> โครงสร้างทางเรขาคณิตและสมบัติเชิงอิเล็กทรอนิกส์ของสารยับยั้ง เอช ไอ วี-1 1 ปปรติเนส: อนุพันธ์ $\mathrm{C}_{60}$



A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Chemistry

Department of Chemistry
Faculty of Science
Chulalongkorn University
Academic year 2002
ISBN 974-17-3131-7

| Thesis Title | Geometric Structures and Electronic Properties of HIV-1 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Proteinase Inhibitor: C60 Derivatives |
| By | Miss Siriporn Promsri |
| Field of Study | Chemistry |
| Thesis Advisor | Associate Professor Supot Hannongbua, Ph. D. |
| Thesis Co-advisor | Associate Professor Vudhichai Parasuk, Ph. D. |

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

Dean of Faculty of Science
(Associate Professor Wanchai Phothiphichitr, Ph. D.)

## THESIS COMMITTEE

Chairman
(Associate Professor Sirirat Kokpol, Ph. D.)

Thesis Advisor
(Associate Professor Supot Hannongbua, Ph. D.)

(Associate Professor Vudhichai Parasuk, Ph. D.)

(Assistant Professor Surapong Pinitglang, Ph. D.)
(Vannajan Sanghiran Lee, Ph. D.)

ศิริพร พรมศรี: โครงสร้างทางเรขาคณิตและสมบัติเชิงอิเล็กทรอนิกส์ของสารยับยั้ง เอช ไอ
วี-1 โปรติเนส: อนุพันธ์ $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ (GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES AND ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES OF HIV-1 PROTEINASE INHIBITOR: C 60 DERIVATIVES) อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา: รศ. ดร. สุพจน์ หารหนองบัว, อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม: รศ. ดร. วุฒิชัย พาราสุข, 136 หน้า. ISBN 974-17-3131-7

ศึกษาโครงสร้างและสมบัติเชิงอิเล็กทรอนิกส์ของอนุกรมอนุพันธ์ $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ด้วยวิธีทางเคมีควอน ตัมโดยใช้วิธีออเนียม (ONIOM) เพื่อหาโครงสร้างที่เสถียรที่สุดของสารและใช้วิธีเดนซิตีฟังก์ชัน แนลในการคำนวณเพื่อหาสมบัติเชิงโมเลกุลและสมบัติเชิงอิเล็กทรอนิกส์ที่ระดับเบซิทเซทขยาย ชนิด $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ ซึ่งพบว่าผลกระทบที่เกิดกับประจุสุทธิอันเกิดจากหมู่ฟังก์ชันนั้นมีผลมากที่สุดต่อ อะตอมในตำแหน่งที่เชื่อมต่อระหว่าง โมเลกุล $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ กับหมู่ฟังก์ชัน โดยทำให้เกิดการเปลี่ยนแปลง ประจุสุทธิในระยะกว่า 5 อังสตรอมจากพันธะ คาร์บอน-- คาร์บอน ที่เชื่อมต่อระหว่างหมู่ฟังก์ชัน กับ $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ นอกจากนี้ยังพบบริเวณที่มีศักย์ไฟฟ้าสถิตที่มีค่าสูงอยู่ 2 บริเวณด้วยกัน คือ บริเวณอะตอม ออกซิเจนของหมู่ไฮดรอกซิลและอะตอมไฮโดรเจนของหมู่ไฮดรอกซิล โดยที่อะตอมไฮโดรเจน ของหมู่ไฮดรอกซิลเป็นบริเวณที่มีค่าศักย์ไฟฟ้าสถิตเป็นค่าบวกมากที่สุด ซึ่งลักษณะทางไฟฟ้าสถิต แสดงความเป็นไฮโดร โฟบิก หรือ ไลโปฟิลิกของสารซึ่งบ่งชี้ว่าสารเหล่านี้เกิดอันตรกิริยากับ เอนไซม์อย่างไร


## สถาบันวิทยบริการ

## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ภาควิชา เคมี $\qquad$ ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
$\qquad$ ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา
ปีการศึกษา..... 2545 ..........................ายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม $\qquad$ ..
\#\# 4372427623: MAJOR CHEMISTRY
SIRIPORN PROMSRI: GEOMETRIC STRUCTURES AND ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES OF HIV-1 PROTEINASE INHIBITOR: C 60 DERIVATIVES. THESIS ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF. DR. SUPOT HANNONGBUA, THESIS CO-ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF. DR. VUDHICHAI PARASUK, 136 pp. ISBN 974-17-3131-7.

Quantum chemical methods were performed to study structure and electronic properties of a series of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives. The integrated, ONIOM molecular orbital method was applied to optimize the structure of all compounds while the DFT/B3LYP (6-31G (d)) calculations were performed to examine molecular and electronic properties. It was found that strongest effect of functional group on the net charges takes place on the linked atoms between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain. The functional group leads to the changes of atomic net charges on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface up to $5 \AA$ far from $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond where the functional group binds to the surface. Two localized electrostatic potential regions are observed, for the selected compounds, near the hydroxyl oxygen and the hydroxyl hydrogen. The hydroxyl hydrogen atom is the center for most positive potential. These electrostatic features are likely to be the modulator of hydrophobicity or lipophilicity of the compounds and, hence, indicate how they interact with the receptor.

## สถาบันวิทยบริการ <br> จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Supot Hannongbua, my advisor, for his understanding, useful guidance, and kind suggestion and encouragement throughout this study. Gratefully thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Vudhichai Parasuk, my co-advisor, for all the help and good advise. Special thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Sirirat Kokpol, Assistant Professor Dr. Surapong Pinitglang and Dr. Vannajan Sanghiran Lee who act as the thesis committee.

I also would like to acknowledge the computational chemistry unit cell (CCUC) for computer resources and other facilities. Also gratefully acknowledge the National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (BIOTEC) for financial support during the study.

I also would like to thank Professor Dr. Keiji Morokuma for valuable suggestions and discussions in ONIOM method.

Finally, I would like to give all gratitude affectionately to my beloved parents for all their love, support and encouragement during the whole period of my study and for always letting me that choose my own path. Thanks my sister for all joys and fights we have shared and for being such wonderful sister.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ

## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## CONTENTS

## Pages

ABSTRACT IN THAI ..... iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH ..... v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ..... vi
CONTENTS ..... vii
LIST OF FIGURES ..... X
LIST OF TABLES ..... xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1. Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) ..... 1
1.2. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) ..... 2
1.3. Replication of HIV ..... 3
1.4. HIV-1 Proteinase (HIV-1 PR) ..... 5
1.5. HIV-1 Proteinase Inhibitors ..... 9
1.6. Fullerene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$ Derivatives ..... 10
1.6.1. Introduction ..... 10
1.6.2. Enzymatic Inhibition and Anti-HIV Activity. ..... 11
1.7. Objectives of the Present Study ..... 13
CHAPTER 2 THEORY ..... 14
2.1. Quantum Mechanics...................................... ..... 14
2.1.1. Introduction ..... 14
2.1.2. Ab initio Methods. ..... 17
2.1.2.1.Hartree-Fock Theory ..... 17
2.1.2.2.Basis Sets. ..... 21
2.1.3. Semi-empirical Methods ..... 24
2.1.4. Density Functional Theory ..... 26
2.1.4.1. Basic Theory ..... 26
2.1.4.2. Local density Methods ..... 28

## CONTENTS (cont.)

Pages
2.1.4.3. Gradient Corrected Methods ..... 29
2.1.4.4.Hybrid Methods ..... 32
2.2. The ONIOM (our Own N-layered Integrated molecular Orbital and molecular Mechanics) Method ..... 33
2.3. Electrostatics ..... 36
2.3.1. Basic Theorems in Electrostatics ..... 36
2.3.2. Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MESP): Theoretical Computation and Graphics Visualization ..... 40
2.3.2.1.MESP from Density Functional Theory ..... 41
2.3.2.2.MESP Visualization ..... 42
2.3.3. Applications of Molecular Electrostatic Potential. ..... 43
2.3.3.1.Introduction ..... 43
2.3.3.2.Biological and Medicinal Chemistry ..... 44
CHAPTER 3 DETAILS OF THE CALCULATIONS ..... 45
3.1. Geometry Optimization of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Derivatives ..... 45
3.1.1. Structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Derivatives ..... 45
3.1.2. Optimization Algorithm. ..... 47
3.2. Electronic Features of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Derivatives ..... 48
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS ..... 49
4.1. Optimized Geometry of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Derivatives. ..... 49
4.2. Electronic and Molecular Properties ..... 51
4.2.1. Effect of Functional Groups on Molecular Orbital Properties ..... 51
4.2.2. Effect of Functional Groups on Atomic Net Charge ..... 52
4.2.3. Effect of Functional Groups on the Molecular Geometry ..... 56
4.2.4. Electrostatic Potential (ESP) ..... 59

## CONTENTS (cont.)

Pages
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS ..... 65
FURTHER WORKS ..... 67
REFERENCES ..... 68
APPENDICES ..... 78
APPENDIX A PARAMETERS OF C 60 DERIVETIVES ..... 79
APPENDIX B MOLECULAR ELECTROSTATIC POTENTIAL VISUALIZATION ..... 108
APPENDIX C MANUSCRIPT ..... 120
VITAE ..... 136

## LIST OF FIGURES

## Pages

Figure 1.1 A Schematic drawing of the mature HIV-virion ..... 3
Figure 1.2 A schematic representation of the replication cycle of HIV ..... 4
Figure 1.3 Ribbon drawing of (a) apo- and (b) inhibited HIV proteinase showing the relatively open and closed position of the flaps (top of images) ..... 5
Figure 1.4 Cleavage site of HIV pretease in the Gag and Pol polyproteins.. ..... 6
Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the catalytic mechanism of aspartic acid proteinase ..... 7
Figure 1.6 General scheme of active site interaction in HIV PR with a putative substrate or peptide-based inhibitor. ..... 8
Figure 1.7 Six clinically FDA approved proteinase inhibitors (FDA stands for Food and Drug Administration) ..... 9
Figure 2.1 (a) Comparison of exponential and Gaussian functions. (b) Comparison of the same exponential function and a sum of three Gaussians ..... 20
Figure 2.2 Basis set improvements ..... 23
Figure 2.3 Schematic representation of two-layered ONIOM extrapolation scheme ..... 34
Figure 2.4 Illustration of Gauss' law for a charge enclosed in a closed surface $\mathbf{S}$ ..... 37
Figure 2.5 Electric field in the neighborhood of a point $P$, position of a stable equilibrium for a positive charge ..... 40
Figure 3.1 Fullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) derivatives used in this study ..... 46
Figure 3.2 Optimized structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives using ONIOM method
(a) low level region and (b) high level region (ball and stick)..... ..... 47
Figure 4.1 Definition of structural parameters of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative compounds
I - IV with atomic numbering. ..... 49

## LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

Pages
Figure 4.2 Definition of structural parameters of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative compounds VII-X with atomic numbering ..... 50
Figure 4.3 The selected carbon atoms with labeling for atomic net charges determination of (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII- X ..... 53
Figure 4.4 The plots of atomic net charges of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives; (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X ..... 55
Figure 4.5 The six selected bond lengths on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface which are in the region $5 \AA$ from the bridged atoms. ..... 56
Figure 4.6 The plots of selected bond-length of all compounds; (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X ..... 58
Figure 4.7 Molecular electrostatic potential energy isosurfaces of the selected compounds superimposed onto total electron density ( $0.004 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{au}^{3}$ ) of compounds (solid view) ..... 62
Figure 4.8 Molecular electrostatic potential energy isosurfaces of the selected compounds superimposed onto total electron density ( $0.004 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{au}^{3}$ ) of compounds (mesh view) ..... 63
Figure 4.9 (a) Stereoview and (b) electrostatic potential contours plot of the compound III comparison between the optional structures obtained from quantum chemical calculation (blue) and molecular dynamics simulations (black) ..... 64
Figure B. 1 Schematic representation of methodology details and calculations of molecular electrostatic maps ..... 109
Figure B. 2 Dialog boxes of drawing tools and conformational search in HyperChem package ..... 110
Figure B. 3 ONIOM layer assignment illustration in Gaussview program. ..... 111
Figure B. 4 Dialog box of Gaussian calculation setup in Gaussview program ..... 112

## LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

## Pages

Figure B. 5 Show the section in the Cubes and Surfaces dialog box.
Figure B. 6 Show the section of map values from a $2^{\text {nd }}$ function in the Cubes and Surfaces dialog box

Figure B. 7 Three-dimensional plot showing MESP isosurface.................. 119

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of the 10 investigated compounds as shown in Figure 3.145

Table 3.2 ONIOM model structures employed 2-layer calculation for optimization (ONIOM2).48

Table 4.1 The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives and of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.51

Table 4.2 The minimum of electrostatic potential of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives.. 61
Table 4.3 The maximum of electrostatic potential of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives.. 61
Table A. 1 Structural parameters of compounds I-VI obtained from ONIOM calculations80

Table A. 2 Structural parameters of compounds VII-X obtained from
ONIOM calculations. ..... 91

Table A. 3 The net charges (in a.u.) of atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives in group 1.
 สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS)

In mid-1981, five cases of a rare of pneumonia (Pneumocystis carinii) and severe viral infections in previously healthy young adults were rather quietly reported in Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$ Soon, an increased occurrence of unusual cases of pneumonia and Kaposi's cancer together with other opportunistic infections, was observed among previously healthy homosexual men and intravenous drug abusers in the USA. ${ }^{2,3}$ The disease was accompanied by a depressed immune system and a susceptibility to opportunistic infections. This syndrome became known as Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS). ${ }^{4}$

In 1983 the causative agent of AIDS was identified as a human retrovirus, first isolated in France from a patient with multiple lymphadenopathies ${ }^{5}$, a condition linked to AIDS, and subsequently in 1984, from AIDS patient. ${ }^{6,7}$ Initially, three different names were given to the virus isolated from AIDS patients; human T lymphotropic virus III (HTLV-III) ${ }^{6}$, lymphadenopathy-associated virus (LAV) ${ }^{8}$, and AIDSassociated retrovirus (ARV). Eventually the AIDS-causing virus was in 1986 given an alternative name, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). ${ }^{9}$

By the end of 2001, approximately 42 million people were living with human immunodeficiency virus $(H I V)^{10}$, and more than 20 million people worldwide had lost their lives to AIDS. ${ }^{11}$ As the number of people infected with HIV continues to mount, efforts to provide care for those affected are just as critical as strategies for prevention, and have become an integral part of the response to control the HIV/AIDS pandemic.

### 1.2. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)

HIV-1 and HIV-2 are RNA viruses and belong to the family of retroviruses, Retroviridae (retro, backwards). The genome of retroviruses consists of duplicate copies of positive single-stranded RNA. Once a cell has become infected with a retrovirus the viral genetic information will be transformed from RNA to DNA, catalyzed by viral enzyme reverse transcriptase. The name retrovirus is derived from this unique event, which is completely opposite to the normal process where RNA is transcribed from DNA. Retroviruses are divided into seven genera, where the genus Lentivirus (lenti, slow), is characterized by the slow development of disease after infection. HIV is a typical lentivirus, since it usually has a disease latency of several years. ${ }^{12}$

A schematic drawing of the mature HIV virion is shown in Figure 1.1. The virion is almost spherical and is about one ten-thousandth of a millimeter across (ca. 100 $\mathrm{nm}) .{ }^{13}$ The virus is surrounded by a lipid bilayer derived from the host cell and contains several cellular membrane proteins. ${ }^{14}$ The outer portion of this envelope is spotted with surface glycoprotein gp120 (named for its approximate molecular weight) adhered to transmembrane protein gp41 (see Figure 1.1). These surface proteins play a crucial role when HIV binds to and enters the host cells. A shell of the matrix protein (p17) in Figure 1.1 lines the inner surface of the viral membrane, and a conical capsid core particle constructed out of the capsid protein (p24) is located in the center of the virus. The capsid particle encapsulates two copies of the viral genome, stabilized by the nucleocapsid protein (p7), and also contains three essential virally encoded enzymes: protease (PR), reverse transcriptase (RT), and integrase (IN). ${ }^{15}$
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 1.1 A Schematic drawing of the mature HIV-virion. ${ }^{13}$

### 1.3. Replication of HIV

A schematic representation of the replication cycle of HIV appears in Figure 1.2. The attachment of the viral surface protein (gp120) to the CD4-receptor, located on various cells within the immune system, initiates the replicative cycle of HIV. ${ }^{16}$ Attached virions utilize several additional cell-surface proteins to promote the fusion of the viral and host cellular membranes. ${ }^{17,18}$ Membrane fusion is followed by a poorly understood uncoating event of the capsid that allows the release of the viral content into the host-cell cytosol. The single-stranded viral RNA complexes with reverse transcriptase, which catalyses the reverse transcription to yield a doublestranded DNA molecule. ${ }^{19-21}$ The double-stranded viral DNA is then transported into the cell nucleus and is permanently integrated into the host genome by the catalytic activity of the viral integrase. The integrated viral DNA is designated provirus. ${ }^{22}$

By an unknown activation process the cell initiates the transcription of the proviral DNA by the host cellular RNA polymerase II. Initially, short spliced RNA species that encode the regulatory proteins Tat, Rev, and Nef are synthesized. Tat acts as a stimulator of the transcription of the proviral DNA to enhance the production of
viral RNA. ${ }^{23-25}$ Full-length and singly spliced RNA is needed in the cytoplasm for the synthesis of Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins, and for packing into new virions. Rev binds to the full length and singly spliced RNA in the nucleus and protects it from further splicing and actively transports it to the cytosol. In this manner, Rev acts as a switch between the early synthesis of highly spliced RNAs and the later synthesis of unspliced and singly spliced RNAs. Nef acts as a down-regulator of the number of CD4 receptors on the surface of the infected cell. ${ }^{26}$

Translations of the unspliced RNA by the ribosomes produce the polyproteins Gag and Gag-Pol. These polyproteins are transported to the plasma membrane with two molecules of viral RNA. They assemble together with the envelope protein to form an immature virus particle that is released from the cell by budding from the cell surface. To become infectious, the virion has to pass through a matutation process where the enzyme HIV protease cleaves the polyproteins into functional enzymes and structural proteins. The mature HIV virion is now ready to infect a new cell and start a new replication cycle. ${ }^{15,22}$


Figure 1.2 A schematic representation of the replication cycle of HIV. ${ }^{27}$

### 1.4.HIV-1 Protease (HIV-1 PR)

The HIV-1 protease (HIV-1 PR) was postulated to belong to the family of aspartic acid protease based on the identification of the Asp-Thr-Gly catalytic triad. ${ }^{28}$ Other members of this family, including the endogenous enzyme Pepsin, Cathepsin D and Renin, are single chain proteins of over 300 residues folded into two domains; each of which supplies a catalytic triad Asp-(Ser/Thr)-Gly. HIV-1 PR is much smaller at only 99 residues in length and posses only a single Asp-Thr-Gly triad so a homodimeric structure was proposed. ${ }^{29}$ Both of these conjectures were later confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the apoenzyme ${ }^{30,31}$ and of a HIV-1 PR-inhibitor complex. ${ }^{32,33}$

Some general feature of the HIV-1 protease structure can be described (Figure 1.3); (i) the two monomers are identical and form a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric elliptical-shaped enzyme. (ii) The N - and C - terminal of each monomer are juxtaposed in a fourstranded $\beta$-sheet that serves to hold the dimer together (the dimer interface). (iii) Each monomer has a hydrophobic core consisting of two loops, one of which includes the active site aspartic acid. (iv) The dimers come together to create an extended substrate-binding cleft capable of interacting with a minimum of seven consecutive amino acids in the substrate. (v) Each monomer contributes a flexible flap that folds down to make important contacts with the bound substrate. ${ }^{34}$


Figure 1.3 Ribbon drawing of (a) apo- and (b) inhibited HIV protease showing the relatively open and closed position of the flaps (top of images). ${ }^{29,32}$

The HIV-1 PR processes the Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins proteolytically at specific cleavage sites as shown in Figure 1.4. ${ }^{35,36}$ The HIV-1 PR is specific for cleavage of these sites in vivo, although the general sequence homologies among these are small.


Figure 1.4 Cleavage site of HIV pretease in the Gag and Pol polyproteins. ${ }^{35,36}$

The HIV-1 PR cleaves a variety of peptide bonds in the viral polyproteins during the course of its action to produce the individual proteins of the mature virus. The active site constellation of two proximal carboxyl group from the Asp25/Asp25, residues (one from each monomer). Several studies, experimental and ab initio calculations, of the protein cleavage mechanism have been performed. A schematic representation of the mechanism is outlined in Figure 1.5. ${ }^{37-41}$ Hydration of the amide carbonyl group, with a water molecule accommodated between the two side-chains of the aspartic acid residues $25 / 25$ ', give a putative tetrahedral intermediate that is suggested to be an approximate representation of the transition state of the proteolytic reaction.


Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the catalytic mechanism of aspartic acid protease. ${ }^{38}$


To date, several hundred crystal structures have been solved for various HIV protease/inhibitor complexes - a testimony to the importance placed on structural information in the process of inhibitor design. Structural comparison of the inhibitor complexes reveals certain common features (Figure 1.6). ${ }^{31,3642-47}$ The inhibitor and enzyme make a pattern of complementary hydrogen bonds between their backbone atoms. The enzyme also contains a number of well-defined pockets, or subsites, in its active site region into which inhibitor side-chains protrude, resulting in tight binding interaction between enzyme and inhibitor. Since a similar pattern of hydrogen bonds is believed to reside in the pattern of largely nonpolar subsite interactions between inhibitor and enzyme side-chain atoms. Overall, knowledge of the structure and function of HIV-1PR and its relationship to other aspartic proteinases has led to the successful development of a wide variety of potent and chemically diverse inhibitors.


### 1.5. HIV-1 Protease Inhibitors

HIV protease was first suggested as a potential target for AIDS therapy by Kramer et al. after it was shown that a frameshift mutation in the protease region of the pol-gene prevented cleavage of the Gag polyprotein precursor, which is essential for the maturation of the HIV particles. ${ }^{48}$ Blockage of HIV protease leads to the formation of immature non-infectious virions. ${ }^{49}$ Compounds, having the ability to inhibit this protease have been studied intensively during the last decade and numerous reports of potent HIV-1 protease inhibitors have been published. ${ }^{35,47,50-53}$




Ritonavir


Amprenavir


Nelfinavir

Figure 1.7 Six clinically FDA approved protease inhibitors (FDA stands for Food and Drug Administration).

Saquinavir was the first approved protease inhibitor and has been in clinical use since $1995 .{ }^{54}$ Presently, there are six clinically approved protease inhibitors which are peptide-analogue inhibitors and have excellent oral bioavailability (Figure 1.7). Although the inhibitors on the market are highly selective, however, they induce side effects such as lipodystrophy, hyperlipidaemia, insulin resistance, and emergence of resistant mutants upon prolonged use. ${ }^{55-58}$ Therefore there will probably be a constant demand for new HIV protease inhibitors.

