# OILY SOIL DETERGENCY UNDER MICROEMULSION CONDITIONS: EFFECTS OF OIL LOADING AND SURFACTANT ADSORPTION



Ms. Rasadaporn Kaewpukpa

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,

Case Western Reserve University and Institute Français du Pétrole

2008

Thesis Title:

Oily Soil Detergency under Microemulsion Conditions: Effects

of Oil Loading and Surfactant Adsorption.

By:

Ms. Rasadaporn Kaewpukpa

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

**Thesis Advisors:** 

Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej

Prof. John F.Scamehor

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantays Janumet College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

(Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

(Prof. John F. Scamehorn)

(Assist. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan)

... V

(Dr. Veerapat Tantayakom)

#### **ABSTRACT**

4971014063: Petrochemical Technology Program

Rasadaporn Kaewpukpa: Oily Soil Detergency under Microemulsion

Conditions: Effects of Oil Loading and Surfactant Adsorption.

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, Prof. John

F.Scamehorn, and Dr. Veerapat Tantayakom 51 pp.

Keywords: Detergency / Adsorption / Motor oil / oil detachment

Detergency process of oily soil removal from fabrics is of interest and the mechanisms of oily soil removal are very complicated involving several factors: interfacial tension, oil loading and surfactant adsorption. In this study, the effects of oil loading and the surfactant adsorption on the detergency performance of oily soil removal were investigated. Mixed surfactant systems of branched alcohol propoxylate sulfate sodium salt (Alfoterra 145-3PO), an extended anionic surfactant, and secondary alcohol ethoxylate (Tergitol 15-S-5), a nonionic surfactant, were used to form microemulsions with motor oil. The CMC and CµC values of the mixed surfactants were 0.015 and 0.04 % total active mixed surfactants concentration, respectively. A polyester/cotton blend [65/35] was selected to use as a testing fabric in detergency experiments. The results showed that the oil loading and fabric weight did not affect the efficiency of oil removal. Furthermore, with the selected formulation (0.1 wt.% Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5 wt.% Tergitol 15-S-5), the oil detachment time was investigated at different temperatures (30-50°C) and different total surfactant concentrations (0.04-0.5 %). The results showed that surfactant concentration was found to decrease the oil detachment time, leading to increasing oil removal. But the temperature did not affect to the oil detachment time.

## บทคัดย่อ

นางสาวรัษฎาพร แก้วพุกผา: การกำจัดคราบน้ำมันเครื่องภายใต้สภาวะไมโครอิมัลชั่นเพื่อใช้ใน การทำความสะอาด โดยศึกษาผลกระทบของปริมาณน้ำมัน และการคูดซับของสารลดแรงดึงผิว (Oily Soil Detergency under Microemulsion Conditions: Effects of Oil Loading and Surfactant Adsorption) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: รศ.ดร. สุเมธ ชวเดช ศ.ดร. จอห์น เอฟ สเกมีฮอร์น และ ดร. วีระภัทร์ ตันตยาคม 51 หน้า

กระบวนการซักทำความสะอาดเพื่อกำจัดคราบน้ำมันออกจากผิวผ้าเป็นกระบวนการที่มี ความน่าสนใจประกอบกับกลไกในการกำจัดคราบน้ำมันนั้นมีความซับซ้อนโดยมีปัจจัยโดยทั่วไป ได้แก่ ความเข้มข้นของสารลดแรงตึงผิว , ปริมาณน้ำมันและการดูดซับของสารลดแรงตึงผิว ใน งานวิจัยนี้ผลกระทบของปริมาณน้ำมันและการคูดซับของสารลดแรงตึงผิวถูกนำมาศึกษา ในการ ซักทำความสะอาดกำจัดคราบน้ำมันเครื่อง ระบบของสารลดแรงตึงผิวแบบผสม ได้แก่ สารลดแรง ตึงผิว 0.1 เปอร์เซ็นต์ของสารลดแรงตึงผิวอัล โฟเทอร่า 145-3PO โพลีเอทธิลีน ออกไซด์ และ 5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ เทอจิทอล 15 เอส 5 ถูกใช้ในการเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั่นกับน้ำมันเครื่อง ผ้าผสมโพลีเอส เทอร์ /ฝ้าย [65/35] ถูกนำมาทคสอบในการทคลองการซักล้าง ผลการทดลองพบว่าปริมาณ น้ำมันเครื่องและน้ำหนักของผ้ามีผลต่อประสิทธิภาพการกำจัดคราบน้ำมันเครื่อง มากไปกว่านี้สาร ลคแรงตึงผิว 0.1 เปอร์เซ็นต์ของสารลคแรงตึงผิวอัลโฟเทอร่า 145-3PO โพลีเอทธิลีน ออกไซด์ และ 5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ เทอจิทอล 15 เอส 5, เวลาในการหลุดของหยดน้ำมันเครื่องถูกนำมาศึกษาที่ อุณหภูมิต่างกัน (30-50°C) และที่ความเข้มข้นต่างกัน (0.04-0.5 %) ผลการทดลองแสดงให้เห็น ว่าการเพิ่มความเข้มข้นของสารลดแรงตึงผิวช่วยให้เวลาที่ใช้ในการหลุดของน้ำมันเครื่องเกิดได้ เร็วขึ้นและนำไปสู่การเพิ่มการขจัดคราบน้ำมันเครื่อง แต่อุณหภูมิไม่มีผลต่อเวลาที่ใช้ในการหลุด ของหยดน้ำมันเครื่อง

