CHAPTER VI

RESULTS, CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION 6.1 Implementation Results

This chapter would investigate into the implementation result of the post-ERP implementation. This was done by comparing various before and after implementation results along with performance indicators being the direct benefit inventory accuracy and satisfying more lost order and indirect benefits being one standardizing and improving the efficiency of the business processes by measuring the sale order lead time (order to deliver cycle), two improve the company's understanding of the ERP system for future implementation modules, and lastly reducing non-selling or slow moving inventory.

6.2 Comparison of Results

The comparisons could be done through observing the before-after system implementation results on various indicators following this section. This section could be divided into four sub-section presented as followed.

6.2.1 Comparison on Inventory Database

Prior running the new system and the BPs, the author had gathered the sale figures, production and inventory information of the control item namely N300-02 for a period of ten operating days using the old BPs and system. The results could be shown in the table 6-1.

The table 6-1 began with showing the inventory balanced forwarded from the previous transaction. At the end of each day the physical inventory count was done. The result was to be compared between the information on the previous database (resulted from the old work processes) and the actual physical inventory count. In order to maintain data integrity it should be noted that the old system did not allow the inventory to be of negative value. Therefore the unsatisfied order would occur when there were actual sale orders coming in on each particular day but the system does not allow the transaction to be done i.e. negative inventory figure within the system although there might be finish good available physically. The unsatisfied order was then multiplied with the sale price of the product resulting in the value of sale order lost due to data inaccuracy.

٠

.

		Volu	mes (Gallo	ns)					
Date	Transaction	Balance Forwarded from Database + Production	Sales	On Hand	Database	Physical Count	Differences	Unsatisfied	Value of Lost Sale
	As of			9,800					
	1	11,800	3,300	8,500					
31072004	2	8,500	2,950	5,550					
	3	5,550	3,550	2,000		2,000	-800	0	0
	4	9,200	3,000	6,200					
	5	6,200	900	5,300					
2082004	6	5,300	620	4,680					
	7	4,680	1,200	3,480					
	. 8	3,480	2,300	1,180		1,980	-1,140	0	0
3082004	9	7,940	3,100	4,840	There were	purchase or	der coming in	for 1420 Gall	ons during the
	10	4,840	700	4,140	inventory. T	There were o	nly 720 Gallor	ns to satisfy the	e order leaving
	11	4,140	2,020	2,120	700 Gallons	unsatisfied	However cur	nulated differe	nce and actual Gallons left
	12	2,120	350	1,770	therefore if	the databas	e was not cor	rupted all orde	er would have
	13	1,770	1,050	720	been satisfie	ed. The value	e at the end da	y of the databa	ise was moved

