# CHAPTER III

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Synthesis and characterization of fluorophores

The synthesis of **F1** began with a Suzuki coupling reaction between methyl 4iodosalicylate and bis-(pinacolato)diboron to obtain boronate ester **1**. This boronate ester was then reacted with dibromofluorene to obtain **2** followed by a treatment with a saturated KOH aqueous solution to afford **F1** in 71% yield after acidification using 6M HCl.



# Scheme 3.1 Synthesis of F1

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 1 and 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and F1 in DMSO-*d6* are shown in Figure 3.1. In the spectra of 1, there are signals of tetramethyl dioxoboron and salicylate group that indicate a successful coupling of the two moieties. The absence of the methoxy group in F1 suggested that the hydrolysis of the methyl salicylate group was successful.



Figure 3.1 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of 1 and 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and F1 in DMSO-d6.

The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of F1 (Figure A.4, see appendix) shows the carbon signals in the alkyl and aromatic region around 13-31 and 110-172 ppm, respectively.

Moreover, the analysis by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry confirmed the structural composition of F1 as a mass of 661.60 was found for this compound whose calculated exact mass is 662.36

Compound **3** was obtained by Sonogashira coupling between 2,7-dibromo-9,9dioctyl-9H-fluorene and trimethylsilylacetylene. The desilylation to afford **4** was successfully performed using  $K_2CO_3$ . The Sonogashira coupling reaction between methyl 4-iodosalicylate and **4** gave rise to **5**, which was treated by saturated KOH to hydrolyze the ester group and produce **F2** in 87% yield (2 steps).



Scheme 3.2 Synthesis of F2

ATHY AN 2576 **เ**ลขทะเบียน าันเดือนบี...





Figure 3.2 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of 3 and 4 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Figure 3.2 shows <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of 3 and 4 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The spectrum of 3 indicates a successful coupling reaction as the signal of trimethylsilylacetylene was observed. The absence of this trimethylsilylacetylene signal at 0.28 ppm and the presence of terminal alkyne peak at 3.16 ppm indicate a successful hydrolysis of 4. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and F2 in DMSO-d6 are shown in Figure 3.3. The spectra of 5 display the signals of fluorine fragment (a-k) and salicylate group (l-p). The hydrolysis of the methyl group by saturated KOH was successful as the signal of methoxy group disappeared.



Figure 3.3 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and F2 in DMSO-d6.

2905392820

The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of F2 (Figure A.9, see appendix) indicates the presence of two acetylenic carbons resonate around 88-91 ppm, and the rest of the carbons signals show the alkyl and aromatic region around 13-31 and 110-172 ppm, respectively. Furthermore, the analysis by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry confirmed the structural composition of F1 as a mass of 709.67 was found for this compound whose calculated exact mass is 710.36

The fluorenone fragment in F3 was prepared by air-oxidation reaction of 2,7dibromo-9H-fluorene to obtain 6 in 97% yield. The Sonogashira coupling between 6 and trimethylsilylacetylene obtained 7, followed by a base-catalyzed hydrolysis afforded 8 in moderate yield of 68% (2 steps). Another Sonogashira coupling and ester hydrolysis sequence provided F3 in 60% yield (2 steps).



Scheme 3.3 Synthesis of F3



Figure 3.4 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of 6 7 and 8 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **6 7** and **8** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> are shown in **Figure 3.4**. NMR data of **6** are similar to that reported in literature [15]. The successful synthesis of **7** and **8** was evidenced by the signal of trimethylsilylacetylene in **7** and terminal alkyne in **8**. The Suzuki coupling reaction between fluorenone and methyl 4-iodosalicylate was successful resulting in both fluorenone and salicylate peaks in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **9**. The peak of methoxy group at 3.90 ppm is absent indicateing a complete base-catalyzed hydrolysis reaction to afford **F3** (**Figure 3.5**).



Figure 3.5 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of 3d and F3 in DMSO-d6

The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of **F3** (Figure A.15, see appendix) also indicates the presence of the ketone and carboxylic acid carbonyl groups at 191.3 and 170.5 ppm, respectively. Two acetylenic carbons resonate around 88-91 ppm, and the rest of the carbons show signals in the aromatic region.

In addition, the analysis by high-resolution mass spectrometry confirmed the structural composition of **F3** as a mass of 499.17 was observed for this compound whose calculated exact mass is 500.09

In an attempt to perform a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction on dibromo dicyanomethylene derivative, a model compound 10 which was prepared in 79% yield from 6 and malononitrile was treated with boronate ester 1 under basic solution on  $K_2CO_3$ . This Suzuki coupling reaction was not successful because a competing hydrolysis of the dicyanomethylene group took place. Therefore, the labile dicyanomethylene group was installed as the last step of the synthesis of F4.



**Scheme 3.4** Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction on dibromo dicyanomethylene derivative

The reaction between F3 and malononitrile in DMSO at 110  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 h produced dicyanomethylene F4 in 61% yield after precipitation in reaction medium.





