

## CHAPTER IV

### ANTICENTERS OF GROUPS

The materials of this chapter are drawn from references [2], [3], [7], [8], [9], [11]

In this chapter, we introduce the notion of anticenter and study some of its elementary properties. However, the main theorem is Hill's theorem which characterized the anticenters of abelian groups.

Definition 4.1. Let  $G$  be a group. The rim of  $G$ , denoted by  $R(G)$ , is defined to be the set

$$\left\{ a \in G \mid \text{for all } b \in G \text{ such that } ab = ba, [a, b] \text{ is cyclic.} \right\}.$$

The subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $R(G)$  is called the anticenter of  $G$ , denoted by  $AC(G)$ .

Let us list some elementary properties of the rim of a group  $G$ .

Property (a). The identity  $e$  of  $G$  belongs to  $R(G)$ .

Proof. For any  $b \in G$ ,  $eb = be$  and  $e, b \in [b]$ . Hence  $e \in R(G)$ .

Property (b). If an element  $a \in R(G)$ , then so is its inverse.

Proof. For any  $b \in G$  such that  $a^{-1}b = ba^{-1}$ , then

$$a(a^{-1}b)a = a(ba^{-1})a,$$

so that

$$ba = ab.$$

Since  $a \in R(G)$ ,  $a, b \in [c]$  for some  $c \in G$ , and so  $a^{-1}, b \in [c]$ . Hence  $a^{-1} \in R(G)$ .

Property (c). If an element  $a \in R(G)$ , then  $b^{-1}ab \in R(G)$  for all  $b \in G$ .

Proof. For any  $b, x \in G$  such that

$$(b^{-1}ab)x = x(b^{-1}ab),$$

then

$$b(b^{-1}ab)xb^{-1} = bx(b^{-1}ab)b^{-1},$$

so that

$$a(bxb^{-1}) = (bxb^{-1})a.$$

Since  $a \in R(G)$ ,  $a, bxb^{-1} \in [c]$  for some  $c \in G$ . Let  $a = c^m$  and  $bxb^{-1} = c^n$  for some integers  $m, n$ .

Then

$$x = b^{-1}(c^n)b = (b^{-1}cb)^n$$

and

$$b^{-1}ab = b^{-1}(c^m)b = (b^{-1}cb)^m,$$

so that  $x, b^{-1}ab \in [b^{-1}cb]$ . Hence  $b^{-1}ab \in R(G)$ .

Theorem 4.2. The anticenter of a group  $G$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Proof. Since  $R(G)$  is non-empty, by Property (a),  $AC(G)$  is non-empty.

For any element  $b \in G$ , let  $x \in b^{-1}AC(G)b$ ;

then

$$x = b^{-1}yb$$

for some  $y \in AC(G)$ . Since  $AC(G) = [R(G)]$ , it follows from property (b) that

$$y = a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$$



for some  $a_i \in R(G)$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for some } a_i \quad x &= b^{-1}yb = b^{-1}(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)b \\ &= (b^{-1}a_1 b) (b^{-1}a_2 b) \dots (b^{-1}a_k b). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from property (c) that  $x \in AC(G)$ ; i.e.,  $b^{-1}AC(G)b \subseteq AC(G)$ . Hence  $AC(G)$  is normal.

Convention. For the remainder of this thesis, group means additive abelian group.

Theorem 4.3. If  $G$  is a group, then  $AC(G) = R(G)$ .

Proof. It is clear that  $R(G) \subseteq AC(G)$ .

To prove the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show that  $R(G)$  is closed under the group operation since  $AC(G)$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $R(G)$ .

Let  $a, b$  be in  $R(G)$ . For any  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $a$  and  $b+x$  are in  $[c]$  for some  $c$  in  $G$  and also  $b$  and  $c$  are in  $[d]$  for some  $d$  in  $G$ . (Note:  $G$  is commutative). Hence we can find integers  $m, n, s$ , and  $t$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= mc, & b+x &= nc, \\ b &= sd, & c &= td. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$a+b = mc + sd = m(td) + sd = (mt + s)d$$

and

$$x = nc - b = n(td) - sd = (nt - s)d$$

so that  $a+b$  and  $x$  are in  $[d]$ . It follows that  $a+b$  is in  $R(G)$ , as to be proved.

In particular, if  $G$  is a locally cyclic group, then every pair  $x, y$  of elements of  $G$  belong to a cyclic subgroup so that  $G \subseteq R(G)$ . It then follows from Theorem 4.3 that we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.4. If  $G$  is a locally cyclic group, then  
 $AC(G) = R(G) = G$ .

