# **CHAPTER IV**

# DATA ANALYSIS

The results of this study will be presented in three parts : 1. Statistical technique to test the impact of the programme 2. Analyzing the costs to provider of programme establishment and operation and its impacts 3. Sensitivity analysis to analyze the impact of input factors on the outcome of programme.

# 4.1 Statistical Technique to Test the Impact of the Programme

Using the statistical technique to test the impacts of the programme means to test the differences of the DMFT index between two groups of school children , one implementing the programme and the other not implementing the programme, t - test was used for testing but the way for testing depends on the difference or equality of variances, F distribution - test was done to test the variances.

This study based on the secondary data. Because of the lack of available data, the sample size is a little smaller than the designed sample size and it is presented in Table 4.1

| Table  | 4.1: | Population | of | Two | Groups | of | School | Children, | One | Implementing | the |
|--|------|------------|----|-----|--------|----|--------|-----------|-----|--------------|-----|
| Programme and the other not Implementing the Programme |      |            |    |     |        |    |        |           |     |              |     |

| ,       |            | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
|---------|------------|------|------|------|------|
| Crown I | Urban area | 548  | 545  | 543  | 541  |
| Group   | Rural area | 407  | 406  | 406  | 404  |
|         | Both U & R | 955  | 951  | 949  | 945  |
| Group 2 | Urban area | 552  | 550  | 547  | 543  |
|         | Rural area | 405  | 404  | 404  | 403  |
|         | Both U & R | 957  | 954  | 951  | 946  |

# 4.1.1 Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of Variance of the Two Groups of School Children

Before conducting the programme, all students involved in this study were examined and variance of DMFT index was tested. The results show that the variances of DMFT index of the two groups of school chidren were the same. Based on data collected at these schools, F statistic for testing of variances from 1991 to 1994 will be presented as follows

Null hypothesis Ho :  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ Positive hypothesis Ha :  $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$ 

# a) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of Variance of the Two Groups of School Children in the Urban Area

The data required for testing variances of two groups of school children since 1991 - 1994 are presented in the Table 4.2

**Table 4.2**: The Data Required for Testing Variances of Two Group of School Children:One Group Implementing the Programme and the Other not Implementing the<br/>Programme in Urban Area.

|         |   | 1991                | 1992                | 1993                | 1994                             |
|---------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| GROUP 1 | $ \frac{\overline{X}_{1}}{S_{1}^{2}} $ n <sub>1</sub> | 3.1<br>5.37<br>548  | 3.2<br>3.45<br>545  | 3.36<br>2.67<br>543 | 3.55<br>1 <sub>-</sub> 92<br>541 |
| GROUP 2 | $ \overline{X}_{2} \\ S_{2}^{2} \\ n_{2} $            | 3.50<br>6.75<br>552 | 4.32<br>10.1<br>550 | 4.6<br>15.5<br>547  | 5.5<br>18 73<br>543              |

Comparing the F ratio calculated from the data required with the F ratio percentage point located in the F table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $v_1 = n_1 - 1$ ,  $v_2 = n_2 - 1$ , the table showed that  $F_{0.025,\infty,\infty} = 1.01$ . If the F ratio is less than 1.00, Ho will be accepted, that means two groups have the same variances. If F ratio is excess 4.03, Ho will be rejected in favor of Ha, the two groups have different variances.

The results of the F test are expressed in the Table 4.3 showed that: the variances of number of decayed missing and filled teeth of the two groups of school children in the DongDa school during 1990-1994 were different.

|         | 1991      | 1992      | 1993      | 1994      |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| F ratio | 1.26      | 2.92      | 6.9       | 9.76      |
|         | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho |

Table 4.3: The Result of F Distribution Test

# b) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of Variance of the Two Groups of School Children in the Rural Area

The data required for testing variances of two groups of school children since 1991 - 1994 are presented in the Table 4.4

| Table 4.4: | The Data | Required F | for Testing | ; Variances | of Two | Groups of | f School | Children |
|------------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
|            | in Rural | Area       |             |             |        |           |          |          |

|         |   | 1991                | 1992                | 1993                | 1994                |
|---------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| GROUP 1 | $ \frac{\overline{X}_{1}}{S_{1}^{2}} $ n <sub>1</sub> | 0.82<br>0.69<br>407 | 0.80<br>0.68<br>406 | 0.78<br>0.63<br>406 | 0.75<br>0.58<br>404 |
| GROUP 2 | $ \overline{x}_2 \\ S_2^2 \\ n_2 $                    | 1<br>0.97<br>405    | 1.40<br>1.35<br>404 | 1.70<br>1.48<br>404 | 2.20<br>1.93<br>403 |

Comparing the F ratio calculated from the data required with the F ratio percentage point located in the F table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $v_1 = n_1 - 1 =$ ,  $v_2 = n_2 - 1$ , the table showed that  $F_{0.025,\infty,\infty} = 1.00$ . If the F ratio is less than 1.00, Ho will be accepted, that means two groups have the same variances. If F ratio is excess 1.00, Ho will be rejected in favor of Ha, the two groups have different variances.

The results of the F test in Table 4.5 showed that: the variances of number of decayed missing and filled teeth were different significantly.

|         | 1991      | 1992      | 1993      | 1994      |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| F ratio | 1.41      | 1.98      | 2.35      | 3.32      |
| Result  | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho |

## Table 4.5: The Result of F Distribution Test

# c) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of Variance of the Two Groups of School Children in the Urban and Rural Areas

The data required for testing variances of two groups of school children in the to areas since 1991 - 1994 are presented in the Table 4.6

| Table 4.6 | The D  | ata R | Required  | for  | Testing   | Variances | of | Two | Groups | of | School | Children |
|-----------|--------|-------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|----|-----|--------|----|--------|----------|
|           | in Bot | h Ur  | ban and I | Rura | al Areas. |           |    |     |        |    |        |          |

|         |  | 1991                | 1992                | 1993                | 1994                 |
|---------|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| GROUP 1 | $ \bar{\overline{X}}_{1} \\ {\overline{S}_{1}}^{2} \\ n_{1} $  | 2.13<br>4.70<br>955 | 2.18<br>3.64<br>951 | 2.26<br>2.76<br>949 | 2.35<br>1 97<br>945  |
| GROUP 2 | $\begin{bmatrix} \overline{X}_2 \\ S_2^2 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}$ | 2 44<br>5 38<br>957 | 3.08<br>9.56<br>954 | 3.38<br>14.2<br>951 | 4 09<br>16 63<br>946 |

Comparing the F ratio calculated from the data required with the F ratio percentage point located in the F table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $v_1 = n_1 - 1$ ,  $v_2 = n_2 - 1$ , the table showed that  $F_{0.025,\infty,\infty} = 1.00$ . If the F ratio is less than 1.00, Ho will be accepted, that means two groups have the same variances. If F ratio is excess 1.00, Ho will be rejected in favor of Ha, the two groups have different variances.