A comparison between the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of **F3** and **F4** in DMSO-d6 are shown in Figure 3.6. Since dicyanomethylene is a stronger electron-withdrawing group than the ketone group, the substitution of dicyanomethylene at the 9-position should result in downfield shifts of the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals (**Figure 3.6**). Furthermore, the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in Figure A.17 (see appendix) also verify the successful transformation from **F3** to **F4** as two additional carbon signals at 158.3 and 78.4 ppm from the dicyanomethylene unit emerge, whereas the ketone peak at 191 ppm disappears.

Furthermore, the analysis by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry confirmed the structural composition of **F4** as a mass 550.26 of was found for this compound whose calculated exact mass is 548.10



Figure 3.6 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz) of F3 and F4 in DMSO-d6

3.2 Photophysical properties

The photophysical properties of the four fluorophores (F1-F4) in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 8.0 are shown in **Table 1** and **Figure 3.7**.

Cmpd.	Absorption		Emission		Challen al. (Ch. (and)	
	$oldsymbol{\lambda}_{ab}$ (nm)	Log <b>E</b>	$\lambda_{em}$ (nm)	<b>Ф</b> (%) <sup>o</sup>	– Stokes snift (nm)	
F1	323	4.60	430	2.7	107	
F2	330	4.55	420	4.4	90	
F3	304	4.59				
	354	4.46	-	-		
F4	323	4.29				
	378	3.96	-	-		

Table	3.1	Photophysical	properties	of F1-F4 in	PBS (10	mM r	oH 8 0)
able	2.1	THOLOPHYSICAL	properties		102(10	1111VI, F	JT 0.0J.

<sup>a</sup>Quinine sulfate in 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> ( $\Phi$  = 0.54) was used as the standard.



**Figure 3.7** Normalized absorption of **F1-F4** and emission spectra of **F1-F2** in 10 mM phosphate buffer saline pH 8.0

From Figure 3.7, F1 and F2 show similar photophysical properties with the maximum absorption peak around 323-330 nm and maximum emission peak around 420-430 nm. From the narrower Stokes shift in F2 compared to F1, it could be justified that the presence of ethynyl groups resulted in the excited state with a relatively similar geometry as in the ground state. Without the ethynyl group, the pi-systems of the salicylate and the fluorene groups in F1 may not be completely overlapped due to steric hindrance. Upon excitation by radiation, the excited state of F1 may have a different geometry such as those with the two photo active units on the same plane with full conjugation (Figure 3.8).



Ground state geometry



Figure 3.8 Proposed geometry change in F1

For absorption spectrum of **F3**, there are peaks at 304 and 354 nm which corresponded to the characteristic peaks for salicylic acid and fluorenone, respectively. This is also the case for this absorption spectrum of **F4** which exhibits to distinct peaks

at 323 and 378 nm, albeit in a more combined manner. These data suggested that there are more electronic communication between the fluorene and ethynylsalicylate in F4 as compared to F3. The fluorescence analysis revealed that both F3 and F4 are non-emissive materials, which may cause by several factors such as the n- $\pi$  PET from fluorenone part in F3 [18] and the ICT effect on the salicylate and the dicyanomethylene fluorene in F4 (Figure 3.9) [19, 20].



Figure 3.9 Proposed charge tranfers mechnism of F4

3.3 Metal ion sensor

To investigate the selectivity towards metal ions, the fluorescence responses of F1 and F2 (10  $\mu$ M in pH 8.0 PBS) in the presence of various metal ions (100  $\mu$ M) were observed. Data from Figure 3.10 and 3.11 suggested that F1 showed no particular selectivity towards any of the 17 metal ions, including Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Hg<sup>+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Cr<sup>3+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Au<sup>3+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>.



Figure 3.10 Fluorescence spectra of F1 (10  $\mu$ M) in the presence of 17 metal ions (100  $\mu$ M) in PBS (10 mM, pH 8.0)



Figure 3.11 Fluorescence quenching of F1 and (10  $\mu$ M) by various metal ions (100  $\mu$ M) in PBS (10 mM, pH 8.0)

However, the fluorescence signal of F2 can be selectively quenched by the addition of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  ions, with a slightly higher sensitivity for  $Fe^{2+}$  (Figure 3.12 and 3.13). This selectivity may result from the preferred complexation towards those two ions. [13].



Figure 3.12 Fluorescence spectra of F2 (10  $\mu$ M) in the presence of 17 metal ions(100  $\mu$ M). in PBS (10 mM, pH 8.0)



Figure 3.13 Fluorescence quenching of F2 and (10  $\mu M$ ) by various metal ions (100  $\mu M$ ) in PBS (10 mM, pH 8.0)

The Stern-Volmer plots between  $I_0/I$  of F2 with concentration of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  are showed in Figure 3.11. The Stern-Volmer constants (K<sub>sv</sub>) which are determined from slopes indicated that the quenching efficiencies are 2.14x10<sup>6</sup> and 6.31x10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup>, respectively (Figure 3.14).



