

REFERENCES

- Andrea, J.E., Adachi, K., and Morgan, A.R. (1991). Fluorometric assays for DNA Topoisomerases and Topoisomerase-Targeted Drugs: Quantitation of Catalytic Activity and DNA Cleavage. **Molecular Pharmacology** 40: 495-501.
- Bailly, C. (2000). Topoisomerase I Poisons and Suppressors as Anticancer Drugs. **Current Medicinal Chemistry** 7: 39-58.
- Bailly, C. (2001). DNA relaxation and cleavage assays to study topoisomerase I inhibitors. **Methods in Enzymology** 340:610-623.
- Berry, D., Lynn, D.M., Sasisekharan, R., and Langer, R. (2004). Poly(β -amino ester)s promote cellular uptake of heparin and cancer cell death. **Chemistry & Biology** 11: 487-498.
- Boege, F., Straub, T., Kehr, A., Boesenberg, C., Christiansen, K., Andersen, A., Jakob, F., and Kohrle, J. (1996). Selected Novel Flavones Inhibit the DNA Binding or the DNA Religation Step of Eukaryotic Topoisomerase I. **Journal of Biological Chemistry** 271(4): 2262-2270.
- Champoux, J.J. (2001). DNA topoisomerase I-mediated nicking of circular duplex DNA. **Methods in Molecular Biology** 95: 81-87.
- Chen, A.Y., Yu, C., Bodley, A., Peng, L.F., and Liu, L.F. (1993). A new mammalian DNA topoisomerase I poison Hoechst 33342: cytotoxicity and drug resistance in human cell cultures. **Cancer Research** 53(6): 1332-1337.
- Cheng, B., Liu, I.F., and Tse-Dinh, Y.C. (2007). Compounds with antimicrobial activity that enhance DNA cleavage by bacterial DNA topoisomerase I. **Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy** 59: 640-645.
- Cortés, F., Pastor, N., Mateos, S., Domínguez, I. (2003). Roles of DNA topoisomerases in chromosome segregation and mitosis. **Mutation Research** 543(1): 59-66.
- Das, B.B., Sen, N., Roy, A., Dasgupta, S.B., Ganguly, A., Mohanta, B.C., Dinda, B., and Majumder, H.K. (2006). Differential induction of *Leishmania donovani* bi-subunit topoisomerase I-DNA cleavage complex by selected flavones and camptothecin: activity of flavones against camptothecin-resistant topoisomerase I. **Nucleic Acids Research** 34(4): 1121-1132.
- Eli Lilly and Company and NIH Chemical Genomics Center. (2008). **Assay Guidance Manual Version 5.0** [Online] Available from: http://www.ncgc.nih.gov/guidance/manual_toc.html [2008, March 14]
- Foglesong, P.D. (1989). Fluorometric Methods Employing Low Concentrations of Ethidium Bromide for DNA Topoisomerase and Endonuclease Assay. **Analytical Biochemistry** 182: 284-288.

