ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY RESPONSE OF POLY(P-PHENYLENE VINYLENE)/ZEOLITE COMPOSITES EXPOSED TO FLAMMABLE SOLVENT

Jirarat Kamonsawas

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University in Academic Partnership with The University of Michigan. The University of Oklahoma, and Case Western Reserve University

2014

I 28369476

Thesis Title:	Electrical Conductivity Response of Poly(p-phenylene
	vinylene)/Zeolite Composites Exposed to Flammable Solvents
By:	Jirarat Kamonsawas
Program:	Polymer Science
Thesis Advisor:	Prof. Anuvat Sirivat

Accepted by The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of i, Philosophy.

College Dean

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

Thesis Committee:

Anathonial

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

0

itijaat Siemanand W. Prissanaroon_Ouajai

(Prof. Anuvat Sirivat)

(Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond) (Asst. Prof. Walaiporn Prissanaroon-Ouajai)

Pimpa Hormmun____

(Dr. Pimpa Hormnirun)

ABSTRACT

5292005063: Polymer Science Program

Jirarat Kamonsawas: Electrical Conductivity Response of Poly(p-Phenylene Vinylene)/Zeolite Composites Exposed to Flammable Solvents Thesis Advisor: Professor Dr. Anuvat Sirivat 169 pp. Keywords: Conductive Polymer/ PPV/ Zeolites/ Ketones/ Sensor

The development of gas sensing materials with selective and sensitive properties for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) detection had been of interest due to the industrial process pollution. One of possible sensor operating principles is the electrical conductivity response of the sensing material towards VOCs. In this work. Dope poly(p-phenylene vinylene) or dPPV was mixed with the three zeolite types: zeolite Y (NaY); mordenite (NaMOR); and 5A LTA (Na5A) to detect acetone, MEK, MIBK. methanol, and n-heptane at the vapor concentration of 30000 ppm. The effects of cation type, cation concentration, zeolite type, vapor concentration, dPPV, and cyclic interval were investigated. 80CuNaY showed the highest electrical conductivity sensitivity under acetone exposure at 30000 ppm in N₂ relative to other cation types (Ni²⁺, Fe²⁺, K⁻, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and H⁻). Furthermore, with increasing cation content in NaY, the electrical conductivity sensitivity towards acetone vapor increased, especially at 80% ion exchanged. 10% v/v of dPPV was mixed into 80CuNaY and 80CuNaMOR matrices and they were exposed to acetone, methanol, and n-heptane. dPPV_[90]80CuNaYcould respond well in the polar solvents (acetone and methanol) with the minimum vapor concentrations of 5 ppm for acetone and 2 ppm for methanol while dPPV [90]80CuNaMOR showed the lowest detection limit of 5 ppm in a non-polar vapor (n-heptane). Overall, dPPV enhanced the electrical conductivity sensitivity of 80CuNaY and 80CuNaMOR by an order magnitude. For the cyclic interval, the electrical conductivity response decreased with increasing number of cyclic intervals towards acetone vapor due to the irreversible interaction as evidenced by FTIR and AFM techniques.

iii

บทคัดย่อ

ý.

จิรารัตน์ กมลสวัสดิ์ : การตอบสนองทางไฟฟ้าของสารคอมพอสิตระหว่างพอลิฟินิลลิไว นิลลินและซีโอไลต์เมื่อสัมผัสกับไอระเหยของตัวทำละลายติคไฟได้ Electrical Conductivity Response of Poly(p-Phenylene Vinylene)/Zeolite Composites Exposed to Flammable Solvents อ. ที่ปรึกษา : ศ.คร. อนุวัฒน์ ศิริวัฒน์ 169 หน้า

