

REFERENCES

- Abe, K. 1987. Relationship between forest harvesting and landslide occurrence: Hunan Impacts and management of mountain forest. Japan: Forest Products Research Institute.
- Akbar, T. A. 1998. Integration of GPS, GIS and remote sensing technology for landslide hazard analysis: A case study of western Himalayan Kaghan valley, Pakistan. M.Sc. Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology.
- Akkrawintaong, K., Daorerk, V., Chotikasathien, V., and Charusiri, P. 2008. GIS Application for Landslide Hazard Mapping in Phang Nga Region, Southern Thailand. Thailand: Proceedings of the International Symposia on Geoscience Resources and Environments of Asian Terraines (GREAT 2008), 4th IGCP 516, and 5th APSEG.
- Aleotti, P. and Chowdhury. 1999. Landslide hazard assessment: Summary review and new perspectives. Bull. Eng. Geol. Env. 58: 21-44.
- Alfoldi, T. 1996. Fundamentals of Remote Sensing. Canada: Centre for Remote Sensing, Natural Resources: 258 pp.
- Anbalagan, D. 1992. Landslide hazard evaluation and zonation mapping in mountainous terrain. Engineering Geology, 32: 269-277.
- Aung, Z. 1991. The Study of Landslide Susceptibility Using the GIS Approach (west of Amphoe Phi Pun, Nakhon Si Thammarat Province). M.Sc. Thesis, Asian Institute of Technology.
- Campbell, R. H. 1985. Feasibility of a nationwide program for the identification and delineation of hazards from mud flows and other landslides. U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 85-276, U.S. Geological Survey: 58 pp.
- Carrara, A., Cardinali, M., Detti, R., Guzzetti, F., Pasqui, V., and Reichenbach, P. 1991. GIS techniques and statistical models in evaluating landslide hazard. Earth Surface processes and Landforms, 16: 427-445.

- Carrara, A., Cardinali, M., Guzzetti, F., and Reichenbach, P. 1995. GIS technology in mapping landslide hazard. Germany: Geographic Information Systems in Assessing Natural Hazards.
- Carrara, A., Guzzetti, F., Cardinali, M., Reichenbach, P. 1999. Uses of GIS technology in the prediction and monitoring of landslide hazard. Natural Hazard, 20: 117 – 135.
- Charusiri, P., Daorerk, V., and Supajanya, T. 1996. Applications of Remote-Sensing Techniques to Geological Structures Related to Earthquakes and Earthquake-Prone Areas in Thailand and Neighboring Areas: A Preliminary Study. Journal of Scientific Research, 21: 14-38.
- Chowdhury. 1999. Landslide hazard assessment: Summary review and new perspectives. Bull. Eng. Geol. Env., 58: 21-44.
- Crist, E. P., and Cicone, R. C. 1984. Application of the Tasseled Cap Concept to Simulated Thematic Mapper Data. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 50 (3): 343 – 352.
- Chotikasathien, W. 2004. Landslide Hazard Mapping and Mitigation Plan of North Pasak Watershed, Phetchabun Province, Thailand. Thailand: Environment Geology Division, Department of Mineral Resources: 20 pp.
- Clerci, A., Perego, S., Tellini, C., and Vescovi, P. 2001. A procedure for landslide susceptibility zonation by conditional analysis method. Journal of Geomorphology, 48: 349-364.
- Cruden, D. M. 1991. A simple definition of a landslide. Bulletin of the international Association on Engineering Geology, 43: 27-29.
- Cruden, D. M. and Varnes, D. J. 1996. Landslide types and processes. In landslides investigation and mitigation, USA: Transportation Research Board, US National Research Council, Special Report 247.
- Department of Mineral Resources. 1999. Geological Map of Thailand scale 1:1,000,000. Thailand: Department of Mineral Resources.