- Frydman, B., Marton, L.J., Sun, J.S., Neder, K., Witiak, D.T., Liu, A.A., Wang, H.M., Mao, Y., Wu, H.Y., Sanders, M.M., and Liu, L.F. (1997). Induction of DNA topoisomerase II-mediated DNA cleavage by β -lapachone and related naphthoquinones. **Cancer Research** 57: 620-627.
- Haque, K.A., Pfeiffer, R.M., Beerman, M.B., Struewing, J.P., Chanock, S.J., and Bergan, A.W. (2003). Performance of high-throughput DNA quantitation methods. **BMC Biotechnology** 3: 20.
- Holden, J.A. (2001). DNA topoisomerases as anticancer drug targets: from the laboratory to the clinic. **Current Medicinal Chemistry-Anti-Cancer Agents** 1: 1-25.
- Holy, J., Lamont, G., and Perkins, A. (2006). Disruption of nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of cyclin D1 and topoisomerase II by sanguinarine. **BMC Cell Biology** 7(13).
- Hwang, Y., Rhodes, D., and Bushman, F. (2000). Rapid microtiter assays for poxvirus topoisomerase, mammalian type IB topoisomerase and HIV-1 integrase: application to inhibitor isolation. **Nucleic Acids Research** 28(24): 4884-4892.
- Ishii, K., Futaki, S., Uchiyama, H., Nagasawa, K., and Andoh, T. (1987). Mechanism of inhibition of mammalian DNA topoisomerase I by heparin. **Biochemical Journal** 241: 111-119.
- Jansen, O., Akhmedjanova, V., Anganot, L., Balansard, G., Chariot, A., Ollivier, E., Tits, M., and Frederich, M. (2006). Screening of 14 alkaloids isolated from *Haplophyllum* A. Juss. for their cytotoxic properties. **Journal of Ethnopharmacology** 105: 241-245.
- Kaminsky, V.O., Lin, K.W., Filyak, Y., and Stoika, R. (2008). Differential effect of sanguinarine, chelerythrine and chelidonine on DNA damage and cell viability in primary mouse spleen cells and mouse leukemic cells. **Cell Biology International** 32:271-277.
- Kaminsky, V.O., Lootsik, M.D., and Stoika R.S. (2006). Correlation of the cytotoxic activity of four different alkaloids, from *Chelidonium majus* (greater celandine), with their DNA intercalating properties and ability to induce breaks in the DNA of NK/Ly murine lymphoma cells. **Central European Journal of Biology** 1(1): 2-15.
- Keller, W. (1975). Characterization of purified DNA-relaxing enzyme from human tissue culture cells. **Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America** 72(7): 2550-2554.
- Koba, M., Szostek, A., and Konopa, J. (2007). Limitation of usage of PicoGreen dye in quantitative assays of doublestranded DNA in the presence of intercalating compounds. **Acta Biochimica Polonica** 54(4): 886-886.
- Kwon, K., Nagarajan, R., and Stivers, J.T. (2004). Ribonuclease Activity of Vaccinia DNA Topoisomerase IB: Kinetic and High-Throughput Inhibition Studies

- Using a Robust Continuous Fluorescence Assay. **Biochemistry** 43: 14994-15004.
- Lee, J.S., and Morgan, A.R. (1978). A rapid method for the measurement of the unwinding angle of intercalating agents and the superhelix density of circular DNAs. **Nucleic Acids Research** 5(7): 2425-2439.
- Levy, S.B., and Marshall, B. (2004). Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. **Nature Medicine** 10(12): S122-129.
- Lopez-Lazaro, M., Martin-Cordero, C., Toro, M.V., and AYUSO, M.J. (2002). Flavonoids as DNA topoisomerase I poisons. **Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry** 17(1): 25-29.
- Mann, J.(2002). Natural products in cancer chemotherapy: past, present and future. **Nature Reviews Cancer** 2: 143-148.
- Minocha, A., and Long, B.H. (1984). Inhibition of the DNA catenation activity of type II topoisomerase by VP16-213 and VM26. **Biochemical and biophysical research communications** 122 (1): 165-170.
- Molley, M.J., Hall, V.S., Bailey, S.I., Griffin, K.J., Faulkner, J., and Uden, M. (2004). Effective and robust plasmid topology analysis and the subsequent characterization of the plasmid isoforms thereby observed. **Nucleic Acids Research** 32: e129.
- Nabiev, I., Chourpa, I., Riou, J.F., Nguyen, C.H., Lavelle, F., and Manfait, M. (1994). Molecular interactions of DNA-topoisomerase I and II inhibitor with DNA and topoisomerases and in ternary complexes: binding modes and biological effects for intoplicine derivatives. **Biochemistry** 33: 901 3-9023.
- Raucci, G., Maggi, C.A., and Parente, D., (2000). Capillary electrophoresis of supercoiled DNA molecules: Parameters governing the resolution of topoisomers and their separation from open forms. **Analytic Chemistry** 72(4): 821-826.
- Parchment, R.E., and Pessina, A. (1999). Topoisomerase I inhibitors and drug resistance. **Cytotechnology** 27: 149-164.
- Pommier, Y., Pourquier, P., Fan, Y., and Strumberg, D. (1998). Mechanism of action of eukariotic DNA topoisomerase I and drug targeted to the enzyme. **Biochimica et Biophysica Acta** 1400: 83-106.
- Prosperi, E., Giangarè M.C., and Bottiroli G. DNA stainability with base-specific fluorochromes: dependence on the DNA topology in situ. **Histochemistry** 102:123-128.
- Rengarajan, K., Cristol, S.M., Mehta, M., and Nickerson, J.M. (2002). Quantifying DNA concentrations using fluorometry: A comparison of fluorophores. **Molecular Vision** 8: 416-421.
- Roberts, T.G. Jr. (2005). Timeline: Chemotherapy and the war on cancer. **Nature Reviews Cancer** 5: 65-72.