ในปัจจุบันภาคอุตสาหกรรมมีการนำวัสดุที่ใช้ในการตรวจจับ ใอระเหยสารเคมีที่มีความ เป็นพิษอย่างแพร่หลายแต่ยังมีส่วนเสียในเรื่องของการเลือกจับไอระเหยของสารเคมี ดังนั้นในงาน ้วิจัยนี้ได้พัฒนาวัสดุตรวจจับโดยใช้ พอลิฟินิลลิน ไวนิลลินผสมกับซีโอไลต์ชนิดต่างๆ และศึกษา ้ผลของแคทไอออนที่ต่างกัน ปริมาณแคทไอออนในซีโอไลต์ ปริมาณของพอลิเมอร์นำไฟฟ้า ชนิด ้ของใอระเหยของสารเคมี (อะซีโตน, เมทิลเอททิล คีโตน, เมททิล ใอโซบิลทิล คีโตน เมทานอล และนอร์มัลเฮปเทน) และจำนวนรอบของการตอบสนองต่อไอระเทยสารเคมีโดยเลือกใช้เทคนิค การวัดการเปลี่ยนแปลงทางไฟฟ้าของวัสดุตรวจจับเมื่อมีการสัมผัสกับไอระเหยของสารเคมี โดย ้เมื่อนำซีโอไลต์ชนิควายแลกเปลี่ยนแคทไอออนกับคอปเปอร์แล้วตอบสนองต่อไอระเหยของ อะซี ้โตนได้สูงสุดเมื่อเทียบกับซีโอไลต์ชนิดวายที่แลกเปลี่ยนแคทไอออนกับนิกเกิล เฟอร์ริก โซเดียม แอมโมเนียม แคลเซียม แมกนีเซียมและไฮโคนเจนตามลำดับ ที่ความเข้มข้นไอระเหยอะซีโตนที่ 30000 พีพีเอ็ม และเมื่อนำโด๊ปพอลิฟินิลลิน ไวนิลลินหรือโด๊ปพีพีวีผสมลงใน คอปเปคร์โซเดียบ ้วาย กอปเปอร์ โซเดียมมอร์เดอร์ ในต์ และกอปเปอร์ โซเดียมเอ ที่ 10% โดยปริมาตรของ โด๊ปพีพีวี และตรวงวัดการตอบสนองต่อไอระเทยของอะซิโตน เมทานอลและนอร์มัลเสปเทน พบว่าคอป ้เปอร์ โซเดียมวายสามารถจับไอระเหยของอะซี โตนและเมทานอลได้แต่ไม่สามารถจับไอระเหย ของนอร์ มัลเฮปเทนได้ ในขณะที่คอปเปอร์โซเดียมมอร์เดอร์ไนต์สามารถจับไอระเหยของนอ ลมอลเฮป ดังนั้นซีโอไลต์จะช่วยให้โด๊ปพีพีวีคัดเลือกการจับสารมากขึ้นและ โค๊ปพีพีวีจะช่วยให้ซี ้โอไลต์แสคงสัญญาณการตอบสนองที่คีขึ้น เมื่อศึกษาผลของจำนวนรอบการตอบสนองพบว่าเมื่อ เพิ่มจำนวนรอบการตอบสนองค่าการตอบสนองต่อไอระเหยของสารเคมีจะลคลงเนื่องจากยังมี ปฏิกิริยาหลงเหลืออยู่และเรื่องของความสามารถในการตรวจจับไอระเทยของสารเคมีที่มีความเป็น ข้าต่างกัน

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank all faculties who have offered valuable knowledges for this dissertation, especially, Professor Anuvat Sirivat from The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University who is her main offering several enlightening suggestions, discussions and problem solving directions entirely during the course of his work.

She would like to acknowledge CEAP group members and all faculty members and staff at The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University for their kind assistance, continuous encouragement and wonderful friendship. Moreover, she would like to give a special thank to Ms. Barbara Best for encouragements and suggestions on the writings and presentations.

She gratefully acknowledges to Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul, Prof. Anuvat Sirivat, Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, Asst. Prof. Walaiporn Prissanaroon-Ouajai, and Dr. Pimpa Hormnirun for kindly being her dissertation committees and making valuable comments and suggestions.

She really would like to thank with sincerest appreciation for her parents and family for the love, understanding, and encouragement, for friends of her for suggestions, helping, and cheering.

Lastly, it is her pleasure to thank all financial supports for the dissertation: the Petroleum and Petrochemical College: the Center of Petroleum Petrochemical and Advance Materials: the Conductive and Electroactive Polymers Research Unit of Chulalongkorn University; the Thailand Research Fund (TRF-RGJ grant no. PHD/0026/2553, TRF-BRG, TRF-RTA); and the Royal Thai Government Budget. This work would not have been carried out successfully without all these financial supports.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
]	ïtle Page	i
A	bstract (in English)	iii
A	abstract (in Thai)	iv
A	cknowledgements	V
]	able of Contents	vi
I	ist of Tables	ix
Ι	ist of Figures	xi
СНАР	TER	
Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
I	I THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE	
	SURVEY	9
	2.1 Theoretical Background	9
	2.2 Literature Survey	29
I	II SENSITIVE AND SELECTIVE RESPONSE OF POLY(PA	RA-
	PHENYLENE VINYLENE)/ZEOLITE Y-BASED SENSOR	RS
	TOWARDS KETONE VAPORS	41
	3.1 Abstract	41
	3.2 Introduction	41
	3.3 Experimental	43
	3.4 Results and Discussion	45
	3.5 Conclusions	50
	3.6 Acknowledgements	50
	3.7 References	50

- ÷

.

