

## CHAPTER III



### SEMIFIELDS

Definition 3.1. A nonempty set  $K$  is said to be a semifield if there are two binary operations,  $+$  (addition) and  $\cdot$  (multiplication) defined on it such that :

- (i)  $(K, \cdot)$  is an abelian group with zero;
- (ii)  $(K, +)$  is a commutative semigroup;
- (iii)  $x(y + z) = xy + xz \quad \forall x, y, z \in K.$

We will denote the multiplicative identity and multiplicative zero of a semifield by 1 and 0 respectively.

It is clear that any field is a semifield.

Example 3.2. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an abelian group with zero (0). Then we can define a binary operation  $+$  on  $G$  so that  $G$  is a semifield, by defining  $x + y = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in G.$  We call this semifield the trivial semifield.

Example 3.3. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an abelian group with zero. We can define a binary operation  $+$  on  $G$  so that  $G$  is a non-trivial semifield by defining  $x + y = 0$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $x + x = x \quad \forall x, y \in G.$

Proof : We need to show that  $(G, +)$  satisfies the associative law and  $(G, +, \cdot)$  satisfies distributive law.

Let  $x, y, z \in G$ .

Case  $x = y = z$ . Then  $(x + x) + x = x + x = x$  and  $x + (x + x) = x + x = x$ ;

$$x(x + x) = x^2 \text{ and } xx + xx = x^2.$$

Case  $x = y \neq z$ . Then  $(x + x) + z = x + z = 0$  and  $x + (x + z) = x + 0 = 0$ ;

$$x(x + z) = x0 = 0 \text{ and } xx + xz = 0.$$

Case  $x = z \neq y$ . Then  $(x + y) + x = 0 + x = 0$  and  $x + (y + x) = x + 0 = 0$ ;

$$x(y + x) = x0 = 0 \text{ and } xy + xx = 0.$$

Case  $x \neq y = z$ . Then  $(x + y) + y = 0 + y = 0$  and  $x + (y + y) = x + y = 0$ ;

$$x(y + y) = xy \text{ and } xy + xy = xy.$$

Case  $x \neq y \neq z$ . Then  $(x + y) + z = 0 + z = 0$  and  $x + (y + z) = x + 0 = 0$ ;

$$x(y + z) = x0 = 0 \text{ and } xy + xz = 0.$$

Therefore  $G$  is a non-trivial semifield. We call this the almost trivial semifield.

Example 3.4. Let  $D$  be a P.R.D. Let  $0$  be a symbol not representing any element of  $D$ . Then  $D \cup \{0\}$  is clearly a semifield by extending the operations of  $D$  to  $D \cup \{0\}$  by  $x0 = 0x = 0$  and  $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$   $\forall x \in D \cup \{0\}$ .

Example 3.5. There is another way extending the operation of  $D$  to  $D \cup \{0\}$  where  $D$  is a P.R.D. and  $0 \notin D$  so that  $D \cup \{0\}$  is a semifield. Just define  $x0 = 0x = 0$  and  $x + 0 = 0 + x = 0$   $\forall x \in D \cup \{0\}$ .

Example 3.6.  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication are semifields.

Remark 3.7. (i) Since  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  with the usual addition and multiplication is a P.R.D., follows from Example 3.5, we have  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by extending  $+$  and  $\cdot$  by  $x + 0 = 0 + x = 0$  and  $x0 = 0x = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ , is a semifield having 0 as its additive zero.

(ii)  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, c \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$  satisfies all the axioms of a semifield except that  $\cdot$  is not commutative.

(iii) If  $K$  is a semifield then  $K \times K$  is not a semifield since  $(0, 1)(1, 0) = (0, 0)$ .

Definition 3.8. Let  $K$  be a semifield. Then define  $A = \{x \in K \mid x + y = 0 \quad \forall y \in K\}$  and  $B_0 = \{x \in K \mid x + 0 = 0\}$ .

Follow from previous examples we have that :

- (1)  $A = K$  and  $B_0 = K$  if  $K$  is as in Example 3.2 ;
- (2)  $A = \{0\}$  and  $B_0 = K$  if  $K$  is as in Example 3.3 ;
- (3)  $A = \emptyset$  and  $B_0 = \{0\}$  if  $K$  is as in Example 3.4 ;
- (4)  $A = \{0\}$  and  $B_0 = K$  if  $K$  is as in Example 3.5 ;

If  $K$  is a field, then we have  $A = \emptyset$  and  $B_0 = \{0\}$ .

Theorem 3.9. Let  $K$  be a semifield. Then the following hold :

- (1)  $0 + 0 = 0$
- (2) Either  $A = \emptyset$  or  $A = \{0\}$  or  $A = K$  ;
- (3) Either  $B_0 = \{0\}$  or  $B_0 = K$ .