Lemma 4.5. Let  $G$  be a torsion group and let

$$G = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} G_p$$

be the  $p$ -primary decomposition of  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of prime numbers (Cf. Theorem 3.2). Then for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$G_p \cap AC(G) = AC(G_p).$$

Proof. Let  $x$  be any non-zero element in  $G_p \cap AC(G)$ . For any  $y$  in  $G_p$ , the subgroup  $[x, y]$  of  $G$  generated by  $x$  and  $y$  is contained in  $G_p$  since both  $x, y \in G_p$ . But  $x \in AC(G)$  so that  $[x, y]$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $G_p$ . Hence  $x \in AC(G_p)$ , and  $G_p \cap AC(G) \subseteq AC(G_p)$ .

Conversely, let  $g$  be any non-zero element of  $AC(G_p)$ .

For any non-zero  $y$  in  $G$ ,

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i,$$

where  $y_i \in G_{p_i}$  with the order  $O(y_i) = p_i^{r_i}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Let

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{r_i};$$

we will show that  $m = O(y)$ , the order of  $y$ . Since  $my = 0$ ,  $O(y)$  divides  $m$ . On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n O(y)y_i = O(y)y = 0$$

and  $G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$  is a direct sum, we have

$$O(y)y_i = 0$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence  $O(y_i)$  divides  $O(y)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , so that  $m$  divides  $O(y)$ . It now follows that  $m = O(y)$ .

$$\text{Let } O(g) = p^t.$$

If  $p$  is not in  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ , then the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $p^t$ ,  $(m, p^t)$ , is 1 so that

$$\alpha m + \beta p^t = 1$$

for some non-zero integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Then

$$\alpha my + \beta p^t y = y$$

and

$$\alpha mg + \beta p^t g = g,$$

so that

$$\beta p^t y = y$$

and

$$\alpha mg = g.$$

Let  $c = \alpha g + \beta y$ ; then  $c$  is in  $G$ . We have

$$mc = \alpha mg + \beta my = g$$

and

$$p^t c = \alpha p^t g + \beta p^t y = y,$$

and hence  $g$  and  $y$  belong to the cyclic subgroup  $[c]$  of  $G$ . Thus  $g$  is in  $AC(G)$ .

If  $p$  is in  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ , say  $p = p_1$ ,

then  $g$  and  $y_1$  belong to a cyclic subgroup  $[c_p]$  of  $G_p$ .

By the above case,  $c_p$  and  $\sum_{i=2}^n y_i$  belong to a cyclic subgroup  $[z]$  of  $G$ . We have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n y_i = \alpha z$$

and

$$c_p = \beta z$$

for some integers  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and, therefore,

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = y_1 + \alpha z = sc_p + \alpha z$$

for some integer  $s$ , so that

$$y = s(\beta z) + \alpha z = (s\beta + \alpha)z.$$

Thus  $g$  and  $y$  are in  $[z]$ , and hence  $g$  is in  $AC(G)$ .

Hence, in any case, we have  $g$  is in  $AC(G)$ .

Since  $AC(G_p) \subseteq G_p$ ,  $g$  is in  $G_p \cap AC(G)$ .

Now the lemma is completely proved.

Lemma 4.6. Let  $G$  be a torsion group and

$$G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$$

be its  $p$ -primary decomposition. Then

$$AC(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} AC(G_p).$$

Proof. Since  $AC(G)$  is clearly torsion, we have

$$AC(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} [AC(G)]_p$$

by Theorem 3.2. But

$$[\text{AC}(G)]_p = \text{AC}(G) \cap G_p$$

so that

$$[\text{AC}(G)]_p = \text{AC}(G_p)$$

by Lemma 4.5 and the lemma followed .

Definition 4.7. Let  $A$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  .  $A$  is said to be a direct summand of  $G$  if there exists a subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  such that  $G = A \oplus B$  .

Lemma 4.8. Let  $G$  be a decomposable  $p$ -group , for some prime  $p$  . Then no two elements , different from  $0$  , from distinct summands (of the same direct sum decomposition) of  $G$  can belong to a common cyclic subgroup of  $G$  .

Proof. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be distinct summands of  $G$  and  $G = A \oplus B \oplus C$  .

Suppose that there exist non-zero elements  $a$  in  $A$  ,  $b$  in  $B$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are in a cyclic subgroup  $[g]$  of  $G$  . Then

$$g = a' + b' + c$$

for some  $a'$  in  $A$  ,  $b'$  in  $B$  and  $c$  in  $C$  . Since  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $[g]$  ,

$$a = mg$$

and

$$b = ng$$

for some non-zero integers  $m$  ,  $n$  , so that

$$a = m(a' + b' + c) = ma' + mb' + mc$$

and

$$b = n(a' + b' + c) = na' + nb' + nc .$$

Since  $a$  is in  $A$ ,  $b$  is in  $B$  and  $A, B$  are distinct summands of  $G$ ,

$$a = ma'$$

and

$$b = nb'$$

Then  $mb' = 0$  and  $na' = 0$ , and hence the order of  $b'$ ,  $O(b')$ , divides  $m$  and  $O(a')$  divides  $n$ .

