CHAPTER IV

FOURILR TRANSFORMS

The materials of this chapter are drawn from references [5], [8], [9], [13].

4.1.1 Definition: Let $f \in L(R^n)$. The function \hat{f} given by

(1)
$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

is called the Fourier transform of f.

We note that \hat{f} is everywhere defined since the integral (1) is absolutely convergent for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed,

$$|\hat{f}(x)| \le \frac{1}{(2\Pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| dy = (2\Pi)^{-n/2} ||f||_1,$$

so f is bounded.

4.1.2 Lemma. If $f(x) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n)$ where each $f_i(x_i) \in L(R)$, then $\hat{f}(x) = \hat{f}_1(x_1) \cdots \hat{f}_n(x_n)$.

Proof:
$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1(y_1) \cdots f_n(y_n) e^{i(x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | \mathbb{I})^{n/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) e^{ix_1 y_1} \cdots f_n(y_n) e^{ix_n y_n} dy_1 \cdots dy_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | \mathbb{I})^{n/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(y_1) e^{ix_1 y_1} dy_1 \cdots \frac{1}{(2 | \mathbb{I})^{n/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(y_n) e^{ix_n y_n} dy_n$$

$$= \hat{f}_1(x_1) \cdots \hat{f}_n(x_n).$$

The Fourier transform is a linear transformation, since for any scalar a and b

$$\widehat{(af + bg)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2 || n/2)} (af + bg)(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 || n/2)} (af(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} + bg(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)}) dy$$

$$= \frac{a}{(2 || n/2)} f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy + \frac{b}{(2 || n/2)} g(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= \widehat{af}(x) + b\widehat{g}(x) \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$
Thus $\widehat{(af + bg)} = \widehat{af} + b\widehat{g}.$

4.1.3 Lemma. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f_a(x) = f(x + a)$. Then,

$$\hat{f}_{a}(x) = e^{-i(x \cdot a)} \hat{f}(x) .$$

$$\underline{Proof:} \quad \hat{f}_{a}(x) = \frac{1}{(2 | |)^{n/2}} \int_{a}^{f} f_{a}(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | |)^{n/2}} \int_{a}^{f} f(y + a) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy .$$

Letting y + a = z, so y = z - a and dy = dz, we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | |)^{n/2}} \int_{f(z)e}^{i[x \cdot (z - a)]} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | |)^{n/2}} \int_{f(z)e}^{f(z)e} \int_{e}^{-i(x \cdot a)} i(x \cdot z) dz$$

$$= e^{-i(x \cdot a)} \hat{f}(x) \cdot e^{-i(x \cdot a)} \hat{f}(x$$

4.1.4 Lemma. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $g(x) = e^{i(x \cdot a)} f(x)$. Then,

$$\hat{g}(x) = \hat{f}(x + a).$$

Proof:
$$\hat{g}(x) = \frac{1}{(2 | \hat{y})^{n/2}} \int_{g(y)e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2 | \hat{y})^{n/2}} e^{i(y \cdot a)} f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \left[f(y) e^{i[(x + a) \cdot y]} dy \right]$$

$$f(x + a)$$
.

4.1.5 Lemma. Let $c \neq 0$ be a real scalar and let $f_c(x) = f(cx)$.

Then,
$$\hat{f}_c(x) = \frac{1}{|c|^n} f(\frac{x}{c})$$
.

Proof:
$$\hat{f}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\mathbb{T})^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{y})} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\mathbb{T})^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{y})} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y}.$$

Using the change of variable z = cy, so $dz = |c|^n dy$ we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{c}(x) = \frac{1}{|c|^{n}(2||)^{n/2}} \mathbf{f}(z) e^{\mathbf{i}(\frac{x}{c}z)} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{|c|^n} \hat{f}(\frac{x}{c}).$$

We recall that a function f is said to be even if f(-x) = f(x), and is said to be odd if f(-x) = -f(x). Moreover, any f can be decomposed into its even and odd parts; namely

$$f(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}$$

4.1.6 Lemma. The Fourier transform of an even function is even.

The Fourier transform of an odd function is odd.

Proof:
$$\hat{f}(-x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int f(y) e^{i(-x \cdot y)} dy$$

Let
$$y = -z$$

 $\hat{f}(-x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} f(-z)e^{i(x \cdot z)} dz$.

If f is even, then

$$\hat{f}(-x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{z}^{z} f(z) e^{i(x \cdot z)} dz = \hat{f}(x)$$
.

If f is odd, then

$$\hat{f}(-x) = \frac{1}{(z||)^{n/2}} \begin{bmatrix} -f(z) \end{bmatrix} e^{i(x \cdot z)} dz = -\hat{f}(x) .$$

4.1.7 Example. Let χ_k be the characteristic function of

the interval (-k,k). Then
$$_{+k}$$

$$\hat{\chi}_{k}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \left(e^{ixy} dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{ixy} \right) - e^{ixy} - e^{-ixk}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{e^{ixk} - e^{-ixk}}{ix} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\sin kx}{x}.$$

Thus $\hat{\chi}_k$ is a bounded continuous function which is not integrable on R, though it belongs to $L^p(R)$ for all p>1. Moreover $\hat{\chi}_k(x)\longrightarrow 0$ as $|x|\to\infty$.

4.1.8 Example. Let $\chi_{a,b}$ be the characteristic function of the interval (a,b). Let $c=\frac{a+b}{2}$ and $k=\frac{b-a}{2}$, we have that $\chi_{k}(x-c)=\chi_{k}(x-\frac{a+b}{2})$.