The results of the F test are expressed in the Table 4.7 showed that The variance of number of decayed missing and filled teeth of the two groups of school children were different.

| Table 4.7: | The | Result | of F | Distribution | Test |
|------------|-----|--------|------|--------------|------|
|------------|-----|--------|------|--------------|------|

|         | 1991      | 1992      | 1993      | 1994      |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| F ratio | 1.24      | 2.35      | 5.14      | 8.44      |
|         | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho |

# 4.1.2 Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of DMFT Index of the Two Groups of School Children

The t-test used for testing the difference of DMFT index of two groups of school children during 1990-1994.

a) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of DMFT Index of the Two Groups of School Children in the Urban Area

| With | $\sigma_1^2$ | ≠ | $\sigma_2^2$ |
|------|--------------|---|--------------|
| WILL | σ            | Ŧ | $\sigma_2$   |

Null hypothesis : Ho  $\mu^1 \ge \mu^2$ 

Positive hypothesis: Ha  $\mu^1 < \mu^2$ 

The data required for testing the difference of DMFT index between two groups of school children in the DongDa school during 1990-1994 are presented in the Table 4.8.

**Table 4.8:** The Data Required for Testing the Difference of the DMFT Index of TwoGroups of School Children in the DongDa School During period 1990-1994.

|         |  | 1990-1991           | 1991-1992           | 1992-1993           | 1993-1994           |
|---------|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Group 1 | $\overline{\overline{X}_1}_{\substack{n_1\\S_1^{-1}}}$   | 3.1<br>5.37<br>548  | 3.2<br>3.45<br>545  | 3.36<br>2.67<br>543 | 3.55<br>1.92<br>541 |
| Group 2 | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2}$ $n_{2}$ $\mathbf{S}_{2}^{2}$ | 3.50<br>6.75<br>552 | 4.32<br>10.1<br>550 | 4.6<br>15.5<br>547  | 5.5<br>18.73<br>543 |

Comparing the t value calculated from the data required with the t value located in the t table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ , t value has v degrees of freedom,  $t_a = -1.645$ . If the calculated t is less than -1.643, Ho was rejected meaning the DMFT index of the two groups of school children are significantly different. If the calculated t was greater than - 1.643, Ho was accepted meaning DMFT indexes of two groups of school children are not significantly different.

The results of these tests presented in Table 4.9 show that the differences of DMFT index between two groups of school children in the urban area during 1990-1994 are significant.

|   | 1990-1991               | 1991-1992                | 1992-1993                | 1993-1994                |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$ $S_{\overline{x}1 - \overline{x}2}$ $t$ | - 0.40<br>0.148<br>-2.7 | - 1.12<br>0.281<br>-3.99 | - 1.24<br>0.182<br>-6.81 | -1.95<br>0.195<br>-10.00 |
| Result  | Reject Ho               | Reject Ho                | Reject Ho                | Reject Ho                |

 Table 4.9: The Result of t-test of the Difference of DMFT Index Between Two Groups of School Children in the Urban Area

# b) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of DMFT Index of the Two Groups of School Children in Rural Area

With 
$$\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

Null hypothesis Ho :  $\mu^1 \ge \mu^2$ 

Positive hypothesis :  $\mu^1 < \mu^2$ 

Where:  $\mu^1$  is the mean of DMFT per person of group with intervention

 $\mu^2$  is the mean of DMFT per person of group without intervention

The data required for testing the difference between the DMFT of two groups school children in 1991 are presented in Table 4.10.

|          |   | 1990-1991           | 1991-1992           | 1992-1993           | 1993-1994           |
|----------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Group I  | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{1}$ $n_{1}$ $S_{1}^{1}$                   | 0.82<br>407<br>0.69 | 0.80<br>406<br>0.68 | 0.78<br>406<br>0.63 | 0.75<br>404<br>0.58 |
| Group II | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2}$ $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ $\mathbf{S}_{2}^{2}$ | 1.00<br>405<br>0.97 | 1.40<br>404<br>1.35 | 1.70<br>404<br>1.48 | 2.20<br>403<br>1.93 |

 Table 4.10: The Data Required for Testing the Difference of DMFT Index Between Two

 Groups of School Children in Rural Area During 1990-1994

Comparing the t value calculated from the data required with the t value located in the t table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ , t value has n1 + n2 - 2 degrees of freedom. The table shows that  $t_{0.05} = -1.645$ . If the result is less than -1.645, Ho was rejected, that means DMFT index of the group of school children implementing the programme is less than the DMFT index of the group of school children not implementing the programme significantly. If the result greater than -1.645, Ho was accepted meaning DMFT index of two groups of school children.

The result of the t-test in Table 4.11 shows that the DMFT indices of the two groups of school children in the rural area are significantly different

| Table 4.11: | The Result | of the Test   | ng of Diffe | erence DMF   | T Index | Between | Two | Groups |
|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|--------|
|             | of School  | Children in t | he Rural A  | rea during 1 | 990-199 | 94      |     |        |

|   | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $ \overline{X}_{1}-\overline{X}_{2} \\ S_{\overline{x}1-\overline{x}2} \\ t \\ Result $ | -0.18     | -0.60     | -0.92     | -1.45     |
|   | 0.06      | 0.07      | 0.072     | 0.069     |
|   | - 3.00    | -8.57     | 12.77     | 21.01     |
|   | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho | Reject Ho |

# c) Statistcal Technique to Test the Difference of DMFT Index of the Two Groups of School Children in Both Urban and Rural Area

With 
$$\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

Null hypothesis : Ho  $\mu^1 \ge \mu^2$ 

Positive hypothesis: Ha  $\mu^1 < \mu^2$ 

The data required for testing the difference of DMFT index between two groups of school children in both urban and rural areas are presented in Table 4.12.

**Table 4.12:** The Data Required for Testing the Difference of the DMFT Index of TwoGroups of School Children During Period 1990-1994.

|         |                     | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992   | 1992-1993   | 1993-1994 |
|---------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|         | X <sub>1</sub>      | 2.13      | 2.18        | 2.26        | 2.35      |
| Group I | $n_1$<br>$S_1^{-1}$ | 4.70      | 951<br>3.64 | 949<br>2.76 | 945       |
|         | $\overline{X_2}$    | 2.44      | 3.08        | 3.38        | 4.09      |
| Group 2 | $\frac{n_2}{S_2^2}$ | 5.38      | 9.56        | 951         | 16.63     |

Comparing the t value calculated from the data required with the t value located in the t table, with  $\alpha = 0.05$ , t value has v degrees of freedom,  $t_{\alpha} = -1.645$ . If the calculated t is less than -1.643, Ho was rejected meaning the DMFT index of the two groups of school children are significantly different. If the calculated t was greater than - 1.643, Ho was accepted meaning DMFT indexes of two groups of school children are insignificantly different.

The results of these tests presented in Table 4.13 show that the differences of DMFT index between two groups of school children in the urban area during 1990-1994 are significant.

|                                | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| X <sub>1</sub> -X <sub>2</sub> | -0.31     | -0.9      | -1.12     | -1.74     |
| S <sub>x1-x2</sub>             | 0.105     | 0.113     | 0.133     | 0.140     |
| t                              | -2.952    | -7.96     | -8.42     | -12.43    |
| Result                         | Reject Ho | Rejct Ho  | Reject Ho | Reject Ho |

 Table 4.13: The Result of t-test of the Difference of DMFT Index Between Two Groups of School Children in Both Schools.

# 4.2 Analyzing the Costs to Provider of the School-based Oral Health Programme Establishment and Operation

Costs of the School-based Oral Health Programme establishment and operation of the urban, rural areas and both urban and rural areas are analyzed.

To analyze the costs to provider of programme establishment and operation includes many steps:

# 4.2.1 General Consideration When Costing the Input Costs

1. Level of dental status : the size of children at school with programme, the size of children in the study group, the annual dental caries incidence of this group.

2. Level of cost: The costs for establishing and operating this programme are not only the costs for performing. the school based oral health programme at the school but also the costs for administration and supervision.