Proof : (1) Suppose  $0 + 0 = x$ . Since  $0(0 + 0) = 0x$ ,  $0 + 0 = 0$ .

(2) Suppose  $A \neq \emptyset$ . To show either  $A = \{0\}$  or  $A = K$ , we first assume that  $A \neq \{0\}$ , so  $\exists x \in A$  such that  $x \neq 0$ . Let  $y \in K - \{0\}$ . Since  $x + z = 0 \quad \forall z \in K$ ,  $yx^{-1}(x + z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in K$ . Hence  $y + yx^{-1}z = 0 \quad \forall z \in K$ .

Since  $\{yx^{-1}z \mid z \in K\} = K$ ,  $y + w = 0 \quad \forall w \in K$ . Thus  $y \in A$ . From (1),  $0 + 0 = 0$ . If  $\exists u \in K - \{0\}$  such that  $0 + u = w$  for some  $w \in K - \{0\}$ , then  $u \notin A$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\forall u \in K - \{0\}$ ,  $0 + u = 0$ . Therefore  $A = K$ .

(3) From (1), we have that  $0 \in B_0$ , so  $B_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $B_0 \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in B_0 - \{0\}$ . Let  $y \in K$ . Since  $x + 0 = 0$ ,  $1 + 0 = 0$ . Hence  $y + 0 = y1 + y0 = y(1 + 0) = y0 = 0$  and so we have  $y \in B_0$ . Therefore  $B_0 = K$ . #

Theorem 3.10. If  $K$  is a semifield then either  $0$  is the additive identity or  $0$  is the additive zero.

Proof : From Theorem 3.9 (3), we have that either  $B_0 = \{0\}$  or  $B_0 = K$ .

Case  $B_0 = K$ . Then  $\forall x \in K$ ,  $0 + x = 0$  and so  $0$  is the additive zero.

Case  $B_0 = \{0\}$ . Then  $0 + x \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in K - \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in K - \{0\}$ . Hence  $\exists y \in K - \{0\}$  such that  $0 + x = y$  and so  $0 + xy^{-1} = 1$ . Since  $0 + 1 = z$  for some  $z \in K - \{0\}$ ,  $0 + 0 + xy^{-1} = 0 + 1 = z$ . Hence  $0 + xy^{-1} = z$ , so  $z = 1$  and we get that  $0 + 1 = 1$ .

Let  $y \in K$ . Then we have  $y(0 + 1) = y$  and so  $0 + y = y$ . Therefore  $0$  is the additive identity. #

Theorem 3.10 indicates that there are two types of semifields when considering the multiplicative zero. We call a semifield with  $0$  as its additive identity a semifield of zero type and a semifield with  $0$  as its additive zero a semifield of infinity type. The reason for this terminology is as follows :

If  $0$  is the additive identity, then  $x + 0 = x \quad \forall x$ . Hence  $0$  behaves

like zero in  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication, so we call it of zero type.

If 0 is the additive zero, then 0 behaves like  $\infty$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ , i.e.  $x \infty = \infty$  and  $x + \infty = \infty \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$ , so we call it of infinity type.

Therefore we have  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication is a semifield of zero type and  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  as in Remark 3.7 (1) is a semifield of infinity type.

Proposition 3.11. Let  $K$  be a semifield of zero type. If  $\exists a_0 \in K - \{0\}$  such that  $\forall x, y \in K (x + a_0 = y + a_0 \Rightarrow x = y)$ , then  $\forall a \in K$  we get that  $\forall x, y \in K (x + a = y + a \Rightarrow x = y)$ .

Proof : Let  $a \in K$ . Let  $x, y \in K$  be such that  $x + a = y + a$ . If  $a = 0$ , then we have  $x = y$ . So we may assume that  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $a_0 a^{-1}(x + a) = a_0 a^{-1}(y + a)$ . Hence  $a_0 a^{-1}x + a_0 = a_0 a^{-1}y + a_0$ . Therefore  $a_0 a^{-1}x = a_0 a^{-1}y$  and so  $x = y$ . #

Proposition 3.12. Let  $K$  be a semifield of zero type. If  $\exists x \in K - \{0\}$  such that  $x$  has an additive inverse, then every element in  $K$  has an additive inverse and  $K$  is a field.

Proof : Let  $y \in K$ . We want to show that  $y$  has an additive inverse. If  $y = 0$ , then we are done because  $0 + 0 = 0$ . We assume that  $y \neq 0$ . Let  $z$  be an additive inverse of  $x$ . Hence  $x + z = 0$ , so  $yx^{-1}(x + z) = 0$ . Thus  $y + yx^{-1}z = 0$  and  $yx^{-1}z$  is an additive inverse of  $y$ . #

Theorem 3.13. A finite semifield of zero type of order  $> 2$  is a field.