Consider $-k \le y \le k$, where $y = x - \frac{a+b}{2}$, i.e.

$$-\frac{b-a}{2} \le x - \frac{a+b}{2} \le \frac{b-a}{2}$$

$$\frac{a+b}{2} - \frac{b-a}{2} \le x \le \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{b-a}{2}$$

therefore $\chi_{a,b}(x) = \chi_k(x-c)$.

We obtain by Example (4.1.7) and Lemma (4.1.3), that

$$\widehat{\chi}_{a,b}(x) = \widehat{\chi}_{k}(x-c) = e^{icx} \widehat{\chi}_{k}(x)$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \frac{\sin kx}{x} e^{icx}.$$

We note again that $\hat{\chi}_{a,b}(x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. The next Theorem shows that this is a general property of Fourier transforms of integrable functions.

4.1.9 Theorem. (Riemann - Lebesgue) If $f \in L(R^n)$ then \hat{f} is bounded and uniformly continuous : moreover,

(a)
$$\hat{f}(x) \longrightarrow 0$$
 as $|x| \longrightarrow \infty$.

<u>Proof:</u> As we saw earlier, $|\hat{f}(x)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n} ||f||_1$, so \hat{f} is a bounded function.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $h = (h_1, ..., h_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\hat{f}(x + h) - \hat{f}(x)| = |(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(y)} [e^{i(x + h) \cdot y}] - e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy|$ $= |(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(y)} [e^{i(x \cdot y)}] [e^{i(h \cdot y)} - 1] dy|$ $\leq \int_{f(y)} |e^{i(h \cdot y)} - 1| dy$ $= \int_{f(y)} + \int_{f(y)} = I_1 + I_2 \cdot I_2 \cdot I_3 + I_4 \cdot I_4 \cdot I_5 = I_1 + I_4 \cdot I_4 \cdot I_5 = I_4 + I_4 \cdot I_5 \cdot I_5 = I_5 + I_5 \cdot I_5 = I_5 + I_5 \cdot I_5 = I_5 \cdot I_5 = I_5 \cdot I_5 \cdot I_$

Now, $I_2 \le 2 \int |f(y)| dy < \epsilon$ if M is large enough (but fixed), since f is integrable.

On the other hand, if $|y| \le N$ then $|e^{i(h \cdot y)} - 1| \to 0$ as $|h| \to 0$, so by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem (2.35)

 $I_1 < \epsilon$ if |h| is small enough.

Thus f is uniformly continuous.

Finally we prove (a) in a series of steps.

- (1) If f = g + h, where g satisfies (a) and $\|h\|_1$ is arbitrarily small, then f satisfies (a), since $\hat{f} = \hat{g} + \hat{h}$, $\hat{g}(x) \longrightarrow 0$ as $\|x\| \longrightarrow \infty$, and $\|\hat{h}(x)\| \leqslant (2\Pi)^{-n} \|h\|_1$ is small.
- (2) Characteristic functions of 1-cells (intervals) satisfy(a), as we noted in Example (4.1.8), above.
- (3) Characteristic functions of n-cells $a_i \le x_i \le b_i$, i = 1, ..., n, satisfy (a). This follows directly from step (2) and Lemma(4.1.2).
- (4) Simple functions, i.e. finite linear combinations of functions in step (3) satisfy (a).

(5) Simple functions are dense in $L(R^n)$ [13:P.67], so by step(1), we are done.

In view of the presence of the factor $(2 \overline{\mathbb{I}})^{-n/2}$ in the definition of Fourier transform, it is convenient to normalize accordingly to the definition of convolution. So if $f,g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we set $h(x) = (f * g)(x) = (2 \overline{\mathbb{I}})^{-n/2} \int f(y)g(x-y) dy.$ We know that $h \in L$ also, hence h exists.

The next theorem illustrates further the relationship between convolution and multiplication of functions.

4.1.10 Theorem. Let $f,g \in L(R^n)$. If h = f*g then $\hat{h} = \hat{f}\hat{g}$.

Proof:
$$\hat{h}(x) = (2II)^{-n/2} \int h(y) e^{i(x,y)} dy$$

= $(2II)^{-n/2} \int f(z)g(y-z) dz e^{i(x,y)} dy$

exists for every x. Since

$$\begin{split} \int & \left[\int |f(z)g(y-z)e^{i(x,y)} |dy \right] dz = \int \left| f(z) ||g(y-z)| dy dz \right. \\ & = \int |f(z)| dz \int |g(y-z)| dy = \left\| f \right\|_1 \|g\|_1 < + \infty \,, \\ \text{and } e^{i(x,y)} = e^{i(x,y)} e^{i(x,z)} \,. \text{ We obtain by the Fubini's} \end{split}$$

theorem that

$$\hat{h}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(z)g(y-z)e^{i(x,y)} dz} dy \right] dy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(z)g(y-z)e^{i(x,y)} dy} dy \right] dz$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{g(y-z)e^{i(x,y)} dy} dy \right] f(z)e^{i(x,y)} dz$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(z)e^{i(x,z)} dz} dz (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{g(y-z)e^{i(x,y)} dy} dy$$

$$= \hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x) \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

4.1.11 Example. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < k \le h$. Consider the "trapezoidal function" $\chi_{h,k}$ defined as follows: $\chi_{h,k}$ is even, and

$$\chi_{h,k}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x \le h-k \\ 0 & \text{if } x > h+k \\ \frac{h+k-x}{2k} & \text{if } x \in (h-k, h+k) \end{cases}$$

We shall shows that this function is the convolution of the characteristic functions $\chi_{\rm h}$ and $\chi_{\rm k}$.

$$(\chi_{h}^{*}\chi_{k}^{*})(x) = (2||)^{-1/2} \begin{cases} \chi_{h}(t) \chi_{k}^{*}(x-t) dt \\ = (2||)^{-1/2} \begin{cases} \chi_{h}(x-t) dt \end{cases}$$

Since $-k \leqslant x-t \leqslant k$, $x-k \leqslant t \leqslant x+k$. Therefore

$$(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{h}^{h} \chi_{k}(x-t)dt = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{h}^{h} \chi_{x-k,x+k}(t)dt.$$

If $0 \le x \le h-k$ then $x+k \le h$, $-k \le x-k$. Therefore

=
$$(2\pi)^{-1/2}(x+k-x+k) = \int_{\pi}^{2} k = \int_{\pi}^{2} k \chi_{h,k}(x),$$

since $\gamma_{h,k}(x) = 1$ whenever $0 \le x \le h-k$.