- Donati, L. and Turrini, M. C. 2002. An objective method to rank the importance of the Factors predisposing to landslide with the GIS methodology: Application to an area of the Apennines, Perugia, Italy. Engineering Geology, 63: 277-289.
- Evans, N. C., Huang, S. W., Kinh, J. P. 1997. The Natural Terrain Landslide Study – Phases I and II. Hong Kong : Geotechnical Engineering Office, Special Project Report, 5/97.
- Fall, M., Azzam, R. and Noubactep, C. 2006. A multi-method approach to study the stability of natural slopes and landslide susceptibility mapping. Engineering Geology, 82: 241 – 263.
- Fell, R. 1994. Landslide risk assessment and acceptable risk. Geotech Journal, 31: 261-272.
- Gee, M. D. 1992. Classification of hazard zonation methods and a test of predictive capability. In Environmental planning and Geology, USA: US Dept. of Housing and Urban Dev.
- Gokceoglu, C., and Aksoy, H. 1996. Landslide Susceptibility Mapping of the Slopes in the Residual Soils of the Mengen Region (Turkey) by deterministic stability analyses and image processing techniques. Engineering Geology, 44: 147-161.
- Greenbaum, D. 1995. Project summary report: Rapid methods of landslide hazard mapping. United Kingdom: Technical Report WC/95/30, International Division, British Geological Survey.
- Greenbaum, D., Tutton, M., Bowker, M. R., Browne, T. J., Buleka, J., Grally, K. B., Kuna, G., Mcdonald, A. J. W., Marsh, S. H., Northmorre, K. J., O’Konnor, E. A., and Tragheim, D. G. 1995. Rapid methods of landslide hazard mapping : Papua New Guinea Case Study. United Kingdom: Technical Report WC/95/27 Overseas Geology Series.
- Gupta, R. P., and Joshi, B. C. 1990. Landslide Hazard Zoning Using the GIS approach – a case study from the Ramganga catchment, Himalayas. Engineering Geology, 28: 119-131.
- Gupta, P.R. 2002. Remote Sensing geology, 2nd Edition. Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

- Guzzetti, F., Carrarra, A., Cardinali, M., and Reichenbach, P. 1999. Landslide Hazard Evaluation: a review of current techniques and their application in multi-scale study, Central Italy. Geomorphology, 31: 181-216.
- Hervas, J., Barredo, J., Pasuto, A., Montovani, F., and Silvano, S. 2003. Monitoring landslides from optical remotely sensed imagery: The case story of Tessina landslide, Italy. Journal of Geomorphology, 54: 63-75.
- Hick, B. G. and Smith, R. D. 1981. Management of steep lands impacts by landslide hazard zonation and risk evaluation. Journal of Hydrology, 20: 63-70.
- Hunt, R. E. 1984. Geotechnical Engineering Investigation Manual. USA: McGraw-Hill.
- Ives, J. D. and Messerli, B. 1981. Mountain hazard mapping in Nepal: Introduction to an Applied Mountain Research Project. Mountain Research and Development, 10: 185-212.
- Iwahashi, J., Watanabe, S. and Furuya, T. 2003. Mean slope-angle frequency distribution and size frequency distribution of landslide masses in Higashikubiki area, Japan. Geomorphology, 50: 249 – 364.
- Jadkoski, M. K. 1984. Remote Sensing of Landslide Susceptible Areas. USA: Ph.D., Diss, Utah University.
- Jibson, R. W., harp, E. L., and Michael, J. A. 1998. A method to produce probabilistic seismic landslide hazard maps. Italy: Proceeding of International Association for Mathematical Geology 1998 Annual Meeting (IAMG'98): 35-51.
- John, A. R. and Jia X. 1999. Remote Sensing digital image analysis-An introduction, 3rd Edition. Germany: Springer-Verlag Berlin.
- John, R. D., Marry, R. J., and Leyton, R. L. 1999. Computer simulation of shallow landsliding in New Zealand hill country. JAG, 1 (2): 122-131.
- Keefer, D. K. 1984. Landslide Caused by Earthquakes. Geological Society of America Bulletin, vol.95: 406-421.

- Khaowiset, K. 2007. Neotectonic Along the Pua Fault in Changwat Nan, Northern Thailand: Evidence from Remote Sensing and Thermoluminescence Dating. M.Sc. Thesis, Chulalongkorn University.
- Kosuwan, S. 2005. Geology and Causes of Landslide at Mae Ramat district, Tak Province. Thailand: Environment Geology Division, Department of Mineral Resources: 22 pp.
- Kunsuwan, B. 2005. Study of Behavior for Landslides on Upstream Areas in Mae Nam Chantaburi Basin. Thailand: M.En., Kasetsart University.
- Land Development Department. 2004. Land Use Map of Nan Province scale 1: 250,000. Land Development Department, Bangkok.
- Larsen, M., and Torres-Sanchez, A. 1998. The Frequency and Distribution of Recent Landslides in Three Montane Tropical Regions of Puerto Rico. Geomorphology, 24: 309-331.
- Lauver, C. L., and Whistler, J. L. 1993. A Hierarchical Classification of Landsat TM Imagery to Identify Natural Grassland Areas and Rare Species Habitat. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 59 (5): 627-634.
- Lee, S. and Min, K. 2001. Statistic analysis of landslide susceptibility at Yougin, Korea. Journal of Environmental Geology, 40: 1095-1113.
- Lee, S. 2004. Landslide hazard analysis using GIS and remote sensing. Korea: Training Note, Korea Institute of Geosciences and Mineral Resources (KIGAM).
- Lorente, A., Garcia-Ruiz, J., Begueria, S., and Arnaez. J. 2002. Factors explaining the spatial distribution of hillslope debris flows. Mountain Research and Development, 22: 32-39.
- Malczewski, J. 1999. GIS and multicriteria decision analysis. Canada: Department of Geography, University of Western Ontario, John Willey&Sons Inc.
- McKean, J., Buechel, S., and Gaydos, L. 1991. Remote Sensing and Landslide Hazard Assessment. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 57 (9): 1185-1193.
- Meesook, A. 2000. Cretaceous environments of Northeastern Thailand. Cretaceous environment of Asia, Elsevier Science, B. V.:207-223.

