#### CHAPTER 5

#### CONCLUSION

#### SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

#### 1. Partial Equilibrium Approach of the Theory of Location

(i) Based on the conceptual framework of classical and neoclassical economists, Johan Weinrich Von Thuren and Alfred Weber originated the least cost theory approach related to the economics of location. The purpose of the two is to find out the least cost site of the production unit. The mainsteam of the theory covers the variation of transportation cost at each determined location; the most favoured location is at the site of minimum transportation cost. At this minimum transportation cost point, the production unit can maximize profit due to the assumption of equal prices throughtout the system.

The weakness of this theory is that the factor of demand has been abstracted. Variations in demand will obviously affect the profit-maximization problem. The least cost site may not provide the maximum profit level. Thuren's and Weber's theories are correct only in the special case where demand is a spatial constant.

(ii) To be more effective, Palander, Hoover and Losch introduced another type of locational theory related to the market

area analysis. The theory is based on the assumption of monopolistic competition were identical production costs at all locations. Transportation cost is the most significant factor of the market area analysis, playing a decisive role as a determinant of the market share. Profit depends on the magnitude of the market share held by the producer. In short, the spatial pattern of plant location and market area is thus a product of variation from place to place in demand and the locational interdependence of firms. The weakness of the market area theory is the abstraction of the production cost variation.

(iii) To study the effect of locational variation, Harold

Hotelling approached the problem of locational interdepence by postulating
the following assumptions (a) production costs are equal everywhere

(b) for each unit of distance, freight rates are equal everywhere,

(c) the consumer pays transport costs because goods are sold on an f.o.b.

price basis and (â) there is a limit on demand. With these assumptions,
the considerations are given to the inter-relationship of dynamic location
and market share of product (demand) under monopolistic competition.

## 2. General Theory Approach of the Problem of Location

The conceptual framework of classical and neo-classical general theory completely neglects the locational factor. Andrea Predohl, August Losch, Melvin Greenhunt and Walter Isard have attempted to modify general equilibrium analysis as a basis for developing a general theory of location.

Todate, not much success has been achieved in the construction of a satisfactory general theory. This is not surprising when one bears in mind that such a theory must satisfy two conflicting requirements. The first requirement is that the spatial element must be successfully integrated into a dynamic general equilibrium model. The second requirement is that such a model must be operational. It is clear that, given the present state of the arts, such objectives are not yet attainable.

Because of these insurmountable difficulties, interest among scholars and practitioners have in recent years shifted to the development of macro-analysis in the regional criteria. The field of regional economics appears to have a much greater promise of providing analytical tools which are operational and can be very useful for policy decision-making.

## 3. Analysis of the Cement Industry in Thailand

analyzed using the Weberian framework of analysis. The results clearly shows, as is to be expected, that the industry is heavily material-oriented. Raw material costs, which include the costs of transportation, proved to be of decisive importance. Labor costs are only of secondary importance. Furthermore, because of the fairly fixed capital output ratios at the plants studied, cost variations can be accounted for largely by variations in material costs. This result indicates that the advantage of one plant over another plant should stem from a difference in material cost rather than from variations in the amount of materials consumed or in labor costs.

In conclusion, it may be said that the result of our analysis tends to support the traditional view concerning the desirability of locating cement plants near sources of material deposits. This view may be at variance with that of some writers who hold that the modern trend is to locate nearer major markets rather than new material sources. In this study, it has not been found possible to determine conclusively the causes of these different conclusions. However, it appears that a likely explanation would be found in the different market structures of various countries concerned. Where the market is dominated by a few major consumption centers characterized by intense competition among a large number of suppliers, it may indeed be more advantageous to locate nearer to the major markets. Such location would reduce both delivery time and inventory costs. However, in a situation

where demand is more diffused and there are few suppliers, such as in the case of Thailand, theme factors would tend to be of less significance so that it would still be more advantageous to locate near sources of materials as shown by our analysis.

# 4. The Impact of the Cement Industry on the Local Economies

The impact of the location of cement plants on the local economies was examined by analyzing the consumption patterns at Ban Morr and Takli. Field data were obtained by the use of questionnaires supplemented by interviews where appropriate. The results indicate that both plants have had considerable impact on the economy of the localities concerned.

In terms of employment, both Ban Morr and Takli showed a rapid rate of increase in the employed labor force from the time of plant location as well as during periods of plant expansion.

Income of workers at both plants was higher than the average in other regions as well as the average for other towns in the regions converned. The higher levels of income also led to higher expenditures with a larger proportion spent on discretionary expenditure items.