If $h+k \leqslant x$ then $h \leqslant x-k$. Therefore

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{21}} \int_{-h}^{h} \chi_{x-k,x+k}(t) dt = 0 = \int_{1}^{2} k \chi_{h,k}(x),$$

since $\chi_{h,k}(x) = 0$ whenever $h+k \leq x$.

If h-k < x < h+k then h-2k < x-k < h, h < x+k < h+2k.

Since
$$k \leqslant h$$
, therefore $-h \leqslant h-2k$.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{211}} \int_{-h}^{h} \chi_{x-k,x+k}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{211}} \int_{-h}^{+h} \chi_{-k} \int_{h}^{+h} \int_{-h}^{+h} \frac{1}{\sqrt{211}} \int_{h}^{+h+k-x} \frac{1}{2k} \int_{-h}^{2} k \chi_{h,k}(x).$$

Hence
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-h}^{h} \chi_{k}(x-t) dt = \int_{1}^{2} k \chi_{h,k}(x).$$

so,
$$\chi_{h,k} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\pi}{2} \chi_{h} \chi_{k}$$
 and $\hat{\chi}_{h,k} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{\pi}{2} \hat{\chi}_{h} \hat{\chi}_{k}$.

From Example (4.1.7), it follows that

(b)
$$\hat{\chi}_{h,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\frac{\sinh x \sinh x}{x}}, \quad 0 < k \le h.$$

In particular, if k = h, we have that "triangular function" $\chi_{h,h}(x) = (1 - \frac{|x|}{2h})^{+}$

where $f^{+}(x) = \max [f(x), 0]$ is the positive part of f(x),

so, from formula (b), we have

$$\hat{\chi}_{h,h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \sqrt{\frac{2}{h}} \frac{\sin^2 hx}{x^2}$$
, $h > 0$.

We note that $\hat{\chi}_{h,h}(x)$ is non-negative and integrable on R.

4.1.12 Example. Let
$$H(x) = e^{-|x|}$$
, $-\infty < x < \infty$. Then
$$\hat{H}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y} e^{ixy} dy + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{y} e^{ixy} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y(1-ix)} dy + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{y(1+ix)} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \left(\frac{1}{1-ix} + \frac{1}{1+ix} \right) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} e^{-y(1-ix)} dy$$

Moreover, if $\xi > 0$ and $H_{\xi}(x) = H(\xi x) = e^{-\xi |x|}$, then by Lemma(4.1.5), $\hat{H}_{\xi}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 + x^2}$ which is the <u>Poisson Kernel</u> in R.

4.1.13 Theorem. Let $f,g \in L(R^n)$ then

$$\int_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}} = \int_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}.$$
Proof: Since
$$\iint_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})g(\mathbf{x})e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y})}|d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\iiint_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})|g(\mathbf{x})|d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}} \qquad \iint_{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})|d\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})|d\mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \|g\|_{1}\|f\|_{1} < +\infty.$$

We have by the Fubini's theorem that

$$\int \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = (2 | \mathbf{f})^{-n/2} \int \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= (2 | \mathbf{f})^{-n/2} \int \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \int \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}.$$

4.1.14 Definition: f is a radial function if $f(x) = f(|x|), (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Equivalently, a radial function is invariant under all rotations about the origin. For example $x \mapsto x^a$, $x \mapsto e^{|x|^a}$ are all radial functions of R^n into R.

- 4.1.15 <u>Definition</u>: A linear transformation of vector space V over the real numbers into itself is called an orthogonal transformation if and only if |Tx| = |x| for all $x \in V$.
- 4.1.16 <u>Lemma</u>. A function f is radial if and only if for any orthogonal transformations T of \mathbb{R}^n into itself, we have f(Tx) = f(x) ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$).

Proof: Let T be any orthogonal transformation. Then

$$|Tx| = |x|$$
 for all x.

Assume that f is radial; i.e. f(x) = f(|x|), and f(Tx) = f(|Tx|).

Then f(Tx) = f(|Tx|) = f(|x|) = f(x). Conversely, assume that f(Tx) = f(x) for all orthogonal transformation T. Since any rotation R about the origin is a linear transformation such that |Rx| = |x|.

Then R is an orthogonal transformation, and hence f is radial.

4.1.17 Theorem. The Fourier transform of a radial function is radial.

<u>Proof</u>: For any orthogonal transformation T, we have by Lemma(4.1.16) that

$$f(Tx) = (2\pi)^{n/2} \int f(Ty) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= (2\pi)^{n/2} \int f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

$$= f(x),$$

so f is also radial.

4.2 The Fourier Inversion Formula

If $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\hat{f}(y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int f(x)e^{i(x \cdot y)} dx$ is it Fourier transform, we seek a representation of f by means of its Fourier transform

(a)
$$(2||)^{-n/2} \int_{\hat{f}(y)e^{-i(x,y)} dy}^{\hat{f}(y)e^{-i(x,y)} dy}$$
 (Inversion Formula).