- Metternicht, G., Hurni, L., and Gogu, R. 2005. Remote sensing of landslides: An analysis of the potential contribution to geo-spatial systems for hazard assessment in mountainous environments. Remote Sensing of Environment, 98: 284-303.
- Montavani, F., Soeters, R., and Westen, V. 1996. Remote sensing techniques for landslide studies and hazard zonation in Europe. Journal of Geomorphology, 15: 213-225.
- Musaoglu, N., Kaya, S., Sekel, D. Z., and Gokzel, C. 2002. A case study of using remote sensing data and GIS for land management: Cataca Region. USA.: XXII FIG International Congress.
- Nawavitphaisit, S. 2005. The Landslide Investigation Report of Phang Nga Province. Thailand: Department of Mineral Resources: 125 pp.
- Ngugen, Q. P. and Bui, H. B. 2004. Landslide hazard mapping using Bayesian approach in GIS: Case study in Yangsan area, Korea. Korea: International Symposium on Geoinformatics for spatial Infrastructure Development in Earth and Allied Sciences.
- Olivier, H. J. 1979. A New Engineering-Geological Rock Durability Classification. Engineering Geology, 14: 225-279
- Ostir, K., Veljanovski, T., Podobnikar, T., and Stancic, Z. 2003. Application of satellite remote sensing in natural hazard management: the Mount Mangart landslide case study. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 24 (20): 3983-4002.
- Pack, R. T. 1985. Multivariate analysis of landslide-related variables in Davis County, Utah. In delineation of landslide, Flash flood and debris flow hazards in Utha. USA: Utah State University: 50-58.
- Pantanahiran, W. 1994. The use of Landsat imagery and digital terrain models to assess and predict landslide activity in tropical areas. USA: Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Rhode Island.
- Panthanahiran, W. 2001. Landslide risk map in Surat Thani Province. Thailand: Technical report, Department of Mineral Resources: 77 pp.

- Patanakanog, B. 2001. Landslide hazard potential area in 3 dimensions by remote sensing and GIS technique. Thailand: Land Development Department: 5 pp.
- Press, S. J., and Wilson, S. 1978. Choosing Between Logistic Regression and Discriminant Analysis. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 73 (364): 669-705.
- Rajbhandri, P. C. L. 1995. Application of GIS and remote sensing for landslide hazard zonation and mapping disaster prone area: A case study of the Kulekhari. Thailand: Ph.D Dissertation, Asian Institute of Technology.
- Roh, H and Reinhorn, A. M. 2008. Analytical Modeling of Rocking Elements. Engineering Structures, Vol. 31, 5: pp. 1179-1189.
- Rouse, J. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., and Deering. 1974. Monitoring Vegetation System in Great Plains with ERTS. Third ERTS Symposium, NASA Sp-351, A: 309-318.
- Rupke, J., Cammeraat, E., Seijmonsbergen, A. C., and Van Westen, C. J. 1988. Engineering geomorphology of Widentobel Catchment, Appenzell and Sankt Gallen, Switzerland: A geomorphological inventory system applied to geotechnical appraisal of slope stability. Engineering Geology, 26: 33-68.
- Sarkar, S., Kanungo, D. P. 2004. An integrated approach for landslide susceptibility mapping using remote sensing and GIS. Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing, 70 (5): 617-625.
- Schuster, R. L. 1996. Landslide: effects on the natural environment. Italy: Symposium on Engineering, Geology and the Environment, Int. Assoc. Eng. Geol., Vol.5.
- Seker, D. Z., Altan, M. O., Duran, Z., Shrestha, M. B., Yuasa, A., and Kawamura, K. 2004. Producing landslide risk map of Sebinkarahisan by means of remote sensing and GIS techniques. Turkey: Civil Engineering Faculty, Istanbul University.
- Soeters, R. and Van Westen, C. J., 1996. Slope stability: recognition, analysis and zonation. In: A. K. Turner, R. L. Shuster (editor) "Landslides: investigation and mitigation". Transportation research Board - National Research Council, Special Report 247: 129-177.