Many groups have been pursuing a different nonpeptide template for the development of HIV protease inhibitors. ${ }^{59}$ Friedman et al. discovered that watersoluble methanofullerene derivative was a competitive inhibitor of HIV-1 protease by theoretical approach. ${ }^{60}$ Then they identified fullerene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$ derivatives with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value, the binding constant of the inhibitor to the enzyme, in the micromolar to nanomolar range. ${ }^{61}$

### 1.6. Fullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) Derivatives

### 1.6.1 Introduction

Interesting biological properties of fullerenes derivatives have been demonstrated in the last few years. Specific biological applications of fullerenes are discovered in the following order: enzymatic inhibition and anti-HIV activity, DNA cleavage and photodynamic therapy, neuroprotective properties, antiapoptotic activity, antibacterial activity and miscellaneous uses. ${ }^{62}$ Of particular relevance to the present work was the discovery by Friedman et al. [60] that a water-soluble methanofullerene derivative I was a competitive inhibitor of HIV-1 protease with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $5.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


### 1.6.2. Enzymatic inhibition and anti-HIV activity

Based on molecular modeling, Friedman et al. anticipated that a $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecule fit nicely into the hydrophobic cavity of the HIV-1 protease. Using the program DOCK3 and MINDOCK they were able to fit a minimized structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ into the enzyme active site. ${ }^{61}$ Good Van der Waals interactions with the hydrophobic surface resulted when the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ was squarely in the center of the cavity. The complexes generated via computer models suggest that the virucidal activity of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives results from a snug fit of the fullerene into the active site of the HIV-1 protease, thereby removing at least $298 \AA^{2}$ of primarily nonpolar surface from solvent exposure and driving ligand/protein association. The free energy of binding was estimated to be $8-12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, corresponding to a dissociation constant $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ of $10^{-6}-10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$. In this model, interaction of the catalytic aspartate residues of the enzyme with the fullerene was not specifically taken into account. A computer-minimized inclusion complex of the water-soluble $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative $\mathbf{I}$, prepared in three steps from $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ by Sijbesma et al. ${ }^{63}$, again positions the fullerene in the center of the hydrophobic cavity at the enzyme active site. Experimentally, fullerene I was found to be a competitive inhibitor of recombinant affinity-purified HIV-1 protease, with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $5.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For comparison, the best peptide-based protease inhibitors are effective in the subnanomolar range, while nonpeptide inhibitors are effective in the high nanomolar range.

Fullerene derivative I was tested by Schinazi et al. ${ }^{64}$ for antiviral activity in cells acutely and chronically infected with HIV-1 and in cell-free systems. In human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) infected with HIV type ILA-I, the antiviral activity ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ : effective concentration causing a $50 \%$ response, the lower the value the greater the toxicity) of I was also active against chronically infected H9 cells and human PBMC acutely infected with HIV-2 $2_{\text {Rod. }}$. While the anti-AIDS drug 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT) has significantly greater activity against acutely infected cell, it is not active in chronically infected H9 cells. When cell-free HIV-1 was incubated with $\mathbf{I}$ at concentrations of $5-25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, virus infectivity was reduced by more than $95 \%$ relative to controls, demonstrating that I interacts directly with the virus. Since agents used to treat viruses frequently lead to the development of drug
resistant viral strains, fullerene I was tested against AZT in acutely infected primary human lymphocytes. The activity of $\mathbf{I}$ was the same in both cases, indicating no crossresistance between I and AZT. This suggests that combination therapy using watersoluble fullerene derivatives and AZT might be fruitful.

Eleven additional $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives synthesized at New York University by Wilson, Schuster, and co-workers were tested as DMSO/water emulsions against human PBMC infected with HIV-1. ${ }^{65}$ All but one of these showed antiviral activity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ in the low micromolar range. Although the mechanism of anti-HIV activity of these compounds has not been established using cell-free assays, it appears that antiHIV activity and low toxicity seem to be general properties of many types of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives, although the pattern of activity may vary from compound to compound. It will also be interesting to see if these fullerenes have activity against other viruses.

The advantages of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives for blocking the active site of HIV-1 protease over those known in the art are twofold. First of all, the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives represent nonpeptide-based compounds that, through careful modeling, result in effective, tightly binding HIV-1 protease inhibitors. Second, the buckminsterfullerenes present a rigid, conformationally restricted scaffold upon which to mount nonpolar chemical moieties for establishing a hydrophobic interaction between the nonpolar active site surface of HIV-1 protease and the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface. Because of the steric bulk of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its complementarily to the active site surface, there are severe limitations to the orientations it can adopt within the active site. Essentially, the principal degree of freedom of a $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative within the active site is rotation around the central axis of symmetry. All of these attributes simplify the problem of predicting the binding modes of various derivatives, $\quad$ GUSTV
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

### 1.7. Objectives of the Present Study

This work is part of the structure based drug design research project aimed at the discovery of novel and selective HIV-1 protease inhibitors, with the study of physical properties of compounds. The specific objectives of this study are:
(i) To study the structure and orientation of the functional groups of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives.
(ii) To study effects of the functional groups on the changes of molecular geometries and distribution of electron on the surface of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ
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## CHAPTER 2

## THEORY

### 2.1. Quantum Mechanics

### 2.1.1. Introduction

Quantum mechanics ( QM ) is the correct mathematical description of the behavior of electrons and thus of chemistry. In theory, QM can predict any property of an individual atom or molecule exactly. In practice, the QM equations have only been solved exactly for one electron systems. A myriad collection of methods has been developed for approximating the solution for multiple electron systems. These approximations can be very useful, but this requires an amount of sophistication on the part of the researcher to know when each approximation is valid and how accurate the results are likely to be.

Two equivalent formulations of QM were devised by Schrödinger and Heisenberg. However, the uncertainty principle of Heisenberg, which is authentically the limitation of the obtained microscopic information of a system, seems to be essential as the consequences of the wave-particle duality. Here, only the Schrödinger form, since it is the basis for nearly all computational chemistry methods, has been briefly reviewed. $9 /$ g9/GMS

where $\hat{H}$ is the Hamiltonian operator, $\Psi$ the wave function, and $E$ the energy. In the language of mathematics, an equation of this form is called and eigen equation. $\Psi$ is
then called the eigenfunction and $E$ an eigenvalue. The operator and eigenfunction can be a matrix and vector, respectively, but this is not always the case.

The wave function $\Psi$ is a function of the electron and nuclear positions. As the name implies, this is the description of an electron as a wave. This is a probabilistic description of electron behavior. As such, it can describe the probability of electrons being in certain locations, but it cannot predict exactly where electrons are located. The wave function is also called a probability amplitude because it is the square of the wave function that yields probabilities. This is the only rigorously correct meaning of a wave function. In order to obtain a physically relevant solution of the Schrödinger equation, the wave function must be continuous, single-valued, normalizable, and antisymmetric with respect to the interchange of electrons.

For molecule, the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ is, in general,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H} & =-\sum_{i} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{\mathrm{e}}} \nabla_{i}^{2}+\sum_{k} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{k}} \nabla_{k}^{2}-\sum_{i} \sum_{k} \frac{e^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{k}}{r_{i k}}+\sum_{i<j} \frac{e^{2}}{\mathrm{r}_{i j}}+\sum_{k<l} \frac{e^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{k} \mathrm{Z}_{l}}{\mathrm{r}_{k l}} \\
& =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ne}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ee}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NN}} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ and $j$ run over electrons, $k$ and $l$ run over nuclei, $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by $2 \pi, m_{e}$ is the mass of the electron, $m_{k}$ is the mass of nucleus $K, \nabla^{2}$ is the Laplacian operator, $e$ is the charge on the electron, $Z$ is an atomic number, and $r_{a b}$ is the distance between particles $a$ and $b . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}$ denotes nuclear kinetic, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$ electron kinetic, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ne}}$ unclearelectron attraction, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ee }}$ electron-electron repulsion and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NN}}$ nuclear-nuclear repulsion. Note that $\Psi$ is thus a function of $3 n$ coordinates where $n$ is the total number of particles (nuclei and electrons), e.g., the $x, y$, and $z$ Cartesian coordinates specific to each particle.

In currently available software, the Hamiltonian above is nearly never used. The problem can be simplified by separating the nuclear and electron motions. This is
called the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. It is convenient to decouple these two motions, and compute electronic energies for fixed nuclear positions. That is, the nuclear kinetic energy term is taken to be independent of the electrons, correlation in the attractive electron-nuclear potential energy term is eliminated, and the repulsive nuclear-nuclear potential energy term becomes a simply evaluated constant for a given geometry. Thus, the electronic Schrödinger equation is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{e}+V_{n e}+V_{e e}\right) \Psi_{\mathrm{el}}\left(\mathbf{q}_{i} ; \mathbf{q}_{k}\right)=E_{\mathrm{el}} \Psi_{\mathrm{el}( }\left(\mathbf{q}_{i} ; \mathbf{q}_{k)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript 'el' emphasizes the invocation of the Born-Openheimer approximation and the electronic coordinates $\mathbf{q}_{i}$ are independent variables but the nuclear coordinate $\mathbf{q}_{k}$ are parameters. The eigenvalue of the electronic Schrödinger equation is called the 'electronic energy'.

Once a wave function has been determined, any property of the individual molecule can be determined. This is done by taking the expectation value of the operator for that property, denoted with angled brackets $\rangle$. For example, the energy is the expectation value of the Hamiltonian operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle E\rangle=\int \Psi * \hat{H} \Psi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an exact solution, this is the same as the energy predicted by the Schrödinger equation. For an approximate wave function, this gives an approximation of the energy. This is called variational energy because it is always greater than or equal to the exact energy. Bysubstituting different operators, it is possible to obtain different observable properties, such as the dipole moment or electron density. Properties other than the energy are not variational, because only Hamiltonian is used to obtain the wave function in the widely used computational chemistry methods.

Another way of obtaining molecular properties is to use the HellmannFeynman theorem. This theorem states that the derivative of energy with respect to some property $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d E}{d P}=\left\langle\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial P}\right\rangle \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relationship is often used for computing electrostatic properties. Not all, but variation methods approximation methods obey the Hellmann-Feynman theorem. Some of the variational methods were also discussed in this chapter.

### 2.1.2. Ab initio Methods

The term ab initio is Latin for "from the beginning". This name is given to computations that are derived directly from theoretical principles with no inclusion of experimental data. This is an approximate quantum mechanical calculation. The approximations made are usually mathematical approximations, such as using a simpler functional form for a function or finding an approximate solution to a differential equation.

All molecular wave functions are approximate; some are just more approximate than others. We can solve the Schrödinger equation exactly for the hydrogen atom but not even, despite what many textbooks say, for the hydrogen molecule ion, $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+} \cdot 679$ LS


The orbital model is a very attractive one, and it can obviously be used to successfully model atoms, molecules and the solid state because it is now part of the language of elementary descriptive chemistry. The essence of this Hartree-Fock (HF) model is to solve the electronic Schrödinger equation for a single electron moving in a potential that averages out the effects of the nuclei and the remaining
electrons. Electron repulsion is certainly not taken to be zero, but the HF model cannot treat the finer details of electronic structure theory that are caused by the instantaneous repulsion between electrons. So, dispersion forces cannot be treated at the HF level of theory.

The basic physical idea of HF theory is a simple one and can be tied in very nicely with the electron density. The physical significance of the density function $\rho_{1}(\mathbf{r}, s) ; \rho_{\imath}(\mathbf{r}, s) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{d} s$ gives the chance of finding any electron simultaneously in the spin-space volume elements $\mathrm{d} \tau$ and $\mathrm{d} s$, with the other electrons anywhere in space and with either spin. $P(\mathbf{r})$ d $\tau$ gives the corresponding chance of finding any electron with either spin in the spatial volume element $\mathrm{d} \tau$.

The vast majority of known molecules are organic, totally lacking in symmetry and having singlet electronic ground states which can be written in the language of elementary descriptive chemistry as configurations $\psi_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \ldots . . \psi_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}$.

If there are $m$ doubly occupied molecular orbitals, and the number of electrons is 2 m . In the original Hartree model, the many-electron wave function was written as a straightforward product of one-electron orbital $\psi_{\mathrm{A}}, \psi_{\mathrm{B}}$ and so on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{s}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{s}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{2 m}, \mathbf{s}_{2 m}\right)=\psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \alpha\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \beta\left(\mathbf{s}_{2}\right) \ldots \psi_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2 m}\right) \beta\left(\mathbf{s}_{2 m}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest antisymmetric combination of molecular orbitals (MOs) is a matrix determinant. A HF wave function is constructed by assigning electrons to molecular orbitals in ${ }_{\odot}$ pairs of opposite spin, and then forming a determinant using two spin functions $\alpha$ and $\beta$. For molecule containing 2 m electrons, the wave function is referred to as a 'Slater determinant', and takes the form:

$$
\left.\psi_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_{2 m}, \mathrm{~s}_{2 m}\right)=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
\psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \alpha\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right) & \cdots & \psi_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \alpha\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) & \cdots & \psi_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) \\
\ldots & \ldots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) \alpha\left(\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) & \psi_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) & \cdots & \psi_{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2 m}\right) \beta\left(\mathrm{s}_{2 m}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right)
$$

At the minimum, each electron moves in an average field due to the other electrons and the nuclei. Small variations in the form of the orbitals at this point do not change the energy or the electric field.

## Linear combinations of atomic orbitals (LCAO)

Although there is no exact analytical solution to the time-independent molecular Schrödinger equation for systems containing more than one electron, approximate solutions can be obtained using standard numerical techniques. The approach of all $a b$ initio techniques is to build the total wave function from a 'basis' set of mathematical functions capable of reproducing critical properties of the system. An individual molecular orbital may then be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mu=i}^{N} c_{\mu i} \chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ are the basis functions, and the coefficients $c_{\mu i}$ are adjustable parameters. For a molecular wavefunction, the electronic orbitals of the constituent atoms form a natural set of basis functions. These atomic orbitals can in turn be represented by different types of mathematical functions. A highly accurate set of atomic orbitals (Slater-type orbitals or STOs) are based on hydrogenic wavefunctions having the form
สm สาบันวิทยบริการ
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Exponential functions are not well suited to numerical manipulation, so most electronic structure calculations approximate STOs with a linear combination of Gaussian-type functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{S T O-N G}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \chi_{\mu}=\sum_{v} d_{\mu \nu} e^{-\alpha_{\nu} r^{2}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\mu \nu}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}$ are adjustable parameters. As can be seen from Fig. 2.1, Gaussiantype functions provide reasonable approximations of STOs, except at very small or very large electron-nucleus separations.


Figure 2.1 (a) Comparison of exponential and Gaussian functions. (b) Comparison of the same exponential function and a sum of three Gaussians.

Linear combinations of 'primitive' Gaussian functions are referred to as 'contracted' Gaussians. Standard ab initio software packages offer a choice of basis sets containing contracted Gaussians optimized to reproduce the chemistry of a large range of molecular systems.

## The Roothan-Hall equation

$\qquad$
energy of the resultant wavefunction will give the best approximation to the exact wave function from a chosen basis set.

The variational constraint leads to a set of algebraic equations (Roothan-Hall) for $\mathrm{c}_{\mu \mathrm{i}}$, expressed in matrix form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F C}=\mathbf{S C} \varepsilon \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $\mathbf{C}$ is the matrix of MO expansion coefficient;
- $\mathbf{F}$ is the Fock matrix, which is the sum of a term representing the energy of a single electron in the field of the bare atomic nuclei and a term describing electron-electron repulsion within an averaged field of electron density;
- $\mathbf{S}$ is a matrix describing the overlap of molecular orbitals; and
- $\varepsilon$ is a diagonal matrix containing the one-electron energies of each molecular orbital $\chi_{\mu}$.

Since the terms within the Fock matrix $\mathbf{F}$ depend upon the electron density, which in turn, depends upon molecular wave function defined by the matrix of MO expansion coefficients $\mathbf{C}$, the Roothan-Hall equations are nonlinear, and must be solved by an iterative procedure termed the 'self-consistent field' (SCF) method. Upon convergence of the SCF method, the minimum-energy MOs produce the electric field which generate the same orbitals (hence, the self-consistency).

## สถาบนวทยปรการ

### 2.1.2.2. Basis Sets <br> จุหาลุงกรณโมหาวิทยาลัย <br> In general, a basis set is an assortment of mathematical functions

 used to solve a differential equation. In quantum chemical calculations, the term 'basis set' is applied to a collection of contracted Gaussians representing atomic orbitals, which are optimized to reproduce the desired chemical properties of a system.Standard ab initio software packages generally provide a choice of basis sets that vary both in size and in their description of the electrons in different
atomic orbitals. Larger basis sets include more and a greater range of basis functions. Therefore, larger basis sets can better refine the approximation to the 'true' molecular wave function, but require correspondingly more computer resources. Alternatively, accurate wave functions may be obtained from different treatments of electrons in atoms. For instance, molecules containing large atoms $(Z>30)$ are often modeled using basis sets incorporating approximate treatments of inner-shell electrons which account for relativistic phenomena.
'Minimal' basis sets contain the minimum number of AO basis functions needed to describe each atom (e.g., 1s for H and He ; 1s, 2s, 2px, 2py, 2pz for Li to Ne ). An example of a minimal basis set is STO-3G, which uses three Gaussiantype functions (3G) per basis function to approximate the atomic Slater-type orbitals (see Fig. 2.1b). Although minimal basis sets are not recommended for consistent and accurate predictions of molecular energies, their simple structure provides a good tool for visualizing qualitative aspects of chemical bonding. Improvements on minimal basis sets are described below and illustrated in Fig. 2.2.

## Split valence basis sets

In split valence basis sets, additional basis functions (one contracted Gaussian plus some primitive Gaussians) are allocated to each valence atomic orbital. The resultant linear combination allows the atomic orbitals to adjust independently for a given molecular environment. Split valence basis sets are characterised by the number of functions assigned to valence orbitals. 'Double zeta' basis sets use two basis functions to describe valence electrons, 'triple zeta' use three functions, and so forth. Basis sets developed by Pople and coworkers ${ }^{66}$ are denoted by the number of Gaussian functions used to describe inner and outer shell electrons. Thus ${ }^{6} 6-31 \mathrm{G}$ ' describes an inner shell atomic orbital with a contracted Gaussian composed of six primitive Gaussians, an inner valence shell with a contracted Gaussian composed of three primitives, and an outer valence shell with one primitive. Other split-valence sets include 3-21G, 4-31G, and 6-311G.


Polarisation functions


Figure 2.2 Basis set improvements.

## Polarized basis sets

Polarization functions can be added to basis sets to allow for non-uniform displacement of charge away from atomic nuclei, thereby improving descriptions of chemical bonding. Polarisation functions describe orbitals of higher angular momentum quantum number than those required for the isolated atom (e.g., $p$-type functions for H and He , and $d$-type functions for atoms with $Z>2$ ), and are added to the valence electron shells. For example, the $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set is constructed by adding six $d$-type Gaussian primitives to the 6-31G description of each non-hydrogen atom. The $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ is identical to $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for heavy atoms, but adds a set of Gaussian $p$-type functions to hydrogen and helium atoms. The addition of $p$-orbitals to hydrogen is particularly important in systems where hydrogen is a bridging atom.

## 

Species with significant electron density far removed from the nuclear centers (e.g., anions, lone pairs and excited states) require diffuse functions to account for the outermost weakly bound electrons. Diffuse basis sets are recommended for calculations of electron affinities, proton affinities, inversion barriers and bond angles in anions. The addition of diffuse $s$ - and $p$-type Gaussian functions to non-hydrogen atoms is denoted by a plus sign-as in ' $3-21+G$ '. Further addition of diffuse functions to both hydrogen and larger atoms is indicated by a double plus.

### 2.1.3. Semi-empirical Methods

Semi-empirical methods increase the speed of computation by using approximations of ab initio techniques (e.g., by limiting choices of molecular orbitals or considering only valence electrons) which have been fitted to experimental data (for instance, structures and formation energies of organic molecules). Until recently, the size of many energetic molecules placed them beyond the scope of ab initio calculations. However, semi-empirical methods have been calibrated to typical organic or biological systems and tend to be inaccurate for problems involving hydrogenbonding, chemical transitions or nitrated compounds. ${ }^{67,68}$

Several semi-empirical methods are available and appear in commercially available computational chemistry software packages such as HyperChem ${ }^{69}$ and Chem $3 D^{70}$. Some of the more common semi-empirical methods can be grouped according to their treatment of electron-electron interactions. ${ }^{69}$

## The extended Hückel method

Extended Hückel calculations neglect all electron-electron interactions, making them computationally fast but not very accurate. The model provides a qualitative estimate of the shapes and relative energies of molecular orbitals, and approximates the spatial distribution of electron density. Extended Hückel models are good for chemical visualisation and can be applied to 'frontier orbital' treatments of chemical reactivity.


## Neglect of differential overlap (NDO) <br>  <br> NDO models neglect some but not all of the electron-electron

 interactions. The Hartree-Fock Self-Consistent Field (HF-SCF) method is used to solve the Schrödinger equation with various approximations:- Complete $N D O(C N D O)$ - the product of two atomic orbitals on different atoms is set equal to zero everywhere.
- Intermediate NDO (INDO) - differential overlap between orbitals on the same atom are taken into account in the description of electron-electron repulsion, but differential overlap between orbitals on different atoms is neglected.
- Modified INDO, version 3 (MINDO/3) - reparameterized version of INDO optimized to predict good enthalpies of formation and reasonable molecular geometries for a range of chemical systems, in particular, sulphur-containing compounds, carbocations, and polynitro organic compounds. ${ }^{71}$
- Zerner's INDO methods (ZINDO/1 and ZINDO/S) - Michael Zerner.s (University of Florida) versions of INDO developed for use with molecular systems containing transition metals.


## Neglect of diatomic differential overlap (NDDO)

NDDO methods build upon the INDO model by including the overlap density between two orbitals on one atom interacting with the overlap density between two orbitals on the same or another atom.

- Modified NDO (MNDO) - a method introduced to correct some of the problems associated with MINDO/3. In general, MNDO overestimates activation barriers to chemical reactions.
- Austin Method, version I (AMI) - a reparameterised version of MNDO which includes changes in nuclear repulsion terms. ${ }^{72}$
- Parameterisation Model, version 3 (PM3) - a second reparameterisation of MNDO, functionally similar to AM1, but with some significant improvements. The PM3 Hamiltonian contains essentially the same elements as that for AM1, but the parameters for the PM3 model were derived using an automated parameterization procedure. ${ }^{73}$ By contrast, many of the parameters in AM1 were obtained by applying chemical knowledge and 'intuition'. As a consequence, some of the parameters have significantly different values in AM1 and PM3, even though both methods use the same functional form and they both predict various thermodynamic and structural properties to approximately the same level of accuracy. Some problems do remain with PM3. One of the most important of these is the rotational barrier of the amide bond, which is much too low and in some cases almost non-existent. This problem can
be corrected through the use of an empirical torsional potential. There has been considerable debate over the relative merits of the AM1 and PM3 approaches to parametrization.


### 2.1.4. Density Functional Theory

Density functional theory (DFT) has become very popular in recent years. This is justified based on the pragmatic observation that it is less computationally intensive than other methods with similar accuracy. This theory has been developed more recently than other $a b$ initio methods. Because of this, there are classes of problems which are not yet explore with this theory, making it all the more crucial to test the accuracy of the method before applying it to unknown systems.

### 2.1.4.1. Basic Theory

The premise behind DFT is that the energy of a molecule can be determined from the electron density instead of a wave function. This theory originated with a theorem by Hohenburg and Kohn ${ }^{74}$ that stated this was possible. The original theorem applied only to finding the ground-state electronic energy of a molecule. A practical application of this theory was developed by Kohn and Sham who formulated a method similar in structure to the Hartree-Fock method.

In this formulation, the electron density is expressed as a linear combination of basis functions similar in mathematical form to HF orbitals. A determinant is then formed from these functions, called Kohn-Sham orbitals. It is the electron density from this determinant of orbitals that is used to compute the energy. This procedure is necessary because Fermion systems can only have electron densities that arise from an antisymmetric wave function. There has been some debate over the interpretation of Kohn-Sham orbitals. It is certain that they are not mathematically equivalent to either HF orbitals or natural orbitals from correlated calculations. However, Kohn-Sham orbitals do describe the behavior of electrons in a molecule, just as the other orbitals mentioned do. DFT orbital eigenvalues do not match the energies obtained from photoelectron spectroscopy experiments as well as HF orbital energies
do. The questions still being debated are how to assign similarities and how to physically interpret the differences.

A density functional is the used to obtain the energy for the electron density. A functional is a function of a function, in this case, the electron density. The exact density functional is not known. Therefore, there is a whole list of different functionals that may have advantages or disadvantages. Some of these functionals were developed from fundamental quantum mechanics and some were developed by parameterizing functions to best reproduce experimental results. Thus, there are in essence $a b$ initio and semiempirical versions of DFT. DFT tends to be classified either as an $a b$ initio method or in a class by itself.