However f need not be integrable (Example 4.1.7) so the integral (a) is not convergent in general. For this reason we introduc now the concept of summability of integrals.

Let a(u), $0 \le u < \infty$, be a locally integrable function so that the "partial integrals"

$$A(w) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{0}^{w} a(u)du < +\infty$$
.

But $(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(u) du = \lim_{w \to \infty} A(w)$ need not be finite;

in other words, the integral need not converge.

Consider a function Z(u), $0 \leqslant u < \infty$, satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) Z is of bounded variation on $[0,\infty)$,
- 2) $Z(u) \longrightarrow 0$ as $u \to \infty$,
- 3) Z is continuous at u = 0 and Z(0) = 1.

4.2.1 Definition. The integral $\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(u) du$ is said to be

summable-Z to a value I if the integrals

$$I_{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2l}} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(u)Z(su)du \text{ converge for all } s > 0$$

and
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_{\epsilon} = I_{\bullet}$$

4.2.2 Example. Let
$$\Im(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } u > 1 \end{cases}$$

Clearly Z satisfies the previous three conditions: moreover

$$I_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \int_0^\infty a(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{Z}(s\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{211}} \int_0^s a(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}.$$

Thus, in this case, summability corresponds to ordinary convergence.

4.2.3 Theorem. Let a be locally integrable function on $[0,\infty)$. If the integral $(2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(u)du$ converges to a value I, then it is summable-Z to the value I.

Proof: We must show that $I_s = (21)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty a(u)Z(su)du$ exists,

and that $I_{\varepsilon} \to I$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. By our assumption, the function $A(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Pi}} \int_{0}^{u} a(v) dv < +\infty$

and $A(u) \longrightarrow I$ as $u \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$A(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}a(u) \text{ almost everywhere.}$$
Then
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0}^{w} a(u)Z(su)du = \int_{0}^{w} Z(su)A(u)du = \int_{0}^{w} Z(su)dA(u).$$

Integrating by parts, we have that

$$\int_{0}^{W} Z(su)dA(u) = Z(sw)A(w) - \int_{0}^{W} A(u)dZ(su).$$

We denote by V the total variation of Z(u) on $[0, \infty)$.

Let
$$|A(u)| \leq M$$
, $\|A(u)\| dZ(su)\| \leq \int_{0}^{W} |A(u)| |dZ(su)| \leq M \|dZ(su)\|$

 $\text{In the } (0) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}$

since Z(u) and Z(su) have the same total variation on $[0,\infty)$. Letting $w \to \infty$, we obtain

$$I_{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \begin{cases} \infty \\ \alpha(u)Z(su)du = -\int_{0}^{\infty} A(u)dZ(su), \end{cases}$$

since $Z(sw) \longrightarrow 0$ and $A(w) \longrightarrow I$. Hence I_s exists. If we set A(u) = I + h(u), so $h(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$I_{s} = -I \int_{0}^{\infty} dZ(su) - \int_{0}^{\infty} h(u)dZ(su)$$

$$= -I[Z(\infty)-Z(0)] - \int_{0}^{\infty} h(u)dZ(su)$$

$$= I - \int_{0}^{\infty} h(u)dZ(su)$$

and it remains to show that this last integral tends to zero. as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

By our assumption $|h(u)| = |A(u) - I| \leqslant |A(u)| + |I| = N$ and for any given $\eta > 0$, there exists u_0 such that $|h(u)| < \eta$ whenever $u \geqslant u_0$. Let

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} h(u) dZ(su) = \int_{0}^{u_0} + \int_{u_0}^{\infty} = I_1 + I_2.$$

Now, $|I_2|$ < ηV is arbitrarily small, for all s. On the other hand,

 $|I_1| \leq N(\text{variation of } Z(\text{su}) \text{ on } [0, u_0])$ $= N(\text{variation of } Z(\text{u}) \text{ on } [0, \text{su}_0]) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,$ nce Z(u) is of bounded variation and continuous at u = 0,

hence its variation is also continuous.

We now extend the concept of summability to multiple integrals. Let a(u) be a locally integrable function of $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

and
$$\int a(u)du = \int ... \int a(u_1...,u_n)du_1...du_n$$
.

Here it is most natural to consider partial integrals taken over spheres with center at the origin; namely,

$$S(R) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{|u| \leq R} a(u) du.$$

Then, we say that $\frac{1}{(2||)^{n/2}} \int_{a(u)du} \frac{1}{(2||)^{n/2}} \int_{a(u)$

4.2.4 Example. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(1+|x|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}$$

Then $\frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \int f(x) dx$ converges spherically to $\frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \frac{(n+1)/2}{(21)^{n/2}}$.

For details see (5.1).

4.2.5 Example. Consider the function f(x) = c for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For fixed k, $\int f(x) dx = c \int dx = ck^n V_n$ is finite, $|x| \le k$

where $V_{\rm n}$ is the volume of unit ball. Then f is a locally integrable function. But

$$\frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \int f(x) dx$$
 is not converges spherically.

The corresponding notion of spherical summability is defined as follows: we consider integrals

$$I_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{(2||)^{n/2}} \left(a(u) \mathbb{Z}(\varepsilon || u|) du \right)$$

where Z satisfies conditions 1), 2) and 3) listed earlier. If I_s exists for every s>0 and $I_s\to I$ as $s\to 0$, then we say that $(2|I)^{-n/2}\int a(u)du$ is summable-Z to the value I. 4.2.6 Theorem. Let a be a locally integrable function on R^n . If the integral $(2|I|)^{-n/2}\int a(u)du$ converges spherically to a value I, then it is also summable-Z to the same value I. Proof: By hypothesis, $S(R) = (2|I|)^{-n/2}\int a(u)du \to I$ as $R\to \infty$.