3. Activities of introducing a school-based oral health programme in order to consider the costs composed of costs for establishing (equipment costs, trained person cost.) and costs for running this programme (personnel cost, maintenance cost, consumable cost, supervision cost).

4. Type of cost: To analyze the structure of costs, the costs will be classified into some types such as capital costs and recurrent costs.

5. Present value of running costs: Capital cost is invested once at the beginning of application of programme but running cost must be allocated every year, the present value of cost will be concerned. It depends on annual interest rate and present cost.

6. Components of costs: Cost system can be also classified into activities including: Training costs (training the teachers who give dental health education and help the children in mouth rinsing), Equipment costs (costs of equipment for establishing and running the programme).

7. Allocating shared costs across activities: In order to calculate the cost of each activity at the school, it will be necessary to share the cost of different resource between the activities for which they are used. The cost allocation based on dimension determining the cost of input: for staff it will be concerned with the amount of time spent on each activity. For supply the volume of different items which is used for each activity is considered.

# 4.2.2 Costing of Input Activity

All the costs for establishing and running the school-based oral health programme in this study were calculated in Vietnamese Dong. Capital costs were calculated for each year following annual cost formula. Recurrent costs were calculated by two schemes: one based on current price and the other based on a constant price in a given year. By using current price, it expressed the current value of running the programme. Using constant price (1990 price) for the calculation, the results show the change of running costs of the Programme. In this calculation, following assumptions are made:

- The price of all comsumables are unchanged
- Inflation rates are excluded
- Salaries of dental nurses are remained
- Only the quality of consumables and some other items are changed

Cost systems are illustrated in Table 4.14

| Table 4.14: Cost Syste | em for Est | tablishing and | d Running the | Programme |
|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
|------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|

| Establishing the service point for running    | Running the programme             |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| the programme                                 |                                   |
| Training teachers                             | Annual re-training teachers       |
| Equipment for implementing programme          | Annual supplying of consumable    |
| Administration for establishing the programme | Salary of dental nurse            |
|   | Supervision                       |
|   | Annual repairance and maintenance |
|   | equipment                         |

# Information required for costing

- 1. Costing training of teachers include:
  - Number of teachers to be trained
  - Number of required training days for each teacher
  - Perdiem per teacher
  - Travel cost per teacher
  - Number of times for training
  - Perdiem for 1 training hour
  - Administration cost (renting the conference room, printing, material, logistic.)
- 2 Costing of equipment
  - Required types of equipment
  - Required number of each type of equipment
  - The time that was used of each type of equipment for this programme
  - The useful life of each type of equipment
  - The price of each type of equipment at every year during 1990-1994 period
  - The price of each type of equipment at a given year
  - The interest rate
  - The annualization factor
- 3. Costing of salary
  - Number of dental nurse
  - Monthly salary of dental nurse at every year during 1990-1994 period
  - Monthly salary of dental nurse at a given year
  - Time spent for dental service at this school
  - The proportion of time spend for group of school children for this study
- 4. Costing of annual consumable
  - Types of drug to be used
  - Amount of each type of drug to be used amount of burr
  - Amount of fluoride agents
  - The price of each unit of fluoride agent at every year and a given year
  - The price of each unit of drug at every year and a given year
  - The price of small dental equipment at every year and a given year
  - Amount of chart for examination

- The cost of charts for examination
- Amount of other consumables for dental services such as cotton, bandage, alcohol
  - Cost for these items
- 5. Costing of annual supervision

.

- Supervision time
- Supervision days per time
- Perdiem of supervisor
- Transport cost per time per supervision
- 6 Costing of equipment maintenance
  - Annual maintenance equipment cost
- 7 Costing of annual retraining of teacher
  - Annual retraining cost of the teacher

# Assumption for costing

The number of teachers in the study are unchanged. The students withdraw from the study are very few and so do not have an effect on the cost.

## **Calculation of costs**

1. Costing of training teacher (TCO)

TCO = CTT + ADC CTT = CTS + CTR CTS = NTS \* TD \* PDJ + NTS \* TPJ CTR = NTR \* TDP ADC = ADM \* CTTADM = 5% of CTT

# TCO = NTS \* TD \* PDJ + NTS \* TPJ+ NTR \* TDP + ADM \* CTT

Where:

ADC is administration costs

CTS is the costs for teachers

CTR is the costs for trainers

CTT is the costs for teachers and trainers

NTS is the number of teachers to be trained

NTR is the number of time for training

NTU is the number of teachers in the 1st group

NTE is the number of teachers in the 2nd group

PDJ is perdiem junior for teacher

PDP is perdiem for 1 training hour

TD is training days per teacher

TCO is the costs for training the teachers

Costs of training teacher was served for establishing and operating the schoolbased oral health programme, the knowledge of dental health education that these teachers received lasts for 10 years or more. So these costs were considered as capital costs and were calculated for every year.

2. Costing of equipment for group of school children implementing the programme in this study

Costing of equipment was calculated as an annual cost using the following formula:

### Current value of the item

Annual cost =

Annualization factor

Where: Current value is the amount of money to be paid to buy each equipment such as educational mouth rinsing equipment, dental equipment.

Useful life of each equipment: From the criteria of Hanoi Institute of Odonto-Stomatology- Maxillo Facial Surgery

Discount rate: Equal the difference between the interest rate and inflation rate

Annualization factors: Consult a standard table to find the correct anulization factor.

Because the equipment were used not only for group of school children in this study but also for other group of school children so the costs of these equipment will be shared to other activities. The costs of these equipment will be calculated for every year

Equipment of the programme establishment and operation are the same for both DongDa and NghiaHung schools.

ECO = EEC + MRC + DEC + OECEEC = (DMC + TBC + BC + PC) \* POTMRC = GC + CCDEC = (DCC + DMC + EC + TC) \* POTOEC = (IBC + ESC + CSC + OIC) \* POT

Where:

BC is the cost of booklet

CC is the cost of callus

CSC is the costs of cotton sterilization box

DCC is the cost of dental chair

DEC is the costs of dental equipment

DDC is the costs of dental model

DMC is the cost of dental machine

EC is the costs of equipment for examination

ECO is the costs of equipment

EEC is the costs of educational tools

ESC is the costs of electric stove

GC is the cost of glasses

IBC is the costs of instrument boiler

MRC is the cost of mouth rinsing equipment

OEC is the costs of other equipment

OIC is the costs of other items

PC is the cost of poster

POT is the proportion of time using the equipment TBC is the costs of tooth brush TC is the costs of equipment for treatment

#### 3. Costing of the consumables (COC)

As mentioned above, costing of consumables was based on two schemes: one based on current price and the other based on a constant price. A constant price that was used in this study is the price in the year 1990. This price will be applied for cost calculation in the years during the Programme.

The costs of all consumable items depend on their price, amount of each item and the new incidences.

Total cost of consumables are the sum of total cost of each item.

The costs of consumables were calculated as in Table 4.15.

| Items       | No unit | Price of 1 unit | Total cost |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|------------|
| 1. Flouride |         |                 |            |
| 2. Drug     |         |                 |            |
| 3. Material |         |                 |            |
| 4 Drill     |         |                 |            |
| 5. OC       |         |                 |            |
| 6. Chart    |         |                 |            |

 Table 4.15: The Way for Calculating the Costs of Consumable

4. Costing of administration for establishing the programme

From the data collection, it was found that the administration cost for establishing and operating the Programme is 5% of equipment cost and training cost.