Proof : Let  $K$  be a finite semifield of zero type such that  $K$  has order  $> 2$ .

Case 1. If  $\exists x \in K - \{0\}$  such that  $x$  has an additive inverse then by Proposition 3.12, every element in  $K$  has an additive inverse and so  $K$  is a field.

Case 2. Assume that every element in  $K - \{0\}$  has no additive inverse. Let  $x, y \in K - \{0\}$ . Then  $x + y \neq 0$ , so  $x + y \in K - \{0\}$ . Hence  $(K - \{0\}, +)$  is a commutative semigroup and so  $K - \{0\}$  is a finite P.R.D. of order  $> 1$  which contradicts Theorem 2.5. Therefore this case cannot occur. #

Remark 3.14. (i) Theorem 3.13 is not true when  $K$  is an infinite semifield since  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  of zero type is not a field.

(ii) Theorem 3.13 is not true when  $K$  is a semifield of zero type of order 2. For example, let  $K = \{0, 1\}$  and let  $+$  and  $\cdot$  be the following:

$\cdot$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

$+$	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	1

Then we have that :

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 + (0 + 1) &= 0 + 1 = 1 \text{ and } (0 + 0) + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1 ; \\
 0 + (1 + 0) &= 0 + 1 = 1 \text{ and } (0 + 1) + 0 = 1 + 0 = 1 ; \\
 0 + (1 + 1) &= 0 + 1 = 1 \text{ and } (0 + 1) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 1 ; \\
 1 + (0 + 0) &= 1 + 0 = 1 \text{ and } (1 + 0) + 0 = 1 + 0 = 1 ; \\
 1 + (0 + 1) &= 1 + 1 = 1 \text{ and } (1 + 0) + 1 = 1 + 1 = 1 ; \\
 1 + (1 + 0) &= 1 + 1 = 1 \text{ and } (1 + 1) + 0 = 1 + 0 = 1 ;
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0(0 + 1) &= 01 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 00 + 01 = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad ; \\
0(1 + 0) &= 01 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 01 + 00 = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad ; \\
0(1 + 1) &= 01 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 01 + 01 = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad ; \\
1(0 + 0) &= 10 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 10 + 10 = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad ; \\
1(0 + 1) &= 1^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 10 + 11 = 0 + 1 = 1 \quad ; \\
1(1 + 0) &= 1^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 11 + 10 = 1 + 0 = 1 \quad ; \\
1(1 + 1) &= 1^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 11 + 11 = 1 + 1 = 1 \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(K, +)$  is a commutative semigroup,  $(K, \cdot)$  is an abelian group with zero and distributive law holds in  $K$ , so  $K$  is a semifield of zero type but it is not a field.

Corollary 3.15. Any proper extension semifield of semifield in Remark 3.14 (ii) is infinite.

Proof : Suppose  $\exists K$  a finite proper extension semifield of semifield in Remark 3.14 (ii). Then  $K$  has order  $> 2$ . Since  $0 + 1 = 1$ , by Theorem 3.10  $K$  is of zero type. By Theorem 3.13  $K$  is a field. Since  $0 + 1 = 1 + 1$  but  $1 \neq 0$ ,  $K$  is not additively cancellative which is a contradiction. #

As a consequence of Remark 3.14 (ii), we see that a semifield of order 2 is an interesting special case of semifields. We wish to study more about semifields of this order and to do this we first find all the possible commutative semigroup operations on  $\{0, 1\}$  that make

$\cdot$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

into a semifield.

Since  $0 + 0 = 0$ , there are four possible commutative binary operations  $+$  on  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $\{0, 1\}$  is a semifield :

$+$	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

Table 1.

$+$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	0

Table 2.

$+$	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	1

Table 3.

$+$	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

Table 4.

Note that Table 1 makes  $\{0, 1\}$  into a field, Table 2 makes  $\{0, 1\}$  into the trivial semifield and Table 4 makes  $\{0, 1\}$  into the almost trivial semifield. And we have that the only finite semifield of zero type which is not a field is the semifield of table 3.

Table 3 shows that it is possible that a semifield has an additive zero which is not 0.

Proposition 3.16. If  $K$  is a semifield of order  $> 2$  such that  $K$  has the additive zero  $e$  then  $e = 0$ .

Proof : Suppose  $e \neq 0$ . Then  $x + e = e \quad \forall x \in K$ , so  $e^{-1}x + 1 = 1$   
 $\forall x \in K$ . Since  $\{e^{-1}x\}_{x \in K} = K$ , 1 is also an additive zero. Hence  $e = 1$ .  
 Let  $x \in K - \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $x + 1 = 1$ , so  $1 + x^{-1} = x^{-1}$ . Since  $x^{-1} + 1 = 1$ ,  
 $x^{-1} = 1$ . Thus  $x = 1$ , a contradiction. #

Table 4 shows that it is possible that a semifield has an additive identity which is not 0.