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{|u| \leq w} a(u)Z(\varepsilon|u|)du = \int_{0}^{w} Z(\varepsilon R)dS(R).$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\int_{0}^{W} Z(\varepsilon R) dS(R) = Z(\varepsilon w)S(w) - \int_{0}^{W} S(R) dZ(\varepsilon R).$$

Letting $w \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$I_{s} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{0}^{a(u)Z(s|u|)du} = -\int_{0}^{\infty} S(R)dZ(sR).$$

The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem (4.2.3).

To now roturn to the Fourier Inversion Formula

(b)
$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{-i(x\cdot y)} dy, \qquad f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We will show that, under some additional assumptions on the function $\mathbb{Z}(|x|)$, the integral in (b) is summable-2 to f(x) for almost every x.

We recall that , with r = |x|, Z(r) satisfies conditions

- 1) Z(r) is of bounded variation on $[0,\infty)$;
- 2) $Z(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$,
- 3) $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ is continuous at r = 0 and $\mathbb{Z}(0) = 1$.
 Assume, in addition, that
- 4) $Z(|x|) \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If we denote H(x) the Fourier transform of Z(1x1), then H(x) is a bounded radial function . We have by Lemma(4.1.3) and Theorem(4.1.13), that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} \chi(s|y|) dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \hat{f}(y+x) \chi(s|y|) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} f(y+x) \hat{\chi}(s|y|) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} f(x-y) \hat{\chi}(s|y|) dy.$$

But, by Lemma (4.1.5),

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(\varepsilon|y|) = \varepsilon^{-n}\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{|y|}{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon^{-n}H(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}) = H_{\varepsilon}(y); \text{ hence,}$$

$$(4.2.7) \frac{1}{(2||y|)^{n/2}} \widehat{f}(y)e^{-i(x \cdot y)}\mathbb{Z}(\varepsilon|y|)dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2||y|)^{n/2}} \widehat{f}(x-y)H_{\varepsilon}(y)dy = (f*H_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

Recalling that H is bounded, we assume finally that

5) $H(x) = \hat{Z}(|x|) = O(|x|^{-n-1})$ for $|x| \ge 1$,

(hence H(x) is integrable).

6)
$$\int \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1.$$

Note We can show that $Z(|x|) = e^{-(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)}$ satisfies conditions 1) through 6).

In view of Theorem(3.12) and (3.15), we obtain the following conclusion:

4.2.8 Theorem. If $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathbb{S}(|\mathbf{x}|)$ satisfies conditions 1) through 6) above, then, as $s \to 0$,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} Z(\epsilon(y)) dy \longrightarrow f(x)$$

almost everywhere and in Linorm.

Proof: From (4.2.7) we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} Z(\epsilon |y|) dy = (f*H_{\epsilon})(x).$$

Hence we must show that as $s \to 0$, $(f*H_g)(x) \to f(x)$ almost everywhere and in L^1 norm. This result follows from Theorem(3.12) and (3.15) where p = 1.

4.2.9 Corollary. (Uniqueness of the Fourier transform) If $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\hat{f}(x) = 0$, then f(x) = 0 a.e..

4.2.10 Theorem. If the integral
$$\frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

converges (spherically). Then it converges a.e. to the value f(x).

Proof: By hypothesis, let g(x) be such that

$$\frac{1}{(2\mathbb{I})^{n/2}} \int \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} dy \longrightarrow g(x) \quad \text{as } R \to \infty.$$

It is enough to show that g(x) = f(x) a.e..

By Theorem(4.2.6) with Z(|x|) satisfies conditions 1) though 6) above $(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f}^{h} (y) e^{-i(x-y)} dy$ is summable-Z to g(x), i.e.

$$\frac{1}{(2\Pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\hat{f}} (y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} Z(s|y|) dy \longrightarrow g(x), \text{ as } s \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem (4.2.8), as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{1}{(21)^{n/2}} \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} Z(s|y|) dy \longrightarrow f(x) \quad a.e..$$

Hence

We next investigate the behaviour of

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbf{f}(y)}^{\mathbf{f}(y)} e^{-i(x,y)} dy$$
 at a particular point x in \mathbb{R}^n .

4.2.11 Theorem. If $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \inf f(x) \leqslant (2 | f(y) e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)} dy \leqslant \lim_{x \to x_0} \sup f(x).$$

Proof: Suppose that $g(x_0) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\hat{f}}^{h} (y) e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)} dy$.

Consider the function $\mathbb{Z}(|x|) \geqslant 0$ satisfies conditions 1) through 6), then its Fourier transform $\mathbb{H}(x) \geqslant 0$ and from (4.2.7)

(c)
$$(f*H_{\epsilon})(x_0) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)} Z(\epsilon |y|) dy$$
.