IV	POLY(p-PHENYLENE VINYLENE)/ZEOLITE Y COMP	OSITES	
	AS A KETONE VAPORS SENSOR: EFFECT OF ALKALINE		
	CATIONS	60	
	4.1 Abstract	60	
	4.2 Introduction	60	
	4.3 Experimental	62	
	4.4 Results and Discussion	65	
	4.5 Conclusions	71	
	4.6 Acknowledgements	72	
	4.7 References	72	

VEFFECT OF TRANSITION METALS ON THE ELECTRICAL
CONDUCTIVITY RESPONSE OF DPPV/ZEOLITE YCOMPOSITES TOWARDS KETONE VAPOR885.1 Abstract88

5.2 I	ntroduction	88
5.3 E	Experimental	90
5.4 F	Results and Discussion	93
5.5 0	Conclusions	102
5.6 A	Acknowledgements	103
5.7 R	References	103

\$

VI IMPROVING SELECTIVE PROPERTIES OF DPPV/(ZEOLITE Y, MORDENITE, 5A) AND RESPONSE TOWARDS CHEMICAL VAPORS 120

CHEMICAL VALOKS	
6.1 Abstract	120
6.2 Introduction	120
6.3 Experimental	122

CHAPTER			PAGE
	6.4 Results and Discussion		124
	6.5 Conclusions6.6 Acknowledgements	ġ.	133 134
	6.7 References		134
VII	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDA	TIONS	149
	REFERENCES		153
	CURRICULUM VITAE		166

.

LIST OF TABLE

TABLE

. .

.

PAGE

59

CHAPTER II

2.1	The chemical molecular, the PEL (ppm), and the IDHL	
	(ppm) of toxic chemical vapor.	9
2.2	Types of gas sensors based on detection method.	11
2.3	Gas and chemical sensors based on conducting polymers.	15
2.4	Sensing materials based on zeolites.	19

CHAPTER III

3.1 PPV, dPPV, and dPPV_zeolite Y composites with the electrical conductivity responses, sensitivities and temporal responses to acetone, MEK, and MIBK (25 °C, 1 atm, and the vapor concentration of 30000 ppm in N₂) and the specific surface areas.

CHAPTER IV

4.1	Structural, analytical data and electrical conductivity of	
	dPPV, zeolite Y, and dPPV_zeolite Y.	86
4.2	Electrical conductivity sensitivity of dPPV, zeolite Y, and	
	dPPV_zeolite Y exposed to acetone, MEK, and MIBK.	87

9

CHAPTER V

5.1	Analytical data and electrical conductivity of modified	
	zeolite Y.	115
5.2	The induction and recovery times of modified zeolite Y.	
	dPPV, and composites.	116
5.3	The response and sensitivity of modified zeolite Y, dPPV,	
	and composites.	118
5.4	Comparison with other materials.	119

CHAPTER VI

6.1	Analytical data and electrical conductivity of modified	
	zeolites.	146
6.2	The induction and recovery times of modified zeolites,	
	dPPV, and composites.	147
6.3	The response and sensitivity of modified porous materials,	
	dPPV, and composites.	148

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUF	RE	PAGE
	CHAPTER I	
1.1	Applications of conducting polymers.	1
1.2	PPV structure.	2
1.3	The percentages of energy usage in various fields as of 2012.	3
1.4	The schematic semiconducting gas sensor.	4
1.5	Materials used as sensor application. Note other groups	
	comprises of inorganic metal oxide, semiconductors, and	
	organic film.	5
1.6	Different types of reaction selectivity imposed by rigid pore	6
	structure of zeolite.	

