# **CHAPTER IV**

# THE EXPERIMENTS FOR THREE NETWORK MODELS

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter explains the factors and the experiments of three network models, in order to test and compare the performance in response time of the World Wide Web usage.

The commonly used factors to evaluate Web caching policies [6] are listed as the follow;

#### 1. Hit rate

The hit rate is generally a percentage ratio of documents obtained through using the cache size versus the total document requested. In addition, if measurement focuses on byte transfer efficiency, weighted hit rate is a better performance measurement.

### 2. Cache size

The Cache size is the ability to cache frequently accessed documents on Internet based on user requests in order to conserve network bandwidth and reduce network response times for users. This is designed of caching for Proxy Server. Proxy server can cache queries for HTTP documents that is relative to Hit rate in the percentage of utilization.

3. Response time /access time

The response time is the time it takes for a user to get a document. There are various patterns as users access. The pattern or model can significantly affect to the performance.

The mentioned factors are tested with three network models in this chapter. The experiment is tested on campus network in Chulalongkorn University with Node A [10]. To understand the experimental network models as shown in the Figure 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 respectively [7].

## **4.2 Experimental Information**

Three network models use the same configuration of Personal Computer (PC), Server computer and router, except for the network model. The reasons to use the Direct Access model, Single Level model, and Hierarchy Level model that are described later, are explained as following

Technically, Direct Access model is a simple network model, not complicated network, less users and mostly used to be a basic network model in order to compare the performance with another models. It is usually implemented in small or department area within organization. In this experiment, it is used to be a basic network model.

Single Level Model is enhance network model from a basic network model, due to it is implemented to handle a large traffic. It adds a Proxy server designed in the network, in order to store and forward a huge data that are response to users rapidly. Mostly Single Level is designed with a medium or enterprise organization and campus.

Hierarchy Level Model is high performance network model from a basic network model, due to it is implemented with more than one Proxy server depending on the number of users. The Proxy servers within network are work correspond each other likely load balance to handle a huge data. Mostly it implemented in a campus network or enterprise network. It is used to be one model for this experiment. The description of Direct Access, Single Level and Hierarchy Level, are explained more details with a figure.

4.2.1 Direct Access Model

This model supports direct access for Internet using that consists of Personal computer and run a World Wide Web browser application to navigate and test the listed Web sites as shown in the Figure 4.1

### 4.2.2 Single Level Model

This model supports a one Proxy server that is implement to store and forward the data request from Internet, before direct to Internet. It consists of Personal Computer and run a World Wide Web browser application to navigate and test the listed Web sites as shown in the Figure 4.2



Figure 4.1 Network design of Direct Access Model



Figure 4.2 Network design of Single Level Model

## 4.2.3 Hierarchy Level Model

This model supports a one Proxy server implement in network department and the other one a proxy server or cache engine implement in a center office, before direct to Internet. Hierarchy consists of Personal Computer and run a World Wide Web browser application to navigate and test the listed Web sites as shown in the Figure 4.3



Figure 4.3 Network design for Hierarchy Level Model

# 4.3 The Objective of this experiment

The objectives of three experimental network models are as follows;

- 1. Investigate the HTTP packet with the frequent accessed sixteen Web sites at congestion average hourly of Internet utilization in campus network.
- 2. Investigate the increasing performance in response time of each models
- 3. Test the hypothesis for a comparison of each models

To investigate and consider the best network model among—Direct access, Single level, and Hierarchy level--. Under the same condition, test through the same Web sites which shown in the Table 4.1

The indicator for consideration of the increasing performance, is *response time*. The response time is a one factor that is mainly described for the service performance of HTTP as the World Wide Web. As a result, which model can perform the traffic of HTTP with the less response time, it is the best model to increase the performance of this experimentation.

No.	Web Site
1	.geocities.com
2	.inet.co.th
3	siampage.com
4	*.sanook.com
5	.beseen.com
6	*.phonelink.net
7	*.thaiicq.com
8	.yahoo.com
9	.imgis.com
10	*.bluemountain.com
11	.hunsa.com
12	*.fsn.net
13	microsoft.com
14	<u>*.go.com</u>
15	*.click2net.com
16	*.yumyai.com

Table 4.1 List of sixteen Web sites for this experiment

#### **4.4 Experimental Variables**

There are two variable factors that are present as below;

1) Response time: The response time is the time it takes for a user to get a document. There are various patterns as users access. The pattern or model can significantly affect to the performance.