The integrands on the right side of (c) are bounded by $(2 |)^{-n/2} \mathbb{M} | \hat{f}(t) |$ where $|\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon|y|)| \leq \mathbb{M}$, and since $\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon|y|) \longrightarrow 1$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, the right side of (c) converges to $g(x_0)$, by the dominated convergence theorem. We get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (f * H_{\epsilon})(x_{0}) = g(x_{0}).$$

On the other hand, we obtain by Theorem (3.7) that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{\theta} (f^*H_{\epsilon})(x_{0}) \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \sup_{\theta} f(x)$$

and

Hence

(d)
$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \inf f(x) \le \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \inf (f * H_{\epsilon})(x_0) \le \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (f * H_{\epsilon})(x) = g(x_0),$$
 and

(e)
$$g(x_0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (f * H_{\epsilon})(x_0) \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{\epsilon \to 0} (f * H_{\epsilon})(x_0) \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \sup_{\epsilon \to 0} f(x)$$

i.e.,
$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \inf f(x) \leqslant (2 \widetilde{\mathbb{N}})^{-n/2} \int_{f(y)e}^{\Lambda} e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)} dy \leqslant \lim_{x \to x_0} \sup f(x).$$

4.2.12 Corollary. Let f & L (Rn) .

- 1) If f is lower semicontinuous at a point x_0 , then $(2 | \hat{f}(y) | e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)}) dy \ge f(x_0).$
- 2) If f is upper semicontinuous at a point x_0 , then $(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\hat{f}(y)e}^{\hat{f}(y)e} dy \leqslant f(x_0).$
 - 3) If f is continuous at a point x_0 , then $(2||)^{-n/2} \left| \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x_0 \cdot y)} \right| dy = f(x_0).$

Proof: The proof of 1) and 2) follow from (d) and (e) respectively, and 3) follows from 1) and 2).



We recall that $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Hilbert space, with inner product $(f,g) = \int f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$ which is finite by Höder's Inequality, and with norm

$$\|f\|_2 = (f,f)^{1/2} = (\int |f(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2}.$$

We denote by J the class of all simple functions (i.e. finite linear combinations of characteristic functions of n-cells) and recall that J is a dense subspace of L^2 [13:p.67].

If $f \in L^2$ then, by Schwarz's inequality, f is locally integrable and hence the following integrals exists:

$$\hat{f}_{R}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{f(y)e^{i(x,y)} dy} dy.$$

4.3.1 Theorem. (Parseval-Plancherel) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

exists as a limit in L^2 norm of the $\hat{f}_R(x)$, $R \rightarrow \infty$. Also,

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{f}\|_2$$
 (Parseval Formula).

Moreover the Inversion Formula

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

holds in the sense that

$$f(x) = \lim_{\mathbb{R} \to \infty} \inf_{\infty} L^{2}(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\hat{f}(y)e^{-i(x,y)} dy} dy.$$

Proof: We shall first prove the theorem for simple functions and then pass to the limit. We do so in the following series of steps.

step. 1 Characteristic function on R1.

We recall from Example (4.1.11) that the triangular function

$$\chi_{h,h}(x) = (1 - \frac{|x|}{2h})^+, h > 0,$$

is continuous and integrable on R^1 , and that the same is true of its Fourier transform

$$\hat{\chi}_{h,h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \frac{\sin^2 hx}{x^2}.$$

Hence, by the Inversion Formula, we have that for every x

$$\frac{1}{11} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 hy}{y^2} e^{-ixy} dy = \chi_{h,h}(x).$$

In particular, at x = 0

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 hy}{y^2} dy = 1$$

and letting h = 1, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\sin x}{x})^2 dx}{x} = \pi.$$

If $\chi_{\rm h}$ is the characteristic function of the interval (-h,h), then its Fourier transform

$$\hat{\chi}_{h}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \frac{\sinh x}{x}$$

exists pointwise and also in the L^2 sense, since with $f(x) = \chi_h(x)$, the integrals

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{R}(\mathbf{x}_{0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{211}} \int_{R}^{R} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) e^{i\mathbf{x}_{0}\mathbf{y}} d\mathbf{y}$$

are all the same for R > h. Moreover,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{h}^{2}(x) dx = \frac{2}{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2}hx}{x^{2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{2h}{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^{2}y}{y^{2}} dy \quad (y=hx)$$

$$= 2h = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{h}^{2}(x) dx$$

whence, taking square roots, we see that Parseval's Formula holds.

If X is the characteristic function of any interval (a,b) = (c-h,c+h), then we know that

$$\hat{\chi}_{(x)} = \hat{\chi}_{h}(x)e^{icx}$$

so $|\hat{\chi}(x)|^2 = |\hat{\chi}_h(x)|^2 = \hat{\chi}_h^2(x)$ and the conclusion

follows from the previous argument.

step. 2 Characteristic function on Rn.

If X is the characteristic function of an n-cell $a_i \leqslant x_i \leqslant b_i$, i = 1,...,n,then

$$\chi(x) = \chi_1(x_1)...\chi_n(x_n)$$

where χ_i is the characteristic function of the 1-cell (interval) (a_i, b_i) ; also $\hat{\chi}(x)$ is defined in the usual way and $\hat{\chi}(x) = \hat{\chi}_1(x_1)...\hat{\chi}_n(x_n)$.

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{\chi}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\chi}_1(\mathbf{x}_1)|^2 \dots |\widehat{\chi}_n(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 d\mathbf{x}_1 \dots d\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\chi}_1(\mathbf{x}_1)|^2 d\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\chi}_n(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 d\mathbf{x}_n$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\chi_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})|^{2} d\mathbf{x}_{1} \dots \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\chi_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{n})|^{2} d\mathbf{x}_{n}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\chi(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x}$$

whence, taking square roots, we see the Parseval's Formula holds. step. 3 Simple function on \mathbb{R}^1 .

Suppose that f(x) takes values c_1, \dots, c_m on m non-overlapping 1-cells(intervals) I_1, \dots, I_m with characteristic functions χ_1, \dots, χ_m , and f(x) = 0 elsewhere. So

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k \chi_k(x)$$

and

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k \hat{\chi}_k(x).$$

Then,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k(\mathbf{x}) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{k\neq j} c_k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j \hat{\mathbf{x}}_k(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_j(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = A + B.$$

We claim that B = 0.