Most of the expenditures of cement workers were made locally with the place where the factories were located and the central cities of the changwats concerned accounting for a major share of the expenditures. This indicates that the income generated by the employment of cement workers has resulted in a considerable stimulus to the local economies. However, the expenditure patterns at Ban Morr and Takli showed a marked difference. At Takli, almost 96% of all expenditures was made in Takli where the plant was located, while

only 53% was expended locally at Ban Morr. This difference indicates that the location of a cement plant near a major town will have significantly more impact for the growth of the local economy than in the case of locating near smaller urban center.

### APPENDIX I

### Questionnaire for Cement Workers

		Location of Plant	
		Company	_
I. E	Background of Workers		
	. Name	Second Name	
2	. Marriage Status	Son Daughter	
3	. Age Educa	ation	
4	. Sex		
5	. Past Profession		
6	. Present Status		
7	. Job Obligation		
8	. Date of Recruitment		
II.	Income		
1	. Wage and Salary		
	A. Rate per hour		
	B. Rate per day		
	C. Rate per Week		
	D. Rate per month		
2	. Total Income (included par	ert-time, bonus, security payment )	_
3	. Number of working day per	month	
4	. Number of working hour per	er day	
5	25-	lly	
6	. If it is occasional; what	is your permanent job	
7	. Do you open any account in	n banks	

# Household Expenditure of Cement Workers in 1971

Items Amount Place of Payment Notification

- 1. Food and Beverage
- 2. Clothing and Materials
- 3. Housing and Furnishing
- 4. Household operation
- 5. Medical and Personal Care
- 6. Transportation
- 7. Reading, Recreation and Education
- 8. Tobacco and Alcoholic Drinks
- 9. Contribution
- 10. Gifts
- 11. Miscellaneous

# APPENDIX II\*

## Questionnaire for Cement Company

I.	Ba	ckground of Cement Company	
	1.	Name of the Company	Jalaprathan Cement Co., Ltd.
	2.	Number of Plant	2 plants
	3.	Location of Plant	Takli and Cha-Am
			40 million baht
			518 persons
II.	Pr	oductivity and Process at	Takli cement plant
	1.	Total Output per day	1,000 tons
	2.	Number of kiln and size	2 kiln, each about 500 ton/day
34			Somi-dry process
	4.	Classification of output.	
		1)aga brand normal	portland cement
			ate heat portland cement
			ardening portland
			sulphate resistance portland cement
			al portland cement

<sup>\*</sup>In the Appendix II, the survey data of a certain cement plant has been completed for illustration by the form of questionnaire.

III. Wage and Salary since 1961 - 1971

	Direct Labo	r Cost	Indirect Lab	or Cost	Part-time	Bonus	Security
Tear	No.of Person	Value Bt	No.of Person	Value 84	Value 8t	Value Bi	Payment
1961	80	2,400,000	156	330,000	1,656,000	432,450	30,000
1962	173	2,448,600	180	285,000	1,658,000	665,650	30,000
1963	169	2,668,700	190	287,900	1,792,000	877,700	30,000
1964	185	3,451,800	237	290,100	2,260,000	1,060,000	30,000
1965	166	4,937,800	187	297,600	2,908,000	1,042,000	30,000
1966	213	6,602,000	197	416,200	3,159,000	1,175,000	32,000
1967	319	8,033,200	212	450,000	4,230,000	1,259,520	32,000
1968	339	8,969,000	215	331,000	4,580,000	1,428,400	35,000
1969	361	8,882,000	215	387,700	5,560,000	1,490,000	65,000
1970	359	8,935,900	231	221,500	5,535,000	1,720,000	69,000
1971	339 .:	8,890,000	161	338,000	5,530,000	1,795,920	69,000

# IV. Market of Cement Product and Price Level 1961 - 1971

in central part

Year	Deliveried Price	Transfer Cost	Transit Charge	Market Price
1961	504	55	5	520
1962	504	55	5	520
1963	. 504	55	5	520
1964	504	55	5	520
1965	500	55	5	518
1966	470	. 55	5	510
1967	470	55	5	510·
1968	450	50	5	510
1969	450	50	5	510
1970	508	50	5	510
1971	508	50	5	510

Value:

Baht per ton

Transfer Cost:

Ton

Transit Charge:

Ton

Deliveried Price: Net mill price plus transfer cost and transit charge

# 2. Market ... in northern part

Year	Deliveried P	rice	Transfer Cost	Transit Charge	Market Price
1961	564		60	5	580
1962	564		60	5	580
1963	564		60	5	580
1964	564	101	60	5	580
1965	560		60	5	578
1966	558		60	5	578
1967	558		60	5	578
1968	550		58	5	560
1969	550		58	5	560
1970	553		55	5	565
1971	55 <b>3</b>		55	5	565

Value:

Baht per ton

Transfer Cost:

Ton

Transit Charge:

Ton

Deliveried Price: Net mill price plus transfer cost and transit charge

3. Market ....north-east part

Year	Deliveried Price	Transfer Cost	Transit Charge	Market Price
		1.0	5	570
1961	550	40	)	370
1962	550	40	5	570 .
1963	550	40	5	570
1964	550	40	5	570
1965	550	40	5	570
1966	548	40	5	570
1967	548	40	5	570
1968	540	40	5	565
1969	540	40	5	565
1970	539	40	5	550
1971	539	$\iota_{+O}$	5	550

Value:

Baht per ton

Transfer Cost:

Ton

Transit Charge:

Ton

Deliveried Price: Net mill price plus transfer cost and transit charge

# V. Locating Plant

1.	The	dec	ision making in choosing the plant locati	ion
		Α.	Administrative Committee	
		В.	Advisory Board	
		С.	Others	• • •
2.	The	fol	lowing items are the factors effect the p	plant location,
	ple	ase :	list the priority accordance to your opp	inion.
		(1)	Source of Material	
		(5)	Fuel	
		(4)	Labour	
		(6)	Wage Rate	
		(7)	Market	
		(3)	Transfer Cost	
		(8)	Electric Power	
		(9)	Transportation of Equipment	

(2) Procurement Cost

APPEDIX III
Size of Industry and Location 1972: The Whole Kingdom.

No.	Chang Wat	Small Size	Medium Size	Large Size
		The second		
1	Bangkok - Thonburi	14,300	817	114
2	Krabi	292	8	-
3	Kalasin	755	3	-
. 4	Kanchana Buri	390	16	6
5	Kumphang Phet	365	3	-
6	Khon Khan	1,601	38	3
7	Chan Thaburi	991	11	
8	Chachoengsao	494	11	3
9	Chai Nat	287	6	-
10	Chum Phon	49	10	-
11	Chaiyaphum	1,319	5	-
12	Chiang Mai	1,438	25	1
13	Chon Buri	1,088	38	11
14	Chiang Rai	2,233	15	
15	Tak	339	2	
16	Trat	186	6	-
17	Trang	546	. 22	2
18	Nan	825	1	-
19	Nontha Buri	251	38	15
20	Nakhon Sithamaraj	1,600	15	2
21	Nakhon Rajasima	2,087	34	. 8
22	Nakhon Nayok	114	3	-
23	Nakhon Pathom	484	40	7

No.	Chang Wat	Small Size	Medium Size	Large Size
24	Narathiwat	714	12	2
		944	2	
25	Nakhon Sawan	598	6	3
26	Nakhon Sawan	2000	11	
27	Buri Ram	1,324	21	15
28	Pathum Thani	251		
29	Prachin Buri	280	15	
30	Prachuapkhiri Khan	121	13	1
31	Pattani	628	. 11	
32	Phichit	578	7	-
33	Panga	138	3	•
34	Phet Chaboon	671	4	
35	Phet Chaburi	432	.4	2
36	Ayuthaya	359	14	7
37	Phrae	770	8	-
38	Bhitsanulok	964	4	-
39	Phattalung	872	1	-
40	Phuket	77	6	-
41	Maha Sarakham	980		-
42	Mae Hong Son	145	3	-
43	Yala	265	13	_
44	Roi Ed	1,449	2	-
45	Rat Cha Buri	688	26	8
46	Ranong	88	10	2
47	Rayong	792	28	1

No.	Chang Wat	Small Size	Medium <b>S</b> ize	Large Size
	10			
48	Loei	594	13	<del>-</del>
49	Lop Buri	152	9	-
50	Lum Poon	464	8	-
51	Lum Pang	1,235	11	2
52	Sisakat	830	10	1
53	Sukho Thai	760	9	1
54	Samut Songkhram	167	7	_
.55	Samut Sakhon	183	39	15
56	Surat Thani	867	11	-
57	Saraburi	392	16	9
58	Suphanburi	540	10	_
59	Songkhla	1,146	28	1
60	Smut Prakan	655	287	121
61	Satool	232	6	-17
62	Skol Nakhon	1,293	4	-
63	Sing Buri	168	5	-
64	Surin	1,044	8	-
65	Nong Khai	826	12	
66	Ang Thong	226	2	<u>-</u>
67	U Thai Thani	196	1	
68	Uttaradhit	1,131	5	_
69	Ubol Ratchathani	2,124	28	_
70	Udon Thani	1,181	25	3
	Total	62,910	1,945	370

- Note S.S. = Capital Investment less than 1 million Baht
  - M.S. = Capital Investment not below 1 million Baht but not over 10 million Baht
  - L.S. = Capital Investment over 10 million Baht.