0					on as Balance forward.		·····			
	14	720	720	0						
	15	Unable to me	et the deman	nd of 700	0 1,140	-1,140	700	420,000.00		
	16	8,000	950	7,050						
	17	7,050	600	6,450	There were purchase orders coming in for total 2420 Gallo during the last 3 orders and since the database would not all pageting inventors there were only 080 Callers to estimate the					
	18	6,450	1,200	5,250						
	19	5,250	800	4,450	leaving 1440 Gallons	unsatisfied.	In reality 1	the cumulated		
	20	4,450	300	4,150	difference and actual j	ohysical count if the detabation	t shows there	were actually		
4082004	21	4 150	700	2 450	3,450 would be 300 Gallons of unsatisfied order. It should be					
	<u> </u>	4,150	/00	5,430	would be 300 Gallons of	or unsatisfied (order. It should	a be noted that		
	22	3,450	970	2,480	although the database s	shows 670 Ga	illons (which	a be noted that may results in warehouse the		
	22 22 23	3,450 2,480	970 1,500	2,480 980	although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed	shows 670 Ga as in fact noth out for pickin	illons (which ing left in the g and were co	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to		
	22 22 23 24	3,450 2,480 980	970 970 1,500 980	<u>3,430</u> 2,480 980 0	although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied.	shows 670 Ga as in fact noth out for pickin	llons (which ing left in the g and were co	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to		
	22 23 24 25	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m	970 970 1,500 980 eet demand	2,480 980 0 of 800	although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied.	shows 670 Ga as in fact noth out for pickin	illons (which ing left in the g and were co	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to		
	22 23 24 25 26	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m Unable to m	970 1,500 980 eet demand eet demand	2,480 980 0 of 800 of 640	would be 300 Gallons of although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied. 1,140	shows 670 Ga as in fact noth out for pickin	llons (which ing left in the g and were co	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to 996,000.00		
5082004	22 23 24 25 26 27	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m Unable to m 9,670	970 1,500 980 eet demand eet demand 1,050	2,480 980 0 of 800 of 640 8,620	would be 300 Gallons of although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied. 1,140 It should be noted that 6 available. It was just an	shows 670 Ga as in fact noth out for pickin -470 70 Gallons car	llons (which ing left in the g and were co 1,660 rried forward a	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to 996,000.00 re not		
5082004	22 23 24 25 26 27 28	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m Unable to m 9,670 8,620	970 1,500 980 eet demand 1,050 800	2,480 980 0 of 800 of 640 8,620 7,820	would be 300 Gallons of although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied. 1,140 It should be noted that 6 available. It was just an physical count is 1180 C	-470 70 Gallons car incorrect figur	1,660 1,	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to 996,000.00 re not , the real ons.		
5082004	22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m Unable to m 9,670 8,620 7,820	970 1,500 980 eet demand 1,050 800 1,090	3,430 2,480 980 0 of 800 of 640 8,620 7,820 6,730	would be 300 Gallons of although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied. 1,140 It should be noted that 6 available. It was just an physical count is 1180 C	-470 70 Gallons car incorrect figur fallons deducte	1,660 rried forward a e due to errors ed by 670 Gall	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to 996,000.00 re not , the real ons.		
5082004	22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	3,450 2,480 980 Unable to m Unable to m 9,670 8,620 7,820 6,730	970 1,500 980 eet demand 1,050 800 1,090 200	2,480 980 0 of 800 of 640 8,620 7,820 6,730 6,530	would be 300 Gallons of although the database s data corruption) there w order cannot be printed be satisfied. 1,140 It should be noted that 6 available. It was just an physical count is 1180 C	-470 70 Gallons car incorrect figur fallons deducte	1,660 rried forward a e due to errors	a be noted that may results in warehouse, the nsidered not to 996,000.00 re not , the real ons.		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	32		6,180	600	5,580					
	33		5,580	650	4,930					
	34		4,930	300	4,630					
	35		4,630	250	4,380					
	36		4,380	1,200	3,180					
	37		3,180	800	2,380					
	38		2,380	300	2,080					
	39		2,080	900	1,180		1,650	-1,650	0	J
	40	9,000		800	8,200					
2	41	8,200		350	7,850					-
	42	7,850		1,050	6,800	There were carried forw	actually 510 ard to be in) Gallons left i the database, t	n the warehou herefore the a	se but was not
6082004	43	6,800		800	6,000	count is 396	60 Gallons.			
	44	6,000		1,050	4,950					
	45	4,950		600	4,350					
01	46	4,350		900	3,450	a Acaol	5,100	-2640	0	0
7082004	47	4,460		1,200	3,260	There were were errors	total deman in the databa	ds of 1740 Gal use again, the u	llons in the las incounted 150	t order. There 0 Gallons

900,000.00
l are not
rs.
0
0 Gallons d not allow
satisfied the e cumulated
ere actually rrected there
at although the data corruption) order cannot be

		3,550	2,300	1,250	printed out fo	or picking	and were consi	dered not to be	e satisfied.
T.	62	1,250	100	1,150	-				
	63	1,150	1,150	0					
	64	UU	Unable to meet 660						
	65	U	Unable to meet 1100						
	66	U	nable to meet	200					
	67	U	nable to meet	840	1911.	6,290	-5,500	2,500	1,500,000.00
1108.2004	68	9,790	1,000	8,790	-				
	69	8,790	1,120	7,670	-				
2	70	7,670	200	7,470					
	71	7,470	650	6,820					
*	72	6,820	200	6,620					
	73	6,620	800	5,820					
	74	5,820	350	5,470					
I.	75	5,470	150	5,320					
L					L				

	81	1,100	310	790	6,290	-5025	0	(0
8	80	1,530	430	1,100					
i i	79	2,230	700	1,530					
	78	3,430	1,200	2,230					
	77	4,520	1,090	3,430					
		5,320	800	4,520					

Table 6-1: Inventory differences using the current information system and business processes

•

1

÷.