- Tantiwanit, W. 1992. Landslide Geohazard at Ban Kathun Nue, Nakhon Srithammarat Province. Thailand: Department of Mineral Resources.
- Tantiwanit, W. 2005. Landslides in Thailand. Geological Survey Division, Department of Mineral Resources, Bangkok, Thailand: 30 pp.
- Temesgen, B., Mohammed, M. U., and Korme, T. 2001. Natural hazard assessment using GIS and remote sensing methods with particular reference to the landslides in the Wondgenet area Ethiopia. Journal of Phys. Chem. Earth (C), 26 (9): 665-675.
- Teerarangsikul, S. 2006. Landslide Prediction Model using Remote Sensing, GIS and Field Geology: A Case Study of Wang Chin District, Phrae Province, Northern Thailand. Ph.D. Dissertation, Suranaree University of Technology.
- Thitisawarn, V. 2003. Terrain characterization for landslide susceptibility analysis along Sillong-Silchen highway in northeastern India. India: Geosciences division, Indian Institute of remote sensing: 66 pp.
- Townshend, J. R. G. 1984. Agricultural Land-cover Discrimination Using Thematic Mapper Spectral Bands. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 5 (4): 681-689.
- Turrini, M. C., and Visintainer, P. 1998. Proposal of a Method to Defined Areas of Landslide Hazard and Application to an Area of the Dolomites, Italy. Engineering Geology, 50: 255-265.
- Turner, A. K. 1996. Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation. USA: the National Academy of Sciences: 136 pp.
- Varnes, D. J. 1978. Slope movement types and processes. In landslide analysis and control: National Academy of Sciences, Transportation Research Board, Special Report 176: 11-33.
- Varnes, D. J. 1984. Landslide hazard zonation: A review of principles and practice. Natural hazard 3, Commission on landslides of the IAGE, UNESCO, Paris, France: 63 pp.
- Van Westen, C. J. 1993. Training Package for Geographic Information Systems in Slope Instability Zonation. ITC-Publication, n. 15, Part I: 245 pp.

- Van Westen, C. J., Castellanos, E., and Kuriakose. 2008. Spatial data for landslide successivity, hazard and vulnerability: An overview. Engineering Geology, 102: 112–131.
- Visethrattana, K., Nantasit, P., Udomchoke, V., and Sricharoen, L. 2008. Hydraulic conductivity properties of residual soil for slope stability analysis at Huai Pin watershed, Nan Province. Thailand: Proceedings of the International Symposia on Geoscience Resources and Environments of Asian Terraines (GREAT 2008), 4th IGCP 516, and 5th APSEG: 524-529.
- Wang, S. Q., 2001. Landslide on natural terrain. Journal of Mountain Research and Development, 12: 40-47.
- Ward, G., Wisloski, A. P., and Pearson, E. J. 1993. Spatial Analysis in GIS for Natural Hazard Assessment. USA: Environmental Modeling with GIS, Oxford University Press.
- Wold, R. L., and C. L. Jochim. 1989. Landslide Loss Reduction: A guide for state and local government planning. Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- Woodcocks, C. E., Sham, C. H., and Shaw, B. 1990. Comments on Selecting a Geographic Information System for Environmental Management. Environmental Management, 14 (3): 307-315.
- Yumuang, S. 2006a. Evaluation of Potential for 2001 Debris Flow and Debris Flood in the Vicinity of Nam Ko Area, Amphoe Lom Sak, Changwat Phetchabun, Central Thailand. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University.
- Yumuang, S. 2006b. 2001 debris flow and debris flood in Nam Ko area, Phetchabun Province, central Thailand. International Journal of Sciences, Environmental Geology, Vol. 51, No. 4: 545-564.
- Zinck, A. J., and Lopez, J., Metternicht, G. I., Shrestha, D. P., and Vazques-Selem, L. 2001. Mapping and modeling mass movement and gullies in mountainous areas using remote sensing and GIS techniques. JAG, 3 (1): 43-53.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Table A1 to A8

Table of Relationship between Landslide Occurrence and Factors

Table A1. Landslide occurrence related with lithology.

| Unit | Lithologic Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Lithology (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | Alluvial sediments | 748,743 | 5.53 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Terrace sediments | 487,761 | 3.61 | 38 | 2.10 |
| 3 | Semi-consolidated rocks | 515,188 | 3.81 | 36 | 1.99 |
| 4 | Very coarse-clastic sediments | 1,515,490 | 11.20 | 79 | 4.36 |
| 5 | Coarse-clastic sediments | 4,897,763 | 36.20 | 979 | 54.06 |
| 6 | Fine-clastic sediments | 168,202 | 1.24 | 21 | 1.16 |
| 7 | Limestone dominated rocks | 1,162,005 | 8.59 | 229 | 12.64 |
| 8 | Granitic rocks | 81,885 | 0.61 | 11 | 0.61 |
| 9 | Tuffaceous and Volcanic | 3,587,771 | 26.52 | 407 | 22.47 |
| 10 | Metamorphic and ultramafic | 358,878 | 2.65 | 11 | 0.61 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A2. Landslide occurrence related with distance from lineament.

