

## REFERENCES

- Alhéritière, C., Thornhill, N. F., Fraser, S., and Knight, M. J. (1998) Cost benefit analysis of refinery process data: Case study. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 22(Suppl. 1), S1031-S1034.
- Bagajewicz, M. (2004a) On the Definition of Software Accuracy in Redundant Measurements Systems. Submitted to AIChE Journal.
- Bagajewicz, M. (2004b) Value of Accuracy in Linear Systems. Submitted to AIChE Journal.
- Bagajewicz, M., and Rollins, D. (2004) On the Consistency of the Measurement and GLR test for Gross Error Detection. Submitted to Computers & Chemical Engineering.
- Bagajewicz, M., Markowski, M., and Budek, A. (2003) Economic Value of Precision in the Monitoring of Linear Systems. Submitted to AIChE Journal.
- Bagajewicz, M. (2000). A Brief Review of Recent Developments in Data Reconciliation and Gross Error Detection/Estimation. Latin American Applied Research, 30(4), 335-342.
- Bagajewicz, M. and Sánchez, M. (2000) Reallocation and upgrade of instrumentation in process plants. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 24(8), 1945-1959.
- Bagajewicz, M., Jiang, Q. and Sanchez, M. (1999) Removing Singularities and Assessing Uncertainties in Two Efficient Gross Error Collective Compensation Methods. Chemical Engineering Communication, 178, 1-20.
- Bagajewicz, M. and Jiang, Q. (1998) Gross Error Modeling and Detection in Plant Linear Dynamic Reconciliation. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 22 (12), 1789-1810.
- Christiansen, L.J., Olsen, N.B., Carstensen, J.H., and Schrøder, M. (1997) Performance evaluation of catalytic processes. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 21(Suppl. 1), S1179-S1184.
- Chiari, M., Bussani, G., Grottoli, M.G., and Pierucci S. (1997) On-line data reconciliation and optimisation: Refinery applications. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 21(Suppl. 1), S1185-S1190.
- Crowe, C.M., Hrymak, A. and Campos, Y.A.G. (1983) Reconciliation of Process Flow Rates by Matrix Projection. I. The Linear Case. AIChE Journal, 29, 881-888.
- Dempf, D. and List, T. (1998) On-line data reconciliation in chemical plants. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 22(Suppl. 1), S1023-S1025.
- Evans, M. and Swartz, T., (2000) Approximating integrals via Monte Carlo and deterministic methods. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Iordache, C., Mah, R. and Tamhane, A. (1985) Performance Studies of the Measurement Test for Detection of Gross Errors in Process Data. AIChE Journal, 31, 1187-1201.
- Jiang, Q. and Bagajewicz, M. (1999) On a Strategy of Serial Identification with Collective Compensation for Multiple Gross Error Estimation in Linear Steady-State Reconciliation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 38 (5), 2119 -2128.

- Jiang, Q., Sánchez, M., and Bagajewicz, M. (1999) On The Performance of Principal Component Analysis in Multiple Gross Error Identification. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 38(5), 2005-2012 .
- Kim, I., Kang, M.S., Park, S. and Edgar, T. (1997) Robust Data Reconciliation and Gross Error Detection: The Modified MIMT using NLP. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 21, 775-782.
- Lee, M.H., Lee, S.J., Han, C., Chang, K.S., Kim, S.H. and You, S.H., (1998) Hierarchical on-line data reconciliation and optimization for an industrial utility plant. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 22(Suppl. 1), S247-S254.
- Lu, Z. and Zhang, D. (2003) On importance sampling Monte Carlo approach to uncertainty analysis for flow and transport in porous media. Advances in Water Resources, 26(11), 1177-1188.
- Meyer, M., Koehret, B., and Enjalbert, M. (1993) Data reconciliation on multicomponent network process. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 17(8), 807-817.
- Mah, R.S.H. (1990) Chemical Process Structures and Information Flows. Stoneham, MA: Butterworths.
- Mah, R.S.H. and Tamhane, A.C. (1982) Detection of Gross Errors in Process Data. AIChE Journal, 28, 828-830.
- Mori, Y. and Kato, T. (2003). Multinormal integrals by importance sampling for series system reliability. Structural Safety, 25( 4), 363-378.
- Narasimhan, S., and Jordache, C. (2000) Data Reconciliation & Gross Error Detection: An Intelligent Use of Process Data. Houston, Texas: Gulf Publishing.
- Narasimhan, S. and Mah, R. (1987) Generalized Likelihood Ratio Method for Gross Error Identification. AIChE Journal, 33, 1514-1521.
- Pierucci, S., Brandani, P., Ranzi, E., and Sogaro, A. (1996) An industrial application of an on-line data reconciliation and optimization problem. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 20(Suppl. 2), S1539-S1544.
- Rollins, D. and Davis, J. (1992) Unbiased Estimation of Gross Errors in Process Measurements. AIChE Journal, 38(4), 563-572.
- Sánchez, M., Romagnoli, J., Jiang, Q., and Bagajewicz, M. (1999) Simultaneous Estimation of Biases and Leaks in Process Plants. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 23(7), 841-858.
- Soderstrom, T.A., Himmelblau, D.M., and Edgar, T.F. (2001) A mixed integer optimization approach for simultaneous data reconciliation and identification of measurement bias. Control Engineering Practice, 9(8), 869-876.
- Serth, R. and Heenan, W. (1986) Gross Error Detection and Data Reconciliation in Steam Metering Systems. AIChE Journal, 32, 733-742.
- Tong, H. and Crowe, C. (1995) Detection of Gross Errors in Data Reconciliation by Principal Component Analysis. AIChE Journal, 41, 1712-1722.
- Tjoa, I.B., and Biegler, L.T. (1991) Simultaneous strategies for data reconciliation and gross error detection of nonlinear systems. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 15(10), 679-690.