ADC = .05 (MC + TC)

Where: ADC is the cost of administration for establishing the programme

MC is the material costs

TC is the training costs

5. Costing of salary for dental nurse

CSN = MSN \* 10.5 months \* POT \* POS

Where:

CSN is cost of salary for dental nurse

MSN is the monthly salary of dental nurse

1 year dental nurse serve at school 10.5 months

POS is the proportion of time that dental nurse spends for dental services at this school. One week a dental nurse works at school only 4days, two other days she works at the Institute

POT is the proportion of time that dental nurse spend for serving this study group

6 Costing of annual retraining of teachers

RTCO = CTT + ADC CTT = CTS + CTR CTS = NTS \* TD \* PDJ + NTS \* TPJ CTR = NTR \* TDP ADC = ADM \* CTT ADM = 5% of CTT RTCO = NTS \* TD \* PDJ + NTS \* TPJ + NTR \* TDP + ADM \* CTT

Where

ADC is administration costs CTS is the costs for teachers CTT is the costs for teachers and trainers CTR is the costs for trainers NTS is the number of teachers to be trained NTR is the number of time for training PDJ is perdiem junior for teacher PDP is perdiem for 1 training hour RTCO is the costs for retraining the teachers TD is training days per teacher TPJ is travel cost per teacher

Every year, these teachers are retrained to accumulate their knowledge. The costs for retraining these teachers were shared for the following years.

7. Costing of equipment maintenance

ACM = ECO \* 10%

Where: ACM is annual equipment maintenance cost

ACM equal 10% of ECO

8 Costing of annual supervision

 $CAS = (SPC + STC)^*SD$ 

Where: CAS is the cost of annual supervision

SPC is perdiem for supervisor

STC is travel cost for supervisor

SD is the number of day for supervise

# **Capital costs**

Capital cost for establishing the programme are the same for four years and it was calculated for every year

CP = AEC + ACD + AOC + ATC

Where: AEC is annual education costs ACD is annual dental equipment costs AOE is annual other costs ATC is annual training costs

#### **Recurrent costs:**

Recurrent costs were calculated for every year

$$RC = COC + CAR + ACM + CAS$$

# **Total cost**

$$TC = CP + RC$$
  
= AEC + ACD + AOC + ATC + COC + CAR + ACM + CAS

Average cost

$$AC = \frac{TC}{PP}$$

Marginal cost

$$MC = \frac{\Delta TC}{\Delta DMFT}$$

# Input data for the calculation of the cost of programme establishment and operation:

1. The data of the population of group of school children implementing programme in this are presented in the Table 4.16:

| Table 4.16: | The Population o | f Two Groups o | of School | Children in | Two Areas |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
|-------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|

|         | Age   | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Group I | 6     | 295  | 293  | 293  | 292  |
|         | 7     | 257  | 257  | 256  | 254  |
|         | 8     | 201  | 201  | 201  | 200  |
|         | 9     | 202  | 200  | 199  | 199  |
|         | Total | 955  | 951  | 949  | 945  |
| Group 2 | 6     | 298  | 297  | 297  | 297  |
|         | 7     | 255  | 254  | 254  | 252  |
|         | 8     | 202  | 201  | 201  | 199  |
|         | 9     | 202  | 202  | 199  | 198  |
|         | Total | 957  | 954  | 951  | 946  |

Students aged from 6-9 years were selected in this study to avoid their withdrawing. Each classroom that was selected had 45 to 55 students and had one teacher. These teachers have followed their students. All new students were excluded in this study.

2. Number of teachers of the group of school children implementing the school based oral health programme in this study

NTS = 20 teachers NTU = 12 teachers NTR = 8 teachers

Where NTU is the number of teachers of the groups of school children implementing the programme in theDongDa school

NTR is the number of teachers of the groups of school children implementing the programme in the NghiaHung school

3. Number of teachers that were trained in one course

TNT = 30 teachers

4 Amount of time for training

NTR = 102 hours

5. Perdiem for 1 training hour

TDP = 5,000 Dong

6. Perdiem for junior teacher

PDJ = 15,000 Dong

7. Required training days

TD = 10 days

8. Administration cost rate of training for teacher

ADM = 5%

9 Equipment costs (Capital cost)

Costs of all equipment were calculated at the price in 1990. This equipment was used not only for group of school children in this study but also for other school children in the school.

The proportion of time for using this equipment for this group of school children is 34.5% of all time using the equipment.

### 9. 1. Educational tools

EEC = 20,000 Dong NET = 2 in which each school has one Useful life 5 years

9. 2. Mouth rinsing equipment

Price of 1 glass = 1000 Dong Number of glasses = 955 Price of 1 callus = 5000 Dong Number of callus = 2 each school has one callus Useful life 5 years Number of glasses at the DongDa school = 548 Number of glasses at the NghiaHung school = 407

#### 9.3. Dental equipment

a) Dental chair

Price of dental chair = 600,000 Dong in the year 1990 Useful life: 10 years Number of dental chairs: 2 each school uses one chair

b) Dental machine

Price = 700,000 Dong Useful life 5 years Number of dental machines: 2 each school uses one machine

c) Equipment for examination

There are some types of equipment for examination Total costs of this equipment are presented in Table 4.17 and each school used half of total following equipment Their useful life of this equipment is 5 years

| <b>Table 4.17: (</b> | Costs of | Equipment | for | Examinatio | on. |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----|------------|-----|
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----|------------|-----|

| Item                     | No. of unit | Price of unit | Total cost |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| Examination tray         | 20          | 2,000         | 20,000     |
| Dental mirror            | 20          | 5,000         | 100,000    |
| Handle mirror and mirror | 40          | 2,000         | 80,000     |
| Dent broach              | 20          | 2,000         | 40,000     |
| Dental probe             | 20          | 4,200         | 84,000     |
| Sub Total                |             |               | 324,000    |

d) Equipment for treatment

Like equipment for examination, there are many types equipment for treatment. The costs of this equipment are presented in Table 4.18 and each school used half of total following equipment

Useful life of this equipment is 5 years

 Table 4.18: Cost of Equipment for Treatment

| Item      | No. of unit | Price of 1 unit | Total cost |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------|
|           |             |                 |            |
| AOT       | 2           | 31,400          | 62.000     |
| AOD       | 2           | 102,000         | 204,000    |
| Elevator  | 6           | 10,000          | 60,000     |
| Svringe   | 2           | 3,500           | 7,000      |
| Prince    | 8           | 2,000           | 16,000     |
| Scissors  | 2           | 7,300           | 14,000     |
|           |             |                 |            |
| Sub Total |             |                 | 363,000    |

AOT is armamentarium of treatment tools AOD is armamentarium of davie

9. 4. Cost of other equipment to serve for dental service

Besides dental equipment, there is some other equipment serving for dental service. Their costs are presented in Table 4 19.

Useful life of this equipment is 5 years

# Table 4.19 Cost of Equipment to Serve for Dental Services

| Item      | No. of unit | Price of 1 unit | Total cost    |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| CDC       |             | <b>2</b> ( 000  | <b>50</b> 000 |
| CB2       | 2           | 26,000          | 52,000        |
| CST       | 6           | 30,000          | 180,000       |
| ST        | 6           | 3,000           | 18,000        |
| IB        | 2           | 12,000          | 24,000        |
| Spitton   | 2           | 5,300           | 10,000        |
|           |             |                 |               |
| Sub total |             |                 | 284,000       |

Where:

CSB is cotton sterilization box CST is sterilizing tray ST is square tray IB is instrument boiler

10 Consumable Costs

.

