

## รายการอ้างอิง

### ภาษาไทย

- Pangratios Papacosta. เส้นทางมหัศจรรย์. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 1. ความรู้เบื้องต้นเกี่ยวกับวิทยาศาสตร์และเทคโนโลยีใหม่. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์คุรุสภาลาดพร้าว, 2535.
- จตุรงค์ ธีระวัฒน์. องค์การระหว่างประเทศกับการสร้างกฎเกณฑ์และการประกันการปฏิบัติตามกฎเกณฑ์ในกฎหมายระหว่างประเทศ. รวมบทความทางวิชาการเนื่องในโอกาสครบรอบ 84 ปี ศาสตราจารย์สัญญา ธรรมศักดิ์ 2534 : 249 - 271.
- จอห์น กริฟฟริธส์. เลเซอร์แสงมหัศจรรย์. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 1. กรุงเทพมหานคร : บริษัทโรงพิมพ์ไทยวัฒนาพานิช จำกัด, 2526.
- จอห์น เทอร์เนอร์. การแข่งขันทางอาวุธ: หนทางสู่สงครามและความอดอยาก. แปลโดย ประยูร เขียววัฒนา, ระพีพันธ์ เขี่ยมลาภะและคณะ. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์ภาพพิมพ์, 2528.
- จุมพต สายสุนทร. กฎหมายระหว่างประเทศ. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 1. กรุงเทพมหานคร : บริษัท โรงพิมพ์เดือนตุลา จำกัด, 2539.
- เจลีว นครจันทร์. การนำกฎหมายมนุษยธรรมระหว่างประเทศมาประยุกต์ใช้กับการพิพาทด้วยอาวุธที่ไม่มีลักษณะระหว่างประเทศ: ศึกษาเฉพาะกรณีไทยและฟิลิปปินส์. วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาามหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ คณะนิติศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2535.
- ชัยวัฒน์ คุประตกุล. เลเซอร์. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 2. กรุงเทพมหานคร : พีรามิดการพิมพ์, 2540.
- ณัฐณี เกิดสุขคนธ์. ผลกระทบของกฎหมายมนุษยธรรมระหว่างประเทศเกี่ยวกับการห้ามใช้และการจำกัดการใช้ทุ่นระเบิดสังหารบุคคล. วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาามหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชานิติศาสตร์ คณะนิติศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2543.
- เบอร์นาร์ด โอเบอร์สัน. คณะกรรมการกาชาดระหว่างประเทศตอบคำถามของคุณ: กฎหมายมนุษยธรรมระหว่างประเทศ. แปลโดย ประสิทธิ์ เอกบุตร. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์เดือนตุลา, ม.ป.ป.
- เบอร์นาร์ด โอเบอร์สัน. คณะกรรมการกาชาดระหว่างประเทศตอบคำถามของคุณ. แปลโดย ศารทูล สันติวาสะ. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์เดือนตุลา, ม.ป.ป.
- ประสิทธิ์ เอกบุตร. กฎหมายระหว่างประเทศ: สนธิสัญญา. เล่มที่ 1. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 2. กรุงเทพมหานคร : B.J. Plate Processor, 2538.

พิเชษฐ ลิ่มสุวรรณ. เลเซอร์เทคโนโลยี. พิมพ์ครั้งที่ 1. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์คุรุสภาลาดพร้าว, 2544.

พิพัฒน์ เลหาวิช. การควบคุมและการลดอาวุธนิวเคลียร์ตามกฎหมายระหว่างประเทศ. วิทยานิพนธ์ปริญญาโทบริหารธุรกิจ คณะนิติศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์, 2530.

วีริยะ นามศิริพงศ์พันธุ์. กฎหมายและนโยบายของรัฐเกี่ยวกับคนพิการ. กรุงเทพมหานคร : โรงพิมพ์เด็อนตุลา, 2539.

ศารทูล สันติวาสะ. การประมวลกฎหมายจารีตประเพณีในกฎหมายระหว่างประเทศ. วารสารกฎหมายจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย 19,1(มกราคม 2542) : 179 - 210.

สำนักงานวิจัยและพัฒนาการทหารกลาโหม. เลเซอร์และการประยุกต์ใช้. เอกสารทางวิชาการ การวิจัยและพัฒนาการทหาร. กรุงเทพมหานคร : สำนักงานวิจัยและพัฒนาการทหาร กลาโหม, ม.ป.ป.

