\alpha_1^i$ ,  $i=1,2,3,\ldots$ 12. Their values are given in the following table:

#### Table 1.

x	$\alpha_1^1(x)$	$\alpha_1^2(x)$	$\alpha_1^3(x)$	α <sub>1</sub> (x)	$\alpha_1^5(x)$	α <sub>η</sub> (x)	$\alpha_1^7(x)$	$\alpha_1^8(x)$	$\alpha_1^9(\mathbf{x})$	$\alpha_1^{10}(x)$	$\alpha_1^{11}(x)$	$\alpha_1^{12}(x)$
1	2	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	5	5
2	3	4	5	4	5	5	2	2	2	3	3	4

It can be verified that these 12  $(\Gamma,1)$ -injections are partitioned into 6 equivalent classes:

$$\{\alpha_1^i, \alpha_1^{i+6}\}, i = 1,2,3,4,5,6.$$

The following tablesgive a complete set of inequivalent (r,1)-injections;

Table 2.

x	$\alpha_1^1(x)$	$\alpha_1^2(x)$	$\alpha_1^3(x)$	α <sup>4</sup> (x)	$\alpha_1^5(x)$	$\alpha_1^6(x)$
1	2	2	2	3	3	4
2	3	4	5	4	5	5

We do in the same manner as above obtains Table 3,4,5 and 6 give complete set of inequivalent  $(\Gamma,2)$ -injections,  $(\Gamma,3)$ -injections,  $(\Gamma,4)$ -injections and  $(\Gamma,5)$ -injections respectively.

Table 3.

x	$\alpha_2^1(x)$	$\alpha_2^2(\mathbf{x})$	α <sup>3</sup> (x)	$\alpha_2^4(x)$	α <sup>5</sup> (x)	$\alpha_2^6(x)$
1	1	1	1	3	3	4
2	3	4	5	4	5	5

### Table 4.

	$\alpha_3^1(\mathbf{x})$		$\alpha_3^3(x)$	$\alpha_3^4(x)$	$\alpha_3^5(\mathbf{x})$	$\alpha \frac{6}{3}(x)$
1	1 2	1	1	2	2	4
2	2	4	5	4	5	5

#### Table 5.

x	α <sub>4</sub> (x)	$\alpha_4^2(x)$	$\alpha_4^3(x)$	α4(x)
1	1	2	3	5

#### Table 6.

х	$a_5^1(\mathbf{x})$	α <sub>5</sub> <sup>2</sup> (x)	$\alpha_5^3(\mathbf{x})$	α <sub>5</sub> <sup>4</sup> (x)
1	1	2	3	4

It can be verified that there does not exist any compatible full family of I-injections of the followings types:

Type 1 
$$(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{1}, \alpha_{5}^{4})$$
,

Type 2  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{2}, \alpha_{5}^{4})$ ,

Type 3  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{3}, \alpha_{5}^{4})$ ,

Type 4  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{6})$ ,

Type 5  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{6})$ ,

Type 6  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{6})$ ,

Type 7  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{6})$ ,

Type 8  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{2}, \alpha_{5}^{6})$ ,

Type 9  $(\alpha_{1}^{i}, \alpha_{2}^{j}, \alpha_{3}^{k}, \alpha_{4}^{3}, \alpha_{5}^{3})$ .

Verifications that there does not exist any compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections of type 1 and type 7 are given in Appendic 2. Verifications that there does not exist any compatible full family

of  $\Gamma$ -injections of types 2-6 and 8-9 are similar to those of type . 1 and 7 respectively.

There remains the following types of full family of I-injections to be considered:

Type 10 
$$(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^1, \alpha_5^2)$$
,

Type 11  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^1, \alpha_5^3)$ ,

Type 12  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^1)$ ,

Type 13  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^3)$ ,

Type 14  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^3)$ ,

Type 15  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^3, \alpha_5^2)$ ,

Type 16  $(\alpha_1^i, \alpha_2^j, \alpha_3^k, \alpha_4^3, \alpha_5^2)$ ,

where i,j,k = 1,2,3,4,5,6.