The advantage of using electron density is that the integrals for Coulomb repulsion need be done only over the electron density, which is a threedimensional function, thus scaling as $N^{3}$. Furthermore, at least some electron correlation can be included in the calculation. These results in faster calculations than HF calculations (which scale as $N^{4}$ ) and computations those are a bit more accurate as well. The better DFT functionals give results with an accuracy similar to that of and MP2 calculation.

Density functionals can be broken down into several classes. The simplest is called the $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ method. This type of calculation includes electron exchange but not correlation. It was introduced by J. C. Slater, who in attempting to make an approximation to Hartree-Fock unwittingly discovered the simplest form of DFT. The $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ method is similar in accuracy to HF and sometimes better.

The simplest approximation to the complete problem is one based only on the electron density, called a local density approximation (LDA). For highspin systems, this is called the local spin density approximation (LSDA). LDA calculatioons have been widely used for band structure calculations. Their performance is less impressive for molecular calculations, where both qualitative and quantitative errors are encountered. For example, bonds tend to be too short and too strong. In recent years, LDA, LSDA, and VWN (the Vosko, Wilks, and Nusair functional) have become synonymous in the literature.

A more complex set of functionals utilizes the electron density and its gradient. These are called gradient-corrected methods. There are also hybrid
methods that combine functionals from other methods with pieces of a Hartree-Fock calculation, usually the exchange integrals.

In general, gradient-corrected or hybrid calculations give the most accurate results. However, there are a few cased where $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ and LDA do quite well. LDA is known to give less accurate geometries and predicts binding energies significantly too large. The current generations of hybrid functionals are a bit more accurate than the present gradient-corrected techniques.

### 2.1.4.2. Local density Methods

In the Local Density Approximation (LDA) it is assumed that the density locally can be treated as a uniform electron gas, or equivalently that the density is slowly varying function. The exchange energy for a uniform electron gas is given by the Dirac formula.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}[\rho]=-C_{\mathrm{x}} \int \rho^{4 / 3}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{d r}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}[\rho]=-C_{\mathrm{x}} \rho^{1 / 3} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In the more general case, where the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ densities are not equal, LDA (where the sum of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ densities is raised to the $4 / 3$ power) has been virtually abandoned and replaced by the Local Spin Density Approximation (LSDA) (which is given as the sum of the individual densities raised to the $4 / 3$ power), eq. (2.14)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 66) } E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\text {LSDA }}[\rho]^{2}=-2^{1 / 3} C_{\mathrm{x}} \int\left[\rho_{\alpha}^{4 / 3}+\rho_{\beta}^{4 / 3}\right] \mathbf{d r} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

LSDA may also be written in terms of the total density and the spin polarization.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{LSDA}}[\rho]=-\frac{1}{2} C_{\mathrm{x}} \rho^{1 / 3}\left[(1+\zeta)^{4 / 3}+(1-\zeta)^{4 / 3}\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation energy of a uniform electron gas has been determined by Monte Carlo methods for a number of different densities. In order to use these results in DFT calculations, it is desirable to have a suitable analytic interpolation formula. This has been constructed by Vosko, Wilk and Nusair (VWN) ${ }^{75}$ and is in general considered to be a very accurate fit. It interpolates between the unpolarized $(\zeta=0)$ and spin polarized $(\zeta=1)$ limits by the following functional.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{VWN}}\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}, \zeta\right)=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}, 0\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\left[\frac{f(\zeta)}{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}\right]\left[1-\zeta^{4}\right]+\left[\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}, 1\right)-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}, 0\right)\right] f(\zeta) \zeta^{4} \\
& \mathrm{f}(\zeta)=\frac{(1+\zeta)^{4 / 3}+(1-\zeta)^{4 / 3}-2}{2\left(2^{1 / 3}-1\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The LSDA approximation in general underestimates the exchange energy by $\sim 10 \%$, thereby creating errors which are larger than the whole correlation energy. Electron correlation is furthermore overestimated, often by a factor close to 2 , and bond strengths are as a consequent overestimated. Despite the simplicity of the fundamental assumptions, LSDA methods are often found to provide results with accuracy similar to that obtained by waye mechanics HF methods.

### 2.1.4.3. Gradient Corrected Methods

Improvements over the LSDA approach have to consider a non-uniform electron gas. A step in this direction is to make the exchange and correlation energies dependent not only the electron density, but also on derivatives of the density. Such methods are known as Gradient Corrected or Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) methods (a straightforward Taylor expansion does not lead to as improvement over LSDA, it actually makes things worse, thus the name generalized gradient approximation). GGA methods are also sometimes referred to as non-local methods, although this is somewhat misleading since the functionals depend only on the density (and derivatives) at a given point, not on a space volume as for example the HartreeFock exchange energy.

Perdew and Wang (PW86) ${ }^{76}$ proposed modifying the LSDA exchange expression to that show in eq. 2.18, where x is a dimensionless gradient variable, and $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c being suitable constants (summation over equivalent expressions for the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ densities is implicitly assumed).

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{PW} 86} & =\varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{LDA}}\left(1+a x^{2}+b x^{4}+c x^{6}\right)^{1 / 15} \\
\mathrm{x} & =\frac{|\nabla \rho|}{\rho^{4 / 3}} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Becke proposed a widely used correction to the LSDA exchange energy, with has the correct $-r^{-1}$ asymptotic behavior for the energy density (but not for the exchange potential).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{B} 88}=\varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{LDA}}+\Delta \varepsilon_{x}^{\mathrm{B} 88} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\beta$ parameter is determined by fitting to known atomic data and x is defined in eq. 2.18 .

Perdew and Wang have proposed an exchange functional similar to B88 to be used in connection with the PW91 correlation functional given below.


There have been various gradient corrected functional forms proposed for the correlation energy. One popular functional (not a correction) is due to Lee, Yang and Parr (LYP) ${ }^{77}$ and has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{LYP}}= & -a \frac{\gamma}{\left(1+d \rho^{-1 / 3}\right)}-a b \frac{\gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{c} \rho^{-1 / 3}}}{9\left(1+\mathrm{d} \rho^{1 / 3}\right) \rho^{8 / 3}} \\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
18\left(2^{2 / 3}\right) C_{F}\left(\rho_{\alpha}^{8 / 3}+\rho_{\beta}^{8 / 3}\right)-18 \rho t_{W} \\
+\rho_{\alpha}\left(2 t_{W}^{\alpha}+\nabla^{2} \rho_{\alpha}\right)+\rho_{\beta}\left(2 t_{W}^{\beta}+\nabla^{2} \rho_{\beta}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
\gamma= & 2\left[1-\frac{\rho_{\alpha}^{2}+\rho_{\beta}^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\right] \\
t_{W}^{\sigma}= & \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\left.\nabla \rho_{\sigma}\right|^{2}}{\rho_{\sigma}}-\nabla^{2} \rho_{\sigma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $a, b, c$ and $d$ parameters are determined by fitting to data for the helium atom. The $t_{\mathrm{W}}$ functional is known as the local Weizsacker kinetic energy density. Note that the $\gamma$-factor becomes zero when all the spins are aligned ( $\rho=\rho_{\alpha}, \rho_{\beta}=0$ ), i.e. the LYP functional does not predict any parallel spin correlation in such a case (e.g. The LYP correlation energy in triplet He is Zero). The appearance of the second derivative of the density can be removed by partial integration to give eq. (2.22).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{c}^{\mathrm{LYP}}=-4 \alpha \frac{\rho_{\alpha} \rho_{\beta}}{\rho^{2}\left(1+d \rho^{-1 / 3}\right)} \\
& -a b \omega\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\rho_{\alpha} \rho_{\beta}}{18}\left[\begin{array}{l}
144\left(2^{2 / 3}\right) C_{F}\left(\rho_{\alpha}^{8 / 3}+\rho_{\alpha}^{8 / 3}\right)+(47-7 \delta) \mid \nabla \rho^{2} \\
-(45-\delta)\left(\left|\nabla \rho_{\alpha}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \rho_{\beta}\right|^{2}\right)+2 \rho^{-1}(11-\delta)\left(\rho_{\alpha}\left|\nabla \rho_{\alpha}\right|^{2}+\rho_{\beta}\left|\nabla \rho_{\beta}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
\\
\omega=\frac{2}{3} \rho^{2}\left(\left|\nabla \rho_{\alpha}\right|^{2}+\left.\nabla \rho_{\beta}\right|^{2}-\mid \nabla \rho^{2}\right)-\left(\rho_{\alpha}^{2}\left|\nabla \rho_{\beta}\right|^{2}+\rho_{\beta}^{2}\left|\nabla \rho_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \left(1+d \rho^{-1 / 3}\right) \rho^{14 / 3} \\
& \delta=c \rho^{-1 / 3}+\frac{d \rho^{-1 / 3}}{\left(1+d \rho^{-1 / 3}\right)} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.4.4. Hybrid Methods

From the Hamiltonian and the definition of the exchange-correlation energy and exact connection can be made between the exchange-correlation energy and the corresponding potential connecting the non-interacting reference and the actual system. The resulting equation is called the Adiabatic Connection Formula (ACF) and involves and integration over the parameter $\lambda$ which "turns on" the electron-electron interaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x c}=\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\Psi_{\lambda}\right| V_{\mathrm{xc}}(\lambda)\left|\Psi_{\lambda}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the crudest approximation (taking $\boldsymbol{V}_{\mathrm{xc}}$ to be linear in $\lambda$ ) the integral is given as the average of the values at the two end-points.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{xc}} \approx \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{xc}}(0)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Psi_{1}\right| \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{xc}}(1)\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $\lambda=0$ limit, the electrons are non-interacting and there is consequently no correlation energy, only exchange energy. Furthermore, since the exact wave function in this case is a single Slater determinant composed of KS orbitals, the exchange energy is exactly that given by Hartree-Fock theory. If the KS orbitals are identical to the HF orbitals, the "exact" exchange is precisely the exchange energy calculated by


Models which include exact exchange are often called hybrid methods, the names Adiabatic Connection Model (ACM) and Becke 3 parameter functional (B3) are examples of such hybrid models defined by eq. (2.25). The $a, b$ and $c$ parameters are determined by fitting to experimental data and depend on the form chosen for $E_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{GGA}}$, typical values are $a \sim 0.2, b \sim 0.7$ and $c \sim 0.8$. Owing to the substantially better
performance of such parameterized functionals the Half-and-Half model is rarely used anymore. The B3 procedure has been generalized to include more fitting parameters, however, the improvement is rather small.

### 2.2. The ONIOM (our Own N-layered Integrated molecular Orbital and molecular Mechanics) Method ${ }^{78}$

Although the density functional theory obtained from the combination with coulomb and exchange integrals has led to theoretical methods which scaled almost linearly with the size of the system, the accurate $a b$ initio modeling of chemical systems containing a large number of atoms is still a challenging task. Morokuma et al. ${ }^{79}$ proposed the Integrated Molecular Orbital and Molecular Mechanics (IMOMM) method which partitioned the system into 2 parts where different levels of theory are treated. Soon afterward, it was realized that the extrapolation scheme in IMOMM could be generalized to combine two MO methods as well. This resulted in a combined $\mathrm{MO}+\mathrm{MO}$ method, which was referred to as the Integrated Molecular Orbital and Molecular Orbital method (IMOMO) ${ }^{80}$ Later, the integration of more than two methods was accomplished, and the entire suite of integrated methods was named the ONIOM method. Thus, IMOMO encompasses both two-layered ONIOM2 (MO:MO) and three-layered ONIOM3 (MO:MO:MO), and IMOMM is in principle equivalent to ONIOM2 (MO:MM) and ONIOM3 (MO:MO:MM). Thus, interesting or difficult part of the system is treated with more accurate method while the rest of the system is treated with the less accurate method. By this approach, a lot of computation time can be saved and "real" instead of "model" system can be studied. The crucial aspect in this and other hybrid schemes is the interaction between the inner and the outer part (higher level of theory)(lower level of theory) of the system. 6 e.

## Hybrid Calculations with ONIOM

In the two-layered ONIOM method, the total energy of the system is obtained from three independent calculations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\mathrm{ONIOM} 2}=E_{m o d ~ e l}^{\text {high }}+E_{\text {real }}^{\text {low }}-E_{m o d ~ e l}^{\text {low }}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where real denotes the full system, which is treated at the low level, while model denotes the part of the system for which the energy is calculated at both high and low levels. The concept of the ONIOM method is represented schematically in Figure 2.3 . One can see that the method can be regarded as an extrapolation scheme. Beginning at $E_{\text {model }}^{\text {low }}$, the extrapolation to the high-level calculation $\left(E_{\text {model }}^{\text {high }}-E_{\text {model }}{ }^{\text {low }}\right)$ and the extrapolation to the real system $\left(E_{\text {real }}{ }^{\text {low }}-E_{\text {model }}{ }^{\text {low }}\right)$ are assumed to produce an estimate for $E_{\text {real }}{ }^{\text {high }}$.


Figure 2.3 Schematic representation of two-layered ONIOM extrapolation scheme.

As can be seen, there is no restriction on the methods used at various levels (high, medium, low), and various MO and MM combinations discussed above can be derived. Combining different levels of MO methods is a unique feature of the ONIOM method. It turns out that MO:MO integration is rather straightforward, and virtually no special attention is required. On the other hand, the integration in ONIOM of MO and MM methods, combining two methods with very different philosophies, leads to many serious problems, as with all of the $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ methods. The integration of two MO levels with one MM level, ONIOM3 (MO:MO:MM), is unique, a feature absent from other $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ methods. In MO-MM combinations, the interaction between the MO
and MM regions can be treated at the MM level, i.e., with so-called mechanical embedding, or, alternatively, in the QM Hamiltonian, with so-called electronic embedding.

In the construction of the ONIOM model system, atoms that belong to the high-level layer have the same coordinates as the corresponding atoms in the real system. Even during geometry optimizations, these coordinates remain identical to one another. When no bond exists between the two layers, the first derivative of the energy with respect to the geometry is easy to obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E^{\mathrm{ONIOM}}}{\partial q}=\frac{\partial E_{\text {mod el }}^{\text {high }}}{\partial q}+\frac{\partial E_{\text {real }}^{\text {low }}}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial E_{\text {mod el }}^{\text {low }}}{\partial q} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the link atoms used in the model system do not exist in the real system, and one of the main issues in this type of hybrid method is their geometrical placement, or how the geometry of the model system is related to that of the real system. The link atoms are connected to the high-level layer with the same angular and dihedral values as the link atom hosts (LAHs, the atoms replaced by the link atoms in the model system) in the real system. Now steric effects of the substituents are also taken into account in the two model system calculations. In earlier implementation in the IMOMM method, used fixed (standard) bond lengths between the link atoms and the high-level layer, as well as fixed bond lengths between the LAH atoms and the high-level layer. Although this scheme works well for geometry optimization, one degree of freedom is lost for each link between the high- and low-level layers, which causes problems, for example, with dynamics or frequency calculations.

In the later implementation, the angles and dihedrals are treated in the same manner as in the IMOMM scheme, while the bond distances between the high-level layer and the link atoms are obtained by scaling the corresponding distances between the high-level layer and the LAH atoms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}_{\text {link }}=\mathbf{R}_{\text {high-level atom }}+g\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{LAH}}-\mathbf{R}_{\text {high-level atom }}\right), \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{\text {high-level atom }}$ denotes the atom in the high-level layer to which the link atom is connected. The scaling factor $g$ is chosen so that reasonable bond lengths between the

LAH atoms and high-level-layer atoms also yield reasonable bond lengths between the link atoms and high-level-layer atoms. In this case, one must use the Jacobian J to convert the coordinate system for the model system to the coordinate system for the real system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E^{\text {ONIOM }}}{\partial q}=\frac{\partial E_{\text {model }}^{\text {high }}}{\partial q} \cdot \mathrm{~J}+\frac{\partial E_{\text {real }}^{\text {low }}}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial E_{\text {model }}^{\text {low }}}{\partial q} \cdot \mathrm{~J}, \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hessian or higher-order derivatives can be uniquely defined in a similar fashion. Any method for the investigation of potential energy surfaces based on conventional techniques can now be used with the ONIOM method.

### 2.2. Electrostatics

### 2.3.1. Basic Theorems in Electrostatics

The electrostatic potential for a combination of discrete charges $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{\alpha}\right\}$ placed at $\left\{r_{\alpha}\right\}$ and a smeared distribution $\rho(r)$ can be written by employing the superposition principle, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\left\{\sum_{\alpha} \frac{q_{\alpha}}{\left|r-r_{\alpha}\right|}+\int \frac{\rho\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\left|r-r^{\prime}\right|} d^{3} r^{\prime}\right\} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric field $\mathbf{E}(r)$ due to this combination of charges is obtained by taking the gradient of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ in Eq. 2.30 and employing $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{r})=-\nabla \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$. This yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q}{\mathbf{E}(\mathrm{r})}=\frac{-1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}\left\{\sum_{\alpha}^{9} \frac{q_{\alpha}\left(r_{-} r_{\alpha}\right)}{\left|r-r_{\alpha}\right|^{3}}+\int \frac{\rho\left(r^{\prime}\right)\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{\left|r-r^{\prime}\right|^{3}} d^{3} r^{\prime}\right\} / 民 \cap \mathrm{G} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists yet another relation in integral from, viz. Gauss' law, for this purpose. This is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint E \bullet d S=\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_{0}} \sum_{i} q_{i} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{i}$ ' $s$ are the charges enclosed inside the surface $\mathbf{S}$, and $\mathbf{E}$ denotes the corresponding electric field. The surface integral on the 1.h.s. equals $\oint \cdot d S$ where $\mathbf{n}$ is the out ward normal, and $d s$ is and infinitesimal area as shown in Fig. 2.4. As a special case, it is clear that $\oint \cdot d \mathbf{S}=0$ if the surface encloses no net charge. Gauss' law as expressed by Eq. 2.32 is also called the fundamental theorem of electrostatics. Its integral from for a continuous charge density distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{s} \mathbf{E} \bullet d \mathbf{S}=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{E}_{0}} \int \rho(r) d^{3} r \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.4 Illustration of Gauss' law for a charge enclosed in a closed surface S. Here, $d s$ is asmall surface element and $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit outward normal to the surface element $d s$.

Note that Eqs.2.32 and 2.33 are based on the inverse square law ${ }^{81}$ (which implicitly the central nature of the force) and the principle of superposition. Since all these conditions hold good for the gravitational field as well, a relation similar to Eq. 2.33 is valid for the gravitational case also, if $\rho(\mathrm{r})$ is treated as matter density.

It is possible to reduce Gauss' law to its differential from by employing the so called divergence theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint \mathbf{A} \cdot d \mathbf{S}=\oint \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n} d s=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} d \tau \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a closed surface $\boldsymbol{S}$ which encloses a volume $\Omega$. In order to obtain a "local" version of Gauss' law, consider an infinitesimally small cube. The flux out of such a cube is given by $\nabla . \mathbf{E} d \tau$ where $d \tau$ is the volume of the cube. The charge inside the tiny volume $d \tau$ is $\rho d \tau$. Equating these, one obtains the differential from of Gauss' law, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=\rho / \varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 2.36 is very useful for solving problems in electrostatics. ${ }^{81} \mathrm{~A}$ related from, called the Poisson equation, is obtained substituting $\mathrm{E}=\nabla \mathrm{V}$ in Eq.2.36:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} V(r)=-\rho(r) \varepsilon_{0} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

What is the energy associated with an electric field? Consider, for simplicity, a set of point charges $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{\alpha}\right\}$ placed at $\left\{\mathrm{r}_{\alpha}\right\}$. The Energy associated with this assembly of charges is given by

This may be alternatively written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} q_{j} \sum_{i \neq j}\left\{\frac{q_{i}}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} r_{i j}}\right\} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that inclusion of the factor $1 / 2$ is necessary in Eqs. 2.38 and 2.39 in order to avoid double counting of the electrostatic interactions. Further, the term in curly brackets in Eq. 2.39 is just the electrostatic potential $V_{j}$ at $r_{j}$ generated by point charges $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ located at sites $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} q_{j} V_{j} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the continuous case wherein the charge distribution is described by a function $\rho(\mathrm{r})$, the summation in the energy expression 2.40 is replaced by a suitable integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \int \rho(r) V(r) d^{3} r \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may be Written in yet another from, by employing the Poisson equation and vector integral theorems, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon_{0}} \int|\nabla V|^{2} d^{3} r \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the charge density at a point $r$ is zero, Eq. 2.36 reduces to Laplace's equation, viz.


Thus, for a system containing only point charges, $\nabla^{2} v(r)=0$ at all points, except at the charge sites. This shows that for such a system of charges, the electric potential cannot show a maximum or minimum expect at those points where the charges are located. For a (nondegenerate) maximum (minimum) in $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ to occur at a point, a necessary condition is that $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{r})<0(>0)$ which is in violation of Eq.2.42 above. Using this property, it can be shown that no charges can be in stable
equilibrium in an electric field produced by a collection of charges. This result is known as Earnshaw's theorem. For a test positive charge $q$ to be in equilibrium at a point, the field there must be zero, and moving the away from $\boldsymbol{P}$ in any direction should lead to a restoring force opposing the displacement. ${ }^{82}$ This situation is depicted schematically in Figure 2.5.


Figure 2.5 Electric field in the neighborhood of a point $P$, position of a stable equilibrium for a positive charge

It may be seen from this figure that $\mathbf{E}=-\nabla \mathrm{V}$ must point inwards to the point P Thus, $\int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathrm{V} . d \mathbf{S}$ must be negative, which contradicts Gauss'theorem since there is no negative charge in this infinitesimally small region. Note that test charge is not to be counted explicitly. Furthermore, it is positive rather than negative, as implied by Gauss's theorem. One may have a charged particle in equilibrium in an electrical field: for example, at those points $\boldsymbol{P}$ where $\mathbf{E}=0$ in Figure 2.5. However, such an equilibrium is not a stable one

### 2.3.2. Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MESP): Theoretical Computation and Graphics Visualization

Equation 2.31 is applicable to a molecular charge distribution which is essentially a collection of (static) positive discrete nuclear charges $\left\{Z_{\alpha}\right\}$ and a continuous negative electron density distribution described by $\rho(\mathrm{r})$. The MESP thus generated is given in atomic units as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})=\sum_{\alpha} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\left|r-r_{\alpha}\right|}-\int \frac{\rho\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\left|r-r^{\prime}\right|} d^{3} r^{\prime} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The MESP defined by Eq. 2.43 bears some interesting characteristics. The first term therein is the bare-nuclear potential, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bn}}$, which is always non-negative. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bn}}$ is incapable of exhibiting (non-nuclear) maxima, as well as minima. At the (point) nuclei, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bn}}$ tends to assume infinite value, which could be treated as a pseudo maximum. The second term in Eq. 2.43 is the negative potential engendered by the continuous electron charge density. The resultant total MESP thus generated can attain positive as well as negative values through zero, a feature which is rather unique and not exhibited individually by the electronic or the bare-nuclear contributions to the total potential.

Yet another salient feature of MESP brought out by Eq.2.43 is the amplification of the second term in the vicinity of an electron-rich region. This amplification effect may be attributed to the $1 /\left|\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right|$ weight attached within the electronic term of that equation. Thus, attainment of negative MESP values in a region of space is an indicator of electron localization therein. These characteristics make the MESP a very attractive tool for studying the molecular reactive. Many other applications of MESP are also summarized.

### 2.3.2.1. MESP from Density Functional Theory

The MESP obtained within the framework of the density functional theory (DFT) is also generally found to be in good qualitative agreement with the corresponding HF-SCF one. DFT-based MESP ${ }^{83,84}$ has been recently employed for the determination of covalent radii. The suitability of the DFT method towards the calculation of electrostatic properties of molecules has recently been assessed. ${ }^{85,86}$ The ESP and related properties of molecules containing phosphorus, sulfur and chlorine atoms (which are more difficult to represent than those involving only first row atoms) are found to be remarkably improved on including two sets of $d$ orbitals on these atoms and $p$ orbitals on the hydrogen atom. ${ }^{85}$ Further, the calculations at the MP2 level have been found to be quite adequate for capturing most of the electron correlation
effects. ${ }^{87}$ It was later observed that DFT methods do not noticeably improve the MESP representation at the Hartree-Fock level. However, a more remarkable improvement was seen on employing hybrid non-local functionals. Since DFT is a computationally economical method, it can be gainfully employed for examining molecular electrostatics of larger systems. It is noteworthy that since 1990, the DFT based methods have gained popularity for tackling large molecular systems.