สุรชาติ บำรุงสุข. อาวุธนิวเคลียร์กับการเมืองโลก. กรุงเทพมหานคร : สถาบันความมั่นคงและนานาชาติ คณะรัฐศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย, 2529.

## ภาษาอังกฤษ

Alexander, J.B. Future War: Non – Lethal Weapons in Twenty – First – Century Warfare. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. New York : ST.Martin's Press, 1999.

Arkin, M.W. United States: U.S. Blinding laser weapons[Online]. Human Rights Watch Arms Projects 7,5 (May 1995) Available from: <http://www.org/reports/1995/Us2.htm>[2002, February 4]

Armaments, Disarmament and International Security Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. SIPRI YEARBOOK 1996. New York : Oxford University Press, 1996.

Aubert, M. The International Committee of the Red Cross and the problem of excessively injurious or indiscriminate weapons. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 477 - 497.

Carnahan, B.M. and Robertson, M. The Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons: A new Direction for International Humanitarian Law . American Journal of International Law 90,3 (July 1996) : 484 - 490.

- Carnahan, B.M. Unnecessary Suffering, the Red Cross and Tactical Laser Weapons [Online]. Loyala of Los Angeles International & Comparative Law Journal September 1996. Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2002, July 14]
- Chadwick, E. Self-Determination, Terrorism and the International Humanitarian Law of Armed Conflict. Netherlands : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1996.
- Clark, R.S. Methods of Warfare That Cause Unnecessary Suffering or are Inherently Indiscriminate: A memorial tribute to Howard Berman. California Western International Law Journal 28 (Spring 1998)
- Cook, J.W. III., McGowan, M.T., Fiely, D.P. Non – Lethal Weapons Technologies, Legalities, and Potential Policies [Online]. United States Air Force Academy Journal of Legal Studies (1994/1995) Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2003, June 24].
- Coupland, R., M. The SirUS Project: Towards a determination of which weapons cause “superfluous injury or unnecessary suffering”. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1997.
- Department of the Army Pamphlet. Memorandum of law: The Use of lasers as Antipersonnel weapons [Online]. Army Lawyer 3 (November 1988) Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2002, April 1]
- Detter, I. The Law of War. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. United Kingdom : Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Doswald – Beck, L. and Cauderay, G.C. The Development of New anti – personnel weapons. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 565 - 577.
- Doswald – Beck, L. Blinding weapons: Report of the meeting of experts convened by the International Committee of the Red Cross on the Battlefield Laser Weapons 1989 - 1991. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1993.
- Doswald – Beck, L. New Protocol on Blinding Laser Weapons. International Review of the Red Cross 312 (May – June 1996) : 272 - 298.

Fenrick,W.J. The Conventional Weapons Convention: A modest but useful treaty. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 498 - 509.

Goose,S. Human Rights Watch Questions : U.S. Laser Programs As Blinding Laser Weapons Ban Becomes International Law[Online]. Available from: <http://www.hrw.org/press1998/july/laser729.him> [2002,February 18]

Hecht,J. Solid-State High-Energy Laser Weapons. Optics & Photonics News (January 2003) : 42-47.

International Committee of the Red Cross. Arms Availability and the situation of Civilians in Armed Conflict. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1999.

International Committee of the Red Cross. Blinding Weapons : Gas 1918...Laser 1990s? Campaign Brochure, International Committee of the Red Cross, September 1994

International Committee of the Red Cross. Regional Delegation for East Asia: Ratification Packet for the 1980 Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons and its Protocols. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 2000.

International Committee of the Red Cross. Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross for the Review Conference of the 1980 United Nations Convention on Prohibitions or Restrictions on the use of certain conventional weapons which may be deemed to be excessively injurious or to have indiscriminate effects. International Review of the Red Cross 299 (March – April 1994) : 123 - 182.

International Committee of the Red Cross. The Medical Professor and the Effects of weapons: Report of the Symposium, Montreux Switzerland, 8 – 10 March, 1996. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1996.

International Committee of the Red Cross. The SIrUS Project and reviewing the legality of new weapons. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 2000.