- Rock, C., Shamlou, P.A., and Levy, M.S. (2003). An automated microplate-based method for monitoring DNA strand breaks in plasmids and bacterial artificial chromosomes. **Nucleic Acids Research** 31(11): e65.
- Sandhu, L.C., Warters, R.L., and Dethlefsen, L.A. (1985). Fluorescence studies of Hoechst 33342 with supercoiled and Relaxed plasmid pBR322 DNA. **Cytometry** 6: 191-194.
- Shoemaker, R.H., Scudiero, D.A., Melillo, G., Currens, M.J., Monks, A.P., Rabow, A.A., Covell, D.G., and Sausville, E.A. (2002). Application of high-throughput, molecular-targeted screening to anticancer drug discovery. **Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry** 2: 229-246.
- Singer, V.L., Jones, J.L., Yue, S.T., and Haugland, R.P. (1997). Characterization of Picogreen reagent and development of a fluorescence-based solution assay for double-stranded DNA quantitation. **Analytical Biochemistry** 249: 228-238.
- Stewart, L., and Champoux, J.J. (2001). Assay DNA topoisomerase I relaxation activity. **Methods in Molecular Biology** 95: 1-11.
- Takimoto, C.H., Wright, J., and Arbusk, S.G. (1998). Clinical applications of the camptothecins. **Biochimica et Biophysica Acta** 1400: 107-119.
- Tewey, K.M., Chen, G.L., Nelson, E.M., and Liu, L.F. Intercalative antitumor drugs interfere with the breakage-reunion reaction of mammalian DNA topoisomerase II. **Journal of Biological Chemistry** 259(14): 9182-9187.
- Topcu, Z. (2001). DNA topoisomerases as targets for anticancer drugs. **Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics** 26: 405-416.
- Umemura, K., Yanase, K., Suzuki, M., Okutani, K., Yamori, T., and Andoh, T. Inhibition of DNA topoisomerases I and II, and growth inhibition of human cancer cell lines by a marine microalgal polysaccharide. **Biochemical Pharmacology** 66: 481-487.
- Wang, J.C. (2002). Cellular roles of DNA topoisomerases: a molecular perspective. **Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology** 3: 430-440.
- Webb, M.R., and Ebeler, S.E. (2003). A gel electrophoresis assay for the simultaneous determination of topoisomerase I inhibition and DNA intercalation. **Analytical Biochemistry** 321: 22-30.
- Wirasathien, L., Boonarkart, C., Pengsuparp, T., and Suttisri, R. (2006). Biological Activities of Alkaloids from *Pseuduvaria setosa*. **Pharmaceutical Biology** 44(4): 274-278.
- Zhang, H., Gu, Q., Liang, X., and Pan, Y. (2004). Screening for topoisomerase I binding compounds by high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. **Analytical Biochemistry** 329: 173-179.
- Zhang, J.H., Chung, T.D.Y., and Oldenburg, K.R. (1999). A simple statistic parameter for use in evaluation and validation of high throughput screening assays. **Journal of Biomolecular Screening** 4(2): 67-73.