CHAPTER II

2.1	Concentration levels of typical gas components concerned.	
	Star marks indicate the standards of the gases legislated in	
	Japan by (1) environmental standard, (2) ordinance on health	
	standards in the office, (3) offensive odor Control law, (4)	
	working environment measurement law, and (5) ordinance	
	by ministry of health, labour and welfare.	10
2.2	Typical conducting polymers in neutral forms: (a) poly(ace-	
	thylene); (b) poly(aniline); (c) poly(pyrrole); d) poly(thio	
	phene); (e) PEDOT; and (f) poly(p-phenylene vinylene).	22
2.3	The oxidation process or p-type doping of PPy.	23
2.4	The schematic of p-type doping in PPV.	24
2.5	Interaction between $Ppy/13X$ molecular sieve and SO_2 .	25
2.6	Four different zeolite structures (Sodalite, LTA, Faujasite,	
	and ZSM).	27

.

PAGE

FIGURE

2.7	The Comparison of the zeolite pore sizes with different	
	framework structures.	28
2.8	PPV structure as substituted by other selective groups: (a)	
	halogen group; and (b) dialkoxy group.	30
	CHAPTER III	
3.1	The morphology of dPPV, NH_4^+Y powders and dPPV_	

12

	$[90] \text{NH}_4^+\text{Y}$ composites at 10% v/v of dPPV: (a) dPPV at	
	magnification of 5000; (b) zeolite Y at magnification 5000; (c)	
	$dPPV_{[90]}NH_4^+Y$ at magnification of 2000; (d) $dPPV_{}$	
	$[90]NH_4^+Y$ at magnification of 5000.	54
3.2	Sensitivity of dPPV mixed with zeolite Y (Si/Al=5.1 and	
	NH_4^+) or NH_4^+Y at various contents: 0; 10; 20; 40; 60; 80; and	
	100% v/v when exposed to acetone vapor concentration of	
	30000 ppm in N_2 (at 25 °C and 1 atm).	55
3.3	Sensitivity values of dPPV, dPPV_[90]HY, dPPV_[90]NaY	
	and dPPV_[90] NH_4^+Y , when exposed to acetone, MEK, and	
	MIBK at 25 °C, 1 atm, and at the solvent concentration of	
	30000 ppm in N ₂ .	55
3.4	FTIR Interaction between NH_4^+Y and acetone at 25 °C, 1 atm	
	before, during, and after the exposure to acetone vapor at the	
	concentration of 30000 ppm in N_2 .	56
3.5	Schematic of the proposed mechanism of the acetone-zeolite	
	Υ.	56
3.6	FTIR Interaction between $dPPV_{[90]}NH_4^+Y$ and acetone at	
	25 °C, 1 atm before, during, and after the exposure to acetone	
	vapor at the concentration of 30000 ppm in N_2 .	57

Ŷ

•

.

PAGE

58

FIGURE

.

3.7 Schematic of the proposed mechanism of the acetonedPPV_[90]NH₄⁺Y.

CHAPTER IV

4.1	Schematic diagram of detection system.	77
4.2	The molecular structures of: (a) propanone (acetone); (b) buta-	
	2-one (MEK); and (c) 4-methylpentan-2-one (MIBK).	78
4.3	The morphology of dPPV powder, 80KNaY powders, and	
	dPPV_[90]80KNaY pellet and powder at 10% v/v of dPPV:	
	(a) dPPV at the magnification of 1000x; (b) 80KNaY at the	
	magnification 1000x; (c) dPPV_[90]80KNaY pellet at the	
	magnification of 5000x; and (d) dPPV_[90]80KNaY powder	
	at the magnification of 5000x.	79
4.4	Sensitivity of the NaY, 50MgNaY, 50CaNaY, and 50KNaY in	
	acetone exposure at 25 °C, 1 atm, at vapor concentration of	
	30000 ppm (3% v/v), and at the interval of 1200 sec.	80
4.5	Sensitivity of the NaY, 30KNaY, 50KNaY, and 80KNaY in	
	acetone exposure at 25 °C, 1 atm, at vapor concentration of	
	30000 ppm (3% v/v), and at the interval of 1200 sec.	80
4.7	The cyclic responses of 80KNaY (top) and dPPV[90]80KNaY	
	(bottom) during acetone exposure at the vapor concentration	
	of 30000 ppm (3% v/v), and at the interval of 1200 sec.	81
4.8	IR spectra of 80KNaY: (a) before acetone exposure; (b)	
	acetone exposure 1 st interval; (c) acetone exposure 2 nd	
	interval; (d) acetone exposure 3 rd interval; and (e) after	
	exposure at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (pressure at 1	
	atm and at $T=25^{\circ}C$).	84

PAGE

FIGURE

- 4.9 IR spectra of dPPV_[90]80KNaY: (a) before acetone exposure; (b) acetone exposure 1st interval; (c) acetone exposure 2nd interval; (d) acetone exposure 3rd interval; and (e) after exposure at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (pressure at 1 atm and at T=25°C).
- 4.10 Proposed mechanism for the interactions of: (a) 80KNaYacetone; and (b) dPPV_[90]80KNaY-acetone.