International Committee of the Red Cross. Weapon that may Cause Unnecessary Suffering or have Indiscriminate Effects: Report on the work of experts. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1973.

- Kalshoven, F. and Zegveld, L. Constraints on the waging of war: An introduction to International Humanitarian Law. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 2001.
- Kalshoven, F. The Conventional Weapons Convention: Underlying Legal Principles. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 510 - 520.
- Kayne, D. and Solomon, S.A. The Second Review Conference of the 1980 Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons[Online]. The American Journal International Law October 2002. Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2003, February 20]
- Levie, H.S. Prohibitions and Restrictions on Use of Conventional Weapons[Online]. St. John's Law Review Summer 1994. Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2003, April 16]
- McCall, J.H.Jr. Blinded by the light: International Law and the Legality of Anti – Optic Laser Weapons. Cornell International Law Journal 30,1 (1997) : 1 - 43.
- McCoubrey, H. International Humanitarian Law: The Regulation of Armed Conflict. Great Britain : Billing & Sons Ltd., 1990.
- Meron, T. Symposium: The Hague Peace Conference: The Marten Clause, Principles of Humanity, and Dictates of Public Conscience[Online]. The American Journal International Law January 2000. Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2003, February 9]
- Meyrowitz, H. The Principle of Superfluous Injury of Unnecessary Suffering: From the Declaration of St.Peterburgs of 1868 to Additional Protocol I of 1977 . International Review of the Red Cross 299 (March – April 1994) : 98 - 122.
- Parks, W.H. Memorandum of Law: Travaux Preparatroies and Legal Analysis of Blinding Laser Weapons Protocol. Army Lawyer 33 (June 1997)
- Peters, A. Blinding Laser Weapons: New Limits on the Technology of Warfare. Loyala of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Journal 18(1998) : 733 - 766.
- Picket, J. Development and principles of International Humanitarian Law. Netherlands : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1985.

- Pilloud, C., Preux, J.D., Sandoz, Y., Zimmermann, B., Eberlin, P., Gasser, H.P., Wenger, C.F. and Junod, S.S. Commentary on the Additional Protocols of 8 June 1977 to the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949. Netherlands : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1987.
- Plattner, D. The 1980 Convention on conventional weapons and the applicability of rules governing means of combat in a non-international armed conflict. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 551 - 564.
- Prokosch, E. The Technology of Killing: A Military and Political History of Antipersonnel Weapons. London : Zed books, 1995.
- Roach, A. Article: Certain Conventional Weapons: Arms Control or Humanitarian Law? [Online] Military Law Review Summer 1984. Available from: <http://www.lexis-nexis.com> [2003, March 19]
- Roberts, A and Guelff, R. Documents on the Laws of War. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Oxford : Clarendon Press, 2000.
- Sandoz, Y. The Question of Prohibiting or Restricting the Use of Certain Conventional Weapons. International Review of the Red Cross 279 (November – December 1990) : 473 - 476.
- Sassoli, M and Bouvier, A. How does law protect in war: case, documents and teaching materials on contemporary practice in International Humanitarian Law. Geneva : International Committee of the Red Cross, 1999.
- Schindler, D. and Toman, J. The Law of Armed Conflicts: A Collection of Conventions, Resolutions and Other Documents. Dordrecht : Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 1988.
- Small, L.A. Blinding Laser Weapons: It is Time for the International Community to Take Off Its Blinders [Online]. Available from: [http://www.icltd.org/laser\\_weapons.htm](http://www.icltd.org/laser_weapons.htm) [2002, March 21]
- Strong, J.M. Blinding Laser Weapons and Protocol IV: Obscuring the Humanitarian Vision. Dickinson Journal of International Law 15 (Fall 1996)
- Ticehurst, R. The Marten Clause and the Laws of Armed Conflict. International Review of the Red Cross (1997) Available from: <http://www.icrc.org> [ 2004, February 22].

United Nations. Multilateral Treaties Deposited with the Secretary – General: Status as at 31 December 2000. Vol.II Part I, Chapters XIVIII and Part II.

Zockler,M.C. Commentary on Protocol IV on Blinding Laser Weapons. Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law (1998) : 333 - 340.