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all this thesis work is partially funded by the National Center of Excellence for Petroleum, Petrochemicals and Advanced Materials and the Research Unit of Applied Surfactants for Separation and Pollution Control Chulalongkorn University. I am also grateful to the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University where I has gained priceless knowledge in Petrochemical Technology program for partial scholarship. I greatly appreciate all professors who have tendered invaluable knowledge to me at this college.

I would like to express the sincere appreciation to my US thesis advisor; Prof. John F. Scamehorn, and Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, who provided valuable recommendations, creative comments, continuous guidance, and encouragement.

Furthermore I would like to take this opportunity to thank Ms. Parichat Kolrphol, Ph.D. students, is also acknowledged for nice advices and suggestion.

Most importantly to my life, my parent, I would like to express my gratitude to my parent whose love, support, cheerfulness, and encouragement throughout his research work.

Lastly, I would like to thanks to all of the Petroleum and Petrochemical College's staff for their kind assistance.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		PAGE
Title	Page	i
Abst	ract (in English)	iii
Abst	ract (in Thai)	iv
Ackı	nowledgements	$\mathbf{v}$
Table	e of Contents	vi
List	of Tables	viii
List	of Figures	ix
Abbr	reviations	xiii
List	of Symbols	xiv
APTE	R	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
III	EXPERIMENTAL	
	3.1 Chemicals:	15
	3.2 Equipment:	15
	3.3 Material	15
	3.4 Experimental Procedures	16
	3.4.1 Phase study	16
	3.4.2 Detergency measurement	16
	3.4.2.1 Fabric preparation and soiling procedure	16
	3.4.2.2 The laundry procedure	17
	3.4.2.3 Detergency measurement	17
	3.4.2.4 Oil removal measurement	18
	3.4.2.5 Interfacial tension measurement	18
	Abst Abst Ackr Table List Abbr List I	III EXPERIMENTAL  3.1 Chemicals: 3.2 Equipment: 3.3 Material 3.4 Experimental Procedures  3.4.1 Phase study 3.4.2 Detergency measurement  3.4.2.1 Fabric preparation and soiling procedure  3.4.2.2 The laundry procedure  3.4.2.3 Detergency measurement  3.4.2.4 Oil removal measurement

CHAPTER		PAGE
	3.4.2.6 Adsorption Isotherm measurement	19
	3.4.2.7 Contact angle measurement	19
	3.4.2.8 Oil Detachment	19
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	4.1 Microemulsion Phase Formation	
	4.1.1 Fish diagram of motor oil with the selected formulation	20
	4.1.2 Effect of oil-to-surfactant ratio on IFT	22
	4.2. Detergency Performances	
	4.2.1 Effect of oil loading on the detergency Performance	23
	4.2.2 Effect of fabric weight on the detergency Performance	24
	4.2.3 Correlation between mixed surfactant adsorption	
	and % oil removal	26
	4.2.4 Oil detachment at different concentrations	30
	4.2.5 Oil detachment at different temperature	37
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	42
	REFERENCES	43
	APPENDICES	46
	Appendix A Exprimental Data of Microemulsion Formulation	46
	Appendix B Experimental data of detergency experiment	49
CUDD	ICH HM VITAF	51

## LIST OF TABLES

TABL	LE .	PAGE
A-1	Equilibrium interfacial tension as a function of Surfactant	47
	ratio: oil loading ratio with formulation of 0.1 wt% Alfoterra	
	145-3PO, 5%wt Tergitol 15-S-5, and 5%wt NaCl.	
A-2	Dynamic interfacial tension as a function of %active	48
	surfactant concentration with formulation of 0.1 wt%	
	Alfoterra 145-3PO, 5%wt Tergitol 15-S-5, and 5%wt NaCl.	

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Type of microemulsion phase behavior showing the transition from oil in water (Type I) to bicontinuous structure (Type	3
2.2	III) and water in oil structure (Type II)  Phase behavior showing the relationship of temperature of the system and the salinity	4
2.3	Phase behavior showing interfacial tension (IFT) as a function of the hydrophile-lipophile balance (HLB) and the salinity	5
2.4	Contact angle between an oil droplet and substrate in bath	9
2.5	Roll-up mechanism shows the complete removal of oil droplets from the substrate by hydraulic currents when $\theta > 90^{\circ}$	9
2.6	Emulsification mechanism shows partial removal of oil droplets from substrate $\theta < 90^{\circ}$	10
2.7	The solubilization mechanism of removal of oily soil from a solid surface	11
4.1	Dynamic IFT at 20 min as a function of total surfactant concentration at 30 °C using a mixed surfactant of 0.1 wt.% Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5 wt.% Tergitol 15-S-5	20
4.2	Fish phase diagram of selected formulation (of 0.1wt.% Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5wt.% Tergitol 15-S-5, and 5% salinity) at an oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 at 30 °C.	21