By inspection (see Appendix 2) it turns out that for each of these types we can find a unique compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ injections. They are the followings:

$$A_{10} = (\alpha_1^4, \alpha_2^5, \alpha_3^1, \alpha_4^1, \alpha_5^2),$$

$$A_{11} = (\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^1, \alpha_3^5, \alpha_4^1, \alpha_5^3),$$

$$A_{12} = (\alpha_1^5, \alpha_2^4, \alpha_3^1, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^1),$$

$$A_{13} = (\alpha_1^1, \alpha_2^2, \alpha_3^3, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^3),$$

$$A_{14} = (\alpha_1^3, \alpha_2^1, \alpha_3^4, \alpha_4^3, \alpha_5^1),$$

$$A_{15} = (\alpha_1^1, \alpha_2^3, \alpha_3^2, \alpha_4^2, \alpha_5^2),$$

and

$$A_{16} = (\alpha_1^1, \alpha_2^1, \alpha_3^1, \alpha_4^4, \alpha_5^4)$$
.

Hence A<sub>10</sub>, A<sub>11</sub>, A<sub>12</sub>, A<sub>13</sub>, A<sub>14</sub>, A<sub>15</sub> and A<sub>16</sub> are the only inequivalent compatible full families of Γ-injections. Hence, by Theorem 3.3.3, the family Γ is realizable.

Next, we shall determine all distinct, up to isomorphism, realizations of  $\Gamma$ . Observe that

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_{10}} = \{\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,5\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{11}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{3,5\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{12}} = \{\{1,3\},\{1,5\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{13}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,4\},\{3,5\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{14}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,5\},\{2,3\},\{3,4\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{15}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,5\},\{3,4\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{A_{15}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,5\}\},\\ \mathcal{E}^{$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{A_{16}} = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},\{4,5\}\}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.3.3.,

$$H^{A_{i}} = (I, \xi^{A_{i}}),$$

i = 10, 11, ..., 16, are realizations of  $\Gamma$ . Observe that

for all i, j = 10,11,...,15, and H 10 2 H 16.

Let H be any realization of  $\Gamma$ . By Corollary 3.3.2., H must isomorphic to  $H^A$ , a hypergraph induced by a compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections, for some compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections A. Hence, A must be equivalent to exactly one of  $A_i$ ,  $i=10,11,\ldots,16$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.3.6.,

for some i = 10, 11, ..., 16. Hence, H must be isomorphic to exactly one of H  $^{A}10$  and H  $^{A}16$ . Therefore, up to isomorphism, H  $^{A}10$  and H  $^{A}16$  are the only two distinct realizations of  $\Gamma$ .

3.4.2 Example. Let  $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and

$$K = (\{1,2,3,4,5\}, \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3,4,\{4,5\},\{1,5\}\}\}).$$

Let  $\Gamma = (K_v)_{v \in I}$ , where  $K_v = (W_v, V_v)$  are equal to K for all v in I.

We shall determine all the realizations of  $\Gamma$ , if any exists. We do this in the same manner as in Example 3.4.1. We have the following results:

(1) For i = 1,2,3,4,5,6, Table i+6 gives a complete set of inequivalent  $(\Gamma,i)$ -injections.

Table 7.

x	$\alpha_1^1(x)$	$\alpha_1^2(x)$	$\alpha_1^3(x)$	α <sup>4</sup> <sub>1</sub> (x)	$\alpha_1^5(x)$	$\alpha_1^6(x)$	$\alpha_1^7(x)$	α <sup>8</sup> (x)	α <sub>1</sub> (x)	$\alpha_1^{10}(x)$	$\alpha_1^{11}(x)$	$\alpha_1^{12}(x)$
1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2	3	3	3	3	3	3	4 3	4	4	4	5	5
3	4	4	. 5	5	6	6	3	3	5	6	3	4
4	5	6	4	6	4	5	5	6	3	3	4	3
5	6	5	6	4	5	4	5 6	5	6	5	6	6

Table 8.