Proposition 3.17. If  $K$  is a semifield of order  $> 2$  such that  $K$  has an additive identity  $e$  then  $e = 0$ .



Proof : Suppose  $e \neq 0$ . Then  $x + e = x \quad \forall x \in K$ , so  $e^{-1}x + 1 = e^{-1}x$   
 $\forall x \in K$ . Since  $\{e^{-1}x\}_{x \in K} = K$ , we see that 1 is also an additive  
 identity and so  $1 = e$ . Let  $x \in K - \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $x + 1 = x$ , so  $1 + x^{-1} = 1$ .  
 Since  $x^{-1} + 1 = x^{-1}$ ,  $x^{-1} = 1$ . Thus  $x = 1$ , a contradiction. #

Table 4 also shows that  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are equal.

Proposition 3.18. If  $K$  is a semifield such that  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are equal then  $K$   
 has order 2.

Proof : Suppose  $K$  has order  $> 2$ . Let  $x \in K - \{0, 1\}$ . Since  
 $x(1 + 1) = x + x$ ,  $x(1^2) = x^2$ . Hence  $x = x^2$  and  $x$  is an idempotent in  $(K, \cdot)$ .  
 We have that 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in  $(K, \cdot)$  since  $(K, \cdot)$  is a  
 group with zero, so  $x \neq x^2$  which is a contradiction. #

In Chapter II we proved a theorem concerning the smallest sub-P.R.D.  
 of a given P.R.D. Since the intersection of subsemifields of a semifield is  
 a subsemifield, we have that the smallest subsemifield of a semifield exists  
 and is the intersection of all of its subsemifields which will be called  
the prime semifield. In this chapter we shall also determine the prime  
 semifield of a semifield up to isomorphism. Before studying this we first  
 prove some theorems concerning semirings.

Definition 3.19. If  $S$  is a semiring with multiplicative zero (0) and  
 satisfies property that  $\forall x, y, z \in S (xy = xz \Rightarrow x = 0 \vee y = z)$ , then we  
 say that  $S$  is 0-multiplicatively cancellative.

Example 3.20.  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication is an example of a semiring with 0 as multiplicative zero having 0-multiplicative cancellation.

Theorem 3.21. If  $S$  is a semiring with multiplicative zero (0), then  $S$  can be embedded into a semifield iff  $S$  is 0-multiplicatively cancellative.

Proof : Assume that  $S$  is 0-multiplicatively cancellative.

Case 1. If  $S = \{0\}$ , then we can embed  $S$  into any semifield  $K$  by a homomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow K$  defined by  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Case 2. Assume that  $S \neq \{0\}$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $S \times (S - \{0\})$  by  $(x, y) \sim (x', y') \Leftrightarrow xy' = x'y \quad \forall (x, y), (x', y') \in S \times (S - \{0\})$ . Clearly  $\sim$  is reflexive and symmetric. Let  $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in S \times (S - \{0\})$  be such that  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  and  $(c, d) \sim (e, f)$ . Then  $ad = cb$  and  $cf = ed$ , so  $adf = cbf$  and  $cfb = edb$ . Hence  $adf = edb$ . Since  $d \neq 0$ ,  $af = eb$ . Therefore  $(a, b) \sim (e, f)$ , so  $\sim$  is transitive and hence  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}_{\sim}$ . Define  $+$  and  $\cdot$  on  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}_{\sim}$  in the following way: Choose  $(a, b) \in \alpha$  and  $(c, d) \in \beta$ , and let  $\alpha + \beta = [(ad + bc, bd)]$  and  $\alpha\beta = [(ac, bd)]$ . Since  $b \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$ , and  $S$  is 0-multiplicatively cancellative,  $bd \neq 0$  and so  $\alpha + \beta, \alpha\beta \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}_{\sim}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we have that  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are well-defined.

Claim that  $(\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}_{\sim}, +, \cdot)$  is a semifield.

Let  $a \in S - \{0\}$  and let  $\alpha \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}_{\sim}$ . Choose  $(c, d) \in \alpha$ , then  $[(a, a)]\alpha = [(ac, ad)] = [(c, d)] = \alpha$  and  $[(0, a)]\alpha = [(0, ad)] = [(0, a)]$ , so  $[(a, a)]$  is the multiplicative identity and

$[(0, a)]$  is the multiplicative zero,  $\forall a \in S - \{0\}$ . Let  $\rho \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})} - \{[(0, a)] \mid a \in S - \{0\}\}$ . Choose  $(c, d) \in \rho$ , then  $[(d, c)]$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $\rho$ . Clearly the associative law, commutative law and distributive law all hold in  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$ , so we have that  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  is a semifield.