FIGURE		PAGE
4.3	Phase Height Fraction and interfacial tension (mN/m)	22
	between washing solution (before washing process) and dyed	
	oil as a function of oil-to-surfactant ratio by using a mixed	
	surfactant of 0.1wt.% Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5wt.% Tergitol	
	15-S-5, and 5% salinity.	
4.4	Percentage of oil removal and detergency for studied the	23
	effect of oil loading on detergency performance with	
	difference oil loading by using fixed amount of total	
	surfactant concentration at 0.3%.	
4.5	Oil removals in each step on studied the effect of oil loading	24
	on detergency performance with difference oil loading by	
	using fixed amount of total surfactant concentration at 0.3%.	
4.6	Percentage of oil removal and detergency for studied the	24
	effect of fabric weight on detergency performance at the total	
	surfactant concentration of 0.3%.	
4.7	Oil removal in each step studied the effect of fabric weight on	25
	detergency performance at the total surfactant concentration	
	of 0.3%.	
4.8	The Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC) values were	26
	measure by Surface tensions measurement as a surfactant	
	concentration of different surfactant system at 30°C.	
4.9	Contact angles of mixed surfactant solution on soiled fabric	27
	surface and unsoiled fabric surface versus surfactant	
	concentrations.	

FIG	URE	PAGE
4.10	Adsorption isotherms for mixed surfactant solutions of 0.1 wt.%	27
	Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5 wt.% Tergitol 15-S-5 with 5% salinity.	
	Correlation between adsorbs of mixed surfactant solution and % oil	
4.11	removal by different % total active mixed surfactants.	28
	The amount of mixed surfactant in each step of washing process of	
	soiled polyester/cotton blend fabric, which varied fabric weights by	
	using a % total active mixed surfactant concentration of 0.3% total	29
	active surfactant concentration with 5% salinity.	
4.12	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from horizontal dry	29
	compressed fabric surface in 0.07 % active mixed surfactant solution at	
	30°C.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from horizontal dry	30
4.13	compressed fabric surface in 0.1 % active mixed surfactant solution at	
	30°C.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from horizontal dry	31
4.14	compressed fabric surface in 0.3 % active mixed surfactant solution at	
	30°C.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from horizontal dry	32
4.15	compressed fabric surface in 0.5 % active mixed surfactant solution at	
	30°C.	33
	% oil detachment as a function of % active of mixed surfactant	
4.16	concentration of the motor oil detachment from dry compressed fabric	
	surface at 30°C.	34
4.17	% oil detachment as a function of % active of mixed surfactant	
	concentration of the motor oil detachment from dry compressed fabric	
	surface at 30°C.	

		PAGE
	Contact angle as a function oil detachment time at different	35
4.18	percentage total surfactant concentration of the motor oil	
	detachment from dry compressed fabric surface at 30°C.	
	Correlation between oil detachment time, % oil removal, and % oil	36
4.19	detachment by difference % active of mixed surfactant of 0.1 wt.%	
	Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5 wt.% Tergitol 15-S-5.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from horizontal dry	37
4.20	compressed fabric surface in 0.3 % active mixed surfactant solution at	
	30°C.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from	38
4.21	horizontal dry compressed fabric surface in 0.3 % active	
	mixed surfactant solution at 40°C.	
	Consecutive photos of the motor oil detachment from	39
4.22	horizontal dry compressed fabric surface in 0.3 % active mixed	
	surfactant solution at 50°C.	40
	% oil detachment as a function of different temperature of the	
4.23	motor oil detachment from dry compressed fabric surface at	
	30°C.	40
4.24	Contact angle as a function oil detachment time at different	
	temperature of the motor oil detachment from dry	
	compressed fabric surface.	41
4.25	Correlation between oil detachment time, % oil removal and	
	oil detachment by different temperature of system of mixed	
	surfactant of 0.1wt% Alfoterra 145-3PO and 5%wt Tergitol	
	15-S-5.	

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

HLB	Hydrophilic-lypophilic balance
IFT	Interfacial tension (mN/m)
IFTm/o	Interfacial tension between middle phase and excess oil phase (mN/m)
IFTm/o	Interfacial tension between middle phase and excess water phase (mN/m)
IFTm/o	Interfacial tension between oil and water phase (mN/m)

xiv

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

θ	Contact angle (degree)
ρ	Density (g/ml)
D	Diameter (mm)
γ o/m	Interfacial tension between excess oil phase and middle phase (mN/m)
γ w/m	Interfacial tension between excess water phase and middle phase (mN/m)
γ ов	Interfacial tension at the liquid soil-bath interface (mN/m)
γ os	Interfacial tension at the liquid soil-substrate interface (mN/m)
γ sb	Interfacial tension at the substrate-bath interface (mN/m)