If X_1 is the characteristic function of $I_1=(x_1-h_1,x_1+h_1)$ and X_2 is the characteristic function of $I_2=(x_2-h_2,x_2+h_2)$, where I_1 and I_2 do not overlap (say $x_1+h_1 \le x_2-h_2$, and $h_1 \ge h_2 > 0$), then

$$\hat{\chi}_{1} = \int_{\overline{M}}^{2} \frac{\sinh_{1} x}{x} e^{\frac{ix_{1}x}{x}}$$

$$\hat{\chi}_{2} = \int_{\overline{M}}^{2} \frac{\sinh_{2} x}{x} e^{\frac{ix_{2}x}{x}}.$$

Hence,
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{1}(x) \overline{\hat{\chi}_{2}(x)} dx = \frac{2}{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh_{1}x \sinh_{2}x}{x} e^{i(x_{1}-x_{2})x} dx.$$

If we set

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{1} \frac{\sinh_1 x}{x} \frac{\sinh_2 x}{x}$$
 then f is

an integrable function on R¹ and is equal (up to a constant factor) to the Fourier transform of the trapezoidal function

 X_{h_1,h_2} (Example 4.1.11) which is continuous and integrable. Since the Inversion Formula holds everywhere in this case, we have that, for some constant $c \neq 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\chi}_{1}(x) \overline{\widehat{\chi}_{2}(x)} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i(x_{1}-x_{2})x}{dx} = c\chi_{h_{1},h_{2}}(x_{1}-x_{2})$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{becase } |x_{1}-x_{2}| \ge h_{1}+h_{2} \quad \text{and}$$

therefore x_{h_1,h_2} vanishes at the point x_1-x_2 .

Hence the claim is proved. Finally by Step 1 and using the fact that X_k have non-overlapping supports, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{1}^{m} |\mathbf{c}_{k}|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathbf{\chi}}_{k}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{1}^{m} |\mathbf{c}_{k}|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathbf{\chi}}_{k}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

whence, taking square roots, we see that Parseval's Formula holdsstep 4 Simple functions on R^n .

Suppose that f(x) takes values c_1, \dots, c_m on m non-overlapping n-cells I_1, \dots, I_m , with characteristic functions χ_1, \dots, χ_m , and f(x) = 0 elsewhere. So

$$f(x) = \sum_{1}^{m} c_{k} \chi_{k}(x), \text{ where}$$

$$\chi_{k}(x) = \chi_{1_{k}}(x_{1}) \dots \chi_{n_{k}}(x_{n}), \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{1}^{m} c_{k} \hat{\chi}_{k}(x).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\hat{f}(x)|^{2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{f}(x) \hat{f}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} \hat{\chi}_{k}(x)) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} \hat{\chi}_{j}(x) \right\} dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} |c_{k}|^{2} |\hat{\chi}_{k}(x)|^{2} dx + \sum_{k \neq j} c_{k} \hat{c}_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\chi}_{k}(x) \hat{\chi}_{j}(x) dx = A + B.$$

We claim that B = 0- for k ≠ j,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \hat{\chi}_{k}(\mathbf{x}) \, \overline{\hat{\chi}_{j}}(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \cdots \hat{\chi}_{n_{k}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \, \overline{\hat{\chi}_{1_{j}}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \cdots \\ & \cdot \hat{\chi}_{n_{j}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{n} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{1_{k}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \, \overline{\hat{\chi}_{1_{j}}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{n_{k}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \, \overline{\hat{\chi}_{n_{j}}}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{n} \cdot \\ \end{split}$$

By step 3 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\chi}_k(x) \overline{\hat{\chi}_j(x)} dx = 0$, and hence the claim is proved.

Finally by step 2 and using the fact that χ_k have non-overlapping supports, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{1}^{m} |\mathbf{c}_{k}|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{\mathbf{\chi}}_{k}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{1}^{m} |\mathbf{c}_{k}|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\mathbf{\chi}_{k}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\sum_{1}^{m} \mathbf{c}_{k}^{\chi} \chi_{k}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|^{2} d\mathbf{x}$$

whence, taking square roots, we see that Parseval's Formula holds.

stop 5 Extension by continuity.

We have seen that the Fourier transform $F(f) = \hat{f}$ is defined on the class f of simple function, and satisfies Parseval's Formula

(1)
$$\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{f}\|_2$$
 for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Hence F is a bounded (so, continuous) linear operator in L^2 . We claim that the operator F can be extended to the closure $\mathbb{F}_{=}^2$ L², with preservation of norm, that is, in such a way that formula (1) will hold for all $f \in L^2$.

If $f \in L^2$, choose a sequence $f_n \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_2 \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$;

in particular $\{\,f_n^{\ i}\!\}$ is a cauchy sequence so

 $\|f_n - f_m\|_{2} \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$, thus, by continuity of F,

 $\mathbb{F}(f_n) - \mathbb{F}(f_m) \mathbb{I}_{2} \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$, i.e.

 $\left\{ F(f_n) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, by the completeness of L^2 , there is some $g \in L^2$ such that $F(f_n) \longrightarrow g$ in L^2 , as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. We define F(f) by setting F(f) = g. Since if there is $\left\{ f'_n \right\}$ in f such that $f'_n \longrightarrow f$ in f and $f(f'_n) \longrightarrow g'$ in f, then the sequence $f_1, f_1, f_2, f_2, \dots$

converges to f, and hence the sequence $F(f_1), F(f_1), F(f_2), F(f_2)$, ... also converges in L^2 therefore we must have that g = g.