2) Network models: Direct Access Model, Single Level model, and Hierarchy Level model that are described previously.

## 4.5 Data Collection

In order to collect data from the real situation in a campus network, it could not control other factors like the behavior of each user who uses World Wide Web in various times. Practically the data collection process is independent between group of Samples among Direct Access, Single Level and Hierarchy Level models. They are not depending on a user, time to navigate Web sites. There are data collection of three models described as below;

## 4.5.1 Experiment for Direct Access Model

In the Figure 4.1, it presents how direct access test and experiment in the period of the congestion average at 12:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m in June 1999. As a result, the testing data is shown in the Table 4.2.

								Fre	equen	су						
No	Web sites	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							Resp	onse	Time	: (se	cond	)				
1	.geocities.com	19	23	22	28	19	16	26	38	18	16	1.04	52	1.06	37	27
2	.inet.co.th	30	17	31	18	18	22	33	20	17	30	22	56	1.08	1.04	28
3	siampage.com	22	39	44	40	40	52	40	35	43	34	47	38	32	33	39
4	*.sanook.com	18	18	27	17	17	27	16	18	30	28	19	28	24	26	22
5	.beseen.com	10	11	9	9	10	14	9	11	15	16	18	11	13	15	12
6	*.phonelink.net	13	12	13	13	13	12	13	14	13	15	16	19	20	22	14
7	*.thaiicq.com	52	1.18	53	1.23	1.1	49	48	51	54	1.08	59	52	47	38	55
8	.yahoo.com	13	22	15	19	20	20	18	21	18	23	22	24	29	35	19
9	.imgis.com	28	15	16	21	57	31	22	34	26	32	38	40	32	35	31
10	*.bluemountain.com	1.16	1.14	50	35	45	1.09	49	1.32	41	1.02	49	58	1.03	56	52
11	.hunsa.com	52	40	41	1.02	59	54	1.02	1.02	27	35	1.14	57	52	59	38
12	*.fsn.net	7	13	12	14	15	13	12	13	14	17	18	19	20	28	21
13	microsoft.com	15	21	24	22	18	19	18	21	19	14	22	17	18	25	20
14	*.go.com	14	34	20	27	47	37	42	27	27	33	52	49	47	35	38
15	*.click2net.com	12	17	20	19	19	17	16	16	18	17	22	19	18	26	24
16	*.yumyai.com	14	13	13	14	16	13	14	13	14	14	17	22	18	19	13

Table 4.2 Testing data of the response time for Direct Access Model

## 4.5.2 Experiment for Single Level Model

In the Figure 4.2, it presents how Single Level test with one Proxy server in order to contain all retrieving data from Internet before response to users and experiment in the period of the congestion average at 12:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m in June 1999. As a result, the testing data show in the Table 4.3.

			_					Free	quenc	cy.∘						
No	Web sites	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
						]	Respo	onse	Гime	(sec	cond)					
1	.geocities.com	27	32	1.13	34	25	44	31	23	23	30	28	27	32	31	28
2	.inet.co.th	18	1.38	16	17	13	47	13	1.29	44	1.1	31	32	34	37	40
3	siampage.com	42	50	49	48	41	44	37	33	35	46	42	46	41	39	48
4	*.sanook.com	21	19	27	23	14	15	26	27	28	29	26	27	27	27	24
5	.beseen.com	17	14	16	10	9	12	11	10	13	12	16	14	14	15	16
6	*.phonelink.net	18	17	15	13	14	12	19	22	18	15	15	19	17	15	13
7	*.thaiicq.com	1.13	1.2	48	57	46	35	39	29	55	57	52	47	47	48	51
8	.yahoo.com	27	17	16	18	23	27	33	20	19	25	20	19	22	21	22
9	.imgis.com	29	26	25	18	45	46	37	29	22	27	32	31	32	33	30
10	*.bluemountain.com	42	48	47	55	1.1	54	57	45	39	55	1.1	59	1.2	48	59
11	.hunsa.com	39	55	52	47	49	48	338	51	59	1.02	35	37	41	41	42
12	*.fsn.net	16	14	17	19	24	13	15	14	16	13	13	14	15	13	14
13	microsoft.com	21	17	28	16	27	19	24	22	21	27	21	20	19	23	22
14	*.go.com	30	46	27	29	28	22	30	27	37	32	28	27	29	31	26
15	*.click2net.com	30	17	19	22	20	24	21	20	17	18	18	19	17	19	21
16	*.yumyai.com	16	14	14	15	16	27	32	27	14	13	13	14	13	14	13

