

Mechanical Testing Results

1. Tensile Testing

Table 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shows the tensile properties of the composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 and 1.0 mm min⁻¹, respectively. The stress-strain behaviour of all specimens are shown at Appendix and some examples of these are shown in Fig.4.1.1 and 4.1.2. The stress-strain curves of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composites at various volume fraction are summarized in Fig.4.1.3 and 4.1.4. In addition the variation of Young's modulus, tensile strength, strain to failure, energy to failure and toughness for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites are shown in Fig.4.1.5, 4.1.6, 4.1.7, 4.1.8 and 4.1.9., respectively. Also, Fig.4.1.10 and 4.1.11 represents effect of cross-head speed to Young's modulus and tensile strength of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composite.

Chapter 4

Results

The results indicated that the elastic modulus increased with increased filler loading but the strain to failure decreased at the same time. The tensile strength remained stable at high concentration of filler. Table 4.1.1Tensile properties of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high density polyethylenecomposites as a function of volume fraction of filler at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹. (* = one specimen)

Property	Volume	Young's modulus	Tensile strength	Strain to	Energy to	Toughness
	fraction	GPa	MPa	failure	failure	MPa
Materials	v _f			%	J	
HDPE*	0	0.81	24.78	102.53	19.36	23.18
CBA	0.20	1.62 ± 0.16	22.22 ± 0.48	41.92 ± 1.56	7.39 ± 0.44	8.79 ± 0.46
	0.30	2.42 ± 0.06	20.02 ± 0.67	22.90 ± 0.66	3.55 ± 0.36	4.59 ± 0.19
	0.35	2.82 ± 0.08	21.41 ± 0.18	20.28 ± 2.45	3.26 ± 0.54	4.04 ± 0.51
	0.45	4.23 ± 0.10	22.28 ± 0.27	1.72 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.04
*	0.50	4.33	22.33	0.85	0.09	0.11
Syn. HA	0.20	1.80 ± 0.19	26.14 ± 0.50	21.75 ± 4.56	4.21 ± 0.95	5.17 ± 1.09
	0.35	3.38 ± 0.06	22.25 ± 1.35	7.79 ± 2.06	1.37 ± 0.35	1.63 ± 0.52



 Table 4.1.1
 Tensile properties of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high density polyethylene

 composites as a function of volume fraction of filler at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹. (* = one specimen)

Property	Volume	Young's modulus	Tensile strength	Strain to	Energy to	Toughness
	fraction	GPa	MPa	failure	failure	MPa
Materials	v _f			%	J	
HDPE*	0	0.81	24.78	102.53	19.36	23.18
CBA	0.20	1.62 ± 0.16	22.22 ± 0.48	41.92 ± 1.56	7.39 ± 0.44	8.79 ± 0.46
	0.30	2.42 ± 0.06	20.02 ± 0.67	22.90 ± 0.66	3.55 ± 0.36	4.59 ± 0.19
	0.35	2.82 ± 0.08	21.41 ± 0.18	20.28 ± 2.45	3.26 ± 0.54	4.04 ± 0.51
	0.45	4.23 ± 0.10	22.28 ± 0.27	1.72 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.04
*	0.50	4.33	22.33	0.85	0.09	0.11
Syn. HA	0.20	1.80 ± 0.19	26.14 ± 0.50	21.75 ± 4.56	4.21 ± 0.95	5.17 ± 1.09
	0.35	3.38 ± 0.06	22.25 ± 1.35	7.79 ± 2.06	1.37 ± 0.35	1.63 ± 0.52

Table 4.1.1Tensile properties of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high density polyethylenecomposites as a function of volume fraction of filler at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹. (* = one specimen)

1.1

Property	Volume	Young's modulus	Tensile strength	Strain to	Energy to	Toughness
	fraction	GPa	MPa	failure	failure	MPa
Materials	v _f			%	1	
HDPE*	0	0.81	24.78	102.53	19.36	23.18
CBA	0.20	1.62 ± 0.16	22.22 ± 0.48	41.92 ± 1.56	7.39 ± 0.44	8.79 ± 0.46
	0.30	2.42 ± 0.06	20.02 ± 0.67	22.90 ± 0.66	3.55 ± 0.36	4.59 ± 0.19
1.00	0.35	2.82 ± 0.08	21.41 ± 0.18	20.28 ± 2.45	3.26 ± 0.54	4.04 ± 0.51
	0.45	4.23 ± 0.10	22.28 ± 0.27	1.72 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.04
*	0.50	4.33	22.33	0.85	0.09	0.11
Syn. HA	0.20	1.80 ± 0.19	26.14 ± 0.50	21.75 ± 4.56	4.21 ± 0.95	5.17 ± 1.09
	0.35	3.38 ± 0.06	22.25 ± 1.35	7.79 ± 2.06	1.37 ± 0.35	1.63 ± 0.52

Table 4.1.1Tensile properties of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high density polyethylenecomposites as a function of volume fraction of filler at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹. (* = one specimen)

Property	Volume	Young's modulus	Tensile strength	Strain to	Energy to	Toughness
	fraction	GPa	MPa	failure	failure	MPa
Materials	v _f			%	J	
HDPE*	0	0.81	24.78	102.53	19.36	23.18
CBA	0.20	1.62 ± 0.16	22.22 ± 0.48	41.92 ± 1.56	7.39 ± 0.44	8.79 ± 0.46
	0.30	2.42 ± 0.06	20.02 ± 0.67	22.90 ± 0.66	3.55 ± 0.36	4.59 ± 0.19
	0.35	2.82 ± 0.08	21.41 ± 0.18	20.28 ± 2.45	3.26 ± 0.54	4.04 ± 0.51
	0.45	4.23 ± 0.10	22.28 ± 0.27	1.72 ± 0.09	0.42 ± 0.06	0.46 ± 0.04
*	0.50	4.33	22.33	0.85	0.09	0.11
Syn. HA	0.20	1.80 ± 0.19	26.14 ± 0.50	21.75 ± 4.56	4.21 ± 0.95	5.17 ± 1.09
	0.35	3.38 ± 0.06	22.25 ± 1.35	7.79 ± 2.06	1.37 ± 0.35	1.63 ± 0.52

Table 4.1.2Tensile properties of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high density polyethylenecomposites as a function of volume fraction of filler at crosshead speed of 1.0 mm min⁻¹. (* = one specimen)

Property	Volume	Young's modulus	Tensile strength	Strain to	Energy to	Toughness
	fraction	GPa	MPa	failure	failure	MPa
Materials	v _f			%	J	
HDPE*	0	14.73	24.46	54.09	4.27	11.94
CBA	0.20	13.59 ± 1.45	20.88 ± 0.73	49.77 ± 0.16	3.57 ± 0.09	9.95 ± 0.58
	0.30	14.51 ± 1.21	20.87 ± 1.36	24.79 ± 3.33	1.83 ± 0.34	5.00 ± 0.91
	0.35	16.39 ± 3.53	17.72 ± 0.98	21.79 ± 5.68	1.32 ± 0.23	3.75 ± 0.61
	0.45	20.90 ± 7.48	21.51 ± 0.23	7.46 ± 2.13	0.57 ± 0.16	1.55 ± 0.46
*	0.50	29.24	19.75	0.04	0.001	0.003
Syn. HA	0.20	13.22 ± 0.54	25.44 ± 0.32	24.59 ± 1.13	2.08 ± 0.08	5.94 ± 0.24
	0.35	20.75 ± 6.20	22.22 ± 0.37	11.02 ± 4.11	0.85 ± 0.31	2.38 ± 0.86



Figure 4.1.1 Stress-strain curve of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composite at 0.45 volume fraction at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.2 Stress-strain curve of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composite at 0.50 volume fraction at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.3 Stress-strain curves of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composites at various volume fraction at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.4 Stress-strain curves of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composites at various volume fraction at crosshead speed of 1.0 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.5 Young's modulus for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.

Ξ.



Figure 4.1.6 Tensile strength for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.7 Strain to failure for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.8 Energy to failure for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.

v.



Figure 4.1.9 Toughness for various volume fraction of calcined bone ash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced polyethylene composites at crosshead speed of 0.5 mm min⁻¹.



Figure 4.1.10 The effect of crosshead speed against Young's modulus for calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composites.