The prices for each year of consumables for running the programme are presented in Table 4.20.

| Items      | 1990  | 1991  | 1992  | 1993  | 1994  |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fluoride   | 3     | 3     | 3     | 3     | 4     |
| Toothbrush | 500   | 800   | 1000  | 1500  | 2000  |
| ZOP        | 30    | 30    | 40    | 60    | 80    |
| Eugenol    | 10000 | 10000 | 20000 | 25000 | 30000 |
| Axyl       | 800   | 800   | 800   | 1000  | 1000  |
| Xylocaine  | 200   | 250   | 280   | 320   | 400   |
| Cotton     | 12500 | 15000 | 20000 | 25000 | 40000 |
| Bandage    | 150   | 180   | 200   | 200   | 200   |
| Alcohol    | 1500  | 1800  | 2500  | 3000  | 4000  |
| Sealant    | 500   | 500   | 500   | 500   | 500   |
| Bur        | 200   | 400   | 500   | 800   | 1000  |
| Needle     | 700   | 750   | 800   | 800   | 1000  |
| Flutex     | 4000  | 5000  | 5500  | 6500  | 8000  |
| Chart      | 200   | 200   | 200   | 200   | 200   |

**Table 4.20:** The Price of Cosumables during 1990 - 1994 Period

The number of units of each item for running the programme in the DongDa school each year is presented in Table 4.21.

 Table 4.21: The Number of Units Used during 1990-1994 Period in the Dong Da School

| Items      | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fluoride   | 49        | 49        | 49        | 49        |
| Toothbrush | 548       | 545       | 543       | 541       |
| ZOP        | 229       | 195       | 142       | 137       |
| Eugenol    | 3         | 2         | 1         | 1         |
| Axyl       | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Xylocaine  | 357       | 188       | 165       | 123       |
| Cotton     | 3.5       | 3         | 3         | 3         |
| Bandage    | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Alcohol    | 3         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| Sealant    | 71        | 88        | 113       | 123       |
| Bur        | 55        | 42        | 38        | 35        |
| Needle     | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Flutex     | 2         | 2         | 1         | 1         |
| Chart      | 548       | 545       | 543       | 541       |

The number of units of each item for running the programme in the NghiaHung school each year is presented in Table 4.22.

| Items      | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fluoride   | 39        | 39        | 39        | 39        |
| Toothbrush | 407       | 406       | 406       | 404       |
| ZOP        | 98        | 81        | 74        | 66        |
| Eugenol    | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| Axyl       | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Xylocaine  | 182       | 87        | 70        | 64        |
| Cotton     | 1.5       | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| Bandage    | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Alcohol    | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| Sealant    | 36        | 49        | 50        | 61        |
| Bur        | 24        | 19        | 13        | 17        |
| Needle     | 10        | 10        | 10        | 10        |
| Flutex     | 1         | 1         | .5        | 1         |
| Chart      | 407       | 406       | 406       | 404       |

 Table 4.22: The Number of Units Used during 1990-1994 Period in the Nghia Hung

 School

The number of units of each item for running the programme in the both DongDa and Nghia Hung schools each year is presented in Table 4.23

| Items      | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fluoride   | 88        | 88        | 88        | 88        |
| Toothbrush | 955       | 951       | 949       | 945       |
| ZOP        | 327       | 276       | 216       | 203       |
| Eugenol    | 4         | 3         | 2         | 2         |
| Axyl       | 20        | 20        | 20        | 20        |
| Xylocaine  | 539       | 275       | 235       | 187       |
| Cotton     | 5         | 4         | 4         | 4         |
| Bandage    | 20        | 20        | 20        | 20        |
| Alcohol    | 5         | 5         | 4         | 4         |
| Sealant    | 107       | 137       | 163       | 184       |
| Bur        | 79        | 61        | 55        | 52        |
| Needle     | 20        | 20        | 20        | 20        |
| Flutex     | 3         | 3         | 1.5       | 2         |
| Chart      | 955       | 951       | 949       | 945       |

Table 4.23: The Number of Units Used during 1990-1994 Period

11. Cost of dental nurse

Monthly salary of dental nurse during 1990-1994 period is presented in Table 4.24 The time that a dental nurse served in the school was 10.5 months Number of dental nurses: 2 Proportion of time serving for this group is 34% of the time Proportion of time of dental nurse serving for dental services at school was 66%

 Table 4.24: Monthly Salary of Dental Nurse During 1990-1994

|        | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Salary | 60000     | 60000     | 150000    | 200000    |

12. Supervision time

STP = 1

13. Supervision days

SDS = 4 days

14. Number of supervisors

NOS = 4

15. Perdiem for supervisor

SPC = 30,000 Dong

16. Working proportion of dental nurse

PSN = 34%

17. Working proportion of equipment

PSE = 34%

18. Working proportion of other equipment

PSO = 34%

19. DMFT index each year of two groups of school children at urban area are expressed in Table 4.25.

Table 4.25: DMFT Index of Two Groups of School Children in the DongDa School

|          |    | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
|----------|----|------|------|------|------|
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group I  | X1 | 3.1  | 3.2  | 3.36 | 3.55 |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group II | X2 | 3.5  | 4.32 | 4 6  | 5.5  |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |

20. DMFT index each year of two groups of school children at rural area are presenteded in Table 4.26.

|          |    | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
|----------|----|------|------|------|------|
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group I  | X1 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group II | X2 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 1.70 | 2.20 |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |

Table 4.26: DMFT Index of Two Groups of School Children in Nghia Hung school

21. DMFT Index of Two Groups of School Children in the Both Urban and Rural Area during 1990-1994 are presented in Table 4.27.

 Table 4.27: DMFT Index of Two Groups of School Children in the Urban and Rural Areas

|          |    | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 |
|----------|----|------|------|------|------|
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group I  | X1 | 2.13 | 2.08 | 2.26 | 2.33 |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |
| Group II | X2 | 2.44 | 3.08 | 3.38 | 4.09 |
|          |    |      |      |      |      |

Output data of calculation of costs for programme.

1 Cost of training teacher

TCO = 3,503,430 Dong ACT = 390,007 Dong ACU = 234,004 Dong ACR = 156,003 Dong

2 Annual cost of equipment

2.1. AET = 8,455 Dong 2.2. AME = 220,773 Dong 2.3. ADE = 358,628 Dong 2.4. AOE = 20,488 Dong Sub Total = 608,344 Dong

3 Administration cost for establishing the programme

ADC = .05 \* (608, 344 + 390, 007) = 49918 Dong

4. Consumable costs for every year during 1990-1994 period:

Using two schemes for costing, one based on current price and the other based on constant price, the consumable costs of the programme in the urban area, rural area and both urban and rural areas are presented as follows:

a) The consumable costs of the programme in the urban area (DongDa school) are presented in Table 4.28. The results from these show that in the first year during 1990-1991, the consumable costs were 611267 Dong. After 1991, two schemes for costing were used. There was a difference of the results between the two schemes. Annual consumable costs increased very greatly when the current price was used for costing. When using constant price for costing, these costs decreased from the 1st year to the 2nd and then decreased slightly in the following years.