85

84

CHAPTER V

5.1 The morphology of dPPV, 80CuNaY powders and dPPV_[90]80CuNaY composites with 10% v/v of dPPV: (a) dPPV at magnification of 5000; (b) 80CuNaY at magnification 5000; and (d) dPPV_[90]80CuNaY at magnification of 5000.

5.2 The electron orbitals of Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} .

- 5.3 Electrical conductivity response of the NaY, 80CuNaY, 80NiNaY and 80FeNaY under acetone exposure at 25 °C, 1 atm, at vapor concentrations of 30000, 3000, 300, 30, and 10 ppm.
- 5.4 Electrical conductivity response of: (4a) 80CuNaY; (4b) dPPV;
 and (4c) dPPV_[90] 80CuNaY under acetone, MEK, and
 MIBK exposures at 25 °C, 1 atm, at vapor concentrations of
 30000, 3000, 300, 30, and 10 ppm.
- 5.5 FTIR spectra of 80CuNaY exposed to acetone at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (at pressure of 1 atm and at T=25°C).
 112

ø

108 109

110

111

- 5.6 FTIR spectra of dPPV_[90]80CuNaY exposed to acetone : vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (at pressure of 1 atm an T=25°C).
- 5.7 Interactions between acetone vapor and: (a) 80CuNaY; and(b) dPPV_[90]80CuNaY.
- 5.8 EFM-Phase images of: (a) dPPV_[90]80CuNaY before exposure; (b) during acetone exposure; and (c) degree of charges generated on dPPV_[90]80CuNaY under -8 V of tip bias across the whole region.

114

CHAPTER VI

ġ

- 6.1 The morphology of dPPV, 80CuNaY powders, 80CuNaMOR powder, dPPV_[90]80CuNaY composites with 10% v/v of dPPV and dPPV_[90] 80CuNaMOR composites with 10% v/v of dPPV: (a) dPPV at magnification of 5000; (b) dPPV at magnification of 10000; (c) 80CuNaY at magnification 10000; (d) dPPV_[90]80CuNaY at magnification of 10000;
 (e) 80CuNaMOR at magnification 10000; and (f) dPPV_[90]80CuNaMOR at magnification of 10000.
- Electrical conductivity response of the NaY, 80CuNaY,
 80CuNaMOR and 80CuNa5A under: (a) acetone; (b)
 methanol; and (c) n-heptane exposures at 25 °C, 1 atm, at
 vapor concentrations of 30000, 3000, 300, 30, and 10 ppm.

139

PAGE

112

113

•

6.3	The electrical conductivity responses of dPPV_[90]80CuNaY	
	to acetone and methanol exposures and dPPV [90]80	
	CuNaMOR to n-heptane exposure at 25 °C, 1 atm, at vapor	
	concentrations of 30000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 300 ppm, 30 ppm,	141
	and 10 ppm.	
6.4	The electrical conductivity response of sensing materials to	
	acetone, methanol, and n-heptane exposures at 25 °C, 1 atm,	
	at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm.	141
6.5	FTIR spectra of dPPV_[90]80CuNaY exposed to acetone at	
	vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (pressure at 1 atm and at	142
	T=25 °C).	
6.6	FTIR spectra of dPPV_[90]80CuNaY exposed to methanol	
	at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (pressure at 1 atm and	
	at T=25 °C).	142
6.7	FTIR spectra of dPPV_[90]80CuNaMOR exposed to n-	
	heptane at vapor concentration of 30000 ppm (pressure at 1	
	atm and at T=25 °C).	143
6.8	Mechanisms of the interactions between: (a) acetone vapor	
	and dPPV_[90]80CuNaY; (b) methanol vapor and dPPV_	
	[90]80CuNaY; (c) and n-heptane vapor and dPPV_	
	[90]80CuNaMOR.	144
6.9	EFM-Phase images of: (a) dPPV_[90]80CuNaY before	
	exposure; (b) during methanol exposure; and (c) degree of	
	charges generated on dPPV_[90] 80CuNaY under -8 V of tip	
	bias across the whole region.	145

PAGE