## APPENDIX

This appendix shows proof for  $W_{iil} > W'_{iil}$ ; where  $W'$  is the updated matrix  $W$  after a redundant measurement has been eliminated and  $W_{iil}$  and  $W'_{iil}$  are diagonal elements of matrices  $W, W'$ . The expression  $W_{iil} > W'_{iil}$  is equivalent to the expression  $W^+_{iil} > W_{iil}$ ; where  $W^+$  is the updated matrix  $W$  after a redundant measurement has been added. We aim at showing that  $W^+_{iil} > W_{iil}$ .

The matrix  $W$  is given by:

$$W = A^T(ASA^T)^{-1}A$$

The matrix  $W$  is given by:

$$W^+ = [A^+]^T (A^+ S^+ [A^+]^T)^{-1} A^+$$

where  $A^+, S^+$  are the updated matrices  $A$  (constraint matrix) and  $S$  (covariance matrix of measurements) after a redundant measurement has been added.

We obtain the new constraint matrix  $A^+$  corresponding to the system to which a redundant measurement is added by simply adding one constraint relating this new measurement with some other redundant measurements in the system:

We write  $A^+$  at form:

$$A^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ n \times m \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ n \times 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} B_i, 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

where vector  $[B_i, \delta] = [1 \times (m+1)]$  is the last row corresponding to the new constraint relating the new redundant measurement with some other redundant measurements in the systems; vector  $[0, \delta] = [(n+1) \times 1]$  is the last column corresponding to the new redundant measurement ( $\delta$  can take value of 1 or -1).

The new covariance matrix of measurements is:

$$S^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S \\ m \times m \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ m \times 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 1 \times m \end{bmatrix} & s_i \end{pmatrix} \text{ (we assume } S \text{ \& } S^+ \text{ are diagonal matrices)}$$

where  $m, n$  are the (old) number of redundant measurements and the (old) number of constraints, respectively.

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( A^+ S^+ [A^+]^T \right)^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ASA^T \\ n \times n \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} ASB_i^T \\ n \times 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} B_i SA^T, 1 \times n \end{bmatrix} & B_i SB_i^T + s_i \delta^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} X & -P^{-1}QY \\ -YRP^{-1} & Y \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$Y = (S - RP^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

$$X = P^{-1} + P^{-1}QYRP^{-1}$$

(formula taken from Noble, B. and Daniel, J.W. 1988 Applied linear algebra 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, p.41, Prentice Hall).

In this case  $S$  and  $Y$  are scalars.