**Table 4.28:** The Consumable Costs of the Programme in the Urban Area During 1990-1994

|                | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | 611267    | 730597    | 869627    | 1164427   |
| Constant price | 611267    | 551497    | 540907    | 534557    |

b) The consumable costs of the programme in the rural area (Nghia Hung school) are presented in Table 4.28. The results from these show that in the first year during 1990-1991, the consumable costs were 399407 Dong. After 1991, two schemes for costing were used. There was a difference of these results between two schemes. Annual consumable costs increased very greatly when the current price was used for costing When using constant price for costing, these costs falled down from the 1st year to the 2nd and then decreased slightly in the second and the third years and then it increased again in 1994.

|                | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | 399407    | 522997    | 627127    | 862957    |
| Constant price | 399407    | 390947    | 384637    | 390097    |

 Table 4.29: The Consumable Costs of the Programme in the Rural Area (Nghia Hung school) during 1990-1994

c) The consumable costs of the programme in this study are presented in Table 4.30. The results from this table show that in the first year during 1990-1991, the consumable costs were 1023,174 Dong. After 1991, two schemes for costing were used. There was a difference of these results between two schemes. Annual consumable costs increased very greatly when the current price was used for costing. When using 1990 price for costing, these costs decreased slightly in the first two year and then increased again in the 1994

Table 4.30: The Consumable Costs of the Programme in Both Rural and Urban AreasDuring 1990-1994

|                | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | 1010674   | 1253549   | 1496754   | 2027384   |
| Constant price | 1010674   | 942444    | 925544    | 924654    |

# 5. Annual cost of dental nurse

As with the consumable costs, the annual cost of dental nurses was also calculated by two schemes: one at current price and the other at 1990 price. The data required for calculating the costs of dental nurse are presented in Table 4.24. The results of the calculation of the costs of dental nurses are given in Table 4.31and Table 4.32. By using current salary of dental nurses (Table 4.24), the costs of dental nurses in first two years were unchanged. In the third year and the fourth year the costs of dental nurses increased greatly. By using 1990 price the costs of dental nurses were remained.

|                |                          | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993        | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Current price  | Urban Area<br>Rural Area | 214200    | 214200    | 535500<br>535500 | 714000    |
| Constant price | Urban Area               | 214200    | 214200    | 214200           | 214200    |
|                | Rural Area               | 214200    | 214200    | 214200           | 214200    |

Table 4.31: The Costs of Dental Nurse in Rural Area and Urban Area 1990-1994

| <b>TADIC 4.52.</b> Costs of Dental Mulse in Doth Rulai and Alea Duning 1770-177 | Table | 4.32: | Costs | of Denta | l Nurse in | Both Rural | and Are | a During | 1990-1994 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|----------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|
|---|-------|-------|-------|----------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|

| -              | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | 428400    | 428400    | 1071000   | 1428000   |
| Constant price | 428400    | 428400    | 428400    | 428400    |

6. Annual retraining to the teacher

At the end of each year, teachers are retrained. Costs for retraining of teachers were shared not only for this year but also for the followed year because the knowledge of these teachers accumulated after training. Annual costs of retraining to the teachers are presented in Table 4.33. Annual cost curves of retraining for teachers have the same direction with annual cost curves of dental nurses when using two schemes for calculation.

| 7           | ¥.              |             |           |           |           |           |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|             |                 |             | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
| T<br>O<br>T | Curnt.<br>price | Urban Area  | 128,516   | 273,643   | 435,880   | 623,346   |
| A           |                 | Rural Area  | 85,677    | 128,429   | 290,667   | 415,565   |
| L           |                 | U & R Areas | 214,193   | 456,072   | 726,467   | 1,038,911 |
|             |                 |             |           |           |           |           |
| C           | Const.          | Urban Area  | 128,516   | 128,516   | 128,516   | 128,516   |
| 0           | price           | Rural Area  | 85,677    | 85,677    | 85,677    | 85,677    |
| S           |                 | U & R Areas | 214,193   | 214,193   | 214,193   | 214,193   |
| Т           |                 |             |           |           |           |           |
|             |                 |             |           |           |           |           |
| A           |                 | Urban Area  | 235       | 502       | 803       | 1152      |
| V           |                 | Rural Area  | 210       | 316       | 716       | 1027      |
| E           | Curnt           | U & R Areas | 224       | 479       | 766       | 1099      |
| R           | price           |             |           |           |           |           |
|             |                 |             |           |           |           |           |
| C           | Const.          | Urban Area  | 235       | 224       | 224       | 224       |
| 0           | price           | Rural Area  | 210       | 224       | 224       | 224       |
| S           |                 | U & R Areas | 224       | 224       | 224       | 224       |
| Т           |                 |             |           |           |           |           |

 Table 4.33: Annual Retraining Cost for the Teacher and Average Retraining Cost for the Teacher per Child

7. Annual repair and maintenance of equipment costs

AME = 60,834 Dong AMU = 30,417 Dong AMR = 30,417 Dong

8. Annual supervision costs

Two schemes were used for calculating annual supervision costs. The results of these calculation are presented in Table 4.34. Annual supervision costs during 1990-1994 period had the same trend with consumables costs.

|                |             | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                |             |           |           |           |           |
| Current price  | Urban Area  | 160000    | 200000    | 200000    | 240000    |
|                | Rural Area  | 160000    | 200000    | 200000    | 240000    |
|                | U & R Areas | 320000    | 400000    | 400000    | 480000    |
|                |             |           |           |           |           |
|                | Urban Area  | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    |
| Constant price | Rural Area  | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    |
|                | U & R Areas | 320000    | 320000    | 320000    | 320000    |
|                |             |           |           |           |           |

## Table 4.34: The Annual Supervision Costs

# Annual capital cost

Annual capital costs are the sum of annual costs of training to the teachers plus annual costs of educational tools plus annual costs of dental equipment plus annual costs of other equipment serving for dental services at school.

ACP = 1,048,269 Dong AUP = 565,085 Dong ARP = 483,184 Dong

Where: AUP is annual capital cost of the programme in the urban area ARP is annual capital cost of he programme in the rural area

## Annual recurrent costs

Annual recurrent costs for operating the programme during 1990-1994 period are increased year by year when current price was used for calculation. These costs decreased at a decreasing rate like consumable costs when using 1990 price for calculation. The results of calculation of annual recurrent costs are shown in following tables.

a) The annual recurrent costs of the programme in the urban area during 1990-1994 are presented in Table 4.35 and Table 4.36

|           | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC       | 611267    | 730597    | 869627    | 1164427   |
| ANC       | 214200    | 214200    | 535500    | 714000    |
| ARC       | 128,516   | 273,643   | 435880    | 623,346   |
| AME       | 30,407    | 30,407    | 30,407    | 30,407    |
| ASC       | 160000    | 200000    | 200000    | 240000    |
|           |           |           |           |           |
| Sub Total | 1144390   | 1448847   | 1750114   | 2272380   |

**Table 4.35:** The Annual Recurrent Costs of the Programme in the Urban Area During1990-1994 at Current Price

| Table | 4.36: | The   | Annual  | Recurrent  | Costs | of | the | Programme | in | the | Urban | Агеа | During |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|------------|-------|----|-----|-----------|----|-----|-------|------|--------|
|       | ]     | 1990- | 1994 at | Constant F | Price |    |     |           |    |     |       |      |        |

|          | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC      | 611267    | 551497    | 540907    | 534557    |
| ANC      | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    |
| ARC      | 128,516   | 128,516   | 128,516   | 128,516   |
| AME      | 30,407    | 30,407    | 30,407    | 30,407    |
| ASC      | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    | 160000    |
|          |           |           |           |           |
| SubTotal | 1144390   | 1084620   | 1074030   | 1067680   |