Table 4.2 Testing data of the response time for Direct Access Model (cont.)

Table 4.2 Testing data of the response time for Direct Access Model (cont.)

						Fr	eque	ncy				
No	Web Sites	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
					Resp	onse	Tim	e (sec	cond)			
1	.geocities.com	27	27	26	24	28	29	32	30	27	27	27
2	.inet.co.th	45	40	31	37	39	35	42	39	56	1.2	1.1
3	Siampage.com	45	39	41	50	49	43	47	41	43	42	43
4	*.sanook.com	21	20	27	23	32	27	28	27	26	23	24
5	.beseen.com	14	12	29	14	13	14	13	11	14	16	14
6	*.phonelink.net	12	16	15	15	15	17	16	18	15	15	17
7	*.thaiicq.com	57	53	56	49	55	58	58	58	55	56	52
8	.yahoo.com	21	20	23	19	22	18	19	22	21	22	22
9	.imgis.com	31	32	34	29	27	32	30	29	27	19	32
10	*.bluemountain.com	58	1.1	1.02	59	1.1	57	1.1	1.15	1.28	59	57
11	.hunsa.com	47	40	52	41	43	44	45	42	40	58	59
12	*.fsn.net	11	13	13	13	14	15	16	13	11	13	13
13	Microsoft.com	21	25	22	24	22	22	21	21	21	22	20
14	*.go.com	27	32	28	27	29	38	28	26	31	29	27
15	*.click2net.com	21	17	18	17	17	17	17	18	17	16	15
16	*.yumyai.com	13	12	13	14	13	11	17	13	13	15	13

								Fre	equer	ncy	-					
No	Web sites	1	2	3	_ 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							Resp	onse	Time	e (se	cond	)				
1	.geocities.com	15	15	15	9	8	12	11	11	10	15	16	12	11	10	9
2	.inet.co.th	4	6	4	9	15	6	7	3	10	4	3	4	5	8	10
3	siampage.com	14	15	20	21	20	23	20	17	21	24	22	20	19	21	22
4	*.sanook.com	16	14	12	10	12	15	11	12	21	19	14	13	12	15	12
5	.beseen.com	47	3	4	5	3	2	3	5	4	3	4	3	2	3	4
6	*.phonelink.net	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	4
7	*.thaiicq.com	9	3	3	6	4	11	6	2	2	11	10	8	6	4	11
8	.yahoo.com	43	24	15	13	23	11	13	12	21	12	14	12	10	13	11
9	.imgis.com	7	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	6	5
10	*.bluemountain.com	43	21	8	47	41	24	42	42	40	39	28	25	24	30	29
11	.hunsa.com	58	55	39	31	32	36	39	48	12	30	28	30	34	32	35
12	*.fsn.net	11	4	7	7	6	3	7	4	6	8	8	8	7	6	5
13	microsoft.com	11	12	14	12	13	15	16	13	12	10	14	15	15	15	15
14	*.go.com	42	23	20	22	24	23	22	25	22	21	22	24	22	22	23
15	*.click2net.com	28	9	12	11	12	11	12	16	13	12	13	11	11	13	15
16	*.yumyai.com	6	9	11	11	8	9	7	8	11	9	9	8	10	7	8

Table 4.3 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model

Table 4.3 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model (cont.)