If  $O(a') = O(b')$ , then  $a = 0 = b$ , contradicting the choice of  $a$  and  $b$ .

If  $O(a') \neq O(b')$ , then we may assume that  $O(a') < O(b')$ . Since both  $O(a')$  and  $O(b')$  are powers of the prime  $p$ ,  $O(a')$  divides  $m$  also, and so  $a = 0$ , contradicting the choice of  $a$ .

Hence, in any case, we have a contradiction and, therefore, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.9. If  $G$  is a group and if  $AC(G) \neq 0$ , then  $G$  is either torsion or torsion-free.

Proof. Since  $AC(G) \neq 0$ , there exists a non-zero  $g$  in  $AC(G)$ . For any  $x$  in  $G$ ,  $g$  and  $x$  generate a cyclic subgroup  $[c]$  of  $G$ .

If the order of  $g$  is finite, then  $[c]$  is the finite cyclic subgroup of  $G$ , by Lemma 3.7 and, therefore, the order of  $x$  is finite, by Lemma 3.8.

If the order of  $g$  is infinite, then  $[c]$  is the infinite cyclic subgroup of  $G$ , by Lemma 3.8 and, therefore, the order of  $x$  is infinite, by Lemma 3.7.

Hence  $G$  is either torsion or torsion-free.

We are now in position to prove Hill's theorem.

Theorem 4.10. Let  $G$  be a non-zero group. Suppose  $AC(G) \neq 0$ . Then either



(a)  $G$  is torsion-free and , in this case ,  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive abelian of the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  , and therefore  $AC(G) = G$  . or

(b)  $G$  is torsion and , in this case ,

$$AC(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} AC(G_p) ,$$

where  $G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$  is the  $p$ -primary decomposition and where

$$AC(G_p) = \begin{cases} G_p & \text{if } G_p \text{ is cyclic or of type } p^\infty . \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since  $AC(G) \neq 0$  ,  $G$  is either torsion or torsion-free , by Lemma 4.9 .

(a) Suppose that  $G$  is torsion-free . Choose a non-zero  $g$  in  $AC(G)$  , for any non-zero  $x$  in  $G$  ,  $g$  and  $x$  generate a cyclic subgroup  $[c]$  of  $G$  . Then there exist non-zero integers  $m$  ,  $n$  such that

$$g = mc$$

and

$$x = nc ,$$

so that

$$mx = ng .$$

Hence , for any non-zero  $x$  in  $G$  , there exist non-zero integers  $m$  ,  $n$  such that  $mx = ng$  . Define

$$\varphi(x) = n/m$$

for non-zero  $x$  in  $G$  and

$$\varphi(0) = 0 .$$

By the same proof in Lemma 3.10 ,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $G$  onto an additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  .

Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is locally cyclic and a subgroup of locally cyclic group is locally cyclic , a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  coincides with its anticenter , by Corollary 4.4 so that  $AC(G) = G$  .

(b) If  $G$  is torsion , then

$$G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of all prime numbers , by Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 4.6 ,

$$AC(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} AC(G_p) .$$

If  $G_q$  is decomposable for some prime  $q$  , let  $G_q = A \oplus B$  be a decomposition of  $G_q$  into non-zero summands . If  $AC(G_q) \neq 0$  , then there exists a non-zero  $g$  in  $AC(G_q)$  such that

$$g = a + b$$

for some  $a$  in  $A$  ,  $b$  in  $B$  . Suppose neither  $a$  nor  $b$  is  $0$  . Then  $g$  and  $a$  generate a cyclic subgroup  $[c_q]$  of  $G_q$  and , therefore ,

$$g - a = b$$

is in  $[c_q]$  , contradicting Lemma 4.8 . Suppose one of the  $a$  and  $b$  , say  $b$  , is  $0$  . Then the subgroup generate by  $g = a$  and any non-zero element in  $B$  is cyclic , which leads to a contradiction as before . Now , in any case , we have a contradiction , and hence  $AC(G_q) = 0$  . Thus , if  $G_q$  is decomposable , then  $AC(G_q) = 0$  .

If  $G_p$  is indecomposable for some prime  $p$  , then  $G_p$  is locally cyclic , by Lemma 3.5 , and hence it coincides with its anticenter , by Corollary 4.4 . Since  $G_p$  is cyclic or of type  $p^\infty$  if and only if  $G_p$  is indecomposable , (cf. [8]) ,  $AC(G_p) = G_p$  .

Hence  $AC(G) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} AC(G_p)$ , where  $AC(G_p) = G_p$  if  $G_p$  is cyclic or of type  $p^\infty$ , and in all other cases  $AC(G_p) = 0$ .

The theorem is completely proved.