For comparison of the inventory accuracy purpose, the same set of data were entered in using the modified business processes mentioned in Chapter 4 and renewed information system discussed. The results were shown in the table 6-2.

From the table it could be observed that using the new BPs which allowed more automation, less data input and faster real-time flow of information resulted in zero differences between the actual physical count and those shown in the database at the end of each operating days. This was with the exceptional case of 4, 5, 9 and 10th operating day which showed the negative inventory value. The negative values represented the amount of finish good needs to be produce in order to cover the back order of the previous transaction.

During the test negative value of inventory was not allowed, this was done in order to preserve the data integrity as well as reasons from the general ledger perspective, It should be noted that the highlighted cells compiled of the back order plus the incoming gallons of paint from the production department.

- - -

Unit Price	600								
Item No.	N300-02								
		Volumes (Gallons)			Dhusiaal			
Date	Transaction	Balance Forwarded	Sales	On Hand	Database	Count	Differences	Unsatisfied	Lost Sale
	As of			9800					
	1	11800	3300	8500					
31072004	2	8500	2950	5550					
	3	5550	3550	2000	2000	2000	0	0	0
	4	10000	3000	7000					
	5	7000	900	6100					
2082004	6	6100	620	5480					
	7	5480	1200	4280					
	8	4280	2300	1980	1980	1980	0	0	0
÷	9	9080	3100	5980					
	10	5980	700	5280					
3082)04	- 11	5280	2020	3260					
5002 704	12	3260	350	2910					
0.0	13	2910	1050	1860			·		
	14	1860	900	960	960	960	0	0	0
4082004	ı <u>15</u>	8960	950	8010		Does not al	low negative i	nventory	
1	16	8010	600	7410					
	17	7410	1200	6210					
	18	6210	800	5410					

	19	5410	300	5110							
	20	5110	700	4410							
	21	4410	970	3440							
	22	3440	1500	1940							
,	23	1940	1200	740							
	24	740	800	-60							
	25	-60	640	-700	-700		0	-700	0		0
	26	8300	1050	7250							
	27	7250	800	6450							
	28	6450	1090	5360							
	29	5360	200	5160							
	30	5160	350	4810]						
	31	4810	600	4210	1					-	
5082004	32	4210	650	3560]						
5002004	33	3560	300	3260	1						
	34	3260	250	3010							
	35	3010	1200	1810							
	36	1810	800	1010							
	37	1010	300	710							
	38	710	1,390	-680	-680	()	-680	0	L	0
6082004	39	8,320	800	7,520							
	40	7,520	350	7,170							

.

	41	7,170	1,050	6,120	_				
I	42	6,120	800	5,320	-				
	43	5,320	1,050	4,270					
	44	4,270	600	3,670				······	
	45	3,670	900	2,770	2,770	2,770	0	0	0
	46	4,770	1,200	3,570					
7082004	47	3,570	3,020	550		2			
	48	550	1,740	0	0	0	0	0	0
9082004	49	9,000	750	8,250					
	50	8,250	3,000	5,250					
	51	5,250	1,100	4,150					
	52	4,150	200	3,950					
	53	3,950	100	3,850	1				
	54	3,850	100	3,750					

	r								
	55	3,750	1,050	2,700	2,700	2,700	0	0	0
	56	8,400	770	7,630	-				
	57	7,630	560	7,070	-				
	58	7,070	220	6,850					
	. 59	6,850	600	6,250	-				
10082004	60	6,250	2,300	3,950	~	Does not a	llow negative	inventory	
10082004	61	3,950	100	3,850					
	62	3,850	2,000	1,850					
	63	1,850	660	1,190					
	64	1,190	1,100	90					
	65	90	200	-110					
	66	-110	840	-95()	-950	0	-950	0	0
11082()04	67	8,050	1,000	7,050					
	68	7,050	1,120	5,930					
	69	5,930	200	5,730					

.