| Unit | Dist. From Lineament (m) | Area (Pixel) | % Area of distance from lineament (a) | Point of Landslide | % (LS) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | 0 - 100 | 2,799,147 | 20.69 | 506 | 27.94 |
| 2 | 100 - 200 | 2,650,850 | 19.59 | 423 | 23.36 |
| 3 | 200 - 300 | 2,105,803 | 15.57 | 285 | 15.74 |
| 4 | 300 - 400 | 1,523,105 | 11.26 | 233 | 12.87 |
| 5 | 400 - 500 | 1,033,819 | 7.64 | 154 | 8.50 |
| 6 | 500 - 600 | 676,146 | 5.00 | 93 | 5.14 |
| 7 | 600 - 700 | 434,488 | 3.21 | 54 | 2.98 |
| 8 | 700 - 800 | 283,457 | 2.10 | 27 | 1.49 |
| 9 | 800 - 900 | 189,694 | 1.40 | 21 | 1.16 |
| 10 | 900 - 1000 | 135,802 | 1.00 | 15 | 0.83 |
| 11 | >1000 | 1,696,569 | 12.54 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A3. Landslide occurrence related with land use / land cover.

| Unit | Land use / Land cover Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Land use (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Crop and Orchard | 4,558,539 | 33.69 | 545 | 30.09 |
| 2 | Teak Plantation | 1,190,196 | 8.80 | 270 | 14.91 |
| 3 | Open Forest | 3,118,178 | 23.05 | 525 | 28.99 |
| 4 | Wasteland | 215,988 | 1.60 | 53 | 2.93 |
| 5 | Deforestation Area | 4,384,197 | 32.41 | 418 | 23.08 |
| 6 | Reservoir | 61,782 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A4. Landslide occurrence related with elevation.

| Unit | Elevation Units (m) | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Aspect (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1 | 50 – 100 | 110 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 100 – 200 | 237,386 | 1.75 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 3 | 200 – 300 | 2,230,823 | 16.49 | 67 | 3.70 |
| 4 | 300 – 400 | 2,275,734 | 16.82 | 236 | 13.03 |
| 5 | 400 – 500 | 2,016,478 | 14.90 | 328 | 18.11 |
| 6 | 500 – 600 | 1,554,593 | 11.49 | 277 | 15.30 |
| 7 | 600 – 700 | 1,367,537 | 10.11 | 224 | 12.37 |
| 8 | 700 – 800 | 946,913 | 7.00 | 176 | 9.72 |
| 9 | 800 – 900 | 785,800 | 5.81 | 138 | 7.62 |
| 10 | 900 – 1000 | 583,392 | 4.31 | 106 | 5.85 |
| 11 | 1000 – 1100 | 440,601 | 3.26 | 81 | 4.47 |
| 12 | 1100 – 1200 | 349,729 | 2.59 | 56 | 3.09 |
| 13 | 1200 – 1300 | 260,159 | 1.92 | 47 | 2.60 |
| 14 | 1300 – 1400 | 190,878 | 1.41 | 28 | 1.55 |
| 15 | 1500 – 1600 | 136,436 | 1.01 | 33 | 1.82 |
| 16 | 1400 – 1500 | 80,922 | 0.60 | 8 | 0.44 |
| 17 | 1600 – 1700 | 41,159 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 18 | 1700 – 1800 | 18,932 | 0.14 | 2 | 0.11 |
| 19 | 1800 – 1900 | 8,627 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.06 |
| 20 | 1900 – 2000 | 2,255 | 0.02 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 21 | 2000 – 2060 | 416 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A5. Landslide occurrence related with slope steepness.

| Unit | Slope Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Slope (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | 0° -10° | 3,474,443 | 25.68 | 268 | 14.80 |
| 2 | 10° -20° | 4,028,072 | 29.77 | 654 | 36.11 |
| 3 | 20° -30° | 3,646,485 | 26.95 | 578 | 31.92 |
| 4 | 30° -40° | 1,578,020 | 11.66 | 246 | 13.58 |
| 5 | 40° -50° | 345,437 | 2.55 | 41 | 2.26 |
| 6 | 50° -60° | 162,113 | 1.20 | 13 | 0.72 |
| 7 | 60° -70° | 135,716 | 1.00 | 4 | 0.22 |
| 8 | 70° -80° | 113,997 | 0.84 | 5 | 0.28 |
| 9 | 80° -90° | 44,597 | 0.33 | 2 | 0.11 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A6. Landslide occurrence related with slope aspect.