Fix  $a \in S - \{0\}$ . Define  $\theta: S \rightarrow \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  by  $\theta(s) = [(sa, a)]$   $\forall s \in S$ . Let  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Then  $\theta(s_1 + s_2) = [((s_1 + s_2)a, a)] = [(s_1a + s_2a, a)] [(a, a)] = [(s_1a^2 + s_2a^2, a^2)] = [(s_1a, a)] + [(s_2a, a)] = \theta(s_1) + \theta(s_2)$  and  $\theta(s_1s_2) = [(s_1s_2a, a)] = [(s_1s_2a^2, a^2)] = [(s_1a, a)] [(s_2a, a)] = \theta(s_1)\theta(s_2)$ . Let  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  be such that  $\theta(s_1) = \theta(s_2)$ . Then  $[(s_1a, a)] = [(s_2a, a)]$  and so  $s_1a^2 = s_2a^2$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ ,  $s_1 = s_2$ . Hence  $\theta$  is a monomorphism, so we can embed  $S$  into a semifield.

Conversely, assume that  $S$  can be embedded into a semifield  $K$ . Let  $x, y, z \in S$  be such that  $xy = xz$ . If  $x = 0$ , then we are done. Suppose that  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^{-1}xy = x^{-1}xz$ . Hence  $y = z$ . #

**Remark 3.22.** If  $S$  has a multiplicative identity 1, then  $\exists$  a canonical monomorphism from  $S$  into  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  defined by  $\theta(s) = [(s, 1)] \forall s \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.23.** If  $S$  is a semiring with multiplicative zero (0) having 0-multiplicative cancellation of order  $> 1$ , then  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  is the smallest semifield containing  $S$  up to isomorphism.

**Proof :** Let  $K$  be a semifield containing  $S$ .

Define  $\theta: \underline{K \times (K - \{0\})} \rightarrow K$  in the following way: Let

$\alpha \in \underline{K \times (K - \{0\})}$ . Choose  $(a, b) \in \alpha$  and let  $\theta(\alpha) = ab^{-1}$ . As we

already showed in the proof of Proposition 2.13, we have that  $\theta$  is well-defined and  $\theta$  is an isomorphism.

Define  $\phi : \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})} \longrightarrow \underline{K \times (K - \{0\})}$  in the following way : Let  $\alpha \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$ . Choose  $(a, b) \in \alpha$  and let  $\phi(\alpha) = [(a, b)]'$  where  $[(a, b)]'$  is the equivalence class of  $(a, b)$  in  $\underline{K \times (K - \{0\})}$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is a monomorphism. Hence  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  is isomorphic to a subsemifield of  $\underline{K \times (K - \{0\})}$ . Since  $K \cong \underline{K \times (K - \{0\})}$ , we get that  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  is isomorphic to a subsemifield of  $K$  and  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  is the smallest semifield containing  $S$  up to isomorphism. #

$\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication is an example of a semiring with 0 as multiplicative zero having 0-multiplicative cancellation. We also see that 0 is also the additive identity for this semiring.

If we extend  $+$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  with the usual addition and multiplication to  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  by  $n + 0 = 0 + n = n$  and  $0n = n0 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  is also a semiring with 0 as multiplicative and additive zero having 0-multiplicative cancellation.

**Corollary 3.24.** Let  $S$  be a semiring of order  $> 1$  with multiplicative zero (0) having 0-multiplicative cancellation. Then the following hold :

(1) If 0 is the additive identity, then the smallest semifield containing  $S$  also has 0 as the additive identity.

(2) If 0 is the additive zero then the smallest semifield containing  $S$  also has 0 as the additive zero.

Proof : From Proposition 3.23, we have that  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  up to isomorphism is the smallest semifield containing  $S$  and  $0$  corresponds with  $[(0, a)] \quad \forall a \in S - \{0\}$  in  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$ .

Let  $a \in S - \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in \underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$ . Choose  $(c, d) \in \alpha$ .

If  $0$  is the additive identity for  $S$ , then  $[(0, a)] + \alpha = [(ac, ad)] = [(c, d)] = \alpha$ . Hence  $[(0, a)]$  is the additive identity for  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  and we have (1).

If  $0$  is the additive zero for  $S$ , then  $[(0, a)] + \alpha = [(0, ad)] = [(0, a)]$ . Hence  $[(0, a)]$  is the additive zero for  $\underline{S \times (S - \{0\})}$  and we have (2). #

Now we shall determine the prime semifield of a semifield up to isomorphism.