								Fre	equen	су						
No	Web sites	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
							Resp	onse	Time	e (se	cond	)				
1	.geocities.com	14	13	15	14	12	11	17	15	13	10	11	15	13	12	11
2	.inet.co.th	9	4	3	6	7	5	3	4	6	4	7	6	4	3	4
3	siampage.com	23	20	21	22	27	21	19	18	17	18	21	21	21	22	20
4	*.sanook.com	14	11	12	10	15	13	12	11	14	10	13	12	14	14	14
5	.beseen.com	5	7	3	4	3	4	3	6	3	8	4	5	7	4	5
6	*.phonelink.net	2	3	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	5	3	3	3
7	*.thaiicq.com	6	5	4	4	3	2	10	8	3	3	8	7	9	7	7
8	.yahoo.com	11	12	13	13	13	11	10	12	14	12	17	23	21	12	12
9	.imgis.com	4	4	4	4	3	6	5	5	4	5	8	7	4	4	5
10	*.bluemountain.com	40	32	33	25	26	23	31	27	26	35	28	29	28	27	29
11	.hunsa.com	34	36	37	40	38	36	34	35	33	37	34	34	32	36	37
12	*.fsn.net	6	4	6	7	4	6	6	6	4	5	7	8	7	6	9
13	microsoft.com	16	10	11	9	12	13	15	16	12	13	12	14	12	14	12
14	*.go.com	21	22	23	22	22	23	23	25	22	25	22	24	22	23	20
15	*.click2net.com	14	15	13	12	8	10	12	13	15	16	11	12	11	14	11
16	*.yumyai.com	6	4	7	8	5	9	6	7	6	7	8	7	9	9	9

						Fr	eque	ncy				
No	Web Sites	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	_ 39	40	41
					Resp	onse	e Tim	e (se	cond)			
1	.geocities.com	12	14	12	13	11	10	11	15	17	13	14
2	.inet.co.th	3	5	4	4	4	3	6	3	3	4	3
3	Siampage.com	27	21	18	22	21	22	20	21	22	24	20
4	*.sanook.com	15	12	13	16	17	14	13	14	13	14	13
5	.beseen.com	6	4	6	3	2	4	3	4	4	4	4
6	*.phonelink.net	3	2	2	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	2
7	*.thaiicq.com	9	8	8	11	9	9	9	10	8	7	9
8	.yahoo.com	12	21	12	12	11	12	14	13	12	16	12
9	.imgis.com	4	3	4	4	4	6	4	6	4	3	4
10	*.bluemountain.com	28	26	32	29	28	31	29	29	28	27	26
11	.hunsa.com	38	34	32	35	35	35	36	34	33	36	37
12	*.fsn.net	7	7	4	7	7	8	9	7	8	7	8
13	microsoft.com	13	12	12	13	17	12	12	14	11	13	12
14	*.go.com	20	22	22	22	20	22	23	24	22	22	23
15	*.click2net.com	12	13	11	10	12	11	14	11	15	16	11
16	*.yumyai.com	6	8	8	9	9	8	9	8	8	7	8

Table 4.3 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model (cont.)

4.5.3 Experiment for Hierarchy Level Model

In the Figure 4.3, it presents how Hierarchy Level test with two Proxy servers or cache engine in order to contain all retrieving data from Internet before response to users and experiment in the period of the congestion average at 12:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m in June 1999. As a result, the testing data is shown in the Table 4.4.

								Fre	equer	icy						
No	Web sites	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
							Resp	onse	Time	e (se	cond	)				
1	.geocities.com	10	13	28	18	7	2	5	7	18	4	3	2	3	6	10
2	.inet.co.th	2	5	4	9	8	5	6	4	4	8	5	6	4	3	5
3	siampage.com	9	11	15	17	18	20	21	20	23	20	19	21	18	20	14
4	*.sanook.com	12	14	13	13	24	20	10	9	15	17	18	12	15	14	16
5	.beseen.com	29	4	4	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	5	3	6	8	4
6	*.phonelink.net	2	4	3	5	5	3	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	4
7	*.thaiicq.com	6	5	3	5	3	8	11	5	6	9	8	7	6	4	11
8	.yahoo.com	32	21	17	15	16	21	20	12	11	12	14	11	11	11	11
9	.imgis.com	4	5	6	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	6	8	5	4	3
10	*.bluemountain.com	32	25	22	23	22	21	30	35	26	40	27	26	25	25	25
11	.hunsa.com	41	30	37	32	28	27	26	38	22	26	28	32	32	32	35
12	*.fsn.net	9	6	5	7.	4	3	4	5	6	8	6	8	6	4	3
13	Microsoft.com	8	8	10	9	19	12	14	13	12	11	12	15	12	13	17
14	*.go.com	30	21	20	21	20	22	23	24	22	21	21	25	22	19	22
15	*.click2net.com	21	12	10	13	14	15	12	11	13	12	13	10	11	13	12
16	*.yumyai.com	4	7	10	8	8	9	7	8	9	8	7	8	10	7	8