# ภาคผนวก



ภาคผนวก (ก)

CONVENTION ON PROHIBITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF CERTAIN  
CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS WHICH MAY BE DEEMED TO BE EXCESSIVELY  
INJURIOUS OR TO HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS 1980

**THE HIGH CONTRACTING PARTIES,**

**RECALLING** that every State has the duty, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, to refrain in its international relations from the threat or use of force against the sovereignty, territorial integrity or political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations.

**FURTHER RECALLING** the general principle of the protection of the civilian population against the effects of hostilities,

**BASING** themselves on the principle of international law that the right of the parties to an armed conflict to choose methods or means of warfare is not unlimited, and on the principle that prohibits the employment in armed conflicts of weapons, projectiles and material and methods of warfare of a nature to cause superfluous injury or unnecessary suffering,

**ALSO RECALLING** that it is prohibited to employ methods or means of warfare which are intended, or may be expected, to cause widespread, long-term and severe damage to the natural environment,

**CONFIRMING** their determination that in cases not covered by this Convention and its annexed Protocols or by other international agreements, the civilian population and the combatants shall at all times remain under the protection and authority of the principles of international law derived from established custom, from the principles of humanity and from the dictates of public conscience,

**DESIRING** to contribute to international detente, the ending of the arms race and the building of confidence among States, and hence to the realization of the aspiration of all peoples to live in peace,

**RECOGNIZING** the importance of pursuing every effort which may contribute to progress towards general and complete disarmament under strict and effective international control,

**REAFFIRMING** the need to continue the codification and progressive development of the rules of international law applicable in armed conflict,

**WISHING** to prohibit or restrict further the use of certain conventional weapons and believing that the positive results achieved in this area may facilitate the main talks on disarmament with a view to putting an end to the production, stockpiling and proliferation of such weapons,

**EMPHASIZING** the desirability that all States become parties to this Convention and its annexed Protocols, especially the militarily significant States,

**BEARING IN MIND** that the General Assembly of the United Nations and the United Nations Disarmament Commission may decide to examine the question of a possible broadening of the scope of the prohibitions and restrictions contained in this Convention and its annexed Protocols,

**FURTHER BEARING IN MIND** that the Committee on Disarmament may decide to consider the question of adopting further measures to prohibit or restrict the use of certain conventional weapons,

**HAVE AGREED AS FOLLOWS:**

#### **Article 1**

##### **Scope of application**

This Convention and its annexed Protocols shall apply in the situations referred to in Article 2 common to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 for the Protection of War Victims, including any situation described in paragraph 4 of Article 1 of Additional Protocol 1 to these Conventions.

## **Article 2**

### **Relations with other international agreements**

Nothing in this Convention or its annexed Protocols shall be interpreted as detracting from other obligations imposed upon the High Contracting Parties by international humanitarian law applicable in armed conflict.

## **Article 3**

### **Signature**

This Convention shall be open for signature by all States at United Nations Headquarters in New York for a period of twelve months from 10 April 1981.

## **Article 4**

### **Ratification, acceptance, approval or accession**

1. This Convention is subject to ratification, acceptance or approval by the Signatories. Any State which has not signed this Convention may accede to it.

2. The instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession shall be deposited with the Depositary.

3. Expressions of consent to be bound by any of the Protocols annexed to this Convention shall be optional for each State, provided that at the time of the deposit of its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of this Convention or of accession thereto, that State shall notify the Depositary of its consent to be bound by any two or more of these Protocols.

4. At any time after the deposit of its instrument of ratification, acceptance or approval of this Convention or of accession thereto, a State may notify the Depositary of its consent to be bound by any annexed Protocol by which it is not already bound.

5. Any Protocol by which a High Contracting Party is bound shall for that Party form an integral part of this Convention.

## **Article 5**

### **Entry into force**

1. This Convention shall enter into force six months after the date of deposit of the twentieth instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

2. For any State which deposits its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession after the date of the deposit of the twentieth instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, this Convention shall enter into force six months after the date on which that State has deposited its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

3. Each of the Protocols annexed to this Convention shall enter into force six months after the date by which twenty States have notified their consent to be bound by it in accordance with paragraph 3 or 4 of Article 4 of this Convention.

4. For any State which notifies its consent to be bound by a Protocol, annexed to this Convention after the date by which twenty States have notified their consent to be bound by it, the Protocol shall enter into force six months after the date on which that State has notified its consent so to be bound.