### 2.3.2.2. MESP Visualization

It may be noted that the MESP is a three - dimensional quantity, unlike the molecular wave function, which is multi-dimensional in nature. This threedimensional function can be visualized with the help of a computer wherein various colours can be assigned for representing the value of MESP. Since the MESP can assume both positive and negative values through zero, unlike $\rho(\mathrm{r})$ which attains only non-negative values, one expects that three-dimensional visualization of MESP will yield more detailed information than that furnished by $\rho(\mathrm{r})$ in the study of molecular recognition. With the advent of computer graphics techniques, the three-dimensional visualization of data has become an attractive tool in computational chemistry for interpreting the results obtained in the form of numbers. However, the visualization of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ over a dauntingly large numbers of points covering the region of molecular framework needs a sophisticated approach.

## Two - dimensional visualization <br> 

The MESP can be visualized on various planes with the help of contour lines or pixel plots. In a contour plots, different linestyles or colours may be used for a gradation of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ values. In pixel plots, the points are given a colour code according to the MESP values on the grid, and intermediate points are assigned interpolated colours. One plane may be chosen at a time or various planes can be simultaneously displayed in conjunction, so as to get a glimpse of its three-dimensional structure. These planes can be plotted along with a ball-and-stick molecular model for getting a better 'feel' of the scalar field.

## Three-dimensional visualization

Three-dimensional (3D) views of MESP may be obtained using isovalued surfaces differing in colour and transparency. This can also be achieved with the help of 3D or stacked contours. The contours in parallel planes are plotted simultaneously so as to generate a 3D feel. Another way in which a 3D MESP structure can be studied is to plot the MESP on some predefined surface (e.g. a surface on which $\rho(\mathrm{r})$ is constant, van der Waals surface, minimal surface, covalence surface ${ }^{84}$, etc.) of molecules using suitably chosen colour codes. Various facilities of computer graphics such as rotation, scaling, shifting, etc. may be employed for obtaining a better understanding of the picture. The MESP can also be visualized on a black-and white monitor in the grey scale i.e., by using different densities of black-and-white pixels for the MESP values. It is always useful to visualize the molecule under consideration by a ball-and stick representation along with $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ for reference. Several computer programs are available which are helpful in the computation of molecular properties and/or graphics visualization of scalar/ vector fields (in particular, that of MESP)..$^{87}$ These programs include SPARTAN, Hyperchem, PCModel, Molecular Editor, UNIVIS, POPROT, AVS etc., which run on various machines.

### 2.3.3. Applications of Molecular Electrostatic Potential

## 

This monograph has introduced the MESP as an important tool for the investigation of molecular structure and reactivity. Earlier ${ }^{88}$ pioneering applications have focused on locating the sites of electrophilic attack, viz. the negative-valued regions or minima that appear in the MESP maps. A variety of applications are found in organic chemistry, pharmacology, biology, chemistry of explosives, drug designing, etc. wherein MESP has been used as parameter to study the structure-activity relationship (SAR). This Chapter presents applications of MESP involving these aspects

### 2.3.3.2. Biological and Medicinal Chemistry

We have seen that the MESP and allied entities have been widely employed in the study of the quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) of biomolecules and drugs in medicinal chemistry. Electrostatics of molecules provides a highly informative means of characterizing the essential electronic features of drugs and their stereoelectronic complementarily with the receptor site. Since the receptor recognizes the stereoelectronic effects and not the atoms, studies of two- and threedimensional MESP and its gradient plot have become a popular tool for characterizing pharmacologically active molecules from an electronic point of view. Graphical representations of this property of biologically active molecules are widely available. The dynamic effects are neglected in the rigid body approximation and the association between host (lock) and guest (key) is considered as the fitting of a key into its lock. Among the steric, hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions which are to be considered in such a molecular 'fit', the electrostatic effects predominate wherever the ionic and polar interactions between the host and the guest molecules are dominant. The MESP features of several drug molecules vis-à-vis the complementarily features with the respective receptor sites have been probed in recent years. It can be seen from various studies on drug activity, that a major role is played by an aromatic ring usually present in the structure. The negative-valued MESP region on the aromatic ring generally accounts for the reactivity towards electrophilic reagents. The aromatic moiety of the drug might be involved in a stacking type of interaction with another aromatic ring located at the receptor site. In the stacking complexes of the nucleic acid bases, for example, the electrostatic component is not necessarily a dominant term of the interaction energy, yet it has a decisive effect on the mutual orientation of the


In summary, the applications of MESP are found in physics, chemistry, biology, as well as their interfaces. Many of these applications stem from the lock-andkey analogy formulated. The MESP distribution along with its topographical features seems to offer the mechanism for investigating these complementarily features.

## CHAPTER 3

## DETAILS OF THE CALCULATIONS

### 3.1. Geometry Optimization of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ Derivatives

### 3.1.1. Structure of $\mathbf{C}_{60}$ derivatives

Fullerene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$ derivatives were selected as targets for this study. Starting geometrical parameters for $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ where $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=1.448 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=1.375 \AA$ have been taken from literature. ${ }^{89}$ Then, the functional groups were built and added by HyperChem package. Schematic representations of these compounds, I-X, are shown in Figure 3.1. Their antiviral activities as measured by $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are also given in Table 3.1. These compounds are classified into two groups by the linkage between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its derivatives. The connections are via 3-membered and 6membered rings for compounds I-VI and VII-X, respectively.

Table 3.1 $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of the 10 investigated compounds as shown in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1 Fullerene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{60}\right)$ derivatives used in this study.

### 3.1.2. Optimization algorithm

All compounds were pre-optimized at the MM + using conformational search in HyperChem package. These systems were then partitioned into two ONIOM layers, as shown in Figure 3.2 and Table 3.2. The symbol R denotes the real system consisting of all atoms. The symbol M stands for the small model system, which consisting of its functional group plus the next neighbor rings. A two-layer ONIOM, ONIOM2 (B3LYP/6-31G(d): PM3), was employed for geometry optimizations, using B3LYP/6-31G(d) for the system M (high level) and PM3 for the R system (low level). All computational calculations were performed using the Gaussian 98 program. ${ }^{90}$


Figure 3.2 Optimized structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives using ONIOM method (a) low level region and (b) high level region (ball and stick).

Table 3.2 ONIOM model structures Employed 2-layer calculation for optimization (ONIOM2).

| systems | region | ONIOM2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| small model: $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}$ and side chains | B3LYP/6-31G (d), PM3 |
| real: $\mathbf{R}$ | whole molecule | PM3 |

### 3.2. Electronic Features of the $\mathbf{C}_{60}$ Derivatives

With the optimal geometry, the B3LYP calculations have been applied to evaluate electronic properties of the compounds. The standard $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set was used to determine stabilization energy, HOMO-LUMO energies and electronic properties such as charge distribution on the solubilizing group and electrostatic potential of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives. Atomic net charge can be taken from the Mulliken population analysis. Distribution of the charges for all selected-carbon atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives has been plotted. Electrostatic potential were calculated using CUBEGEN routine that is utility in Gaussian 98 program.

Molecular electrostatic potential maps for all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives were visualized with the aid of the Gaussview program (see appendix B). The electrostatic potentials were sampled over the entire accessible surface of a molecule. The regions of positive electrostatic potential indicate excess-positive charge, while regions of negative potential devotes areas of negative charge. Three dimensional isosurfaces of the molecular electrostatic potentials represent electrostatic potentials superimposed onto a surface of electron density. These color-coded isosurface values provide an indication of overall molecular size and of location of negative or positive electrostatic potentials. The most negative electrostatic potential is red, and the most positive electrostatic potential is blue.

## CHAPTER 4

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 4.1. Optimized Geometry of the $\mathbf{C}_{60}$ Derivatives

Structural parameters such as bond distance, bond angle and torsion angle of all compounds obtained from ONIOM calculations were presented in an appendix A, Tables A. 1 - A.2.

Structural parameter investigation, the structures of compounds I-VI and VII-X were defined with atomic numbering in Figures 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.


0
Figure 4.1 Definition of structural parameters of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative compounds I - VI with atomic numbering.


Figure 4.2 Definition of structural parameters of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative compounds VII-X with atomic numbering.
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### 4.2. Electronic and Molecular Properties

One major advantage of quantum chemical calculations over an experimental approach is that they allow the electronic structure to be computed. Therefore, it can obtain electronic properties which are the key to many physical and chemical properties. Some properties can be computed directly from the molecular geometry. Many descriptors for quantitative structure activity can be computed from the geometry only.

### 4.2.1. Effect of functional groups on Molecular Orbital Properties

The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of all compounds were shown in Table 4.1. The results show that the energy gaps of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives in group 1 (compounds IVI) are almost the same as that of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and those of compounds in group 2 (compounds VII-X) are slightly narrower than that of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. However, no significant difference in the HOMO-LUMO energy gap is found among the derivatives in the same group.

Table 4.1 The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives and of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.


### 4.2.2. Effect of functional groups on atomic net charge

Atomic charges cannot be observed experimentally because they do not correspond to any unique physical property. However, quantum chemical calculation can compute electronic structure, especially the charge distribution in molecular systems. It is very effective for some aspects of molecular interaction. Atomic net charges of compounds are taken from Mulliken Population Analysis in quantum chemical calculations. Mulliken analysis can assign an electron population to an orbital that is negative or more than two electrons.

The Mulliken's charges of atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives both group 1 and group 2 compounds were given in an Appendix A, Tables A. 3 and A.4, respectively.

To visualize effect of functional groups on the atomic net charges of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives, their structures were fully optimized based on ONIOM (B3LYP/6-31G (d): PM3) calculations. Atomic net charges on carbon atoms around $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond which links between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain have been analyzed and plotted separately for the two groups of compounds, compounds I-VI (group 1) and VII-X (group 2), in Figures 4.4 a and 4.4 b . The selected atoms were labeled and given in Figure 4.3, in which atom numbers $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ are those of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface and its side chain, respectively. It is interesting to note here, therefore, that effect of functional group on the atomic net charges on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface can be observed up to $5 \AA$ far from bridged carbon atoms $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.
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Figure 4.3 The selected carbon atoms with labeling for atomic net charges determination of (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X.

## Atomic net charge on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface

As expected, strongest effect of functional group on the net charges takes place on the linked atoms, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. The calculated values are between -0.10 and -0.09 for both groups of derivatives in which the side chains are linked to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface by the three- and six-membered rings, respectively. Second set of atoms where the net charge of $0.02-0.05$ is independent of linking type, are $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$. Effect of linkage has been significantly observed for $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ where the six-membered linkage leads to less negative atomic charges than those of the three-membered ring.

## Atomic net charge on the side chain

Effect of side chains and of linkage types is displayed also in Figure 4.4 in terms of atomic net charges of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ for compounds group 1 and group 2, respectively. Interest is centered on $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ of the three-membered ring linkage, compound I-VI, in which its net charge can be classified into 3 levels. The compounds that their side chains consist of two symmetric benzene rings, compounds I and $\mathbf{V}$, lead the electron density of about -0.07 . This value is significantly lower than that between 0.01 and 0.02 obtained from the other set of compounds that their side chains consist of the single benzene ring, compounds $\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbf{I I}$ and VI. In addition to the above 2 sets of compounds, the lowest negative charge takes place when the benzene rings are replaced by the open chain, compound IV. The calculated value of -0.18 is much lower than those of the other compounds in group 1 .

For the second group of compounds, VII-X, carbon atoms of the linked sixmembered ring, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, are almost less negative than those of the three-membered rings, compound I-YI. The $=\mathrm{NO}$ and -OMe functional groups on the six-membered ring of compound VII and the - O group of compound $\mathbf{X}$ donate more electrons into the rings, in comparison to those of compounds VIII and IX. An unsymmetric distribution taken place for compound VII, charge of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ of about 0.5 atomic unit is much lower than that of $\mathrm{C}_{16}$, is clearly due to the presence of the electron donor-OMe group on $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ (see Figure 1).


Figure 4.4 The plots of atomic net charges of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives; (a) compounds IVI and (b) compounds VII-X.

### 4.2.3. Effect of functional groups on the molecular geometry

With the geometry obtained from the ONIOM (B3LYP/6-31G (d): PM3) optimization, changes of the selected bond lengths of all compounds relative to those of Buckminsterfullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) were plotted in Figures 4.6a and 4.6b. Six types of $C-C$ bonds on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface which are in the region $5 \AA$ from the bridged atoms were labeled by B1-B6 in Figure 4.5. B3 is only double bond of all investigated ones. B5 and B6 obtained from PM3 only. The average C-C bond lengths of the Buckminsterfullerene which share by the 2 six-membered rings of $1.44 \AA$ (double bond) and by five- and sixmembered ring of $1.37 \AA$ (single bond) yielded from our previous work ${ }^{88}$ have been used for comparison.


Figure 4.5 The six selected bond lengths on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface which are in the region $5 \AA$ from the bridged atoms.


It was found in Figure 4.6 that B1 for all compounds which links between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain are more than $0.37 \AA$ for compounds I-VI (Figure 4.6a) and $0.22 \AA$ for compound VII-X (Figure 4.6b) longer than those of Buckminsterfullerene. The corresponding $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances of the two groups of derivatives, which longer than $1.81 \AA$ and $1.67 \AA$ for compounds in group 1 and group 2 , respectively, indicate that B1 bond for all compounds are totally broken. It is found
that the three-membered linkage effect B 1 distance more than the six-membered one. For compound IV, dramatic increase of B1 by $0.5 \AA$ relates directly to an increase of electron density on $\mathrm{C}_{11}$, and hence of the three-membered ring connected to $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface.

Excluding compound IV, the interest is centered on B2. Increases of this $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of group 2 compounds $(0.11 \AA)$ are significantly longer than those of group $1(0.03 \AA-0.05 \AA)$. This fact can be described by a constrain due to an increase of B1, i.e., B2 of compounds in group 1 is higher constrained due to a much higher increase of B2 bond of this group of compounds than that of group 2. Therefore, the trends in increasing B1 and B2 are opposite; the longer B1 bonds with the shorter B2 bonds. As a consequence of increasing B1, B4 bonds for group 1 compounds are slightly longer than those of group 2. In addition, increases of B3 and B5 bonds for compounds in group 1 are larger, in comparison to those of group 2 .

Another clear conclusion which can be made from Figure 4.6 is that side chain effects play stronger role on the bond lengths of the six- than those of the five-membered ring of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface. Changes of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are observed in the following orders: $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2 \sim \mathrm{~B} 4>\mathrm{B} 3>\mathrm{B} 6>\mathrm{B} 5$ for compounds I-VI (excluded compound IV) and $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2>\mathrm{B} 4>\mathrm{B} 3 \sim \mathrm{~B} 6>\mathrm{B} 5$ for compounds VII-X.
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Figure 4.6 The plots of selected bond-length of all compounds; (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X.

### 4.2.4. Electrostatic Potential (ESP)

The molecular electrostatic potential (MESP) and allied entities have been widely employed in the study of structure-activity relationship of biomolecule and drug design medicinal chemistry. Electrostatics of molecules provides a highly informative means of characterizing the essential electronic features of drugs and their stereoelectronic complementarily with the receptor site. In this study, the threedimensional MESP plots are employed to investigate the interpretative abilities of some MESP-related with antiviral activity.

The minimum and maximum electrostatic potentials were shown in Tables 4.2 and 4.3, respectively.

Three-dimensional isosurfaces of ESP superimposed onto total electron density and electrostatic potential map of compounds III, VIII, IX and X are presented in Figures 4.7 and 4.8. The plots for all compounds show two localized ESP regions. The lowest electrostatic potential (red region) is in the proximity of the lone pair of the hydroxyl oxygen atom, whereas the center for most positive potential (most blue region) lies near the hydroxyl hydrogen atom. These long-range electrostatic features indicate the potential for the inhibitor to participate in intermolecular formation of hydrogen bond with the receptor. The large lateral negative potential in front of the hydroxyl oxygen can be regarded as a nucleophilic region which acts as a magnet toward the electrophilic part of the receptor. This would generate driving force to facilitate the formation of inhibitor-enzyme complex. This fact is known to relate directly to their


To visualize reliability of the gas phase properties yielded from quantum chemical calculations as described before, the results were compound to those obtained from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. ${ }^{89}$ Compound III, which is the most active compound $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=150 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ among the investigated compounds, was selected. Their structures obtained from the DFT calculations and from MD simulation were compared in Figure 4.9a. The ESP potential for the MD structure was shown in Figure 4.9b.

The plot shows that the two structures are almost identical with the root mean square displacement (RMSD) of $1.02 \AA$. As a consequence, no difference was found in terms of positive and negative regions of the electrostatic potential obtained from the quantum calculations (compound III in Figures 4.7 and 4.8) and MD (Figure 4.9b) geometries. However, it was found that the lowest negative ESP from the quantum calculations structure is slightly higher than that of the MD one.
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Table 4.2 The minimum of electrostatic potential of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives.

| Compound. | Minimum of ESP (a.u.) | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | -79.2010 | $(128 \times 111 \times 80)$ |
| II | -75.1668 | $(113 \times 101 \times 80)$ |
| III | -72.3058 | $(112 \times 80 \times 79)$ |
| VI | -72.5863 | $(117 \times 82 \times 79)$ |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | -71.8304 | $(120 \times 93 \times 80)$ |
| VI | -71.9183 | $(128 \times 91 \times 79)$ |
| $\mathbf{V I I}$ | -70.0541 | $(111 \times 90 \times 79)$ |
| $\mathbf{V I I I}$ | -69.3719 | $(99 \times 82 \times 80)$ |
| $\mathbf{I X}$ | -70.7959 | $(109 \times 84 \times 79)$ |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | -68.2570 | $(107 \times 69 \times 69)$ |

Table 4.3 The maximum of electrostatic potential of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives.

| Compound. | Maximum of ESP (a.u.) | Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | -19.0430 | $(128 \times 111 \times 80)$ |
| II | -16.3773 | $(113 \times 101 \times 80)$ |
| III | -16.7183 | $(112 \times 80 \times 79)$ |
| VI | $\text { ค } h^{-17.8401}$ | $(117 \times 82 \times 79)$ |
| $6 \mid \boldsymbol{V}$ | \% ठ/-16.5860 ठी | - ठ ( $120 \times 93 \times 80$ ) |
| $\text { a999 VI } 9 \text { VII }$ | $619^{-15,2671} \curvearrowleft 9$ | ef$(128 \times 91 \times 79)$ <br> $(111 \times 90 \times 79)$ |
| VIII | -17.4475 | $(99 \times 82 \times 80)$ |
| IX | -16.7185 | $(109 \times 84 \times 79)$ |
| X | -14.8683 | $(107 \times 69 \times 69)$ |



Figure 4.7 Molecular electrostatic potential energy isosurfaces of the selected compounds superimposed onto their total electron density ( $0.004 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{au}^{3}$ ) (solid view).


Figure 4.8 Molecular electrostatic potential energy isosurfaces of the selected compounds superimposed onto their total electron density ( $0.004 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{au}^{3}$ ) (mesh view).


Figure 4.9 (a) Stereoview and (b) electrostatic potential contours plot of the compound III comparison between the optional structures obtained from quantum chemical calculation (blue) and molecular dynamics simulations (black).

## CHAPTER 5

## CONCLUSION

The assessment of structural features and electronic properties of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives were performed using quantum chemical method. The investigated compounds are classified by the linkage, group 1 and group 2 where their side chains link to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface by the three- and six-membered ring, respectively. The integrated, ONIOM molecular orbital method was applied to optimize the structure of all compounds while the B3LYP/6-31G (d) calculations were performed to examine molecular and electronic properties.

It was found that the energy gaps of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives depend on the linkage of side chains of compounds. The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of compounds in group 1 are almost the same as that of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ white those of compounds in group 2 are slightly narrower than that of Buckminsterfullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ). Effect of functional group on the atomic net charges on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface can be observed up to $5 \AA$ far from bridged carbon atoms. The strongest effect takes place on the linked atoms. The effect on atomic net charge of side chain can be classified into 3 levels by the types of side chains

With the geometry obtained from the ONIOM (B3LYP/6-31G (d): PM3) optimization, changes of the selected bond lengths of all compounds were analyzed. It was found that the bond which links between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain for all compounds are longer than those of Buckminsterfullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ). Changes of these bond distances of compounds are clearly due to higher steric effects in the three-membered linkage than that of the six-membered one. Changes of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are observed in the following
orders: $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2 \sim \mathrm{~B} 4>\mathrm{B} 3>\mathrm{B} 6>\mathrm{B} 5$ for compounds in group 1 and $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2>\mathrm{B} 4>$ B3 ~ B6 $>$ B5 for compounds in group 2.

In terms of electrostatic potential (ESP), the plots for the selected compounds show two localized ESP regions. The lowest electrostatic potential takes place in the proximity of the lone pair of the hydroxyl oxygen atom, whereas the center for most positive potential lies near the hydroxyl hydrogen atom. The large lateral negative potential in front of the hydroxyl oxygen can be regarded as a nucleophilic region which acts as a magnet toward the electrophilic part of the receptor. This would expect to generate driving force to facilitate the formation of inhibitor-enzyme complex.


## FURTHER WORKS

All of the results presented here have been gathered with the basic properties of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives as HIV-1 protease inhibitor. Several improvements are possible which have not been done. In continuation from this work, one can study molecular and electronic properties of the series of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives. The structure-activity relationship (SAR) can be, then, performed in order to design new and more potent drugs.

As the crystal structure of the complex is not available. Therefore, the study of orientation of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivative in the complex structure using molecular docking method with the force field potential would be also very interesting. Further improvements may be possible by means of molecular dynamics simulations which can show how inhibitor interact with receptor in condensed phase.
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## สถาบันวิทยบริการ



## PARAMETERS OF C 60 DERIVATIVES



Table A. 1 Structural parameters of compound I-VI obtained from ONIOM calculations.
Here, R, A, D are distance, bond angle and torsion angle, respectively.