**b)** The annual recurrent costs of the programme in the rural area during 1990-1994 are presented in Table 4.37 and Table 4.38

Table 4.37: The Annual Recurrent Costs of the Programme in the Rural Area During1990-1994 at Current Price

|           | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC       | 399407    | 522997    | 627127    | 862957    |
| ANC       | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    |
| ARC       | 85677     | 128429    | 290677    | 415565    |
| AME       | 30407     | 30407     | 30407     | 30407     |
| ASC       | 160000    | 200000    | 200000    | 240000    |
|           |           |           |           |           |
| Sub Total | 889691    | 1096033   | 1362411   | 1763129   |

|          | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC      | 399407    | 390947    | 384637    | 390097    |
| ANC      | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    | 214200    |
| ARC      | 85677     | 85677     | 85677     | 85677     |
| AME      | 30407     | 30407     | 30407     | 30407     |
| ASC      | 16000     | 16000     | 16000     | 16000     |
| SubTotal | 889691    | 881231    | 874921    | 880381    |

**Table 4.38:** The Annual Recurrent Costs of the Programme in the Rural Area During1990-1994 at Constant Price

c) The annual recurrent costs of the programme in the urban and rural areas during 1990-1994 are presented in Table 4.39 and Table 4.40

**Table 4.39:** The Annual Recurrent Costs of the Programme in the Urban and Rural AreasDuring 1990-1994 at Current Price

|           | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC       | 1010674   | 1253549   | 1496754   | 2027384   |
| ANC       | 428400    | 428400    | 1071000   | 1428000   |
| ARC       | 214193    | 456072    | 726467    | 1038911   |
| AME       | 60814     | 60814     | 60814     | 60814     |
| ASC       | 320000    | 400000    | 400000    | 480000    |
|           |           |           |           |           |
| Sub Total | 2034081   | 2598880   | 3112435   | 4035509   |

**Table 4.40:** The Annual Recurrent Costs of the Programme in the Urban and Rural AreasDuring 1990-1994 at Constant Price

|          | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| ACC      | 1010674   | 942444    | 925544    | 924654    |
| ANC      | 428400    | 428400    | 428400    | 428400    |
| ARC      | 214193    | 214193    | 214193    | 214193    |
| AME      | 60814     | 60814     | 60814     | 60814     |
| ASC      | 320000    | 320000    | 320000    | 320000    |
|          | 2034081   | 1965851   | 1948951   | 1948061   |
| SubTotal |           |           |           |           |

### Annual total costs

Annual total costs are the sum of annual capital costs plus annual recurrent costs. These results are shown in the following tables. They have the same trend like annual recurrent costs. The change of annual capital costs depends on the change of annual recurrent costs because annual capital costs are unchanged.

|                |             | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | Urban Area  | 1709475   | 2013932   | 2315199   | 2837465   |
|                | Rural Area  | 1372875   | 1579217   | 1845595   | 2246313   |
|                | U & R Areas | 3082350   | 3647149   | 4160704   | 5083778   |
| Constant price | Urban Area  | 1709475   | 1649705   | 1639115   | 1632765   |
|                | Rural Area  | 1372875   | 1364415   | 1358105   | 1363565   |
|                | U & R Areas | 3082350   | 3014120   | 2997220   | 2996330   |

**Table 4.41:** The Annual Total Costs of the Programme during 1990-1994

By using current price for calculation of costs, the total costs of rural, urban area, and both urban and rural areas increase at increasing rate year by year. By using constant price, the total cost of urban area decrease year by year, while total costs of rural area and both rural and urban areas decrease in the first three years and then increase in the last year

#### Annual average cost

Annual average cost equals annual total costs divided by population of each year Annual average costs during 1990-1994 period are presented in Table 4.42 and had a trend like that of total costs

|                |             | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Current price  | Urban Area  | 3119.47   | 3695.29   | 4263.72   | 5239 64   |
|                | Rural Area  | 3373.16   | 3889.7    | 4545.8    | 5560.18   |
|                | U & R Areas | 3227.59   | 3835.07   | 4384 3    | 5379 66   |
|                |             |           |           |           |           |
| Constant price | Urban Area  | 3119.47   | 3026.89   | 3018.63   | 3018 05   |
|                | Rural Area  | 3373.16   | 3360.63   | 3345.09   | 3375 16   |
|                | U & R Areas | 3227.59   | 3169.42   | 3158_29   | 3170.72   |

 Table 4.42: The Annual Average Costs of the Programme during 1990-1994

#### **Annual marginal cost**

Annual marginal cost is the change of total costs divided by the change of DMFT index between the two groups of school children: one implementing the programme and the other not implementing the programme. The results of calculation of marginal costs are also presented in Table 4.43 and had a trend similar to that of total costs and average cost when constant price was used for calculation. But by using current price, the marginal costs are unstable every year

| r              |             |           |           |           |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                |             | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994 |
| Current price  | Urban Area  | 271836    | 242957    | 266382    |
|                | Rural Area  | 343903    | 289541    | 276357    |
|                | U & R Areas | 627554    | 458531    | 503502    |
| Constant price | Urban Area  | 53366     | 8540      | 3256      |
|                | Rural Area  | 14100     | 6859      | 3766      |
|                | U & R Areas | 75871     | 15089     | 3270      |

**Table 4.43:** The Annual Marginal Costs of the Programme in the Urban, Rural Area, andBoth Urban and Rural Areas During 1990-1994

Cost-effectiveness of School-based Oral Health Programme

In making the comparison, it was assume that the goal of the programme is to prevent one decayed, missing and filled tooth per person. Cost-effectiveness of the programme was calculated for every year as follows:

Cost of procedure/person

Cost-effectiveness =

Mean DMFT saved/person

Cost of procedure/person

1 DMFT saved/person

Where Cost-effectiveness is the ratio between costs of procedures per person divided by 1 DMFT saved per person, i.e. when introducing a given cost per person, one decayed, missing or filled tooth per person was saved.

Effectiveness is the mean DMFT saved per person = the change of DMFT per person between the two groups of school children: one implementing the programme and

the other not implementing the programme. From mean DMFT saved per person, 1 DMFT saved per person was calculated.

The results of calculation of cost-effectiveness of the programme in this study during 1990-1994 period are shown in Table 4.44.

In urban area when current price was used for calculation, cost-effectiveness ratio is very high in the first year and decreased greatly in the second year. Then it increased in the third year and decreased again in the last year. In case constant price was used, the cost-effectiveness ratio decreased year by year.