Table 4.4 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model

Table 4.4 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model (cont.)

								Fre	equen	icy						
No	Web sites	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
							Resp	onse	Time	e (se	cond	)				
1	.geocities.com	2	6	11	11	3	8	11	2	7	8	9	11	12	11	12
2	.inet.co.th	6	7	9	4	12	6	5	4	8	7	4	3	2	4	3
3	siampage.com	22	21	23	22	26	29	19	24	15	21	20	21	21	20	23
4	*.sanook.com	15	10	13	14	16	16	15	13	15	12	14	13	13	13	11
5	.beseen.com	4	4	6	6	5	6	3	5	3	8	4	3	4	4	4
6	*.phonelink.net	2	3	5	6	3	4	3	5	3	4	3	2	2	3	2
7	*.thaiicq.com	5	7	3	2	4	2	10	4	7	4	6	7	6	5	6
8	.yahoo.com	12	13	13	14	15	11	10	12	14	15	11	11	12	11	10
9	.imgis.com	4	3	3	4	3	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	4
10	*.bluemountain.com	26	25	24	22	20	21	32	28	27	25	24	25	26	25	25
11	.hunsa.com	34	36	37	28	27	24	34	35	33	32	32	32	32	31	32
12	*.fsn.net	4	5	3	4	4	4	6	6	4	4	6	4	6	6	6
13	microsoft.com	16	12	10	13	11	13	15	16	12	11	12	12	12	11	12
14	*.go.com	20	19	22	21	21	23	32	23	24	25	21	24	22	21	20
15	*.click2net.com	14	11	12	13	9	11	9	12	11	11	10	11	11	12	11
16	*.yumyai.com	6	4	6	6	6	8	6	7	8	9	8	7	8	7	8

						Fr	eque	ncy				
No	Web Sites	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41
					Resp	onse	e Tim	e (sea	cond)			
1	.geocities.com	12	13	12	12	11	10	13	12	11	13	13
2	.inet.co.th	4	4	3	5	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
3	siampage.com	25	20	22	20	21	19	21	21	21	24	21
4	*.sanook.com	15	15	14	15	14	14	16	13	15	14	13
5	.beseen.com	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	4
6	*.phonelink.net	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	6	3
7	*.thaiicq.com	5	6	6	5	5	6	7	8	6	8	6
8	.yahoo.com	12	11	13	11	11	9	11	12	11	11	13
9	.imgis.com	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
10	*.bluemountain.com	24	25	26	25	25	23	27	28	25	26	25
11	.hunsa.com	32	33	30	29	35	38	32	32	32	32	31
12	*.fsn.net	7	5	6	5	5	6	4	6	6	6	4
13	microsoft.com	12	12	13	14	12	12	12	12	14	10	11
14	*.go.com	20	20	20	21	20	20	19	18	20	19	20
15	*.click2net.com	13	11	10	11	11	12	11	11	10	9	10
16	*.yumyai.com	7	8	8	6	7	8	8	8	8	6	8

Table 4.4 Testing data of the response time for Single Level Model (cont.)

### 4.6 Normality Testing

To test hypothesis of testing data between a mean of population, in this case the two groups of population are independent, so it use hypothesis test a form of independent group. If one of two population groups is not normal distribution, it could not to use the T test in normal distribution for these testing data.

Therefore, before decision making of this hypothesis testing it has to test between two populations whether it is normal distribution or not.