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & [A^+]^T (A^+ S^+ [A^+]^T)^{-1} A^+ \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [A^T] & [B_i^T] \\ [0] & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [X] & [-P^{-1}QY] \\ [-YRP^{-1}] & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [A] & [0] \\ [B_i] & \delta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [[A^T X] + [B_i^T [-YRP^{-1}]]] & [[A^T [-P^{-1}QY]] + Y[B_i^T]] \\ \delta x [-YRP^{-1}] & \delta Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [A] & [0] \\ [B_i] & \delta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [[A^T X] + [B_i^T [-YRP^{-1}]]][A] + [[A^T [-P^{-1}QY]] + Y[B_i^T]][B_i] & \delta [[A^T [-P^{-1}QY]] + Y[B_i^T]] \\ \delta [-YRP^{-1}][A] + \delta Y[B_i] & \delta^2 Y \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We concentrate only on diagonal elements of matrix

$$W^+ = [A^+]^T (A^+ S^+ [A^+]^T)^{-1} A^+ \text{ and matrix } W = A^T (ASA^T)^{-1} A$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( [[A^T X] + [B_i^T [-YRP^{-1}]]][A] + [[A^T [-P^{-1}QY]] + Y[B_i^T]][B_i] \right) \\ &= [A^T X A] + [B_i^T [-YRP^{-1}] A] + [A^T [-P^{-1}QY] B_i] + Y [B_i^T B_i] \end{aligned}$$

Now we have:

$Y = (S - RP^{-1}Q)^{-1}$  (a scalar)  $> 0$  because matrix  $(ASA^T)^{-1}$  or  $(A^+S^+[A^+]^T)^{-1}$  is positive definite (Bagajewicz, M., and Rollins, D. (2004). On the Consistency of the Measurement and GLR test for Gross Error Detection. Submitted to Computers & Chemical Engineering.)

$$-YRP^{-1} = -Y[B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1}$$

$$-P^{-1}QY = -[ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T]Y = -Y[ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T]$$

$$\begin{aligned} X &= P^{-1} + P^{-1}QYRP^{-1} = [ASA^T]^{-1} + [ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T]Y[B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1} \\ &= [ASA^T]^{-1} + Y[ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T][B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} [B_i^T][ -YRP^{-1}][A] &= [B_i^T][ -Y[B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1}][A] \\ &= -Y[B_i^T][ [B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1}][A] = -YB_i^TB_iS[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [A^T][ -P^{-1}QY][B_i] &= [A^T][ -Y[ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T]][B_i] \\ &= -Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T][B_i] = -Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A]SB_i^TB_i \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} &[A^T XA] + [B_i^T[-YRP^{-1}]A] + [A^T[-P^{-1}QY]B_i] + Y[B_i^T B_i] \\ &= [A^T] \left( [ASA^T]^{-1} + Y[ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T][B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1} \right) [A] \\ &\quad - YB_i^TB_iS[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] - Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A]SB_i^TB_i + Y[B_i^T B_i] \\ &= [A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] + Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[ASB_i^T][B_iSA^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] \\ &\quad - YB_i^TB_iS[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] - Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A]SB_i^TB_i + Y[B_i^T B_i] \\ &= [A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] + Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A][SB_i^TB_iS][A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] \\ &\quad - YB_i^TB_iS[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] - Y[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A]SB_i^TB_i + Y[B_i^T B_i] \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &[A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A][SB_i^TB_iS][A^T][ASA^T]^{-1}[A] \\ &= WS(B_i^TB_i)SW = WSBSW \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = B_i^TB_i$

(since  $W = A^T(ASA^T)^{-1}A$ )

Diagonal elements  $B_{ii}$  of matrix  $B$  ( $m \times m$ ) are  $b_i^2$  where  $b_i$  are elements of the vector  $B_i$  ( $1 \times m$ ). Matrix  $B$  is also a symmetric matrix whose element  $B_{ij} = B_{ji} = b_i b_j$  ( $b_i, b_j$  are elements of the vector  $B_i$  and can be 0, +1 or -1).

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] + Y \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] \left[ SB_i^T B_i S \right] \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] \\ & - Y B_i^T B_i S \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] - Y \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] S B_i^T B_i + Y \left[ B_i^T B_i \right] \\ & = \left[ A^T \right] \left[ ASA^T \right]^{-1} \left[ A \right] + Y (WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B) = W + Y (WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B) \end{aligned}$$

We have  $Y > 0$ . Therefore if diagonal elements of the matrix  $WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B$  are positive then we have:  $W^+_{iil} > W_{iil}$  because  $W^+_{iil} = W_{iil} + Y \times \text{Diagonal elements } ii \text{ of matrix } WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B$ .