- Zhou, P., Yu, S., Liu, Z., Hu, J., and Deng, Y. (2005). Electrophoretic separation of DNA using a new matrix in uncoated capillaries. **Journal of Chromatography A** 1083: 173–178.
- Zipper, H., Brunner, H., Bernhagen, J., and Vitzthum, F. (2004). Investigation on DNA intercalation and surface binding by SYBR Green I, its structure determination and methodological implications. **Nucleic Acids Research** 32(12):e103.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

PREPARATION OF REAGENTS

Storage buffer

50 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01 mM EDTA Triplex II[®], 2 mM DTT, 50%v/v glycerol and 0.1% w/v TritonX-100.

5X TBE buffer + 0.5% SDS

To make 1 liter of 5X TBE buffer + 0.5% SDS, 53.91 gm Tris base (890 mM), 27.51 gm boric acid (890 mM), 2.92 gm EDTA Triplex II[®] (20 mM) and 5.00 gm SDS (0.5%) were dissolved with continuously stirring. The solution was adjusted to 1,000 ml with deionized distilled water. The final 1X TBE buffer + 0.1% SDS was 5 fold dilution of the concentrated stock.

10X TE buffer

To make 100 ml of 1X TE buffer, 1.21 gm Tris base (100 mM) and 0.29 gm EDTA Triplex II[®] (10 mM), were dissolved with continuously stirring. The solution was adjusted to 100 ml with deionized distilled water. The final 1X TE buffer is 10 fold dilution of the concentrated stock.

TEN buffer

To make 100 ml of 1X TEN buffer, 1.17 gm NaCl (0.2 M) was dissolved with 1X TE buffer and adjusted to 100 ml.

Loading buffer

2% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue, 15% glycerol and adjusted volume by 1X TBE buffer.

Hoechst 33258 stock solution

10 mg/ml Hoechst 33258 was dissolved in deionized distilled water and stored at -20°C for 6 month.

Hoechst 33342 stock solution

10 mg/ml Hoechst 33342 was dissolved in deionized distilled water and stored at -20°C for 6 month.

Proteinase K

1 mg/ml proteinase K was dissolved in 1X TE buffer and store in 4°C for 1 month.

APPENDIX B

TABLES OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Table 9 The fluorescence intensity of dyes in various conditions

Dyes	Conc.	Fluorescence Intensity			
		Buffer of dye solution	Enzyme reaction buffer	Supercoiled DNA	Relaxed DNA
H 33258	12.5 µg/ml in TE buffer (n = 3)	5083±558	5222±388	5512±369	5260±368
	12.5 µg/ml in TEN buffer (n = 3)	10894±118	11459±126	12137±175	12164±105
	1 µg/ml in TEN buffer (n = 2)	3350±85	3222±454	8269±230	8696±514
H 33342	12.5 µg/ml in TE buffer (n = 3)	2604±394	1894±107	2419±36	2394±71
	12.5 µg/ml in TEN buffer (n = 3)	11894±1873	12014±1360	12131±1257 ^b	12931±1589 ^b
	1 µg/ml in TEN buffer (n = 2)	2284±100	1752±69 ^a	7619±257 ^b	8426±148 ^{b,c}
Picogreen	1:400 (n = 3)	1331±55	1369±78	10148±631	5637±236*
Sybr Green I	1:10,000 (n = 3)	9577±203	8775±126	255433±9426	221332±6154*

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of two or three independent experiments. a, b, c and asterisk refer significant differences from supercoiled DNA, buffer of dye solution, enzyme reaction buffer of H 33258 or H 33342 and supercoiled DNA of Picogreen or Sybr Green I, respectively. Student's *t*-test was used for the comparison of two mean values, and statistical significance was taken as $p < 0.01$.

Table 10 The fluorescence intensity of supercoiled and relaxed DNA determined by Picogreen as increasing DNA concentration

DNA conc.(ng/ml)	Fluorescence Intensity				
	10	50	100	500	1000
Supercoiled DNA	1952±13	4346±103	6149±144	16239±211	26325±44
Relaxed DNA	1633±51	2703±57	4255±183	11323±295	16979±296

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of four replicates.