4.6.1 The distribution population Testing

Hypothesis setting;

H<sub>0</sub>: Population ditribution is normal

H<sub>1</sub>: Population ditribution is not normal

By using Bowman-Shelton Test, it considers a value of Skewness and Kurtosis in the distribution form, in order to compare a value of B in the following formular;

$$B = n (Skewness)^{2} + (Kurtosis - 3)^{2}$$

$$6 \qquad 24$$

n is a Sample size

Skewness is a bent value of sample distribution as follow

Skewness =  $n \sum_{(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{(X-\overline{X})^3}{S}$ 

S is standard derivation of sample

$$S = \sqrt{\sum (X - \overline{X})^3}$$

Kurtosis is a height value of sample distribution

Kurtosis = 
$$n(n+1)$$
  $\sum (X-X)^4 - 3(n-1)^2$   
 $(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)$  S  $(n-2)(n-3)^4$ 

After calculate the B value of each pair models, there are three pairs as Direct Access model / Single Level model, Single Level model / Hierarchy Level model, and Direct Access model / Hierarchy Level model. There are the results of sample data as in 4.6.2



# 4.6.2 The result of Normality Test

Web sites	Dire	ct Access N	Aodel	Sing	gle Level Mo	odel	Distribution
	B	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	В	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	
.geocities.com	2.987	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	23.597	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.inet.co.th	22.719	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	24.291	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
Siampage.com	7.821	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	3.739	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.sanook.com	26.489	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	9.466	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.beseen.com	110.947	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	2118.950	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.phonelink.net	18.308	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	10.449	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.thaiicq.com	22.646	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	29.513	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.yahoo.com	10.747	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	221.618	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.imgis.com	5.257	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.375	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.bluemountain	38.940	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	3.127	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.hunsa.com	1480.753	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	20.062	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.fsn.net	15.013	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	10.764	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
microsoft.com	12.984	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	18.869	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.go.com	12.841	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	1248.104	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.click2net.com	13.042	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	279.055	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.yumyai.com	57.379	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	12.320	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal

Table 4.6 The result between Direct Access model and Hierarchy Level model

XX7-1	D		<u> </u>	TT'	1 7 11	1 1 1	
web sites	Dire	ct Access N	Aodel	Hierarchy Level Model			Distribution
	B	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	В	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	
.geocities.com	2.987	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	6.451	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.inet.co.th	22.719	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.224	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
Siampage.com	7.821	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	5.425	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.sanook.com	26.489	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.032	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.beseen.com	110.947	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	1510.889	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.phonelink.net	18.308	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	18.548	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.thaiicq.com	22.646	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.127	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.yahoo.com	10.747	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	135.442	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.imgis.com	5.257	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	19.146	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.bluemountain	38.940	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	26.685	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.hunsa.com	1480.753	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	11.748	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.fsn.net	15.013	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	15.263	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
microsoft.com	12.984	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	6.834	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.go.com	12.841	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	39.935	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.click2net.com	13.042	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	129.223	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.yumyai.com	57.379	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	8.529	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal

Web sites	Single Level Model		Hierarchy Level Model			Distribution	
	В	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	B	$B\alpha = 0.05$	Result	
.geocities.com	23.597	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	6.451	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.inet.co.th	24.291	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.224	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
Siampage.com	3.739	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	5.425	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.sanook.com	9.466	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.032	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.beseen.com	2118.950	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	1510.889	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.phonelink.net	10.449	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	18.548	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.thaiicq.com	29.513	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	13.127	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.yahoo.com	221.618	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	135.442	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.imgis.com	13.375	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	19.146	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.bluemountain	3.127	3.990	Accept H <sub>0</sub>	26.685	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
.hunsa.com	20.062	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	11.748	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.fsn.net	10.764	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	15.263	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
microsoft.com	18.869	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	6.834	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.go.com	1248.104	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	39.935	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.click2net.com	279.055	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	129.223	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal
*.yumyai.com	12.320	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	8.529	3.990	Reject H <sub>0</sub>	not normal

Table 4.7 The result between Hierarchy Level model and Single Level model

From the Table 1, 2, and 3 all cases of testing is not normal distribution at the significant 5 %. The details of normality test of three network models are available [10]. The reason is to use Nonparametric testing that is suitable analysis to fit these data testing.