2. Flexural testing

The specimens below 0.45 volume fraction of calcined bone ash do not break at the specific strain limit, so flexural results can not be obtained. This is the threshold for brittle characteristic of the composites.

Table 4.1.3Flexural results of 0.45 volume fraction of calcined boneash reinforced polyethylene composite.

Flexural strength (MPa)	26.79 ± 1.80
Flexural modulus (GPa)	1.85 ± 0.23
Energy to yield point (J)	0.03 ± 0.01



Figure 4.1.11 Stress-strain curve of flexural test for 0.45 volume fraction of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composite.

3. Hardness

Table 4.1.4Microhardness results of calcined bone ash
and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforced high
density polyethylene composites.

Kinds	Volume fraction	Vicker Hardness Number
	of filler	(VHN)
CBA	0.10	not display pyramid shape
	0.20	6.538 ± 0.586
	0.25	7.060 ± 0.404
	0.30	8.002 ± 0.670
	0.35	8.377 ± 0.459
	0.40	9.554 ± 1.237
	0.45	11.450 ± 0.805
	0.50	13.630 ± 0.512
Syn. HA	0.10	5.935 ± 0.321
	0.20	6.674 ± 0.504
	0.35	7.042 ± 0.288
	0.40	8.442 ± 0.647
	0.50	9.990 ± 0.562

The variation of microhardness with volume fraction of filler for the polyethylene composites is shown in Fig.4.1.12.



Figure 4.1.12 Variation of microhardness with volume fraction of filler.

Density Results

The densities of the composites are shown in Table 4.2.1

Table 4.2.1Density measurement results of calcined boneash and synthetic hydroxyapatite reinforcedhigh density polyethylene composites at 23°C.

Kinds	Volume fraction of	Density (Kg m ⁻³)	
	filler		
		Calculated	Measured
HDPE	0	945	943.1 ± 2.3
СВА	0.10	1166	1056.9 ± 0.6
	0.20	1388	1312.4 ± 8.5
	0.25	1498	$ 1431.5 \pm 1.4 $
	0.30	1609	1596.5 ± 1.7
	0.35	1720	1660.1 ± 1.7
Syn. HA	0.10	1166	1123.1 ± 1.4
	0.20	1387	1315.0 ± 9.1
	0.35	1718	1527.8 ±18.3

The results show that the value of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene composite is closer to the calculated data for the same volume fraction.



Figure 4.2.1 Comparison of calculated and measured densities of calcined bone ash reinforced polyetylene composites at various volume fraction.

Dispersion of Filler

Fig.4.3.1 and 4.3.2 shows the scanning electron micrographs of calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene after polishing and etching. The micrographs confirms a good filler dispersion without agglomerates. Filler particle size distribution is clearly visible.

Fractography

SEM micrographs were taken of the fracture obtained from tensile tested specimens. They are shown in Fig.4.4.1 to 4.4.7 for varying magnification. They all form little extensive necks, with strainwhitening, before breaking. It can be seen that the hydroxyapatite did not fracture during testing because the ground surfaces of these particles can be seen. Also the separation between the particles and the matrix can be noticed. Fig.4.4.8 show the fracture surface of flexural test. Only one type of the composite was tested because other specimens did not break. This contained 0.45 volume fraction of calcined bone ash and fractured in a more brittle manner than lower volume fractions. The fracture surfaces appear flat, when compared to those of the tensile tested specimens, because polyethylene matrix has been a little drawn out from the composites.





Figure 4.3.1 SEM observation of 0.20 calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene.



Figure 4.3.2 SEM observation of 0.30 calcined bone ash reinforced polyethylene.





Figure 4.4.1 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.20 calcined bone ash volume fraction.





Figure 4.4.2 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.30 calcined bone ash volume fraction.





Figure 4.4.3 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.35 calcined bone ash volume fraction.



Figure 4.4.4 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.45 calcined bone ash volume fraction.



Figure 4.4.5 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.50 calcined bone ash volume fraction.

X2,000

001463

10µm





Figure 4.4.6 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.20 synthetic hydroxyapatite volume fraction.





Figure 4.4.7 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of tensile specimens for 0.35 synthetic hydroxyapatite volume fraction.



Figure 4.4.8 Scanning electron micrograph of fracture surface of flexural specimen for 0.45 calcined bone ash volume fraction.