Figure 3.14 The Stern-Volmer plots of fluorescence quenching of F2 (1  $\mu$ M) by Cu<sup>2+</sup>(left) and Fe<sup>2+</sup>(right)

## 3.4 Surfactant enhancement

Previous literatures [11, 13] have reported the addition of surfactant can enhance the fluorescence signal of this class of compounds. In this work, the cationic (CTAB and DTAB), anionic (SDS and SDC), and non-ionic (Triton X-100, Brij-58 and Tween-20) were selected for the study. The fluorescence responses of **F2** in the presence of various surfactants are shown in **Figure 3.15** and **Figure 3.16**. It was found

that the initial fluorescence intensity could be enhanced the most by the non-ionic surfactants, while the effects of both cationic and anionic surfactants were not prominent. It should be noted that the concentrations of all surfactants used in this set of experiments were below the critical micelle concentration (CMC) of each surfactants. Therefore, the signal enhancement may not be due to the micellar effect but it could result from the overall polarity change of the medium caused by the surfactants which facilitate the deaggregation of the fluorphore.



Figure 3.15 Fluorescence spectrum of F2 (10  $\mu$ M) towards various surfactant (40  $\mu$ M)



Figure 3.16 Enhancement efficient of various surfactants with F2

Next, this group of surfactants was incorporated into the sensing system and the quenching efficiencies  $(I_0/I)$  of F2 by Cu<sup>2+</sup> were measured (Figure 3.17). Of all nonionic surfactants, it is apparent that Triton X-100 provided the highest sensitivity, However, the effect of this surfactant on sensitivity was not significant as the  $I_0/I$  value was similar to that obtained from the system without surfactant (Figure 3.18).



Figure 3.17 Effects of various surfactants on fluorescent signal of F2 and its responses towards  $Cu^{21}$ 





Interestingly, the addition of Triton X-100 could improve the selectivity of F2 towards  $Cu^{2+}$  over  $Fe^{2+}$ . As shown in Figure 3.19, the use of Triton X-100 at 30-40  $\mu$ M could enrich the selectivity towards  $Cu^{2+}$  over  $Fe^{2+}$  from 1.1 to 6.0. This selectivity improvement may cause by the preferred complexation between the glycol units that is Lewis base in Triton X-100 and the more Lewis acidic  $Fe^{2+}$  ion. To demonstrate that the incorporation of this surfactant will not interfere with the selectivity towards other metal ions, another series of experiment were carried out (Figure 3.19 and Figure3.20)



**Figure 3.19** Quenching efficiencies of **F2** by Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> under various concentration of Triton X-100



**Figure 3.20** Fluorescence signals response of **F2** with Triton X-100 towards various metal ions



**Figure 3.21** Bar chart shows fluorescence quenching of **F2** with Triton X-100 towards various metal ions

The interference of other metal ions on the sensing of  $Cu^{2+}$  by F2 were also investigated. Figure 3.21 shows that other metal ions cannot interfere the quenching efficiency of F2, even when the concentration of foreign ions was 10-times higher than that of  $Cu^{2+}$ . A new Stern-Volmer plot of F2 with  $Cu^{2+}$  under the conditions with surfactant shows a quenching constant (K<sub>sv</sub>) of 4.08x10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> which corresponds to a detection limit at three-times-noise of 1.47 ppb (Figure 3.22)



**Figure 3.22** Fluorescence intensity ratio of F2 ( $I_0$ - $I/I_0$ - $I_M$ ) in presence Cu<sup>2+</sup> and another metal ions





3.5 Indication of Cu<sup>2+</sup>-F2 complexation; an EDTA test

The complexation between salicylate and  $Cu^{2+}$  has been well established [10-13]. In order to prove the fluorescence quenching in our system was caused by the complexation of the salicylate unit in **F2** with  $Cu^{2+}$ , a solution of disodium

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) which is a strong chelator for many transition metal ions was added. The recovery of fluorescence intensity of **F2** after the addition is a good indicator that our sensing mechanism involves a static energy transfer (**Figure 3.23**).

34



**Figure 3.24** Effect of EDTA on recovery fluorescence intensity, inset: photogenic of F2 with Triton X-100 (left), photogenic of F2 with Triton X-100 after Cu<sup>2+</sup> was added, and photogenic of addition of EDTA.

#### 3.6 Paper-based sensor

To demonstrate a simple analytical technique for  $Cu^{2+}$  using F2 [13, 21, 22], 1  $\mu$ L of  $Cu^{2+}$  solutions at various concentration (20-500  $\mu$ M) were dropped onto a piece of filter paper yielding spots of  $Cu^{2+}$  at 20-500 pmol, respectively. After the spots were allowed to dry at ambient temperature, solutions of F2 in THF at concentration between 25-100  $\mu$ M were dropped onto the same positions. Figure 3.24 (top) shows that F2 can be used as a naked-eye sensor for  $Cu^{2+}$  of 40 pmol when the concentration of F2 is 0.250  $\mu$ M (correspond to 0.250 nmol). However, this solid-state detector cannot be applied under a surfactant environment, therefore, a similar selectivity towards Fe<sup>2+</sup> was also observed (Figure 3.24 (bottom)).



**Figure 3.25** Photogenic of **F2** use as paper-based sensor for detection  $Cu^{2+}$  (top) and  $F2^{2+}$  (bottom).