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.4564 | 1.4564 | 1.4564 | 1.4586 | 1.4564 | 1.4564 |
| $\mathrm{R}(1,6)$ | 1.3845 | 1.3845 | 1.3846 | 1.3843 | 1.3846 | 1.3845 |
| $\mathrm{R}(1,7)$ | 1.4581 | 1.458 | 1.458 | 1.4563 | 1.458 | 1.458 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,3)$ | 1.3819 | 1.3818 | 1.3818 | 1.3822 | 1.3818 | 1.3818 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,9)$ | 1.4581 | 1.4581 | 1.4581 | 1.4583 | 1.4581 | 1.4581 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.4564 | 1.4564 | 1.4565 | 1.4577 | 1.4564 | 1.4565 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,11)$ | 1.4581 | 1.4581 | 1.4581 | 1.4571 | 1.4581 | 1.4582 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,5)$ | 1.3846 | 1.3845 | 1.3845 | 1.3843 | 1.3846 | 1.3846 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,12)$ | 1.458 | 1.458 | 1.4579 | 1.4571 | 1.458 | 1.458 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,6)$ | 1.4579 | 1.4579 | 1.4579 | 1.4573 | 1.4579 | 1.4579 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,15)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4573 | 1.4572 | 1.4576 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| $\mathrm{R}(6,17)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4582 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| R $(7,8)$ | 1.4586 | 1.4586 | 1.4587 | 1.4569 | 1.4586 | 1.4586 |
| $\mathrm{R}(7,19)$ | 1.3824 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 | 1.3827 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 |
| $\mathrm{R}(8,9)$ | 1.4471 | 1.4473 | 1.4471 | 1.4492 | 1.4471 | 1.4471 |
| $\mathrm{R}(8,20)$ | 1.3859 | 1.3858 | 1.3858 | 1.3807 | 1.3859 | 1.3858 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,10)$ | 1.3836 | 1.3835 | 1.3838 | 1.3852 | 1.3837 | 1.3837 |
| R(10,57) | 1.4418 | 1.4419 | 1.4415 | 1.4536 | 1.4417 | 1.4417 |
| R(10,59) | 1.4558 | 1.4557 | 1.4555 | 1.4555 | 1.4557 | 1.4558 |
| R(11,29) | 1.4471 | 1.4472 | 1.4469 | 1.4571 | 1.4471 | 1.4471 |
| R(11,59) | 1.3836 | 1.3837 | 1.3839 | 1.3843 | 1.3837 | 1.3839 |
| R(12,13) | 1.3825 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 | 1.3843 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 |
| $\mathrm{R}(12,29)$ | 1.4586 | 1.4585 | 1.4585 | 1.4577 | 1.4586 | 1.4586 |
| R $(13,14)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4573 | 1.4572 | 1.4576 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| R(13,39) | 1.4578 | 1.4578 | 1.4579 | 1.4573 | 1.4578 | 1.4578 |
| $\mathrm{R}(14,15)$ | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3837 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 |
| $\mathrm{R}(14,28)$ | 1.4574 | 1.4575 | 1.4575 | 1.4576 | 1.4574 | 1.4575 |
| $\mathrm{R}(15,16)$ | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 |
| R(16,17) | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4573 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 |
| $\mathrm{R}(16,26)$ | 1.3837 | 1.3837 | 1.3837 | 1.3842 | 1.3837 | 1.3837 |
| R(17,18) | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | - 1.3844 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 |
| $\mathrm{R}(18,19)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | $\sim 1.4563$ | $\checkmark 1.4572$ | 1.4572 |
| R(18,24) | 1.4574 | 1.4574 | 1.4575 | 1.4585 | 1.4574 | 1.4574 |
| $\mathrm{R}(19,22)$ | 1.4578 | 1.4577 | 1.4578 | 1.4572 | 1.4578 | 1.4577 |
| $\mathrm{R}(20,21)$ | 1.4567 | 1.4568 - | 1.4568 | $\bigcirc 1.4548$ | 1.4568 | 1.4568 |
| $\mathrm{R}(20,56)$ | 1.4498 | 1.4499 | 9 1.4497 | 1.4457 | 1.4496 | 1.4497 |
| $\mathrm{R}(21,22)$ | 1.3834 | 1.3833 | 1.3833 | 1.381 | 1.3833 | 1.3833 |
| $\mathrm{R}(21,54)$ | 1.4568 | 1.4566 | 1.4566 | 1.4468 | 1.4568 | 1.4567 |
| $\mathrm{R}(22,23)$ | 1.4577 | 1.4578 | 1.4578 | 1.4492 | 1.4578 | 1.4578 |
| $\mathrm{R}(23,24)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4583 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| $\mathrm{R}(23,52)$ | 1.3824 | 1.3824 | 1.3825 | 1.3851 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 |
| $\mathrm{R}(24,25)$ | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3822 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 |
| R $(25,26)$ | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4576 |
| R $(25,50)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 | 1.4571 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| R(26,27) | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 | 1.4571 | 1.4577 | 1.4577 |

Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}(27,28)$ | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 | 1.3842 | 1.3843 | 1.3843 |
| R $(27,49)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4573 | 1.4572 | 1.4577 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| $\mathrm{R}(28,38)$ | 1.4572 | 1.4573 | 1.4572 | 1.4574 | 1.4572 | 1.4572 |
| $\mathrm{R}(29,58)$ | 1.3859 | 1.3858 | 1.3857 | 1.3822 | 1.3859 | 1.3858 |
| R ( 30,31 ) | 1.3859 | 1.3858 | 1.3858 | 1.3827 | 1.3859 | 1.3857 |
| R $(30,37)$ | 1.4586 | 1.4586 | 1.4586 | 1.4563 | 1.4586 | 1.4586 |
| $\mathrm{R}(30,60)$ | 1.4471 | 1.4469 | 1.4472 | 1.4571 | 1.4471 | 1.4469 |
| $\mathrm{R}(31,32)$ | 1.4497 | 1.4491 | 1.4492 | 1.4569 | 1.4497 | 1.4492 |
| $\mathrm{R}(31,40)$ | 1.4567 | 1.4567 | 1.4568 | 1.4563 | 1.4568 | 1.4568 |
| R $(32,33)$ | 1.4058 | 1.4088 | 1.4059 | 1.3807 | 1.4054 | 1.4072 |
| R $(32,41)$ | 1.4924 | 1.4948 | 1.4937 | 1.4491 | 1.4923 | 1.4935 |
| R $(33,34)$ | 1.4416 | 1.442 | 1.4423 | 1.4548 | 1.4417 | 1.4419 |
| R $(33,44)$ | 1.4854 | 1.4869 | 1.4858 | 1.4458 | 1.4863 | 1.4882 |
| R $(34,46)$ | 1.4557 | 1.4555 | 1.4558 | 1.4466 | 1.4558 | 1.4554 |
| R $(34,60)$ | 1.3836 | 1.3838 | 1.3839 | 1.3809 | 1.3837 | 1.3839 |
| R $(35,36)$ | 1.4564 | 1.4565 | 1.4565 | 1.4582 | 1.4564 | 1.4565 |
| R $(35,48)$ | 1.3818 | 1.3818 | 1.3818 | 1.385 | 1.3818 | 1.3818 |
| $\mathrm{R}(35,60)$ | 1.4581 | 1.4583 | 1.4584 | 1.4492 | 1.4581 | 1.4582 |
| R $(36,37)$ | 1.4581 | 1.458 | 1.458 | 1.4585 | 1.458 | 1.458 |
| R $(36,49)$ | 1.3845 | 1.3845 | 1.3846 | 1.3823 | 1.3846 | 1.3846 |
| R (37,38) | 1.3825 | 1.3824 | 1.3826 | 1.3844 | 1.3825 | 1.3825 |
| R (38,39) | 1.4578 | 1.4578 | 1.4577 | 1.4582 | 1.4578 | 1.4578 |
| R (39,40) | 1.3834 | 1.3833 | 1.3833 | 1.3843 | 1.3833 | 1.3833 |
| R(40,58) | 1.4568 | 1.4564 | 1.4566 | 1.4585 | 1.4568 | 1.4566 |
| R(41,42) | 1.4059 | 1.4069 | 1.4065 | 1.3852 | 1.4054 | 1.4064 |
| $\mathrm{R}(41,58)$ | 1.4498 | 1.4489 | 1.4488 | 1.4583 | 1.4497 | 1.449 |
| R $(42,43)$ | 1.4858 | 1.4845 | 1.4881 | 1.4538 | 1.4864 | 1.4859 |
| R(42,59) | 1.4418 | 1.4417 | 1.4416 | 1.4555 | 1.4416 | 1.442 |
| R $(43,44)$ | 1.8159 | 1.8259 | 1.8174 | 1.4103 | 1.8104 | 1.8175 |
| R(43,57) | 1.4858 | 1.4855 | 1.4873 | 1.495 | 1.4863 | 1.4855 |
| R(43,61) | 1.5042 | 1.5071 | 1.5027 | 1.4713 | 1.506 | 1.5087 |
| R $(44,45)$ | 1.4858 | 1.4854 | 1.485 | 1.4712 | 1.4863 | 1.487 |
| R(44,61) | 1.5049 | 1.5052 | 1.5047 | 1.9546 | 1.506 | 1.5073 |
| R(45,46) | 1.4419 | 1.4414 | 1.4413 | 1.4894 | 1.4417 | 1.4414 |
| $\mathrm{R}(45,55)$ | 1.4057 | 1.4056 | 1.4052 | 1.4105 | 1.4054 | 1.4057 |
| $\mathrm{R}(46,47)$ | 01.3836 | 1.3835 | 1.3835 | O 1.4534 | 1.3837 | 1.3837 |
| R(47,48) | 1.4582 | 1.458 | 1.4579 | 1.494 | 1.4581 / | 1.458 |
| R $(47,53)$ | 1.4471 | 1.4472 | 1.4473 | 1.4555 | 1.4471 | 1.447 |
| $\mathrm{R}(48,51)$ | 1.4564 | 1.4565 | 1.4565 | 1.4571 | 1.4564 | 1.4565 |
| $\mathrm{R}(49,50)$ | 1.4579 | 1.458 | 1.4579 | 1.3843 | 1.4579 | 1.4579 |
| R(50,51) | 1.3845 | 1.3845 | 1.3845 | 1.4555 | 1.3846 | 1.3845 |
| $\mathrm{R}(51,52)$ | 1.4581 | 1.458 | 1.4581 | 1.4532 | 1.458 | 1.458 |
| R (52,53) | 1.4586 | 1.4586 | 1.4585 | 1.4103 | 1.4586 | 1.4586 |
| $\mathrm{R}(53,54)$ | 1.3859 | 1.3858 | 1.3858 | 1.4708 | 1.3859 | 1.3858 |
| $\mathrm{R}(54,55)$ | 1.4499 | 1.4497 | 1.4496 | 1.4695 | 1.4496 | 1.4496 |
| $\mathrm{R}(55,56)$ | 1.4926 | 1.4923 | 1.4924 | 1.4905 | 1.4923 | 1.4924 |
| R ( 56,57$)$ | 1.4056 | 1.4055 | 1.406 | 1.4096 | 1.4055 | 1.4054 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,1,6)$ | 119.835 | 119.8354 | 119.8444 | 120.0932 | 119.8385 | 119.8436 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,1,7)$ | 107.8365 | 107.8366 | 107.83 | 107.8441 | 107.8374 | 107.8367 |
| $\mathrm{A}(6,1,7)$ | 120.077 | 120.0743 | 120.0744 | 119.8061 | 120.076 | 120.0727 |
| A(1,2,3) | 120.1336 | 120.1262 | 120.1183 | 119.9094 | 120.1271 | 120.1313 |
| $\mathrm{A}(1,2,9)$ | 107.8629 | 107.8731 | 107.8877 | 108.0855 | 107.8685 | 107.8705 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,2,9)$ | 119.7748 | 119.7771 | 119.7737 | 119.8698 | 119.7779 | 119.7674 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,3,4)$ | 120.1248 | 120.1302 | 120.1333 | 120.0698 | 120.1294 | 120.1158 |
| A(2,3,11) | 119.7754 | 119.7565 | 119.7484 | 119.7155 | 119.7751 | 119.7822 |
| A(4,3,11) | 107.8665 | 107.8692 | 107.8782 | 108.1213 | 107.8668 | 107.8808 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,4,5)$ | 119.8377 | 119.8383 | 119.8419 | 120.0078 | 119.8377 | 119.8496 |
| A(3,4,12) | 107.8369 | 107.8472 | 107.8457 | 107.9476 | 107.8373 | 107.8373 |
| A(5,4,12) | 120.0768 | 120.0636 | 120.0573 | 120.0023 | 120.0762 | 120.0706 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,5,6)$ | 120.0361 | 120.0313 | 120.0259 | 119.9978 | 120.0335 | 120.0309 |
| A(4,5,15) | 119.9243 | 119.927 | 119.9257 | 119.9937 | 119.9229 | 119.9271 |
| A(6,5,15) | 107.9977 | 107.9998 | 108.0027 | 107.9987 | 108.0001 | 107.9979 |
| $\mathrm{A}(1,6,5)$ | 120.0327 | 120.0386 | 120.0362 | 119.9178 | 120.0337 | 120.0288 |
| A(1,6,17) | 119.9227 | 119.9292 | 119.9306 | 120.0497 | 119.9234 | 119.9276 |
| A(5,6,17) | 108.0012 | 107.9975 | 107.9943 | 107.9988 | 107.9994 | 108.0005 |
| $\mathrm{A}(1,7,8)$ | 108.0109 | 108.0075 | 107.9998 | 107.8257 | 108.0049 | 108.0046 |
| A(1,7,19) | 119.9274 | 119.9228 | 119.9211 | 120.1535 | 119.9278 | 119.9275 |
| A(8,7,19) | 119.8968 | 119.9061 | 119.9099 | 119.7094 | 119.9008 | 119.9127 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,8,9)$ | 107.9666 | 107.975 | 107.994 | 108.4381 | 107.978 | 107.9798 |
| A(7,8,20) | 120.5596 | 120.5589 | 120.5431 | 120.4374 | 120.5485 | 120.5465 |
| A(9,8,20) | 119.077 | 119.0662 | 119.0552 | 119.0357 | 119.0715 | 119.0711 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,9,8)$ | 108.323 | 108.3076 | 108.2884 | 107.804 | 108.3111 | 108.3083 |
| A(2,9,10) | 120.4468 | 120.4604 | 120.4787 | 120.7253 | 120.4494 | 120.442 |
| A(8,9,10) | 119.2322 | 119.2405 | 119.2526 | 119.1299 | 119.2498 | 119.2564 |
| A(9,10,57) | 122.3408 | 122.3556 | 122.3641 | 121.9885 | 122.3402 | 122.3254 |
| $\mathrm{A}(9,10,59)$ | 119.7825 | 119.772 | 119.7518 | 119.0398 | 119.7698 | 119.8111 |
| A(57,10,59) | 108.4157 | 108.3505 | 108.3665 | 108.9162 | 108.4065 | 108.3645 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,11,29)$ | 108.3178 | 108.2957 | 108.2781 | 107.8501 | 108.3145 | 108.2845 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,11,59)$ | 120.449 | 120.4653 | 120.4776 | 120.1246 | 120.4461 | 120.4626 |
| A(29,11,59) | 119.2433 | 119.2834 | 119.2994 | 120.12 | 119.2473 | 119.2824 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,12,13)$ | 119.9244 | 119.9409 | 119.9515 | 120.0026 | 119.9284 | 119.9309 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,12,29)$ | 108.0106 | 107.9897 | 107.9744 | 107.9474 | 108.0064 | 107.9937 |
| $\mathrm{A}(13,12,29)$ | 119.9022 | 119.8855 | 119.8789 | 0120.0068 | O 119.8987 | 119.9092 |
| A(12,13,14) | 120.0701 | 120.0625 | 120.0582 | 119.9941 | 120.0655 | 120.0671 |
| $\mathrm{A}(12,13,39)$ | 119.785 | 119.8088 | 119.8217 | 119.9946 | 119.7925 | 119.7965 |
| $\mathrm{A}(14,13,39)$ | 108.0778 | 108.0719 | 108.0676 | 108.0008 | 108.0763 | 108.066 |
| $\mathrm{A}(13,14,15)$ | 120.0066 | 119.999 | 119.9976 | 120.0022 | 120.0089 | 120.0038 |
| A(13,14,28) | 107.9793 | 107.9778 | 107.9754 | 108.0092 | 107.9781 | 107.9834 |
| A(15,14,28) | 120.0012 | 120.0095 | 120.014 | 120.0017 | 120.0036 | 120.0032 |
| $\mathrm{A}(5,15,14)$ | 119.996 | 120.0052 | 120.008 | 120.0036 | 119.9965 | 119.9989 |
| $\mathrm{A}(5,15,16)$ | 108.0034 | 108.0025 | 108.0021 | 108.0091 | 108.0025 | 108.0046 |
| A(14,15,16) | 119.9874 | 119.9815 | 119.9792 | 120.0045 | 119.9857 | 119.9823 |
| A(15,16,17) | 107.9955 | 107.9937 | 107.992 | 108.0023 | 107.9948 | 107.9926 |
| A(15,16,26) | 120.0108 | 120.0092 | 120.0069 | 119.9933 | 120.0103 | 120.0135 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(17,16,26) | 120.0091 | 120.014 | 120.015 | 120.0005 | 120.0109 | 120.0141 |
| A(6,17,16) | 108.0017 | 108.006 | 108.0084 | 107.9902 | 108.0028 | 108.004 |
| A(6,17,18) | 119.9966 | 119.9936 | 119.9921 | 120.0598 | 119.9963 | 119.9959 |
| A(16,17,18) | 119.9849 | 119.9863 | 119.9832 | 119.9201 | 119.9855 | 119.9841 |
| A(17,18,19) | 120.0084 | 120.0042 | 120.003 | 119.8202 | 120.0083 | 120.0063 |
| A(17,18,24) | 120.0053 | 119.9994 | 120.0012 | 120.0894 | 120.0031 | 120.0011 |
| A(19,18,24) | 107.9764 | 107.9823 | 107.9835 | 107.8444 | 107.9791 | 107.982 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,19,18)$ | 120.0663 | 120.0741 | 120.0771 | 120.1099 | 120.0666 | 120.0683 |
| A(7,19,22) | 119.7875 | 119.7832 | 119.79 | 119.7476 | 119.792 | 119.7842 |
| A(18,19,22) | 108.0785 | 108.0716 | 108.0679 | 107.8473 | 108.0752 | 108.0712 |
| A(8,20,21) | 119.2142 | 119.2003 | 119.2159 | 119.8944 | 119.2246 | 119.2114 |
| A(8,20,56) | 121.6398 | 121.6348 | 121.621 | 122.5574 | 121.6127 | 121.6189 |
| A(21,20,56) | 108.7754 | 108.7877 | 108.7959 | 108.1124 | 108.7703 | 108.7806 |
| A(20,21,22) | 120.4222 | 120.4437 | 120.4377 | 119.7288 | 120.4186 | 120.4377 |
| A(20,21,54) | 107.8314 | 107.8236 | 107.8282 | 108.1438 | 107.8313 | 107.8246 |
| A(22,21,54) | 120.4343 | 120.4012 | 120.4036 | 122.6249 | 120.4174 | 120.4063 |
| A(19,22,21) | 120.1109 | 120.0987 | 120.0942 | 120.482 | 120.1073 | 120.099 |
| A(19,22,23) | 107.8815 | 107.8879 | 107.8909 | 108.3894 | 107.8836 | 107.8889 |
| A(21,22,23) | 120.1097 | 120.1112 | 120.1072 | 119.078 | 120.108 | 120.1056 |
| A(22,23,24) | 108.0776 | 108.0732 | 108.072 | 107.846 | 108.0752 | 108.0723 |
| A(22,23,52) | 119.7802 | 119.799 | 119.7984 | 119.0981 | 119.7912 | 119.7983 |
| A(24,23,52) | 120.0679 | 120.0632 | 120.0604 | 120.699 | 120.0652 | 120.0646 |
| A(18,24,23) | 107.978 | 107.977 | 107.9778 | 108.0706 | 107.9793 | 107.9779 |
| A(18,24,25) | 120.0013 | 120.006 | 120.007 | 119.9104 | 120.0024 | 120.0062 |
| A(23,24,25) | 120.008 | 120.0033 | 120.002 | 119.8778 | 120.0081 | 120.0047 |
| A(24,25,26) | 119.9847 | -119.985 | 119.9823 | 120.0738 | 119.9855 | 119.9828 |
| A(24,25,50) | 119.9961 | 120.0004 | 120.004 | 119.7227 | 119.9974 | 119.999 |
| A(26,25,50) | 108.0048 | 108.0013 | 108.0007 | 108.1115 | 108.0025 | 108.0033 |
| A(16,26,25) | 120.0137 | 120.0084 | 120.0102 | 120.0018 | 120.0116 | 120.0107 |
| A(16,26,27) | 120.0094 | 120.0159 | 120.0201 | 120.0011 | 120.012 | 120.0125 |
| A(25,26,27) | 107.9953 | 107.995 | 107.9919 | 107.9516 | 107.9945 | 107.9928 |
| A(26,27,28) | 119.9864 | 119.9822 | 119.9825 | 120.0053 | 119.9858 | 119.981 |
| A(26,27,49) | 108.0012 | 108.0064 | 108.0091 | 107.9488 | 108.0031 | 108.0059 |
| A(28,27,49) | 120.0029 | 119.9978 | 119.9928 | 120.0016 | 119.9962 | 119.9989 |
| A(14,28,27) | 120.0037 | 120.0007 | 119.9964 | 119.9928 | 120.0017 | 120.0064 |
| $\mathrm{A}(14,28,38)$ | 107.9756 | 107.9829 | 107.9908 | 0108.0002 | - 107.9797 | 107.9806 |
| A(27,28,38) | 120.0055 | 120.0008 | 120.0037 | 120.0013 | 120.0086 | 120.0018 |
| A(11,29,12) | 107.968 | 107.998 | 108.0235 | 108.1209 | 107.9747 | 108.0035 |
| A(11,29,58) | 119.0797 | 119.072 | 119.0578 | 119.7167 | 119.0767 | 119.0547 |
| $\mathrm{A}(12,29,58)$ | 120.5604 | 120.5333 | 120.514 | 120.0763 | 120.5492 | 120.5204 |
| A(31,30,37) | 120.5591 | 120.5556 | 120.5248 | 120.1202 | 120.5493 | 120.5343 |
| A(31,30,60) | 119.0939 | 119.0385 | 119.0312 | 119.731 | 119.0744 | 119.0277 |
| A(37,30,60) | 107.9641 | 108.0148 | 108.0101 | 107.849 | 107.9768 | 108.0156 |
| A(30,31,32) | 121.6157 | 121.6319 | 121.5688 | 119.7116 | 121.6124 | 121.6075 |
| A(30,31,40) | 119.2257 | 119.2171 | 119.2182 | 120.1496 | 119.2203 | 119.2443 |
| A(32,31,40) | 108.7669 | 108.8289 | 108.8037 | 107.8334 | 108.7718 | 108.8033 |
| A(31,32,33) | 119.2238 | 119.3569 | 119.4549 | 120.458 | 119.2647 | 119.4045 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(31,32,41) | 107.3144 | 107.2081 | 107.2312 | 108.4316 | 107.2993 | 107.2488 |
| A(33,32,41) | 121.7325 | 121.8926 | 121.5815 | 119.0341 | 121.711 | 121.8269 |
| A(32,33,34) | 118.2239 | 117.9283 | 117.9756 | 119.8627 | 118.1859 | 117.941 |
| A(32,33,44) | 124.8857 | 124.8812 | 124.9163 | 122.6079 | 124.8201 | 125.0074 |
| A(34,33,44) | 107.8156 | 107.8176 | 107.8243 | 108.1205 | 107.8162 | 107.8757 |
| A(33,34,46) | 108.3839 | 108.3844 | 108.3826 | 108.1471 | 108.4033 | 108.3856 |
| A(33,34,60) | 122.3208 | 122.4443 | 122.397 | 119.7435 | 122.3322 | 122.4376 |
| A(46,34,60) | 119.8257 | 119.6726 | 119.6474 | 122.6443 | 119.7727 | 119.695 |
| A(36,35,48) | 120.1362 | 120.1189 | 120.0942 | 120.7036 | 120.1259 | 120.1142 |
| A(36,35,60) | 107.856 | 107.8806 | 107.8916 | 107.8513 | 107.8687 | 107.8847 |
| A(48,35,60) | 119.7564 | 119.7713 | 119.8094 | 119.0854 | 119.778 | 119.7757 |
| A(35,36,37) | 107.8425 | 107.8392 | 107.8331 | 108.0667 | 107.8367 | 107.8354 |
| A(35,36,49) | 119.8354 | 119.8371 | 119.8576 | 119.8695 | 119.8406 | 119.8482 |
| A(37,36,49) | 120.0706 | 120.0719 | 120.0749 | 119.9138 | 120.0762 | 120.069 |
| A(30,37,36) | 108.0086 | 107.9838 | 107.9967 | 107.8449 | 108.0062 | 107.9864 |
| A(30,37,38) | 119.8909 | 119.8965 | 119.9311 | 119.814 | 119.9037 | 119.9025 |
| A(36,37,38) | 119.9364 | 119.9287 | 119.918 | 120.0877 | 119.9275 | 119.932 |
| A(28,38,37) | 120.0617 | 120.0718 | 120.0771 | 119.9198 | 120.0664 | 120.0696 |
| A(28,38,39) | 108.08 | 108.0683 | 108.0617 | 107.9932 | 108.0756 | 108.0683 |
| A(37,38,39) | 119.7912 | 119.7933 | 119.7825 | 120.0578 | 119.7889 | 119.7972 |
| A(13,39,38) | 107.8796 | 107.8913 | 107.8969 | 107.9958 | 107.8827 | 107.894 |
| A(13,39,40) | 120.1097 | 120.1005 | 120.1077 | 119.9183 | 120.1096 | 120.0973 |
| A(38,39,40) | 120.1183 | 120.0994 | 120.0847 | 120.0544 | 120.1074 | 120.0984 |
| A(31,40,39) | 120.4066 | 120.4289 | 120.4501 | 119.8033 | 120.4221 | 120.4139 |
| A(31,40,58) | 107.8341 | 107.8479 | 107.8425 | 107.8401 | 107.8309 | 107.8365 |
| A(39,40,58) | 120.4277 | -120.3994 | 120.3611 | 120.0978 | 120.4151 | 120.4137 |
| A(32,41,42) | 121.7482 | 121.6529 | 121.848 | 119.1134 | 121.7273 | 121.6165 |
| A(32,41,58) | 107.2829 | 107.304 | 107.3366 | 107.8063 | 107.3084 | 107.3171 |
| A(42,41,58) | 119.2515 | 119.3592 | 119.3981 | 120.7342 | 119.2552 | 119.3915 |
| A(41,42,43) | 124.8322 | 124.9843 | 124.9734 | 121.995 | 124.8125 | 124.8424 |
| A(41,42,59) | 118.1676 | 118.0737 | 118.0413 | 119.0286 | 118.1951 | 118.0368 |
| A(43,42,59) | 107.7798 | 107.8423 | 107.8702 | 108.9261 | 107.8162 | 107.847 |
| A(42,43,44) | 112.9227 | 112.921 | 112.5934 | 118.6744 | 112.9556 | 113.1923 |
| A(42,43,57) | 105.5596 | 105.4738 | 105.2719 | 107.1364 | 105.4931 | 105.454 |
| A(42,43,61) | 127.1653 | 127.4409 | 127.9415 | 122.8882 | 127.2026 | 127.4398 |
| $\mathrm{A}(44,43,57)$ | 112.8772 | 112.7976 | 112.8744 | 0118.1645 | 112.9571 | 112.9488 |
| A(57,43,61) | 127.1608 | 126.999 | 126.7087 | 107.3437 | 127.1711 | 126.968 |
| $\mathrm{A}(33,44,43)$ 이 | 112.8569 | 112.6802 | 113.1046 | 125.231 | 112.9658 | 112.5278 |
| A(33,44,45) | 105.5331 | 105.3878 | 105.4354 | 106.3251 | 105.4974 | 105.224 |
| $\mathrm{A}(33,44,61)$ | 127.1992 | 127.2927 | 127.8395 | 111.2844 | 127.191 | 127.4251 |
| A(43,44,45) | 112.9581 | 112.7316 | 112.9422 | 125.66 | 112.9699 | 112.7142 |
| A(45,44,61) | 127.1561 | 127.2494 | 126.6067 | 111.0072 | 127.1764 | 127.2813 |
| A(44,45,46) | 107.7812 | 107.8921 | 107.9225 | 127.8074 | 107.8137 | 107.9533 |
| A(44,45,55) | 124.7969 | 124.858 | 124.8152 | 107.2793 | 124.8145 | 124.8874 |
| A(46,45,55) | 118.151 | 118.2162 | 118.2853 | 118.0105 | 118.1823 | 118.1609 |
| A(34,46,45) | 108.4045 | 108.354 | 108.3226 | 125.6622 | 108.4065 | 108.3489 |
| A(34,46,47) | 119.7261 | 119.8467 | 119.8991 | 118.8466 | 119.7781 | 119.8336 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(45,46,47) | 122.3788 | 122.3185 | 122.2725 | 122.7358 | 122.3303 | 122.3495 |
| A(46,47,48) | 120.466 | 120.4573 | 120.4195 | 107.1614 | 120.4473 | 120.4532 |
| A(46,47,53) | 119.2262 | 119.2494 | 119.2557 | 121.9558 | 119.2496 | 119.2513 |
| A(48,47,53) | 108.3141 | 108.311 | 108.316 | 119.0798 | 108.3115 | 108.3032 |
| A(35,48,47) | 119.7896 | 119.7415 | 119.7394 | 108.9026 | 119.7755 | 119.7477 |
| A(35,48,51) | 120.1203 | 120.1452 | 120.1497 | 120.0719 | 120.1282 | 120.1372 |
| A(47,48,51) | 107.8691 | 107.8709 | 107.8623 | 108.1138 | 107.8678 | 107.8747 |
| A(27,49,36) | 119.9212 | 119.9272 | 119.9319 | 119.7265 | 119.9234 | 119.9272 |
| A(27,49,50) | 108.0023 | 107.9922 | 107.9914 | 107.8622 | 107.9993 | 107.9958 |
| A(36,49,50) | 120.0323 | 120.0369 | 120.0366 | 120.1126 | 120.0331 | 120.0317 |
| A(25,50,49) | 107.996 | 108.0046 | 108.0065 | 120.1155 | 108.0001 | 108.0017 |
| A(25,50,51) | 119.9226 | 119.9251 | 119.927 | 120.4875 | 119.9231 | 119.926 |
| A(49,50,51) | 120.0353 | 120.0364 | 120.0218 | 107.8271 | 120.0322 | 120.0294 |
| A(48,51,50) | 119.8406 | 119.8255 | 119.8401 | 120.4982 | 119.84 | 119.8392 |
| A(48,51,52) | 107.8331 | 107.8374 | 107.8455 | 119.0726 | 107.838 | 107.8355 |
| A(50,51,52) | 120.0775 | 120.0683 | 120.0627 | 121.9481 | 120.075 | 120.069 |
| A(23,52,51) | 119.9262 | 119.9378 | 119.942 | 108.8856 | 119.9295 | 119.935 |
| A(23,52,53) | 119.9042 | 119.885 | 119.8946 | 107.1958 | 119.9015 | 119.8946 |
| A(51,52,53) | 108.011 | 108.001 | 107.998 | 122.6575 | 108.0046 | 107.9971 |
| A(47,53,52) | 107.9727 | 107.9795 | 107.978 | 118.8442 | 107.9779 | 107.9894 |
| A(47,53,54) | 119.066 | 119.073 | 119.0978 | 118.029 | 119.0749 | 119.0607 |
| A(52,53,54) | 120.5644 | 120.5502 | 120.5364 | 107.2407 | 120.547 | 120.5405 |
| A(21,54,53) | 119.1987 | 119.244 | 119.2511 | 125.514 | 119.2267 | 119.2457 |
| A(21,54,55) | 108.7882 | 108.7763 | 108.759 | 111.3626 | 108.7673 | 108.78 |
| A(53,54,55) | 121.6465 | 121.6276 | 121.6013 | 111.3906 | 121.6103 | 121.6199 |
| A(45,55,54) | 119.2391 | 119.221 | 119.2153 | 106.427 | 119.2685 | 119.2647 |
| A(45,55,56) | 121.7488 | 121.8731 | 121.7581 | 127.7967 | 121.719 | 121.7985 |
| A(54,55,56) | 107.2749 | 107.3179 | 107.3382 | 125.6137 | 107.308 | 107.3015 |
| A(20,56,55) | 107.3069 | 107.2718 | 107.2563 | 107.3475 | 107.3006 | 107.2902 |
| A(20,56,57) | 119.2152 | 119.2413 | 119.2767 | 118.2551 | 119.2734 | 119.2535 |
| A(55,56,57) | 121.8042 | 121.8179 | 121.8686 | 125.2911 | 121.7201 | 121.667 |
| A(10,57,43) | 107.7723 | 107.8149 | 107.9259 | 107.1704 | 107.8152 | 107.8673 |
| A(10,57,56) | 118.2036 | 118.1725 | 118.1234 | 118.6527 | 118.1672 | 118.2037 |
| A(43,57,56) | 124.8207 | 124.8687 | 124.6951 | 122.8113 | 124.8185 | 124.9031 |
| A(29,58,40) | 119.2067 | 119.2639 | 119.3072 | 119.9021 | 119.2267 | 119.2542 |
| $\mathrm{A}(29,58,41)$ | 121.6193 | 121.5766 | 121.5647 | - 119.866 | 121.614 | 121.5774 |
| A(40,58,41) | 108.779 | 108.7872 | 108.7637 | 108.0859 | 108.767 | 108.7716 |
| $\mathrm{A}(10,59,11)$ 이 | 119.7713 | 119.7687 | 119.7697 | 120.5077 | 119.7815 | 119.7345 |
| A(10,59,42) | 108.3999 | 108.358 | 108.4029 | 107.8227 | 108.405 | 108.3739 |
| $\mathrm{A}(11,59,42)$ | 122.3488 | 122.3534 | 122.3453 | 120.5169 | 122.3277 | 122.3678 |
| A(30,60,34) | 119.2435 | 119.2888 | 119.2898 | 120.4927 | 119.248 | 119.2821 |
| A(30,60,35) | 108.3287 | 108.2814 | 108.2684 | 108.3859 | 108.3115 | 108.2777 |
| A(34,60,35) | 120.436 | 120.5106 | 120.4851 | 119.0831 | 120.4483 | 120.4947 |
| $\mathrm{D}(6,1,2,3)$ | -0.0063 | -0.0061 | -0.0106 | 0.5138 | 0.0011 | -0.0088 |
| D(6,1,2,9) | -142.224 | -142.232 | 142.2403 | -141.884 | -142.221 | -142.221 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,1,2,3)$ | 142.3146 | 142.3107 | 142.3088 | 142.708 | 142.3259 | 142.3159 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,1,6,5)$ | 0.0098 | 0.0067 | -0.0014 | -0.6277 | 0.0004 | 0.0061 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,1,6,17)$ | 138.1344 | 138.1431 | 138.1274 | 137.5107 | 138.1243 | 138.1308 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,1,6,5)$ | -137.738 | -137.738 | 137.7469 | -138.371 | -137.753 | -137.748 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,1,7,8)$ | -0.1178 | -0.1216 | -0.1125 | 0.0073 | -0.1088 | -0.1101 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,1,7,19)$ | -142.484 | -142.493 | 142.4779 | -142.072 | -142.474 | -142.495 |
| $\mathrm{D}(6,1,7,8)$ | 142.0951 | 142.0886 | 142.1042 | 142.3295 | 142.1101 | 142.1124 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,2,3,4)$ | -0.0047 | -0.0023 | 0.0199 | 0.0562 | -0.0027 | 0.0069 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,2,3,11)$ | -137.792 | -137.774 | 137.7611 | -138.04 | -137.797 | -137.803 |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,2,3,4)$ | 137.7872 | 137.8014 | 137.8342 | 138.0757 | 137.7948 | 137.799 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,2,9,8)$ | -0.0398 | -0.0157 | -0.0153 | -0.5093 | -0.0595 | -0.0579 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,2,9,10)$ | 142.2745 | 142.3095 | 142.3281 | 141.2705 | 142.2722 | 142.2718 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,2,9,8)$ | -142.417 | -142.397 | 142.3985 | -142.925 | -142.437 | -142.432 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,3,4,5)$ | 0.0122 | 0.0101 | -0.0176 | -0.5085 | 0.0029 | -0.0027 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,3,4,12)$ | -142.312 | -142.307 | 142.3258 | -143.073 | -142.321 | -142.332 |
| $\mathrm{D}(11,3,4,5)$ | 142.2245 | 142.2003 | 142.1749 | 141.8784 | 142.2209 | 142.2291 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,3,11,29)$ | 142.4171 | 142.4163 | 142.439 | 143.6522 | 142.433 | 142.442 |
| D(2,3,11,59) | 0.0876 | 0.0265 | 0.0296 | 0.867 | 0.1049 | 0.073 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,3,11,29)$ | 0.0491 | 0.0596 | 0.075 | 1.1088 | 0.0571 | 0.0617 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,4,5,6)$ | -0.0087 | -0.0095 | 0.0056 | 0.3942 | -0.0013 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,4,5,15)$ | -138.134 | -138.136 | 138.1163 | -137.78 | -138.125 | -138.122 |
| $\mathrm{D}(12,4,5,6)$ | 137.7435 | 137.7436 | 137.7515 | 138.4994 | 137.7509 | 137.7616 |
| D(3,4,12,13) | 142.484 | 142.4639 | 142.4574 | 142.5652 | 142.4747 | 142.4696 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,4,12,29)$ | 0.1129 | 0.1291 | 0.1411 | -0.0002 | 0.1101 | 0.1011 |
| D(5,4,12,13) | 0.2662 | 0.2477 | 0.2452 | -0.0017 | 0.2569 | 0.2386 |
| D(4,5,6,1) | -0.0023 | 0.0011 | 0.0037 | 0.1748 | -0.0003 | -0.0019 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,5,6,17)$ | -142.535 | -142.545 | 142.5377 | -142.426 | -142.533 | -142.537 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,5,6,1)$ | 142.5329 | -142.5374 | 142.5349 | 142.782 | 142.5322 | 142.5316 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,5,15,14)$ | 0.1159 | 0.1225 | 0.113 | -0.3249 | 0.1151 | 0.1134 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,5,15,16)$ | 142.7162 | 142.7233 | 142.7126 | 142.3226 | 142.7119 | 142.7103 |
| D(6,5,15,14) | -142.469 | -142.46 | 142.4625 | -142.934 | -142.466 | -142.466 |
| D(1,6,17,16) | -142.713 | -142.721 | 142.7147 | -142.549 | -142.711 | -142.705 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,6,17,18)$ | -0.1189 | -0.1222 | -0.1198 | -0.0013 | -0.1144 | -0.1098 |
| $\mathrm{D}(5,6,17,16)$ | -0.132 | -0.1262 | -0.1266 | -0.0064 | -0.1295 | -0.1253 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,7,8,9)$ | 0.0934 | 0.1121 | 0.1032 | -0.3242 | 0.0722 | 0.0745 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,7,8,20)$ | -141.607 | -141.58 | 141.5756 | -142.444 | -141.62 | -141.617 |
| $\mathrm{D}(19,7,8,9)$ | 142.473 | 142.4911 | 142.4736 | 141.954 | 142.4494 | 142.466 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,7,19,18)$ | -0.113 | -0.1098 | -0.1219 | - -0.0323 | -0.1179 | -0.0983 |
| $\mathrm{D}(1,7,19,22)$ | 138.0052 | 138.0005 | 137.9962 | 137.6544 | 138.001 | 138.0039 |
| $\mathrm{D}(8,7,19,18)$ | -138.059 | -138.056 | 138.057 | -137.686 | -138.059 | -138.056 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,8,9,2)$ | -0.0332 | -0.0596 | -0.0543 | 0.5151 | -0.0079 | -0.0102 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,8,9,10)$ | -142.881 | -142.92 | 142.9358 | -141.979 | -142.866 | -142.86 |
| $\mathrm{D}(20,8,9,2)$ | 142.33 | 142.2998 | 142.29 | 143.2505 | 142.3447 | 142.3408 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,8,20,21)$ | -0.9872 | -1.0195 | -1.0226 | 0.1453 | -0.9692 | -0.9734 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,8,20,56)$ | 140.0642 | 140.0254 | 140.042 | 142.5662 | 140.0445 | 140.0493 |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,8,20,21)$ | -138.566 | -138.597 | 138.5962 | -138.078 | -138.545 | -138.549 |
| D(2,9,10,57) | -142.367 | -142.296 | 142.39 | -140.198 | -142.336 | -142.246 |
| $\mathrm{D}(2,9,10,59)$ | 0.116 | 0.0563 | -0.0248 | 1.4274 | 0.1036 | 0.1524 |
| $\mathrm{D}(8,9,10,57)$ | -4.0517 | -3.9765 | -4.0612 | -2.6014 | -4.0128 | -3.9291 |

Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,10,57,43)$ | 155.0185 | 155.1003 | 155.0761 | 143.9851 | 154.9543 | 155.0735 |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,10,57,56)$ | 6.5556 | 6.5279 | 6.7297 | -0.6151 | 6.4832 | 6.3079 |
| D(59,10,57,43) | 8.8729 | 9.0586 | 9.0344 | -1.0033 | 8.8494 | 8.9795 |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,10,59,11)$ | -0.0278 | 0.0003 | 0.1072 | -0.5719 | 0.0024 | -0.09 |
| $\mathrm{D}(9,10,59,42)$ | -147.161 | -147.072 | 147.0218 | -144.525 | -147.114 | -147.163 |
| $\mathrm{D}(57,10,59,11)$ | 147.132 | 147.0701 | 147.1879 | 145.6074 | 147.1274 | 147.0032 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,11,29,12)$ | 0.0208 | 0.0204 | 0.0124 | -1.109 | 0.0111 | 0.0009 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,11,29,58)$ | -142.349 | -142.334 | 142.3251 | -143.665 | -142.345 | -142.317 |
| $\mathrm{D}(59,11,29,12)$ | 142.8794 | 142.9283 | 142.9382 | 141.6782 | 142.8652 | 142.8876 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,11,59,10)$ | -0.0742 | -0.0421 | -0.1095 | -0.577 | -0.1067 | -0.0232 |
| $\mathrm{D}(3,11,59,42)$ | 142.3696 | 142.3165 | 142.3317 | 138.86 | 142.3289 | 142.3333 |
| $\mathrm{D}(29,11,59,10)$ | -138.4 | -138.411 | 138.4879 | -138.852 | -138.428 | -138.366 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,12,13,14)$ | 0.1163 | 0.1473 | 0.137 | -0.3215 | 0.1174 | 0.1307 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,12,13,39)$ | -138.002 | -137.985 | 137.9998 | -138.496 | -138.003 | -137.979 |
| $\mathrm{D}(29,12,13,14)$ | 138.0653 | 138.0563 | 138.0232 | 137.7824 | 138.0593 | 138.0681 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,12,29,11)$ | -0.0828 | -0.0925 | -0.095 | 0.6865 | -0.075 | -0.0631 |
| $\mathrm{D}(4,12,29,58)$ | 141.6247 | 141.6082 | 141.5915 | 143.0833 | 141.6221 | 141.599 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,12,29,11)$ | -142.464 | -142.452 | 142.4435 | -141.877 | -142.453 | -142.441 |
| $\mathrm{D}(12,13,14,15)$ | -0.3824 | -0.4074 | -0.3942 | 0.3215 | -0.3752 | -0.3779 |
| D(12,13,14,28) | -142.987 | -143.015 | 143.0041 | -142.32 | -142.985 | -142.988 |
| $\mathrm{D}(39,13,14,15)$ | 142.0653 | 142.0637 | 142.0878 | 142.9282 | 142.0774 | 142.0697 |
| D(12,13,39,38) | 143.4433 | 143.4599 | 143.4428 | 142.4236 | 143.4346 | 143.4338 |
| D(12,13,39,40) | 0.6396 | 0.6848 | 0.6763 | -0.1819 | 0.6457 | 0.6607 |
| D(14,13,39,38) | 0.8696 | 0.8768 | 0.8565 | -0.1829 | 0.8614 | 0.8666 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,14,15,5)$ | 0.266 | 0.2718 | 0.2686 | 0.0017 | 0.2586 | 0.2554 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,14,15,16)$ | -137.905 | -137.903 | 137.9055 | -138.218 | -137.909 | -137.915 |
| $\mathrm{D}(28,14,15,5)$ | 138.4281 | 138.4322 | 138.429 | 138.2147 | 138.4255 | 138.424 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,14,28,27)$ | 142.6053 | 142.6032 | 142.5992 | 142.3341 | 142.6113 | 142.6141 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,14,28,38)$ | 0.0019 | 0.0018 | -0.0125 | -0.2818 | -0.0005 | 0.0068 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,14,28,27)$ | -0.0018 | 0.0005 | -0.0035 | -0.3071 | -0.0013 | 0.0036 |
| $\mathrm{D}(5,15,16,17)$ | -0.2133 | -0.2189 | -0.2154 | 0.2824 | -0.2106 | -0.2084 |
| $\mathrm{D}(5,15,16,26)$ | -142.86 | -142.869 | 142.8619 | -142.336 | -142.858 | -142.863 |
| $\mathrm{D}(14,15,16,17)$ | 142.3908 | 142.3923 | 142.397 | 142.9296 | 142.3909 | 142.3959 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,16,17,6)$ | 0.2134 | 0.2133 | 0.2114 | -0.1705 | 0.2102 | 0.2062 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,16,17,18)$ | -142.386 | -142.389 | 142.3874 | -142.78 | -142.391 | -142.394 |
| $\mathrm{D}(26,16,17,6)$ | 142.8604 | 142.8611 | 142.8543 | 0142.4443 | 142.8575 | 142.8604 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,16,26,25)$ | 138.2141 | 138.216 | 138.213 | 137.7951 | 138.2134 | 138.2168 |
| $\mathrm{D}(15,16,26,27)$ | -0.0016 | -0.001 | -0.0071 | -0.3069 | -0.0015 | 0.0056 |
| $\mathrm{D}(17,16,26,25)$ | 0.0029 | 0.0033 | 0.0055 | -0.3896 | 0.0017 | -0.0007 |
| $\mathrm{D}(6,17,18,19)$ | -0.2643 | -0.27 | -0.2625 | 0.2187 | -0.2579 | -0.2605 |
| $\mathrm{D}(6,17,18,24)$ | -138.43 | -138.431 | 138.4273 | -137.54 | -138.425 | -138.427 |
| $\mathrm{D}(16,17,18,19)$ | 137.9005 | 137.9007 | 137.9058 | 138.3594 | 137.9096 | 137.9066 |
| $\mathrm{D}(17,18,19,7)$ | 0.3805 | 0.3861 | 0.3838 | -0.2017 | 0.3744 | 0.3647 |
| $\mathrm{D}(17,18,19,22)$ | -142.068 | -142.055 | 142.0681 | -142.321 | -142.077 | -142.07 |
| $\mathrm{D}(24,18,19,7)$ | 142.9908 | 142.9886 | 142.9898 | 142.1323 | 142.9843 | 142.9726 |
| $\mathrm{D}(17,18,24,23)$ | 142.6135 | 142.6042 | 142.6062 | 141.911 | 142.6125 | 142.6097 |
| $\mathrm{D}(17,18,24,25)$ | 0.0057 | -0.0003 | 0.0015 | -0.4821 | 0.0011 | 0.0013 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(19,18,24,23)$ | 0.0019 | -0.0005 | -0.0006 | -0.3029 | 0.0003 | -0.0005 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,19,22,21)$ | -0.657 | -0.6653 | -0.6674 | 0.1864 | -0.6458 | -0.6481 |
| $\mathrm{D}(7,19,22,23)$ | -143.45 | -143.454 | 143.4474 | -141.997 | -143.434 | -143.429 |
| D(18,19,22,21) | 141.9143 | 141.9045 | 141.9112 | 142.4674 | 141.9271 | 141.9124 |
| $\mathrm{D}(8,20,21,22)$ | 0.385 | 0.4035 | 0.4118 | 0.0413 | 0.3791 | 0.3669 |
| $\mathrm{D}(8,20,21,54)$ | 144.0883 | 144.0657 | 144.0769 | 147.2311 | 144.0472 | 144.0316 |
| D(56,20,21,22) | -145.194 | -145.165 | 145.1659 | -147.22 | -145.158 | -145.178 |
| $\mathrm{D}(8,20,56,55)$ | -143.7 | -143.622 | 143.6319 | -156.274 | -143.635 | -143.604 |
| D (8,20,56,57) | 0.0769 | 0.1635 | 0.2659 | -7.5123 | 0.0627 | -0.0378 |
| D(21,20,56,55) | 0.8851 | 0.9482 | 0.9602 | -10.0725 | 0.9217 | 0.9526 |
| $\mathrm{D}(20,21,22,19)$ | 0.4384 | 0.4404 | 0.4348 | -0.2068 | 0.4298 | 0.4453 |
| $\mathrm{D}(20,21,22,23)$ | 138.7368 | 138.7347 | 138.722 | 138.0544 | 138.7241 | 138.7335 |
| $\mathrm{D}(54,21,22,19)$ | -138.749 | -138.713 | 138.7223 | -142.517 | -138.725 | -138.709 |
| $\mathrm{D}(20,21,54,53)$ | -144.061 | -144.12 | 144.0726 | -138.573 | -144.044 | -144.101 |
| $\mathrm{D}(20,21,54,55)$ | 1.5272 | 1.4809 | 1.4644 | 10.1051 | 1.487 | 1.4933 |
| $\mathrm{D}(22,21,54,53)$ | -0.3632 | -0.4391 | -0.3928 | 7.4622 | -0.3754 | -0.423 |
| D(19,22,23,24) | 0.8798 | 0.8838 | 0.8685 | -0.4708 | 0.8613 | 0.868 |
| $\mathrm{D}(19,22,23,52)$ | 143.4432 | 143.4572 | 143.4347 | 141.9946 | 143.4309 | 143.4416 |
| $\mathrm{D}(21,22,23,24)$ | -141.914 | -141.899 | 141.9058 | -143.271 | -141.9265 | -141.91 |
| $\mathrm{D}(22,23,24,18)$ | -0.5456 | -0.5466 | -0.537 | 0.4779 | -0.5332 | -0.5367 |
| $\mathrm{D}(22,23,24,25)$ | 142.0592 | 142.0591 | 142.0699 | 142.8855 | 142.0756 | 142.0723 |
| D(52,23,24,18) | -142.982 | -143.003 | 142.9875 | -141.271 | -142.9817 | -142.993 |
| D(22,23,52,51) | -137.998 | -138.004 | 138.0051 | -138.999 | -138.0007 | -137.998 |
| D(22,23,52,53) | -0.0425 | -0.0784 | -0.0649 | 2.5519 | -0.0566 | -0.0703 |
| $\mathrm{D}(24,23,52,51)$ | 0.1099 | 0.1172 | 0.1083 | -1.4093 | 0.115 | 0.1218 |
| D(18,24,25,26) | 0.258 | 0.2627 | 0.2594 | -0.0739 | 0.2566 | 0.2579 |
| $\mathrm{D}(18,24,25,50)$ | 138.4277 | 138.4328 | 138.4298 | 138.0206 | 138.4253 | 138.4264 |
| D(23,24,25,26) | -137.904 | -137.897 | 137.9018 | -138.079 | -137.9097 | -137.906 |
| D(24,25,26,16) | -0.2623 | -0.2642 | -0.263 | 0.5084 | -0.2581 | -0.2582 |
| D(24,25,26,27) | 142.3879 | 142.3899 | 142.3965 | 143.0687 | 142.3927 | 142.3901 |
| D(50,25,26,16) | -142.865 | -142.87 | 142.8707 | -141.883 | -142.861 | -142.861 |
| D(24,25,50,49) | -142.467 | -142.463 | 142.4599 | -143.637 | -142.4672 | -142.46 |
| D(24,25,50,51) | 0.1119 | 0.1325 | 0.1153 | -0.8589 | 0.1121 | 0.1205 |
| D(26,25,50,49) | 0.1311 | 0.1361 | 0.1383 | -1.0912 | 0.1304 | 0.1361 |
| $\mathrm{D}(16,26,27,28)$ | 0.2568 | 0.2593 | 0.2585 | -0.0047 | 0.2583 | 0.2519 |
| $\mathrm{D}(16,26,27,49)$ | 142.8685 | 142.864 | 142.8586 | -142.557 | 142.8603 | 142.8562 |
| $\mathrm{D}(25,26,27,28)$ | -142.395 | -142.392 | 142.3966 | -142.565 | -142.3924 | -142.396 |
| $\mathrm{D}(26,27,28,14)$ | -0.2551 | -0.259 | -0.2531 | 0.3118 | -0.2569 | -0.2565 |
| D(26,27,28,38) | 137.9021 | 137.9004 | 137.9192 | 138.493 | 137.9097 | 137.9086 |
| D(49,27,28,14) | -138.431 | -138.431 | 138.4228 | -137.791 | -138.4253 | -138.427 |
| D(26,27,49,36) | -142.715 | -142.711 | 142.7044 | -143.07 | -142.7094 | -142.703 |
| $\mathrm{D}(26,27,49,50)$ | -0.1354 | -0.129 | -0.118 | -0.6717 | -0.1291 | -0.1247 |
| $\mathrm{D}(28,27,49,36)$ | -0.1109 | -0.1133 | -0.1089 | -0.5066 | -0.1119 | -0.1061 |
| $\mathrm{D}(14,28,38,37)$ | 142.9869 | 142.992 | 142.9729 | 142.7787 | 142.9803 | 142.9846 |
| $\mathrm{D}(14,28,38,39)$ | 0.5363 | 0.5407 | 0.5425 | 0.1687 | 0.5336 | 0.5294 |
| $\mathrm{D}(27,28,38,37)$ | 0.3843 | 0.3907 | 0.3644 | 0.1665 | 0.3716 | 0.3753 |
| D(11,29,58,40) | 138.5464 | 138.543 | 138.6347 | 138.0309 | 138.5456 | 138.5184 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(11,29,58,41)$ | -2.4659 | -2.5109 | -2.4242 | 0.0271 | -2.4668 | -2.489 |
| $\mathrm{D}(12,29,58,40)$ | 0.9599 | 0.9514 | 1.0449 | -0.076 | 0.9675 | 0.961 |
| D(37,30,31,32) | 140.0538 | 140.1248 | 140.0208 | 137.7088 | 140.0453 | 140.0486 |
| D(37,30,31,40) | -0.9597 | -1.0269 | -0.9774 | 0.0428 | -0.9638 | -1.0561 |
| $\mathrm{D}(60,30,31,32)$ | 2.4555 | 2.5186 | 2.4796 | 0.0282 | 2.4665 | 2.4884 |
| D(31,30,37,36) | -141.639 | -141.578 | 141.5854 | -142.137 | -141.624 | -141.573 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,30,37,38)$ | 0.7454 | 0.7663 | 0.8024 | 0.1866 | 0.7581 | 0.7881 |
| $\mathrm{D}(60,30,37,36)$ | 0.0859 | 0.1162 | 0.0507 | -0.031 | 0.0722 | 0.0763 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,30,60,34)$ | -0.485 | -0.645 | -0.5976 | -0.1875 | -0.5071 | -0.6076 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,30,60,35)$ | 142.366 | 142.3286 | 142.3154 | 142.014 | 142.3475 | 142.325 |
| D(37,30,60,34) | -142.865 | -143.017 | 142.9022 | -142.468 | -142.863 | -142.931 |
| D (30,31,32,33) | 0.0147 | 0.2632 | 0.1121 | 0.1845 | 0.0425 | 0.2079 |
| D(30,31,32,41) | -143.66 | -143.714 | 143.4854 | -141.95 | -143.6267 | -143.773 |
| $\mathrm{D}(40,31,32,33)$ | 144.5727 | 144.9244 | 144.6447 | 142.4692 | 144.5932 | 144.8447 |
| D(30,31,40,39) | 0.3804 | 0.4431 | 0.3456 | -0.2486 | 0.3727 | 0.4702 |
| $\mathrm{D}(30,31,40,58)$ | 144.055 | 144.1172 | 143.9723 | 142.0724 | 144.041 | 144.1332 |
| D(32,31,40,39) | -145.159 | -145.206 | 145.1519 | -142.338 | -145.16 | -145.134 |
| D(31,32,33,34) | -4.2914 | -4.7263 | -4.4076 | -0.2372 | -4.3434 | -4.5978 |
| D(31,32,33,44) | -147.187 | -147.072 | 146.9176 | -142.708 | -147.0515 | -147.318 |
| $\mathrm{D}(41,32,33,34)$ | 134.0316 | 133.8464 | 133.8824 | 138.0018 | 133.9811 | 134.0271 |
| D(31,32,41,42) | 142.6189 | 142.6805 | 142.8886 | 141.9683 | 142.6003 | 142.7847 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,32,41,58)$ | 0.0276 | -0.0054 | -0.1736 | -0.5231 | -0.0063 | 0.0712 |
| D(33,32,41,42) | 0.0525 | -0.1824 | 0.2315 | -0.7915 | 0.0115 | -0.1338 |
| D(32,33,34,46) | -139.739 | -139.282 | 139.4822 | -147.176 | -139.6433 | -139.443 |
| D(32,33,34,60) | 6.4082 | 6.7588 | 6.4339 | 0.0776 | 6.4497 | 6.6327 |
| D(44,33,34,46) | 8.9437 | 8.9569 | 8.9015 | 0.1455 | 8.8601 | 9.1387 |
| D(32,33,44,43) | 8.1951 | 7.8893 | 7.6444 | 7.8019 | 8.0427 | 8.2637 |
| D(32,33,44,45) | 132.0095 | 131.2141 | 131.524 | 156.3114 | 131.9143 | 131.3647 |
| D(34,33,44,43) | -137.866 | -137.574 | 137.9808 | -138.429 | -137.8371 | -137.525 |
| D(33,34,46,45) | -0.0616 | 0.1368 | 0.0707 | -10.3119 | -0.0059 | 0.0485 |
| D(33,34,46,47) | 147.0679 | 147.2538 | 147.1299 | 138.6742 | 147.1129 | 147.1966 |
| D(60,34,46,45) | -147.198 | -147.005 | 146.9416 | -156.411 | -147.1152 | -147.116 |
| D(33,34,60,30) | -3.9947 | -4.0589 | -3.9178 | 0.1352 | -4.0002 | -4.0158 |
| D(33,34,60,35) | -142.322 | -142.477 | 142.2744 | -138.143 | -142.3201 | -142.393 |
| $\mathrm{D}(46,34,60,30)$ | 138.4628 | 138.3442 | 138.3539 | 142.5135 | 138.424 | 138.418 |
| $\mathrm{D}(48,35,36,37)$ | 142.2956 | 142.3338 | 142.3649 | 141.262 | 142.3239 | 142.3492 |
| $\mathrm{D}(48,35,36,49)$ | -0.0229 | 0.0154 | 0.0235 | -1.1353 | -0.0028 | 0.0269 |
| D(60,35,36,37) | 0.1159 | 0.117 | 0.0991 | -0.478 | 0.1029 | 0.1253 |
| $\mathrm{D}(36,35,48,47)$ | -137.789 | -137.802 | 137.8056 | -140.153 | -137.7945 | -137.814 |
| $\mathrm{D}(36,35,48,51)$ | 0.0166 | -0.0276 | -0.0435 | 1.4578 | -0.0001 | -0.0345 |
| $\mathrm{D}(60,35,48,47)$ | -0.0339 | -0.004 | 0.0335 | -2.566 | 0.0019 | -0.0081 |
| D(36,35,60,30) | -0.0632 | -0.0455 | -0.0682 | 0.4598 | -0.0586 | -0.0786 |
| $\mathrm{D}(36,35,60,34)$ | 142.2646 | 142.3931 | 142.3205 | 143.2802 | 142.2692 | 142.3224 |
| D(48,35,60,30) | -142.412 | -142.417 | 142.4607 | -142.004 | -142.4347 | -142.453 |
| $\mathrm{D}(35,36,37,30)$ | -0.1247 | -0.144 | -0.0927 | 0.3141 | -0.1082 | -0.1246 |
| D(35,36,37,38) | -142.489 | -142.474 | 142.4863 | -141.888 | -142.4797 | -142.473 |
| D(49,36,37,30) | 142.0889 | 142.0697 | 142.1519 | 142.6918 | 142.1135 | 142.0992 |