							-3280	0	0
	80	-640	310	-950	-950	0	-950	0	0
	79	-210	430	-640	r		·		
	78	490	700	-210					
	77	1,690	1,200	490					
	76	2,780	1,090	1,690					
-	75	3,580	800	2,780					
_	74	3,730	150	3,580					
	73	4,080	350	3,730					
	72	4,880	800	4,080					
	71	5,080	200	4,880					
	70	5,730	650	5,080					
			T						

Table 6-2: I ventory differences using the new information system and business processes

.

As analyzed, the slow flow and the multiple entry of the information had resulted in inventory accuracy and slow sale order response time. During the ten days monitored period and just only 80 transactions the company had lost a staggering total of nearly 4 million baht of order which should be turn in to company's sale figure.

The total cost of the whole project as calculated in chapter 4 of this research was about 50 millions baht, therefore at the rate of this lost of sale, approximately the company would be able to recoup their investment within 130 operating days.

6.2.2 Comparison on Sale Order Lead-Time

The business processes had been reengineered improved and shortens to suit the new information system as shown in Chapter 4. The comparison of results of before-after the system implementation on the sale order lead-time was done by measuring the time taken for an order to be process from the time where the sale agent received call from a customer through the point where the shipment of the paint was made. The times measurement taken here did not include the delivery time that the goods spend on the delivery truck making its way to the customer depot.

The business processes and work flows which were associated with the order to deliver cycle (measuring time taken) could be found from figure 4-3 (the order being made) until the work flow 3-2 in figure 4-8 presented in Chapter 4. The time measurements were done monitoring and comparing the order to deliver lead time of 35 customer's calls. The following table showed the results of time usage before and after the system implementation.

	Sale Order Lead-time (minutes)					
	Before impleme	entation	After implementation			
Average	•	184.23	61.03			
Median		182	61			
Mode		162	57			

Table 6-3: Comparison of Order to Deliver cycle lead time

6.2.3 Comparison on Comprehension of the ERP system

As indicated in section 17 of Chapter 1, the implementation of the ERP system on selected distribution modules of the ERP system would improve the company's overall employee comprehension on the benefits of the ERP system, work and effort needed for the system to be efficient. The research had a random selected group of 45 of the company's employee to take the ERP system comprehension test on various aspects such as benefits, functionality, and total cost of the ERP system. The comparison of the test results and score of before and after the piloted implementation could be found in the Table 6-4.

	Test Score	
	Before implementation	After implementation
Average	45.35	64.60
Median	45.00	64.60
Mode	60.00	71.00

Table 6-4: Test score comparison on ERP system comprehension

From the results it could be observed that the overall understanding of the selected population on the ERP system had improved by nearly 20%. This could be vital for the next phrases and modules of the ERP system project implementation during the next phrase

6.2.4 Comparison on Inventory Turnover Ratio

•

According to the company's Pareto/ABC analysis the inventory can be divided into A, B, C and D classes. They were grouped and arranged according to how fast each of the items was to be sold since the day of the production, with A being the fastest, C the slowest and D was classified as "dead-stock" or non-moving product.

According to Schreibfeder inventory turnover ratio is the way to measures how hard an inventory or capital investment had been working for the company over a specified period of time or in another word me performance of particular type of inventory. The inventory turnover ratio was used as another performance indicator of the implemented ERP system presented in this research. The inventory turnover of the group D of the products which was the slowest moving product was done. Similarly the before-after of system implementation measuring such group of inventory turnover was done over 4 months period of time on 2 selected grouped D products being N 212, and N 325. The inventory turnover ratio was calculated using the following formula.

Inventory Turn over = <u>Cost of Goods Sold from Inventory Sales over a period of time</u> Average Inventory Investment during over a period of time

The average inventory investment were taken from the past accounting book, it were calculated by taking the total value of the selected product in the inventory (quantity on-hand multiply but the cost) during the stock cycle count (on average the same day of the month for four months period of time). As mentioned the inventory of the selected product has very little fluctuation (grouped D in Pareto analysis).