Theorem 3.25. If  $K$  is a semifield of zero type, then the prime semifield of  $K$  is either isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  where  $p$  is a prime number or the semifield in Table 3, page 25. Furthermore if the prime semifield of  $K$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for some prime  $p$ , then  $K$  is a field by Proposition 3.12.

Proof : Let  $K'$  be the prime semifield of  $K$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then define  $nl = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$  ( $n$  times) if  $n \neq 0$  and  $nl = 0$  if  $n = 0$ , so we have  $\{nl\}_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \subseteq K'$ .

Case  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  if  $m \neq n$ , then  $ml \neq nl$ .

By Proposition 3.23,  $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{N}$  is the smallest semifield containing  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication.

And we have that  $\frac{(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{N}}{\sim} \cong \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication.

Define  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow K$  by  $\phi(n) = n1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then clearly we have that  $\phi$  is a monomorphism. Hence  $\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , so up to isomorphism  $\frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim}$  is the smallest subsemifield of  $K$  containing  $\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$ . Since  $0, 1 \in K', n1 \in K' \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Hence  $\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \subseteq K'$ , so we have that up to isomorphism  $\frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim} \subseteq K'$ . Since  $\frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim}$  is a subsemifield of  $K$ , up to isomorphism we have that  $K' \subseteq \frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim}$ . Therefore  $K' \cong \frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim}$ .

Let  $\theta : \frac{(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{N}}{\sim} \rightarrow \frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim}$  be defined in the following way: Let  $\alpha \in \frac{(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{N}}{\sim}$ . Choose  $(m, n) \in \alpha$  and let  $\theta(\alpha) = [(\phi(m), \phi(n))]$ . It is clear that  $\theta$  is well-defined and is an isomorphism. Thus  $K' \cong \frac{\phi(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \phi(\mathbb{N})}{\sim} \cong \frac{(\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{N}}{\sim} \cong \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication.

Case  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, m < n$  and  $m1 = n1$ .

Let  $m_0 = \min.\{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad n > m \text{ such that } m1 = n1\}$  and let  $n_0 = \min.\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > m_0 \text{ and } m_0 1 = n1\}$ .

(1) Suppose that  $m_0 = 1$  and  $n_0 = 2$ . Then  $1 = 1 + 1$ . Since  $0 + 1 = 1$ , we have that  $\{0, 1\}$  as in Table 3, page 25 is a subsemifield of  $K$ . Hence  $K' \cong \{0, 1\}$  as in Table 3, page 25.

(2) Assume that  $m_0 \neq 1$  or  $n_0 \neq 2$ .

(2.1) Suppose that  $m_0 \neq 1$ . Then there are two cases to consider either  $m_0 = 0$  or  $m_0 > 1$ .

If  $m_0 = 0$ , then  $n_0$  can not be 1 since  $0 \neq 1$ , so  $n_0 > 1$ . Suppose

$n_0 = 2$ , then  $0 = 1 + 1$ . Since  $0 + 1 = 1$ , we have that  $\{0, 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a subsemifield of  $K$ . So in this case we have that  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Suppose that

$n_0 > 2$ , then  $n_0 - 1 \geq 2$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $m1 \in \{n1\}_{0 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1}$  (a)

If  $m_0 > 1$ , then  $n_0 > 2$ , so  $n_0 - 1 \geq 2$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$m1 \in \{n1\}_{0 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1}$  (b)

(2.2) Suppose that  $n_0 \neq 2$ . Again  $n_0$  can not be 0 or 1, so

$n_0 > 2$ . Hence  $n_0 - 1 \geq 2$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $m1 \in \{n1\}_{0 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1}$  (c)

From (a), (b), (c), we see that in all these cases  $n_0 > 2$  and

$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $m1 \in \{n1\}_{0 \leq n \leq n_0 - 1}$ . From now on we shall assume that the cases (a), (b), (c) hold.

Let  $B = \{n1 \mid n1 \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $2 \leq |B| < \infty$ .

Let  $C = \{(n1)(m1)^{-1} \mid n1, m1 \in B\}$ . Again  $2 \leq |C| < \infty$  and  $0 \notin C$ .

Claim that  $C \cup \{0\}$  is a subsemifield of  $K$ .

We first show that if  $m_1 1, m_2 1 \in B$ , then  $(m_1 m_2) 1 \in B$ . To prove

this, we let  $m_1 1, m_2 1 \in B$ . Since  $m_1 1 \neq 0$  and  $m_2 1 \neq 0$ ,  $(m_1 1)(m_2 1) \neq 0$ .

Hence  $(m_1 m_2) 1 \neq 0$ .