By using two schemes for calculation, at the beginning the cost-effectiveness ratio was quite high in both schemes. In the second year this result decreased suddenly, but the result by using current price was higher than the result using the 1990 price. From 1993 to1994, cost-effectiveness increased steadily when using current price for calculation, while this ratio by using 1990 price continues decreasing step by step.

|                |             | 1990-1991 | 1991-1992 | 1992-1993 | 1993-1994                             |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
|                |             |           |           |           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Current price  | Urban Area  | 7799      | 3299      | 3438      | . 2686                                |
|                | Rural Area  | 18739     | 6482      | 4941      | 3834                                  |
|                | U & R Areas | 10411     | 4261      | 3914      | 3092                                  |
|                |             |           |           |           |                                       |
| Constant price | Urban Area  | 7799      | 2703      | 2434      | 1548                                  |
|                | Rural Area  | 18739     | 5601      | 3635      | 2327                                  |
|                | U & R Areas | 10411     | 3521      | 2819      | 1822                                  |

 Table 4.44: The Annual Cost-effectiveness Ratio of the Programme during 1990-1994

#### Notation of costs

- ADM : Administration cost rate of training
- ADC Administration cost for establishing the programme
- AMC : Annual cost of repair maintenance of equipment
- ASC Annual supervision cost

- ACC : Annual cost of consumable
- ARC : Annual cost of retraining teacher
- AEC : Annual cost of equipment
- ANC : Annual cost of dental nurse
- AET : Annual education tool cost
- ACD : Annual dental equipment cost
- AOE : Annual other equipment cost
- ATC : Annual training cost
- AME : Annual mouthrinsing
- BC : Cost of boocklet
- CC : Cost of callus
- CTT : Cost for teachers and trainers
- CTS Cost for teachers
- CTR Cost for trainers
- COC Consumable costs
- CSC Cost of cotton sterilization instrument
- CSN Cost for dental nurse
- DDC Cost of dental chair
- DEC : Cost of dental equipment
- DMC Cost of dental machine
- EC : Cost of equipment for examination
- ESC Cost of electric stove
- ECO : Equipment costs
- EEC : Cost of educational tools
- GC : Cost of glasses
- IBC : Cost of instrument boiler
- MC Material cost
- MRC Cost of mouth rinsing equipment
- MSN : Monthly salary of dental nurse
- NTS Number of teacher that were trained
- NOS Number of supervisor
- NTR Number of time for training
- OEC Cost of other equipment to serve for dental service
- OIC : Cost of other items
- PDJ Perdiem junior for teacher for attending the training
- POT Proportion of time using equipment
- POS : Proportion of time that dental nurse spends for dental service at this school
- PP Population at group school children with programme
- PSP : Working proportion
- RTC : Retraining teacher cost
- STP Supervision times per year
- SDS Supervision days per time

- SPC Perdiem for supervisor
- STC Transportation cost for supervisor
- TBC : Cost of tooth brush
- TCO Cost for training the teacher
- TD Training days per person
- TDP Perdiem for 1 training hour
- TPJ Travel cost per teacher

# 4.3 Sensitivity Analysis to Analyze the Impacts of Input Factors on the DMFT Index of the Programme

One of the objectives of study was to analyze the impacts of input activities on the outcome of the programme. These input factors include: Dental Health Education, mouthrinsing, early examination and treatment and the pit and fissue sealant application. The outcome of the programme are influented by the input factors through the input costs. The recurrent costs shared a greater part in the total cost of the programme establishment and operation. The change in the recurrent cost leads to the change of the total costs, average cost, cost-effectiveness ratio. The recurrent costs of five basic items namely conumable cost, cost of dental nurse, cost of retraining the teachers, cost of repair and maintenance equipments and supervision cost. The change of any item will affect the total costs, everage cost and cost-effectiveness ratio.

Assumed there is an increase of 20% of one item, and the other items. DMFT index, number of school children remain unchanged, the total cost, average cost and cost-effectiveness ratio have the same trend as before, although the actual value changes (Table 4.45, 4.46, 4.47, 4.48, 4.49).

| Year      | TC         | AC      | ΔDMFT | C\E   | Percentage<br>changed |
|-----------|------------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| 1990-1991 | 3299484.00 | 3455.00 | 0.31  | 11145 | 0.066                 |
| 1991-1992 | 3912507.00 | 4114,00 | 0.9   | 4571  | 0 069                 |
| 1992-1993 | 5078654.00 | 5351.00 | 1.12  | 4778  | 0.062                 |
| 1993-1994 | 6488872.00 | 6866.00 | 1.74  | 3957  | 0.067                 |

 Table 4.45: Total Costs, Average Cost, and Cost-effectiveness of the Programme

 Establishment and Operation during 1990-1994 when ACC was Changed

| Year      | ТС      | AC   | ΔDMFT | C\E   | Percentage<br>changed |
|-----------|---------|------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| 1990-1991 | 3180530 | 3344 | 0.31  | 10787 | 0.032                 |
| 1991-1992 | 3745029 | 3938 | 0.9   | 4376  | 0.023                 |
| 1992-1993 | 4997504 | 5266 | 1.12  | 4702  | 0.045                 |
| 1993-1994 | 6368978 | 6739 | 1.74  | 3873  | 0 043                 |

 
 Table 4.46:
 Total Costs, Average Cost, and cost-effectiveness of the Programme Establishment and Operation During 1990-1994 When ANC was Changed.

 
 Table 4.47: Total Costs, Average Cost, and Cost-effectiveness of the Programme Establishment and Operation During 1990-1994 When ARC was Changed.

| Year      | ТС      | AC   | ΔDMFT | C\E   | Percentage<br>changed |
|-----------|---------|------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
| 1990-1991 | 3137688 | 3285 | 0.31  | 10597 | 0.014                 |
| 1991-1992 | 3750563 | 3944 | 0.9   | 4382  | 0.025                 |
| 1992-1993 | 4928597 | 5193 | 1.12  | 4637  | 0.03                  |
| 1993-1994 | 6291160 | 6588 | 1.74  | 3786  | 0.023                 |

 Table 4.48: Total Costs. Average Cost, and Cost-effectiveness of the Programme Establishment and Operation during 1990-1994 when AME was Changed

|           |         |      |               |       | Percentage |
|-----------|---------|------|---------------|-------|------------|
| Year      | TC      | AC   | $\Delta$ DMFT | C∖E   | changed    |
| 1990-1991 | 3107012 | 3253 | 0.31          | 10493 | 0.004      |
| 1991-1992 | 3671512 | 3869 | 0.9           | 4299  | 0.005      |
| 1992-1993 | 4795466 | 5053 | 1.12          | 4512  | 0.002      |
| 1993-1994 | 6095540 | 6450 | 1.74          | 3707  | 0,002      |

| Year      | тс      | AC   | Δ DMFT | C\E   | Percentage<br>changed |
|-----------|---------|------|--------|-------|-----------------------|
| 1990-1991 | 3158850 | 3308 | 0.31   | 10671 | 0 02                  |
| 1991-1992 | 3739349 | 3932 | 0.9    | 4369  | 0.02                  |
| 1992-1993 | 4863304 | 5125 | 1.12   | 4576  | 0.016                 |
| 1993-1994 | 6179378 | 6539 | 1.74   | 3758  | 0.016                 |

 
 Table 4.49: Total Costs, Average Cost, and Cost-effectiveness of the Programme Establishment and Operation during 1990-1994 when ASC was Changed

Assuming effectiveness index decreases 20%, the costs of the programme establishment and operation remain unchanged, the changes cost-effectiveness ratio are presented in Table 4.50.

Table 4.50: Average Cost, and Cost-effectiveness of the Programme Establishment andOperation during 1990-1994 when Effectiveness Decreased 20%

|           |      |       |            | Percentage |
|-----------|------|-------|------------|------------|
| Year      | AC   |       | <u>C\E</u> | changed    |
| 1990-1991 | 3227 | 0.248 | 13012      | 0.24       |
| 1991-1992 | 3835 | 0.72  | 5326       | 0.25       |
| 1992-1993 | 4384 | 0.9   | 4871       | 0.25       |
| 1993-1994 | 5379 | 1.4   | 3842       | 0.25       |

The results in Table 4.50 show that when 20% of effectiveness of each year were decreased, cost-effectiveness ratio will increase 24% at the first year, and 25% in the following years