x	$\alpha_2^1(x)$	$\alpha_2^2(x)$	α <sub>2</sub> (x)	α <sub>2</sub> <sup>4</sup> (x)	$\alpha_2^5(\mathbf{x})$	$\alpha_2^6(x)$	α <sup>7</sup> (x)	α <sub>2</sub> (x)	α <sub>2</sub> (x)	$\alpha_2^{10}(x)$	α <sub>2</sub> <sup>11</sup> (x	) α 2(x)
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	3									4		
3	4	4	5							6		
4	5	6	4	6	4	5	5	6	3	3 .	4	3
5	5	5	6	4	5	4	6	5	6	5	6	6

Table 9.

x	$\alpha_3^1(x)$	$\alpha_3^2(x)$	$\alpha_3^3(x)$	$\alpha_3^4(x)$	$\alpha_3^5(x)$	α <sup>6</sup> <sub>3</sub> (x)	α <sup>7</sup> (x)	α <sup>8</sup> (x)	α <sup>9</sup> (x)	α <sup>19</sup> (x)	α <sup>11</sup> (x)	$\alpha_3^{12}(x)$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2		2				4	4	5	5
3	4	4	5	5	6	6	2	2	5	6	2	4
4	5	6	4		4		5	6	2	2	4	2
5	6	5	6	4	5	4	6	5	6	5	6	6

Table 10.

×	$\alpha_4^1(\mathbf{x})$	α <sup>2</sup> (x)	α <sub>4</sub> (x)	α4(x)	α <sub>4</sub> <sup>5</sup> (x)	α <sub>4</sub> (x)	α <sub>4</sub> (x)	α <mark>8</mark> (x)	α <sub>4</sub> (x)	$\alpha_4^{10}(x)$	$\alpha_4^{11}(x)$	$\alpha_4^{12}(x)$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	5	5
3	3	3	5	5	6	6	2	2	5	6	2	3
4	5	6	3	6	3	5	5	6	2		3	2
5	6	5	6 -					5	6	5	6	6

## Table 11.

x	$\alpha_5^1(\mathbf{x})$	α <sup>2</sup> (x)	$\alpha_5^3(x)$	α <sup>4</sup> <sub>5</sub> (x)	α <sup>5</sup> (x)	α <sup>6</sup> <sub>5</sub> (x)	α <sup>7</sup> (x)	α <sub>5</sub> <sup>8</sup> (x)	α <sup>9</sup> (x)	$\alpha_5^{10}(x)$	$a_{5}^{11}(x)$	α <sub>5</sub> (x
1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
3	3	3	4	4.	6	6	2	2	-4	6	2	3
4	4	6	3	6	3	4	4	6	2	2	3 .	2
4	6	4	6	3	4	3	6	4	6	4	6	6

## Table 12.

x	$\alpha_6^1(x)$	رx)	α <sub>6</sub> <sup>3</sup> (x)	α <sub>6</sub> (x)	æ(x)	α <sub>6</sub> (x)	α <sub>6</sub> <sup>7</sup> (x)	α <sub>6</sub> <sup>8</sup> (x)	α <sub>6</sub> <sup>9</sup> (x)	α <sub>6</sub> (x)	$\alpha_6^{11}(x)$	α <sub>6</sub> (x
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4
3	3	3	4	4	5	5	2	2	4	5	2	3
4	4	5	3	5	3	4	4	5	2	2	3	2
5	5	4	5	3	4	3	5	4	5	4	5	5

(2) From the six complete sets of inequivalent  $\Gamma$ -injections we found that the following families  $A_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,12$ , comprise inequivalent compatible full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections such that any compatible full family of  $\Gamma$ -injections must be equivalent to one of these. They are given below:

$$A_{1} = (\alpha_{1}^{1}, \alpha_{2}^{3}, \alpha_{3}^{4}, \alpha_{1}^{10}, \alpha_{5}^{11}, \alpha_{6}^{3}),$$

$$A_{2} = (\alpha_{1}^{2}, \alpha_{2}^{5}, \alpha_{3}^{6}, \alpha_{4}^{9}, \alpha_{5}^{3}, \alpha_{1}^{11}),$$

$$A_{3} = (\alpha_{1}^{3}, \alpha_{2}^{1}, \alpha_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{3}^{2}, \alpha_{4}^{11}, \alpha_{5}^{10}, \alpha_{6}^{5}),$$