Let  $(n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1}, (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} \in C$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1} + (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} &= (n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1}(m_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} + (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1}(m_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1} \\ &= ((n_1 1)(m_2 1) + (n_2 1)(m_1 1))((m_1 1)^{-1}(m_2 1)^{-1}) \\ &= ((n_1 m_2) 1 + (n_2 m_1) 1)((m_2 1)(m_1 1))^{-1} \\ &= ((n_1 m_2 + m_1 n_2) 1)((m_1 m_2) 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(n_1 m_2 + m_1 n_2) 1 = 0$ , then  $(n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1} + (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} = 0 \in C \cup \{0\}$ . If

$(n_1 m_2 + m_1 n_2) 1 \neq 0$ , then  $(n_1 m_2 + m_1 n_2) 1 \in B$  and so we have that

$(n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1} + (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} \in C$ . Since  $0 + x = x \quad \forall x \in K$ ,  $(C \cup \{0\}, +)$

is a subsemigroup of  $(K, +)$ .

Let  $(n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1}, (n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} \in C$ . Then  
 $((n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1})(n_2 1)(m_2 1)^{-1} = (n_1 1)(n_2 1)(m_1 1)^{-1}(m_2 1)^{-1} = (n_1 1)(n_2 1)((m_2 1)(m_1 1))^{-1}$   
 $= ((n_1 n_2) 1)((m_1 m_2) 1)^{-1}$ . Since  $n_1 1, n_2 1, m_1 1, m_2 1 \in B$ ,  $(m_1 m_2) 1, (n_1 n_2) 1 \in B$   
 so  $((n_1 n_2) 1)((m_1 m_2) 1)^{-1} \in C$ . Since  $(m_1 1)(n_1 1)^{-1} \in C$  and  
 $((m_1 1)(n_1 1)^{-1})(n_1 1)(m_1 1)^{-1} = 1$ , we have that  $\forall x \in C, x^{-1} \in C$ . Thus  $(C, \cdot)$   
 is a subgroup of  $(K - \{0\}, \cdot)$ . Therefore we have the claim and clearly  
 $C \cup \{0\}$  is also of zero type.

Since  $2 < |C \cup \{0\}| < \infty$ , by Theorem 3.13  $C \cup \{0\}$  is a field.  
 We have that  $K' \subseteq C \cup \{0\}$  since  $K'$  is the prime semifield of  $K$ . Since  
 $0, 1 \in K', n1 \in K' \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Hence  $B \cup \{0\} \subseteq K'$  and so  $C \cup \{0\} \subseteq K'$ . Thus  
 $K' = C \cup \{0\}$  and so  $K' \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  for some prime  $p$ .

Therefore if  $K$  is a semifield that has property (a) or (b) or (c),  
 then  $K' \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  for some prime  $p > 2$  and  $K$  is a field. #

Theorem 3.26. If  $K$  is a semifield of infinity type, then the prime  
 semifield of  $K$  is either isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  in Remark 3.7 (i) or the  
 trivial semifield of order 2 or the almost trivial semifield of order 2.

Proof : Let  $K'$  be the prime semifield of  $K$ . Since  $0 + x = 0$   
 $\forall x \in K, 0 \in A$  where  $A = \{x \in K \mid x + y = 0 \forall y \in K\}$ . Hence  $A \neq \emptyset$ . By  
 Theorem 3.9 (2), we have that either  $A = \{0\}$  or  $A = K$ .

Case  $A = K$ . Then  $1 + 1 = 0$  and we have  $0 + 1 = 0$ . Thus  $\{0, 1\}$  is the  
 trivial semifield of order 2 and the trivial semifield on  $\{0, 1\} \cong K'$ .

Case  $A = \{0\}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then define  $n1 = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$  ( $n$  times)  
 if  $n \neq 0$  and  $n1 = 0$  if  $n = 0$ . Hence we have that  $\{n1\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \subseteq K'$ .



Subcase  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  if  $m \neq n$ , then  $m1 \neq n1$ .

Let  $B = \{(n1)(m1)^{-1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then by the isomorphism  $\theta : \mathbb{Q}^+ \rightarrow B$  given by  $\theta(\frac{m}{n}) = (m1)(n1)^{-1}$  we have that  $B \cong \mathbb{Q}^+$  with the usual addition and multiplication. Since  $0 + x = 0 \quad \forall x \in B \cup \{0\}$ , we have that  $B \cup \{0\} \cong \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  as in Remark 3.7 (i). Therefore  $B \cup \{0\}$  is a subsemifield of  $K$  and so  $K' \subseteq B \cup \{0\}$  since  $K'$  is the prime semifield of  $K$ . Since  $0, 1 \in K', n1 \in K' \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Hence  $B \cup \{0\} \subseteq K'$ . Thus  $K' = B \cup \{0\} \cong \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  as in Remark 3.7 (i).