| Unit | Aspect Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Aspect (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | North | 1,574,506 | 11.64 | 163 | 9.00 |
| 2 | Northeast | 1,650,934 | 12.20 | 142 | 7.84 |
| 3 | East | 1,656,535 | 12.24 | 156 | 8.61 |
| 4 | Southeast | 1,801,369 | 13.31 | 176 | 9.72 |
| 5 | South | 1,844,007 | 13.63 | 407 | 22.47 |
| 6 | Southwest | 1,752,961 | 12.96 | 357 | 19.71 |
| 7 | West | 1,613,770 | 11.93 | 227 | 12.53 |
| 8 | Northwest | 1,634,798 | 12.08 | 183 | 10.10 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A7. Landslide occurrence related with flow direction.

| Unit | Flow Direction Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of Flow direction (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | N to NE | 1,703,622 | 12.59 | 146 | 8.06 |
| 2 | NE to E | 1,593,825 | 11.78 | 230 | 12.70 |
| 3 | E to SE | 2,059,780 | 15.23 | 348 | 19.22 |
| 4 | SE to S | 1,671,960 | 12.36 | 284 | 15.68 |
| 5 | S to SW | 1,883,611 | 13.92 | 152 | 8.39 |
| 6 | SW to W | 1,424,878 | 10.53 | 233 | 12.87 |
| 7 | W to NW | 1,702,555 | 12.58 | 254 | 14.03 |
| 8 | NW to N | 1,488,649 | 11.00 | 164 | 9.06 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Table A8. Landslide occurrence related with NDVI.

| Unit | NDVI Units | Area (Pixel) | % Area of NDVI (a) | Point of Landslide | % (Ls) |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 | -1 to -0.75 | 344,027 | 2.54 | 36 | 1.99 |
| 2 | -0.75 to -0.50 | 276,384 | 2.04 | 34 | 1.88 |
| 3 | -0.50 to -0.25 | 505,409 | 3.74 | 58 | 3.20 |
| 4 | -0.25 to 0.00 | 997,075 | 7.37 | 134 | 7.40 |
| 5 | 0.00 to 0.25 | 2,051,996 | 15.17 | 234 | 12.92 |
| 6 | 0.25 to 0.50 | 3,449,653 | 25.50 | 422 | 23.30 |
| 7 | 0.50 to 0.75 | 3,633,221 | 26.86 | 517 | 28.55 |
| 8 | 0.75 to 1.00 | 2,271,115 | 16.79 | 376 | 20.76 |
| Total | | 13,528,880 | 100 | 1,811 | 100 |

Appendix B: Table B1 to B8**Table of Probability Ratio between Landslide Occurrence and Factors**

Table B1. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within lithology.

| Unit | Lithologic Units | % Area of Lithology (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Alluvial sediments | 5.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | Terrace sediments | 3.61 | 2.10 | 0.58 |
| 3 | Semi-consolidated rocks | 3.81 | 1.99 | 0.52 |
| 4 | Very Coarse-clastic sediments | 11.20 | 4.36 | 0.39 |
| 5 | Coarse-clastic sediments | 36.20 | 54.06 | 1.49 |
| 6 | Fine-clastic sediments | 1.24 | 1.16 | 0.93 |
| 7 | Limestone dominated rocks | 8.59 | 12.64 | 1.47 |
| 8 | Granitic rocks | 0.61 | 0.61 | 1.00 |
| 9 | Tuffaceous and Volcanic | 26.52 | 22.47 | 0.85 |
| 10 | Metamorphic and ultramafic | 2.65 | 0.61 | 0.23 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B2. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within buffered lineament area.

| Unit | Dist. From Lineament (m) | % Area of distance from lineament (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0 - 100 | 20.69 | 27.94 | 1.35 |
| 2 | 100 - 200 | 19.59 | 23.36 | 1.19 |
| 3 | 200 - 300 | 15.57 | 15.74 | 1.01 |
| 4 | 300 - 400 | 11.26 | 12.87 | 1.14 |
| 5 | 400 - 500 | 7.64 | 8.50 | 1.11 |
| 6 | 500 - 600 | 5.00 | 5.14 | 1.03 |
| 7 | 600 - 700 | 3.21 | 2.98 | 0.93 |
| 8 | 700 - 800 | 2.10 | 1.49 | 0.71 |
| 9 | 800 - 900 | 1.40 | 1.16 | 0.83 |
| 10 | 900 - 1000 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| 11 | >1000 | 12.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B3. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within land use / land cover.

| Unit | Land use / Land cover Units | % Area of Land use (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Crop and Orchard | 33.69 | 30.09 | 0.89 |
| 2 | Teak Plantation | 8.80 | 14.91 | 1.69 |
| 3 | Open Forest | 23.05 | 28.99 | 1.26 |
| 4 | Wasteland | 1.60 | 2.93 | 1.83 |
| 5 | Deforestation Area | 32.41 | 23.08 | 0.71 |
| 6 | Reservoir | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B4. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within elevation.