$$A_{4} = (\alpha_{1}^{4}, \alpha_{2}^{6}, \alpha_{3}^{5}, \alpha_{4}^{3}, \alpha_{5}^{9}, \alpha_{1}^{2}),$$

$$A_{5} = (\alpha_{1}^{5}, \alpha_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{3}^{1}, \alpha_{4}^{12}, \alpha_{5}^{5}, \alpha_{6}^{10}),$$

$$A_{6} = (\alpha_{1}^{6}, \alpha_{2}^{4}, \alpha_{3}^{3}, \alpha_{4}^{5}, \alpha_{5}^{12}, \alpha_{6}^{9}),$$

$$A_{7} = (\alpha_{1}^{7}, \alpha_{2}^{9}, \alpha_{3}^{10}, \alpha_{4}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{7}, \alpha_{6}^{10}),$$

$$A_{8} = (\alpha_{1}^{8}, \alpha_{2}^{10}, \alpha_{3}^{9}, \alpha_{4}^{6}, \alpha_{5}^{1}, \alpha_{6}^{7}),$$

$$A_{9} = (\alpha_{1}^{9}, \alpha_{2}^{7}, \alpha_{3}^{11}, \alpha_{4}^{2}, \alpha_{5}^{8}, \alpha_{6}^{6}),$$

$$A_{10} = (\alpha_{1}^{10}, \alpha_{2}^{8}, \alpha_{3}^{12}, \alpha_{1}^{1}, \alpha_{5}^{6}, \alpha_{6}^{8}),$$

$$A_{11} = (\alpha_{1}^{11}, \alpha_{2}^{12}, \alpha_{3}^{8}, \alpha_{4}^{7}, \alpha_{5}^{4}, \alpha_{5}^{2}, \alpha_{6}^{8}),$$

$$A_{12} = (\alpha_{1}^{12}, \alpha_{1}^{11}, \alpha_{2}^{7}, \alpha_{3}^{8}, \alpha_{4}^{8}, \alpha_{5}^{2}, \alpha_{6}^{8}),$$

(3) From the above 12 compatible full families of  $\Gamma$ -injections  $A_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,12$ . We obtain, by theorem 3.3.3, the 12 realizations  $H^{\dot{A}i}=(I,\overset{\dot{A}i}{\succeq})$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,12$ , where

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_1} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,4,5\},\{2,4,6\},\{3,4,6\},\{3,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_2} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,5\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{2,4,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{3,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_3} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,4,5\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,6\},\{3,5,6\}\},$ 

 $g^{A_4} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,4\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{3,4,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_5} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,2,5\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,4,6\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{3,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_{6}} = \{\{1,2,3\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,4\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,4,6\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{3,4,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A7} = \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{3,4,6\},\{4,5,6\}\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_8} = \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,5,6\},\{1,2,5\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,4,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{4,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{A_9} = \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,6\},\{4,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{\Lambda_{10}} = \{\{1,2,4\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,2,5\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,5,6\},\{3,4,5\},\{4,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{A_{11}} = \{\{1,2,5\},\{1,3,5\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,4,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,3,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{3,5,6\},\{4,5,6\}\},$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{A_{12}} = \{\{1,2,5\},\{1,4,5\},\{1,3,4\},\{1,3,6\},\{1,2,6\},\{2,3,4\},\{2,3,5\},\{2,4,6\},\{3,5,6\},\{4,5,6\}\}.$ 

(4) All the realizations  $H^{A_{i}}$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,12$ , obtained above, are isomorphic. This can be seen by establishing an isomorphism  $\psi_{i}$  from  $H^{A_{i}}$  to  $H^{A_{i}}$ ,  $i=2,3,\ldots,12$ . Table 13 gives such isomorphisms.

Table 13.

x	ψ <sub>2</sub> (x)	ち(x)	ψ <sub>4</sub> (x)	ち <sup>(x)</sup>	ψ <sub>6</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>7</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>8</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>9</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>10</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>11</sub> (x)	ψ <sub>12</sub> (x
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5
4	4	5 .	5	6	6	3	3	5	6	3	4
5	6	4	6	4	5	5	6	3	3	4	3
6	5	6	4	5	4	6	5	6	5	6	6

Hence, up to isomorphism,  $H^{1}$  is the only realization of  $\Gamma$  .