Subcase  $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, m < n$  and  $m1 = n1$ .

Let  $m_0 = \min. \{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad n > m \text{ such that } m1 = n1\}$  and let  $n_0 = \min. \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > m_0 \text{ and } m_0 1 = n1\}$ .

(1) If  $m_0 = 1$  and  $n_0 = 2$ , then  $1 + 1 = 1$  and we have that  $K' = \{0, 1\}$  with the almost trivial structure.

(2) Assume that  $m_0 \neq 1$ . There are two cases to consider, either  $m_0 = 0$  or  $m_0 > 1$ . Suppose  $m_0 = 0$ , then  $n_0 \geq 2$  since  $1 \neq 0$ . If  $n_0 = 2$ , then  $K' = \{0, 1\}$  with the trivial structure. Hence we left to consider the case  $m_0 = 0$  and  $n_0 > 2$  \_\_\_\_\_ (a)

Claim that  $\forall k \geq n_0, k1 = 0$ .

We will prove this claim by using induction on  $k \geq n_0$ . We have that  $n_0 1 = 0$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $k > n_0$  and assume that  $\forall j, n_0 \leq j < k, j1 = 0$ . Thus  $k1 = (k-1)1 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 0$ . Therefore by mathematical induction we have the claim.

Since  $n_0 \geq 3, n_0^2 \geq 3n_0$ . Hence  $n_0^2 + 1 \geq 3n_0$  and so  $n_0^2 - 2n_0 + 1 \geq n_0$ . By the claim we have that  $((n_0 - 1)1)((n_0 - 1)1) =$

$(n_0^2 - 2n_0 + 1)1 = 0$  which is a contradiction since  $(n_0 - 1)1 \in K - \{0\}$  and  $(K - \{0\}, \cdot)$  is a group. Therefore (a) cannot occur. Hence  $m_0 > 1$  and so  $n_0 > 2$ .

Let  $B = \{m1\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $2 \leq |B| < \infty$  and  $0 \notin B$ .

Let  $C = \{(m1)(n1)^{-1}\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $2 \leq |C| < \infty$  and clearly  $C$  is a P.R.D. which contradicts Theorem 2.5. Therefore the case  $m_0 > 1$  also cannot occur.

(3) From (1) and (2) we then left to consider the case  $m_0 = 1$  and  $n_0 \neq 2$ . Hence  $n_0$  cannot be 1 since  $n_0 \neq m_0$ , so  $n_0 > 2$ .

Claim that  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n1$  has no multiplicative inverse.

Suppose this claim is not true, then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n1$  has a multiplicative inverse. Let  $B = \{m1\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $2 \leq |B| < \infty$  and  $0 \notin B$ . Let  $C = \{(m1)(n1)^{-1}\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Again we have that  $2 \leq |C| < \infty$  and  $0 \notin C$ . Clearly  $C$  is a P.R.D. which contradicts Theorem 2.5. Hence we have the claim and  $\exists n' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n'1$  has no multiplicative inverse. Hence  $n'1 = 0$ . Then  $m_0 = 0$  which is a contradiction since  $m_0 = 1$ , so this case cannot occur and we have the theorem. #

Example 3.27.  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  with the usual multiplication is a group with zero 0. Let  $+$  be defined by  $x + y = \max. \{x, y\} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a semifield of zero type and  $0 + 1 = 1 + 0$ ,  $1 + 1 = 1$ , so its prime semifield is isomorphic to Table 3, page 25.

If we define  $+$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  by  $x + y = \min.\{x, y\}$   
 $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ , then we have  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a semifield of infinity  
 type and  $1 + 0 = 0 + 1 = 0$ ,  $1 + 1 = 1$ , so its prime semifield is  
 isomorphic to the almost trivial semifield of order 2.

Remark 3.28. From Theorem 3.13 we know that a finite semifield of zero  
 type of order  $> 2$  is a field. If we drop the condition that  $+$  is  
 commutative in the definition of a semifield, then we can have a finite  
 semifield of zero type of order  $> 2$  which is not a field since for any  
 abelian group  $G$  with zero and  $+$  defined by  $x + y = x$  if  $x, y \neq 0$  and  
 $x + 0 = 0 + x = x$  satisfies all the axioms of a semifield except  $+$  is  
 not commutative.

Also, if we define  $+$  by  $x + y = x$  if  $x, y \neq 0$  and  $x + 0 = 0 + x$   
 $= 0$ , then we have that  $G$  is a semifield of infinity type.

In fact, even if  $\cdot$  is not commutative then the  $+$  defined above  
 distribute over  $\cdot$  on both sides so we could get a non-commutative  
 semifield.