## Table A. 1 (cont.)

| parameters | Compd.I | Compd.II | Compd.III | Compd.IV | Compd.V | Compd.VI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D}(35,36,49,27)$ | 138.1356 | 138.1279 | 138.1274 | 138.058 | 138.1257 | 138.1154 |
| $\mathrm{D}(35,36,49,50)$ | 0.0119 | 0.0071 | 0.0015 | -0.0436 | 0.003 | -0.0043 |
| $\mathrm{D}(37,36,49,27)$ | 0.3856 | 0.3795 | 0.3553 | 0.0679 | 0.3705 | 0.362 |
| $\mathrm{D}(30,37,38,28)$ | -138.056 | -138.021 | 138.0744 | -138.354 | -138.0607 | -138.035 |
| $\mathrm{D}(30,37,38,39)$ | 0.0635 | 0.0949 | 0.0206 | -0.2109 | 0.0543 | 0.0837 |
| $\mathrm{D}(36,37,38,28)$ | -0.1098 | -0.1247 | -0.1181 | -0.6058 | -0.1132 | -0.1196 |
| $\mathrm{D}(28,38,39,13)$ | -0.8685 | -0.8756 | -0.8642 | 0.0088 | -0.8617 | -0.8624 |
| $\mathrm{D}(28,38,39,40)$ | 141.9315 | 141.9 | 141.9125 | 142.5542 | 141.9282 | 141.9101 |
| $\mathrm{D}(37,38,39,13)$ | -143.439 | -143.45 | 143.4248 | -142.54 | -143.4311 | -143.438 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,39,40,31)$ | 138.726 | 138.6943 | 138.7538 | 138.3642 | 138.7264 | 138.6767 |
| $\mathrm{D}(13,39,40,58)$ | -0.4316 | -0.4809 | -0.3838 | 0.6262 | -0.4294 | -0.4751 |
| $\mathrm{D}(38,39,40,31)$ | 0.4199 | 0.4087 | 0.469 | 0.224 | 0.4312 | 0.3922 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,40,58,29)$ | -144.035 | -144.025 | 144.1433 | -142.688 | -144.0498 | -144 |
| $\mathrm{D}(31,40,58,41)$ | 1.5018 | 1.5272 | 1.4135 | -0.3053 | 1.4876 | 1.5162 |
| $\mathrm{D}(39,40,58,29)$ | -0.3694 | -0.3381 | -0.4782 | -0.4987 | -0.3784 | -0.3372 |
| $\mathrm{D}(32,41,42,43)$ | 8.719 | 8.8024 | 8.6114 | 2.6194 | 8.7235 | 8.5806 |
| $\mathrm{D}(32,41,42,59)$ | -133.898 | -134.05 | 134.2218 | -139.018 | -133.9882 | -133.959 |
| $\mathrm{D}(58,41,42,43)$ | 147.0485 | 147.1941 | 147.4309 | 140.2087 | 147.0759 | 146.9919 |
| $\mathrm{D}(32,41,58,29)$ | 143.6032 | 143.6653 | 143.8662 | 142.9105 | 143.6437 | 143.5837 |
| $\mathrm{D}(32,41,58,40)$ | -0.9433 | -0.9385 | -0.765 | 0.5115 | -0.9137 | -0.9791 |
| $\mathrm{D}(42,41,58,29)$ | -0.0907 | -0.0321 | -0.2655 | 1.1447 | -0.0539 | -0.1105 |
| $\mathrm{D}(41,42,43,44)$ | -8.0271 | -8.0581 | -8.2588 | 0.7685 | -8.0694 | -7.6712 |
| $\mathrm{D}(41,42,43,57)$ | -131.8 | -131.671 | 131.6296 | -143.946 | -131.9158 | -131.627 |
| $\mathrm{D}(59,42,43,44)$ | 137.773 | 137.9041 | 137.6684 | 145.7613 | 137.8168 | 137.9978 |
| $\mathrm{D}(41,42,59,10)$ | 139.5715 | 139.6226 | 139.5374 | 144.5189 | 139.6367 | 139.5075 |
| $\mathrm{D}(41,42,59,11)$ | -6.5389 | -6.4216 | -6.5694 | 0.5697 | -6.4692 | -6.5183 |
| $\mathrm{D}(43,42,59,10)$ | -8.871 | -9.0617 | -9.1212 | -1.6714 | -8.8663 | -8.864 |
| $\mathrm{D}(42,43,44,33)$ | -0.0823 | 0.0292 | 0.4543 | -5.7455 | 0.021 | -0.4022 |
| $\mathrm{D}(42,43,44,45)$ | -119.698 | -119.107 | 119.2024 | -148.126 | -119.6359 | -119.206 |
| $\mathrm{D}(57,43,44,33)$ | 119.5572 | 119.4977 | 119.4802 | 133.1558 | 119.6555 | 119.3479 |
| $\mathrm{D}(42,43,57,10)$ | -13.9999 | -14.2907 | -14.2684 | -0.0265 | -13.9634 | -14.0886 |
| $\mathrm{D}(42,43,57,56)$ | 131.8412 | 131.6377 | 131.4741 | 142.7564 | 131.8747 | 132.0486 |
| $\mathrm{D}(44,43,57,10)$ | -137.801 | -137.981 | 137.4598 | -142.903 | -137.8088 | -138.198 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

สถาบนวิทยบริการ

Table A.2 Structural parameters of compound VII-X obtained from ONIOM calculations.
Here, R, A, D are distance, bond angle and torsion angle, respectively.
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Table A. 2 (cont.)


Table A. 3 The net charges (in a.u.) of atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives in group-1 obtained using B3LYP/6-31G (d).

| Carbon atom | Compd. <br> I | Compd. <br> II | Compd. III | Compd. IV | Compd. V | Compd. <br> VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -0.00185 | -0.00034 | -0.00129 | -0.00117 | -0.00156 | -0.00167 |
| 2 | -0.00004 | 0.000796 | 0.000632 | -0.00059 | -0.00053 | -0.00016 |
| 3 | 0.000486 | 0.000112 | -0.00023 | -0.00276 | -0.00044 | -0.00026 |
| 4 | -0.0016 | -0.00037 | -0.00137 | -0.00054 | -0.0014 | -0.00133 |
| 5 | -0.00057 | 0.000247 | -0.00063 | -0.00082 | -0.00065 | -0.00062 |
| 6 | 0.000453 | 0.00041 | -0.00067 | -0.00029 | -0.00087 | -0.00121 |
| 7 | -0.00212 | -0.0013 | -0.0008 | 0.000062 | -0.0014 | -0.00136 |
| 8 | -0.0038 | -0.00535 | -0.00508 | -0.01399 | -0.00543 | -0.00559 |
| 9 | -0.00987 | -0.0126 | -0.01312 | -0.00478 | -0.0153 | -0.01248 |
| 10 | -0.00047 | 0.004031 | 0.005214 | 0.00428 | 0.002942 | 0.00224 |
| 11 | -0.0109 | -0.01283 | -0.01377 | -0.00058 | -0.01531 | -0.01309 |
| 12 | -0.00178 | -0.00073 | -0.00063 | -0.00036 | -0.00178 | -0.00123 |
| 13 | -0.00203 | -0.00115 | -0.00259 | -0.00065 | -0.00246 | -0.00272 |
| 14 | -0.00083 | 0.000314 | -0.00048 | -0.00057 | -0.00088 | -0.00127 |
| 15 | -0.00063 | 0.00005 | -0.00014 | -0.00106 | -0.00081 | -0.00077 |
| 16 | -0.0007 | -0.00013 | -0.00092 | -0.00049 | -0.00146 | -0.00081 |
| 17 | -0.00094 | -0.00021 | -0.00079 | -0.00067 | -0.00096 | -0.00038 |
| 18 | -0.00029 | -0.00075 | c-0.00021 | -0.0016 | -0.00094 | -0.00147 |
| 19 | -0.00122 | -0.00256 | -0.00298 | 0.001972 | -0.00231 | -0.00263 |
| 20 | -0.00053 | 0.0034 | 0.001421 | 0.00898 | 0.001733 | 0.002055 |
| 21 | 0.001274 | 0.001791 | 0.000667 | 0.004467 | 0.000338 | 0.000941 |
| 22 | -0.0016 | -0.00174 | -0.0015 | -0.01386 | -0.00184 | -0.00204 |
| 23 | -0.00085 | -0.00265 | -0.00229 | -0.00638 | -0.00257 | -0.00285 |
| 24 | -0.00142 | -0.00078 | -0.00038 | -0.00036 | -0.00101 | -0.00119 |
| 25 | -0.00071 | -0.00037 | -0.00044 | -0.00321 | -0.00104 | -0.00046 |

Table A. 3 (cont.)

| Carbon <br> atom | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{I}$ | Compd. <br> II | Compd. <br> III | Compd. <br> IV | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Compd. <br> VI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | -0.00121 | -0.00023 | -0.00103 | -0.00035 | -0.00149 | -0.00179 |
| 27 | -0.00078 | -0.0006 | -0.00028 | -0.00046 | -0.00078 | -0.00074 |
| 28 | -0.00048 | -0.00024 | 0.000192 | -0.00046 | -0.00099 | -0.00082 |
| 29 | -0.00028 | -0.00602 | -0.00615 | -0.00292 | -0.00505 | -0.00562 |
| 30 | -0.00478 | -0.00248 | -0.00436 | -0.00011 | -0.00478 | -0.00544 |
| 31 | -0.0002 | 0.009092 | -0.00045 | 0.001212 | 0.002147 | 0.0006 |
| 32 | 0.004223 | -0.02125 | -0.01466 | -0.01772 | -0.00253 | -0.01622 |
| 33 | 0.029582 | 0.029429 | 0.071131 | 0.006206 | 0.045119 | 0.050136 |
| 34 | 0.002409 | 0.000693 | -0.00078 | 0.002797 | 0.003658 | 0.000846 |
| 35 | -0.00128 | 0.001267 | 0.00075 | -0.00642 | -0.00033 | -0.00018 |
| 36 | -0.00184 | 0.000225 | -0.00036 | -0.00088 | -0.00118 | -0.00104 |
| 37 | -0.00249 | 0.002147 | -0.00083 | -0.00183 | -0.00169 | -0.00166 |
| 38 | -0.0007 | 0.001252 | -0.00292 | 0.000276 | -0.00221 | -0.00211 |
| 39 | -0.00179 | 0.001479 | -0.00054 | -0.00119 | -0.00227 | -0.00058 |
| 40 | 0.002487 | 0.005503 | 0.000311 | -0.00133 | 0.000739 | 0.000312 |
| 41 | -0.00625 | -0.01787 | -0.01418 | -0.00531 | -0.0027 | -0.01465 |
| 42 | 0.049842 | 0.046489 | 0.037981 | 0.003226 | 0.044121 | 0.051654 |
| 43 | -0.09483 | -0.10557 | -0.08954 | -0.00417 | -0.10648 | -0.10412 |
| 44 | -0.08441 | -0.09079 | -0.08564 | 0.029899 | -0.10565 | -0.09215 |
| 45 | 0.03771 | 0.035073 | 0.037184 | -0.03042 | 0.044095 | 0.032953 |
| 46 | 0.002055 | 0.004262 | 0.004137 | 0.040022 | 0.003865 | 0.004231 |
| 479 | -0.00959 | -0.0134 | -0.01309 | -0.01461 | -0.01491 | -0.01447 |
| 489 | $-7.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000569 | 0.000225 | 0.002824 | -0.00026 | -0.00052 |
| 49 | -0.00056 | 0.000939 | -0.00141 | -0.00245 | -0.00077 | -0.00132 |
| 50 | 0.000284 | 0.000426 | -0.00043 | -0.00098 | -0.0006 | -0.00092 |
| 51 | -0.00183 | -0.00044 | -0.00069 | -0.0006 | -0.00128 | -0.00191 |

Table A. 3 (cont.)

| Carbon <br> atom | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{I}$ | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{I I}$ | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{I I I}$ | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{I V}$ | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{V I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | -0.0022 | -0.00073 | -0.00164 | 0.003472 | -0.00122 | -0.00177 |
| 53 | -0.00391 | -0.00537 | -0.00429 | -0.01246 | -0.00525 | -0.00612 |
| 54 | -0.00138 | 0.003583 | 0.001057 | 0.038844 | 0.002113 | 0.00168 |
| 55 | -0.00559 | -0.00073 | -0.00224 | -0.06745 | -0.00237 | -0.00128 |
| 56 | -0.00077 | -0.0033 | -0.00176 | 0.044386 | -0.00279 | -0.00481 |
| 57 | 0.016427 | 0.048306 | 0.039572 | -0.00516 | 0.044598 | 0.049712 |
| 58 | -0.00412 | -0.00533 | 0.000816 | -0.00122 | 0.001567 | $-5.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 59 | 0.004156 | 0.004728 | 0.001091 | -0.00019 | 0.003134 | 0.00265 |
| 60 | -0.01073 | -0.01268 | -0.01192 | -0.01527 | -0.01491 | -0.01359 |
| 61 | -0.07204 | 0.023269 | 0.009455 | -0.17518 | -0.06862 | 0.023891 |