Then F is well-lefined. Finally, from the formula

 $\|F(f_m)\|_2 = \|f_m\|_2$, $f_m \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_m \longrightarrow f$, we obtain, passing to the limit (since the norm is a continuous function),

that

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{2} = \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{f})\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{f}\|_{2}$$
, for every $\mathbf{f} \in L^{2}$.

So the claim is proved.

step 6 F(f) = \hat{f} is the limit, in L^2 norm, of the Fourier transform \hat{f}_R .

We first prove that if $f \in L^2$ with compact support then F(f) is of the form

$$\hat{f}_{R}(x) = (2)^{-n/2} \int_{|y| \leq R} f(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy.$$

Suppose that the support of f is contained in a sphere $|x|\leqslant R. \text{ Choose a sequence of functions } f_k\in \mathcal{T} \text{ with support}$ in $|x|\leqslant R$ such that, as $k\to\infty$,

$$f_k \longrightarrow f$$
 in L^2 .

Then, by continuity of F, $F(f) \rightarrow F(f)$ in L^2 and hence there exists a subsequence of $\{F(f_k)\}$ which converges to F(f) pointwise a.e.. Moreover,

$$F(f_{k}(x)) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{|y| \leq \mathbb{R}} f_{k}(y) e^{i(x \cdot y)} dy$$

and

$$f_k(x) = (2I)^{-n/2} f_k(y)e^{i(x\cdot y)} dy$$

therefore.

$$|F(f_k(x)) - \hat{f}_R(x)| \le (2||f_k(y) - f(y)| dy$$

by Holder inequality

$$\left| \mathbb{F}(f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})) - \hat{f}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq (2\tilde{\mathbf{h}})^{-\mathbf{n}/2} \left\{ \left| f_{\mathbf{k}}' \mathbf{y} \right| - f(\mathbf{y}) \left|^{2} d\mathbf{y} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \mathbf{1}^{2} d\mathbf{y} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}$$

 $\leqslant \ \mathbb{C}\|f_k(y)-f(y)\|_{\overline{2}} \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty,$ so the sequence $\{F(f_k)\}$ converges pointwise to f_R . Thus $F(f) = \hat{f}_R$ a.e..

Now, for any $f \in L^2$, we consider the functions

$$f_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |x| \leq \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

As $R \longrightarrow \infty$, $f_R \longrightarrow f$ in L^2 , so $F(f_R) \longrightarrow F(f)$ in L^2 . But, by the previous argument $F(f_R) = \hat{f}_R$ a.e., therefore as $R \longrightarrow \infty$ $\hat{f}_R \longrightarrow F(f) = \hat{f}$ in L^2 .

step 7 The Inversion Formula.

Finally, we must verify the Inversion Formula

(b)
$$f(x) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \inf L^{2}(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{|y| \leq R} \hat{f}(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} dy.$$

It should be clear from our previous discussion that it is enough to prove (b) for functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, by linearity, it suffices to prove (b) for characteristic functions of n-cells, but this case reduces easily to the case of characteristic functions of intervals in \mathbb{R}^1 .

From the formula

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin x)^2}{x} dx = 1$$

we claim that

$$\frac{1}{11} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = 1.$$

Since,
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 x}{x} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos 2x}{x^2} dx$$
$$= \lim_{N \to +\infty} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - \cos 2x}{x} \right|_{-N}^{N} + \int_{-N}^{N} \frac{\sin 2x}{x} dx \right\}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\cos} \frac{\sin y}{y} dy.$$

Horeover, for any real number r

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin rx}{x} dx = \operatorname{sgn} r$$
where
$$\operatorname{sgn} r = \begin{cases} r/|r| & \text{if } r \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 0 \end{cases}$$

New, if $f = \chi_{a,b}$ is the characteristic function of the interval (a,b) = (c-h,c+h), then

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{\sin hx}{x} e^{icx}, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-ixy} dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -i(x-c)y \frac{\sin hy}{y} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(x-c)y \sin hy}{y} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x-c+h)y-\sin(x-c-h)y}{y} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x-a)y}{y} - \frac{\sin(x-b)y}{y} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [sgn(x-a) - sgn(x-b)]$$

$$= \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } x \notin [a,b] \\
1 & \text{if } x \in (a,b)
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } x \in (a,b)
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
1 & \text{if } x \in (a,b)
\end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(y) e^{-ixy} dy = f(x) \text{ a.e..}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.3.1).



Remark: If we set

$$F''g(y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (g(y)e^{-i(x\cdot y)} dy$$

then the Inversion Formula (b) can be written in the form $f = F^*F(f)$ which shows that $F^* = F^{-1}$. We conclude from Theorem (4.3.1) that the Fourier Transform F is a continuous linear isomorphism of L^2 .

4.3.2 Corollary: (Plancherel's Formula) If $f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\int \hat{f}(x)\overline{\hat{g}(x)}dx = \int f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx.$$

Proof: Since (f + g) = f + g then, by Parseval's Formula,

$$\iint |\hat{f} + \hat{g}|^2 dx = \iint |f + g|^2 dx.$$

Now,

$$|f + g|^2 = (f + g)(\bar{f} + \bar{g}) = |f|^2 + |g|^2 + f\bar{g} + \bar{f}g$$

= $|f|^2 + |g|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(f\bar{g})$

and likewise

$$|\hat{f} + \hat{g}|^2 = |\hat{f}|^2 + |\hat{g}|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\hat{f}\hat{g}).$$

Hence, using Parseval's formula again, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left|\operatorname{fgdx}\right.\right\}\right\}$$
 = $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left|\operatorname{fgdx}\right.\right\}\right\}$.

Replacing f by if, the above formula gives the corresponding equality for the imaginary parts of our integrals, and hence Plancherel's formula is proved.