# 4.7 Analysis

The distribution of two population is not normal distribution, it is suitable to use Nonparametric Methods and concern the data testing that is independent each other. The Mann-Whitney U Test is the testing to consider two populations that is independent.

## 4.7.1 Hypothesis Testing

1) Hypothesis testing between Direct Access Model and Single Level Model. For our expectation, Single Level is take response time less than Direct Access.

Hypothesis set;

- H<sub>0</sub>: Population distribution between Direct Access Model and Single Level Model is not different
- H<sub>1</sub>: Population distribution of Direct Access Model is ship to right hand side of Population distribution of Single Level Model

If the result is accept  $H_0$ . It means that the performance in response time of Direct Access model is same Single Level model. But if the result is reject  $H_0$ , Direct Access model is take response time more than Single Level model or Single Level model is better performance than Direct Access model.

 Hypothesis testing between Hierarchy Level Model and Single Level Model. For our expectation, Hierarchy Level is take response time less than Single Level

Hypothesis set;

- H<sub>0</sub>: Population distribution between Hierarchy Level Model and Single Level Model is not different
- H<sub>1</sub>: Population distribution of Single Level Model is ship to right hand side of Population distribution of Hierarchy Level Model

If the result is accept  $H_0$ . It means that the performance in response time of Single Level model is same Hierarchy Level model. But if the result is reject  $H_0$ , Single Level model is take response time more than Hierarchy Level model or Hierarchy Level model is better performance than Single Level model.

3) Hypothesis testing between Direct Access Model and Hierarchy Level Model. For our expectation, Hierarchy Level is take response time less than Direct Access

Hypothesis set;

- H<sub>0</sub>: Population distribution between Direct Access Model and Hierarchy Level Model is not different
- H<sub>1</sub>: Population distribution of Direct Access Model is ship to right hand side of Population distribution of Hierarchy Level Model

If the result is accept  $H_0$ . It means that the performance in response time of Direct Access model is same Hierarchy Level model. But if the result is reject  $H_0$ , Direct Access model is take response time more than Hierarchy Level model or Hierarchy Level model is better performance than Direct Access model.

Finally, Hierarchy should be the best network model of this experiment while Direct Access takes response time more than both Single Level and Hierarchy Level. Then Single Level takes response time more than Hierarchy Level model.

### 4.7.2 Testing

For Mann-Whitney U Test. It could calculate a U value as following;

$$U = n_1 n_2 + n_1 (n_1 + 1) - R_1$$
2

 $n_1$  is size of sample group 1  $n_2$  is size of sample group 2  $R_1$  is Rank Summation of size of sample group 1

The sample size of group 1 assume the population distribution is ship to the right hand side of the population distribution of sample size of group 2

In this experiment, the sample size is about 40 that it could use Z test for U as the follow;

$$Z = \frac{U - \mu_u}{\delta_u}$$
$$\mu_u = \frac{n_1 n_2}{2}$$
$$\delta_u = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 + 1)}{12}}$$

When Z calculated is compared to Z in table at significant level 0.05 that in critical region is over -1.645



### 4.8 The result of Testing

### 4.8.1 Direct Access Model / Single Level Model

Testing the difference of population of Direct Access Model and Single Level Model.

### (a) To test for each Web sites

Web Site	Z	$-Z_{\alpha} = 0.05,$	Result
.geocities.com	-6.495	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.inet.co.th	- 5.369	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
Siampage.com	-7.607	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.sanook.com	-7.303	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.beseen.com	-7.313	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.phonelink.net	-7.698	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.thaiicq.com	-5.389	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.yahoo.com	-5.644	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.imgis.com	-7.698	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.bluemountain	-1.674	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.hunsa.com	-4.205	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.fsn.net	-7.539	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
microsoft.com	-7.477	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.go.com	-6.404	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>

 Table 4.8 The different population of Direct Access model and Single Level model

From the Table all Web site reject  $H_0$  that is correspond to our expectation. Single Level Model takes response time less than Direct Access Model. Single Level Model is better performance than Direct Access Model. However there is the overall test for this experiment to confirm the result.