Table 11 The band intensity, the fluorescence intensity and the percentage of relaxation activity as function of time of incubation

Time of Incubation	Band Intensity	Fluorescence Intensity	% Relaxation	
			Gel-based assay	Fluorescence microplate assay
0	205.79±3.10	11812±958	0	0
1	203.52±1.69	11065±858	2.26	10.9
2	202.97±0.58	10233±934	2.81	23.1
3	201.33±2.15	9200±1021	4.44	38.3
4	198.52±1.51	8944±1063	7.24	42.0
5	194.89±0.76	8138±1252	10.9	53.8
10	176.58±4.02	6589±728	29.1	76.6
25	140.69±6.18	5196±370	64.9	97.0
50	105.49±6.83	4990±372	100	100

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates.

Table 12 The percentage of inhibition of camptothecin

Concentration (μM)	% Inhibition	
	Gel-based assay	Fluorescence microplate assay
0.1	16.9 \pm 11.9	9.81 \pm 6.74
0.5	26.1 \pm 16.4	16.8 \pm 7.39
1	31.3 \pm 13.0	19.1 \pm 9.23
5	70.6 \pm 13.5	62.3 \pm 16.1
10	76.7 \pm 10.4	65.1 \pm 15.1
25	86.7 \pm 7.34	89.2 \pm 25.2
50	91.4 \pm 10.4	74.2 \pm 16.0

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of four independent experiments.

Table 13 The percentage of inhibition of heparin

Concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	% Inhibition	
	Gel-based assay	Fluorescence microplate assay
0.1	-2.24 \pm 5.25	4.07 \pm 3.55
1	29.1 \pm 20.7	14.1 \pm 3.64
10	103 \pm 9.56	66.4 \pm 11.0
50	109 \pm 6.47	115 \pm 10.8
100	104 \pm 1.73	116 \pm 13.6

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three independent experiments.

Table 14 The band intensity and the fluorescence intensity as a result of enzyme reaction incubating with quercetin

	Band Intensity	Fluorescence Intensity
Supercoiled DNA (used as control)	150.9±27.7	13001±1860
Supercoiled DNA + 1,000 µM quercetin	121.1±18.0	1735±293*
Relaxed DNA (used as control)	104.4±29.6	7003±624
Relaxed DNA + 1,000 µM quercetin	89.98±20.1	3596±783*
5% DMSO	114.8±27.5	7111±816
1 µM quercetin	141.6±21.1	8291±1146
5 µM quercetin	138.5±21.7	8328±1045
50 µM quercetin	134.7±22.1	7990±1183
100 µM quercetin	143.0±18.5	8398±1265
250 µM quercetin	139.4±18.5	8274±1129
500 µM quercetin	152.2±21.4	6007±1276
1,000 µM quercetin	147.5±19.2	3390±806

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of four independent experiments. Asterisks refer significant differences from controls (supercoiled DNA control and relaxed DNA control). Student's *t*-test was used for the comparison of two mean values, and statistical significance was taken as $p < 0.01$.

Table 15 The percentage of inhibition of menadione

Concentration (µM)	% Inhibition	
	Gel-based assay	Fluorescence microplate assay
0.5	-0.27±0.38	-11.1±1.08
5	2.58±1.19	-7.66±5.04
25	30.4±20.6	-0.33±25.4
50	64.9±21.6	48.0±13.2
250	91.0±10.9	100±50.5
500	81.2±4.20	98.7±0.42

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of two independent experiments.

Table 16 The fluorescence intensity of Picogreen as a result of enzyme reaction incubating with topoisomerase II inhibitors

	Fluorescence Intensity
Supercoiled DNA	7073±347
Supercoiled DNA + 1 mM etoposide	6766±73
Supercoiled DNA + 20 µM ellipticine	5572±162*
Relaxed DNA	4206±42
5% DMSO	4300±32
50 µM camptothecin	6214±313
1 mM etoposide	4107±27
20 µM ellipticine	4979±94*
Enzyme reaction buffer	1053±349

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates. Asterisks refer significant differences from supercoiled DNA. Student's *t*-test was used for the comparison of two mean values, and statistical significance was taken as $p < 0.01$.