It should be noted that inventory turnover is based on the cost of the selected items and not sales dollars. Base on the sales and production history figures the product N 212 managed only 3% of sales and N325 at approximately 4%. The production average inventory was 8,000 units for N212 and 6,000 units for N325. The cost of good sold using actual cost was 400 baths per unit. The following table shows the result of the inventory turnover for both products before and after ERP system implementation.

	Inventory Turnover ratio	
Product	Before	After
	implementation	implementation
N212	0.03	0.42
N325	0.04	0.74

Table 6-5: Comparison on inventory turnover ratio before and after system implementation

From the table it could be observed that following new database, information system and work process the inventory turnover ratio of the

selected product had rose 14 times and 18.5 times for product N212 and N325 respectively. From the inventory turnover ratio perspective this result could be interpreted either that the product had made more value for the investment during the selected time frame of four months.

From the results the following points were observed as the cause of the improvement of the inventory turnover ratio.

- The faster and improved work processed had accelerated sale on overall products of the company which had also benefited group D of product.
- As a result of more updated and accurate inventory database, the ERP system would be able to alarm and show exact date of the product shelf life and holding cost exactly in each inventory report when cycle counting would be done. The sale manager agent could now plan ahead on which product or shade of color plan ahead of which product to emphasize his/her sale people. Whereas in the past this type of information was not made available.

Another benefit of the system implementation would be if the inventory turnover ratio of the product deem to be constant in the future, meaning being the same when a period of 12 months is applied as the average inventory investment value, it could mean that the production manager could then plan to produce the mentioned product at its most efficiency (not to overproduced) so that the company could enjoy better return on investment for the same amount of capital invested.

6.3 Conclusion

The success of the ERP system was hard earned. An organization must be ready in all aspect e.g. financial capability, information system infrastructure, capable human resource, the right organizational structure and culture.

The system was like a child, it need time devotion for it to grow into a system which capable of transferring, processing accurate transactions for the users and the management within the company to be able to use for the planning and work coordination. The managements would benefits from the reports retrieved from the system. The results comparing post and before ERP system implementation proved that the information when provided at the correct value and correct point in time would allows the management to plan ahead and react to any situation immediately.

As today's business world change rapidly, it also call for the change in an IS to be able to support the new BPs in order for the company to stay healthy and competitive. With the reintroduction of the figure 3-2 presented, the final phase of the SDLC show a cycle looping back to the first step of "system request". This would be done for the information system personnel to maintain and enhance or even optimizing the system's capability technically. Again according to Shelly et al (2003:25) the step in order to maintain changes, correct errors or making the system meet the requirement.

Therefore this step could be done as work in progress which should be a continuous improvement to achieve higher benchmark. The SDLC should ends with system replacement of where there would be ultimately change in the operation environment, information technology and BPs.

6.4 Discussion and Further Studies

As proved the ERP system was not just complex software but was one mega project which could affect everybody within the organization. This study had shown methods in implementing the system through good preparation and change

.

management. Huge costs would often associate with upgraded technology Apart from the financial and technology aspects modern project manager and project committee must not overlook the importance of the human factor and the business process which proved in this study was the core ingredient to any project implementation success.

The total cost of ownership or the TCO of the ERP system was widely debated by many technology gurus and expert. The success of the ERP system implementation in this study was a more accurate inventory database which would help the company save millions of baht. However the benefits measured in this study were only of those tangible ones. The intangible benefits of the ERP should be put into further studies. This should be study to assist organization with a dollar-measurement

Another interesting related topic may evolve around the integration of the supply chain into the ERP system. Many world-class organizations had succeeded and edge over their competitors via good supply chain management. Therefore it would be no doubt that good supply chain management would prove vital to the production efficiency and the ability to meets the customer requirement. An ERP system implementation which involves the backward integration of the supplier as another module would therefore be a very interesting topic for scholars to investigate.

Last but not least a study about the costing of the product using ERP system by the method of Activity Based Costing (ABC) or made to order (where parts are not standardized and cost standardization cannot be applied) related to engineering to help company increase its efficiency and save money would also be interesting field of study. Any further studies in the mentioned fields and topic could lead to a very beneficial outcome for organizations implementing ERP system.

. . .