## สถาบันวิทยบริการ

## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table A. 4 The net charges (in a.u.) of atoms of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives in group 2 obtained using B3LYP/6-31G (d).

| Carbon atom | Compd. VII | Compd. VIII | Compd. IX | Compd. X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00298 | 0.003306 | 0.002224 | 0.003672 |
| 2 | 0.003798 | 0.004051 | -0.00025 | 0.002852 |
| 3 | -0.00671 | -0.00604 | -0.00967 | -0.00598 |
| 4 | -0.00258 | -0.00299 | -0.00331 | -0.00243 |
|  | -0.00057 | -0.00114 | -0.00214 | -0.00104 |
| 6 | -0.00359 | -0.00344 | -0.00331 | -0.00248 |
| 7 | 0.002244 | 0.004015 | 0.002072 | 0.002849 |
|  | -0.03108 | -0.03195 | -0.02613 | -0.02759 |
| 9 | -0.02658 | -0.032 | -0.01103 | -0.02726 |
| 10 | 0.041327 | 0.037519 | 0.056216 | 0.043193 |
| 11 | -0.0145 | -0.0227 | -0.02447 | -0.01169 |
| 12 | -0.00165 | -0.00088 | -0.00182 | -0.00128 |
| 13 | -0.00028 | -0.00032 | -0.00085 | 0.000135 |
| 14 | -0.00128 | -0.00081 | -0.00137 | -0.00107 |
| 15 | -0.00182 | -0.00072 | -0.00152 | -0.00148 |
| 16 | -0.00103 | -0.00112 | -0.00099 | -0.00046 |
| 17 | -0.00082 | -0.00116 | -0.00186 | -0.00119 |
| 18 | -0.00303 | $-0.00276$ | -0.00379 | -0.00286 |
| 61 19 | -0.00535 | -0.00553 | -0.00656 | -0.00511 |
| 20 | 0.043089 | 0.051959 | 0.038517 | 0,044703 |
| $21 \sim$ | 0.020623 | co.015913 | 0.02304 | 0.017852 |
| 22 | -0.01324 | -0.0287 | -0.01978 | -0.01318 |
| 23 | -0.00159 | -0.00044 | -0.00081 | -0.00014 |
| 24 | -0.00149 | -0.00088 | -0.00114 | -0.00085 |
| 25 | -0.00017 | -0.0002 | -0.00031 | -0.00083 |

Table A. 4 (cont.)

|  | Carbon atom | Compd. <br> VII | Compd. <br> VIII | Compd. IX | Compd. X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 26 | -0.00113 | -0.00072 | -0.00102 | -0.0011 |
|  | 27 | -0.00117 | -0.0014 | -0.00231 | -0.00071 |
|  | 28 | -0.00049 | -0.00112 | -0.00126 | -0.00108 |
|  | 29 | -0.00027 | -0.00016 | -0.00034 | -0.00028 |
|  | 30 | -0.0012 | -0.00151 | -0.00129 | -0.00135 |
|  | 31 | -0.00301 | -0.00243 | -0.00309 | -0.00206 |
|  | 32 | -0.0062 | -0.00788 | -0.00785 | -0.00596 |
|  | 33 | 0.003374 | 0.002537 | 0.002779 | 0.003719 |
|  | 34 | 0.00261 | 0.002634 | 0.003246 | 0.004184 |
|  | 35 | -0.00088 | -0.0012 | -0.00243 | -0.00125 |
|  | 36 | -0.00071 | -0.00107 | -0.00078 | -0.00085 |
|  | 37 | -0.00118 | -0.00081 | -0.00139 | -0.00073 |
|  | 38 | -0.00102 | -0.00103 | -0.00133 | -0.00018 |
|  | 39 | -0.00083 | -0.00033 | -0.00018 | -0.00025 |
|  | 40 | -0.00095 | -0.00134 | -0.00161 | -0.00121 |
|  | 41 | -0.01473 | -0.01607 | -0.02014 | -0.01171 |
|  | 42 | 0.043549 | 0.031527 | 0.012302 | 0.016234 |
|  | 43 | 0.049706 | 0.040082 | 0.030449 | 0.041902 |
|  | 44 | -0.02765 | -0.02895 | -0.02356 | -0.0237 |
|  | 6) 45 | -0.01584 | -0.03015 | d-0.0273 | -0.02285 |
| 99 9 | 46 | 0.006142 | 0.004728 | 0.002787 | 0.004938 |
|  | 47 7 | -0.00498 | -0.00868 | -0.00704 | -0,00609 |
|  | 48 | -0.00293 | -0.00208 | -0.00298 | -0.00253 |
|  | 49 | -0.0014 | -0.0008 | -0.0008 | -0.00086 |
|  | 50 | -0.00031 | -0.00039 | -0.00099 | 0.000232 |
|  | 51 | -0.00156 | -0.00089 | -0.00175 | -0.00048 |

Table A. 4 (cont.)

| Carbon <br> atom | Compd. <br> VII | Compd. <br> VIII | Compd. <br> IX | Compd. <br> $\mathbf{X}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 0.000184 | -0.00037 | -0.00003 | -0.00047 |
| 53 | -0.0106 | -0.01569 | -0.01792 | -0.01181 |
| 54 | 0.025098 | 0.036635 | 0.027763 | 0.015959 |
| 55 | 0.05444 | 0.046419 | 0.043923 | 0.036362 |
| 58 | -0.00124 | -0.00053 | -0.00144 | 0.000049 |
| 59 | 0.032836 | 0.017651 | 0.051454 | 0.016772 |
| 60 | -0.00276 | -0.00292 | -0.00311 | -0.0024 |
| 61 | -0.35046 | -0.10852 | -0.12232 | -0.29698 |
| 64 | 0.15449 | -0.08466 | -0.15236 | -0.2811 |

## สถาบนวิทยบริการ

## จฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## APPENDIX B

MOLECULAR ELECTROSTATIC POTENTIAL VISUALIZATION


สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## APPENDIX B

## Molecular Electrostatic Potential Visualization

In order to get the three-dimensional plots showing molecular electrostatic potential (MESP) isosurfaces for all compounds in this study, the schematic representative methodology details and calculations are demonstrated in Figure A.1.


Figure B. 1 Schematic representation of methodology details and calculations of molecular electrostatic maps.

## 1. Building Molecules and pre-Optimized Structure

The facility in HyperChem that constructs 3D models of chemical structures from 2D drawings. HyperChem uses built-in rules to assign standard bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and stereochemistry. The Model Builder also assigns atom types for the currently active force field, and can automatically add hydrogens to complete valence requirements. These are approximate structures and might require refinement by geometry optimization.

Creates a 3D molecular model from selected atoms you created with the Drawing tool or if nothing is selected, from all the atoms and bonds. After building molecule is finished, every structures studied was pre-optimized at the MM+ using conformational search in HyperChem package.


Figure B. 2 Dialog boxes of drawing tools and conformational search in HyperChem package.

## 2. Creating Input Files and Geometry Optimization

After molecule building from HyperChem package,.hin file was created. Easier way to create input file for ONIOM optimization is created by Gaussview program. One has to convert .hin file to .ent file and then open with Gaussview program. In this program, option in edit menu allows to assign atoms to ONIOM layers.

## Select Layer: Assigning Atoms to ONIOM Layers

Assigns atoms to layers graphically. This option is used for ONIOM calculations.
The following figure illustrates ONIOM layer assignment.


Figure B. 3 ONIOM layer assignment illustration in Gaussview program.

To create an input file, Save option under the File menu was selected. The molecular structure and the options selected (or their defaults) in this dialog box make up the Gaussian input file.


Figure B. 4 Dialog Box of Gaussian Calculation Setup in Gaussview program.
สถาบันวิทยบริการ
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## Example Input File for Multilayer ONIOM Calculation

```
%mem=6MW
%nproc=1
%chk=opt4c2.chk
# opt oniom=(b3lyp/6-31g*:pm3) geom=connectivity
Title Card Required
01
C 
C 
C
C 
C 
C 
C 
C 
C 
C 
C
C }\quad0\begin{array}{lllll}{\textrm{C}}&{0.015736}&{2.007436}&{2.398175 L H}&{56}
C O 0 4.742715 -0.508031-1.465830 L
C 
```



```
C }\quad0\quad1.144950 -1.049296 -3.328184 L
```



```
C 
C 0
```



## 3. Creating Input Files for Electrostatic Potential Calculation

After geometry optimization is finished, then, a most stable conformation of the structure was obtained. Create input file again with coordinates of the most stable structure for electrostatic potential calculation. Add the $\% / o c h k=j o b n a m e . c h k '$ line and cube keyword to your gaussian input file.

## Example Input File for Electrostatic Potential Calculation

\$RunGauss

```
%chk=elec4
```

\#p b3lyp/6-31 $\mathrm{g}^{*}$ gfinput $\operatorname{iop}(6 / 7=3)$ cube=potential, prop
optimized structure of opt4

01
C
$2.344669-0.589011-3.449133$

C
$\begin{array}{llll}1.127451 & -1.371679 & 3.284659\end{array}$
C
C
C
$1.141483-2.523307-2.521233$
$2.373509-2.9594031 .878325$
$3.531760-2.216876 \quad 2.033422$
C
C
$3.516921-1.001637<2.838702$
1.9734490 .8208723 .440423 )

C
$0.527472 \quad 0.905207 \quad 3.267791 \sim$
C
ค $9 / 0.009216 \quad-0.4425623 .173071$ ?
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -1.037784 & -0.705142 & 2.307197\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C} & 0.038253 & -2.800021 & 1.608879\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C} & 2.026453 & -3.506877 & 0.572407\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C} & 2.857509 & -3.288425 & -0.510625\end{array}$


## 4. Electrostatic Potential Calculation

One way to get the electrostatic potential is convert the binary checkpoint file to a formatted one with the formchk utility:

## formchk jobname.chk jobname.fchk

From a formatted checkpoint file, create one cube file containing density and one containing the electrostatic potential, calculate with the gaussians cubegen utility:

## cubegen 0 density jobname.fchk jobname_dens.cube cubegen 0 potential jobname.fchk jobname_esp.cube

then, these command provide the files that contain density and electrostatic potential to create an isodensity surface colorcoded with the electrostatic potential.

## 5. Displaying Isosurfaces

The Gaussview surfaces facility allows to display various chemical data in three dimensions. The surface data may be generated from a Gaussian checkpoint file or be read-in from a cube file (.CUB). In this study, cube files which contain density and


Start Gaussview, open the filename of the density cube andselect 'Surfaces' in



Figure B. 5 Show the section in the Cubes and Surfaces dialog box.

Gaussview also allows to map the values of one property on an isosurface of a different property. Now click 'Load Cube' in dialog box to open another cube file that contain electrostatic potential. This is accomplished by checking the Map values from a $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ function checkbox on Cubes and Surfaces dialog. Then select $2^{\text {nd }}$ function, which is electrostatic potential cube file. This will bring up the following Figure.


Figure B. 6 Show the section of map values from a $2^{\text {nd }}$ function in the Cubes and Surfaces


Click on 'Apply' in checkbox on Cubes and Surfaces dialog. Gaussview will report the maximum and minimum value of the electrostatic potential it encounters on the isodensity surface.


Figure B. 7 Three-dimensional plot showing MESP isosurface (displayed in solid mode).

Figure A. 6 is an example of a mapped surface, which display in solid mode. The surface display may be modified using the Change View Format dialog's Surface tab.
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#### Abstract

Quantum chemical methods were performed to study structure and electronic properties of a series of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives. The integrated, ONIOM molecular orbital method was applied to optimize the structure of all compounds while the DFT/B3LYP (6-31G (d)) calculations were performed to examine molecular and electronic properties. It was found that strongest effect of functional group on the net charges takes place on the linked atoms between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain. The functional group leads to the changes of atomic net charges on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface up to $5 \AA$ far from $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond where the functional group binds to the surface. Two localized electrostatic potential regions are observed, for the selected compounds, near the hydroxyl oxygen and the hydroxyl hydrogen. The hydroxyl hydrogen atom is the center for most positive potential. These electrostatic features are likely to be the modulator of hydrophobicity or lipophilicity of the compounds and, hence, indicate how they interact with the receptor.


## Introduction <br> 

HIV protease (HIV PR) is one of the most intensely studied aspartic peptidase, needed for viral replication implicated in AIDS, during the last 20 years [1]. It was found that water-soluble methanofullerene derivative was a competitive inhibitor for this purpose $[2,3]$. Therefore, various sizes of fullerene derivatives have been synthesized and their
biological activities have been widely measured and reported. On the basis of molecular modeling, Friedman et. al. [2] anticipated that a $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ molecule fits nicely into the hydrophobic cavity of the protease specific for HIV-1 because of steric bulk of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its complementarily to the active site surface of HIV-PR. The core fullerene moiety was observed to bind snugly into the active site. This was confirmed experimentally that the binding affinity of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives is in the low-micromolar to nanomolar range [4]. In addition, the main mechanism of action of these derivatives is hydrophobic interaction.

It is known that binding of drug to HIV-1 protease alters their physicochemical properties and the potency of binding correlates with the hydrophobicity of the drug. Therefore, understanding of their structural and the electrostatic features of these compounds would lead directly to an understanding of the mechanism of their action [5]. As receptor recognized stereo-electronic effects, studies of molecular and electronic properties by means of quantum chemical calculations could also provide essential information in the field of the structure based drug design.

The present study is an assessment of structural features and electronic properties toward an improved understanding of structure-activity relationship of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives to enable a prediction of potent antiviral activity. The study encompassed 10 compounds as shown in Figure 1. We have made detailed molecular modeling studies on $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives using Own N-layered Integrated molecular Orbital and molecular Mechanics or ONIOM algorithm [6]. The ONIOM scheme allows treating a selected area of a large system at a high level, whereas the rest of the system is treated using a computationally more feasible level of theory. Conformational analysis, a detailed analysis of electrostatic potential (ESP), and molecular properties study have been correlated with antiviral activity.

## 1. Computational details:

### 1.1. Optimized Geometry of the $\mathbf{C}_{60}$ Derivatives

Fullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) derivatives were selected as targets for this stydy. Starting parameters for $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ have been taken from literature. They then entirely optimized, using ONIOM algorithm at the ONIOM2 level. All computational calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 98 program for $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$-derivatives. Schematic representations of these compounds, I-X, are shown in Figure 1. Their antiviral activities as measured by $K i$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are also given. These compounds are classified into two groups by the membered ring bridge between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and side chain of each derivatives, 3 -membered and 6membered rings for compounds I-VI and VII-X, respectively. This method was applied with semiempirical; PM3 and density functional theory (DFT); B3LYP/6-31G*, at low and high level, respectively. The regions for each level were assigned as shown in Figure 2.

### 1.2. Electronic Properties of the $\mathbf{C}_{60}$ Derivatives

The optimal geometry obtained from the density functional theory (DFT) with B3LYP functionals has been applied to evaluate electronic properties of the compounds. The standard $6-31 \mathrm{G}$ (d) basis set was used to determine stabilization energy, HOMO-LUMO energies and electronic properties such as charge distribution on the solubilizing group and electrostatic potential of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives. Electrostatic potentials were calculated using CUBEGEN routine which is a utility in Gaussian 98 program.

Molecular electrostatic potential maps for compounds III, VIII, IX and X were visualized using the Gaussview program. The electrostatic potentials were sampled over the entire accessible surface of a molecule. Three-dimensional isosurfaces of the molecular electrostatic potentials represent electrostatic potentials superimposed onto a surface of electron density. The most negative electrostatic potential indicated by red, whereas the most positive electrostatic potential is blue.

## 2. Results and discussion:

### 2.1. Effect of functional groups on the molecular orbital properties

The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of all compounds were shown in Table

1. The results show that the energy gaps of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives in group 1 (compounds I-VI) are almost the same as that of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ which those of compounds in group 2 (compounds VII$\mathbf{X}$ ) are slightly narrower than that of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. However, no significant difference in the HOMO-LUMO energy gap is found among the derivatives in the same group.

### 2.2. Effect of functional groups on the atomic net charge

To visualize effect of functional groups on the atomic net charges of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives, their structures were fully optimized based on ONIOM (B3LYP/6-31G (d): PM3) calculations. Atomic net charges on carbon atoms around $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond which links between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain have been analyzed and plotted separately for the two groups of compounds, compounds I-VI (group 1) and VII-X (group 2), in Figures 3a and 3b. The selected atoms were labeled and given as an insert of Figure 3, in which atom numbers $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ are those of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface and its side chain, respectively. It is interesting to note here, therefore, that effect of functional group on the atomic net charges on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface can be observed up to $5 \AA$ far from bridged carbon atoms $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.

## Atomic net charge on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface

As expected, strongest effect of functional group on the net charges takes place on the linked atoms, $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. The calculated values are between-0.10 and -0.09 for both groups of derivatives in which the side chains are linked to the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface by the threeand six-membered rings, respectively. Second set of atoms where the net charge of $0.02-$ 0.05 is independent of linking type, are $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6}$. Effect of linkage has been significantly observed for $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{14}$ where the six-membered linkage leads to less negative atomic charges than those of the three-membered ring.

## Atomic net charge on the side chain

Effect of side chains and of linkage types is displayed also in Figure 4 in terms of atomic net charges of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{C}_{16}$ for compounds group 1 and group 2 , respectively.

Interesting is centered on $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ of the three-membered ring linkage, compound $\mathbf{I - V I}$, in which its net charge can be classified into 3 levels. The compounds that their side chains consist of two symmetric benzene rings, compounds I and $\mathbf{V}$, lead the electron density about -0.07 . This value is significantly lower than that between 0.01 and 0.02 obtained from the compounds that their side chains consist of the single benzene ring, compounds II, III and VI. In addition to the above 2 sets of compounds, the lowest negative charge takes place when the benzene rings are replaced by the open chain, compound IV. The calculated value of
-0.18 is much lower than those of the other compounds in group 1 .
For the second group of compounds, VII-X, carbon atoms of the linked sixmembered ring, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, are almost less negative than those of the three-membered rings, compound I-VI. The $=\mathrm{NO}$ and -OMe functional groups on the six-membered ring of compound VII and the - O group of compound $\mathbf{X}$ donate more electrons into the rings, in comparison to those of compounds VIII and IX. An unsymmetric distribution taken place for compound VII, charge of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ of about 0.5 atomic unit is much lower than that of $\mathrm{C}_{16}$, is clearly due to the presence of the electron donor-OMe group on $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ (see Figure 1).

### 2.3. Effect of functional groups on the molecular geometry <br> 

With the geometry obtained from the ONIOM (B3LYP/6-31G (d): PM3) optimization, changes of the selected bond lengths of all compounds relative to those of Buckminsterfullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) were plotted in Figures 4 a and 4 b . Six types of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds on the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface which are in the region $5 \AA$ from the bridged atoms were labeled by B1B6 in an insert of Figure 4. B3 is only double bond of all investigated ones. B5 and B6 obtained from PM3 only. The average C-C bond lengths of the Buckminsterfullerene
which share by the 2 six-membered rings of $1.44 \AA$ and by five- and six-membered ring of $1.37 \AA$ yielded from our previous work [7] have been used for comparison.

It was found in Figure 4 that B1 for all compounds which links between $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ and its side chain are more than $0.37 \AA$ for compounds I-VI (Figure 4A) and $0.22 \AA$ for compound VII-X (Figure 4B) longer than those of Buckminsterfullerene. The corresponding C-C distances of the two groups of derivatives, which longer than $1.81 \AA$ and $1.67 \AA$ for compounds in group 1 and group 2, respectively, indicate that B1 bond for all compounds are totally broken. It is found that the three-membered linkage effect B1 distance more than the six-membered one. For compound IV, dramatic increase of B1 by $0.5 \AA$ relates directly to an increase of electron density on $\mathrm{C}_{11}$, and hence of the threemembered ring connected to $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface.

Exclude compound IV, interest is centered on B2. Increases of this $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of group 2 compounds $(0.11 \AA)$ are significantly longer than those of group $1(0.03$ $\AA-0.05 \AA$ ). This fact can be described by a constrain due to an increase of B1, i.e., B2 of compounds in group 1 is higher constrained due to a much higher increase of B2 bond of this group of compounds than that of group 2. Therefore, the trends in increasing B1 and B2 are opposite; the longer B1 bonds with the shorter B2 bonds. As a consequence of increasing B1, B4 bonds for group 1 compounds are slightly longer than those of group 2. In addition, increases of B3 and B5 bonds for compounds in group 1 are superior, in comparison to those of group 2 .

Another clear conclusion which can be made from Figure 4 is that side chain effects play stronger role on the bond lengths of the six- than those of the fivemembered ring of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ surface. Changes of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are observed in the following orders: $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2 \sim \mathrm{~B} 4>\mathrm{B} 3>\mathrm{B} 6>\mathrm{B} 5$ for compounds I-VI (excluded compound IV) and $\mathrm{B} 1 \gg \mathrm{~B} 2>\mathrm{B} 4>\mathrm{B} 3 \sim \mathrm{~B} 6>$ B5 for compounds VII-X.

### 2.4. Electrostatic Potential (ESP)

Three-dimensional isosurfaces of ESP superimposed onto total electron density and electrostatic potential map of compounds III, VIII, IX and $\mathbf{X}$ are presented in Figure 5. The plots for all compounds show two localized ESP regions. The lowest electrostatic potential (red region) is in the proximity of the lone pair of the hydroxyl oxygen atom, whereas the center for most positive potential (most blue region) lies near the hydroxyl hydrogen atom. These long-range electrostatic features indicate the potential for the inhibitor to participate in intermolecular formation of hydrogen bond with the receptor. The large lateral negative potential in front of the hydroxyl oxygen can be regarded as a nucleophilic region which acts as a magnet toward the electrophilic part of the receptor. This would generate driving force to facilitate the formation of inhibitorenzyme complex. This fact is known to relate directly to their antiviral activity.

To visualize reliability of the gas phase properties yielded from quantum chemical calculations as described before, the results were compound to those obtained from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [8]. Compound III, which is the most active compound ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=150 \mathrm{nM}$ ) among the investigated compounds, was selected. Their structures obtained from the DFT calculations and from MD simulation were compared in Figure 6a. The ESP potential for the MD structure was shown in Figure 6 b.

The plot shows that the two structures are almost identical with the root mean square displacement (RMSD) of $1.02 \AA$. As a consequence, no difference was found in terms of positive and negative regions of the electrostatic potential obtained from the quantum calculations (compound III in Figure 5) and MD (Figure 6b) geometries. However, it was found that the lowest negative ESP from the quantum calculations structure is slightly higher than that of the MD one will the trend is opposite for lowest positive interaction.
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I; $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=7.3 \mu \mathrm{M}, \quad$,


III; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=103 \mathrm{nM}$


II; $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$


IV; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=0.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$


VI; $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$

VII; $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.88 \mu \mathrm{M}$
VIII; $K_{\mathrm{i}}=150 \mathrm{nM}$

$\mathbf{X} ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}=6.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 1 Fullerene ( $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ ) derivatives used in this study.

Table 1 The HOMO-LUMO energy gaps of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives and of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.

| Compound. | HOMO-LUMO <br> energy gap(ev) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 2.73 |
| II | 2.74 |
| III | 2.74 |
| $\mathbf{I V}$ | 2.79 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 2.73 |
| $\mathbf{V I I}$ | 2.73 |
| $\mathbf{V I I I}$ | 2.65 |
| $\mathbf{X X}$ | 2.63 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 2.63 |
|  | 2.64 |
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Figure 2 Optimized structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives using ONIOM method; (a) low level region and (b) high level region (ball and stick).



Figure 3 The plots of atomic net charges of all $10 \mathrm{C}_{60}$ derivatives; (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X.


Figure 4.6 The plots of selected bond-length of all compounds; (a) compounds I-VI and (b) compounds VII-X.


Figure 5 Molecular electrostatic potential energy isosurfaces of the selected compounds superimposed onto their total electron density ( $0.004 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{au}^{3}$ ).


Figure 6 (a) Stereoview and (b) electrostatic potential contours plot of the compound III; comparison between the optional structure obtained from quantum chemical calculation (blue) and molecular dynamics simulations (black).
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