Table 17 The fluorescence intensity of Picogreen as a result of enzyme reaction incubating with selected unknown compounds

	Fluorescence Intensity
Supercoiled DNA	12483±8235
Supercoiled DNA + 100 µM vitexin	7965±589
Supercoiled DNA + 100 µM chelidonine	7242±1835
Sum supercoiled DNA ^a (used as control)	9230±2575
Supercoiled DNA + 100 µM sanguinarine	3377±144*
Supercoiled DNA + 100 µM chelerythrine	4837±44*
Relaxed DNA	5039±823
5% DMSO	4034±63
Sum relaxed DNA ^b	4537±432
50 µM camptothecin	5302±217
50 µM vitexin	4722±110
50 µM chelidonine	4928±1054
50 µM sanguinarine	4257±65
50 µM chelerythrine	5567±251

^asum raw data of supercoiled DNA, supercoiled DNA with vitexin and supercoiled DNA with chelidonine

^bsum raw data of relaxed DNA and 5% DMSO

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates. Asterisks refer significant differences from control. Student's *t*-test was used for the comparison of two mean values, and statistical significance was taken as $p < 0.01$.

Table 18 The relative fluorescence of Picogreen among stop processes

Stop process	Relative Fluorescence (pH 12/pH 7.4)			
	Supercoiled DNA	Relaxed DNA	5% DMSO	50 µM camptothecin
Cool at 4°C	0.6330±0.0990	1.253±0.0753	1.229±0.1042	0.9523±0.1875
Heat at 95°C for 1 min	0.5874±0.0221	1.016±0.0588	1.025±0.0656	0.7002±0.0539
10%SDS/ 1 mg/ml proteinase K	0.7817±0.1395	1.339±0.1391	1.250±0.3313	0.9683±0.2160

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates. Asterisks refer significant differences from control.

Table 19 The relative fluorescence of Picogreen among various enzyme amounts

	Relative Fluorescence (pH 12/pH 7.4)
Supercoiled DNA	0.7632±0.1606
Topoisomerase I 0.25 unit	1.347±0.1943
Topoisomerase I 1 unit	1.316±0.1434
Topoisomerase I 5 unit	1.331±0.0741

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates. Asterisks refer significant differences from control.

Table 20 The fluorescence intensity, the relative fluorescence and the percentage of enzyme activity as function of time of incubation

Time of Incubation	Fluorescence Intensity		Relative Fluorescence	% Conversion
	Before added NaOH	After added NaOH		
	0	5166±318		
1	4946±249	4678±414	0.9503±0.0961	-9.95
2	4640±176	4689±303	1.013±0.0696	0.718
3	4916±599	4514±366	0.9267±0.0367	-14.0
4	4351±274	4602±261	1.066±0.0885	9.79
5	4099±206	4463±410	1.093±0.1026	14.4
10	3567±280	4508±325	1.273±0.0962	45.2
25	3191±193	4488±286	1.408±0.0571	68.3
50	2989±194	4680±360	1.594±0.2163	100

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three replicates. Asterisks refer significant differences from control.

Table 21 The percentage of inhibition of camptothecin and menadione

Camptothecin (n = 4)		Menadione (n = 3)	
Concentration (μM)	% inhibition	Concentration (μM)	% inhibition
0.1	-4.80 \pm 9.36	0.5	-10.6 \pm 8.06
0.5	4.49 \pm 6.37	5	-0.43 \pm 11.3
1	21.1 \pm 10.6	25	19.5 \pm 8.07
5	46.8 \pm 22.9	50	59.5 \pm 5.22
10	69.7 \pm 11.4	250	88.0 \pm 8.44
25	83.1 \pm 9.67	500	106 \pm 17.7
50	85.4 \pm 3.14	-	-

Each value represented the mean value with S.E.M. of three or four experiment. Asterisks refer significant differences from control.

VITAE

Mr Pratchaya Jetinai was born on March 14, 1978 in Ubon Ratchathanee province, Thailand. He was graduated in Bachelor of Science in Pharmaceutical Sciences in 2000 from the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University. He worked as a pharmacist at pharmacy department of Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital for three years before coming to study Biomedical Chemistry Program.