## **Article 6**

### **Dissemination**

The High Contracting Parties undertake, in time of peace as in time of armed conflict, to disseminate this Convention and those of its annexed Protocols by which they are bound as widely as possible in their respective countries and, in particular, to include the study thereof in their programs of military instruction, so that those instruments may become known to their armed forces.

## **Article 7**

### **Treaty relations upon entry into force of this Convention**

1. When one of the parties to a conflict is not bound by an annexed Protocol, the parties bound by this convention and that annexed Protocol shall remain bound by them in their mutual relations.

2. Any High contracting Party shall be bound by this Convention and any Protocol annexed thereto which is in force for it, in any situation contemplated by Article 1, in relation to any State which is not party to this Convention or bound by the relevant annexed Protocol, if the latter accepts and applies this convention or the relevant Protocol, and so notifies the Depositary.

3. The Depositary shall immediately inform the High Contracting Parties concerned of any notification received under paragraph 2 of this Article.

4. This Convention, and the annexed Protocols by which a High Contracting Party is bound, shall apply with respect to an armed conflict against that High Contracting Party of the type referred to in Article 1, paragraph 4, of Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 for the Protection of War Victims:

(a) where the High Contracting Party is also a party to Additional Protocol I and an authority referred to in Article 96, paragraph 3, of that Protocol has undertaken to apply the Geneva Conventions and Additional Protocol I in accordance with Article 96, paragraph 3, of the said Protocol, and undertakes to apply this Convention and the relevant annexed Protocols in relation to that conflict; or

(b) where the High Contracting Party is not a party to Additional Protocol I and an authority of the type referred to in subparagraph (a) above accepts and applies the obligations of the Geneva Conventions and of this Convention and the relevant annexed Protocols in relation to that conflict. Such an acceptance and application shall have in relation to that conflict the following effects:

(i) the Geneva Conventions and this Convention and its relevant annexed Protocols are brought into force for the parties to the conflict with immediate effect;

(ii) the said authority assumes the same rights and obligations as those which have been assumed by a High Contracting Party to the Geneva Conventions, this Convention and its relevant annexed Protocols; and

(iii) the Geneva Conventions, this Convention and its relevant annexed Protocols are equally binding upon all parties to the conflict.

The High Contracting Party and the authority may also agree to accept and apply the obligations of Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions on a reciprocal basis.

## **Article 8**

### **Review and amendments**

1. (a) At any time after the entry into force of this Convention any High Contracting Party may propose amendments to this Convention or any annexed Protocol by which it is bound. Any proposal for an amendment shall be communicated to the Depositary, who shall notify it to all the High Contracting Parties and shall seek their views on whether a conference should be convened to consider the proposal. If a majority, that shall not be less than eighteen of the High Contracting Parties so agree, he shall promptly convene a conference to which all High Contracting Parties shall be invited. States not parties to this convention shall be invited to the conference as observers.

(b) Such a conference may agree upon amendments which shall be adopted and shall enter into force in the same manner as this Convention and the annexed Protocols, provided that amendments to this Convention may be adopted only by the High contracting Parties and that amendments to a specific annexed Protocol may be adopted only by the High Contracting Parties which are bound by that Protocol.

2. (a) At any time after the entry into force of this Convention any High Contracting Party may propose additional protocols relating to other categories of conventional weapons not covered by the existing annexed Protocols. Any such proposal for an additional protocol shall be communicated to the Depositary, who shall notify it to all the High Contracting Parties in accordance with subparagraph 1(a) of this Article. If a majority, that shall not be less than eighteen of the High Contracting Parties so agree, the Depositary shall promptly convene a conference to which all States shall be invited.

(b) Such a conference may agree, with the full participation of all States represented at the conference, upon additional protocols which shall be adopted in the

same manner as this Convention, shall be annexed thereto and shall enter into force as provided in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Article 5 of this Convention.

3. (a) If, after a period of ten years following the entry into force of this Convention, no conference has been convened in accordance with subparagraph 1(a) or 2(a) of this Article, any High Contracting Party may request the Depositary to convene a conference to which all High Contracting Parties shall be invited to review the scope and operation of this Convention and the Protocols annexed thereto and to consider any proposal for amendments of this Convention or of the existing Protocols. States not parties to this Convention shall be invited as observers to the conference. The conference may agree upon amendments which shall be adopted and enter into force in accordance with subparagraph 1(b) above.