| Unit | Elevation Units (m) | % Area of Aspect (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 50 – 100 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 100 – 200 | 1.75 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| 3 | 200 – 300 | 16.49 | 3.70 | 0.22 |
| 4 | 300 – 400 | 16.82 | 13.03 | 0.77 |
| 5 | 400 – 500 | 14.90 | 18.11 | 1.22 |
| 6 | 500 – 600 | 11.49 | 15.30 | 1.33 |
| 7 | 600 – 700 | 10.11 | 12.37 | 1.22 |
| 8 | 700 – 800 | 7.00 | 9.72 | 1.39 |
| 9 | 800 – 900 | 5.81 | 7.62 | 1.31 |
| 10 | 900 – 1000 | 4.31 | 5.85 | 1.36 |
| 11 | 1000 – 1100 | 3.26 | 4.47 | 1.37 |
| 12 | 1100 – 1200 | 2.59 | 3.09 | 1.20 |
| 13 | 1200 – 1300 | 1.92 | 2.60 | 1.35 |
| 14 | 1300 – 1400 | 1.41 | 1.55 | 1.10 |
| 15 | 1500 – 1600 | 1.01 | 1.82 | 1.81 |
| 16 | 1400 – 1500 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 0.74 |
| 17 | 1600 – 1700 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.18 |
| 18 | 1700 – 1800 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.79 |
| 19 | 1800 – 1900 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.87 |
| 20 | 1900 – 2000 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 21 | 2000 – 2060 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B5. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within slope steepness.

| Unit | Slope Units | % Area of Slope (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0° -10° | 25.68 | 14.80 | 0.58 |
| 2 | 10° -20° | 29.77 | 36.11 | 1.21 |
| 3 | 20° -30° | 26.95 | 31.92 | 1.18 |
| 4 | 30° -40° | 11.66 | 13.58 | 1.16 |
| 5 | 40° -50° | 2.55 | 2.26 | 0.89 |
| 6 | 50° -60° | 1.20 | 0.72 | 0.60 |
| 7 | 60° -70° | 1.00 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 8 | 70° -80° | 0.84 | 0.28 | 0.33 |
| 9 | 80° -90° | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.34 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B6. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within slope aspect.

| Unit | Aspect Units | % Area of Aspect (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | North | 11.64 | 9.00 | 0.77 |
| 2 | Northeast | 12.20 | 7.84 | 0.64 |
| 3 | East | 12.24 | 8.61 | 0.70 |
| 4 | Southeast | 13.31 | 9.72 | 0.73 |
| 5 | South | 13.63 | 22.47 | 1.65 |
| 6 | Southwest | 12.96 | 19.71 | 1.52 |
| 7 | West | 11.93 | 12.53 | 1.05 |
| 8 | Northwest | 12.08 | 10.10 | 0.84 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B7. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within flow direction.

| Unit | Flow Direction Units | % Area of Flow direction (a) | %Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | N to NE | 12.59 | 8.06 | 0.64 |
| 2 | NE to E | 11.78 | 12.70 | 1.08 |
| 3 | E to SE | 15.23 | 19.22 | 1.26 |
| 4 | SE to S | 12.36 | 15.68 | 1.27 |
| 5 | S to SW | 13.92 | 8.39 | 0.60 |
| 6 | SW to W | 10.53 | 12.87 | 1.22 |
| 7 | W to NW | 12.58 | 14.03 | 1.11 |
| 8 | NW to N | 11.00 | 9.06 | 0.82 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Table B8. Probability ratio of landslide occurrence within NDVI.

| Unit | NDVI Units | % Area of NDVI (a) | % Point of Landslide (Ls) | (Ls/a = Pr) |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | -1 to -0.75 | 2.54 | 1.99 | 0.78 |
| 2 | -0.75 to -0.50 | 2.04 | 1.88 | 0.92 |
| 3 | -0.50 to -0.25 | 3.74 | 3.20 | 0.86 |
| 4 | -0.25 to 0.00 | 7.37 | 7.40 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 0.00 to 0.25 | 15.17 | 12.92 | 0.85 |
| 6 | 0.25 to 0.50 | 25.50 | 23.30 | 0.91 |
| 7 | 0.50 to 0.75 | 26.86 | 28.55 | 1.06 |
| 8 | 0.75 to 1.00 | 16.79 | 20.76 | 1.24 |
| Total | | 100 | 100 | |

Appendix C: Table C1 to C8

Table of Ranking and Weighting the Importance of Factors

Table C1. The reliability importance of lithology as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Lithologic units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of lithology | Reliability of lithology |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Coarse-clastic rocks | 1.49 | 54.60 | 36.02 | 67.85/45.22 |
| 2 | Limestone dominated rocks | 1.47 | 12.64 | 8.59 | |
| 3 | Granitic rocks | 1.00 | 0.61 | 0.61 | |
| Total | | | 67.85 | 45.22 | 1.50 |