If we assume that  $S$  &  $S^+$  are diagonal matrices, then *diagonal element 11* of matrix  $WSBSW$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (WSBSW)_{11} &= \sum_j (WSB)_{1j} (SW)_{j1} = (W_{11} S_{11} B_{11} + W_{12} S_{22} B_{21} + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} B_{m1}) S_{11} W_{11} \\ & \quad + (W_{11} S_{11} B_{12} + W_{12} S_{22} B_{22} + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} B_{m2}) S_{22} W_{21} \\ & \quad + \dots \dots \dots \\ & \quad + (W_{11} S_{11} B_{1m} + W_{12} S_{22} B_{2m} + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} B_{mm}) S_{mm} W_{m1} \end{aligned}$$

Because matrix  $B$  is a symmetric matrix whose elements  $B_{ij} = B_{ji} = b_i b_j$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (WSBSW)_{11} &= (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m) b_1 S_{11} W_{11} \\ & \quad + (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m) b_2 S_{22} W_{21} \\ & \quad + \dots \dots \dots \\ & \quad + (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m) b_m S_{mm} W_{m1} \\ &= (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m) (b_1 S_{11} W_{11} + b_2 S_{22} W_{21} + \dots + b_m S_{mm} W_{m1}) \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that matrix  $W$  is symmetric (since  $ASA^T$  is symmetric, therefore  $(ASA^T)^{-1}$  is also symmetric, thus  $W = A^T(ASA^T)^{-1}A$  is a symmetric matrix), in other words:  $W_{ij} = W_{ji}$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} (WSBSW)_{11} &= (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m) (b_1 S_{11} W_{11} + b_2 S_{22} W_{21} + \dots + b_m S_{mm} W_{m1}) \\ &= (W_{11} S_{11} b_1 + W_{12} S_{22} b_2 + \dots + W_{1m} S_{mm} b_m)^2 \end{aligned}$$

*Diagonal element 11* of matrix  $WSB$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(WSB)_{11} &= \sum_j (WS)_{1j} B_{j1} = W_{11}S_{11}B_{11} + W_{12}S_{22}B_{21} + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}B_{m1} \\
&= (W_{11}S_{11}b_1b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2b_1 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_mb_1) \\
&= b_1(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m)
\end{aligned}$$

Diagonal element 11 of matrix  $BSW$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(BSW)_{11} &= \sum_j (B)_{1j}(SW)_{j1} = B_{11}S_{11}W_{11} + B_{12}S_{22}W_{21} + \dots B_{1m}S_{mm}W_{m1} \\
&= b_1b_1S_{11}W_{11} + b_1b_2S_{22}W_{21} + \dots b_1b_mS_{mm}W_{m1} \\
&= b_1(b_1S_{11}W_{11} + b_2S_{22}W_{21} + \dots b_mS_{mm}W_{m1}) \\
&= b_1(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m)
\end{aligned}$$

Diagonal elements  $B_{11}$  of matrix  $B$  is  $b_1^2$

Therefore, the diagonal element 11 of matrix  $WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m)^2 - b_1(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m) \\
&- b_1(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m) + b_1^2 \\
&= (W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m)^2 - 2b_1(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m) + b_1^2 \\
&= [(W_{11}S_{11}b_1 + W_{12}S_{22}b_2 + \dots W_{1m}S_{mm}b_m) - b_1]^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

In general, the diagonal element  $ii$  of matrix  $WSBSW - BSW - WSB + B$  is:

$$[(W_{i1}S_{11}b_1 + W_{i2}S_{22}b_2 + \dots + W_{ii}S_{ii}b_i + \dots + W_{im}S_{mm}b_m) - b_i]^2 \geq 0$$

And the updated  $W_{ii}$  is:

$$W_{ii}^+ = W_{ii} + Y[(W_{i1}S_{11}b_1 + W_{i2}S_{22}b_2 + \dots + W_{ii}S_{ii}b_i + \dots + W_{im}S_{mm}b_m) - b_i]^2 \geq W_{ii}$$

## CURRICULUM VITAE

**Name:** Mr. Nguyen Thanh Duy Quang

**Date of Birth:** October 10, 1978

**Nationality:** Vietnamese

**University Education:**

1996-2001 Bachelor Degree of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Hochiminh City University of Technology, Hochiminh City, Vietnam

**Working Experience:**

2001-2003 Position: Assistant Lecturer

Company name: Hochiminh City University of Technology