(b) At such conference consideration may also be given to any proposal for additional protocols relating to other categories of conventional weapons not covered by the existing annexed Protocols. All States represented at the conference may participate fully in such consideration. Any additional protocols shall be adopted in the same manner as this Convention, shall be annexed thereto and shall enter into force as provided in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Article 5 of this Convention.

(c) Such a conference may consider whether provision should be made for the convening of a further conference at the request of any High Contracting Party if, after a similar period to that referred to in subparagraph 3(a) of this Article, no conference has been convened in accordance with subparagraph 1(a) or 2(a) of this Article.

## Article 9

### Denunciation

1. Any High Contracting Party may denounce this Convention or any of its annexed Protocols by so notifying the Depositary.

2. Any such denunciation shall only take effect one year after receipt by the Depositary of the notification of denunciation. If, however, on the expiry of that year the denouncing High Contracting Party is engaged in one of the situations referred to in Article 1, the Party shall continue to be bound by the obligations of this Convention and

of the Relevant annexed Protocols until the end of the armed conflict or occupation and, in any case, until the termination of operations connected with the final release, repatriation or re-establishment of the person protected by the rules of international law applicable in armed conflict, and in the case of any annexed Protocol containing provisions concerning situations in which peace-keeping, observation or similar functions are performed by United Nations forces or missions in the area concerned, until the termination of those functions.

3. Any denunciation of this Convention shall be considered as also applying to all annexed protocols by which the denouncing High Contracting Party is bound.

4. Any denunciation shall have effect only in respect of the denouncing High Contracting Party.

5. Any denunciation shall not affect the obligations already incurred, by reason of an armed conflict, under this Convention and its annexed Protocols by such denouncing High Contracting Party in respect of any act committed before this denunciation becomes effective.

## **Article 10**

### **Depositary**

1. The Secretary-General of the United Nations shall be the Depositary of this Convention and of its annexed Protocols.

2. In addition to his usual functions, the Depositary shall inform all States of:

(a) signatures affixed to this Convention under Article 3;

(b) deposits of instruments of ratification, acceptance or approval of or accession to this Convention deposited under Article 4;

(c) notifications of consent to be bound by annexed Protocols under Article 4;

(d) the dates of entry into force of this Convention and of each of its annexed Protocols under Article 5; and

(e) notifications of denunciation received under Article 9, and their effective date.



**Article 11****Authentic texts**

The original of this Convention with the annexed Protocols, of which the Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish texts are equally authentic, shall be deposited with the Depositary, who shall transmit certified true copies thereof to all States.

**ภาคผนวก (ข)****Protocol IV on Blinding Laser Weapons 1995****Article 1**

It is prohibited to employ laser weapons specifically designed, as their sole combat function or as one of their combat functions, to cause permanent blindness to unenhanced vision, that is to the naked eye or to the eye with corrective eyesight devices. The High Contracting Parties shall not transfer such weapons to any State or non-State entity.

**Article 2**

In the employment of laser systems, the High Contracting Parties shall take all feasible precautions to avoid the incidence of permanent blindness to unenhanced vision. Such precautions shall include training of their armed forces and other practical measures.

**Article 3**

Blinding as an incidental or collateral effect of the legitimate military employment of laser systems, including laser systems used against optical equipment, is not covered by the prohibition of this Protocol.

**Article 4**

For the purpose of this protocol "permanent blindness" means irreversible and uncorrectable loss of vision which is seriously disabling with no prospect of recovery. Serious disability is equivalent to visual acuity of less than 20/200 Snellen measured using both eyes.

## ประวัติผู้เขียนวิทยานิพนธ์

นางสาวนฤมล ช้างบุญมี เกิดเมื่อวันที่ 8 มกราคม พุทธศักราช 2522 สำเร็จการศึกษา  
ระดับมัธยมศึกษาจากโรงเรียนสาธิตสถาบันราชภัฏนครปฐม จังหวัดนครปฐม ระดับปริญญาตรี  
นิติศาสตร์บัณฑิตจากมหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์ ปีการศึกษา 2546 และได้รับประกาศนียบัตรวิชา  
ว่าความจากสำนักฝึกอบรมทนายความ สภาทนายความ รุ่นที่ 16