-1.645

-1.645

Reject H<sub>0</sub>

Reject H<sub>0</sub>

-7.082

-7.684

### (b) To test for overall Web sites

\*.click2net.com

\*.yumyai.com

The overall Web sites test is to calculate Z value that is about -7.650. Z calculated is less than Z in table at significant 5% shown in the Figure. The result reject H<sub>0</sub>, it means that Single Level Model take less response time than Direct Access Model under our expectation.



# 4.8.2 Single Level Model / Hierarchy Level Model

Testing the difference of population of Hierarchy Level Model and Single Level Model.

(a) To test for each Web sites

	Table 4.9 The different	population of Single Lev	el model and Hierarch	v Level model
--	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	---------------

Web Site	Z	$-Z_{\alpha} = 0.05$ ,	Result
.geocities.com	-3.685	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.inet.co.th	-0.226	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
Siampage.com	-0.548	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
*.sanook.com	1.795	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
.beseen.com	-0.067	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
*.phonelink.net	0.880	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
*.thaiicq.com	-1.590	-1.645	Accept H <sub>0</sub>
.yahoo.com	-1.766	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.imgis.com	-3.406	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
* bluemountain	-4.123	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.hunsa.com	-3.642	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.fsn.net	-3.358	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
microsoft.com	-1.665	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.go.com	-2.944	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.click2net.com	-2.083	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.yumyai.com	-1.703	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>

From the Table there are ten Web sites that reject  $H_0$  and six Web sites that accept  $H_0$ . For accepting  $H_0$ , it means that the performance of Single Level model is equal to Hierarchy Level model. For rejecting  $H_0$ , it means that the performance of Single Level model is less than Hierarchy Level model. In order to confirm our expectation, it must test for the overall Web sites.

#### (b) To test for overall Web sites

The overall Web sites test is to calculate Z value that is about -6.178. Z calculated is less than Z in table at significant 5% shown in the Figure. The result reject H<sub>0</sub>, it means that Single Level Model take more response time than Hierarchy Level Model under our expectation or Hierarchy Level model takes less response time than Single Level model.



### 4.8.3 Direct Access Model / Hierarchy Level Model

Testing the difference of population of Hierarchy Level Model and Direct Access Model.

(a) To test for each Web sites

Web Site	Z	$-Z_{\alpha} = 0.05$ ,	Result
.geocities.com	-6.283	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.inet.co.th	-5.389	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
Siampage.com	-7.607	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.sanook.com	-7.053	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.beseen.com	-7.318	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.phonelink.net	-7.698	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.thaiicq.com	-5.389	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.yahoo.com	-6.625	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.imgis.com	-7.698	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.bluemountain	-1.900	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
.hunsa.com	-5.177	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.fsn.net	-7.660	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
Microsoft.com	-7.472	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.go.com	-6.495	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.click2net.com	-7.260	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>
*.yumyai.com	-7.698	-1.645	Reject H <sub>0</sub>

Table 4.10 The different population of Direct Access model and Hierarchy Level model

From the Table all Web sites reject  $H_0$  under our expectation. It means that Direct Access model takes response time more than Hierarchy Level model or Hierarchy Level is better performance than Direct Access model. In order to confirm our expectation, it must test for the overall Web sites.

(b) To test for overall Web sites

The overall Web sites test is to calculate Z value that is about -7.698. Z calculated is less than Z in table at significant 5% shown in the Figure. The result reject H<sub>0</sub>, it means that Direct Access Model takes more response time than Hierarchy Level Model under our expectation



### **4.9 Conclusion**

Considering the three experimental network models, it summaries as the following;

1. Single Level Model takes response time, less than Direct Access model

2. Hierarchy Level Model takes response time, less than Single Level model

3. Hierarchy Level Model take response time, less than Direct Access Model

Finally, it can conclude in statistic model that Hierarchy Level Model is to be the best increasing performance of HTTP. Because it takes response time less than Single Level model and Direct Access model, under the condition.

Technically, the Hierarchy Level Model implement with two level of Proxy servers and can support the traffic with load balance between two servers.