Table C2. The reliability importance of lineament as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Dist. Lineament units (m) | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area Dist. lineament | Reliability of Dist. lineament |
|--------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 0 – 100 | 1.35 | 27.94 | 20.69 | 93.55/79.75 |
| 2 | 100 – 200 | 1.19 | 23.36 | 19.59 | |
| 3 | 400 – 500 | 1.14 | 8.50 | 7.64 | |
| 4 | 300 – 400 | 1.11 | 12.87 | 11.26 | |
| 5 | 500 – 600 | 1.03 | 5.14 | 5.00 | |
| 6 | 200 – 300 | 1.01 | 15.74 | 15.57 | |
| Total | | | 93.55 | 79.75 | 1.17 |

Table C3. The reliability importance of land use as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Land use / Land cover units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of Land use | Reliability of Land use |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Wasteland | 1.83 | 2.93 | 1.60 | 46.83/33.45 |
| 2 | Teak plantation | 1.69 | 14.91 | 8.80 | |
| 3 | Open forest | 1.26 | 28.99 | 23.05 | |
| Total | | | 46.83 | 33.45 | 1.40 |

Table C4. The reliability importance of elevation as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Elevation units (m) | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of Elevation | Reliability of Elevation |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 1500 – 1600 | 1.81 | 1.82 | 1.01 | 82.50/63.81 |
| 2 | 700 – 800 | 1.39 | 9.72 | 7.00 | |
| 3 | 1000 – 1100 | 1.37 | 4.47 | 3.26 | |
| 4 | 900 – 1000 | 1.36 | 5.85 | 4.31 | |
| 5 | 1200 – 1300 | 1.35 | 2.60 | 1.92 | |
| 6 | 500 – 600 | 1.33 | 15.30 | 11.49 | |
| 7 | 800 – 900 | 1.31 | 7.62 | 5.81 | |
| 8 | 400 – 500 | 1.22 | 18.11 | 14.90 | |
| 9 | 600 – 700 | 1.22 | 12.37 | 10.11 | |
| 10 | 1100 – 1200 | 1.20 | 3.09 | 2.59 | |
| 11 | 1300 – 1400 | 1.10 | 1.55 | 1.41 | |
| Total | | | 82.50 | 63.81 | 1.29 |

Table C5. The reliability importance of slope steepness as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Slope units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of Slope | Reliability of Slope |
|--------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 10° -20° | 1.21 | 36.11 | 29.77 | 81.61/68.38 |
| 2 | 20° -30° | 1.18 | 31.92 | 26.95 | |
| 3 | 30° -40° | 1.16 | 13.58 | 11.66 | |
| Total | | | 81.61 | 68.38 | 1.19 |

Table C6. The reliability importance of slope aspect as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Aspect units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of Aspect | Reliability of Aspect |
|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | South | 1.65 | 22.47 | 13.63 | 54.71/38.52 |
| 2 | Southwest | 1.52 | 19.71 | 12.96 | |
| 3 | West | 1.05 | 12.53 | 11.93 | |
| Total | | | 54.71 | 38.52 | 1.42 |

Table C7. The reliability importance of flow direction as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | Flow direction units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of Flow dir. | Reliability of Flow direction |
|--------------|----------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | SE to S | 1.27 | 15.68 | 12.36 | 74.50/62.48 |
| 2 | E to SE | 1.26 | 19.22 | 15.23 | |
| 3 | SW to W | 1.22 | 12.87 | 10.53 | |
| 4 | W to NW | 1.11 | 14.03 | 12.58 | |
| 5 | NE to E | 1.08 | 12.70 | 11.78 | |
| Total | | | 74.50 | 62.48 | 1.19 |

Table C8. The reliability importance of NDVI as a predictor of landsliding.

| Class | NDVI units | Ls/a=Pr | % (LS) | % Area of NDVI | Reliability of NDVI |
|--------------|---------------|---------|--------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.75 to 1.00 | 1.24 | 20.76 | 16.79 | 56.71/51.02 |
| 2 | 0.50 to 0.75 | 1.06 | 28.55 | 26.86 | |
| 3 | -0.25 to 0.00 | 1.00 | 7.40 | 7.37 | |
| Total | | | 56.71 | 51.02 | 1.11 |

BIOGRAPHY

Mr. Krittapob Akkrawintawong was born on September 21, 1979 in Bangkok, Central Thailand. He graduated at high school level from Debsirin School, Bangkok, in 1997. In 2001, he received a B.Sc. degree in Geology from the Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand. After his graduation, he started his work in 2001 as a petroleum engineer at International Logging Oversea Company. In 2004, he has moved to work in Geohazard Section, Environmental Geology Division, Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), and have been employed until present time. In 2005, he enrolled as a graduate student for M.Sc. program of Geology Programme at Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

